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Abstract

Given a regular (connected) graph $\Gamma = (X, E)$ with adjacency matrix $A$, $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues, and diameter $D$, we give a characterization of when its distance matrix $A_D$ is a polynomial in $A$, in terms of the adjacency spectrum of $\Gamma$ and the arithmetic (or harmonic) mean of the numbers of vertices at distance $\leq D - 1$ of every vertex. The same results is proved for any graph by using its Laplacian matrix $L$ and corresponding spectrum. When $D = d$ we reobtain the spectral excess theorem characterizing distance-regular graphs.
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1 Preliminaries

Let $\Gamma = (X, E)$ be a (simple and connected) graph on $n = |X|$ vertices, with adjacency matrix $A$, and spectrum $\text{sp} \Gamma = \{\lambda_0^m(\lambda_0), \lambda_1^m(\lambda_1), \ldots, \lambda_d^m(\lambda_d)\}$, where $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_d$ are the distinct eigenvalues, and the superscripts stand for their multiplicities $m_i = m(\lambda_i)$. If $\Gamma$ has diameter $D$, we denote by $\Gamma_i(x)$ the set of vertices at distance $i = 1, \ldots, D$ from $x \in X$, and $k_i(x) = |\Gamma_i(x)|$. We abbreviate $k_1(x)$ by $k(x)$, the degree of vertex $x$.

Given to square matrices $M, N \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, let $\text{sum}(M)$ denote the sum of all entries of $M$, so that $\text{tr}(MN) = \text{sum}(M \circ N)$, where ‘$\circ$’ stand for the Hadamard (or entrywise) product. The predistance polynomials $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_d$ of $\Gamma$, introduced in [9], are a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_A = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(f(A)g(A)) = \frac{1}{n} \text{sum}(f(A) \circ g(A)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^d m(\lambda_i) f(\lambda_i) g(\lambda_i),$$

normalized in such a way that $\|p_i\|_A^2 = p_i(\lambda_0)$. For instance, since $\text{tr}(A^h) = n(A^h, I)_A = \sum_{i=0}^d m(\lambda_i) \lambda_i^h$, the two first predistance polynomials are $p_0(x) = 1$ and $p_1(x) = \frac{\lambda}{k} x$, with $k$
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being the average degree of $\Gamma$, see also Lemma [22] (It is known that $\overline{k} \leq \lambda_0$ with equality if and only if $\Gamma$ is regular.) Moreover, the value of the highest degree polynomial $p_d$ at $\lambda_0$ can be computed from $\text{sp}\Gamma$ as

$$p_d(\lambda_0) = n \left( \sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{\phi_i^2}{m_i\phi_i^2} \right)^{-1},$$

where $\phi_i = \prod_{j \neq i}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$, $i = 0, \ldots, d$ (see [19]).

The predistance matrices $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_d$ are then defined by $P_i = p_i(A)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$. By [1] Prop. 2.2, there exist numbers $\alpha_i, \beta_i$, and $\gamma_i$ such that $PP' = \beta P' - \alpha P + \gamma_i P_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, d$, where $P = P_0$, $P' = P_{d+1} = 0$, $\gamma_1 = 1$, and $\alpha_0 = 0$. Also, if we define the polynomial $p_{d+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_d)p_d(x) - \beta_{d-1}p_{d-1}(x)$, it can be shown that $p_{d+1}(A) = 0$, and the distinct eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ are precisely the zeros of $p_{d+1}$.

The above names come from the fact that, if $\Gamma$ is a distance-regular graph, then the $p_i$'s and $P_i$'s correspond to the well-known distance polynomials and distance matrices $A_i$ in particular. In fact, a known characterization states that $\Gamma$ is distance-regular if and only if such polynomials satisfy $p_i(A) = A_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, D$. Moreover, in this case, $D = d$. If we do not impose that the degree of each polynomial coincide with its subindex, then it can be $D < d$ and the graph is called distance-polynomial, a concept introduced by Weichsel [11].

In fact, if $D = d$, the first author, Garriga, and Yebra [8] proved the following.

**Proposition 1.1** A regular graph $\Gamma$ with diameter $D$ and $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues is distance-regular if and only if $D = d$ and its highest degree predistance polynomial satisfies $p_d(A) = A_d$.

From the predistance polynomials, we also consider their sums $q_i = p_0 + \cdots + p_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, d$, which satisfy $1 = q_0(\lambda_0) < q_1(\lambda_0) < \cdots < q_d(\lambda_0) = |X|$, with $q_0 = H$ being the Hoffman polynomial that characterizes the regularity of $\Gamma$ by the equality $H(A) = J$, the all-1 matrix (see [10]).

