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1 Preliminaries

Each associative division algebra over the real number field of finite dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic (1) to $\mathbb{R}$ (the field of all real numbers, $n = 1$), or, (2)
to $\mathbb{C}$ (the field of all Gaussian complex numbers, $n = 2$), or, (3) to $\mathbb{H}$ (the algebra of all quaternions, $n = 4$) by the 1877 theorem by G. F. Frobenius, cf. e.g., [7], p. 174.

Let us pitch shortly some facts about three possible kinds of complex numbers rings in the plane. If we denote by $i, j, \varepsilon$ complex units for these types of complex numbers, respectively, for elliptic numbers we have $i^2 = -1, \|i\| = 1$; for hyperbolic numbers we have $j^2 = 1, \|j\| = 1$; and, for parabolic numbers we have $\varepsilon^2 = 0, \|\varepsilon\| = 1$. Together all three types of complex numbers are called the generalized complex numbers. Under a simplified term complex numbers are usually understood the elliptic (Gaussian) complex numbers. For details and geometrical aspects of the generalized complex numbers the reader is referred, e.g., to [6]. In [4] we studied a complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ constructed from three non-collinear points.

It is well generally known that the only set of complex numbers (with respect to isomorphisms) is a commutative Archimedean continuous field for $\mathbb{E}_n$, $n \geq 2$. This fact has its consequence in the theory of the complex mathematical analysis. However, in science there are needed applications with three (or more) geometrical dimensions. In this paper we show a way how to deal this problem in three dimensions.

For a detailed information on multiplicative modules the reader is referred to [1, 2, 3, 9].

A Hausdorff topology on $\mathbb{T}$ is given via an absolute value notion defined in the paper.

Although this paper is technically mostly of algebraic nature, after building up an appropriate theory, the field $\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{D}$ may serve for creating a theory generalizing the Gaussian complex analysis theory to $\mathbb{E}_3$.

2 Operation of multiplication of vectors

The aim of this section is to equip the standard algebra $\mathbb{E}_3$ over $\mathbb{R}$ with an operation of multiplication so that the resulting vector space $\mathbb{T}$ becomes a ring.

Concerning operation of addition it is known that elements of the space $\mathbb{E}_3$ form an additive group with null $(0, 0, 0)$ $\overset{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda$. Let us denote by

$$w \overset{\text{def}}{=} (1, 0, 0), u \overset{\text{def}}{=} (0, 1, 0), v \overset{\text{def}}{=} (0, 0, 1).$$
The set \( \{w, u, v\} \) is a basis of the three dimensional vector space \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) over real line \( \mathbb{R} \). So, every element \( x \in \mathbb{E}_3 \) can be written as
\[
x \overset{def}{=} X_w w \oplus X_u u \oplus X_v v,
\]
where \( X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R} \). The sign \( \oplus \) denotes an usual parallelepiped addition in the vector space \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) and the sign \( \ominus \) denotes its inverse group operation; we write also \( a \ominus b \overset{def}{=} a \oplus (\ominus b) \), so \( a \ominus a = \Lambda \).

**Definition 1** Let \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( y = (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v) \in \mathbb{T} \). Then
\[
x \otimes y = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \otimes (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v)
\]
\[
\overset{def}{=} w(X_w Y_w - X_u Y_v - X_v Y_u)
\]
\[
\oplus u(X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v)
\]
\[
\oplus v(X_w Y_v + X_u Y_u + X_v Y_w). \quad (1)
\]

Let us denote by \( \mathbb{T} \) the vector space \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) equipped with this operation of multiplication.

**Remark 1** The operation of multiplication in \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) can be equivalently introduced via multiplication of basic elements as follows:
\[
w \otimes w = w, u \otimes u = v, v \otimes v = \ominus u,
\]
\[
w \otimes u = u \otimes w = u, w \otimes v = v \otimes w = v, u \otimes v = v \otimes u = \ominus w. \quad (2)
\]

Concerning the operations of multiplication and addition, commutative and distributive laws hold:

**Lemma 1 Commutative law.** Let \( x, y \in \mathbb{T} \), then
\[
x \otimes y = y \otimes x.
\]

**Proof.** By Definition 1.

**Lemma 2 Distributive law.**

Let \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T} \), \( y = (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v) \in \mathbb{T} \), and \( z = (Z_w, Z_u, Z_v) \in \mathbb{T} \). Then
\[
x \otimes [y \oplus z] = [x \otimes y] \oplus [x \otimes z].
\]
Proof. By Definition 1

\[ x \otimes (y \oplus z) = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \otimes [(Y_w, Y_u, Y_v) \oplus (Z_w, Z_u, Z_v)] \]
\[ = w[X_w(Y_w + Z_w) - X_u(Y_v + Z_v) - X_v(Y_u + Z_u)] \]
\[ \oplus u[X_w(Y_u + Z_u) + X_u(Y_w + Z_w) - X_v(Y_v + Z_v)] \]
\[ \oplus v[X_w(Y_v + Z_v) + X_u(Y_u + Z_u) + X_v(Y_w + Z_w)] \]
\[ = w[X_w Y_w - X_u Y_v - X_v Y_u] \oplus u[X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v] \oplus v[X_w Y_v + X_u Y_u + X_v Y_w] \]
\[ \oplus [X_w Z_w - X_u Z_v - X_v Z_u + X_u Z_u + X_v Z_w] \]
\[ = [x \otimes y] \oplus [x \otimes z]. \]

We collect some properties of \( T \) in the following section.

