

Spanning 2-Forests and Resistance Distance in 2-Connected Graphs

Wayne Barrett^{a,1}, Emily J. Evans^{a,1}, Amanda E. Francis^{b,1}, Mark Kempton^a, John Sinkovic^a

^a*Department of Mathematics, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA*

^b*Mathematical Reviews, American Mathematical Society, Ann Arbor, MI 48103, USA*

Abstract

A spanning 2-forest separating vertices u and v of an undirected connected graph is a spanning forest with 2 components such that u and v are in distinct components. Aside from their combinatorial significance, spanning 2-forests have an important application to the calculation of resistance distance or effective resistance. The resistance distance between vertices u and v in a graph representing an electrical circuit with unit resistance on each edge is the number of spanning 2-forests separating u and v divided by the number of spanning trees in the graph. There are also well-known matrix theoretic methods for calculating resistance distance, but the way in which the structure of the underlying graph determines resistance distance via these methods is not well understood.

For any connected graph G with a 2-separator separating vertices u and v , we show that the number of spanning trees and spanning 2-forests separating u and v can be expressed in terms of these same quantities for the smaller separated graphs, which makes computation significantly more tractable. An important special case is the preservation of the number of spanning 2-forests if u and v are in the same smaller graph. In this paper we demonstrate that this method of calculating resistance distance is more suitable for certain structured families of graphs than the more standard methods. We apply our results to count the number of spanning 2-forests and calculate the resistance distance in a family of Sierpinski triangles and in the family of linear 2-trees with a single bend.

Keywords: spanning 2-forest, 2-connected graph, 2-separator, 2-tree, 2-path, effective resistance, resistance distance

MSC 2010: 05C12, 05C05, 94C15

Email addresses: wb@mathematics.byu.edu (Wayne Barrett), ejevans@mathematics.byu.edu (Emily J. Evans), aefr@umich.edu (Amanda E. Francis), mkempton@mathematics.byu.edu (Mark Kempton), sinkovic@mathematics.byu.edu (John Sinkovic)

¹Supported by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency – Grant Number HDTRA1-15-1-0049.

1. Introduction

Resistance distance in graphs has played a prominent role not only in circuit theory and chemistry [1, 5, 10, 11, 14], but also in combinatorial matrix theory [3, 16] and spectral graph theory [1, 5, 9, 13]. Many of the methods for calculating resistance distance, e.g., those making use of the Laplacian matrix are $O(n^3)$ where n is the number of vertices of the graph. Furthermore, the relationship between these resistance distances and the structure of the underlying graph is not well understood except in special cases. An under-utilized method of calculating the resistance distance between two vertices u and v in a graph G is by determining the number of spanning 2-forests separating u and v in G and the number of spanning trees of G (see Definition 1 and Theorem 2). Thus, if the number of spanning trees is known, calculating the number of spanning 2-forests and resistance distance are equivalent problems. This work presents new reduction formulas for determining these quantities for 2-connected graphs. We apply these results to a new family of linear 2-trees generalizing the work of [4]. We begin with the following notation and definitions.

Let G be an undirected graph in which multiple edges are allowed but loops are not. Let $V(G)$ denote the vertex set of G and unless otherwise specified $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Finally, let $T(G)$ denote the number of spanning trees of G .

Definition 1. Given any two vertices u and v of G , a spanning 2-forest separating u and v is a spanning forest with two components such that u and v are in distinct components. The number of such forests is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_G(u, v)$. In addition, we occasionally consider spanning 2-forests separating a vertex u from a pair of vertices v and w . We denote the number of these by $\mathcal{F}_G(u, \{v, w\})$.

It follows from the matrix tree theorem [6, p. 5] that for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $T(G) = \det L_G(j)$ where L_G is the combinatorial Laplacian matrix of G , and $L_G(j)$ is the matrix obtained from L_G by deleting the j th row and column. The following identity (see [7] and Th. 4 of [1]) is a relative of the matrix tree theorem:

$$\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = \det L_G(u, v),$$

where $L_G(u, v)$ is the matrix obtained from L_G by deleting rows u, v and columns u, v .

