

The Hodge-FVH Correspondence

Si-Qi Liu, Di Yang, Youjin Zhang, Chunhui Zhou

Abstract

The Hodge-FVH correspondence establishes a relationship between the special cubic Hodge integrals and an integrable hierarchy, which is called the fractional Volterra hierarchy. In this paper we prove this correspondence. As an application of this result, we prove a gap condition for certain special cubic Hodge integrals and give an algorithm for computing the coefficients that appear in the gap condition.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Tau-functions of the FVH	5
3	The topological tau-function of the FVH	14
4	Virasoro constraints	27
5	Proof of Theorem 1.1	32
6	Proofs of Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 1.4	37

1 Introduction

The study of the deep relationship between topology of the Deligne–Mumford moduli space of stable algebraic curves and integrable hierarchies started from Witten’s conjecture [35]. It states that the formal series $Z_{\text{WK}}(t; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{Q}((\epsilon^2))[[t_0, t_1, \dots]]$ defined by

$$Z_{\text{WK}}(t; \epsilon) := \exp \left(\sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}}{k!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_k^{i_k} \right)$$

is a particular tau-function of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) hierarchy. Here, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$ denotes the Deligne–Mumford moduli space of stable algebraic curves of genus g with k distinct marked points, ψ_i denotes the first Chern class of the i th cotangent line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $t := (t_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is the infinite vector of indeterminates. The first proof of Witten’s conjecture was given by Kontsevich in [20], and several other proofs were given in [19, 22, 28, 31].

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37K10, 53D45, 14N35

The Hodge integrals over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$ are some rational numbers defined by

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_k^{i_k} \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_l},$$

where λ_j is the j -th Chern class of the Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E}_{g,k}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$, $j = 0, \dots, g$. For the dimension reason these integrals vanish unless

$$(1.1) \quad i_1 + \cdots + i_k + j_1 + \cdots + j_l = 3g - 3 + k.$$

They reduce to the ψ -class intersection numbers when $j_1 = j_2 = \cdots = 0$. In this paper we consider the generating series of certain cubic Hodge integrals given by

$$(1.2) \quad Z_{\text{cubic}}(t; p, q, r; \epsilon) = e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r)},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r)$, $g \geq 0$ are the genus g cubic Hodge potentials

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}}{k!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_k^{i_k} \mathcal{C}_g(-p) \mathcal{C}_g(-q) \mathcal{C}_g(-r).$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}_g(z) := \sum_{j=0}^g \lambda_j z^j$ denotes the Chern polynomial of $\mathbb{E}_{g,k}$. It was proved in [8] that the formal series u_{cubic} defined by

$$u_{\text{cubic}} := \epsilon^2 \partial_{t_0}^2 \log Z_{\text{cubic}}(t; p, q, r; \epsilon)$$

satisfies an integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian PDEs, called the *cubic Hodge hierarchy*.

The above cubic Hodge integrals given in (1.3) are called special if p, q, r satisfy the following local Calabi–Yau condition:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 0.$$

Geometric significance for these special cubic Hodge integrals is manifested in the localization technique of computing Gromov–Witten invariants for toric three-folds [3, 16, 21, 30], and by the Gopakumar–Mariño–Vafa conjecture regarding the Chern–Simons/string duality [15, 27].

The conjectural Hodge-FVH correspondence [26], which is a generalization of Witten’s conjecture, states that the cubic Hodge hierarchy for the special cubic Hodge integrals is equivalent to the *fractional Volterra hierarchy* (FVH). The goal of this paper is to prove this conjecture. To give a precise statement of the Hodge-FVH correspondence, let us introduce some notations. Denote

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 := \{kp \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}, \quad \mathcal{I}_2 := \{kq \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}, \quad \mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2.$$

The set \mathcal{I} will serve as the set of indices. Also introduce the numbers c_μ , $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ by

$$(1.6) \quad c_\mu := \begin{pmatrix} -\mu/r \\ \mu/p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1, \quad c_\mu := \begin{pmatrix} -\mu/r \\ \mu/q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Hodge-FVH correspondence) *Let $\Lambda := e^{\epsilon \partial_x}$. The formal series*

$$(1.7) \quad u(x, T; \epsilon) := \left(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2r}} - \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2r}} \right) \left(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2q}} - \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2q}} \right) \log Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t(x, T); p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right)$$

satisfies the FVH. More precisely, denote $L = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} + e^u \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{q}}$, then for $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$(1.8) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial L}{\partial T_\mu} = \begin{cases} \left[\left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \right)_+, L \right], & \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1, \\ - \left[\left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \right)_-, L \right], & \mu \in \mathcal{I}_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad t_i(x, T) := \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} \mu^{i+1} c_\mu T_\mu - 1 + x \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}.$$

Moreover, the formal series $Z(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon^2))[[x-1, T]]$ defined by

$$(1.10) \quad Z(x, T; \epsilon) := \exp \left(\frac{p^2 q^2}{(p+q)\epsilon^2} A \right) Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t(x, T); p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right)$$

is a tau-function of the FVH, where A is an element in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$ defined by

$$(1.11) \quad A := \frac{1}{2p^2 q^2} \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} c_{\mu_1} c_{\mu_2} T_{\mu_1} T_{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} \left(x - \frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \right) c_\mu T_\mu + \frac{1}{4} - x.$$

We will give the definition of tau-function of the FVH in Section 2. Note that for the case $(p, q, r) = \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{m+n} \right)$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the time variables T_μ are identified with the time variables s_k in [24] in the following way:

$$T_{b_k} = s_k, \quad k \in (\mathbb{N} - n) \setminus (\{0\} \cup ((m+n)\mathbb{N} - n)),$$

where

$$b_{\alpha+(m+n)\ell} := \begin{cases} \frac{-\alpha}{n} + \ell, & \alpha = -(n-1), \dots, -1, \\ \frac{\alpha}{m} + \ell, & \alpha = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will first consider the *rational case*, i.e.

$$(1.12) \quad p = \frac{1}{m}, \quad q = \frac{1}{n}, \quad r = -\frac{1}{h},$$

where m and n are coprime positive integers, and $h := m+n$. The key idea of our proof is to use the uniqueness theorem of solutions to the loop equation already shown in [24]. We will show that there exists a particular tau-function τ_{top} of the FVH satisfying certain technical conditions required by the uniqueness theorem of [24], and then show that τ_{top} satisfies the Virasoro constraints, which leads to the loop equation, and thus to a proof of the theorem. Theorem 1.1 in the general case is proved by using a continuation argument. These proofs are given in Sections 3–5.

As applications of Theorem 1.1, we prove Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 (see below) on properties of certain special cubic Hodge integrals. Here Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 are presented in [24] without proof. For the presentation of Proposition 1.2, we denote

$$(1.13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(x, T) := \mathcal{H}_g(t(x, T); p, q, r), \quad g \geq 0,$$

where $t(x, T)$ is defined in (1.9).

Proposition 1.2 For the rational case, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(x, T)$, $g \geq 1$ have the following property:

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1(x, T) - \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{24} \log x \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{c_{1; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)}{m!} x^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m - m} T_{\lambda_1} \cdots T_{\lambda_m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(x, T) - \frac{R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)}{x^{2g-2}} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{c_{g; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)}{m!} x^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m - m - (2g-2)} T_{\lambda_1} \cdots T_{\lambda_m}, \quad g \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In these formulae, σ_1, σ_3 are related with m, n by

$$(1.16) \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}, \quad \sigma_3 = \frac{2}{h^3} - \frac{2}{m^3} - \frac{2}{n^3},$$

$c_{g; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$, $g \geq 1$ and $R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$, $g \geq 2$ are certain polynomials of σ_1, σ_3 satisfying the condition

$$(1.17) \quad \deg R_g \leq 3g - 3 \quad \text{with} \quad \deg \sigma_1 = 1, \deg \sigma_3 = 3,$$

and the degree $(3g - 3)$ -part of $R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$ is given by

$$(1.18) \quad \frac{(-1)^g}{2(2g-2)!} \frac{|B_{2g}| |B_{2g-2}|}{2g(2g-2)} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3}{3} - \frac{\sigma_3}{6} \right)^{g-1},$$

where B_j denotes the j th Bernoulli number.

The proof of this proposition is given in Section 6.

The coefficients $R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$ appearing in the formula (1.15) are hard to compute. In [24] we gave the expressions of R_2 and R_3 . Based on the Hodge-FVH correspondence, in particular on the introduction of the previously mentioned tau-function τ_{top} of the FVH, we will give a new algorithm for computing R_g . Indeed, the τ_{top} corresponds to a solution $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ to the FVH, whose initial value $V(x; \epsilon) = u_{\text{top}}(x, T = 0; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x - 1; \epsilon]]$ satisfies the following difference equation:

$$(1.19) \quad \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_m \leq n} e^{\sum_{j=1}^m V(x + \alpha_j m \epsilon - (j - \frac{1}{2})n \epsilon; \epsilon)} = \binom{m+n}{m} x.$$

We will show in Section 3 that this equation has a unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x - 1; \epsilon]]$. We will also show that this unique solution has the form

$$(1.20) \quad V(x; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{m} \log x + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \frac{P_g(m, n)}{x^{2g}},$$

where $P_g(m, n) \in \mathbb{Q}[m, n, m^{-1}]$. Define a sequence of rational numbers $C_k(m, n)$, $k \geq 0$ by the generating function

$$(1.21) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} C_k(m, n) z^{2k} = \frac{nhz^2}{4 \sinh\left(\frac{nz}{2}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{hz}{2}\right)} = 1 - \frac{n^2 + h^2}{24} z^2 + \frac{7n^4 + 10n^2 h^2 + 7h^4}{5760} z^4 + \dots$$

These rational numbers have the following explicit expression:

$$(1.22) \quad C_k(m, n) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(1 - 2^{1-2i})(1 - 2^{1-2k+2i})}{(2i)!(2k - 2i)!} B_{2i} B_{2k-2i} n^{2i} h^{2k-2i}.$$

Proposition 1.3 *The polynomials $R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$ in Proposition 1.2 can be represented in terms of $P_g(m, n)$ and $C_k(m, n)$ as follows:*

$$(1.23) \quad R_g = \frac{(2g - 3)!}{(mnh)^g} \left(m \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{C_{g-k}(m, n) P_k(m, n)}{(2k - 1)!} - C_g(m, n) \right), \quad g \geq 2.$$

By using the Hodge-FVH correspondence we also have the following theorem on the gap condition satisfied by certain special cubic Hodge integrals.