We also recall that the Laplacian matrix of $\Gamma$ is the matrix $L = K - A$, where $K = \text{diag}(k(x_1), \ldots, k(x_n))$, where $x_i \in X$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The Laplacian spectrum of $\Gamma$ is $\text{sp}_L\Gamma = \text{sp}L = \{\theta_0^{m(\theta_0)}, \theta_1^{m(\theta_1)}, \ldots, \theta_d^{m(\theta_d)}\}$ with $\theta_0 = 0 < \theta_1 < \cdots < \theta_d$. In particular, since $\Gamma$ is connected, $m_0 = 1$, and the eigenvalue 0 has eigenvector $\mathbf{j}$, the all-1 vector. As in the case of the adjacency spectrum, we can define the **Laplacian predistance polynomials** $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_d$ as the sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_L = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(f(L)g(L)) = \frac{1}{n} \text{sum}(f(L) \circ g(L)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{d} m(\theta_i)f(\theta_i)g(\theta_i),$$

normalized in such a way that $\|r_i\|^2_L = r_i(0)$. The following result gives the first two Laplacian predistance polynomials.

**Lemma 1.2** Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with Laplacian matrix $L = K - A$. Let $\overline{k^2}$ be the average of the square degrees of $\Gamma$. Then

(i) $r_0(x) = 1$.

(ii) $r_1(x) = \frac{\overline{k}}{k(\overline{k} - 1) - \overline{k}}(x - \overline{k})$.

**Proof.** We only need to prove (ii). By using the method of Gram-Schmidt, we first find a polynomial $t(x)$ orthogonal to $r_0 = 1$. That is, $t(x) = x - \frac{\langle x, r_0 \rangle_L}{\|r_0\|^2_L}r_0(x)$, where

$$\langle x, r_0 \rangle_L = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(L) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in X} k(x) = \overline{k}$$

and $\|r_0\|^2_L = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(I^2) = 1$. Therefore, we can conclude that $t(x)$ satisfies (ii).
Now, \( r_1(x) = \alpha t(x) \), where \( \alpha \) is a constant to be determined by the normalization condition \( \|r_1\|_L = r_1(0) \), which gives \( \alpha = \frac{(0)}{\|t\|_L} \). Moreover,

\[
\|t\|^2_L = \|x - \kappa\|^2_L = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\{K - A - \kappa I\}^2) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(K^2 + A^2 + \kappa^2 I - 2KA - 2\kappa K + 2\kappa A)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in X} k(x)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in X} k(x) + \kappa^2 - 2\kappa \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in X} k(x) = \kappa^2 + \kappa + \kappa^2/2 - 2\kappa^2
\]

Then, from \( r_1(x) = \frac{(0)}{\|t\|_L} t(x) \) we get the result.

Also, as in the case of the predistance polynomials \( p_i \)’s, we have

\[
r_d(0) = n \left( \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\psi_i^2}{m(\theta_i)} \right)^{-1}
\]

with \( \psi_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (\theta_i - \theta_j) \), \( i = 0, \ldots, d \) (see [1]).

The analogous of Proposition 1.3 for not necessarily regular graphs, was proved by Van Dam and the first author in [3].

**Proposition 1.3** A graph \( \Gamma \) with Laplacian matrix \( L \), \( d \) distinct Laplacian eigenvalues, and diameter \( D \) is distance-regular if and only if \( D = d \) and its highest degree Laplacian predistance polynomial satisfies \( r_d(L) = A_d \).

In fact, the regularity of \( \Gamma \) is already implied by the equation \( r_1(L) = A \), as shown in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4** Let \( \Gamma \) be a graph with adjacency and Laplacian matrices \( A \) and \( L \), respectively, and Laplacian predistance polynomial \( r_1 \). Then, \( \Gamma \) is k-regular if and only if \( r_1(L) = A \).

**Proof.** From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, \( \kappa^2 \geq (\kappa)^2 \), with equality if and only if \( \Gamma \) is k-regular. In this case, Lemma 1.2(ii) becomes \( r_1(x) = k - x \) and, hence, \( r_1(L) = kI - (kI - A) = A \). Conversely, if \( r_1(L) = r_1(K - A) = A \), by equating the coefficients of \( A \) in (1.3), we get \( \frac{\kappa}{k(k-1)-k^2} = -1 \), whence \( k^2 = (\kappa)^2 \), \( \Gamma \) is k-regular, and \( K = kI \).

