

JORDAN OPERATOR ALGEBRAS REVISITED

DAVID P. BLECHER AND ZHENHUA WANG

ABSTRACT. Jordan operator algebras are norm-closed spaces of operators on a Hilbert space with $a^2 \in A$ for all $a \in A$. In two recent papers by the authors and Neal, a theory for these spaces was developed. It was shown there that much of the theory of associative operator algebras, in particularly surprisingly much of the associative theory from several recent papers of the first author and coauthors, generalizes to Jordan operator algebras. In the present paper we complete this task, giving several results which generalize the associative case in these papers, relating to unitizations, real positivity, hereditary subalgebras, and a couple of other topics. We also solve one of the three open problems stated at the end of our earlier joint paper on Jordan operator algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Jordan operator algebra is a norm-closed linear space A of operators on a Hilbert space, with $a^2 \in A$ for all $a \in A$. Or equivalently, which is closed under the ‘Jordan product’ $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$. In two recent papers [10, 5] a theory for this class was developed. It was shown there that much of the theory of associative operator algebras, in particularly surprisingly much of the recent associative theory from e.g. [2, 7, 8, 9, 4], generalizes to Jordan operator algebras. In the present paper we complete this task, giving several results which generalize the associative case in these cited papers, relating to unitizations, real positivity, structure of state and quasistate spaces, hereditary subalgebras, and a couple of other topics. We also solve one of the three problems posed at the end of [10]. In that paper we observed that a consequence of Meyer’s unitization theorem (see e.g. 2.1.15 in [3]) is that the unitization A^1 of a general Jordan operator algebra A is unique up to isometric isomorphism. We asked if the unitization of a Jordan operator algebra is unique up to completely isometric isomorphism? Here we answer this question in the negative. This outcome is not too serious for the topics contained in [10, 5] since most results there only use the norm, cone of ‘real positive elements’, and Jordan algebra structure.

We now quickly summarize notation (for more details see the introductions to [10, 5]). If A is a Jordan operator algebra acting on a Hilbert space H , let $C^*(A)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by A in $B(H)$. If $x, y \in A$ then $xyx \in A$ (by e.g. (1.3) in [10]). Norm-closed Jordan subalgebras (that is, subspaces closed under

Date: February 11, 2022.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17C65, 46L07, 46L70, 46L85, 47L05, 47L30; Secondary 46H10, 46H70, 47L10, 47L75.

Key words and phrases. Jordan operator algebra, Jordan Banach algebra, Open projection, Hereditary subalgebra, Approximate identity, JC*-algebra, Operator spaces, C^* -envelope, Real positivity, States.

Supported by the Simons Foundation Collaboration grant 527078.

the Jordan product) of commutative (associative) operator algebras are of course ordinary (commutative associative) operator algebras on a Hilbert space, and the Jordan product is the ordinary product. In particular if a is an element in a Jordan operator algebra A inside a C^* -algebra B , then the closed Jordan algebra generated by a in A equals the closed operator algebra generated by a in B . We write this as $\text{oa}(a)$ or $\text{joa}(a)$. An element q in a Jordan operator algebra A is called a *projection* if $q^2 = q$ and $\|q\| = 1$ (so these are just the orthogonal projections on the Hilbert space A acts on, which are in A). A *Jordan homomorphism* between Jordan operator algebras is a linear map satisfying $T(ab + ba) = T(a)T(b) + T(b)T(a)$ for $a, b \in A$, or equivalently, that $T(a^2) = T(a)^2$ for all $a \in A$ (the equivalence follows by applying T to $(a + b)^2$). A *Jordan ideal* of a Jordan algebra A is a subspace E with $\eta \circ \xi \in E$ for $\eta \in E, \xi \in A$. A *Jordan contractive approximate identity* (or *J-cai* for short) is a net (e_t) of contractions with $e_t \circ a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A$. It is shown in [10, Lemma 2.6] that if A has a Jordan cai then it has a *partial cai*, that is a net that acts as a cai for the ordinary product in any containing generated C^* -algebra. If a Jordan (or equivalently, a partial) cai for A exists then A is called *approximately unital*. A *state* of an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra A is a functional with $\|\varphi\| = \lim_t \varphi(e_t) = 1$ for some (or every) J-cai (e_t) for A . These extend to states of the unitization A^1 . They also extend to a state (in the C^* -algebraic sense) on any C^* -algebra B generated by A , and conversely any state on B restricts to a state of A . Part of this follows easily from the fact mentioned earlier that any partial cai for A is a cai for B . See [10, Section 2.7] for more details.

