

Comment on "Fractional topological charges and the lowest Dirac modes"

Derar Altarawneh and Roman Höllwieser

*Department of Applied Physics, Tafila Technical University, Tafila , 66110 , Jordan and
Theoretical Particle Physics, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany*

We comment on a recent article published in Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) no.9, 094513, arXiv:1811.09029, pointing out severe problems in the numerical investigation leading to questionable results and misleading conclusions during their interpretation.

The author of the article under investigation (arXiv:1811.09029) claims to introduce vortex configurations with fractional topological charges and analyzes the behavior of fundamental and adjoint fermions in their background, using both, the overlap and asqtad staggered fermion formulations. We show that the considered vortex configurations contain singularities, *i.e.*, in lattice terms, maximally non-trivial plaquettes, which spoil the admissibility [1] of the gauge field configurations, making them non-suitable for lattice fermion investigations. In an attempt to remove the singularities using simple smearing or cooling, we find that the configurations are meta-stable and vanish or transform into a double instanton configuration, resolving an obvious discrepancy in the fermionic zero modes presented in the article under investigation but ignored by its author.

The original planar vortices parallel to two of the coordinate axes in $SU(2)$ lattice gauge theory were introduced in [2] and analyzed in detail in [3]. Lattice gauge links of a particular space-time direction, *e.g.* x , in a single perpendicular 3D lattice slice (in our example yzt) rotating gradually from 0 to π and on to 2π or back to 0 in a particular subgroup of $SU(2)$, *e.g.* σ_1 , while crossing the 3D slice in one of its directions, *e.g.* y , create two vortex sheets parallel to the remaining two space-time directions (in our example the zt -plane) forming a closed vortex surface due to periodic boundary conditions. The crossing of two perpendicular parallel vortices, *e.g.* xy - and zt vortices, results in four intersection points of topological charge $Q = 0.5$ because of non-trivial contributions from $\vec{E}(zt)$ and $\vec{B}(xy)$ vortex plaquettes to the $\mathcal{F}\vec{F}$ definition of topological charge. The fractional charge contributions add up to integer values 0 or 2 depending on their mutual orientations due to the lattice periodicity.

The idea of rotating the individual vortex sheets in different $SU(2)$ subgroups was actually explored in [4] already, reporting the obvious shortcomings of this approach. The motivation is to remove three of the four topological charge contributions due to the fact that crossings of $\vec{E}(zt)$ and $\vec{B}(xy)$ plaquettes with different $SU(2)$ color directions σ_k and σ_l ($k \neq l$) give no (real) topological charge contribution, being left with a fractional topological charge $Q = 0.5$ configuration from a single vortex intersection as shown in Fig. 2c in [4]. The downside however is that this introduces maximally non-trivial plaquettes $P \approx i\sigma_k i\sigma_l i\sigma_k i\sigma_l = i\sigma_m i\sigma_m = -\mathbb{1}$ ($k \neq l \neq m$) from non-trivial vortex links in different $SU(2)$ subgroups in the vortex sheets. The configura-

tions considered in arXiv:1811.09029 in fact show three maximally non-trivial plaquettes and high action density peaks similar to the ones presented in Fig. 2d in [4]. The $Q = 0.5$ configuration is in fact meta-stable and vanishes after a few cooling or smearing steps as shown in Fig. 1.

Now, adding a so-called colorful plane vortex as introduced in [5], *i.e.*, adding a non-trivial spherical color structure similar to the colorful spherical vortex introduced in [2]) around the non-trivial topological charge contribution, does not resolve the problem. What happens is that the single $Q = 0.5$ contribution flips its sign and the color structure adds another $Q = -1$ contribution resulting in what is denoted the $Q = -1.5$ configuration. However, the singular nature due to the remaining three vortex intersection with artificial $Q = 0$ contributions remains and even smoothing the thin colorful plane vortex only gauge transforms the non-trivial plaquettes to the lattice boundary, showing up as action maxima in the top left plot of Fig. 2. During cooling this configuration interestingly turns into two separate anti-instantons, totaling in topological charge $Q = -2$ and two instanton actions $S = 2S_{inst} = 16\pi^2$ as shown in Fig. 1 with action and topological charge densities presented in Fig. 2 (right column). This seems to explain the two (four) fundamental zero modes of the overlap (staggered) Dirac operator which cause an obvious discrepancy with the index theorem [6] for the supposedly $Q = -1.5$ configuration.

However, the main criticism to be made clear is that neither the $Q = 0.5$, nor the $Q = -1.5$ configurations




FIG. 1. Topological charge Q and action S of the $Q = 0.5$ and $Q = -1.5$ configurations during cooling.

are nearly smooth enough to calculate fermionic eigenmodes. The maximally non-trivial ($P = -1$) plaquettes certainly violate the admissibility condition [1] requiring $1 - P \leq 0.015$. Therefore it is absolutely improper to discuss the numbers of zero modes, let alone interpret the low-lying spectrum of the Dirac operator. Even though the adjoint zero modes seem to give reasonable results, the numbers of fundamental modes for the $Q = -1.5$ configuration are apparently wrong, a fact that is completely ignored in the discussion. Instead there is a lengthy and rather confusing discussion of the low-lying Dirac eigenmodes obtained from these singular configurations, and even some attempt of a scaling study and "the continuum limit of the background configurations".