If G has a cut-vertex w and $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ with G_1 and G_2 connected and $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{w\}$, then it is evident that

$$T(G) = T(G_1)T(G_2) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, v)T(G_2) \text{ for } u, v \in V(G_1) \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, w)T(G_2) + T(G_1)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(w, v) \text{ for } u \in V(G_1) \text{ and } v \in V(G_2). \tag{3}$$

It is natural to ask if reduction formulae such as these can be found for graphs with no cut vertex, and the answer is in the affirmative if the graph has a cut-set of size 2. For any graph G with a 2-separator $\{i, j\}$ and associated decomposition $G = G_1 \cup G_2$,

one can express $T(G)$ in terms of $T(G_k)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{G_k}(i, j)$, $k = 1, 2$, and, furthermore, for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ one can express $\mathcal{F}_G(u, v)$ in terms of $T(G_k)$, $\mathcal{F}_{G_k}(x, y)$, and $\mathcal{F}_{G/ij}(x, ij)$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, $x \in \{u, v\}$ and $y \in \{i, j\}$. Here G/ij denotes the graph obtained by identifying vertices i and j (see Definition 7). This is Theorem 13 and is one of the main results of the next section. This reduction is particularly effective if the sizes of G_1 and G_2 are comparable, and if there are multiple 2-separators.

As previously mentioned we also consider the important and closely related concept of resistance distance or effective resistance. Consider G as an electric circuit with unit resistance on each edge, and suppose one unit of current flows into vertex i and one unit of current flows out of vertex j . Then the resistance distance $r_G(u, v)$ between vertices u and v is the “effective” resistance between u and v . Alternatively, one can give a mathematical formulation

$$r_G(i, j) = (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j)^T L_G^\dagger (\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j),$$

where \dagger denotes the Moore-Penrose inverse. The following theorem [1, Th. 4 and (5)] gives the relationship between the resistance distance between u and v and the number of spanning 2-forests separating u and v .

Theorem 2. *Given a graph G , the resistance distance between vertices u and v is given by*

$$r_G(u, v) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_G(u, v)}{T(G)}.$$

Returning to the case where G has a cut-vertex w as described on the previous page, we divide (2) by $T(G_1)T(G_2)$ and applying Theorem 2 we obtain $r_G(u, v) = r_{G_1}(u, v)$, a much shorter proof than the one given of the same result, Theorem 2.5 (Cut Vertex Theorem) in [4]. Dividing (3) by $T(G_1)T(G_2)$ we see that if w is a cut vertex of G and u and v lie in distinct components of $G - w$, then

$$r_G(u, v) = r_{G_1}(u, w) + r_{G_2}(v, w). \quad (4)$$

Aside from (4), there seem to be few applications of Theorem 2 to the calculation of resistance distance. One significant example is the proof of the second statement of Theorem 7 in [2]. Our reduction formulae open the possibility of finding closed forms for resistance distances in many additional graphs. We illustrate this for the Sierpinski triangle and the family of linear 2-trees with a single bend in Section 3 (see Figures 4 and 6).

Definition 3. A linear 2-tree (or 2-path) on n vertices is a graph G satisfying the following 4 properties.

- G has $2n - 3$ edges.
- K_4 is not a subgraph of G .
- G is chordal (every induced cycle is a triangle).

- G has two degree two vertices.

Alternatively, a linear 2-tree is a graph G that is constructed inductively by starting with a triangle and connecting each new vertex to the vertices of an existing edge that includes a vertex of degree 2.

Definition 4 (straight linear 2-tree). A straight linear 2-tree is a graph G_n with n vertices with adjacency matrix that is symmetric, banded, with the first and second subdiagonals equal to one, the first and second superdiagonals equal to one, and all other entries equal to zero. See Figure 1.




Figure 1: A straight linear 2-tree

In [4] the authors obtained an explicit formula for the resistance distance between any two vertices in a straight linear 2-tree on n vertices, and verified that the number of spanning trees of a straight linear 2-tree is F_{2n-2} , where F_k is the k th Fibonacci number. Consequently, the number of spanning 2-forests separating two vertices can be found immediately from Theorem 2.

A linear 2-tree with a single bend can be obtained from two straight linear 2-trees. This fact and Theorem 13 are applied in Section 3 to obtain an explicit formula for all resistance distances (all separating 2-forests) in the family of linear 2-trees with a single bend. If u and v are the end vertices of this “bent” linear 2-tree on n vertices, the number of spanning 2-forests separating u and v is less than the the number in the straight linear 2-tree by the product of four Fibonacci numbers. (See Corollary 25)

2. 2-Separations

Definition 5. A *2-separation* of a graph G is a pair of subgraphs G_1, G_2 such that

- $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$,
- $|V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)| = 2$,
- $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$, and
- $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2) = \emptyset$.

The pair of vertices, $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$, is called a *2-separator* of G .