Theorem 1.4 *For the rational case satisfying the condition that one of p, q is equal to 1, the free energies $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(x, T)$, $g \geq 1$ satisfy the following gap condition:*

$$(1.24) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1(x, T)|_{T_{\text{II}}=0} - \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{24} \log x \in \mathbb{C}[[x, T_{\text{I}}]],$$

$$(1.25) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(x, T)|_{T_{\text{II}}=0} - \frac{R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)}{x^{2g-2}} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, T_{\text{I}}]], \quad g \geq 2.$$

Here $T_{\text{I}} = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots)$ and $T_{\text{II}} = (T_\mu)_{\mu \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathbb{N}}$.

The proof of the above theorem is given in Section 6.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we give two definitions of tau-functions of the FVH, and prove the equivalence of these definitions. In Sections 3–5 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, as applications of Theorem 1.1, we give a proof of Proposition 1.2 as well as a proof of the gap phenomenon for certain special cubic Hodge integrals described by Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Boris Dubrovin for his support of the work and very helpful discussions. We would like to thank Shuai Guo, Yongbin Ruan and Don Zagier for their interests and helpful comments. This work is partially supported by NSFC No. 11771238, No. 11725104, No. 11671371. Part of the work of C. Zhou was done during his PhD studies at Tsinghua University; he thanks Tsinghua University for excellent working conditions and financial supports.

2 Tau-functions of the FVH

In this section, we first recall the definition of the tau-function of an arbitrary solution $u(x, T; \epsilon)$ to the FVH that is given in [26]. Then we give an alternative definition, following the ones for the KP and 2D-Toda hierarchies [5, 33, 34], of the tau-function in terms of wave functions of the integrable hierarchy, and show the equivalence of the two definitions. We will use the first definition of the tau-function in Section 3 to construct the topological tau-function τ_{top} of the FVH, and to prove that it has the form of the genus expansion required for the derivation

of the loop equation [24] from the Virasoro constraints. The second definition will be used in Section 4 to prove that the topological tau-function τ_{top} satisfies the Virasoro constraints.

Let us introduce some notations. We denote by \mathcal{A}_u the ring $\mathcal{A}_{u,0}[u_x, u_{xx}, \dots]$ of differential polynomials of u , where $\mathcal{A}_{u,0}$ denotes the ring of smooth functions of u . Note that the shift operator $\Lambda = e^{\epsilon \partial_x}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$. Introduce a gradation \deg on $\mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$, defined via the following degree assignments:

$$(2.1) \quad \deg \partial_x^k u = k, \quad \deg \epsilon = -1,$$

where $k \geq 1$. For convenience, we denote

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \Lambda_3 = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then the operator L introduced in Theorem 1.1 has the form

$$(2.2) \quad L = \Lambda_2 + e^u \Lambda_1^{-1}.$$

We also extend the gradation \deg to the difference operators of the form $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_j \Lambda_3^j \Lambda^a$ with $g_j \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ by assigning $\deg \Lambda = 0$.

2.1 The definition of the tau-function

The following two-point correlation functions in $\mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$ were introduced in [26]:

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda/p} \frac{\Lambda_3^j - 1}{\Lambda_3 - 1} \left(\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(\Lambda_3^{-j} L^{-\frac{\lambda}{r}} \right) \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \Lambda_3^j \right) \right), & \lambda \in \mathcal{I}_1, \\ \Lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda/q} \frac{\Lambda_3^j - 1}{\Lambda_3 - 1} \left(\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(L^{-\frac{\lambda}{r}} \Lambda_3^j \right) \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(\Lambda_3^{-j} L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \right) \right), & \lambda \in \mathcal{I}_2, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$, and res_{Λ_3} means to take ‘‘residue’’ in the following sense:

$$(2.4) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i \Lambda_3^i := a_0.$$

In the above formula (2.3), $L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]((\Lambda_3^{-1}))$ when $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1$, and $L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]((\Lambda_3))$ when $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2$. See in [26] for the precise definition of these fractional powers of L .

Proposition 2.1 ([26]) *Let $u = u(x, T; \epsilon)$ be an arbitrary solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH (1.8). Then the following formulae hold true for any $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$:*

$$(2.5) \quad \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = \Omega_{\mu, \lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}}{\partial T_\nu} = \frac{\partial \Omega_{\mu, \nu}}{\partial T_\lambda},$$

$$(2.6) \quad (\Lambda_3 - 1) \Lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\lambda} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}}.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that for an arbitrary solution $u = u(x, T; \epsilon)$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH, there exists $\tau = \tau(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$ satisfying the relations

$$(2.7) \quad u = \left(\Lambda_3^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\Lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \log \tau,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \epsilon (\Lambda_3 - 1) \Lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial \log \tau}{\partial T_\lambda} = \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\frac{\lambda}{r}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} = \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We call τ the tau-function of the solution u to the FVH. Note that the tau-function τ is uniquely determined by (2.7)–(2.9) up to multiplying by a factor of the form

$$(2.10) \quad \exp \left\{ ax + b + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} d_\lambda T_\lambda \right\},$$

where $a, b, d_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))$ are arbitrary constant Laurent series in ϵ .

Lemma 2.2 *The following relations hold true for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$:*

$$(2.11) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} - c_\lambda e^{\lambda u/p} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]],$$

$$(2.12) \quad \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} - \frac{1}{p+q} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu e^{(\lambda+\mu)u/p} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]],$$

$$(2.13) \quad \deg \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} = 0, \quad \deg \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = 0.$$

Here the constants c_λ, c_μ are defined in (1.6).

Proof From the definition of the fractional powers of L given in [26] we have, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.14) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} \Lambda_3^{-k} - \binom{-\lambda/r}{\lambda/p - k} e^{(\lambda/p - k)u} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]], \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I}_1,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} \Lambda_3^{-k} - \binom{-\lambda/r}{\lambda/q + k} e^{(\lambda/p - k)u} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]], \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I}_2,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \deg \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} \Lambda_3^{-k} = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then by using the definition (2.3) of $\Omega_{\lambda, \mu}$ we find, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1$, that $\deg \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$ and

$$\Omega_{\lambda, \mu} - \frac{1}{p+q} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu e^{(\lambda+\mu)u/p} = \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda/p} j \binom{-\lambda/r}{\lambda/p - j} \binom{-\mu/r}{\mu/p + j} e^{(\lambda+\mu)u/p} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]].$$

In the above equality, the following elementary identity (cf. [26]) is used:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda/p} j \binom{-\lambda/r}{\lambda/p - j} \binom{-\mu/r}{\mu/p + j} = \frac{1}{p+q} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1.$$

This proves (2.11)–(2.13) for the case $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1$. The proof for the rest cases is similar. \square

2.2 An alternative definition of the tau-function

The tau-function of a solution to the FVH can also be defined, following the approach of [5, 33, 34], via the introduction of wave functions for the FVH. To this end, we first introduce the dressing operators.

Lemma 2.3 For an arbitrary solution $u = u(x, T; \epsilon)$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH (1.8), there exist difference operators Φ_1, Φ_2 , called the dressing operators, of the form

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi_1(x, T; \epsilon) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{1,i}(x, T; \epsilon) \Lambda_3^{-i}, \quad \Phi_2(x, T; \epsilon) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{2,i}(x, T; \epsilon) \Lambda_3^i$$

with $a_{1,i}, a_{2,i} \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$, $i \geq 0$ and $a_{1,0}(x, T; \epsilon) \equiv 1$, such that

$$(2.18) \quad L = \Phi_1 \Lambda_2 \Phi_1^{-1} = \Phi_2 \Lambda_1^{-1} \Phi_2^{-1},$$

$$(2.19) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial T_\mu} = - \left(\Phi_1 \Lambda_3^{\mu/p} \Phi_1^{-1} \right)_- \Phi_1, \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \Phi_2}{\partial T_\mu} = \left(\Phi_1 \Lambda_3^{\mu/p} \Phi_1^{-1} \right)_+ \Phi_2, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial T_\mu} = - \left(\Phi_2 \Lambda_3^{-\mu/q} \Phi_2^{-1} \right)_- \Phi_1, \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \Phi_2}{\partial T_\mu} = \left(\Phi_2 \Lambda_3^{-\mu/q} \Phi_2^{-1} \right)_+ \Phi_2, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

These two operators Φ_1 and Φ_2 are uniquely determined by u up to right-multiplications by operators of the form

$$\Phi_1 \mapsto \Phi_1 (1 + c_{1,1} \Lambda_3^{-1} + c_{1,2} \Lambda_3^{-2} + \dots), \quad \Phi_2 \mapsto \Phi_2 (c_{2,0} + c_{2,1} \Lambda_3 + c_{2,2} \Lambda_3^2 + \dots),$$

where $c_{i,j}$ are arbitrary Laurent series of ϵ with constant coefficients.

