

Rate of convergence in the large diffusion limit for the heat equation with a dynamical boundary condition

Marek Fila

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Comenius University
84248 Bratislava, Slovakia
e-mail: fila@fmph.uniba.sk

Kazuhiro Ishige

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
e-mail: ishige@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Tatsuki Kawakami

Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Ryukoku University
Seta Otsu 520-2194, Japan
e-mail: kawakami@math.ryukoku.ac.jp

Johannes Lankeit

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Comenius University
84248 Bratislava, Slovakia
e-mail: jlankeit@math.upb.de

We study the heat equation on a half-space or on an exterior domain with a linear dynamical boundary condition. Our main aim is to establish the rate of convergence to solutions of the Laplace equation with the same dynamical boundary condition as the diffusion coefficient tends to infinity.

Keywords: heat equation, dynamical boundary condition, large diffusion limit

MSC (2010): 35K05, 35B40

1 Introduction

We consider the heat equation with a dynamical boundary condition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon \partial_t u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \partial_t u_\varepsilon + \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 u_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) &= \varphi && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 u_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) &= \varphi_b && \text{on } \partial\Omega
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and the connection with its elliptic counterpart,

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Delta u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \partial_t u + \partial_\nu u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 u(\cdot, 0) &= \varphi_b && \text{on } \partial\Omega.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here, $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

The expected relation is, of course, that solutions of (1) converge to those of (2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, for the case of the half-space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N := \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $N \geq 2$, this has been proven recently in [1]. This means, in particular, that the influence of the initial function φ is lost in the limit. In the present paper we are interested in a detailed description of the loss of influence of φ . More precisely, we investigate the rate of convergence of solutions of (1) to solutions of (2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We will deal with the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $N \geq 2$, and the radially symmetric setting for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$, $B_1(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < 1\}$. It turns out that the rate of convergence is of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ in both cases.

For bounded domains Ω , results on convergence as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ were established in [2] by a method that is completely different from the one used in [1] and in this paper. The rate of convergence was not studied in [2].

Various aspects of analysis of parabolic equations with dynamical boundary conditions have been treated by many authors, for existence, uniqueness and regularity see for example [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], for blow-up of solutions [7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], for asymptotic behaviour of solutions [2, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], and for the mean curvature flow with dynamical boundary conditions see [21, 22]. Some of similar issues for elliptic equations with dynamical boundary conditions were considered in [11, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37], for instance.

Given $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, by $S_1(t)\phi := \theta(t)$ we denote the bounded solution of

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \theta &= \Delta \theta && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \theta &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 \theta(\cdot, 0) &= \phi && \text{in } \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, we want to have that $S_2(t)\psi := p(t)$, where p solves

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Delta p &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \\
 \partial_t p + \partial_\nu p &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 p(\cdot, 0) &= \psi && \text{in } \partial\Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence define

$$[S_2(t)\psi](x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (x_N + t)^{1-N} \left(1 + \frac{|x' - y'|^2}{(x_N + t)^2}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \psi(y') dy',$$

for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and

$$[S_2(t)\psi](r) = \frac{\psi}{r e^t}, \quad r > 1, t \geq 0.$$

for radially symmetric $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ (i.e. $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$) in case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$.

We furthermore introduce

$$F_1[\varphi_b](x, t) := \partial_t [S_2(t)\varphi_b](x), \quad \varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega), \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0,$$

and

$$F_2[v](x, t) := - [S_2(0)\partial_\nu v|_{\partial\Omega}(\cdot, t)](x) - \int_0^t \partial_t [S_2(t-s)\partial_\nu v|_{\partial\Omega}(\cdot, s)](x) ds$$

for $x \in \Omega$, $t > 0$ and functions $v: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that have a normal derivative $\partial_\nu v \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ on $\partial\Omega$.

If

$$w_\varepsilon(x, t) = [S_2(t)\varphi_b](x) - \int_0^t [S_2(t-s)\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(s)](x) ds, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\partial_t w_\varepsilon = F_1[\varphi_b] + F_2[v_\varepsilon]$$

and

$$\Delta w_\varepsilon = 0, \quad [\partial_t w_\varepsilon + \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon]|_{\partial\Omega} = [-\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon]|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad w_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi_b. \quad (4)$$

If

$$v_\varepsilon(x, t) := [S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi](x) - \int_0^t [S_1((t-s)/\varepsilon)\partial_t w_\varepsilon(s)](x) ds, \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi = \varphi - S_2(0)\varphi_b$, then v_ε solves

$$\varepsilon \partial_t v_\varepsilon = \Delta v_\varepsilon - \varepsilon \partial_t w_\varepsilon = \Delta v_\varepsilon - \varepsilon F_1[\varphi_b] - \varepsilon F_2[v_\varepsilon], \quad v_\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad v_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) = \Phi, \quad (6)$$

so that $u_\varepsilon := v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$ is a classical solution of (1).

Definition 1. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Let $T \in (0, \infty]$ and

$$v_\varepsilon, \partial_\nu v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)).$$

We call $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ a solution of (6), (4) – and $u_\varepsilon := v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$ a solution of (1) – in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ if (5) and (3) are satisfied. The solutions are called global-in-time solutions if $T = \infty$.