Throughout this section, we will let G denote a graph with a 2-separation, G_1, G_2 will denote the two graphs of the separation, and we will let $\{i, j\} = V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$. Note that the graph resulting from the deletion of vertices i and j from G is a disconnected graph.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separation as above. Then*

$$T(G) = T(G_1)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) + T(G_2)\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(i, j).$$

Proof. If T is a spanning tree of G , then since $\{i, j\}$ separates G_1 and G_2 , the unique path in T connecting i to j must lie either entirely in G_1 or entirely in G_2 . Then the restriction of T to the other side is a spanning 2-forest of that side separating i and j . By the multiplication principle, the result follows. \square

Before stating the first analogous result for 2-forests, we need the following definition.

Definition 7. If i, j are vertices of G , then G/ij is the graph obtained from G by identifying vertices i and j into a vertex we denote by ij . In the identification, any edge $\{u, i\}$ or $\{u, j\}$ with $u \neq i, j$ is replaced by an edge $\{u, ij\}$. (So if i and j have a common neighbor v , then there is a double edge from v to ij in the new graph.) Any edge $\{u, v\}$ with neither u nor v equal to i or j remains. If $\{i, j\}$ is an edge it disappears.

Theorem 8. *Let G be as above, and let $u, v \in V(G_1)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, v)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, v)T(G_2).$$

Proof. Let F be a spanning 2-forest in G that separates u and v .

Case 1: i and j belong to different components of F restricted to G_2 . Then the restriction of F to G_1 has 2 components that separate u and v and the restriction to G_2 has two components that separate i and j . By the multiplication principle, the number of ways to do this is $\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, v)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j)$.

Case 2: i and j belong to the same component of F restricted to G_2 . Then i and j are in the same component of F and thus in the same component of F as either u or v . Without loss of generality, suppose they are in the same component of F as u .

Since i and j belong to the same component of F restricted to G_2 , and $\{i, j\}$ is a 2-separator for G , F restricted to G_2 is a spanning tree of G_2 . Then the path in F from i to j is in G_2 and not in G_1 , so the restriction of F to G_1 has 3 components, separating i , j , and v (and u will be in a component with either i or j). Then identifying i with j , we obtain a spanning 2-forest of G_1/ij that separates u from v . There are $\mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, v)T(G_2)$ ways of doing this. \square

Definition 9. If G is a graph with a 2-separator $\{i, j\}$, and corresponding 2-separation G_1, G_2 , then a *2-switch* is the operation that identifies the copy of i in G_1 with the copy of j in G_2 and the copy of j in G_1 with the copy of i in G_2 (see Figure 2).




Figure 2: 2-switch

We note that we are following the terminology adopted in [15] and remark that the term 2-switch has also been used in a different context with a distinct meaning.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separator $\{i, j\}$ and G' the graph obtained by performing a 2-switch on i and j . Then $T(G) = T(G')$. Moreover, if u and v are both in G_1 or both in G_2 , then*

$$\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{G'}(u, v) \quad \text{and}$$

$$r_G(u, v) = r_{G'}(u, v).$$

Proof. The first two equalities follow from Theorems 6 and 8 respectively, since they depend only on the smaller graphs, not how they are joined together. The last follows from the first two and Theorem 2. \square

Example 11. After performing a 2-switch in the graph on the left in Figure 3, we obtain the graph on the right. Each edge label denotes the number of 2-forests separating the vertices incident to that edge. Note that these are identical in the subgraph H induced on vertices $\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and (after a relabel) in the subgraph K induced by $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ as guaranteed by Theorem 10. The number of separating spanning 2-forests is also the same for non-adjacent vertices in H and K (though we have not displayed them).




Figure 3: Each edge label denotes the number of spanning 2-forests separating the vertices incident to the edge

Lemma 12. *Let G be a graph, and let x, y, z be any vertices of G . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_G(x, z) = \mathcal{F}_G(\{x, y\}, z) + \mathcal{F}_G(x, \{y, z\}).$$

Proof. In any spanning 2-forest that separates x from z , the vertex y must be either in the same component as x or in the same component as z . \square

Theorem 13. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separation, with i, j the two vertices separating the graph, and G_1, G_2 the two graphs of the separation. Let $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_G(u, v) = & \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, ij)T(G_2) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2/ij}(v, ij)T(G_1) \\ & + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) \\ & - 2\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, \{i, j\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let H be a spanning 2-forest of G separating u from v . Let $H_1 = H[V(G_1)]$ and $H_2 = H[V(G_2)]$.