3 Properties of \( T \)

Theorem 1 The set \( T \) is a vector algebra over \( \mathbb{R} \).

Proof.

Vector space. The space \( T \) with respect to the operation of addition is identical to the vector space \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) over \( \mathbb{R} \).

The set \( T \) is a multiplicative commutative monoid with the unit \( w = (1, 0, 0) \).

Let \( x, y, z \in T \), where

\[ x = (X_w, X_u, X_v), y = (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v), z = (Z_w, Z_u, Z_v) \]

and \( X_w, X_u, X_v; Y_w, Y_u, Y_v; Z_w, Z_u, Z_v \in \mathbb{R} \).

Associative law. To prove that

\[ [x \otimes y] \otimes z = x \otimes [y \otimes z] \]

needs a rather longer technical evaluation:
Let the coordinates of \([x \otimes y] = (a_w, a_u, a_v)\) be respectively as follows:

\[
a_w = X_w Y_w - X_u Y_v - X_v Y_u \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
a_u = X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
a_v = X_w Y_v + X_u Y_u + X_v Y_w \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}
\]

Similarly, let us denote the coordinates of \([z \otimes y] = (b_w, b_u, b_v)\) respectively as follows:

\[
b_w = Y_w Z_w - Y_u Z_v - Y_v Z_u \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
b_u = Y_w Z_u + Y_u Z_w - Y_v Z_v \in \mathbb{R},
\]
\[
b_v = Y_w Z_v + Y_u Z_u + Y_v Z_w \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5}
\]

We have:

\[
[x \otimes y] \otimes z = (w a_w \oplus u a_u \oplus v a_v) \otimes (w Z_w \oplus u Z_u \oplus v Z_v)
\]

By (2) and the distributivity,

\[
= (w \otimes w)a_w Z_w \oplus (v \otimes u)a_v Z_u \oplus (u \otimes v)a_u Z_v \\
\quad \oplus (u \otimes w)a_u Z_w \oplus (w \otimes u)a_w Z_u \oplus (v \otimes v)a_v Z_v \\
\quad \oplus (v \otimes w)a_v Z_w \oplus (u \otimes u)a_u Z_u \oplus (w \otimes v)a_w Z_v \tag{6}
\]

then

\[
= w \left[ (X_w Y_w - X_u Y_v - X_v Y_u) Z_w \\
\quad - (X_w Y_v + X_v Y_w + X_u Y_u) Z_u \\
\quad - (X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v) Z_v \right] \tag{7}
\]

\[
\oplus u \left[ (X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v) Z_w \\
\quad + (X_w Y_v - X_u Y_u - X_v Y_w) Z_u \\
\quad - (X_w Y_u + X_u Y_u + X_v Y_v) Z_v \right] \tag{8}
\]

\[
\oplus v \left[ (X_w Y_v + X_u Y_u + X_v Y_w) Z_w \\
\quad + (X_w Y_u + X_u Y_w - X_v Y_v) Z_u \\
\quad + (X_w Y_w - X_u Y_v - X_v Y_u) Z_v \right] \tag{9}
\]
So,

\[ w[(X_w Y_w Z_w - X_u Y_u Z_w - X_v Y_v Z_w) \\
- (X_w Y_v Z_u + X_v Y_w Z_u + X_u Y_u Z_u) \\
- (X_w Y_u Z_v + X_u Y_w Z_v - X_v Y_v Z_v)] \] (10)

\[ \oplus u[(X_w Y_u Z_w + X_u Y_w Z_w - X_v Y_v Z_w) \\
+ (X_w Y_w Z_u - X_u Y_v Z_u - X_v Y_u Z_u) \\
- (X_w Y_v Z_v + X_u Y_u Z_v + X_v Y_w Z_v)] \] (11)

\[ \oplus v[(X_w Y_v Z_w + X_u Y_w Z_w + X_v Y_v Z_w) \\
+ (X_w Y_u Z_u + X_u Y_w Z_u - X_v Y_v Z_u) \\
+ (X_w Y_w Z_v - X_u Y_v Z_v - X_v Y_u Z_v)] \] (12)

and

\[ w[X_w (Y_w Z_w - Y_u Z_v - Y_v Z_u) \\
- X_u (Y_w Z_v + Y_u Z_u + Y_v Z_w) \\
- X_v (Y_w Z_u + Y_u Z_w - Y_v Z_v)] \] (13)

\[ \oplus u[(X_w (Y_u Z_w + Y_w Z_u - Y_v Z_u) \\
+ X_u (Y_w Z_v - Y_u Z_v - Y_v Z_u) \\
- X_v (Y_w Z_u + Y_u Z_u + Y_v Z_v)] \] (14)

\[ \oplus v[(X_w (Y_v Z_u + Y_u Z_w + Y_v Z_v) \\
+ X_u (Y_w Z_u + Y_u Z_w - Y_v Z_v) \\
+ X_v (Y_w Z_w - Y_u Z_v - Y_v Z_u)] \] (15)

\[ w[X_w b_w - X_u b_v - X_v b_u] \\
\oplus u[X_w b_u + X_u b_w - X_v b_v] \\
\oplus v[X_w b_v + X_u b_u + X_v b_w] \] (16)

\[ (w X_w \oplus u X_u \oplus v X_v) \otimes (w b_w \oplus u b_u \oplus v b_v) = x \otimes [y \otimes z]. \]