Note: Here ν is to be understood as (smooth) vector field defined on all of $\overline{\Omega}$, which on $\partial\Omega$ coincides with the outer unit normal. In the context of the cases treated in this article, of course, $\partial_\nu = -\partial_r$ for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ and $\partial_\nu = -\partial_{x_N}$ for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$.

The following was shown in [1, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1]:

Theorem 2. *Let $N \geq 2$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$; let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Then problem (6), (4) has a unique global-in-time solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \left(\|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) < \infty \quad (7)$$

for any $T > 0$. Furthermore, v_ε and w_ε are bounded and smooth in $\overline{\Omega} \times I$ for any bounded interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$. Moreover, for every $T > 0$ there is $C(T) > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and φ, φ_b as above we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < T} \left(\|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \leq C(T) \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Finally, $u_\varepsilon := v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$ is a classical solution of (1) and for every compact set K in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$ it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(K)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

In this paper we establish an analogous result for radially symmetric solutions when the domain Ω is the exterior of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Theorem 3. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/\sqrt{\pi})$ and let the functions $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ be radially symmetric.*

a) *Then problem (6), (4) has a unique radially symmetric global-in-time solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ satisfying (7) and $u_\varepsilon := v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$ is a classical solution of (1).*

b) *Furthermore, if*

$$\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| < \infty, \quad (10)$$

and $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, for every $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1/\sqrt{\pi})$ and $T > 0$ there is $C(T) > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and φ, φ_b as above the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < T} \left(\|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \leq C(T) \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} + \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The role of assumption (10) is explained in Section 7.

Our remaining results are concerned with the question what the optimal rate of convergence in (9) and (11) is.

Theorem 4. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $N \geq 2$. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi_b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$ compact and $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Theorem 5. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be radially symmetric and such that (10) holds. Assume further that φ_b is constant and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1/\sqrt{\pi})$, $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

The upper bounds from Theorems 4 and 5 are sharp.

Theorem 6. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $N \geq 2$ and $\varphi_b \equiv 0$. There is $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that for every $x_0 > 0$ there is $\tau_2 > 0$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (x_0, \infty) \times (0, \tau_2)$ there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) - [S_2(t)\varphi_b](x) = u_\varepsilon(x, t) \geq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and every $(x, t) \in K$.

Theorem 7. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ and $\varphi_b \equiv 0$. Then there is $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that for every $r > 1$ there is $\tau_2 > 0$ such that for every compact set $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r(0)) \times (0, \tau_2)$ there are $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) - [S_2(t)\varphi_b](x) = u_\varepsilon(x, t) \geq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and every $(x, t) \in K$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notation, in Section 3 we recall some estimates in the case of the half-space, and in Section 4 we derive analogous estimates for the exterior domain. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 3 and in Section 6 Theorems 4 and 5. Section 7 is devoted to a remark on the long-time behaviour of solutions of the heat equation on the exterior domain and Sections 8 and 9 to the proofs of Theorems 6 and 7, respectively.

2 Preparation: Introducing further notation. The space X_T .

With the abbreviations

$$D_\varepsilon[\psi](x, t) := \int_0^t [S_1((t-s)/\varepsilon) F_1[\psi](\cdot, s)](x) ds, \quad \psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega),$$

and

$$\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[\psi](x, t) = \int_0^t [S_1((t-s)/\varepsilon) F_2[\psi](\cdot, s)](x) ds, \quad \psi \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (13)$$

we introduce

$$Q_\varepsilon[v](x, t) := [S_1(t/\varepsilon) \Phi](x) - D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](x, t) - \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](x, t).$$

The proofs will be based on a fixed point argument for Q_ε in the space X_T , which we define as

$$X_T := \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)) \mid \partial_{x_N} v \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)), \quad \|v\|_{X_T} < \infty\}$$

if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, and as

$$X_T := \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)) \mid v \text{ radially symmetric, } \partial_\nu v \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)), \quad \|v\|_{X_T} < \infty\}$$

for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. In both cases, we equip it with the norm

$$\|v\|_{X_T} := \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_\varepsilon[v](t), \quad (14)$$

where

$$E_\varepsilon[v](t) := \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_\nu v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}, \quad (15)$$

and observe that it thereby becomes a Banach space.

3 Estimates: Recalling the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$

In this section we recall the necessary estimates from [1] for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$. They have been used in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1] and will be essential for our proof of Theorems 4 and 6. We will take care of the corresponding results for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ – and their proofs – in the next section.

Lemma 8. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Then for every $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,*

$$\sup_{t>0} \|S_1(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_N}[S_1(t)\phi]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, for every $L > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for every $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (0, L)$ and $t > 0$,

$$|[S_1(t)\phi](x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad (18)$$

Proof. [1, (2.1) and (2.2) and proof of (2.3), respectively]. \square

Lemma 9. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Then for every $t \geq 0$

$$\|S_2(t)\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Proof. [1, (2.8)]. □

Lemma 10. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$. There is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|D_\varepsilon[\psi](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \quad (19)$$

for all $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$.