Case 1: H_2 is a spanning tree of G_2 . Then H_2 has a single component containing v and i and j , so H_1 cannot contain a path connecting i and j , since then H would have a cycle. Thus H_1 has three components: one containing i , one containing j , and one containing u . Now identify vertices i and j in G_1 , and let H'_1 result from identifying i and j in H_1 . Then H'_1 is a spanning 2-forest of G_1/ij separating u from the vertex ij . By the multiplication principle, the number of possible H in this case is thus $\mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, ij)T(G_2)$.

Case 2: H_1 is a spanning tree of G_1 . Note that this case is completely disjoint from Case 1, since if both H_1 and H_2 were spanning trees of G_1 and G_2 respectively, then H would not separate u and v . By an argument symmetric to Case 1, the number of spanning 2-forests arising in this case is $\mathcal{F}_{G_2/ij}(v, ij)T(G_1)$.

Case 3: Neither H_1 nor H_2 is a spanning tree of G_1 or G_2 .

Claim 1: The number of spanning 2-forests for Case 3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(\{u, i\}, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(\{v, j\}, i) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(\{u, j\}, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(\{v, i\}, j) \\ & + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, \{i, j\})[\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(\{v, i\}, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2}(\{v, j\}, i)] \\ & + \mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, \{i, j\})[\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(\{u, i\}, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(\{u, j\}, i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since H is a spanning 2-forest of G separating u from v , then we have the following possibilities: H separates $\{u, i\}$ from $\{v, j\}$, H separates $\{u, j\}$ from $\{v, i\}$, H separates $\{u, i, j\}$ from v , or H separates $\{v, i, j\}$ from u . Now we consider how H restricts to G_1 and G_2 .

In the possibility where $\{u, i\}$ and $\{v, j\}$ are separated, H restricted to G_1 is a spanning 2-forest of G_1 separating $\{u, i\}$ and j , while H restricted to G_2 is a spanning 2-forest of G_2 separating $\{v, j\}$ and i . This yields the first term of the claim.

The possibility where $\{u, j\}$ and $\{v, i\}$ are separated is symmetric and yields the second term of the claim.

In the remaining possibilities, one of the vertices u or v , is separated from the other three. We consider the possibility where u is separated from $\{i, j, v\}$ and note that the possibility where v is separated from $\{i, j, u\}$ is symmetric to it.

Let H_2 be the restriction of H to G_2 . Since we are in Case 3, H_2 is not a spanning tree of G_2 and has 2 components. Let x be a vertex of H_2 not in the component of v . The unique path from x to v in H contains an edge of G_1 . Since $\{i, j\}$ is a 2-separator, the path from x to v contains both i and j . Thus, either i or j is in a different component than v . So, H_2 is either a spanning 2-forest of G_2 which separates v, i from j , or separates v, j from i . Since there is not a path from i to j in H_2 , there is a path from i to j in the restriction of H to G_1 . So, the restriction of H to G_1 is a 2-forest of G_1 separating u and $\{i, j\}$. This yields the third term of the claim.

The fourth term corresponds to the symmetric possibility of v being separated from $\{i, j, u\}$ in H . \square

A substantial application of Theorem 13 is found in Section 3.

Applying Lemma 12 to the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of the formula claimed in the theorem in every applicable instance, taking y to be equal to either i or j as appropriate, we find that

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) - 2\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, \{i, j\})$$

simplifies to the formula of Claim 1. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 14. *Let G be any graph, and let x, y, z be any vertices of G . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_G(\{x, y\}, z) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_G(x, z) + \mathcal{F}_G(y, z) - \mathcal{F}_G(x, y)}{2}$$

Proof. Interchanging the roles of x, y, z in Lemma 12, we get the system

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_G(x, z) &= \mathcal{F}_G(\{x, y\}, z) + \mathcal{F}_G(x, \{y, z\}) \\ \mathcal{F}_G(y, z) &= \mathcal{F}_G(\{x, y\}, z) + \mathcal{F}_G(y, \{x, z\}) \\ \mathcal{F}_G(x, y) &= \mathcal{F}_G(\{x, z\}, y) + \mathcal{F}_G(x, \{y, z\}).\end{aligned}$$

Solving this system yields the desired result. \square

From Lemma 14 we obtain an alternative form of the formula in Theorem 13. This eliminates counting spanning 2-forests that separate a vertex from a pair of vertices.