Proof The equation $L = \Phi_1 \Lambda_2 \Phi_1^{-1}$ is equivalent to the following recursion relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_2 - 1) a_{1,1} &= -e^u, \\ (\Lambda_2 - 1) a_{1,i} &= -e^u \Lambda_1^{-1} a_{1,i-1}, \quad i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

On another hand, by using the FVH (1.8) one can check that equations (2.18)–(2.20) for Φ_1 are compatible, from which we know the existence of Φ_1 . If we have another operator Φ'_1 which satisfies the same equations as for Φ_1 , then $\Phi_1^{-1} \Phi'_1$ satisfies the following two equations:

$$[\Phi_1^{-1} \Phi'_1, \Lambda_2] = 0, \quad \epsilon \partial_{T_\mu} (\Phi_1^{-1} \Phi'_1) = 0, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence $\Phi_1^{-1} \Phi'_1$ must have the form

$$\Phi_1^{-1} \Phi'_1 = 1 + c_{1,1} \Lambda_3^{-1} + c_{1,2} \Lambda_3^{-2} + \dots.$$

The assertion of the lemma for Φ_2 can be proved in a similar way. \square

Given a solution $u = u(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH (1.8), we have the associated wave functions ψ_1, ψ_2 defined by

$$(2.21) \quad \psi_1 := \Phi_1 e^{\vartheta_1}, \quad \psi_2 := \Phi_2 e^{\vartheta_2},$$

where Φ_1, Φ_2 are the dressing operators,

$$(2.22) \quad \vartheta_1 = \vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) := -r \frac{x}{\epsilon} \log z + \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_1} \frac{T_\mu}{\epsilon} z^{\frac{\mu}{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_3} \frac{T_\mu}{\epsilon} z^{\frac{\mu}{p}},$$

$$(2.23) \quad \vartheta_2 = \vartheta_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) := r \frac{x}{\epsilon} \log z - \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_2} \frac{T_\mu}{\epsilon} z^{\frac{\mu}{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_3} \frac{T_\mu}{\epsilon} z^{\frac{\mu}{q}},$$

and the index sets $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3$ are defined by

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 := \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_2, \quad \mathcal{I}_2 := \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1, \quad \mathcal{I}_3 := \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2.$$

It is easy to verify that ψ_1, ψ_2 satisfy the following equations:

$$(2.25) \quad L\psi_i = \lambda_i\psi_i, \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial T_\mu} = P_\mu \psi_i, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Here $\lambda_1 = z^{-r/p}$, $\lambda_2 = z^{-r/q}$, and

$$(2.26) \quad P_\mu := \begin{cases} \left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}}\right)_+, & \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1, \\ -\left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}}\right)_-, & \mu \in \mathcal{I}_2, \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}}\right)_+ - \frac{1}{2}\left(L^{-\frac{\mu}{r}}\right)_-, & \mu \in \mathcal{I}_3. \end{cases}$$

We also define the dual wave functions ψ_1^* and ψ_2^* by

$$(2.27) \quad \psi_1^* := (\Phi_1^{-1})^* e^{-\vartheta_1}, \quad \psi_2^* := (\Phi_2^{-1})^* e^{-\vartheta_2}.$$

Here we recall that $\Lambda^* := \Lambda^{-1}$, and for two difference operators A and B ,

$$(2.28) \quad (AB)^* := B^*A^*.$$

Theorem 2.4 *A formal series $u \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ satisfies the FVH if and only if the associated wave functions and their duals satisfy the following bilinear equations for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$(2.29) \quad \text{res}_z \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \psi_1^*\left(x - \frac{\ell}{r}\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z\right) \frac{dz}{z} = \text{res}_z \psi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) \psi_2^*\left(x - \frac{\ell}{r}\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z\right) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

Lemma 2.5 *Two operator-valued formal series of the form*

$$D_1(x, T) \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3^{-1}, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]], \quad D_2(x, T) \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$$

are equal if and only if the following identity holds true for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{res}_z D_1(x, T) (\psi_1(x, T; z)) \Lambda_3^\ell (\psi_1^*(x, T'; z)) \frac{dz}{z} \\ = \text{res}_z D_2(x, T) (\psi_2(x, T; z)) \Lambda_3^\ell (\psi_2^*(x, T'; z)) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the above Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 are standard (cf. [1]).

Let us now introduce the notion of tau-function via the wave functions. The following notations will be used. Denote by ϕ_i and ϕ_i^* , $i = 1, 2$ the following formal series:

$$(2.31) \quad \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) := \Phi_1(x, T; \epsilon)|_{\Lambda_3 \rightarrow z}, \quad \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) := \Phi_2(x, T; \epsilon)|_{\Lambda_3 \rightarrow z^{-1}},$$

$$(2.32) \quad \phi_1^*(x, T; \epsilon; z) := \Phi_1^*(x, T; \epsilon)|_{\Lambda_3 \rightarrow z^{-1}}, \quad \phi_2^*(x, T; \epsilon; z) := \Phi_2^*(x, T; \epsilon)|_{\Lambda_3 \rightarrow z}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{1,i}(x, T; \epsilon) z^{-i}, \quad \phi_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{2,i}(x, T; \epsilon) z^{-i}.$$

For an arbitrary function $f(T)$, denote

$$f(T - [z^{-1}]_1) = \exp\left(-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu/p}}{\mu/p} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}\right) f(T),$$

$$f(T - [z^{-1}]_2) = \exp\left(-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2} \frac{z^{-\mu/q}}{\mu/q} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}\right) f(T).$$

Theorem 2.6 *For an arbitrary solution $u(x, T; \epsilon)$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH, there exists a choice of the dressing operators Φ_i , $i = 1, 2$ such that the associated formal series ϕ_i, ϕ_i^* , $i = 1, 2$ can be represented in terms of a certain formal power series $\tau \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x - 1, T]]$ as follows:*

$$(2.33) \quad \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, T; \epsilon)}, \quad \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau(x - \epsilon/r, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, T; \epsilon)},$$

$$(2.34) \quad \phi_1^*(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau(x - \epsilon/r, T + [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau(x - \epsilon/r, T; \epsilon)}, \quad \phi_2^*(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau(x, T + [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau(x - \epsilon/r, T; \epsilon)}.$$

We call (Φ_1, Φ_2, τ) a dressing triple for the FVH. We also call the formal power series τ the Sato type tau-function of the solution u .

Proof We prove the theorem by employing the method used in [34]. Firstly, by using the bilinear equations (2.29) one can prove that

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) \phi_1^* \left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \\ = \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; \xi) \phi_1^* \left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \xi \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) \phi_1^* \left(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \\ = \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; \xi) \phi_2^* \left(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \xi \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) \phi_2^* \left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_2 - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \\ = \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; \xi) \phi_2^* \left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_2 - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of ζ^0 of both sides of (2.35) and of (2.36) respectively, we obtain

$$(2.38) \quad \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; \xi) \phi_1^* \left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \xi \right) = 1, \quad \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; \xi) \phi_2^* \left(x, T - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \xi \right) = 1.$$

By using these two relations we can eliminate ϕ_1^* and ϕ_2^* in (2.35)–(2.37) and obtain

$$(2.39) \quad \phi_1 \left(x, T - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \phi_1 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \xi \right) = \phi_1 \left(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \xi \right) \phi_1 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta \right),$$

$$(2.40) \quad \phi_1 \left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \phi_2 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \xi \right) = \phi_2 \left(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1; \epsilon; \xi \right) \phi_1 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta \right),$$

$$(2.41) \quad \phi_2 \left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\xi^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \zeta \right) \phi_2 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \xi \right) = \phi_2 \left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_2; \epsilon; \xi \right) \phi_2 \left(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta \right).$$

Equations (2.39) and (2.41) imply the existence of two formal series

$$\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x - 1, T]]$$

satisfying the equations

$$\phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau_1(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_1(x, T; \epsilon)}, \quad \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \frac{\tau_2(x - \epsilon/r, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau_2(x, T; \epsilon)}.$$

Define $f = \log \tau_2 - \log \tau_1$, then we obtain from equation (2.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\xi^{-1}]_1 - [\zeta^{-1}]_2; \epsilon\right) + f(x, T; \epsilon) \\ &= f\left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_2; \epsilon\right) + f\left(x, T - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon\right), \end{aligned}$$

whose general solution in $\mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$ has the form

$$f(x, T; \epsilon) = f_1(x, T''; \epsilon) + f_2(T'; \epsilon) + f_0(T'''; \epsilon) + b(\epsilon),$$

where

$$T' = (T_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{J}_1), \quad T'' = (T_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{J}_2), \quad T''' = (T_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{J}_3),$$

and $f_0 \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[T''']]$ is linear in T''' ,

$$f_1(x, T''; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T'']], \quad f_2(T'; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[T']], \quad b(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon)).$$

Let $\tau = \tau_1 e^{f_1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) &= \log \tau(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon) - \log \tau(x, T; \epsilon), \\ \log \phi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) &= \log \tau\left(x - \frac{\epsilon}{r}, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon\right) - \log \tau(x, T; \epsilon) - \log C(\epsilon; z) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C(\epsilon; z) \in \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon; z^{-1/q}]]$.

Finally, by replacing Φ_2 with $\Phi_2 \circ C(\epsilon; \Lambda_3^{-1})$, we find that Φ_1 , the new Φ_2 , and $\tau(x, T; \epsilon)$ satisfy the equations given in (2.33). The equations given in (2.34) then follow from (2.38). The theorem is proved. \square

We note that for a fixed solution $u \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ the Sato type tau-function τ of the solution is uniquely determined up to a factor of the form (2.10).

2.3 The equivalence of the two definitions of the tau-function

For an arbitrary solution u in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ to the FVH, let τ_s be the tau-function defined in Section 2.2. We prove in this subsection the equivalence of τ_s with the tau-function defined in Section 2.1. In other words, we are to prove that τ_s satisfies the relations (2.7)–(2.9). Let us start with the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 *The following formula holds true:*

$$(2.42) \quad \epsilon(\Lambda_3 - 1) \frac{\partial \log \tau_s}{\partial T_\mu} = \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\mu/r}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be the dressing operators for the FVH such that Φ_1, Φ_2, τ_s form a dressing triple. Recall that $\Phi_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{2,i} \Lambda_3^i$. By using the second formula of (2.33) we obtain

$$(2.43) \quad (\Lambda_3 - 1) \log \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon) = \log a_{2,0}(x, T; \epsilon).$$

Also, it follows from the equations in (2.19), (2.20) that

$$(2.44) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial a_{2,0}}{\partial T_\mu} = \left(\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\mu/r} \right) a_{2,0}.$$

The lemma is then proved by substituting (2.43) into (2.44). \square

Lemma 2.8 $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$, the following relations hold true:

$$(2.45) \quad \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]],$$

$$(2.46) \quad \text{deg} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} = 0.$$

Proof Let ψ_1, ψ_2 be the wave functions associated to Φ_1, Φ_2 respectively. It follows from (2.21), (2.31) that

$$(2.47) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial_{T_\mu} \phi_1}{\phi_1} = \epsilon \frac{\partial_{T_\mu} \psi_1}{\psi_1} - \epsilon \partial_{T_\mu} \vartheta_1 = \frac{P_\mu \psi_1}{\psi_1} - \epsilon \partial_{T_\mu} \vartheta_1 = -\frac{1}{\psi_1} \left(L^{-\mu/r} \right)_- \psi_1, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Observe that the operator $(L^{-\mu/r})_-$ can be represented in the form

$$(2.48) \quad \left(L^{-\mu/r} \right)_- = - \sum_{k \geq 1} f_{\mu,k}(u, u_x, \dots) L^{kp/r},$$

where $f_{\mu,k} = f_{\mu,k}(u, u_x, \dots; \epsilon) \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$ are given by the following recursion relations:

$$(2.49) \quad f_{\mu,k} = - \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(L^{-\mu/r} \Lambda_3^k \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{\mu,j} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(L^{jp/r} \Lambda_3^k \right), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then from Lemma 2.2 it follows that $\text{deg} f_{\mu,k} = 0$. By using the relation $L^{-p/r} \psi_1 = z \psi_1$ we find that the formula (2.47) can be written as

$$(2.50) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial_{T_\mu} \phi_1}{\phi_1} = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_{\mu,k} z^{-k} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]][[z^{-1}]], \quad \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