The associativity of \( T \) with respect to the operation \( \otimes \) is proved. \( \square \)
Stretching/contraction of $\mathbb{T}$ by scalars. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 0$, and
\[
\mathbb{T}_\alpha \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ \alpha \mathbf{x} = (\alpha X_w, \alpha X_u, \alpha X_v) | \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T} \}.
\]
Then
\[
\mathbb{T}_\alpha = \alpha \mathbb{T}
\]
concerning all introduced structures in $\mathbb{T}$. The real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ represents a stretching/contraction scale of algebras $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}_\alpha$. □

4 $\sigma$-Conjugation, a homomorphism $\mathbb{T}$ to $\sigma$

Definition 2 If
\[
\mathbf{x} = wX_w \oplus uX_u \oplus vX_v \in \mathbb{T},
\]
then we define a $\sigma$-conjugate element $\mathbf{x}^* \text{ of the element } \mathbf{x}$ as following:
\[
\mathbf{x}^* \overset{\text{def}}{=} wX_w \ominus uX_v \ominus vX_u \in \mathbb{T},
\]
where $X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the vectors $\delta \overset{\text{def}}{=} u \ominus v$ and $w$ are perpendicular: the scalar product
\[
\langle u \ominus v, w \rangle = \langle (0, 1, -1), (1, 0, 0) \rangle = 0.
\]

Lemma 3 If
\[
\mathbf{x} = wX_w \oplus uX_u \oplus vX_v \in \mathbb{T},
\]
then
\[
\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}^* = w[X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2] \oplus [u \ominus v] \{ X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w \} = A(\mathbf{x})w \oplus B(\mathbf{x})\delta,
\]
where
\[
A(\mathbf{x}) = X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2, B(\mathbf{x}) = X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w, \delta = u \ominus v
\]
and $X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R}$. 7
Proof. By Definition 1

\[ x \otimes x^* = [wX_w \oplus uX_u \oplus vX_v] \otimes [wX_w \oplus uX_v \oplus vX_u] \]

\[ = w(X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2) \oplus \delta(X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w). \]

\[ \square \]

A triple \((\delta, \Lambda, w)\) of non-collinear different points defines a plane in \(\mathbb{E}_3\), let us denote it by \(\sigma\). Let the plane \(\sigma\) contain the basic vectors \(w\) ("real unit") and \(\delta\) ("imaginary unit"). The plane \(\sigma\) consists of elements \(x \otimes x^* \in \mathbb{T}\).

Which kind of three possible generalized complex numbers is the plane \(\sigma\)?

**Theorem 2** Let the plane \(\sigma\) be equipped with the operation of multiplication \(\boxtimes\) induced with the operation \(\otimes\) in \(\mathbb{T}\).

The operation \(\boxtimes\) is a hyperbolic complex multiplication on a plane \(\sigma\). The "real" unit is \(w\) and the "imaginary" unit is \(\Delta\), respectively, i.e., there holds:

\[ \Delta \boxtimes \Delta = w, \]

where

\[ \Delta = \frac{w}{3} \oplus \frac{2\delta}{3} = \frac{1}{3} [w \oplus 2u \oplus 2v]. \]

**Proof.** A question of the a length of an unit "imaginary" element \(\Delta\) will be solved after introducing of a notion of the absolute value \(|| \cdot ||\) on \(\mathbb{T}\). It will be hold true that \(||\Delta|| = 1\), cf. Theorem VII (vii).

Now we will show that \(\sigma\) is a hyperbolic complex plane. To prove this, it is enough to show that

\[ \Delta \boxtimes \Delta = w. \]

Indeed,

\[ \Delta \boxtimes \Delta = \Delta \otimes \Delta = \left( \frac{w}{3} \oplus \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{w}{3} \oplus \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{w}{3} \otimes \frac{w}{3} \right) \oplus \left( \frac{2\delta}{3} \otimes \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \oplus \left( 2 \cdot \frac{w}{3} \otimes \frac{2\delta}{3} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{w}{9} \oplus \frac{4}{9}(\delta \otimes \delta) \oplus \frac{4}{9} = \frac{w}{9} \oplus \frac{4}{9}((\otimes\delta) \oplus 2w) \oplus \frac{4}{9} = w, \quad (17) \]

where

\[ \delta \otimes \delta = (u \oplus v) \otimes (u \oplus v) = (u \otimes u) \oplus [(\otimes v) \otimes (\otimes v)] \oplus (2 \cdot u \otimes (\otimes v)) \]
\[ (v \oplus u) \oplus 2w = (\ominus \delta) \oplus 2w. \]

To prove the rest properties of the hyperbolic generalized complex plane \( \sigma \) is trivial and therefore omitted. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4** The vectors \( w, \Delta \in \sigma \) are not collinear and not orthogonal.