2. UNITIZATION

Interesting examples of Jordan operator algebras can come from spaces of anticommuting operators, such as from a spin system in fermionic analysis. This is related to the Cartan factors of type IV (certain selfadjoint spaces of operators whose squares lie in $\mathbb{C}I$), and the operator space Φ_n in e.g. [13, Section 9.3]. A linear space of anticommuting operators will of course (by definition of ‘anticommuting’) have zero Jordan product. A very simple example of this is completely isometric to the well known operator space $R_2 \cap C_2$, which may be defined to be the two dimensional Hilbert space with matrix norms the maximum of the ‘row matrix norm’ and the ‘column matrix norm’ (the matrix norms of the first row or first column of the 2×2 matrix C^* -algebra M_2). Consider the set E_2 of 4×4 matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This is not an associative algebra but is a Jordan operator algebra with zero Jordan product. That is, $xy + yx = 0$ for any two such matrices, which is an anticommutation relation. Let G be the space of the same matrices but with first column and last row removed, so that $G \subset M_3$. Let F_2 be the set of matrices in $M_2(G) \subset M_6$ which are zero in all of the first three columns and all of the last three rows. This is an operator algebra with zero product, which is linearly completely isometric to G . So $E_2 \cong F_2$ completely isometrically isomorphically as Jordan operator algebras, but not of course as associative operator algebras.

Proposition 2.1. *The two unitizations $\mathbb{C}I_4 + E_2$ and $\mathbb{C}I_6 + F_2$ of the Jordan operator algebra E_2 above, are not completely isometrically isomorphic as Jordan operator algebras, nor even as unital operator spaces.*

Proof. . The C^* -envelope of $\mathbb{C}I_4 + E_2$ is at most 16 dimensional since $\mathbb{C}I_4 + E_2 \subset M_4$ (we shall not need this but it is easy to see that $C_e^*(\mathbb{C}I_4 + E_2)$ is 16 dimensional). We shall show that the dimension of the C^* -envelope of $\mathbb{C}I_6 + F_2$ is 18. Indeed $\mathbb{C}I_6 + F_2$ is (via a switch of columns and rows) completely isometrically isomorphic to the unital subalgebra A of $M_3 \oplus M_3$ consisting of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & -\beta \\ 0 & \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in M_3 \oplus M_3, \quad \lambda, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We claim that $C_e^*(A) = M_3 \oplus M_3$. Indeed the $*$ -algebra generated by A contains $E_{12} + E_{56}, E_{13} - E_{46}, (E_{12} + E_{56})(E_{31} - E_{64}) = -E_{54}$, hence also $E_{45}, E_{45}(E_{12} + E_{56}) = E_{46}$, and thus E_{56} . Indeed it contains E_{ij} for $4 \leq i, j \leq 6$. Hence also $E_{12}, E_{1,3}$, indeed E_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. So A generates $M_3 \oplus M_3$. Let J be a nontrivial ideal in $M_3 \oplus M_3$, such that the canonical map $A \rightarrow (M_3 \oplus M_3)/J$ is a complete isometry. We may assume $J = 0 \oplus M_3$, the contrary case being similar. That is the canonical compression of A to its first 3×3 block (that is, multiplication on A by $I_3 \oplus 0$) is completely isometric. Note that this implies that $R_2 \cap C_2$ is completely isometric to R_2 , which is known to be false. Thus $J = (0)$, so that $C_e^*(A) = M_3 \oplus M_3$ as desired. \square

3. REAL POSITIVITY

If A is a Jordan operator algebra we define \mathfrak{r}_A to be the elements x in A with positive real part (that is, $x + x^* \geq 0$). We call these the *real positive* or *accretive* elements of A .