There is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_{x_N} D_\varepsilon[\psi](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \quad (20)$$

for all $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$.

For every $L > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$D_\varepsilon[\psi](x, t) \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \sqrt{\varepsilon} (t^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (21)$$

for every $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (0, L)$.

Proof. [1, (2.10), Lemma 2.4 and proof of (2.11), respectively] □

Lemma 11. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for every $T > 0$, $v \in X_T$, $x \in \Omega$, $t \in (0, T)$

$$|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](x, t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{X_T} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t) \quad (22)$$

and

$$|\partial_{x_N} \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](x, t)| \leq C\sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|v\|_{X_T} \left((\varepsilon t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\varepsilon t)^{\frac{7}{8}} + (\varepsilon t)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right).$$

Proof. [1, proof of (3.9) and of (3.10), respectively] □

4 Estimates: $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$

The assertions of the lemmata in this section parallel those in the previous section. Before we begin dealing with their statements and proofs, let us first bring some of the quantities that have been defined in the introduction in the explicit form in which the radially symmetric 3-dimensional setting allows us to express them.

If ψ is a radially symmetric function on $\partial B_1(0)$, we can interpret it as a real number and write

$$[S_2(t)\psi](r) = \frac{\psi}{re^t}, \quad r > 1, t \geq 0.$$

This means that also

$$F_1[\varphi_b](r, t) = -\frac{\varphi_b}{re^t},$$

$$F_2[v](r, t) = \frac{v_r(1, t)}{r} + \int_0^t \frac{v_r(1, s)}{r e^{t-s}} ds,$$

and

$$\Phi(r) = \varphi(r) - \frac{\varphi_b}{r}.$$

Also S_1 can be written explicitly:

$$[S_1(t)\varphi](r) = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) d\rho, \quad r > 1, t > 0.$$

This representation is, of course, based on the fact that for every radially symmetric solution u of the heat equation in \mathbb{R}^3 , the function $(r, t) \mapsto ru(r, t)$ solves the one-dimensional heat equation.

Lemma 12. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. Then for every radially symmetric $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$*

$$\sup_{t>0} \|S_1(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (23)$$

If, moreover, $\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| \leq K$ for $K > 0$, then

$$|[S_1(t)\varphi](r)| \leq \frac{(r-1)K}{r\sqrt{\pi t}} \quad \text{for every } r > 1, t > 0, \quad (24)$$

and

$$|\partial_r [S_1(t)\varphi](r)| \leq \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \quad \text{for every } r > 1, t > 0. \quad (25)$$

Proof. We obtain (23) from explicit computations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |[S_1(t)\varphi](r)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) d\rho \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho d\rho \\ &= \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_{\frac{1-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty (2\sqrt{t}z + r)e^{-z^2} dz - \int_{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty (2\sqrt{t}z + 2 - r)e^{-z^2} dz \right) \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{t}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\frac{1-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}} ze^{-z^2} dz + \frac{r\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{1-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{(r-2)\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz \right) \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad r \geq 1, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we not only control $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, but even $\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|$, we can proceed slightly differently:

$$\begin{aligned}
|[S_1(t)\varphi](r)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) \, d\rho \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \, d\rho \\
&= \frac{\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_{\frac{1-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} \, dz - \int_{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} \, dz \right) \\
&= \frac{2 \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}} e^{-z^2} \, dz \leq \frac{(r-1) \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r\sqrt{\pi t}} \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for every $r > 1, t > 0$ and (24) follows.

For the estimate of the radial derivative let us first observe that for every $t > 0$ and $r \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r [S_1(t)\varphi](r) &= -\frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) \, d\rho \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(-\frac{r-\rho}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} + \frac{r+\rho-2}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) \, d\rho \quad (27) \\
&= -\frac{1}{r} [S_1(t)\varphi](r) + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_1^\infty \left(-\frac{r-\rho}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} + \frac{r+\rho-2}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) \, d\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that here for every $t > 0$ and $r \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_1^\infty \left(-\frac{r-\rho}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} + \frac{r+\rho-2}{2t} e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} \right) \rho\varphi(\rho) \, d\rho \right| \\
&\leq \left(2 \int_{\frac{1-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty |z| e^{-z^2} \, dz + 2 \int_{\frac{r-1}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty z e^{-z^2} \, dz \right) \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z| e^{-z^2} \, dz \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| = 2 \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, inserting (26) and (28) into (27), we arrive at

$$|\partial_r [S_1(t)\varphi](r)| \leq \frac{(r-1) \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r^2\sqrt{\pi t}} + \frac{2 \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)|$$

for every $r \geq 1, t > 0$. \square

Lemma 13. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ and let $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ be radially symmetric (constant). Then for every $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|S_2(t)\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Proof. This is obvious from the explicit form of $S_2(t)\psi = \frac{\psi}{re^t}$, $r \geq 1, t \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 14. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for every $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $t > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|D_\varepsilon[\psi](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon t}|\psi| \quad (29)$$

and

$$\|\partial_r D_\varepsilon[\psi](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon t}|\psi|. \quad (30)$$