**Proof.** To show that vectors \( w \) and \( \Delta \) are not collinear and not orthogonal, we evaluate their scalar product:

\[ < w, \Delta > = \left\langle \frac{w}{3} \oplus \frac{2\delta}{3} \right\rangle = \left\langle (1, 0, 0), \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3} \right) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} \neq 0. \] \( \square \)

The following lemma is useful.

**Lemma 5** If \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( y = (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v) \in \mathbb{T} \), then

\[ (x \otimes y)^* = x^* \otimes y^*. \]

**Proof.** Let us denote \( x^* = (X'_w, X'_u, X'_v) = (X_w, -X_v, -X_u) \) and \( y^* = (Y'_w, Y'_u, Y'_v) = (Y_w, -Y_v, -Y_u) \), respectively. We have:

\[ x^* \otimes y^* = w(X'_w Y'_w - X'_u Y'_v - X'_v Y'_u) \oplus u(X'_w Y'_u + X'_u Y'_w - X'_v Y'_v) \oplus v(X'_w Y'_v + X'_u Y'_u + X'_v Y'_w) \]

\[ = w(X_w Y_w - X_v Y_u - X_u Y_v) \]

\[ \oplus u(-X_w Y_v - X_v Y_w - X_u Y_u) \]

\[ \oplus v(-X_w Y_u + X_v Y_v - X_u Y_w) \] (18)

\[ = (x \otimes y)^*. \] \( \square \)

5 A shift of the plane \( \sigma \)

Let us make the following regular linear transformation of the plane \( \sigma \):

\[ (w, \delta) \rightarrow (w, \Delta), \]
where \( \Delta = \frac{\text{w}}{3} \oplus \frac{2\delta}{3}, \delta = \frac{3\Delta}{2} \oplus \frac{\text{w}}{2} \).

Then
\[
x \otimes x^* = \left( X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2 - \frac{X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v}{2} \right) \text{w} \oplus \frac{3(X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v)\Delta}{2} = A(x)\text{w} \oplus B(x)\Delta, \tag{19}
\]

where
\[
A(x) = (X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2) - \frac{X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v}{2}
\]
and
\[
B(x) = \frac{3(X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v)}{2}.
\]

Remark 2 If it does not lead to ambiguities, we usually omit arguments in functions \( A(x), B(x), A(x), B(x) \) and write simply \( A, B, A, B \), respectively.

Since \( \text{w} \otimes \text{w} = \text{w} \) and \( \Delta \otimes \Delta = \text{w} \), by Theorem 2
\[
(A\text{w} \oplus B\Delta) \otimes (A\text{w} \oplus B\Delta) = [(A^2\text{w} \otimes \text{w}) \oplus (B^2\Delta \otimes \Delta)]
\]
\[
= A^2\text{w} \oplus B^2\text{w} = (A^2 - B^2)\text{w} = (A + B) \cdot (A - B)\text{w}.
\]

6 Expression \( A + B \)

Lemma 6 Let \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T} \). Then
\[
A + B = A + B = \frac{(X_w + X_u)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_u + X_v)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_w - X_v)^2}{2},
\]
where
\[
A = X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2, B = X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v.
\]

Proof. It is easy to verify:
\[
A + B = A + B = \frac{(X_w + X_u)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_u + X_v)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_w - X_v)^2}{2}. \tag{20}
\]
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7 Expression $A - B$

Lemma 7 Let $\mathbf{x} = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T$. Then

$$A - B = A - B = \frac{(X_w - X_u)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_u - X_v)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_w + X_v)^2}{2}.$$  

Proof. We have

$$A - B = A - B = (X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2) + (X_wX_v - X_uX_v - X_uX_w)$$

$$= \frac{(X_w - X_u)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_u - X_v)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_w + X_v)^2}{2}.$$  

Lemma 8 The homogeneous system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases}
X_w - X_u = 0 \\
X_u - X_v = 0 \\
X_w + X_v = 0
\end{cases}$$

has only a trivial solution $(X_w, X_u, X_v) = \Lambda$.

The linear transform $(X_w, X_u, X_v) \to (W, U, V) \in T$ is regular, where

$$\begin{cases}
\sqrt{2}U = X_w - X_u \\
\sqrt{2}V = X_u - X_v \\
\sqrt{2}W = X_w + X_v
\end{cases}$$

inversely,

$$\begin{cases}
X_w = \frac{W + U + V}{\sqrt{2}} \\
X_u = \frac{W - U + V}{\sqrt{2}} \\
X_v = \frac{W - U - V}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{cases}$$

Proof. The system is regular, since:

$$\begin{vmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{vmatrix} = 2 \neq 0.$$  

8 Division in $T$

Definition 3 Let $\mathbf{x} = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T, A(x)^2 + B(x)^2 > 0$. We say that an element $\mathbf{y} \in T$ is an $\otimes$-inverse to $\mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}$; we write this

$$\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{x}}_T,$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{w}}.$$

If $\mathbf{z} = (Z_w, Z_u, Z_v)$ is another element in $T$, then we define the operation of division

$$\frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{x}}_T \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{w}}.$$
For a tool how to practically divide, let us find an $\otimes$-inverse element to $x$ in $T$ in the following form:

**Theorem 3** Let $x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T$, $A^2(x) + B^2(x) > 0$. Then

$$\frac{w}{x^T} = \frac{x^* \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{A^2 - B^2},$$

where $A^2 - B^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$A = (X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2) - \frac{X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v}{2},$$

$$B = \frac{3(X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_wX_v)}{2}. \quad (21)$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2, $\Delta \otimes \Delta = w$.