Suppose that A is an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra acting on a Hilbert space H , and let $C^*(A)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by A in $B(H)$. As we said at the end of the introduction, states of A are precisely the restrictions to A of states on $C^*(A)$. We write $S(A)$ for the state space of A . Let $Q(A)$ be the quasistate space of A , namely $Q(A) = \{t\varphi, t \in [0, 1], \varphi \in S(A)\}$. The quasistates of A are precisely the restrictions to A of quasistates on $C^*(A)$. It follows that the quasistate space $Q(A)$ is convex and weak* compact. Indeed if $\varphi_t \in S(C^*(A))$, $\lambda_t \in [0, 1]$, $\varphi \in A^*$, and $\lambda_t \varphi_t \rightarrow \varphi$ weak* on A , then there exists a $\psi \in Q(C^*(A))$ and convergent subnets $\lambda_{t_\mu} \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\varphi_{t_\mu} \rightarrow \psi$ weak* on $C^*(A)$. This forces $\varphi = \lambda\psi \in Q(A)$. So $Q(A)$ is weak* compact. Note that $S(A)$ is convex, for example by [5, Lemma 2.21 (4)], and from this it is easy to see that $Q(A)$ is convex. We set $\mathfrak{F}_A = \{x \in A : \|1 - x\| \leq 1\}$. Here 1 is the identity of the unitization A^1 if A is nonunital. We also have (see the end of Section 2.7 in [10])

$$\mathfrak{r}_A = \{x \in A : \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in S(A)\}.$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{c}_{A^*} = \{\varphi \in A^* : \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{r}_A\}.$$

Similarly $\mathfrak{c}_{A^*}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are the real linear functionals that are positive on \mathfrak{r}_A . The above are the *real positive functionals*. We will say an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra A is *scaled* if $\mathfrak{c}_{A^*} = \mathbb{R}^+ S(A)$.

The following results are the approximately unital Jordan versions of most of 2-6–2.8 in [6].

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be an approximately unital nonunital Jordan operator algebra. Then $Q(A)$ is the weak* closure of $S(A)$. Also, a functional $f \in \mathfrak{c}_{A^*}$ if and only if f is a nonnegative multiple of a state. That is, an approximately unital nonunital Jordan operator algebra is scaled.*

Proof. That $Q(A)$ is the weak* closure of $S(A)$ will follow because this is true for C^* -algebras and because of the fact, stated earlier, that states of A are precisely the restrictions to A of states on $C^*(A)$. The last assertion follows from the fact above that $Q(A)$ is weak* closed and the argument for [6, Lemma 2.7 (1)]. Alternatively, if f is real positive, then $\operatorname{Re} f$ is real positive (i.e. $\operatorname{Re} f(\tau_A) \subset [0, \infty)$), which implies by [10, Lemma 4.13] that $\operatorname{Re} f = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \varphi$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in S(A)$. Therefore, $f = \lambda \varphi$ by the uniqueness of the extension of $\operatorname{Re} f$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If A is an approximately unital nonunital Jordan operator algebra, then:*

- (i) $S(A^1)$ is the convex hull of trivial character χ_0 on A^1 (which annihilates A) and the set of states on A^1 extending states of A .
- (ii) $Q(A) = \{\varphi|_A : \varphi \in S(A^1)\}$.

Proof. These follow easily from the fact that they are true for C^* -algebras and states of A are precisely the restrictions to A of states on $C^*(A)$. Or one may deduce them e.g. from the fact that A is scaled as in the proof of [6, Lemma 2.7]. \square

Lemma 3.3. (Cf. [6, Lemma 6.6].) *Suppose A is an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra.*

- (1) *The cones \mathfrak{c}_{A^*} and $\mathfrak{c}_{A^*}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are additive (that is, the norm on A^* is additive on these cones).*
- (2) *If (φ_t) is an increasing net in $\mathfrak{c}_{A^*}^{\mathbb{R}}$ which is bounded in norm, then the set converges in norm, and its limits is the least upper bound of the net.*

Proof. This is as in [6, Lemma 6.6], however one needs to appeal to [10, Lemma 4.13] in place of the matching result used there. \square

Corollary 3.4. (Cf. [6, Corollary 6.9].) *Let A be an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra. If $f \leq g \leq h$ in $B(A, \mathbb{R})$ in the natural ‘dual ordering’ induced by \preceq , then $\|g\| \leq \|f\| + \|h\|$.*

Proof. For any $x \in A$ with $\|x\| < 1$, then by [10, Theorem 4.1(4)] there exists $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_A \subset \operatorname{Ball}(A)$ such that $x = a - b$. If $g(x) \geq 0$, then

$$g(x) = g(a) - g(b) \leq h(a) - f(b) \leq \|h\| + \|f\|.$$

If $g(x) \leq 0$, then

$$|g(x)| = g(b) - g(a) \leq h(b) - f(a) \leq \|h\| + \|f\|.$$

Therefore, $\|g\| \leq \|f\| + \|h\|$. \square

In [10, Proposition 3.27], we show that if J is an approximately unital closed two-sided Jordan ideal in a Jordan operator algebra A , then A/J is (completely isometrically isomorphic to) a Jordan operator algebra. The following is the approximately unital Jordan version of [6, Corollary 8.9]. Below $W_A(x) = \{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in S(A)\}$ is the numerical range of x in A .

