

# Topological Aspects of Matters and Langlands Program

Kazuki Ikeda\*

*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

(Dated: December 3, 2021)

In the framework of Langlands program, we offer a unified description of the integer and fractional quantum Hall effect as well as the fractal nature of energy spectra of 2d Bloch electrons. We categorify topological invariants on the Brillouin Zone and address the several dualities in a coherent manner where analogs of the classical Fourier transform provide an essential crux of the matter. Based on the Langlands philosophy, we elucidate the duality of topological computation and that of Ising models in the same context.

**Introduction:** Duality is ubiquitous in modern physics. It provides a succinct description of key connections among apparently different phenomena and unveil a series of titillating stories. They are often referred to electric/magnetic duality, strong/weak duality or high/low duality. These dualities are closely linked in a "duality web", yet formal modeling has been illusive, thereby it will be natural to seek for an underling theoretical framework. Langlands program [1] is a mathematical coherent conjecture that offers a compelling and captivating story to connect a wide variety of mathematical concepts in terms of duality [2–6]. It is also related to high energy physics [7–9]. We aim at applying it to topological physics, which leads to enhance our knowledge on the "duality web". Topological invariance is a central concept in modern physics. Especially the quantum Hall effect [10–13] has led to a number of both theoretical and experimental studies of the problem. In this article we exploits the Langlands program to offer an unified description of 2d integer and fractional quantum Hall effect (IQHE and FQHE). Consequently it elicits a part of uncanny insights into various cardinal principles of physics and also sheds light on salutary applications of topological physics (e.g. topological quantum computation). Traditionally the IQHE  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h}n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) has been explained by the Kubo formula [14–17] and the Anderson localization model [18, 19]. The FQHE has been explained by the Laughlin theory [20] and composite particle models [21–23].

## Geometric Langlands Correspondence:

Let  $T_{BZ}^2$  be the 2d toric Brillouin Zone. We denote by  $\mathbb{C}_{T_{BZ}^2}^m = T_{BZ}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^m$  the constant sheaf whose fibre is  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . A sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_{BZ}^2}$ -module is called a rank  $m$  local system if every point  $p \in T_{BZ}^2$  has an open neighbor  $U$  on which  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}_{T_{BZ}^2}^m$ . For any  $p \in T_{BZ}^2$ , the category  $\text{Loc}(T_{BZ}^2)$  of local systems and the category  $\text{Rep}(\pi_1(T_{BZ}^2, p))$  of finite dimensional representations of  $\pi_1(T_{BZ}^2, p)$  are equivalent. Such a functor  $\rho$  is given as follows. Let  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2, p)$  be a loop. Parallel translation of sections along  $\gamma$  defines a monodromy representation  $\rho(\mathcal{L}, p) : \pi_1(T_{BZ}^2, p) \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ . A functor defined by the monodromy representation gives an equivalence of categories

$$\rho : \text{Loc}(T_{BZ}^2) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\pi_1(T_{BZ}^2, p)) \quad (1)$$

Moreover the category  $\text{Conn}(T_{BZ}^2)$  of integrable connections on  $T_{BZ}^2$  is equivalent to  $\text{Loc}(T_{BZ}^2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be a rank  $m$  integrable connection on  $T_{BZ}^2$ . For a given  $\mathcal{E}$ , horizontal sections form the subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}^\nabla = \{A \in \mathcal{E} : \nabla A = 0\}$ . Then the functor

$$E : \text{Conn}(T_{BZ}^2) \rightarrow \text{Loc}(T_{BZ}^2) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\nabla$$

yields an equivalence of categories. Let  $G = GL_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Bun}_m(T_{BZ}^2)$  be the moduli stack of rank  $m$  bundles on  $T_{BZ}^2$ . The  $n$ -th Hecke correspondence  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is the moduli space of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', p)$ , where sections of  $\mathcal{M}' \in \text{Bun}_m$  are that of  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Bun}_m$  having a pole of