For any arbitrary $x \in T$, $A^2 + B^2 > 0$, we have:

$$y = x^{-w} = \frac{w}{x^T} = \frac{w \otimes x^*}{x \otimes x^T} = \frac{x^* \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{(Aw \oplus B\Delta) \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)^T} = \frac{x^* \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{(A^2 - B^2)w} = \frac{x^* \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{A^2 - B^2} \quad (22)$$

Indeed,

$$x \otimes y = x \otimes \frac{x^* \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{A^2 - B^2} = \frac{(x \otimes x^*) \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{A^2 - B^2} = \frac{(x \otimes x^*) \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)}{(x \otimes x^*) \otimes (Aw \oplus B\Delta)^T} = w. \quad \square$$

The items of the following lemma is only a collection of observations from Definition 3, the proofs of them are omitted as trivial.

**Lemma 9** Let $x, y, z \in T$, $A^2(x) + B^2(x) > 0$, $A^2(y) + B^2(y) > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Then
(i) \( w \otimes w = w = \frac{w}{w} \times w \),

(ii) \( \frac{z}{x} = z \),

(iii) \( \frac{z}{x} x = \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{z}{x} \),

(iv) \( \frac{x \otimes z}{x \otimes y} = \frac{z}{y} \).

9 Zero divisors in \( T \)

Remind that an element \( a \in T \) is called a left zero divisor if there exists a non-zero \( x \in T \) such that \( a \otimes x = \Lambda \). Similarly, an element \( a \in T \) is called a right zero divisor if there exists a non-zero \( y \) such that \( y \otimes a = \Lambda \). An element that is a left or a right zero divisor is simply called a zero divisor. If the ring is commutative, then the left and right zero divisors are the same. \( \Lambda \) is a trivial zero divisor. An element of a ring that is not a zero divisor is called regular, or, a non-zero-divisor. We are dealing only with such zero divisors in \( T \) such that \( (A + B)(A - B) = 0 \).

The quantity \( A(x) + B(x) = A(x) + B(x) \) saves information whether an element \( x \in T \) is a zero divisor, or not. Indeed, if we suppose that \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \) is not a zero divisor, then

\[
\frac{(X_w + X_u)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_u + X_v)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_w - X_v)^2}{2} = u^2 + v^2 + w^2 > 0 \tag{23}
\]

for some \( u, v, w \in \mathbb{R} \). And vice-versa, if the inequality (23) holds, then the element \( (X_w, X_u, X_v) \) is not a zero divisor.

The expression \( A - B = A - B \) is a source of the trivial zero divisor \( \Lambda \) only and if \( x = \Lambda \), then also \( A + B = \Lambda \).

**Example 1** Let \((0, c, c) \in T \) and \((\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in T \). Then \((0, c, c) \otimes (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) = (0, 0, 0) \). So, \((0, c, c)\) is a non-trivial zero divisor in \( T \) for every real \( c \neq 0 \) and \( \gamma \). Here \( A((0, c, c)) + B((0, c, c)) = 3c^2 \) and \( A((0, c, c)) - B((0, c, c)) = c^2 \).

Moreover, the set of zero divisors is generally not interesting since it is a whole \( T \), cf. Theorem 5 (ii) in the proof. In fact, for operation of division is important the following set.
**Definition 4** Let \( \Gamma = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T} \). Denote by 
\[ \mathbb{D} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \Gamma \in \mathbb{T} \mid A(\Gamma) + B(\Gamma) = 0 \right\} \]
where 
\[ A(\Gamma) = X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2 \]
and 
\[ B(\Gamma) = X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w. \]

10 \[ \mathbb{D} = \text{Ann}(\mathbb{T}) \]

Let us remind notions of commutative module of a commutative ring and commutative annihilator of a subset, the adjective *commutative* we will omit. The following two definitions are applied to our denotations.

**Definition 5** Let \((\mathbb{T}, \oplus, \otimes)\) be a ring. An abelian group \((M, \triangle)\) is called an \(\mathbb{T}\)-module if there is a multiplication \(\otimes : \mathbb{T} \times M \rightarrow M\) such that
\[ a \otimes (x \triangle y) = a \otimes x \triangle a \otimes y, \quad (a \oplus b) \otimes x = a \otimes x \triangle b \otimes x, \]
\[ a \otimes (b \otimes x) = (a \otimes b) \otimes x, \quad 1_M \otimes x = x \]
where \(x, y \in M\) and \(a \in \mathbb{T}\) and \(1_M\) denotes an unite with respect to the operation \(\otimes\) of multiplication in \(M\).