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that J is an approximately unital Jordan ideal in a unital Jordan operator algebra A . Let $x \in A/J$ with $K = W_{A/J}(x)$. Then*

- (i) *If K is not a nontrivial line segment in the plane, then there exists $a \in A$ with $a + J = x$, $\|a\| = \|x\|$, and $W_A(a) = W_{A/J}(x)$.*
- (ii) *If $K = W_{A/J}(x)$ is a nontrivial line segment, let \hat{K} be any thin triangle with K as one of the sides. Then there exists $a \in A$ with $a + J = x$, $\|a\| = \|x\|$, and $K \subset W_A(a) \subset \hat{K}$.*

Proof. For (i) note that if the numerical range of x is not a singleton nor a line segment then this follows from [6, Theorem 8.8] since J is an M -ideal [10]. If the numerical range of an element w in a unital Jordan algebra is a singleton then the same is true with respect to the generated C^* -algebra, so that $w \in \mathbb{C}1$.

If $W_{A/J}(x)$ is a nontrivial line segment K , this works just as in the proof of [6, Corollary 8.9]. Replace A by the unital Jordan algebra $B = A \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$, replace J by the approximately unital Jordan ideal $I = J \oplus (0)$. Then I is an M -ideal in B by [10, Theorem 3.25 (1)]. For a scalar λ chosen as in the proof of [6, Corollary 8.9], $W((x, \lambda))$ is the convex hull of K and λ , hence has nonempty interior. Since I is an M -ideal in B , we may appeal to [6, Theorem 8.8] in the same way as in the proof we are copying, to obtain our result. \square

Corollary 3.6. (Cf. [6, Corollary 8.10].) *If J is an approximately unital Jordan ideal in any (not necessarily approximately unital) Jordan operator algebra A , then $q(\mathfrak{r}_A) = \mathfrak{r}_{A/J}$ where $q: A \rightarrow A/J$ is the quotient map.*

Proof. We know from [10, Proposition 3.28] that $q(\mathfrak{F}_A) = \mathfrak{F}_{A/J}$, and $\mathfrak{r}_A = \overline{\mathbb{R}_+ \mathfrak{F}_A}$ by the proof of [8, Theorem 3.3]. So $q(\mathbb{R}_+ \mathfrak{F}_A) = \mathbb{R}_+ \mathfrak{F}_{A/J}$. Taking closures we have $q(\mathfrak{r}_A) \subset \mathfrak{r}_{A/J}$.

The other direction uses Proposition 3.5. If A is unital the result immediately follows from Proposition 3.5 as in [6, Corollary 8.10]. If A is nonunital and A/J is nonunital, then by [10, Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.27], we can extend q to a contractive unital Jordan homomorphism from A^1 to a unitization of A/J . Then J is still an M -ideal in A^1 by [10, Theorem 3.25 (1)]. Therefore, again the result follows as in [6, Corollary 8.10] by applying the unital case to the canonical map from A^1 onto $(A/J)^1 = A^1/J$. (The latter formula following from the Jordan operator algebra case of Meyer's unitization theorem [10, Section 2.2].) If A/J is unital, then one may reduce to the previous case where it is not unital, by the trick for that in the proof of [6, Corollary 8.10]. \square

We recall that an element x in a unital Jordan algebra A is invertible if there exists $y \in A$ with $x \circ y = 1$ and $x^2 \circ y = x$. If A is an associative algebra and $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ then it is known (or is an easy exercise to check) that this coincides with the usual definition. For a Jordan operator algebra the spectrum $\text{Sp}_A(x)$ is defined to be the scalars λ such that $\lambda 1 - x$ is invertible in A^1 , and as in the Banach algebra case can be shown to be a compact nonempty set, on whose complement $(\lambda 1 - x)^{-1}$ is analytic as usual, and the spectral radius is the usual $\lim_n \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. These facts are all well known in the theory of Jordan Banach algebras. For a general (possibly nonunital) Jordan operator algebra we say that x is *quasi-invertible* if $1 - x$ is invertible in A^1 .