order  $n$  at  $x$ . Let  $h^\rightarrow(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', p) = \mathcal{M}'$ ,  $h^\leftarrow(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', p) = \mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', p) = p$ . The fiber  $(h^\rightarrow)^{-1}(\mathcal{M}')$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{n,p} = \text{supp}^{-1}(p)$  is isomorphic to the Grassmannian  $Gr(n, m)$ . The geometric Langlands conjecture says that to  $\mathcal{E}$  we can associate a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$  on  $\text{Bun}_m$ , which is a Hecke eigensheaf of a given  $\mathcal{E}$ . For simplicity we consider  $G = GL_1(\mathbb{C})$  or its compactification  $G_c = U(1)$ . Then  $\text{Bun}_1$  is the Picard variety

$$\text{Pic} = \bigsqcup_{d=0} \text{Pic}_d \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Pic}_d = \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic} : d = \int_{T_{BZ}^2} c_1(\mathcal{L}) \right\}.$$

A rank 1 local system  $\text{Loc}_1$  is a pair  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  of a holomorphic line bundle and a flat connection. There is a natural map sending  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_0$ . Laumon [4] and Rothstein [24] established the geometric Langlands correspondence by applying the Fourier-Mukai transformation [25]:  $\text{Loc}_1$  is transferred to  $\text{Pic}_0$ . In this case  $h^{\rightarrow*}(\mathcal{F})$  is the Hecke modification of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ . The operation  $h^\rightarrow : T_{BZ}^2 \times \text{Pic} \rightarrow \text{Pic}$  maps a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $(p, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(p)$ , by which  $c_1(\mathcal{L}') = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + 1$ .

**Integer Quantum Hall Effect:** To continue where we left off [26] we consider the 2d IQHE, which can be explained by holomorphic line bundles on  $T_{BZ}^2$ . We aim at establishing the statement below.

**Claim 1.** Plateaus of the quantum Hall effect are the Hecke eigensheaves and quantized Hall conductance is due to the Hecke translation  $h^\rightarrow$  acting on line bundles.

**Sketch of Proof.** The local system is precisely given by the Berry connection [27] and a representation of  $\pi_1(T_{BZ}^2)$  is given by the Berry phase  $\gamma_n[C] = \oint_C dR \langle n, R | \nabla | n, R \rangle$ , where the state  $|n, R\rangle$  is adiabatically translated along a closed path  $C$ . The plateaus are exactly formed by the wave functions which localize around impurities in the system, called the Anderson localization [18], and such localized wave functions do not

carry Hall conductance. Hence the associated gauge connections are flat, which corresponds to Hecke eigensheaves. On the other hand, the quantization  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h}n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) of Hall conductance is given by the first Chern number  $\int_{T_{BZ}^2} c_1(\mathcal{L})$  of a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ , according to the TKNN formula [17]. Moreover  $\int_{T_{BZ}^2} c_1(\mathcal{L})$  corresponds to the order of a pole (vortex) in  $T_{BZ}^2$  [28]. The operator  $h^\rightarrow$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $c_1(h^\rightarrow(\mathcal{L})) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + 1$ . This is why the Hall conductance experience quantum jump.  $\square$

For a generic Lie group  $G$ , its Langlands dual group  ${}^L G$  is uniquely determined and the geometric Langlands conjecture expects that for a  ${}^L G$ -local system  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $T_{BZ}^2$ , there exists a corresponding Hecke eigensheaf  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$  on  $\text{Bun}_G$ . Note if  $G = GL_m$ , then the dual is isomorphic to  ${}^L G = GL_m$ . The geometric Langlands for  $G = GL_m$  is proved in [2, 6]. The IQHE or type  $A$  topological insulators are generically classified by  $Gr(n, m)$  [29], which is consistent with the classification of the Hecke correspondence.