Corollary 15. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separation, with i, j the two vertices separating the graph, and G_1, G_2 the two graphs of the separation. Let $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, ij)T(G_2) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2/ij}(v, ij)T(G_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j))\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(i, j)(\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(i, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 16. [12, Corollary 4.2] *Let i, j be vertices of a graph G . Then $T(G/ij) = F_G(i, j)$.*

The following theorem is found in [16, Theorem 4.5]. (To our knowledge no combinatorial proof has been given.)

Theorem 17. *Let u, v, i, j be vertices of a graph G . Then*

$$r_{G/ij}(u, v) = r_G(u, v) - \frac{[r_G(u, i) + r_G(v, j) - r_G(u, j) - r_G(v, i)]^2}{4r_G(i, j)}.$$

Theorem 18. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separation, with i, j the two vertices separating the graph, and G_1, G_2 the two graphs of the separation. If u, v are in G_1 , then*

$$r_G(u, v) = r_{G_1}(u, v) - \frac{[r_{G_1}(u, i) + r_{G_1}(v, j) - r_{G_1}(u, j) - r_{G_1}(v, i)]^2}{4[r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j)]}.$$

Proof. Using Theorems 2, 6, and 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_G(u, v) &= \frac{\mathcal{F}_G(u, v)}{T(G)} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, v)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, v)T(G_2)}{T(G_1)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) + T(G_2)\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(i, j)} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, v)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(i, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, v)T(G_2)}{T(G_1)T(G_2)[r_{G_2}(i, j) + r_{G_1}(i, j)]} \\
&= \frac{r_{G_1}(u, v) r_{G_2}(i, j)}{r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j)} + \frac{r_{G_1/ij}(u, v) T(G_1/ij)}{T(G_1)(r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j))}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 16, $T(G_1/ij) = \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(i, j)$. So we have

$$r_G(u, v) = \frac{r_{G_1}(u, v) r_{G_2}(i, j)}{r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j)} + \frac{r_{G_1/ij}(u, v) r_{G_1}(i, j)}{r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j)}.$$

Using Theorem 17, we replace $r_{G_1/ij}(u, v)$, and arrive at the desired result. \square

In order to obtain an analogous formula to Theorem 18 in the case that u is in G_1 and v is in G_2 , we divide both sides of the identity in Corollary 15 by $T(G)$ and make use of Theorems 2, 6, and Lemma 16 to obtain the following.

Theorem 19. *Let G be a graph with a 2-separation, with i, j the two vertices separating the graph, and G_1, G_2 the two graphs of the separation. If u is in G_1 and v is in G_2 , then*

$$r_G(u, v) = \frac{NUM}{[r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2}(i, j)]} \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} NUM = & r_{G_1/ij}(u, ij) r_{G_1}(i, j) + r_{G_2/ij}(v, ij) r_{G_2}(i, j) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(u, i) r_{G_2}(v, j) + \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(u, j) r_{G_2}(v, i) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(u, i) r_{G_2}(v, i) - \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(u, j) r_{G_2}(v, j) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (r_{G_1}(u, i) + r_{G_1}(u, j)) r_{G_2}(i, j) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(i, j) (r_{G_2}(v, i) + r_{G_2}(v, j)) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} r_{G_1}(i, j) r_{G_2}(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

3. Applications to 2-connected graphs

3.1. Resistance distance and spanning 2-forests in the Sierpinski triangle

We begin by showing inductively that the resistance distance $r_n(a, b)$ between two vertices of degree 2 in the stage n Sierpinski triangle S_n is $\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^n$. The first three stages are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: The first three stages of the Sierpinski triangle, S_0 , S_1 , and S_2




Figure 5: A 2-separation of S_n

It is straightforward to verify that $r_0(a, b) = 2/3$. Now, we assume that $r_n(a, b) = x$, and use the separation shown in Figure 5 together with Theorem 18 to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{n+1}(d, f) &= r_{G_2}(d, f) - \frac{(r_{G_2}(d, a) + r_{G_2}(f, b) - r_{G_2}(d, b) - r_{G_2}(f, a))^2}{4(r_{G_1}(a, b) + r_{G_2}(a, b))} \\
 &= 2x - \frac{(x + x - 2x - 2x)^2}{4(x + 2x)} \\
 &= 2x - \frac{4x^2}{4(3x)} = 2x - \frac{1}{3}x = \frac{5}{3}x.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r_n(a, b) = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^n$, as desired.