Let \(M\) be a \(\mathbb{T}\)-module. Let \(S \subset M\). The annihilator of \(S\), denoted \(\text{Ann}_\mathbb{T}(S)\), is the set of all elements \(r \in \mathbb{T}\) such that, for all \(s \in S, rs = 0\), [1],
\[ \text{Ann}_\mathbb{T}(S) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{T} \mid \forall s \in S : rs = 0 \right\}. \]

The annihilator of a single element \(x \in \mathbb{T}\) is usually written \(\text{Ann}_\mathbb{T}(x)\) instead of \(\text{Ann}_\mathbb{T}(\{x\})\). If the ring \(\mathbb{T}\) can be understood from the context, the subscript \(\mathbb{T}\) can be omitted.

**Remark 3** Since the ring \(\mathbb{T}\) is a module over itself, \(S\) in the previous definition may be taken to be \(\mathbb{T}\) itself. So, the annihilator of \(S\) is the set of all elements of the ring \(\mathbb{T}\) that "annihilate" \(S\), the elements for which \(S\) is torsion.

**Theorem 4**
\[ \mathbb{D} = \text{Ann}(\mathbb{T}). \]
Proof. The proof we split into two parts:

(a) The exists real $\gamma$ such that

$$D = \{ \Gamma \in T \mid \exists \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \}.$$  

"If."
If $\Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, then $A(\Gamma) = -B(\Gamma) = 3\gamma^2$. So, $A(\Gamma) + B(\Gamma) = 0$.

"Only if."
Let $\Gamma = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T$ where $X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $A(\Gamma) + B(\Gamma) = 0$. We have: $\Gamma^* = (X_w, -X_v, -X_u)$. Then $0 = A(\Gamma) + B(\Gamma) = \Gamma \otimes \Gamma^*$ and by Lemma 6 there exists a real $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma)$.

Indeed, the system of equations

\[
\begin{cases}
X_w + X_u = 0 \\
X_u + X_v = 0 \\
X_w - X_v = 0
\end{cases}
\]

has a solution

\[
\begin{cases}
X_w = \gamma \\
X_u = -\gamma \\
X_v = \gamma
\end{cases}
\]

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the complexity of explanation, note that the linear transform $(X_w, X_u, X_v) \rightarrow (w, u, v)$, where

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{2}w &= X_w - X_v \\
\sqrt{2}u &= X_w + X_u \\
\sqrt{2}v &= X_u + X_v
\end{align*}
\]

and $w, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, is not regular, because its system determinant is zero:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{vmatrix} = 0.
\]

(b) The set $D$ is a $T$-module.

Let us substitute $M = D$ and $\oplus = \triangleleft, \otimes = \odot$ reduced to $D$ in Definition 5. Since $D \subset T$ as a set, it is needed to distinguish of multiplication unit $1_D$ for the $T$-module $D$, and the unit of the ring $T$ itself, which is $w$, respectively.
By the previous part (a) of the proof, there exists real \( \gamma \) such that \((\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in \mathbb{D}\). By Definition 1,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{pmatrix}
\otimes (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma).
\]
So, the element \(1_D = \left(\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)\) is the unit of \(\otimes\) multiplication in \(\mathbb{D}\). Other properties of \(T\)-module \(D\) are trivial and therefore omitted.

Given together parts (a) and (b) of the proof we obtain that \(D = \text{Ann}(T)\).

\[\square\]

**Remark 4** Vectors \((\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\), form a line, it is a diagonal of the fourths and sixths octants of the space \(E_3\).

**Example 2** Let \(x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) = (\gamma + \eta, -\gamma, \gamma)\), where \(\gamma, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \eta \neq 0\). Then \(A(x) + B(x) = \eta^2 > 0\).

**Theorem 5** The set \(D\) is an ideal in the ring \(T\).

**Proof.** Let us verify the properties of the ideal \(D\).

(i) If \(\Gamma, \Theta \in D\), then \(\Gamma \otimes \Theta = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \otimes (\theta, -\theta, \theta) \in D\) for some \(\gamma, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\).

(ii) Let \(\Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in D\) where \(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\). Let \(x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T\) where \(X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R}\). Then \(\Gamma \otimes x \in D\). Indeed,
\[
\Gamma \otimes x = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \otimes (X_w, X_u, X_v)
= (X_w\gamma - X_u\gamma + X_v\gamma, -X_w\gamma + X_u\gamma - X_v\gamma, X_w\gamma - X_u\gamma + X_v\gamma)
= (\beta, -\beta, \beta) \in D,
\]
where \(\beta = X_w\gamma - X_u\gamma + X_v\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\).

Thus, \(D\) is an ideal in ring \(T\). It is a diagonal of the fourths and sixths octants of \(E_3\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 10** Let \(\Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in D\) where \(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\). Then
\[
\Gamma^* = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in D
\]
and
\[
\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^* \in D.
\]
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Proof. For the arbitrary \( x \in T \), \( x^* = (X_w, X_u, X_v)^* = (X_w, -X_v, -X_u) \) and hence
\[
\Gamma^* = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma)^* = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma).
\]
Also,
\[
\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^* = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \otimes (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) = (3\gamma, -3\gamma, 3\gamma) \in \mathbb{D}.
\]

Lemma 11 Let \( x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in T \) where \( X_w, X_u, X_v \in \mathbb{R} \). Then
\[
x \otimes x^* = (A, B, -B) = (A + B)w \oplus (-B, B, -B) = (A + B)w \oplus \Gamma,
\]
where
\[
A = X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2, \quad B = X_wX_u + X_vX_u - X_wX_v,
\]
and \( \Gamma = (-B, B, -B) \in \mathbb{D} \).