The tight-binding Hamiltonian of the IQHE in fractional magnetic flux  $\phi = a/b$  can be written by the quantum group  $U_q(sl_2)$ , where  $q = e^{2\pi ia/b}$  with coprime integers  $a, b$  [30]. The strong/weak duality  $(\phi, U_q(sl_2)) \leftrightarrow (1/\phi, U_{L_q}(sl_2))$  can explain the fractal energy spectra, called the Hofstadter problem [31–34]. Here  $U_{L_q}(sl_2)$  is the Langlands dual quantum group. There is a relation  $\nu_L = \phi\nu_B$  between the tight-binding band filling factor  $\nu_B = t\phi + s$  with  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the Landau level filling factor  $\nu_L = t(1/\phi) + s$ . Hence The strong/weak duality is  $(s, t) \leftrightarrow (t, s)$ . The Widom-Str reda formula  $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{e^2}{h} \frac{\partial \nu_B}{\partial \phi}$  gives  $(\phi, \sigma_{xy} = -\frac{e^2}{h}t)$  and  $(1/\phi, \sigma_{xy} = -\frac{e^2}{h}s)$ . More precisely, the strong/weak duality is a duality between momentum space in the flux  $\phi$  to the real space in  $1/\phi$ . In this sense, the duality is also based on a picture of the Fourier transformation.

**Fractional Quantum Hall Effect:** Let  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  ${}^L G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and consider their Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq {}^L \mathfrak{g} \simeq sl_2$ . The geometric Langlands correspondence is related to the WZW

models as follows [35, 36]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^L G\text{-local system} & \leftrightarrow & \text{Hecke eigensheaf on } \text{Bun}_G \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{WZW}_{\hat{k}}(sl_2) & \leftrightarrow & \text{WZW}_k(sl_2) \end{array}$$

The Liouville parameter  $b$  is related to WZW models [37, 38] by

$$\hat{k} + 2 = \frac{1}{k + 2} = b^2. \quad (4)$$

Vafa relates  $b^2$  to  $\nu$  based on M-theory and the  $G$  Chern-Simons theory [39]. In our notation it is  $b^2 = 1/\nu$ . The Chern-Simons theory is symmetric under  $b \rightarrow 1/b$ , which is the modular duality of the Liouville theory [40] and  $S$ -duality of the  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  Chern-Simons theory [41]. Back to the WZW models and consider vertex operators  $V_\alpha(z) = e^{i\alpha\phi(z)}$  of a scalar field  $\phi$  in  $\text{CFT}_2$ . The Langlands duality is often referred to the electric/magnetic (or charge/vortex) duality [7]. Indeed physicists constructed Langlands dual groups of Lie groups in the context of electric/magnetic duality [42]. We rephrase it as the correspondence between the "electric" vertex and "magnetic" vertex [35, 43]:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Electric vertex} \leftrightarrow \text{Magnetic vertex} \\ V_{\alpha_+}(z)\bar{V}_{\alpha_+}(\bar{z}) \leftrightarrow V_{\alpha_-}(z)\bar{V}_{\alpha_-}(\bar{z}) \end{array}$$

If we defined  $\alpha_+ = \sqrt{p/q}$ ,  $\alpha_- = -\sqrt{q/p}$  with coprimes  $p, q$ , the FQHE filling factor  $\nu$  is identified with  $\alpha_+ = 1/\sqrt{\nu}$ . We may write  $\nu = N_e/N_\phi$  as the ratio of electrons  $N_e$  to that of magnetic fluxes  $N_\phi$ . The standard composite particle pictures associate anyon excitation modes with vortexes, which are accompanied with the statistical gauge connections [21, 23, 44]. For example, composite boson fields  $\Phi(z)$   $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  obeying the Schrödinger equation  $H\Phi(z) = E\Phi(z)$  generates a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. Picking up vorticity would be the Hecke transformation. In this way, the charge/vortex duality plays a fundamental role for the FQHE and gives us a clear analogue of the arguments by [7].

Langlands program also sheds light on knot theory. We investigate it in terms of the FQHE. First of all, anyons with charge  $\nu$  carry the fractional Hall conductance  $\sigma_{xy} \propto 1/\nu$  and exchanges of their positions in the 2d system generate the braid group [45]. The KZ-equation [46], which is the differential equation of the vacuum expectation value of primary fields in the WZW model, is the corresponding integrable system. Let  $\text{Conf}_n$  be the configuration space of different  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\text{Conf}_n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_i \neq z_j \ \forall i \neq j\}.$$

Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^3$  be the basis of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $V$  be a representation space of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Define  $\tau_{ij} \in V^{\otimes n}$  by

$$\tau_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^3 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes e_k \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes e_k \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1,$$

where  $e_k$  are inserted into the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th positions. The KZ-equation is a differential equation of  $W : \text{Conf}_n \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$

$$dW = \frac{1}{\kappa} \omega W \quad (5)$$

where  $\kappa$  is a complex parameter and  $\omega = \sum_{i < j} \tau_{ij} d \log(z_i - z_j)$  is a differential one-form on  $\text{Conf}_n$ .  $\kappa$  is related to  $q$ -parameter of  $U_q(sl_2)$  as  $q = e^{2\pi i/\kappa}$ . We can make contact with the WZW model by choosing  $\kappa = k + 2$ . Taking paths  $\gamma(t) = (z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t)) \in \text{Conf}_n$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ , we obtain the braid group  $B_n \simeq \pi_1(\text{Conf}_n/\mathfrak{S}_n)$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is the permutation group of  $n$  positions. Parallel translation of  $W(\gamma(t))$  along  $\gamma(t)$  gives a generic monodromy representation of  $B_n$

$$\rho_{\text{KZ}} : B_n \rightarrow \text{End}(V^n). \quad (6)$$

2d irreducible representations  $T_i = \rho_{\text{KZ}}(\sigma_i)$  of the generators of  $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$  naturally generate the Iwahori-Hecke algebra  $H_n(q)$  with  $q = e^{2\pi i/\kappa}$ . Take an  $n$ -tuple  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  irreducible representations. A set of matrices  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$  of the extended KZ-equation, where  $H_i = \sum_j \rho(\tau_{ij}) d \log(z_i - z_j)$

and  $\rho : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$ , defines the Gaudin model and differential equations  $H\Psi = E\Psi$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) gives a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module [3].

Finally we see how our viewpoints are consistent with several renowned works. It is possible to construct Jones polynomials by the 2d irreducible representation  $\rho_{V_2} : U_q(sl_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_2)$ , which is conjugate to  $\rho_{\text{KZ}}$  [47]. We write  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $G_c = SU(2)$ . Jones polynomials can be obtained by  $G_c$  Chern-Simons action  $\frac{k}{4\pi}CS(\mathcal{A})$  [48]. An analogue of the KZ-equation is obtained by  $G$  Chern-Simons action  $S(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{k+s}{8\pi}SC(\mathcal{A}) + \frac{k-s}{8\pi}SC(\bar{\mathcal{A}})$  [49], where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s$  is either real or pure complex. The Euler-Lagrange equation of the action  $S(\mathcal{A})$  asserts that  $\mathcal{A}$  is flat and thereby the moduli space of flat  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  connections is exactly the symplectic manifold with a family of hyper Kähler structures. Tuning parameters of its complex structures leads to the Langlands duality in the context of Kapustin-Witten [7, 50]. Categorification of the  $(U_q(sl_2), V_2)$  knot invariants (Jones polynomials) is called the Khovanov homology [51] and its Langlands duality is investigated in [50, 52]. The FQHE  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h}\nu$  can be explained by the Chern-Simons theory with  $G$  or  $G_c$ . The  $q$ -parameter of  $U_q(sl_2)$  accommodates  $\nu$  as  $q = e^{2\pi i/\nu}$  and the Langlands duality of  $U_q(sl_2)$  implies flipping  $\nu \rightarrow 1/\nu$ . The statement below summarizes our discussion above.

**Claim 2.** The FQHE duality  $(\nu, \rho_{\text{KZ}}(B_n)) \leftrightarrow (1/\nu, {}^L\rho_{\text{KZ}}(B_n))$  is the Langlands duality of representations of braid groups.

**Discussion and Conclusion** We have investigated the 2d QHE in terms of the Langlands program, including a geometric case, integrable systems and several algebras. The 2d IQHE is a  $U(1)$  gauge theory and topological insulators with general gauge groups exhibits similar phenomena. The monopole or vortex like excitations are ubiquitous phenomena and some of them show intriguing properties. For example, the BKT transition [53–55] involves many vortices while phase transition. Moreover it will be interesting to seek for some relations with a  $K$ -

theoretic classification of topological insulators [29, 56]. Studies on topological matters would endow the Langlands program with suggestions.