This result is particularly surprising, as it was shown in [8] that the number of spanning trees in S_n is

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}(3^n-1)} 3^{\frac{1}{4}(3^{n+1}+2n+1)} 5^{\frac{1}{4}(3^n-2n-1)}, \quad (5)$$

which would seem to suggest that formulae for the resistance distances in S_n would be at least as complicated. In fact, Equation 5 together with Theorem 2 give the number of spanning 2-forests which separate two degree-2 vertices in S_n as

$$\mathcal{F}_{S_n}(a, b) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}(3^n+1)} 3^{\frac{1}{4}(3^{n+1}-2n-3)} 5^{\frac{1}{4}(3^n+2n-1)}.$$

We also point out that $r_n(a, b) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. Resistance distance in a bent linear 2-tree

Earlier work by the authors considered resistance distance in a straight linear 2-tree with n vertices and obtained the following result.

Theorem 20. *Let H_n be the straight linear 2-tree on n vertices labeled as in Figure 1, and let $m = n - 2$ be the number of triangles in H_n . Then for any two vertices j and $j + k$ of H_n ,*

$$r_{H_n}(j, j + k) = \frac{F_{m+1}^2 + F_k^2 F_{m-2j-k+3}^2}{F_{2m+2}} + \frac{\frac{F_{m+1}}{5} [F_{m-k}(kL_k - F_k) + F_{m-k+1}((k-5)F_{k+1} + (2k+2)F_k)]}{F_{2m+2}} \quad (6)$$

where F_p is the p th Fibonacci number and L_k is the k th Lucas number.

Modifying this formula by replacing j by u , $j + k$ by v , m by $n - 2$, then multiplying by F_{2n-2} and applying Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v) &= F_{n-1}^2 + F_{v-u}^2 F_{n-u-v+1}^2 \\ &+ \frac{F_{n-1}}{5} [F_{n+u-v-2}((v-u)L_{v-u} - F_{v-u}) \\ &+ F_{n+u-v-1}((v-u-5)F_{v-u+1} + 2(v-u+1)F_{v-u})]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In [4] we also gave an alternative formula for $r_{H_n}(j, j + k)$. Modifying it in the same way yields

$$\mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{v-u} (F_i F_{i+2u-2} - F_{i-1} F_{i+2u-3}) F_{2n-2i-2u+1}. \quad (8)$$

Although it is not a closed form expression, it is nevertheless useful as we shall see.

In this work we consider a modification of the straight linear 2-tree which we term the bent linear 2-tree whose definition is below.

Definition 21 (bent linear 2-tree). We define the graph G_n with $V(G_n) = V(H_n)$ and $E(G_n) = (E(H_n) \cup \{k, k + 3\}) \setminus (\{k + 1, k + 3\})$ to be a bent linear 2-tree with bend at vertex k . See Figure 6.

In essence a bent linear 2 tree differs from a straight linear 2 tree in that vertex k has degree 5, vertex $k + 1$ has degree 3 and all other vertices have degrees as before.

When u and v are on the same side of the bent linear 2-tree it is easy to determine $\mathcal{F}_{G_n}(u, v)$. Applying Theorem 10 we obtain:




Figure 6: A linear 2-tree with n vertices and single bend at vertex k .

Theorem 22. Let G_n be the bent linear 2-tree on n vertices labeled as in Figure 6 with a bend located at vertex k and let H_n be the straight linear 2-tree on n vertices labeled as in Figure 1. Then for any two vertices u and v of G_n , where $u < v \leq k+1$ or $k+1 < u < v \leq n$

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_n}(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v) \text{ and } r_{G_n}(u, v) = r_{H_n}(u, v),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v)$ is given by Equation (7).

For all other u and v in $V(G_n)$ the number of spanning 2-trees and resistance distances are determined as follows.

Theorem 23. Let G_n be a bent linear 2-tree on n vertices with a single bend at vertex k . Then for any two vertices u and v with $u \leq k+1$ and $v > k+1$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_n}(u, v) = \mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v) - [F_{k-2}F_{k+1} + 2(-1)^{k-u}F_{u-1}^2][F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1} + 2(-1)^{v-k-1}F_{n-v}^2],$$

while the resistance distance between u and v is given by

$$r_{G_n}(u, v) = r_{H_n}(u, v) - [F_{k-2}F_{k+1} + 2(-1)^{k-u}F_{u-1}^2][F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1} + 2(-1)^{v-k-1}F_{n-v}^2]/F_{2n-2}.$$

Proof. Let H be the straight linear 2-tree with n vertices and denote G_n by G . We note that both G and H are graphs with a 2-separation $\{k, k+1\}$. Moreover G is obtained by performing a 2-switch on the vertices k and $k+1$ in H .