From Theorem 2.6 we know that

$$(2.51) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial_{T_\mu} \phi_1}{\phi_1} = -z^{-1} \epsilon^2 \partial_{T_\mu} \partial_{T_p} \log \tau_s - \frac{z^{-2}}{2} \left(\epsilon^2 \partial_{T_\mu} \partial_{T_{2p}} \log \tau_s - \epsilon^3 \partial_{T_\mu} \partial_{T_p}^2 \log \tau_s \right) + \dots$$

Then the relations (2.45)–(2.46) for $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_1$, $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ follow from (2.50) and (2.51). The relations (2.45)–(2.46) for $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_2$, $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ can be proved in a similar way. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.9 *The Sato type tau-function τ_s satisfies the relations*

$$(2.52) \quad \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} - \frac{1}{p+q} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu e^{(\lambda+\mu)u/p} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]], \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof Consider the case $\lambda = kp, \mu = lp$ with $k, l \geq 1$. From the formulae (2.14), (2.15) and (2.49), it follows that the differential polynomials $f_{kp,l}$ must satisfy

$$f_{kp,l} - g_{kp,l} e^{(k+l)u} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]],$$

where the constants $g_{kp,l}$ satisfy the recursion relation

$$g_{kp,l} = - \binom{-kp/r}{k+l} - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \binom{jp/r}{l-j} g_{kp,j}, \quad l \geq 1.$$

Solving this recursion relation we obtain

$$(2.53) \quad g_{kp,l} = - \frac{p}{p+q} \frac{k}{k+l} c_{kp} c_{lp}.$$

Here the numbers $c_\mu, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$ are defined in (1.6). Therefore, from (2.50) we have

$$(2.54) \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial \log \phi_1}{\partial T_{kp}} = - \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{p}{p+q} \frac{k}{k+l} c_{kp} c_{lp} e^{(k+l)u} z^{-l} + G_k$$

for some $G_k = G_k(u, u_x, \dots; \epsilon; z) \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]][[z^{-1}]]$ satisfying $\deg G_k = 0$.

On another hand, from the definition of the Sato type tau-function, we know that the derivatives of $\log \tau_s$ with respect to T 's are Laurent series in ϵ with degree being greater or equal to -2 . So from (2.33) it follows that

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial \log \phi_1}{\partial T_{kp}} = \epsilon \left(e^{-\sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l z^l} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{lp}}} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial \log \tau_s}{\partial T_{kp}} = - \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\epsilon^2}{l} \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s}{\partial T_{kp} \partial T_{lp}} z^{-l} + \tilde{G}_k$$

for some $\tilde{G}_k = \tilde{G}_k(u, u_x, \dots; \epsilon; z) \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]][[z^{-1}]]$ satisfying $\deg \tilde{G}_k = 0$. By comparing this formula with (2.54) we obtain

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s}{\partial T_{kp} \partial T_{lp}} - \frac{p}{p+q} \frac{kl}{k+l} c_{kp} c_{lp} e^{(k+l)u} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]].$$

Similarly, we can prove the cases when λ and μ take other values. The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 2.10 *The function $\tau_s \left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{2p}, T; \epsilon \right)$ satisfies the defining relations (2.7)–(2.9) for the tau-function of the FVH.*

Proof From the equation (2.18) it follows that

$$u(x, T; \epsilon) = (1 - \Lambda_1^{-1}) \log a_{2,0}(x, T; \epsilon).$$

Therefore, by using the formula (2.43) we know that $\tau_s\left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{2p}, T; \epsilon\right)$ satisfies the equation (2.7), i.e.

$$(2.55) \quad u(x, T; \epsilon) = \left(\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2}\right) \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2}\right) \log \tau_s\left(x + \frac{\epsilon}{2p}, T; \epsilon\right).$$

Let us note that the FVH (1.8) can be represented in the form

$$(2.56) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial T_\mu} = \epsilon^{-1} \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2}\right) \Lambda_1^{-1/2} \operatorname{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\mu h}.$$

So by differentiating both sides of (2.55) with respect to T_μ and T_λ , and by using (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2}\right) \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2}\right) \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} \\ &= \epsilon^2 \left(\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2}\right) \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2}\right) \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s(x + \frac{\epsilon}{2p}, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with Lemma 2.8 we find that

$$\Delta_{\lambda, \mu} := \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_s(x + \frac{\epsilon}{2p}, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} - \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} \in \operatorname{Ker} \left(\partial_x^2: \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]\right).$$

Thus it follows from Lemmas 2.2, 2.8, 2.9 that $\deg \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$ and $\Delta_{\lambda, \mu} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]$, so $\Delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ must be zero. The proposition is then proved by using Lemma 2.7. \square

3 The topological tau-function of the FVH

In this section, we restrict our discussions to the *rational* case, i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad p = \frac{1}{m}, \quad q = \frac{1}{n}, \quad r = -\frac{1}{h},$$

where m, n are coprime positive integers, and $h = m + n$.

In our previous work [24], we showed that the formal power series $Z(x, T; \epsilon)$ defined in (1.10) satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:

$$(3.2) \quad L_k Z(x, T; \epsilon) = 0, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad L_0 = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} \mu T_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu} + \frac{x^2}{2mnh\epsilon^2} + \frac{\sigma_1}{24} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} L_k &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} \mu T_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{\mu+k}} + \frac{x}{mn} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1+k}} \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{2m} \sum_{\substack{\lambda+\mu=k \\ \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2n} \sum_{\substack{\lambda+\mu=k \\ \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}_2}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu}, \quad k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and σ_1 is defined in (1.16). From the definitions (1.2), (1.10) we know that $Z(x, T; \epsilon)$ has the genus expansion

$$(3.5) \quad \log Z(x, T; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{mnh\epsilon^2} A + \sum_{g \geq 0} (mnh)^{g-1} \epsilon^{2g-2} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(t(x, T)),$$

where A and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(t(x, T))$, $g \geq 0$ are defined in (1.11) and (1.13) respectively. From [8] we know that the genus zero part $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(t(x, T))$ corresponds to a particular solution to the dispersionless FVH, and that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(t(x, T))$, $g \geq 1$ satisfy certain technical conditions. Based on this we proved in [24] that the Virasoro constraints given in (3.2) lead to the so-called loop equation, which provides a way of determining $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(t(x, T))$, $g \geq 1$ uniquely.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we are to construct in this section a particular tau-function τ_{top} of the FVH which has the genus expansion and satisfies the same technical conditions, and to prove in the next sections that it satisfies the Virasoro constraints $L_k \tau_{\text{top}} = 0$, $k \geq 0$. Then Theorem 1.1 in the rational case follows from the uniqueness theorem of the loop equation that was proved in [24]. The theorem for the general case will be proved from the one for the rational case by using a continuation argument.

The results of this section are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *For the rational case, there exists a nontrivial tau-function*

$$\tau_{\text{top}} = \tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon^2))[[x - 1, T]]$$

of the FVH (1.8) satisfying the following two equations:

$$(3.6) \quad L_0 \tau_{\text{top}} = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad K_0 \tau_{\text{top}} + \frac{\tau_{\text{top}}}{24} = 0,$$

where L_0 is defined in (3.3), and K_0 is a linear operator given by

$$(3.8) \quad K_0 := \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} T_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}.$$

Moreover, this tau-function possesses the genus expansion

$$(3.9) \quad \log \tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(x, T)$$

for some $\mathcal{F}_g(x, T) \in \mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T]]$, $g \geq 0$. Furthermore, for $g \geq 1$, there exist functions $F_g = F_g(z_0, \dots, z_{3g-2})$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{F}_g(x, T) = F_g \left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)} \right)$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad F_1 = \frac{1}{24} \log z_1 - \frac{mh + n^2}{24nh} z_0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial z_i} = (2g - 2) F_g, \quad F_g \in z_1^{-(4g-4)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}], \quad g \geq 2.$$

Here $v_{\text{top}} := \partial_x^2 \mathcal{F}_0$ and $' = \partial_x$.

We note that the non-trivial tau-function τ_{top} in the above theorem is *uniquely* determined by (3.6)–(3.7) up to a constant factor that is irrelevant for the current study. Thus the identity (3.10) for $g = 1$ should be understood as under the suitable choice of the constant factor. In other words, we use the $g = 1$ identity of (3.10) to determine the constant for τ_{top} . We call this τ_{top} the *topological tau-function* of the FVH. Our construction of τ_{top} will consist of five steps:

Step I. To fix the particular solution $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ to the FVH, corresponding to τ_{top} , by using the initial value problem for the FVH which is implied by the Virasoro constraint $L_0\tau_{\text{top}} = 0$.

Step II. To show that the solution $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ has the following form of genus expansion:

$$(3.13) \quad u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = v_{\text{top}} + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} A_g \left(v'_{\text{top}}, v''_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g)} \right),$$

where $v_{\text{top}}(x, T) = u_{\text{top}}(x, T; 0)$, $v'_{\text{top}} = \partial_x v_{\text{top}}$, $v_{\text{top}}^{(k)} = \partial_x^k v_{\text{top}}$.

Step III. To show a certain transcendency of the function v_{top} .

Step IV. To show the existence of functions $\tilde{F}_g(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2})$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad A_g \left(v'_{\text{top}}, v''_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g)} \right) = \partial_x^2 \tilde{F}_g \left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, v''_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)} \right), \quad g \geq 1.$$

Step V. To construct the tau function τ_{top} satisfying the conditions (2.7)–(2.9), and show that $\log \tau_{\text{top}}$ has the genus expansion of the following form:

$$(3.15) \quad \log \tau_{\text{top}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{F}_0(x, T) + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g-2} F_g \left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)} \right),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0(x, T)$ satisfies the relation $v_{\text{top}}(x, T) = \partial_x^2 \mathcal{F}_0(x, T)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us prove the theorem step by step as outlined above.