Proof. A trivial verification by Definition 1. \( \square \)

11 The factor ring \( T/\mathbb{D} \)

We can factorize the set \( T \) with the ideal \( \mathbb{D} \). The following lemma is written in the form of equivalence classes.

Lemma 12 Let \( x, y \in T \). Then

(i) \( (x \oplus \mathbb{D}) \oplus (y \oplus \mathbb{D}) = (x \oplus y) \oplus \mathbb{D}, \)

(ii) \( (x \oplus \mathbb{D}) \ominus (y \oplus \mathbb{D}) = (x \ominus y) \oplus \mathbb{D}, \)

(iii) \( (x \oplus \mathbb{D}) \otimes (y \oplus \mathbb{D}) = (x \otimes y) \oplus \mathbb{D}, \)

(iv) if \( y \notin \mathbb{D} \) then \( \frac{x}{y} \oplus \mathbb{D}_T = \frac{x}{y} \oplus \mathbb{D}; \)

(v) The vector space \( T/\mathbb{D} \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) is isomorphic to the field \( \mathbb{C} \).
Proof. The assertions (i)–(iii) are only a collection of the commonly known facts about factor rings applied to the situation in the present paper. Concerning (iv), the situation is similar but the difference that \( y \notin \mathcal{D} \). In the usual theory, \( y \) is not an element of the set of all zero divisors set, in our case, \( y \) is not any annihilator (the situation much more stronger).

(v) Since \( \mathcal{D} \) is an ideal of all annihilators, a line, the algebra \( \mathbb{T}/\mathcal{D} \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) is a two-dimensional factor field. By the mentioned in the Preliminary Frobenius theorem (1877), the resulting field is isomorphic with \( \mathbb{C} \).

Since \( \dim \mathbb{T}/\mathcal{D} = 2 \) and \( \mathbb{T}/\mathcal{D} \) is a field, the factor ring \( \mathbb{T}/\mathcal{D} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \). □

Example 3 Let \( w = (1, 0, 0), \ v = (0, 0, 1) \). The vector \( u = (0, 1, 0) \) is a linearly dependent vector in \( \mathbb{T}/\mathcal{D} \). We have:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{w} \oplus \mathbf{v} &= (1, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 1) = (0, 1, 0) \oplus (1, -1, 1) = \mathbf{u} \oplus \Gamma,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \Gamma = (1, -1, 1) \in \mathcal{D} \).

12 Algebraic structure of \( \mathbb{T} \)

Besides \( \mathcal{D} \), there is another important ideal of \( \mathbb{T} \) which is a real linear span of the set \( \{ \mathbf{w} \oplus \mathbf{u} = (1, 1, 0), \mathbf{v} \ominus \mathbf{w} = (-1, 0, 1) \} \). It can be verified easy.

The following theorem is a collection of results of the previous theory.

Theorem 6 Let the ring \( \mathbb{T} \) be given which is an Archimedean vector space \( \mathbb{E}_3 \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) equipped with the operation \( \otimes \).

The set
\[
\mathcal{G} = (\alpha - \beta, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}
\]
is an ideal in \( \mathbb{T} \).

The set
\[
\mathcal{D} = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \in \mathbb{T} \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{R}
\]
is an ideal in \( \mathbb{T} \).

The ring \( \mathbb{T} \) is a parallelepiped sum of two ideals \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \),
\[
\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{G}
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{D} = \Lambda.
\]
The ideal $\mathbb{D}$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{R}$. The unit of $\mathbb{D}$ is \((\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})\), i.e.,
\((\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \otimes (\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma)\) for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

The $\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{G}$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{G}$ isomorphic with $\mathbb{C}$, where the "real unit" is \((\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})\) and the "imaginary unit" is \((0, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}})\).

**Proof.** Note only that for the ideal $\mathbb{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Indeed, by Definition 1, we have:
\[
\left(0, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \otimes \left(0, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\right) = \ominus \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right).
\]

Note also that $\Lambda$ is null of this Gaussian complex plane and concerning the "real unit," there holds:
\[
\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right).
\]

□

**Corollary 1** $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{D}$.

### 13 An absolute value on $\mathbb{T}$

A Hausdorff topology on $\mathbb{T}$ is determined with an absolute value notion, cf. [8].

**Definition 6** Let $x = (X_w, X_u, X_v) \in \mathbb{T}$. An absolute value of the element $x$ is a non-negative real number $\|x\| : x \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that
\[
\|x\| = \sqrt{A + B},
\]
where
\[
A = X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2, \quad B = X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w.
\]

The absolute value has following properties:

**Theorem 7** Let $x = (X_w, X_u, X_v), y = (Y_w, Y_u, Y_v) \in \mathbb{T}; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \in \mathbb{D}$.