In this context, we also consider the sum polynomials \( s_i = r_0 + \cdots + r_i \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, d \), with \( H_L = s_d \) being a Hoffman-like polynomial satisfying \( H(L) = J \) (independently of whether \( \Gamma \) is regular or not). For more details, see [3].

In our results we use the following simple result.

**Lemma 1.5** Let \( \Gamma = (X, E) \) be a graph with adjacency matrix \( A \) and Laplacian matrix \( L \). Given a vertex \( x \in X \) and a polynomial \( p \in \mathbb{R}_d[t] \),

(i) If \( \Gamma \) is k-regular, then \( \sum_{y \in X} p(A)_{xy} = p(k) \).

(ii) If \( \Gamma \) is a general graph, then \( \sum_{y \in X} p(L)_{xy} = p(0) \).

**Proof.** (i) Since \( \Gamma \) is k-regular, \( (k, j) \) is an eigenpair of \( A \) and, hence, \( p(A)j = p(k)j \). Then, the result follows by considering the \( x^{th} \) component of both vectors. Case (ii) is proved in the same way by considering that \( (0, j) \) is an eigenpair of \( L \).
2 A version of the spectral excess theorem

The spectral excess theorem, due to Fiol and Garriga [9], states that a regular (connected) graph \( \Gamma \) is distance-regular if and only if its spectral excess (a number which can be computed from the spectrum of \( \Gamma \)) equals its average excess (the mean of the numbers of vertices at maximum distance from every vertex), see Van Dam [2], and Fiol, Gago, Garriga [7] for short proofs.

In this section we find a possible blue solution to the problem of deciding whether, from the adjacency spectrum of a (regular) graph \( \Gamma \) and the harmonic (or arithmetic) mean of the numbers \( \langle |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)| \rangle_{x \in X} \), we can decide that \( A_D \) is a polynomial in \( A \). To be more precise, we provide a characterization of when \( A_D \in \text{span}\{p_0(A), \ldots, p_d(A)\} \), where the \( p_i \)'s are the predistance polynomials.

Before proving the main result, note that, for any \( x \in X \) and any \( C \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R}) \), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

\[
\left( \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} (C_{xy})^2 \right) \left( \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} 1^2 \right) \geq \left( \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} C_{xy} \right)^2.
\]

That is,

\[
\sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} (C_{xy})^2 \geq \frac{1}{|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \left( \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} C_{xy} \right)^2,
\]

and equality holds if and only if all the values of \( C_{xy} \) are the same for all \( y \not\in \Gamma_D(x) \).

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( \Gamma = (X, R) \) be a connected \( k \)-regular graph with \( d + 1 \) distinct eigenvalues, diameter \( D \), and predistance polynomials \( \{p_i\}_{i=0}^d \). Then,

\[
\frac{|X|}{\sum_{x \in X} |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \geq q_{D-1}(k) = |X| - \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k),
\]

with equality if and only if \( A_D = \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(A) \).

**Proof.** We just adjust the proof of [2] Lemma 1, together with Lemma [14] and (5). Recalling that \( q_{D-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} p_i \), we have that

\[
q_{D-1}(k) = \langle q_{D-1}, q_{D-1} \rangle_A = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{tr}(q_{D-1}(A)^2) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (q_{D-1}(A)^2)_{xx}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} (q_{D-1}(A)_{xy})^2 \geq \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \frac{1}{|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \left[ \sum_{y \not\in \Gamma_D(x)} q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} \right]^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \frac{1}{|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} |q_{D-1}(k)|^2,
\]

and this yields

\[
\frac{|X|}{\sum_{x \in X} |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \geq q_{D-1}(k).
\]

Since \( |X| = \sum_{i=0}^d p_i(k) = q_{D-1}(k) + \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k) \), the inequality follows.
If equality holds, then for each \( x \) the values of \( q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} \) are the same, say \( \alpha \), for all \( y \not\in \Gamma_D(x) \). Moreover, since \( A \) is symmetric, \( q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} = q_{D-1}(A)_{yx} \) for any \( x \) and \( y \not\in \Gamma_D(x) \), so that \( q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} = \alpha \) for any \( x \) and \( y \not\in \Gamma_D(x) \). Also, by Lemma 1.3(d), \( \sum_{y \in X} q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} = q_{D-1}(k) = n_{D-1} \alpha \), where \( n_{D-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} |\Gamma_i(x)| \) for any \( x \). Finally, from \( \|q_{D-1}\|^2 = q_{D-1}(k) \), we have

\[
\|q_{D-1}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} tr(q_{D-1}(A)^2) = \frac{1}{n} \alpha^2 \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} A_i = n_{D-1} \alpha^2 = n_{D-1} \alpha,
\]

so that \( \alpha = 1 \). That is, \( q_{D-1}(A)_{xy} = 1 \) for each pair of vertices \( x \) and \( y \) at distance less than \( D - 1 \). Consequently, \( q_{D-1}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} A_i = J - A_D \), which yields \( A_D = \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(A) \).