Step I. To find the solution $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ to the FVH, let us fix its initial value $u_{\text{top}}(x, 0; \epsilon)$ by using the condition (3.6). Assuming the existence of such a solution with the associated tau-function τ_{top} , we apply the operator $\epsilon(\Lambda_3 - 1)\Lambda_2^{-1/2}$ to both sides of the equation $\frac{L_0\tau_{\text{top}}}{\tau_{\text{top}}} = 0$, and put $T = 0$, then we arrive at the equation

$$(3.16) \quad \epsilon(\Lambda_3 - 1)\Lambda_2^{-1/2} \left(\frac{x^2}{2mnh\epsilon^2} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \frac{\partial \log \tau_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_1} \right) \Big|_{T=0} = 0.$$

Using the defining relation (2.8) for the tau-functions and the fact that

$$\epsilon(\Lambda_3 - 1)\Lambda_2^{-1/2} = \epsilon \left(h\epsilon \partial_x + \frac{nh}{2} \epsilon^2 \partial_x^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \right),$$

we obtain from (3.16) the following equation:

$$(3.17) \quad \left(\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^h \right) \Big|_{T=0} = \binom{m+n}{m} \left(x + \frac{n\epsilon}{2} \right).$$

It follows from (2.2) and (3.1) that

$$(3.18) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^h = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_m \leq n} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_{\text{top}} \left(x + \epsilon \alpha_j m - \epsilon(j-1)n, T; \epsilon \right) \right).$$

By using (3.17), (3.18) and by making the shift $x \rightarrow x - \frac{n}{2}\epsilon$ we arrive at the equation (1.19) for $u_{\text{top}}(x, 0; \epsilon)$.

Let us show that there exists a unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1; \epsilon]]$ to the equation (1.19). First we observe that the equation (1.19) can be written in the form

$$(3.19) \quad e^{mV(x; \epsilon)} \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_l \geq 1 \\ j_1 + \dots + j_l = k}} C_{j_1, \dots, j_l} \partial_x^{j_1} V(x; \epsilon) \cdots \partial_x^{j_l} V(x; \epsilon) \right) = x,$$

where C_{j_1, \dots, j_l} are constants depending on m, n . Then by substituting $V(x; \epsilon) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \epsilon^k V^{[k]}(x)$ into (3.19), we find that $V^{[0]}(x) = \frac{1}{m} \log x$, and that $V^{[k]}(x)$, $k \geq 1$ can be uniquely solved in a recursive way. Moreover, $V^{[k]}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1]]$ for $k \geq 0$. This shows the existence and uniqueness of solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1; \epsilon]]$ to the equation (1.19). Combining this with the statement that the FVH (1.8) is *integrable* [26], we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *There is a unique solution*

$$u_{\text{top}} = u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$$

to the FVH satisfying the initial condition

$$(3.20) \quad u_{\text{top}}(x, 0; \epsilon) = V(x; \epsilon),$$

where $V(x; \epsilon)$ is the unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1; \epsilon]]$ to the equation (1.19). We call u_{top} the topological solution to the FVH.

We show next that $V(x; \epsilon)$ and $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ can be represented as power series of ϵ^2 . To see this let us introduce some notations. Let \mathbb{Y} denote the set of partitions of non-negative integers, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Y} := \left\{ (J_1, J_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid J_1 \geq J_2 \geq \dots \geq 0, \sum_{i \geq 1} J_i < \infty \right\}.$$

For a partition $J = (J_1, J_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Y}$, denote by $l(J)$ the number of non-zero components of J , by $|J| := J_1 + J_2 + \dots$ the weight of J , and by \mathbb{Y}_k the set of all partitions of weight k . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$, we have*

$$(3.21) \quad \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} = c_\lambda e^{\lambda mu} \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g} M_\lambda^{[g]}(u', \dots, u^{(2g)}),$$

where c_λ is defined in (1.6),

$$(3.22) \quad M_\lambda^{[g]} := M_\lambda^{[g]}(u', \dots, u^{(2g)}) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}_{2g}} a_J(\lambda) u^{(J)} \in \mathcal{A}_u, \quad g \geq 0,$$

and

$$u^{(k)} := \partial_x^k u, \quad u^{(J)} := u^{(J_1)} \cdots u^{(J_l(J))}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad J = (J_1, J_2, \dots).$$

Moreover, the coefficient $a_\emptyset(\lambda) = 1$, and the coefficients $a_J(\lambda)$, $J \in \mathbb{Y}_{\text{even}}$ are polynomials of λ with degrees less than or equal to $l(J) + |J|/2$.

Proof We first show that $\Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon^2]]$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$. Indeed,

$$(3.23) \quad \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} = \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} (\Lambda_2^{-1} + e^{\Lambda_1 u} \Lambda_1)^{\lambda h}$$

$$(3.24) \quad = \Lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} (\Lambda_2^{-1} + e^u \Lambda_1)^{\lambda h},$$

which shows that $\Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h}$ is invariant under the map $\epsilon \mapsto -\epsilon$. Here, (3.23) is valid due to the fact that

$$\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_i \circ \Lambda_3^i = \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_3^{-i} \circ \alpha_i,$$

and (3.24) is valid because of the fact that

$$\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} \Big|_{u \mapsto \Lambda_1 u} = \Lambda_1 \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h}.$$

For $\lambda = 1/m$, we have

$$(3.25) \quad \Lambda_1^{-1/2} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{h/m} = \frac{\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2}}{\Lambda_2^{1/2} - \Lambda_2^{-1/2}} e^u = \frac{h}{m} e^u \left(1 + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} M_{1/m}^{[g]} \right),$$

from which it follows that $M_{1/m}^{[g]} \in \mathbb{C}[u', \dots, u^{(2g)}]$ have the form (3.22). Note that Proposition 2.1 implies the following tau-symmetry property [8, 12]:

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1/m}} \left(\Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\lambda} \left(\Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{h/m} \right), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{I}.$$

From this equation we arrive at a certain recursion relation for $M_\lambda^{[g]}$, $g \geq 1$, and we find that $M_\lambda^{[g]}$ has the required form (3.22) with the coefficients $a_J(\lambda)$ being polynomials of λ with degree less than or equal to $l(J) + |J|/2$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.4 *The unique solution in $\mathbb{Q}[[x-1; \epsilon]]$ to the difference equation (1.19) can be represented in the form*

$$(3.27) \quad V(x; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{m} \log x + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \frac{P_g(m, n)}{x^{2g}},$$

where $P_g(m, n) \in \mathbb{Q}[m, n, m^{-1}]$ for $g \geq 1$.

Proof Note that the equation (1.19) can be written as

$$(3.28) \quad \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} \left(\Lambda^m + e^{V(x - \frac{m\epsilon}{2}; \epsilon)} \Lambda^{-n} \right)^h = \binom{m+n}{m} x.$$

From Lemma 3.3 it follows that this equation can also be represented in the form

$$(3.29) \quad e^{mV} \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g} M_1^{[g]} \left(V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(2g)} \right) = x,$$

where the coefficients $M_1^{[g]}$ are obtained recursively by using the tau-symmetry condition (3.26) with $\lambda = 1$. Then by substituting the expression (3.27) of $V(x; \epsilon)$ into (3.29) one can determine the coefficients $P_g(m, n)$ recursively, and show that they belong to $\mathbb{Q}[m, n, m^{-1}]$. The lemma is proved. \square

We will give the explicit expressions of some $P_g(m, n)$ in Section 6.

From the Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 we know that the right-hand side of (2.56) is in $\mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon^2]]$, and that the power series $V(x; \epsilon)$ is in $\mathbb{C}[[x - 1; \epsilon^2]]$, thus we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 *The topological solution u_{top} is an element of $\mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T; \epsilon^2]]$.*

Step II. Let us proceed to prove that $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ has the genus expansion (3.13) by using the so-called Euler–Lagrange equation.

Proposition 3.6 *The formal power series $u := u_{\text{top}}$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$(3.30) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} + \frac{x + \frac{n}{2}\epsilon}{mn} = 0,$$

where $\tilde{T}_\lambda = T_\lambda - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \delta_{\lambda,1}$.

Proof Define a power series $F(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T; \epsilon]]$ by

$$(3.31) \quad F(x, T; \epsilon) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L_{\text{top}}^{\lambda h} + \frac{x + \frac{n}{2}\epsilon}{mn},$$

where $L_{\text{top}} := \Lambda_2 + e^{u_{\text{top}}} \Lambda_1^{-1}$. We are to show $F(x, T; \epsilon) \equiv 0$. By using (2.5), (2.6), (2.56) and (3.21) we obtain, after a lengthy calculation, that

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{\partial F(x, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\mu} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial(\text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L_{\text{top}}^{\mu h})}{\partial u^{(k)}} \partial_x^k \hat{F}(x, T; \epsilon), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Here $\hat{F}(x, T; \epsilon)$ is a power series in $\mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T; \epsilon]]$ defined by

$$(3.33) \quad \hat{F}(x, T; \epsilon) := \epsilon^{-1} (1 - \Lambda_1^{-1}) F(x, T; \epsilon) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial u_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_\lambda} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

By taking $T = 0$ in (3.31) we obtain

$$F(x, 0; \epsilon) = -\frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L_{\text{top}}^h \Big|_{T=0} + \frac{x + \frac{n}{2}\epsilon}{mn}.$$

Thus $F(x, 0; \epsilon)$ vanishes due to the fact that $u_{\text{top}}(x, 0; \epsilon) = V(x; \epsilon)$ satisfies the equation (3.28) (or equivalently (1.19)). So from (3.33) it follows that

$$(3.34) \quad \hat{F}(x, 0; \epsilon) = \epsilon^{-1} (1 - \Lambda_1^{-1}) F(x, 0; \epsilon) = 0.$$

Then by mathematical induction we know from (3.32), (3.33) that

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{\partial^i F}{\partial T_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial T_{\mu_i}}(x, 0; \epsilon) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_i \in \mathcal{I},$$

which leads to the vanishing of $F(x, T; \epsilon)$. The proposition is proved. \square

We call equation (3.30) the Euler–Lagrange equation for the FVH.