Proof. We use the explicit representation for $D_\varepsilon[\psi]$ to see that for every $r > 1$, $t > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\varepsilon[\psi](r, t) &= - \int_0^t S_1((t-s)/\varepsilon) \frac{\psi}{r e^s} ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \frac{1}{r \sqrt{4\pi \frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \right) \rho \frac{\psi}{\rho e^s} d\rho ds \\ &= - \frac{\psi}{r} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int_1^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \right) d\rho ds \\ &= - \frac{\psi}{r} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\frac{1-r}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz - \int_{\frac{1+r-2}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz \right) \\ &= - \frac{2\psi}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-s} \int_0^{\frac{(r-1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{t-s}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the elementary estimates $\int_0^L e^{-z^2} dz \leq L$ for every $L > 0$ and $\int_0^t e^{-s}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq 2\sqrt{t}$ for every $t > 0$, this implies (29).

Concerning the radial derivative, for every $r > 1$, $t > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\partial_r D_\varepsilon[\psi](r, t) = \frac{2\psi}{r^2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-s} \int_0^{\frac{(r-1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{t-s}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds - \frac{2\psi}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-s} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds.$$

Here, due to $\frac{1}{r} \leq 1$, the first term can be estimated by (29); the second obeys

$$\left| -\frac{2\psi}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-s} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds \right| \leq \frac{|\psi|\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-s}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \frac{2|\psi|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sqrt{t}}{r\sqrt{\pi}}$$

for every $t > 0$, $r > 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$. □

Lemma 15. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for every $T > 0$, $t \in (0, T)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and every radial function $v: \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial_\nu v|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$,

$$\|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon\pi}(1+t) \sup_{\tau \in (0, T)} |\sqrt{\tau}\partial_r v(1, \tau)| \quad (31)$$

and

$$\|\partial_r \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq (1 + 2\sqrt{t} + 2t)\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi} \sup_{\tau \in (0, T)} |\sqrt{\tau}\partial_r v(1, \tau)| \quad (32)$$

Proof. If we insert the explicit definitions of S_1 and S_2 into (13), we see that for every $r \geq 1, t > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](r, t) &= \int_0^t \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \right) \rho \frac{\partial_r v(1, s)}{\rho} d\rho ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_1^\infty \int_0^s \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \left(e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} - e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} \right) \rho \frac{\partial_r v(1, \sigma)}{\rho e^{\sigma-s}} d\sigma d\rho ds \\
&= \frac{2}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \partial_r v(1, s) \int_0^{\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\sigma-s} \partial_r v(1, \sigma) d\sigma \int_0^{\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](r, t)| &\leq \frac{2}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{r-1}{\sqrt{s}\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} ds \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)| \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{e^{\sigma-s}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \frac{r-1}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}} ds \sup_{\sigma \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{\sigma} \partial_r v(1, \sigma)| \quad (33) \\
&= \frac{(r-1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}\sqrt{t-s}} ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{e^{\sigma-s}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \right) \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)|
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$\int_0^t s^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_0^1 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\sigma = \pi \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad (34)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{e^{\sigma-s}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{2\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds = 2t \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} d\sigma = \pi t \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad (35)$$

we see that (33) proves (31).

As to the radial derivative, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](r, t) &= -\frac{2}{r^2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \partial_r v(1, s) \int_0^{\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \partial_r v(1, s) \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{r^2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\sigma-s} \partial_r v(1, \sigma) d\sigma \int_0^{\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{4\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\sigma-s} \partial_r v(1, \sigma) d\sigma \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds \quad \text{for } r \geq 1, t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we can simplify two integrals according to

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2}{r^2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \partial_r v(1, s) \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{4(t-s)}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds \\
& + \frac{2}{r^2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\sigma-s} \partial_r v(1, \sigma) d\sigma \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{4(t-s)}}} e^{-z^2} dz ds = -\frac{1}{r} \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](r, t), \quad r \geq 1, t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The next one can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \partial_r v(1, s) \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)| \int_0^t s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
& \leq \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi t}}{r} \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)|, \quad r \geq 1, t > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

according to (34), and for the last we again rely on (35) to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\sigma-s} \partial_r v(1, \sigma) d\sigma \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{(r-1)^2\varepsilon}{4(t-s)}} ds \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \sup_{\sigma \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{\sigma} \partial_r v(1, \sigma)| \int_0^s \frac{e^{\sigma-s}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
& \leq \frac{t\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi}}{r} \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)| \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1, t > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

If we finally combine (31), (36) and (37), we can readily conclude (32). \square

Lemma 16. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ and $T > 0$. Then for every $v \in X_T$,*

$$\|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v]\|_{X_T} \leq (\varepsilon(1+T) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{T} + 2T + 2T\sqrt{T}))\sqrt{\pi}\|v\|_{X_T}. \tag{38}$$

Proof. If we insert (31) and (32) into (14), we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v]\|_{X_T} &= \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left(\|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_r \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left(\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi}(1+t) + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon}(1+2\sqrt{t}+2t)\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi} \right) \sup_{s \in (0, t)} |\sqrt{s} \partial_r v(1, s)| \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon\pi}(1+t) + (\sqrt{t} + 2t + 2t\sqrt{t})\sqrt{\pi} \right) \sqrt{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{X_T} \\
&\leq (\varepsilon(1+T) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{T} + 2T + 2T\sqrt{T}))\sqrt{\pi}\|v\|_{X_T} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

5 Existence. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. **a)** The explicit representation of $S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi$, $D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b]$ and $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v]$ and their derivatives show that (for each ε , T , radially symmetric $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_b \in$

$L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ Q_ε maps X_T into X_T .