Because of the singular nature of the considered gauge field configurations we believe that these numerical studies are rather inconclusive, however we can at least agree on the final conclusion, that these configurations play no role for SCSB, nor in the QCD vacuum in the first place, since due to their high action they are highly suppressed in the path integral.

This should not harm the important role of center vortices in the QCD vacuum in general though, proofing crucial for quark confinement and chiral symmetry breaking and we want to stress that the results in [3–5, 7–26] are not affected by the above criticism.




FIG. 2. Action (top) and topological charge (bottom) density maxima of the $Q = 1.5$ configuration at zero (left) and 100 (right) cooling steps in the xz intersection plane resp. parallel boundary plane for the top left plot.

-
- [1] M. Lüscher. Topology of Lattice Gauge Fields. *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 85:39, 1982.
- [2] G. Jordan, R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, U. Heller. Tests of the lattice index theorem. *Phys. Rev. D*, 77:014515, 2008.
- [3] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, U.M. Heller. Intersections of thick Center Vortices, Dirac Eigenmodes and Fractional Topological Charge in $SU(2)$ Lattice Gauge Theory. *JHEP*, 1106:052, 2011.
- [4] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, U.M. Heller. Critical analysis of topological charge determination in the background of center vortices in $SU(2)$ lattice gauge theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:014513, 2012.
- [5] S.M.H. Nejad, M. Faber and R. Höllwieser. Colorful plane vortices and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $SU(2)$ Lattice Gauge Theory. *JHEP*, 10:108, 2015.
- [6] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The Index of elliptic operators. 5. *Annals Math.*, 93:139–149, 1971.
- [7] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, J. Greensite, U.M. Heller, and Š. Olejník. Center Vortices and the Dirac Spectrum. *Phys. Rev. D*, 78:054508, 2008.
- [8] R. Höllwieser. *Center vortices and chiral symmetry breaking*. PhD thesis, Vienna, Tech. U., Atominst., 2009-01-11.
- [9] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, U.M. Heller. Lattice Index Theorem and Fractional Topological Charge. 2010.
- [10] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, and U.M. Heller. Spherical vortices, fractional topological charge and lattice index theorem in $SU(2)$ LGT. *PoS, LATTICE2010:276*, 2010.
- [11] T. Schweigler, R. Höllwieser, M. Faber and U.M. Heller. Colorful $SU(2)$ center vortices in the continuum and on the lattice. *Phys.Rev.*, D87(5):054504, 2013.
- [12] R. Höllwieser, T. Schweigler, M. Faber and U.M. Heller. Center Vortices and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $SU(2)$ Lattice Gauge Theory. *Phys.Rev.*, D88:114505, 2013.
- [13] R. Höllwieser, M. Faber, Th. Schweigler, and U.M. Heller. Chiral Symmetry Breaking from Center Vortices. *PoS, LATTICE2013:505*, 2014.
- [14] R. Höllwieser and M. Engelhardt. Smearing Center Vortices. *PoS, LAT2014:356*, 2014.
- [15] M. Faber and R. Höllwieser. Vortices and Chiral Symmetry Breaking. *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.*, 7(3):457, 2014.
- [16] R. Höllwieser, D. Altarawneh, and M. Engelhardt. Random center vortex lines in continuous 3D space-time. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1701, ConfinementXI:030007, 2014.
- [17] J. Greensite and R. Höllwieser. Double-winding Wilson loops and monopole confinement mechanisms. *Phys. Rev.*, D91(5):054509, 2015.
- [18] R. Höllwieser and J. Greensite. Center Vortex vs. Abelian Models of the QCD Vacuum. 2015.
- [19] R. Höllwieser and M. Engelhardt. Approaching $SU(2)$ gauge dynamics with smeared $Z(2)$ vortices. *Phys. Rev.*, D92:034502, 2015.
- [20] R. Höllwieser and D. Altarawneh. Center Vortices, Area Law and the Catenary Solution. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A30:1550207, 2015.
- [21] D. Altarawneh, R. Höllwieser and M. Engelhardt. Confining Bond Rearrangement in Random Center Vortex Models. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(5):054007, 2016.
- [22] D. Altarawneh, E. Engelhardt and R. Höllwieser. Model of random center vortex lines in continuous 2+1-dim. spacetime. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(11):114506, 2016.
- [23] M. Faber and R. Höllwieser. How center vortices break chiral symmetry. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1701:030005, 2016.
- [24] R. Höllwieser. Center Vortices, Topological Charge and Chiral Symmetry Breaking. *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.*, 10:1001–1007, 2017.
- [25] M. Faber and R. Höllwieser. Chiral symmetry breaking on the lattice. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 97:312–355, 2017.
- [26] R. Golubich and M. Faber. Vortex Model of the QCD-vacuum - Successes and Problems. *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.*, 11:583–588, 2018.