From Theorem 13 we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_G(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, ij)T(G_2) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2/ij}(v, ij)T(G_1) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i) \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, \{i, j\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $i = k$, $j = k+1$ and G_1 and G_2 are the two graphs of the 2-separation of G as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7: The two graphs of the 2-separation of G . Here G_1 is on the left and G_2 is on the right.

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_H(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_{H_1/ij}(u, ij)T(H_2) + \mathcal{F}_{H_2/ij}(v, ij)T(H_1) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, j) + \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, i) \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, \{i, j\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $i = k$, $j = k + 1$ and H_1 and H_2 are the two graphs of the 2-separation of H as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8: The two graphs of the 2-separation of H . Here H_1 is on the left and H_2 is on the right. Observe the difference between the location of nodes k and $k + 1$ in H_2 compared to G_2 .

We see by definition that

$$2\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, \{i, j\}) = 2\mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, \{i, j\})\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, \{i, j\}).$$

It is also clear that

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_1/ij}(u, ij)T(G_2) + \mathcal{F}_{G_2/ij}(v, ij)T(G_1) = \mathcal{F}_{H_1/ij}(u, ij)T(H_2) + \mathcal{F}_{H_2/ij}(v, ij)T(H_1).$$

Hence the number of spanning 2-forests separating u and v in G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_G(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_H(u, v) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, j) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, i) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, i)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, j) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, j)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, i). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $i = k$ and $j = k + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_G(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_H(u, v) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k + 1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k + 1)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, k)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, k + 1) + \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, k + 1)\mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, k). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, k+1) &= \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1), \mathcal{F}_{G_1}(u, k) = \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k), \\ \mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, k+1) &= \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{G_2}(v, k) = \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1)\end{aligned}$$

Making these substitutions,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_G(u, v) &= \mathcal{F}_H(u, v) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) + \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1)\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_H(u, v) + [\mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k)][\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k)]\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that H_1 has $k+1$ vertices, we let $n = k+1$ in equation (8). Then applying it twice, once with $v = k+1$ and once with $v = k$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k) = F_{k+1-u}F_{k-1+u} - F_{k-u}F_{k-2+u}.$$

By Catalan's identity,

$$F_{k+1-u}F_{k-1+u} = F_k^2 + (-1)^{k-u}F_{u-1}^2 \text{ and } F_{k-u}F_{k-2+u} = F_{k-1}^2 + (-1)^{k-u+1}F_{u-1}^2.$$

Substituting in the previous equation and simplifying, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_1}(u, k) = F_{k-2}F_{k+1} + 2(-1)^{k-u}F_{u-1}^2.$$

It remains to deal with the term $\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k)$. In order to apply equation (8) we must first adjust the vertex labels on H_2 . Let R be the graph obtained from H_2 by labeling the vertices from the right beginning with 1.




Figure 9: The graph R obtained by reordering the vertices of H_2 .

By symmetry $\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) = \mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) = \mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k+1)$. Let S be the graph obtained from R by deleting vertex $n-k+1$. Because $n-k-1$ is a cut vertex of R ,

$$\mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k) = \mathcal{F}_S(n-v+1, n-k)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k+1) = \mathcal{F}_S(n-v+1, n-k-1) + T(H_{n-k}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) &= \mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k) - \mathcal{F}_R(n-v+1, n-k+1) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_S(n-v+1, n-k) - \mathcal{F}_S(n-v+1, n-k-1) - T(H_{n-k}).\end{aligned}$$

Applying equation (8) to the \mathcal{F}_S terms and recalling that $T(H_{n-k}) = F_{2n-2k-2}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k+1) - \mathcal{F}_{H_2}(v, k) &= F_{v-k-1}F_{2n-v-k-1} - F_{v-k-2}F_{2n-v-k-2} - F_{2n-2k-2} \\ &= F_{n-k-1}^2 + (-1)^{v-k}F_{n-v}^2 - F_{n-k-2}^2 - (-1)^{v-k-1}F_{n-v}^2 - F_{2n-2k-2} \quad (\text{Catalan's identity}) \\ &= F_{n-k-3}F_{n-k} - F_{2n-2k-2} - 2(-1)^{v-k-1}F_{n-v}^2 \\ &= -F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1} - 2(-1)^{v-k-1}F_{n-v}^2.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 24. *Let G_n be a bent linear 2-tree on n vertices with a single bend at vertex k . Then for any two vertices u and v with $u \leq k$ and $v > k+1$,*

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_n}(u, v) < \mathcal{F}_{H_n}(u, v).$$

Corollary 25. *Let G_n be a bent linear 2-tree with n vertices and a single bend at vertex k . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_n}(1, n) = \mathcal{F}_{H_n}(1, n) - F_{k-2}F_{k+1}F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1}.$$

and

$$r_{G_n}(1, n) = r_{H_n}(1, n) - \frac{F_{k-2}F_{k+1}F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1}}{F_{2n-2}}.$$

The second terms tell exactly how much the number of separating 2-forests and resistance distance are diminished by a bend at k .