As our theory unfolds, the Langlands philosophy becomes assimilated into industrial applications. First of all, braiding with anyon-like excitation modes is a powerful tool for topological quantum computation (TQC). There are two approaches to TQC from condensed matter and quantum information. Non-Abelian anyons of the FQHE are practical candidates to realize the TQC theoretically [57, 58] and experimentally [59–61]. Algorithmic braiding (a CNOT-operation) around artificial defects on surface codes is also significant [62]. Electric/magnetic duality is often referred to the Hadamard operation, which is a quantum version of the Fourier transformation. Once an Hadamard gate acts on a surface code on a graph, it is mapped to a surface code on the dual graph. This is the same as the duality of the 2d Ising model, which is realized by the Fourier transformation of Boltzmann weights assigned to vertexes [63, 64]. Indeed such duality plays a fundamental role in the VDB correspondence, which ensures universal computation using the 2d Ising model [65]. Adiabatic quantum computation [66] or quantum annealing [67] could be such candidates. The duality of the Ising model is an excellent example to understand the Langlands duality, since a partition function  $Z$  is an analogue of a number theoretical  $L$ -function and it exhibits the exact high/low temperature duality  $\frac{Z(K)}{g^{N(K)}} = \frac{Z(K^*)}{g^{N(K^*)}}$ , where  $K = J/T, K^* = -\frac{1}{2}\log(\tanh K)$  and  $g$  is a certain function. The number theoretical Langlands correspondence asserts the equality  $L_H = L_F$  between a Hecke  $L_H$ -function and a Frobenius  $L_F$ -function, whose analogue is defined for local systems in our case.

Duality is universally crucial in physics. As we have discussed, electric/magnetic duality, strong/weak duality, and high/low duality can be explained by the Langlands duality. In this sense, the Langlands program is a grand unified theory of mathematics and physics.

- 
- \* kazuki7131@gmail.com
- [1] R. P. Langlands, in *Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications III*, edited by C. T. Taam (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1970) pp. 18–61.
  - [2] D. Gaitsgory, *Annals of mathematics* **160**, 617 (2004).
  - [3] E. Frenkel, in *Mathematical physics. Proceedings, 11th International Congress, Paris, France, July 18-22, 1994* (1995) arXiv:q-alg/9506003 [q-alg].
  - [4] G. Laumon, in *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Astérisque, Vol. 1999/2000 (Société mathématique de France, 2002) pp. 207–265, talk:873.
  - [5] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, *Invent. Math.* **153**, 197 (2003), arXiv:math/0205236 [math-ag].
  - [6] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, and K. Vilonen, arXiv Mathematics e-prints, math/0012255 (2000), arXiv:math/0012255 [math.AG].
  - [7] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **1**, 1 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0604151 [hep-th].
  - [8] Gukov, Sergei and Witten, Edward, *Current Developments in Mathematics* **2006**, 35 (2008).
  - [9] M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel, and A. Okounkov, *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society* **79**, 1 (2018).
  - [10] T. Ando, Y. Matsumoto, and Y. Uemura, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **39**, 279 (1975), <https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.39.279>.
  - [11] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 5632 (1981).
  - [12] D J Thouless, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **14**, 3475 (1981).
  - [13] K. v. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 494 (1980).
  - [14] R. Kubo, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **12**, 570 (1957), <https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.570>.
  - [15] A. Widom, *Physics Letters A* **90**, 474 (1982).
  - [16] P. Streda, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **15**, L717 (1982).
  - [17] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 405 (1982).
  - [18] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958).
  - [19] A. Pruisken, *Nuclear Physics B* **235**, 277 (1984).
  - [20] Laughlin, R. B., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
  - [21] ZHANG, SHOU CHENG, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **06**, 25 (1992), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979292000037>.
  - [22] J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 199 (1989).
  - [23] N. Read, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 86 (1989).
  - [24] M. Rothstein, *Acta Applicandae Mathematica* **42**, 297 (1996).
  - [25] S. Mukai, *Nagoya Math. J.* **81**, 153 (1981).
  - [26] K. Ikeda, *Annals Phys.* **397**, 136 (2018).
  - [27] M. V. Berry, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392**, 45 (1984).
  - [28] M. Kohmoto, *Annals of Physics* **160**, 343 (1985).
  - [29] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 195125 (2008).
  - [30] P. B. Wiegmann and A. V. Zabrodin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1890 (1994).
  - [31] D. R. Hofstadter, *Phys. Rev. B* **14**, 2239 (1976).
  - [32] M. Koshino and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 195336 (2003).
  - [33] Y. Hatsuda, H. Katsura, and Y. Tachikawa, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 103023 (2016), arXiv:1606.01894 [hep-th].
  - [34] K. Ikeda, *J. Math. Phys.* **59**, 061704 (2018), arXiv:1708.00436 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
  - [35] Frenkel, Edward, in *Proceedings, Les Houches School of Physics: Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry II: On Conformal Field Theories, Discrete Groups and Renormalization: Les Houches, France, March 9-21, 2003* (2007) pp. 387–533.
  - [36] J. Teschner, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **15**, 471 (2011), 1005.2846.
  - [37] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Physics Letters B* **37**, 95 (1971).
  - [38] E. Witten, *Nuclear Physics B* **223**, 422 (1983).
  - [39] C. Vafa, (2015), arXiv:1511.03372 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
  - [40] L. D. Faddeev, R. M. Kashaev, and A. Y. Volkov, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **219**, 199 (2001).
  - [41] Y. Terashima and M. Yamazaki, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011**, 135 (2011).
  - [42] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **41**, 1357 (1978).
  - [43] E. Frenkel, in *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Astérisque No. 276 (Société mathématique de France, 2002) pp. 299–339, talk:875.