Theorem 3.7. (Cf. [7, Theorem 3.2] and [6, Theorem 3.21].) *For a Jordan operator algebra A , if $x \in \mathfrak{r}_A$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $x \text{ joa}(x)x$ is closed.
- (ii) $\text{joa}(x)$ is unital.
- (iii) There exists $y \in \text{joa}(x)$ with $xyx = x$.
- (iv) xAx is closed.
- (v) There exists $y \in A$ such that $xyx = x$. Also, the latter conditions imply
- (vi) 0 is isolated in or absent from $\text{Sp}_A(x)$.

Finally, if further $\text{joa}(x)$ is semisimple, then condition (i)-(vi) are all equivalent.

Proof. If A is unital, $x \in \mathfrak{r}_A$, and x is invertible in A , then $s(x) = 1 \in \text{joa}(x)$. Thus (ii) is true, and in this case (i)-(vi) are all obvious. So we may assume that x is not invertible in A . The equivalence of (i)–(iii) is the same as the operator algebra case (since $\text{joa}(x) = \text{oa}(x)$); and these imply (v).

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) Suppose that xAx is closed. Now $x = (x^{\frac{1}{3}})^3$, and since $x = \lim_n x^{\frac{1}{n}} x x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $x^{\frac{1}{n}} \in \text{oa}(x)$ we see that

$$x^{\frac{1}{3}} \in \text{oa}(x) = \overline{x\text{oa}(x)x} \subset \overline{xAx} = xAx,$$

and so $xyx = x$ for some $y \in A$.

(v) \Rightarrow (iv) Similarly to the original proof of [7, Theorem 3.2],

$$xAx = (xyx)A(xyx) \subseteq xyAyx \subseteq xAx,$$

so that $xAx = xyAyx$. Since xy is an idempotent (in any containing C^* -algebra), xAx is closed.

Clearly (v) implies the same assertion but with A replaced by $C^*(A)$. This, by the theorem we are generalizing, implies (i)–(iii).

That (v) implies (vi) is as in [7, Theorem 3.2]: If 0 is not isolated in $\text{Sp}_A(x)$, then there is a sequence of boundary points in $\text{Sp}_A(x)$ converging to 0 . Since $\partial\text{Sp}_A(x) \subset \text{Sp}_{B(H)}(x)$ as in the Banach algebra case, we obtain a contradiction. Similarly (vi) implies (ii) if $\text{oa}(x)$ is semisimple: if the latter is not unital, then 0 is an isolated point in $\text{Sp}_A(x)$ iff 0 is isolated in $\text{Sp}_{\text{oa}(x)}(x)$, and so we can use the original proof. \square

4. HEREDITARY SUBALGEBRAS

If A is a Jordan subalgebra of a C^* -algebra B then A^{**} may be identified with the Jordan subalgebra $A^{\perp\perp}$ of the W^* -algebra B^{**} , and hence A^{**} is a Jordan operator algebra with its ‘Arens product’ (see e.g. [10, Section 1.3]). We recall that a *hereditary subalgebra* (or *HSA*) of a Jordan operator algebra A is a norm-closed Jordan subalgebra D of A containing a Jordan contractive approximate identity such that $xAx \subset D$ for all $x \in D$. In [10] we showed that a subspace D of A is a hereditary subalgebra if and only if D is of form $\{a \in A : a = pap\}$ for a projection $p \in A^{**}$ which is what we called *A-open* in [10] (that is, there exists a net in A with $x_t = px_t p \rightarrow p$ weak* in A^{**}). In [5] it is shown that if in addition A is a Jordan subalgebra of a C^* -algebra B then p is *A-open* if and only if p is open in Akemann’s sense (see e.g. [1]) as a projection in B^{**} . If A is an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra then a projection q in A^{**} is *closed* if $q^\perp = 1 - q$ is open in A^{**} , where 1 is the identity of A^{**} .

By a trivial HSA of A below we mean of course (0) or A . We refer the reader to [10, 5] for the theory of hereditary subalgebras of Jordan operator algebras. In the present section we generalize to the Jordan case the main remaining aspects from

the associative theory of HSA's, and their relation to open and closed projections, from papers such as [2, 7, 9].