Then
(i) \( \| \Gamma \| = 0 \);

(ii) \( \| \gamma x \| = |\gamma| \cdot \| x \| \);

(iii) \( \| x \| \geq 0 \);

(iv) \( \| x \otimes y \| = \| x \| \cdot \| y \| \);

(v) \( \| \Gamma \oplus x \| = \| x \| \);

(vi) \( \| w \| = \| u \| = \| v \| = 1 \);

(vii) \( \| \Delta \| = 1 \);

(viii) \( \| (0, -\gamma, \gamma) \| = \| (\gamma, -\gamma, 0) \| = \| (\gamma, 0, \gamma) \| = \| (0, 0, \gamma) \| = \| (0, -\gamma, 0) \| = \| (\gamma, 0, 0) \| = |\gamma| \geq 0 \);

(ix) \( \| x \| = \sqrt{\| x \otimes x^* \|} \);

(x) \( \| x + y \| \leq \| x \| + \| y \| \).

(xi) \( \| (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}) \| = 1, \| (0, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}) \| = 1 \).

**Proof.**

(i) There exists an \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma) \) is a zero divisor. Then \( \| \Gamma \| = 0 \) from Definition 6.

(ii) This statement trivially follows from Definition 6 and (20).

(iii) The value \( A + B \) is a sum of quadrants of real numbers, therefore

\[
\| x \| = \sqrt{A + B} \geq 0.
\]

(iv) By Lemma 2, Definition 4, Theorem 7(ii),

\[
\| x \otimes y \|^2 w = (x \otimes y) \otimes (x \otimes y)^* = (x \otimes y) \otimes (x^* \otimes y^*)
\]

\[
= (x \otimes x^*) \otimes (y \otimes y^*) = (\| x \|^2 \cdot \| y \|^2) w.
\]

Hence \( \| x \otimes y \| = \| x \| \cdot \| y \| \);
(v) $\|\mathbf{x} \oplus \Gamma\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ is implied from $\Gamma = (\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma)$ and representation of the number $A + B$ as a sum of quadrants.

(vi) $\|\mathbf{w}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$ can be evaluated directly from definition;

(vii)

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{3}[\mathbf{w} \oplus 2\mathbf{u} \oplus 2(-\mathbf{v})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}[(1, 0, 0) \oplus 2(0, 1, 0) \oplus 2(0, 0, -1)] = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}\right),$$

we have

$$\|\Delta\| = \sqrt{A + B}$$

$$= \sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^2\right] + \left[\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right]} = 1.$$ 

(viii) By the item (v) and Definition 6

(ix)

$$\sqrt{\|\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}^*\|} = \sqrt{\|(A + B)\mathbf{w} \oplus (B, -B, B)\|}$$

$$= \sqrt{\|(A + B)\mathbf{w}\|} = \sqrt{A + B} = \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$ 

(x) If $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = \Lambda$, then the triangle inequality holds trivially.

If one of coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is non-zero, then apply the item (v) such that without loss of generality we may suppose that both $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y}$ are of the following form: $\mathbf{x} = (0, X_u, X_v)$, $\mathbf{y} = (0, Y_u, Y_v)$, respectively. Hence also $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = (0, X_u + Y_u, X_v + Y_v)$.

By Definition 6

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{(X_w^2 + X_u^2 + X_v^2) + (X_wX_u + X_uX_v - X_vX_w)}$$

$$= \sqrt{X_u^2 + X_v^2 + X_uX_v} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{X_u}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{X_v}{2}\right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{X_u}{2}\right) \left(\frac{X_v}{2}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{|X_u + X_v|}{\sqrt{2}}. \ (24)$$
Analogously,
\[ \|y\| = \frac{|Y_u + Y_v|}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|x \oplus y\| = \frac{|(X_u + Y_u) + (X_v + Y_v)|}{\sqrt{2}}, \]
which implies together
\[ \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|. \]

(xi) A numeric verification by Definition 6. \[\square\]

14 Possible applications to colour vision

In a simplified explanation, three colours (red R, blue B, green G) are satisfactorily enough for a human colour vision modelling. For instance, it is commonly known the white light decomposition with a glass prism or diffraction grating.

The approximate utilization of complex plane structure is natural commonly accepted because the eye retina is flat. In detail, a real vector space operations in the plane are enough to model the black-white vision. Operation of multiplication with linearly dependent R,G,B inputs could model the colour shade mixing. Such a planar approximation of vision is used in the construction of colour TV-screens, used in the colour photography, colour painting, etc. Note that all these kinds of illusions of reality in the human brain uses two successive "planar" reflections.

In [4] we constructed a Gaussian complex plane spanned on three linearly dependent non collinear non-zero vectors. Having in mind the R,G,B colour decomposition of white light, a point in an Gaussian complex plane is a sum of three colours of various intensity (the so called wheel of colours).

Via mathematics in this paper, we can work in three dimensions and the biological (human) vision is modelled only as one reflection of light to a plane (i.e., the brain processing of the factorization), biologically it means one projection to the retina. In short, we are dealing with two linearly depending structures concerning vision: the R,G,B complex plane colour imagination and the complex plane isomorphism as a factor ring in the \(\mathbb{E}_3\) (spatial effects of vision).

In [5], the R,G,B triples of colours are represented via functionals modifying the approach from [4].
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