Proof. Using Theorem 23 and setting $u = 1$ and $v = n$ yields the first equality and the second follows immediately. \square

Remark 26. The resistance distance $r_{H_n}(1, n)$ is known from [4]. Substituting gives

$$r_{G_n}(1, n) = \frac{n-1}{5} + \frac{4F_{n-1}}{5L_{n-1}} - \frac{F_{k-2}F_{k+1}F_{n-k-2}F_{n-k+1}}{F_{2n-2}},$$

where F_p is the p th Fibonacci number and L_q is the q th Lucas number.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have given a non-trivial generalization of well-known and elementary formulae for calculating the number of spanning trees of a graph G and the number of separating 2-forests separating 2 vertices in the case that G contains a cut-vertex, to the case in which G contains a 2-separator. We have applied these formulae to the Sierpinski triangle and to a family of linear 2-trees with a single bend. For the Sierpinski triangle we observed that for any of the degree 2 vertices a and b , the resistance distance $r_n(a, b) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the bent linear 2-tree we determined the resistance distance (or number of spanning 2-forests) between any pair of vertices. We found that if two vertices are strictly on one side of a 2-separator associated with the bend, then the resistance distances in the bent 2-tree match the corresponding resistance distances in the straight linear 2-tree on the same number of vertices, while if the two vertices are on opposite sides of this 2-separator, all the resistance distances in the bent 2-tree are less than the corresponding resistance distances in the straight linear 2-tree. Nevertheless, it is straightforward to check that $r_{G_n}(1, n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if G_n is any member of the family of linear 2-trees with a single bend as is the case with the straight linear 2-tree [4].

These two examples illustrate the power of these 2-separation formulae, and we are confident that they can be applied to many other families of graphs in which standard circuit rules (for resistance distance) or combinatorial arguments (to count separating spanning 2-forests) are difficult, tedious, or even impossible to apply. Finding analogous formulae for 3-connected graphs is an interesting but difficult, open question.

References

- [1] R. B. Bapat. Resistance distance in graphs. *Math. Student*, 68(1-4):87–98, 1999.
- [2] R. B. Bapat and Somit Gupta. Resistance distance in wheels and fans. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 41(1):1–13, Feb 2010.
- [3] R.B. Bapat. *Graphs and Matrices*. Universitext. Springer London, 2010.
- [4] Wayne Barrett, Emily J. Evans, and Amanda E. Francis. Resistance distance in straight linear 2-trees. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 258:13–34, 2019.
- [5] Béla Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [6] Andries E. Brouwer and Willem H. Haemers. *Spectra of graphs*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [7] Seth Chaiken. A combinatorial proof of the all minors matrix tree theorem. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 3(3):319–329, 1982.

- [8] Shu-Chiuan Chang, Lung-Chi Chen, and Wei-Shih Yang. Spanning trees on the Sierpinski gasket. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 126(3):649–667, 2007.
- [9] Haiyan Chen and Fuji Zhang. Resistance distance and the normalized Laplacian spectrum. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 155(5):654–661, 2007.
- [10] Peter G. Doyle and J. Laurie Snell. *Random walks and electric networks*, volume 22 of *Carus Mathematical Monographs*. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1984.
- [11] D. J. Klein and M. Randić. Resistance distance. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 12(1):81–95, Dec 1993.
- [12] L. Lovász. Random walks on graphs: a survey. In *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty, Vol. 2 (Keszthely, 1993)*, volume 2 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 353–397. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1996.
- [13] Daniel A. Spielman and Nikhil Srivastava. Graph sparsification by effective resistances. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 40(6):1913–1926, 2011.
- [14] William Stevenson. *Elements of Power System Analysis*. McGraw Hill, New York, 3 edition, 1975.
- [15] Carsten Thomassen. Whitney’s 2-switching theorem, cycle spaces, and arc mappings of directed graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 46(3):257–291, 1989.
- [16] Yujun Yang and Douglas J. Klein. A recursion formula for resistance distances and its applications. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 161(16-17):2702–2715, November 2013.