Theorem 4.1. (Cf. [7, Theorem 4.1].) *For a unital Jordan operator algebra A , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *A has no nontrivial HSA's (equivalently, A^{**} has no nontrivial open projections).*
- (ii) *$a^n \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$.*
- (iii) *The spectral radius $r(a) < \|a\|$ for all $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$.*
- (iv) *The numerical radius $v(a) < \|a\|$ for all $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$.*
- (v) *$\|1 + a\| < 2$ for all $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$.*
- (vi) *$\text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$ consists entirely of elements x which are quasi-invertible in A .*

If A has a partial cai then the following are equivalent:

- (a) *A has no nontrivial HSA's.*
- (b) *A^1 has one nontrivial HSA.*
- (c) *$\text{Re}(x)$ is strictly positive for every $x \in \mathfrak{F}_A \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. Clearly (ii)–(v) are equivalent by the theorem we are generalizing applied to $\text{oa}(a)$.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi) The HSA's are in bijective correspondence with the open projections in A^{**} , and these are the same thing as suprema of support projections of elements in \mathfrak{F}_A (or equivalently of real positive elements in A by [10, Lemma 3.12 (1)]). Thus, A has no nontrivial HSA's iff $s(x)$ is an identity for A^{**} for all $x \in \mathfrak{F}_A \setminus \{0\}$. Let $B = \text{oa}(1, a)$ for $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \{1\}$. Then $B \subset \overline{(1-a)A(1-a)} = A$, so that $(1-a)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is an approximate identity for B , which must therefore converge to 1. It follows that $B = \text{oa}(1-a)$, and so by the Neumann lemma (approximating 1) we have $1-a$ is invertible in B , hence in A , so that (vi) holds.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i) Conversely $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \{1\}$ quasi-invertible, with quasi-inverse a' , implies that

$$(1-a)(1-a')A(1-a')(1-a) = A \subset (1-a)A(1-a) \subset A,$$

so that $s(1-a) = 1$. Hence (vi) implies that every nonzero element in \mathfrak{F}_A has support projection 1.

(iii) \Rightarrow (vi) If $r(a) < \|a\|$ for all $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$, then a is quasi-invertible, and its quasi-inverse is in $\text{oa}(1, a)$.

(i) \Rightarrow (v) If there exists $a \in \text{Ball}(A) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$ such that $\|1+a\| = 2$, then $\|a\| = 1$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, $\exists \varphi \in \text{Ball}(A^*)$ such that $\varphi(1+a) = 2$, which means that $\varphi(1) = \varphi(a) = 1$. Hence φ is a state and $\varphi(1-a) = 0$. This implies $s(1-a) \neq 1$ by Lemma 3.10 in [10], which contradicts (i) by the relationship between HSA's and $s(x)$ mentioned at the start of the proof.

That (b) implies (a) is obvious. For the converse note that if $e = 1_{A^{**}}$ is the (central) support projection of A then for an open projection p in $(A^1)^{**}$, ep is open by [5, Proposition 6.4]. (We may write the 'non-Jordan expression' ep since e is central; thus if one likes such expressions below may be evaluated in any containing generated C^* -algebra.) Note that $1-e$ is a minimal projection in $(A^1)^{**}$ since $(1-e)(a+\lambda 1) = \lambda(1-e)$ for all $a \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. So if (a) holds then $ep = e$ or $ep = 0$, whence $p = e$ or $p = 1$, or $p = 0$ or $p = 1-e$. The last of these is impossible, since if $x_t = (1-e)x_t \rightarrow 1-e$ with $x_t \in A^1$, then $ex_t = 0$, which implies that x_t

is in the kernel of the character on A^1 that annihilates A . So $x_t \in \mathbb{C}1$, giving the contradiction $1 - e \in \mathbb{C}1$. That (a) is equivalent to (c) directly follows from [10, Lemma 3.11] (which gives $\operatorname{Re}(x)$ is strictly positive iff $s(x) = 1$) and the relationship between HSA's and $s(x)$ mentioned at the start of the proof. This equivalence holds for unital A too. \square

Remark. The last result has similar corollaries as in the associative algebra case. For example one may deduce that an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra with no countable Jordan cai, has nontrivial HSA's. To see this note that if A has no countable partial cai then by [10, Theorem 3.22] there is no element $x \in \mathfrak{r}_A$ with $s(x) = 1_{A^{**}}$. Thus by the previous proof there are nontrivial HSA's in A .

The following are Jordan variants of Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.2 in [7].