- [44] R. RAJARAMAN and S. L. SONDHI, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **10**, 793 (1996), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979296000337>.
- [45] G. Moore and N. Read, *Nuclear Physics B* **360**, 362 (1991).
- [46] V. Knizhnik and A. Zamolodchikov, *Nuclear Physics B* **247**, 83 (1984).
- [47] T. Kohno, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* **37**, 139 (1987).
- [48] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**, 351 (1989).
- [49] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137**, 29 (1991).
- [50] E. Witten, (2016), [arXiv:1603.03854](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03854) [math.GT].
- [51] M. Khovanov, *Duke Math. J.* **101**, 359 (2000).
- [52] E. Witten, (2011), [arXiv:1108.3103](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3103) [math.GT].
- [53] J M Kosterlitz and D J Thouless, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **6**, 1181 (1973).
- [54] D. J. Bishop and J. D. Reppy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40**, 1727 (1978).
- [55] D. J. Resnick, J. C. Garland, J. T. Boyd, S. Shoemaker, and R. S. Newrock, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1542 (1981).
- [56] K. Shiozaki and M. Sato, *Physical Review B* **90**, 165114 (2014).
- [57] M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M. Larsen, and Z. Wang, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **40**, 31 (2003).
- [58] D. Aharonov, V. Jones, and Z. Landau, *Algorithmica* **55**, 395 (2009).
- [59] Y. Kasahara, T. Ohnishi, Y. Mizukami, O. Tanaka, S. Ma, K. Sugii, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Nature* **559**, 227 (2018).
- [60] Q. L. He, L. Pan, A. L. Stern, E. C. Burks, X. Che, G. Yin, J. Wang, B. Lian, Q. Zhou, E. S. Choi, K. Murata, X. Kou, Z. Chen, T. Nie, Q. Shao, Y. Fan, S.-C. Zhang, K. Liu, J. Xia, and K. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **357**, 294 (2017).
- [61] D. Takane, S. Souma, K. Nakayama, T. Nakamura, H. Oinuma, K. Hori, K. Horiba, H. Kumigashira, N. Kimura, T. Takahashi, and T. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 041105 (2018).
- [62] A. Kitaev, *Annals of Physics* **303**, 2 (2003).
- [63] H. A. Kramers and G. H. Wannier, *Phys. Rev.* **60**, 252 (1941).
- [64] F. Y. Wu and Y. K. Wang, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **17**, 439 (1976).
- [65] M. Van den Nest, W. Dür, and H. J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 110501 (2008).
- [66] E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, S. Gutmann, and M. Sipser, *ArXiv e-prints*, [quant-ph/0001106](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0001106) (2000), [arXiv:quant-ph/0001106](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0001106) [quant-ph].
- [67] T. Kadowaki and H. Nishimori, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 5355 (1998).