Conversely, assume that \( \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(A) = A_D \). Then, \( A_D j = \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k) j \), and with \( |\Gamma_D(x)| = \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k) \), the equality follows.

As a simple consequence, notice that, if \( \Gamma \) is a \( k \)-regular graph of diameter 2, then \( |X| - |\Gamma_2(x)| = 1 + k \) for any \( x \in X \). Besides, \( q_1(k) = p_0(k) + p_1(k) = 1 + k \). Thus, equality in Theorem 2.1 holds, and \( \Gamma \) is distance polynomial, as already proved Weichel in [11]. Another consequence of Theorem 2.1 is the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2** Let \( \Gamma = (X, R) \) be a connected \( k \)-regular graph on \( n \) vertices, with spectrum \( sp \Gamma = \{ \lambda_0(= k)m(\lambda_0), \lambda_1^{-1}m(\lambda_1), \ldots, \lambda_d^{-1}m(\lambda_d) \} \), diameter \( D \), and predistance polynomials \( \{p_i\}_{i=0}^d \). Then the following holds.

(i) In general,

\[
\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|) \geq |X| - \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k)
\]

with equality if and only if \( A_D = \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(A) \).

(ii) If \( A_D \in \text{span}\{I, A, \ldots, A^d\} \) then

\[
|\Gamma_D(x)| \leq \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k).
\]

(iii) If \( \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|) = |X| - \sum_{i=D}^d p_i(k) \) then \( A_D \in \text{span}\{I, A, \ldots, A^d\} \).

(iv) The graph \( \Gamma \) is distance-regular if and only if \( D = d \) and

\[
\frac{|X|}{\sum_{x \in X} |X|-|\Gamma_D(x)|} = q_{d-1}(k) = |X| - p_d(k) = n \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\phi_i^2}{m_i \phi_i^2} \right)^{-1} \right].
\]

or, alternatively,

\[
\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|) = p_d(k) = n \left( \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\phi_i^2}{m_i \phi_i^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]

**Proof.** (i) Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) be real numbers. Recall that the numbers

\[
AM = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad HM = \frac{n}{\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_n}}
\]
are the arithmetic and harmonic mean for the numbers \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\), respectively, and we have \(AM \geq HM\). Equalities occur if and only if \(a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n\). The result now follows from Theorem 2.1. The proofs in (ii) and (iii) are immediate from (i), or from Theorem 2.1. The results in (iv) correspond to different versions of the spectral excess theorem given in [2] [M] and [9], respectively. Thus, (5) is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1, whereas (6) follows from Theorem 2.1 and (i). In these two cases, we also used \(|X| = n\) and \(|r_1(L)|\). 

3 The Laplacian approach

**Theorem 3.1** Let \(\Gamma = (X, R)\) be a connected graph with \(d + 1\) distinct eigenvalues, diameter \(D\), and Laplacian predistance polynomials \(\{ r_i \}_{i=0}^d\). Then,

\[
\sum_{x \in X} \frac{|X|}{|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \geq s_{D-1}(0) = |X| - \sum_{i=0}^d r_i(0),
\]

with equality if and only if \(A_D = \sum_{i=0}^d r_i(L)\). Moreover, in this case, if \(D = 2\), \(\Gamma\) is regular.