Define a power series $v_{\text{top}} \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$ by

$$(3.36) \quad v_{\text{top}} = v_{\text{top}}(x, T) := u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon = 0).$$

Then it satisfies the following dispersionless FVH:

$$(3.37) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial T_\lambda} = \lambda m n c_\lambda e^{\lambda m v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.6 that v_{top} also satisfies the following equation:

$$(3.38) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda c_\lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda e^{\lambda m v} + \frac{x}{m n} = 0.$$

We call (3.38) the dispersionless Euler–Lagrange equation for the FVH.

Lemma 3.7 *There exist functions*

$$(3.39) \quad A_g = A_g(z_1, \dots, z_{3g}) \in z_1^{-(4g-2)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{3g}], \quad g \geq 1$$

satisfying the homogeneity condition

$$(3.40) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial A_g}{\partial z_i} = 2g A_g, \quad g \geq 1,$$

such that $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ can be represented in the form

$$(3.41) \quad u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = v_{\text{top}}(x, T) + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} A_g \left(v'_{\text{top}}(x, T), \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g)}(x, T) \right).$$

Here $v_{\text{top}}^{(k)}(x, T) = \partial_x^k v_{\text{top}}(x, T)$.

Proof According to Corollary 3.5, $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ can be represented in the form

$$(3.42) \quad u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g} u_{\text{top}}^{[g]}(x, T), \quad u_{\text{top}}^{[0]}(x, T) = v_{\text{top}}(x, T),$$

where $u_{\text{top}}^{[g]}(x, T) =: u_{\text{top}}^{[g]} \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$. The Euler–Lagrange equation (3.30) for $u = u_{\text{top}}$ is then equivalent to (3.38) together with the following recursion relations for $u_{\text{top}}^{[g]}$, $g \geq 1$:

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & u_{\text{top}}^{[g]} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda^2 c_\lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}} \\ &= \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}_{\text{even}}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda c_\lambda a_J(\lambda) \tilde{T}_\lambda e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}} \sum_{n \geq \ell(J)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq g_1, \dots, g_n \leq g-1 \\ g_1 + \dots + g_n = g - \frac{|J|}{2}}} b_{J; g_1, \dots, g_n}^{[g]} \prod_{i=1}^n \partial_x^{J_i} u_{\text{top}}^{[g_i]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{J; g_1, \dots, g_n}^{[g]}$ are some constants and $a_J(\lambda)$ are the coefficients that appear in (3.22).

Define a sequence of power series (cf. [18]) by

$$(3.44) \quad Q_k := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda^{k+1} c_\lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

By taking the x -derivative of (3.38) and (3.44) we find that Q_k , $k \geq 1$ satisfy the relations

$$(3.45) \quad Q_1 = -\frac{1}{m^2 n v'_{\text{top}}}, \quad Q_k = \frac{1}{m v'_{\text{top}}} \partial_x Q_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

So we have

$$(3.46) \quad Q_k \in (v'_{\text{top}})^{1-2k} \mathbb{C} \left[v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(k)} \right], \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i v_{\text{top}}^{(i)} \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial v_{\text{top}}^{(i)}} = -Q_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

When $g = 1$, we know from (3.43), (3.45) that $u_{\text{top}}^{[1]} = A_1(v'_{\text{top}}, v''_{\text{top}}, v'''_{\text{top}})$, where

$$A_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{nh}{24} \left(\frac{z_3}{z_1} - \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2} + z_2 \right).$$

It is obvious that A_1 satisfies the conditions (3.39), (3.40). Assume that we have already found the functions

$$(3.47) \quad A_{g'} = A_{g'}(z_1, \dots, z_{3g'}) \in z_1^{-(4g'-2)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g'}], \quad g' \leq g-1$$

satisfying the homogeneity condition

$$(3.48) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial A_{g'}}{\partial z_i} = 2g' A_{g'},$$

and that $u_{\text{top}}^{[g']} := A_{g'}(v_{\text{top}}^{(1)}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g')})$ satisfy the equation (3.43) for $g' \leq g-1$. According to Lemma 3.3, $a_J(\lambda)$ are polynomials of λ with degrees less than or equal to $l(J) + |J|/2$, so it follows from (3.46) that

$$(3.49) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda c_\lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda a_J(\lambda) e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}} \in (v'_{\text{top}})^{1-2l(J)-|J|} \mathbb{C} \left[v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(l(J)+|J|/2)} \right], \quad \forall J \in \mathbb{Y}_{\text{even}}.$$

Thus by using (3.45)–(3.49) we know the existence of a unique solution $u_{\text{top}}^{[g]}$ to the equation (3.43) that satisfies the properties required by the lemma. The lemma is proved. \square

Step III. Let us show that the formula (3.41) yields the quasi-trivial transformation of the FVH [12, 25]. Namely, for any solution $v = v(x, T)$ to the dispersionless FVH (3.37) with $v' \neq 0$,

$$(3.50) \quad u = v + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} A_g(v', \dots, v^{(3g)})$$

gives a solution to the FVH. To this end, we first prove the following lemma on the transcendence of the solution v_{top} to the dispersionless FVH (3.37).

Lemma 3.8 *Let $F(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathcal{A}_{z_0, 0}[z_1, \dots, z_N]$ be a polynomial in the indeterminates z_1, \dots, z_N with coefficients depending smoothly on z_0 , which satisfies the condition*

$$(3.51) \quad F\left(v_{\text{top}}(x, T), v'_{\text{top}}(x, T), \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N)}(x, T)\right) = 0,$$

then $F(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_N) \equiv 0$.

Proof From the dispersionless FVH (3.37), it follows that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial T_\lambda}\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N)}\right) = nc_\lambda \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N)}\right) \partial_x^{j+1}\left(e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Dividing the right-hand side of the above equation by $e^{\lambda m v_{\text{top}}}$ we obtain a polynomial in λ with degree $N + 1$. Since this polynomial vanishes for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$, all its coefficients must vanish. Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N)}\right) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, N.$$

For a similar reason we find that for all $k \geq 1$, $0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq N$,

$$\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial z_{j_1} \cdots \partial z_{j_k}}\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N)}\right) = 0,$$

which lead to the vanishing of F . The lemma is proved. \square

Now let us prove that the function u defined by (3.50) satisfies the FVH. Indeed, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows from Theorem 1.2 of [23] the existence of a quasi-trivial transformation

$$u = v + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \widehat{A}_{\lambda, g}\left(v, v', \dots, v^{(N_g)}\right),$$

which transforms (3.37) to the ∂_{T_λ} -flow of the FVH. Here

$$\widehat{A}_{\lambda, g}\left(z_0, \dots, z_{N_g}\right) \in z_1^{-N'_g} \mathcal{A}_{z_0, 0}\left[z_1, \dots, z_{N_g}\right],$$

and N_g and N'_g are some positive integers. Since u_{top} satisfies the FVH, from (3.41) it follows that

$$A_g\left(v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g)}\right) = \widehat{A}_{\lambda, g}\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(N_g)}\right), \quad g \geq 1.$$

Thus by using Lemma 3.8 we arrive at

$$A_g\left(z_1, \dots, z_{3g}\right) = \widehat{A}_{\lambda, g}\left(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{N_g}\right), \quad \forall g \geq 1,$$

so (3.50) is the quasi-trivial transformation of the FVH.

Step IV. We are to prove the existence of the functions \widetilde{F}_g satisfying the equations in (3.14). To this end, we need to use the following lemma which can be proved by applying Theorem 1.2 of [23] to the Hamiltonian structure of the FVH given in [26].

Lemma 3.9 *The quasi-trivial transformation (3.14) transforms the Hamiltonian structure*

$$(3.52) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial T_\lambda} = P_0 \left(\frac{\delta H_\lambda^{[0]}}{\delta v(x)} \right),$$

$$(3.53) \quad P_0 = \frac{nh}{m} \partial_x, \quad H_\lambda^{[0]} = \frac{c\lambda}{\lambda h} \int e^{\lambda mv} dx$$

of the dispersionless FVH to the one of the FVH given by

$$(3.54) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial T_\lambda} = P \left(\frac{\delta H_\lambda}{\delta u(x)} \right),$$

$$(3.55) \quad P = \frac{(\Lambda_3 - 1)(1 - \Lambda_1^{-1})}{\epsilon(\Lambda_2 - 1)}, \quad H_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda h} \int \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} dx.$$

It follows from the above Lemma that

$$(3.56) \quad P \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int u dx = P_0 \frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int \left(v + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} A_g(v', \dots, v^{(3g)}) \right) dx,$$

so we have

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int A_g(v', \dots, v^{(3g)}) dx \equiv \text{const}, \quad \forall g \geq 1.$$

By using the homogeneity condition (3.40) we know that the constants in the above formula must vanish. Therefore, there exist functions

$$B_g = B_g(z_0, \dots, z_{3g-1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{z_0,0} [\log(z_1), z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, \dots, z_{3g-1}], \quad g \geq 1$$

satisfying the relations

$$(3.57) \quad A_g = \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i+1} \frac{\partial B_g}{\partial z_i}, \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial B_g}{\partial z_i} = (2g - 1) B_g.$$

By taking derivatives with respect to z_0 on both sides of the above equations we obtain

$$(3.58) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{\partial B_g}{\partial z_0} \right) = 0, \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{\partial B_g}{\partial z_0} \right) = (2g - 1) \frac{\partial B_g}{\partial z_0}.$$

It follows that B_g does not depend on z_0 . Thus by using (3.39) and (3.57) we arrive at

$$B_g \in z_1^{-(4g-3)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g-1}], \quad g \geq 1.$$

Now let us proceed to prove that $B_g(v', v'', \dots, v^{(3g-1)})$ can be represented as the x -derivative of a certain function of v, v', v'', \dots . We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10 *If $F \in (v')^{-N'} \mathcal{A}_{v,0} [v', \dots, v^{(N)}]$ satisfies the equations*

$$(3.59) \quad \int F e^{\lambda mv} v' dx = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(3.60) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i v^{(i)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v^{(i)}} = N'' F$$

for some positive integers N, N', N'' , then $F \equiv 0$.