Moreover, Q_ε is a contraction: Let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1/\sqrt{\pi})$, let $T_* > 0$ be so small that $(\varepsilon_0(1 + T_*) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}(\sqrt{T_*} + 2T_* + 2T_*\sqrt{T_*}))\sqrt{\pi} =: q < 1$. Then, according to Lemma 16, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, every $T \in (0, T_*)$, and every $v_1, v_2 \in X_T$,

$$\|Q_\varepsilon[v_1] - Q_\varepsilon[v_2]\|_{X_T} = \|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_1 - v_2]\|_{X_T} \leq q\|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_T}.$$

Banach's fixed point theorem hence yields a unique solution v_ε in X_T (for $T \in (0, T_*)$), and w_ε is defined according to (3). Since T_* was independent of the initial data, successive application of the same reasoning finally provides a global-in-time solution.

b) For the second part of the theorem – and, in particular, for more quantitative and ε -independent information, which by way of Lemma 16 will rely on a uniform bound for $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_T}$ – the mere existence result obtained above is insufficient.

We hence restrict the class of admissible initial data and will attempt to apply the fixed point theorem not on X_T , but on a bounded subset thereof.

Let ε_0 , T_* and q be as before. Let

$$M > \frac{1}{1-q} \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} + 2C\right) |\varphi_b| + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| \right),$$

where C is the constant in Lemma 14.

If we can show that for every $T \in (0, \min\{1, T_*\})$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, Q_ε maps the set

$$B_M := \{v \in X_T \mid \|v\|_{X_T} \leq M\}$$

into itself, Banach's fixed point theorem does not only prove existence of a solution v_ε , but also shows

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_T} \leq M,$$

which finally proves (12).

We therefore turn our attention to the proof of $Q_\varepsilon(B_M) \subset B_M$: Due to (15), (23) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon[S_1(\cdot/\varepsilon)\Phi](t) &= \|S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_r S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\varepsilon/t} \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\Phi(\rho)| \quad \text{for every } t > 0, \varepsilon > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\Phi(\rho)| = \sup_{\rho \geq 1} \left| \rho\varphi(\rho) - \rho \frac{\varphi_b(\rho)}{\rho} \right| \leq \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| + |\varphi_b|$$

and

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sup_{\rho \geq 1} \left| \frac{\varphi_b}{\rho} \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + |\varphi_b|.$$

If we furthermore insert (29) and (30) into (14), we see that with C from Lemma 14 for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon[D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b]](t) &= \|D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} \|\partial_r D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon t}|\varphi_b| + \sqrt{t/\varepsilon} C\sqrt{\varepsilon t}|\varphi_b| \leq C(\sqrt{\varepsilon t} + t)|\varphi_b| \leq 2C|\varphi_b|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the choice of q and Lemma 16 ensure

$$\|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v]\|_{X_T} \leq q\|v\|_{X_T}.$$

In conclusion, for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $T \in (0, \min\{1, T_*\})$ and every $v \in X_T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_\varepsilon v\|_{X_T} &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + |\varphi_b| + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| + |\varphi_b| + 2C|\varphi_b| + q\|v\|_{X_T} \\ &\leq (1-q)M + q\|v\|_{X_T}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|Q_\varepsilon v\|_{X_T} \leq M$ whenever $\|v\|_{X_T} \leq M$.

We postpone the proof of (11), seeing that it is a corollary of Theorem 5. \square

Remark 17. *The proof of the existence result in Theorem 2 in [1] proceeds analogously. In place of (23) and (25), (29), (30) and Lemma 16, one has to use (16), (17), (19), (20) and Lemma 11.*

6 Upper bounds. Proofs of Theorems 4 and 5.

The estimates we have given in Sections 3 and 4 mainly deal with v_ε . In preparation of the proofs of the estimates of $u_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$ let us state the following lemma, which for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ also was part of [1, proof of Theorem 1.1 (c)]:

Lemma 18. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $N \geq 2$, or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$, and let $t > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for every $v_\varepsilon \in X_t$ and w_ε as in (3) we have*

$$\|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon t}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t}. \quad (39)$$