Theorem 4.2. *If A is an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra which is a closed two-sided Jordan ideal in an operator algebra B , then \overline{xAx} is a HSA in A for all $x \in \mathfrak{r}_B$.*

Proof. For any $x \in \mathfrak{r}_B$, then by the operator algebra case (see e.g. [8, Theorem 3.1]) we know that $\operatorname{oa}(x)$ has a countable cai (e_n) say. Let $J = \overline{xAx}$, then $yAy \subset J$ for all $y \in J$. Suppose that (f_t) is a cai for A , then $(e_n f_t e_n) \in J$ is a Jordan cai for J by routine techniques. So \overline{xAx} is a HSA in A by [10, Proposition 3.3]. \square

Corollary 4.3. *If A is an approximately unital Jordan operator algebra, and if η is a real positive element in the Jordan multiplier algebra of A (see Definition 2.25 in [10]), then $\overline{\eta A \eta}$ is a HSA in A .*

The following is the Jordan version of [7, Corollary 2.25]:

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be a unital Jordan subalgebra of C^* -algebra B and let $q \in A^{**}$ be a closed projection associated with an HSA D in A . Then an explicit Jordan cai for D is given by $x_{(u,\epsilon)} = 1 - a$, where a is an element which satisfies the conclusions of the noncommutative Urysohn Theorem 9.5 in [5], for an open projection $u \geq q$, and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$. This Jordan cai is indexed by such pairs (u, ϵ) , that is, by the product of the directed set of open projections $u \geq q$, and the set of $\epsilon > 0$. This Jordan cai is also in $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_A$.*

Proof. Certainly $x_{(u,\epsilon)}q = (1 - a)q = q - q = 0$, and similarly $qx_{(u,\epsilon)} = 0$, so that $x_{(u,\epsilon)} \in D$. Also, $\|x_{(u,\epsilon)}\| \leq 1$, indeed $\|1 - 2x_{(u,\epsilon)}\| \leq 1$. The proof in [7, Corollary 2.25] shows that $x_{(u,\epsilon)}b \rightarrow b$ in B for $b \in \operatorname{Ball}(D)$. Similarly $bx_{(u,\epsilon)} \rightarrow b$. So $x_{(u,\epsilon)}b + bx_{(u,\epsilon)} \rightarrow 2b$ in A . Therefore, $(x_{(u,\epsilon)})$ is a Jordan cai for D . \square

Finally we discuss 4.10–4.12 in [9]. As mentioned towards the end of [5] the proof of the first of these results mistakenly states that D is always approximately unital, and this is used in the last lines of the proof and in 4.12. The rest of the proof is correct and generalizes to the Jordan case though (indeed most of this is done explicitly in [5, Theorem 8.7]). Thus if D is approximately unital, then Theorems 4.10 and 4.12 in [9], and their Jordan algebra generalizations are correct. That is, we have:

We recall that an element b in a Jordan operator algebra A commutes with a projection p if $pb = bp$ in some C^* -algebra containing A as a Jordan subalgebra. By (1.1) in [10] this is equivalent to $b \circ p = pbp$.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that A is a Jordan operator algebra, $b \in A$, and p is an open projection in A^{**} commuting with b , such that $\|p^\perp b p^\perp\| \leq 1$ (here $p^\perp = 1 - p$ where 1 is the identity of the unitization of A if A is nonunital). Suppose also that $\|p^\perp(1 - 2b)p^\perp\| \leq 1$. Then there exists $g \in \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{F}_A \subset \text{Ball}(A)$ commuting with p such that $p^\perp g p^\perp = p^\perp b p^\perp$. Indeed such g may be chosen ‘nearly positive’ in the sense of the introduction to [9].*

Proof. As we said above most of this proof is done explicitly in [5, Theorem 8.7]. In the latter paper in the present setting the algebra D in the proof of [9, Theorem 4.10] is written as $\{x \in A \cap C : q \circ x = 0\}$ where $q = 1 - p$. So D equals, by facts mentioned in [5, Theorem 8.7],

$$\{x \in A \cap C : x = p x p\} = A \cap C \cap \tilde{D} = A \cap \tilde{D} = \{x \in A : x = p x p\},$$

the HSA in A with support p . So D is approximately unital. Now the reader familiar with [10, 5] will have no trouble checking the Jordan variant of the last few lines of the proof of [9, Theorem 4.10], using [10, Proposition 3.28] in place of the analogous result used there. (We remark that even in the case that $p = 1 - q \notin A^{**}$ the quotient I/D may be viewed by the centered equation in the proof, as a Jordan subalgebra (even Jordan ideal) of $C/J \subset qC^{**} \subset q(A^1)^{**}q$, hence I/D is a Jordan operator algebra.) \square