Proof For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$, a straightforward calculation shows that F satisfies the equation

$$(3.61) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int F e^{\lambda mv} v' dx = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k \left((\delta_k F) \partial_x^k (e^{\lambda mv} v') + (\partial_x^k F) \delta_k (e^{\lambda mv} v') \right),$$

where the operators δ_k are defined by

$$\delta_k := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{i+k}{k} \partial_x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(i+k)}}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

The left-hand side of (3.61) vanishes due to the condition (3.59). Dividing the right-hand side of (3.61) by $e^{\lambda mv}$, we obtain a polynomial in λ of degree N . The vanishing of this polynomial for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ yields $\partial F / \partial v^{(k)} = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. Thus by using the condition (3.60) we conclude that $F \equiv 0$. The lemma is proved. \square

Taking the derivative with respect to T_λ , $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ on both sides of (3.50) and integrating with respect to x we find that

$$(3.62) \quad \begin{aligned} & \epsilon^{-1} \partial_x^{-1} (1 - \Lambda_1^{-1}) \operatorname{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} \\ &= n c_\lambda e^{\lambda mv} + n c_\lambda \sum_{i \geq 1} \partial_x^{i+1} (e^{\lambda mv}) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(i)}} \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} B_g (v', \dots, v^{(3g-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where the FVH (2.56) and its dispersionless limit (3.37) are used. Denote

$$\Delta_\lambda := \epsilon^{-1} \partial_x^{-1} (1 - \Lambda_1^{-1}) \operatorname{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} - n c_\lambda e^{\lambda mv}.$$

Then from Lemma 2.2 one can represent Δ_λ in the form

$$\Delta_\lambda = \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^{2k} \Delta_\lambda^{[k]}(v, v', \dots).$$

Here, $\Delta_\lambda^{[k]} \in (v')^{-m_{\lambda,k}} \mathcal{A}_v$ for some nonnegative integers $m_{\lambda,k}$, and $\deg \Delta_\lambda^{[k]} = 2k$. It follows from the formula (2.6) that

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial \Delta_\lambda}{\partial T_\mu} dx = \int \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial \Delta_\lambda}{\partial v^{(k)}} \partial_x^{k+1} (n c_\mu e^{\mu mv}) dx = \mu m n c_\mu \int e^{\mu mv} v' \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int \Delta_\lambda dx \right) dx.$$

Then by employing Lemma 3.10 we obtain

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int \Delta_\lambda^{[k]} dx = 0, \quad k \geq 1,$$

which, together with (3.62), yields the relations

$$(3.63) \quad 0 = \int \Delta_\lambda dx = \lambda m n c_\lambda \int e^{\lambda mv} v' \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} B_g (v', \dots, v^{(3g-1)}) dx \right) dx$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$. By using again Lemma 3.10 we obtain

$$(3.64) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta v} \int B_g (v', \dots, v^{(3g-1)}) dx = 0, \quad \forall g \geq 1.$$

Thus by employing (3.57) and (3.64) we arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11 *There exist functions*

$$(3.65) \quad \tilde{F}_1(z_0, z_1) = \frac{nh}{24} \log z_1 + \frac{nh}{24} z_0,$$

$$(3.66) \quad \tilde{F}_g(z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}) \in z_1^{-(4g-4)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}], \quad g \geq 2,$$

satisfying the quasi-homogeneous condition

$$(3.67) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_g}{\partial z_i} = (2g - 2) \tilde{F}_g + \frac{nh}{24} \delta_{g,1}, \quad g \geq 1,$$

such that the functions A_g given in Lemma 3.7 can be represented in the form

$$(3.68) \quad A_g(v', \dots, v^{(3g)}) = \partial_x^2 \tilde{F}_g(v, v', \dots, v^{(3g-2)}).$$

Step V. Finally, let us prove the existence of the tau-function τ_{top} . Following the approach of [7], define $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0(x, T) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$ by

$$(3.69) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 := \frac{mn}{2h} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu \tilde{T}_\lambda \tilde{T}_\mu e^{(\lambda + \mu)mv_{\text{top}}} + \frac{x}{h} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} c_\mu \tilde{T}_\mu e^{\mu mv_{\text{top}}} + \frac{x^2}{2nh} v_{\text{top}}.$$

By using the dispersionless Euler-Lagrange equation (3.38) we find that \mathcal{F}_0 satisfies

$$(3.70) \quad \partial_x^2 \mathcal{F}_0 = \frac{1}{nh} v_{\text{top}},$$

$$(3.71) \quad \partial_x \partial_{T_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_0 = \frac{c_\lambda}{h} e^{\lambda mv_{\text{top}}}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(3.72) \quad \partial_{T_\lambda} \partial_{T_\mu} \mathcal{F}_0 = \frac{mn}{h} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} c_\lambda c_\mu e^{(\lambda + \mu)mv_{\text{top}}}, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(3.73) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0}{\partial T_\lambda} + \frac{x^2}{2mnh} = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0}{\partial T_\lambda} + x \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0}{\partial x} = 2\mathcal{F}_0.$$

From Lemmas 3.7, 3.11 we know the existence of functions

$$(3.74) \quad F_1 = F_1(z_0, z_1) := \frac{1}{24} \log z_1 - \frac{mh + n^2}{24nh} z_0,$$

$$(3.75) \quad F_g = F_g(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}) \in z_1^{-(4g-4)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}], \quad g \geq 2,$$

such that

$$(3.76) \quad u_{\text{top}} = \left(\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2} \right) \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2} \right) \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g,$$

$$(3.77) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial z_i} = (2g - 2) F_g + \frac{1}{24} \delta_{g,1}, \quad g \geq 1,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_g = \mathcal{F}_g(x, T) := F_g(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)}) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$. Clearly, F_g and \tilde{F}_g are related by

$$(3.78) \quad F_g = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{k=1}^g C_{g-k}(m, n) \partial^{2g-2k} \tilde{F}_k + \frac{C_g(m, n)}{nh} z_{2g-2}, \quad \partial := \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},$$

where $C_k(m, n)$, $k \geq 0$ are defined in (1.21).

Now let us define a formal power series $\tau_{\text{top}} \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$ by the formula

$$(3.79) \quad \tau_{\text{top}} = \tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) := e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g}.$$

Then (3.76) can be written as

$$(3.80) \quad u_{\text{top}} = \left(\Lambda_3^{1/2} - \Lambda_3^{-1/2} \right) \left(\Lambda_1^{1/2} - \Lambda_1^{-1/2} \right) \log \tau_{\text{top}}.$$

From the identities (3.73) it follows that

$$(3.81) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_\lambda} + \frac{1}{m} = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_\lambda} + x \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}}{\partial x} = 0,$$

which yield

$$(3.82) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}^{(i)}}{\partial T_\lambda} + \frac{1}{m} \delta_{i,0} = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{T}_\lambda \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}^{(i)}}{\partial T_\lambda} + x \frac{\partial v_{\text{top}}^{(i)}}{\partial x} = -i v_{\text{top}}^{(i)}.$$

So by using (3.75) and (3.77) we know that τ_{top} satisfies the following conditions:

$$(3.83) \quad L_0 \tau_{\text{top}} = 0, \quad K_0 \tau_{\text{top}} + \frac{1}{24} \tau_{\text{top}} = 0,$$

where the operators L_0 and K_0 are defined by (3.3) and (3.8), respectively.

Now it remains to prove that the power series $\tau_{\text{top}} \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$ is a tau-function of the FVH (1.8). For any given $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$, define two power series in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda &:= (\Lambda_3 - 1) \Lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon \frac{\partial \log \tau_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_\lambda} - \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{\lambda h} \Big|_{u=u_{\text{top}}}, \\ \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} &:= \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\text{top}}}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} - \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} \Big|_{u=u_{\text{top}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We are to show that

$$(3.84) \quad \Delta_\lambda = 0, \quad \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} = 0.$$

Firstly, from the definition (3.79) of τ_{top} , equations (3.71), (3.72) and Lemmas 2.2, 3.8 we know the existence of

$$(3.85) \quad \Delta_\lambda^* = \Delta_\lambda^*(z_0, z_1, \dots), \quad \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^* = \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^*(z_0, z_1, \dots) \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_{z_0, 0}[z_1^{-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots][[\epsilon]]$$

satisfying

$$\Delta_\lambda = \Delta_\lambda^*(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots), \quad \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} = \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^*(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots).$$

Then by using Lemmas 2.2, 3.8, the second equality of (3.83) and the second identity of (3.82) we find that

$$(3.86) \quad \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \Delta_\lambda^* = 0, \quad \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^* = 0.$$

Next, by applying $\epsilon \partial_{T_\lambda}$ and $\epsilon^2 \partial_{T_\lambda} \partial_{T_\mu}$ to both sides of (3.80) we obtain

$$\partial_x \Delta_\lambda = 0, \quad \partial_x^2 \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} = 0.$$

Then by using Lemma 3.8 we arrive at

$$\partial \Delta_\lambda^* = 0, \quad \partial^2 \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^* = 0,$$

where ∂ is defined in (3.78). Together with the formulae (3.85)–(3.86) this implies that

$$\Delta_\lambda^* = 0, \quad \Delta_{\lambda, \mu}^* = 0.$$

Hence the identities (3.84) hold true. It follows that the power series τ_{top} satisfies the definition (2.7)–(2.9) of the tau-function of the FVH. Theorem 3.1 is proved. \square

4 Virasoro constraints

The goal of this section is to show, following the approaches used in [1, 2, 32], that the topological tau-function τ_{top} of the FVH satisfies the Virasoro constraints $L_k \tau_{\text{top}} = 0$, $k \geq 0$, where the operators L_k are defined in (3.3), (3.4).

We will first derive some useful formulae valid for arbitrary power series solutions to the FVH. Let u be a solution to the FVH in the ring $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$, (Φ_1, Φ_2, τ) a dressing triple associated to u , and ψ_1, ψ_2 the wave functions corresponding to Φ_1, Φ_2 . See their definitions given in Section 2. Introduce the following notations:

(i) Γ_1 and Γ_2 denote the following elements in $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda_3, \Lambda_3^{-1}, \epsilon][[x-1, T]]$:

$$(4.1) \quad \Gamma_1 := \frac{x}{h\epsilon} \Lambda_3^{-1} + \frac{m}{\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_1} \mu T_\mu \Lambda_3^{\mu m - 1} + \frac{m}{2\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_3} \mu T_\mu \Lambda_3^{\mu m - 1},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma_2 := -\frac{x}{h\epsilon} \Lambda_3 - \frac{n}{\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_2} \mu T_\mu \Lambda_3^{-\mu n + 1} - \frac{n}{2\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_3} \mu T_\mu \Lambda_3^{-\mu n + 1}.$$

Here $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3$ are defined in (2.24).