Proof. According to (3), Lemma 9 (for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$) or Lemma 13 (for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$) and (14), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &= \left\| \int_0^t S_2(t-s)\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)\Big|_{\partial\Omega} ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\nu v_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} ds \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon t}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4. We let $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be compact. Then by the definition of u_ε (cf. (2) and Definition 1, or proof of Theorem 3 and Remark 17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(K)} &= \|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) + w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(K)} \\ &\leq \|v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(K)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(K)} \\ &\leq \|S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(K)} + \|D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \\ &\quad + \|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

for every $t > 0$. Here we can apply (18), (21), (22) and (39) so as to obtain $C_1 = C_1(K) > 0$, $C_2 = C_2(K) > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(K)} + \|D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(K)} + \|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ & + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_1\sqrt{\varepsilon}t\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C_2\|\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}\sqrt{\varepsilon}(t^{\frac{1}{4}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ & + C_3\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{X_t}(t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t) + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}t\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t} \end{aligned}$$

for every $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If we take the supremum over $t \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and use boundedness of $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_{\tau_2}}$ according to (7), we thereby have proven Theorem 4. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Similarly to (40), the construction of u_ε in the proof of Theorem 3 (or directly Definition 1) allows us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} & \leq \|S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ & + \|\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v](\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $t > 0$. If we abbreviate $K := \sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\Phi(\rho)|$ and employ (24), (29), (38), (39), we obtain $C > 0$ such that the last inequality turns into

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - S_2(t)\varphi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}t|\varphi_b| + \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1+t) + \sqrt{t} + 2t + 2t\sqrt{t})\sqrt{\pi}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}t\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t} \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $t > 0$. Again, taking the supremum over $t \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and using the boundedness of $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_{\tau_2}}$ according to (12), we can conclude Theorem 5. \square

7 A remark on condition (10). Long-time behaviour of the heat equation on the exterior of the ball.

Remark 19. *The main effect of the difference in geometry between $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ seems to lie in the additional condition $\sup_{\rho \geq 1} |\rho\varphi(\rho)| < \infty$ to be posed on the initial data for the convergence result in the case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$. In order to understand why this is not too unnatural, let us consider the solution of the heat equation emanating from initial data $\varphi = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_b(0)}$.*

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_b(0)}(r) & = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(\int_b^\infty \rho e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t}} d\rho - \int_b^\infty \rho e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t}} d\rho \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(\int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty (2\sqrt{t}z + r)e^{-z^2} dz - \int_{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty (2\sqrt{t}z + 2 - r)e^{-z^2} 2\sqrt{t} dz \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \left(2\sqrt{t} \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t}}} ze^{-z^2} dz + r \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz + (r-2) \int_{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz \right) \\ & = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \left(e^{-\frac{(b-r)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(b+r-2)^2}{4t}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz + \frac{(r-2)}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t}}}^\infty e^{-z^2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$S_1(t)\varphi \rightarrow 0 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r-2}{2r} = 1 - \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, $S_1(t/\varepsilon)\varphi \not\rightarrow 0$ as $t/\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$.

8 Lower estimates in the halfspace: Proof of Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. In order to show optimality of the rate $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we strive to find a lower estimate with the same rate, for one concrete example of initial data: We set $\varphi_b := 0$ and $\varphi := \chi_{\{x_N > b\}}$ for some $b > 0$. Then, apparently, $\Phi = \varphi - S_2(0)\varphi_b = \varphi$.

Due to v_ε being a fixed point of Q_ε (cf. Definition 1 and (2) or the construction in the proof of Theorem 3 and Remark 17), we have

$$v_\varepsilon(x, t) = Q_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t) = S_1(t/\varepsilon)\varphi(x) - 0 - \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t) \geq S_1(t/\varepsilon)\varphi(x) - |\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t)|.$$

Letting $y_* := (y', -y_N)$ for $y = (y', y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, we can write the first of these terms explicitly, cf. [1, (1.3) and (1.4)]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t/\varepsilon)\Phi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} (4\pi t/\varepsilon)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{|x-y_*|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} \right) \varphi(y', y_N) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} (4\pi t/\varepsilon)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x'-y'|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{|x_N-y_N|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{|x'-y'|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{|x_N+y_N|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} \right) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (4\pi t/\varepsilon)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x'-y'|^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} dy' \int_b^\infty (4\pi t/\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{(x_N-y_N)^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{(x_N+y_N)^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} \right) dy_N \\ &= \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b-x_N)}^\infty (4\pi t/\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z^2} (4t/\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz - \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b+x_N)}^\infty \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z^2} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b-x_N)}^{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b+x_N)} e^{-z^2} dz = \frac{x_N \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} I(\varepsilon, t, x_N), \end{aligned}$$

if we abbreviate

$$I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) := \frac{\sqrt{t}}{x_N \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b-x_N)}^{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{4t}}(b+x_N)} e^{-z^2} dz.$$

From (22) and Lemma 18 we obtain $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x, t) &= v_\varepsilon(x, t) + w_\varepsilon(x, t) \\ &\geq x_N \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi t}} I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) - C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t)\|v\|_{X_t} - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon t}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, \infty)$. According to (8), for every $T > 0$ we can hence find $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x, t) &\geq x_N \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi t}} I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) - \tilde{C} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} \left(\frac{x_N}{\sqrt{\pi}} I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) - \tilde{C}(t) t^{\frac{1}{2}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and every $t \in (0, T)$.