Lemma 4.6. (Cf. [9, Lemma 4.11].) *Suppose that A and B are closed Jordan subalgebras of unital Jordan operator algebras C and D respectively, with $1_C \notin A$ and $1_D \notin B$, and $q : A \rightarrow B$ is a 1-quotient map (that is, induces an isometry $A/\ker(q) \rightarrow B$) and Jordan homomorphism such that $\ker(q)$ is approximately unital. Then the unique unital extension of q to a unital map from $A + \mathbb{C}1_C$ to $B + \mathbb{C}1_D$ is a 1-quotient map.*

Proof. Let $J = \ker(q)$, let $\tilde{q} : A/J \rightarrow B$ be the induced isometry, and let $\theta : A + \mathbb{C}1_C \rightarrow B + \mathbb{C}1_D$ be the unique unital extension of q . This gives a one-to-one Jordan homomorphism $\tilde{\theta} : (A + \mathbb{C}1_C)/J \rightarrow B + \mathbb{C}1_D$ which equals \tilde{q} on A/J . If B , and hence A/J , is not unital, then $\tilde{\theta}$ is an isometric Jordan isomorphism by [10, Corollary 2.5]. Similarly, if B is unital, then $\tilde{\theta}$ is an isometric Jordan isomorphism by the uniqueness of the unitization of an already unital Jordan operator algebra. So in either case we may deduce that $\tilde{\theta}$ is an isometric Jordan isomorphism and θ is a 1-quotient map. \square

The following generalizes part of Corollary 3.6.

Theorem 4.7. (A noncommutative Tietze theorem) *Suppose that A is a Jordan operator algebra (not necessarily approximately unital), and that p is an open projection in A^{**} . Set $q = 1 - p \in (A^1)^{**}$. Suppose that $b \in A$ commutes with p , and $\|qbq\| \leq 1$, and that the numerical range of qbq (in $q(A^1)^{**}q$ or $(A^1)^{**}$) is contained in a compact convex set E in the plane. We also suppose, by fattening it slightly if necessary, that E is not a line segment. Then there exists $g \in \text{Ball}(A)$ commuting with p , with $qgq = qbq$, such that the numerical range of g with respect to A^1 is contained in E .*

Proof. Similar remarks as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 apply here; except in addition one must use Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 4.6 in place of the analogous result used in the proof of [9, Theorem 4.12]. Also one uses an obvious Jordan operator algebra

generalization of the direct sum of Banach algebras trick used there (see also the proof of Proposition 3.5 above). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Akemann, *Left ideal structure of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 305-317.
- [2] D. P. Blecher, D. M. Hay, and M. Neal, *Hereditary subalgebras of operator algebras*, J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), 333-357.
- [3] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their modules—an operator space approach*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2004).
- [4] D. P. Blecher and M. Neal, *Open projections in operator algebras II: Compact projections*, Studia Math. **209** (2012), 203–224.
- [5] D. P. Blecher and M. Neal, *Noncommutative topology and Jordan operator algebras*, preprint 2018, to appear Mathematische Nachrichten.
- [6] D. P. Blecher and N. Ozawa, *Real positivity and approximate identities in Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **277** (2015), 1–59.
- [7] D. P. Blecher and C. J. Read, *Operator algebras with contractive approximate identities*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 188–217.
- [8] D. P. Blecher and C. J. Read, *Operator algebras with contractive approximate identities II*, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), 1049–1067.
- [9] D. P. Blecher and C. J. Read, *Order theory and interpolation in operator algebras*, Studia Math. **225** (2014), 61–95.
- [10] D. P. Blecher and Z. Wang, *Jordan operator algebras: basic theory*, Math. Nachrichten **291** (2018), 1629–1654.
- [11] M. Cabrera Garcia and A. Rodriguez Palacios, *Non-associative normed algebras. Vol. 1*, The Vidav-Palmer and Gelfand-Naimark theorems. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 154. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [12] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner, *M -ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1547, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1993.
- [13] G. Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [14] Z. Wang, *Theory of Jordan operator algebras and involutive operator algebras* (tentative title), Ph. D. thesis in preparation, University of Houston (2018).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TX 77204-3008, USA
E-mail address, David P. Blecher: dblecher@math.uh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TX 77204-3008, USA
E-mail address, Zhenhua Wang: zhenwang@math.uh.edu