**Proof.** The proof follows the same line of reasoning that in Theorem 2.1 with the polynomial \(s_{D-1}\) instead of \(q_{D-1}\). Thus, we have:

\[
s_{D-1}(0) = \|s_{D-1}\|_L^2 = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{tr}(s_{D-1}(L)^2) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (s_{D-1}(L)^2)_{xx} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in \Gamma_D(x)} (s_{D-1}(L)_{xy})^2 \geq \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \left| |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)| \right| \left[ \sum_{y \in \Gamma_D(x)} s_{D-1}(L)_{xy} \right]^2
\]

and this yields

\[
\sum_{x \in X} \frac{|X|}{|X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} \geq s_{D-1}(0) = |X| - \sum_{i=0}^d r_i(0).
\]

If equality holds, then for each \(x\) the values of \(s_{D-1}(L)_{xy}\) are the same, say \(\alpha\), for all \(y \notin \Gamma_D(x)\). Moreover, since \(L\) is symmetric, \(s_{D-1}(L)_{xy} = s_{D-1}(L)_{yx}\) for any \(x\) and \(y \notin \Gamma_D(x)\). Also, by Lemma 1.1 (ii), \(\sum_{y \in X} s_{D-1}(L)_{xy} = s_{D-1}(0) = n_{D-1}\alpha\), where \(n_{D-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} |\Gamma_i(x)|\) for every \(x \in X\). Finally, from \(\|s_{D-1}\|_L^2 = s_{D-1}(0)\), we have

\[
\|s_{D-1}\|_L^2 = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(s_{D-1}(L)^2) = \frac{1}{n} \alpha^2 \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} A_i = n_{D-1} \alpha^2 = n_{D-1} \alpha,
\]

so that \(\alpha = 1\). That is, \(s_{D-1}(L)_{xy} = 1\) for each pair of vertices \(x\) and \(y\) at distance less than \(D - 1\). Consequently, \(s_{D-1}(L) = J - A_D\), which yields \(A_D = \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} r_i(L)\). In particular, if equality holds and \(D = 2\), we have \(I + A = s_1(L) = r_0(L) + r_1(L) = I + r_1(L)\). Thus, \(r_1(L) = A\) and, by Lemma 1.2 \(\Gamma\) is regular.
Conversely, assume that \( \sum_{i=0}^{d} r_i(L) = A_D \). Then, \( A_D j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} r_i(0) j \), and with \( |\Gamma_D(x)| = \sum_{i=0}^{d} r_i(k) \), and the equality follows.

From this theorem, we obtain the analogous results of Corollary 2.2(i)-(iv). In particular, the analogous of (iv) yields the following characterization of distance-regularity for a (not necessarily regular) graph.

**Corollary 3.2** Let \( \Gamma = (X, R) \) be a graph on \( n \) vertices, with Laplacian matrix \( L \), Laplacian spectrum \( \text{sp} L = \{\theta_0(= 0)^{m(\theta_0)}, \theta_1^{m(\theta_1)}, \ldots, \theta_d^{m(\theta_d)}\} \), diameter \( D \), and Laplacian predistance polynomials \( \{r_i\}_{i=0}^{d} \). Then, \( \Gamma \) is distance-regular if and only if \( D = d \) and

\[
\frac{|X|}{\sum_{x \in X} |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)|} = s_{d-1}(0) = |X| - r_d(0) = n \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{\psi_i^2}{m(\theta_i)\psi_i^2} \right)^{-1} \right]. \tag{8}
\]

**Proof.** Use Theorem 2.1, Proposition 1.3, and (2).

Also, as in Corollary 2.2(iv), the above result implies the characterization given in [3] by using the arithmetic mean of the numbers \( |X| - |\Gamma_D(x)| \).

4 Open problems

We finish the paper by formulating some open problems which could be of interest in further studies.

**Research problem 4.1** According to Corollary 2.2(iv), if \( d = D \) and equality in (4) holds, then \( \Gamma \) is distance-regular. Classify all graphs for which equality in (4) holds.

**Research problem 4.2** Let \( \Gamma = (X, R) \) be a walk-regular graph (that is, for each \( \ell \geq 0 \), the number of closed walks of length \( \ell \) from a vertex \( x \) to itself is the same for each \( x \)) with diameter \( D \) and \( d + 1 \) distinct eigenvalues. Assume that \( D < d \). Prove or disprove that

\[ |\Gamma_D(x)| \leq p_d(k) \quad \forall x \in X. \]

More generally, prove or disprove the same when \( \Gamma \) is regular.

**Research problem 4.3** Let \( \Gamma = (X, R) \) be a graph with diameter \( D \), adjacency matrix \( A \), and \( d + 1 \) distinct eigenvalues. Let \( f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_d \) be linearly independent polynomials satisfying \( \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_i(A) = J \), where \( f_i \), for \( i = 0, \ldots, d \), does not need to be of degree \( i \). Find under what conditions on such polynomials we can obtain a version of the spectral excess theorem for quotient polynomial graphs. (For a definition of quotient polynomial graphs, see [6]).
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