After these preparations, we can begin the actual proof of the statement of Theorem 6: Given x_0 we pick τ_2 such that $\tilde{C}(\tau_2) \tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tau_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + \tau_2) < \frac{x_0}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$ and for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (x_0, \infty) \times (0, \tau_2)$ we let

$$C := \frac{1}{2 \inf \{t | \exists x \text{ with } (x, t) \in K\}} \left(\frac{x_0}{2\sqrt{\pi}} - C(\tau_2) \tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tau_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + \tau_2) \right).$$

Noting that $I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) \rightarrow 1$ (uniformly with respect to $(x, t) \in K$) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we choose $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ so small that $I(\varepsilon, t, x_N) > \frac{1}{2}$ for all $(x, t) \in K$ and thus ensure that

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) \geq C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for every } (x, t) \in K \text{ and } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$$

as desired. \square

9 Lower estimates in the exterior of the ball. Proof of Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. We introduce $\varphi(r) := \frac{1}{r} \chi_{\{r>b\}}$ for some $b > 1$ and $\varphi_b := 0$. Obviously, $\sup_{r \geq 1} |r\varphi(r)|$ is finite, $\Phi = \varphi$ and $D_\varepsilon[\varphi_b] = 0$.

According to Definition 1 and (2), v_ε is a fixed point of Q_ε and thus

$$v_\varepsilon(x, t) = Q_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t) = S_1(t/\varepsilon) \varphi(x) - 0 - \widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t) \geq S_1(t/\varepsilon) \varphi(x) - |\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon[v_\varepsilon](x, t)|.$$

Again we compute the first term explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t/\varepsilon) \varphi &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{4\pi t/\varepsilon}} \left(\int_b^\infty e^{-\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} \frac{\rho}{\rho} d\rho - \int_b^\infty e^{-\frac{(r+\rho-2)^2}{4t/\varepsilon}} d\rho \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}}^{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}} e^{-z^2} dz = \frac{r-1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} I(\varepsilon, t, r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(\varepsilon, t, r) := \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(r-1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}}^{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}} e^{-z^2} dz.$$

By (31) and Lemma 18

$$u_\varepsilon(r, t) = v_\varepsilon(r, t) + w_\varepsilon(r, t) \geq \frac{r-1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} I(\varepsilon, t, r) - \varepsilon\sqrt{\pi}(1+t)\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_T} - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon t}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{X_t}$$

for all $r \geq 1, t > 0$; and according to (12) for every $T > 0$ we can find $\tilde{C}(T)$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon(r, t) \geq \frac{r-1}{r\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} I(\varepsilon, t, r) - \tilde{C}\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1+t) + \sqrt{t})$$

holds for every $r \geq 1, t \in (0, T)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. With these, we can easily prove the statement of Theorem 7: Given $r > 1$ we let τ_2 be such that $\frac{r-1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{C}(\tau_2)\sqrt{\tau_2}(1+\tau_2 + \sqrt{\tau_2}) =: c_1 > 0$. For any compact $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r(0)) \times (0, \tau_2)$, we then use the (uniform in K) convergence $I(\varepsilon, t, r) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to pick $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}})$ such that $I(\varepsilon, t, x) > \frac{1}{2}$ for all $(x, t) \in K$. Then for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $(x, t) \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x, t) &\geq \frac{r-1}{r} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi t}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{(r-1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{\frac{b-r}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}}^{\frac{b+r-2}{2\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}}} e^{-z^2} dz \right) - \tilde{C}\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1+t) + \sqrt{t}) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{r-1}{2r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \tilde{C}\sqrt{t}\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1+t) + \sqrt{t}) \right) \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} \geq \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\tau_1}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

if we define $\tau_1 := \inf \{t \mid \exists x : (x, t) \in K\}$. Finally setting $C := \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\tau_1}}$, we obtain Theorem 7. \square

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported in part by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-14-0378 and by the VEGA grant 1/0347/18. The second author was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A)(No. 15H02058) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The third author was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 16K17629) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. The large diffusion limit for the heat equation with a dynamical boundary condition. preprint. 2018 Jun;arXiv:1806.06308.
- [2] Gal CG. The role of surface diffusion in dynamic boundary conditions: where do we stand? Milan J Math. 2015;83(2):237–278.
- [3] Arrieta JM, Quittner P, Rodríguez-Bernal A. Parabolic problems with nonlinear dynamical boundary conditions and singular initial data. Differential Integral Equations. 2001;14(12):1487–1510.
- [4] ter Elst AFM, Meyries M, Rehberg J. Parabolic equations with dynamical boundary conditions and source terms on interfaces. Ann Mat Pura Appl (4). 2014;193(5):1295–1318.

- [5] Escher J. Quasilinear parabolic systems with dynamical boundary conditions. *Comm Partial Differential Equations*. 1993;18(7-8):1309–1364.
- [6] Escher J. On the qualitative behaviour of some semilinear parabolic problems. *Differential Integral Equations*. 1995;8(2):247–267.
- [7] Fiscella A, Vitillaro E. Local Hadamard well-posedness and blow-up for reaction-diffusion equations with non-linear dynamical boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst*. 2013;33(11-12):5015–5047.
- [8] Hintermann T. Evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh Sect A*. 1989;113(1-2):43–60.
- [9] Vázquez JL, Vitillaro E. Heat equation with dynamical boundary conditions of reactive type. *Comm Partial Differential Equations*. 2008;33(4-6):561–612.
- [10] Vitillaro E. Global existence for the heat equation with nonlinear dynamical boundary conditions. *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh Sect A*. 2005;135(1):175–207.
- [11] Kirane M. Blow-up for some equations with semilinear dynamical boundary conditions of parabolic and hyperbolic type. *Hokkaido Math J*. 1992;21(2):221–229.
- [12] von Below J, Pincet Mailly G. Blow up for reaction diffusion equations under dynamical boundary conditions. *Comm Partial Differential Equations*. 2003;28(1-2):223–247.
- [13] Bandle C, von Below J, Reichel W. Parabolic problems with dynamical boundary conditions: eigenvalue expansions and blow up. *Atti Accad Naz Lincei Rend Lincei Mat Appl*. 2006;17(1):35–67.
- [14] von Below J, Pincet Mailly G. Blow up for some nonlinear parabolic problems with convection under dynamical boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst*. 2007;(Dynamical systems and differential equations. Proceedings of the 6th AIMS International Conference, suppl.):1031–1041.
- [15] von Below J, Pincet Mailly G, Rault JF. Growth order and blow up points for the parabolic Burgers' equation under dynamical boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst Ser S*. 2013;6(3):825–836.
- [16] Gal CG. On a class of degenerate parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *J Differ Equations*. 2012;253(1):126–166.
- [17] Gal CG, Shomberg JL. Hyperbolic relaxation of reaction-diffusion equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Q Appl Math*. 2015;73(1):93–129.
- [18] Wu H. Convergence to equilibrium for the semilinear parabolic equation with dynamical boundary condition. *Adv Math Sci Appl*. 2007;17(1):67–88.
- [19] von Below J, De Coster C. A qualitative theory for parabolic problems under dynamical boundary conditions. *J Inequal Appl*. 2000;5(5):467–486.
- [20] Fila M, Quittner P. Large time behavior of solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear dynamical boundary condition. In: *Topics in nonlinear analysis*. vol. 35 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl*. Birkhäuser, Basel; 1999. p. 251–272.
- [21] Giga Y, Hamamuki N. On a dynamic boundary condition for singular degenerate parabolic equations in a half space. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl*. 2018;25(6):25:51.

- [22] Giga Y, Onoue F, Takasao K. A varifold formulation of mean curvature flow with Dirichlet or dynamic boundary conditions. Hokkaido Univ Preprint Series in Math. 2018;1117:1:45.
- [23] Escher J. Nonlinear elliptic systems with dynamic boundary conditions. Math Z. 1992;210(3):413–439.
- [24] Escher J. Stable equilibria to elliptic equations in unbounded domains with nonlinear dynamic boundary conditions. Analysis (Munich). 2000;20(4):325–351.
- [25] Fila M, Poláčik P. Global nonexistence without blow-up for an evolution problem. Math Z. 1999;232(3):531–545.
- [26] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. Convergence to the Poisson kernel for the Laplace equation with a nonlinear dynamical boundary condition. Commun Pure Appl Anal. 2012;11(3):1285–1301.
- [27] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. Large-time behavior of solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with a dynamical boundary condition. Adv Differential Equations. 2013;18(1-2):69–100.
- [28] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. Existence of positive solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with a dynamical boundary condition. Calc Var Partial Differential Equations. 2015;54(2):2059–2078.
- [29] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. Minimal solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with a dynamical boundary condition. J Math Pures Appl (9). 2016;105(6):788–809.
- [30] Fila M, Ishige K, Kawakami T. An exterior nonlinear elliptic problem with a dynamical boundary condition. Rev Mat Complut. 2017;30(2):281–312.
- [31] Fila M, Quittner P. Global solutions of the Laplace equation with a nonlinear dynamical boundary condition. Math Methods Appl Sci. 1997;20(15):1325–1333.
- [32] Gal CG, Meyries M. Nonlinear elliptic problems with dynamical boundary conditions of reactive and reactive-diffusive type. Proc Lond Math Soc (3). 2014;108(6):1351–1380.
- [33] Kirane M, Nabana E, Pokhozhaev SI. On the absence of solutions for elliptic systems with dynamic boundary conditions. Differ Uravn. 2002;38(6):768–774, 862.
- [34] Kirane M, Nabana E, Pohozaev SI. Nonexistence of global solutions to an elliptic equation with a dynamical boundary condition. Bol Soc Parana Mat (3). 2004;22(2):9–16.
- [35] Lions JL. Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris; 1969.
- [36] Vitillaro E. On the Laplace equation with non-linear dynamical boundary conditions. Proc London Math Soc (3). 2006;93(2):418–446.
- [37] Yin Z. Global existence for elliptic equations with dynamic boundary conditions. Arch Math (Basel). 2003;81(5):567–574.