(ii) M_1 and M_2 denote the following difference operators:

$$(4.3) \quad M_1 := \Phi_1 \Gamma_1 \Phi_1^{-1}, \quad M_2 := \Phi_2 \Gamma_2 \Phi_2^{-1}.$$

Clearly, $M_1 \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3^{-1}, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$, $M_2 \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$.

(iii) N_1 and N_2 denote the following generating series of difference operators:

$$(4.4) \quad N_1 = N_1(\xi, \zeta) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(\zeta - \xi)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-k-d} M_1^{k-1} L^{(k+d-1)h/m},$$

$$(4.5) \quad N_2 = N_2(\xi, \zeta) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(\zeta - \xi)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-k-d} M_2^{k-1} L^{(k+d-1)h/n}.$$

We note that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L^{k/m} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]((\Lambda_3^{-1}))$, $L^{k/n} \in \mathcal{A}_u[[\epsilon]]((\Lambda_3))$. It is then clear that

$$N_1 \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3^{-1}, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]][[\xi, \xi^{-1}][[\zeta - \xi]],$$

$$N_2 \in \mathbb{C}((\Lambda_3, \epsilon))[[x-1, T]][[\xi, \xi^{-1}][[\zeta - \xi]].$$

See [26] for the definition of the fractional powers of L .

(iv) X_1 and X_2 denote the following two operators:

$$(4.6) \quad X_1(\xi, \zeta) = e^{\vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) - \vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \xi)} \exp\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \left(\frac{1}{\xi^{\mu m}} - \frac{1}{\zeta^{\mu m}}\right) \frac{1}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}\right),$$

$$(4.7) \quad X_2(\xi, \zeta) = e^{\vartheta_2(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) - \vartheta_2(x, T; \epsilon; \xi)} \exp\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{\mu n}} - \frac{1}{\xi^{\mu n}}\right) \frac{1}{\mu n} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}\right),$$

where ϑ_1, ϑ_2 are defined in (2.22), (2.23).

(v) Denote by $Y_k, k \geq 0$ the following operators in $\mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[\Lambda_3, \Lambda_3^{-1}][[x-1, T]]$:

$$(4.8) \quad Y_k := \frac{1}{m} M_1 L^{(k+1/m)h} - \frac{1}{n} M_2 L^{(k+1/n)h} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)\epsilon} L^{(k+1)h}.$$

Lemma 4.1 *The following formulae hold true:*

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & N_1(\xi, \zeta) \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= (\zeta - \xi) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & N_2(\xi, \zeta) \psi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= (\zeta - \xi) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} \frac{X_2(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)} \psi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof The generating series N_1 can be written as

$$N_1(\xi, \zeta) := (\zeta - \xi) e^{(\zeta - \xi) M_1} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} L^{(d-1)h/m}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\zeta - \xi} N_1(\xi, \zeta) \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} e^{(\zeta - \xi) \partial_z} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} e^{\vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; z + \zeta - \xi)} \frac{\tau_s(x, T - [(z + \zeta - \xi)^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} e^{\vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; z + \zeta - \xi) - \vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; z)} \frac{\tau_s(x, T - [(z + \zeta - \xi)^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} e^{\vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) - \vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \xi)} \frac{\tau_s(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} e^{\vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \zeta) - \vartheta_1(x, T; \epsilon; \xi)} \frac{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1 - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 + [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the following property of the delta-function is used:

$$f(z) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} = f(\xi) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1}, \quad \forall f(z) \in R[[z, z^{-1}]],$$

where R is any given ring. So the formula (4.9) holds true. The formula (4.10) can be proved in a similar way. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.2 *We have the following relations between the operators Y_k and the Virasoro operators L_k , $k \geq 0$:*

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{-(Y_k)_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} = \left(e^{\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{-z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\tilde{L}_k \tau_s}{\tau_s},$$

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{(Y_k)_+ \psi_2}{\psi_2} = \left(e^{h\epsilon \partial_x + \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2} \frac{z^{-\mu n}}{\mu n} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\tilde{L}_k \tau_s}{\tau_s},$$

where

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{L}_k := L_k - \frac{x}{2mn\epsilon} \delta_{k,0} - \frac{h\epsilon}{2mn} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proof From the definition (4.8) of Y_k and the definitions (4.4), (4.5) of N_1, N_2 we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} -(Y_k)_- &= -\frac{1}{2m} \operatorname{res}_\xi \xi^{km+1} (\partial_\zeta^2 N_1(\xi, \zeta)|_{\zeta=\xi})_- + \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{res}_\xi \xi^{kn+1} (\partial_\zeta^2 N_2(\xi, \zeta)|_{\zeta=\xi})_- \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)\epsilon} (L^{(k+1)h})_-. \end{aligned}$$

To show (4.11), we need to represent $-(L^{kh})_- \psi_1$, $-(N_i)_- \psi_1$, $i = 1, 2$ in terms of τ_s .

From (2.25), (2.31) and (2.33) it follows that

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{-(L^{kh})_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} = \left(e^{-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\epsilon \partial_{T_k} \tau_s}{\tau_s}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

To calculate $-(N_1)_- \psi_1 / \psi_1$ and $-(N_2)_- \psi_1 / \psi_1$, we observe that N_1 and N_2 can be decomposed into the following forms:

$$(4.16) \quad N_1 = f_1(L^{h/m}) + g_1(L^{h/n}), \quad N_2 = f_2(L^{h/m}) + g_2(L^{h/n}),$$

where $f_1(z)$, $f_2(z)$, $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ are defined by

$$(4.17) \quad f_1(z) := -\frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon) (1 - \zeta/z)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon) (1 - \xi/z)},$$

$$(4.18) \quad g_1(z) := \frac{\zeta X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\xi \tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2)},$$

$$(4.19) \quad f_2(z) := \frac{\zeta X_2(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\xi \tau(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)},$$

$$(4.20) \quad g_2(z) := -\frac{X_2(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon) (1 - \zeta/z)}{\tau_s(z + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon) (1 - \xi/z)}.$$

Indeed, denote

$$D_0 := e^{\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k L^{kh}} \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_3, \Lambda_3^{-1}][[T; \epsilon^{-1}]].$$

Then by applying D_0 on both sides of the bilinear equations (2.29), we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{res}_z z^{\frac{x}{h\epsilon}} e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} T_\mu z^{\mu m}} \frac{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} \psi_1^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \operatorname{res}_z z^{-\frac{x}{h\epsilon}} e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_2} T_\mu z^{\mu n}} \frac{\tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} \psi_2^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the operator

$$\frac{\tau_s(x, T - [\zeta^{-1}]_1 + [\xi^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} X_1(\xi, \zeta)$$

on both sides of (4.21) we arrive at the identities

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{res}_z \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \frac{1 - z/\zeta}{1 - z/\xi} (D_0 \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z)) \psi_1^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \operatorname{res}_z \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x + h\epsilon, T - [z^{-1}]_2)} (D_0 \psi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z)) \psi_2^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

On another hand, by using the fact that

$$(\zeta - \xi) \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi^{-d} z^{d-1} = -\frac{1 - \zeta/z}{1 - \xi/z} + \frac{\zeta}{\xi} \frac{1 - z/\zeta}{1 - z/\xi},$$

we can rewrite equation (4.9) in the form

$$N_1 \psi_1 = f_1(z) \psi_1 + \frac{\zeta}{\xi} \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T - [z^{-1}]_1; \epsilon)} \frac{1 - z/\zeta}{1 - z/\xi} \psi_1.$$

So it follows from (4.22) and the equations $L^{h/m} \psi_1 = z \psi_1$, $L^{h/n} \psi_2 = z \psi_2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{res}_z \left[\left(N_1 - f_1(L^{h/m}) \right) D_0 \psi_1(x, T; \epsilon; z) \right] \psi_1^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \operatorname{res}_z \left[g_1(L^{h/n}) D_0 \psi_2(x, T; \epsilon; z) \right] \psi_2^*(x + \ell h\epsilon, T'; \epsilon; z) \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above equations and Lemma 2.5 we obtain the first identity of (4.16). The proof for the second identity of (4.16) is similar.

By using (4.16) and the identities

$$\left[g_1 \left(L^{h/n} \right) \right]_- = 0, \quad \left[g_2 \left(L^{h/n} \right) \right]_- = 0$$

we find that

$$(N_1)_- = f_1 \left(L^{h/m} \right) - \operatorname{res}_{\Lambda_3} f_1 \left(L^{h/m} \right), \quad (N_2)_- = f_2 \left(L^{h/m} \right) - \operatorname{res}_{\Lambda_3} f_2 \left(L^{h/m} \right).$$

Together with (4.17)–(4.20) we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad -\frac{(N_1)_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} = \left(e^{-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} + \tilde{N}_1 \right),$$

$$(4.24) \quad -\frac{(N_2)_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} = - \left(e^{-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{\zeta X_2(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}{\xi \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} + \tilde{N}_2 \right),$$

where \tilde{N}_1 and \tilde{N}_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_1 &= \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\xi^{km} - \xi^{km}}{kmz^{km}}} - 1 \right) \frac{X_1(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}, \\ \tilde{N}_2 &= \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\xi^{kn} - \xi^{kn}}{kmz^{km}}} - 1 \right) \frac{\zeta X_2(\xi, \zeta) \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}{\xi \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by using (4.14) together with (4.15), (4.23) and (4.24) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{(Y_k)_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} &= \left(e^{-\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{\tau_s(x, T; \epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \operatorname{res}_\xi \xi^{km+1} \partial_\zeta^2 X_1(\xi, \zeta) \Big|_{\zeta=\xi} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{res}_\xi \xi^{kn+1} \partial_\zeta^2 \left(\frac{\zeta}{\xi} X_2(\xi, \zeta) \right) \Big|_{\zeta=\xi} - \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(1+h)} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{k+1}} \right) \tau_s(x, T; \epsilon) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the formula (4.11) via a straightforward calculation. The proof of the formula (4.12) is similar. The lemma is proved. \square

We are ready to prove the following theorem on the Virasoro constraints of the topological tau-function τ_{top} . In the proof of the theorem the notations $\psi_1, \psi_2, Y_k (k \geq 0)$, etc. correspond to u_{top} .

Theorem 4.3 *The topological tau-function τ_{top} satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:*

$$(4.25) \quad L_k \tau_{\text{top}} = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proof Recall from Proposition 2.10 that

$$\tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = \tau_s \left(x + \frac{m\epsilon}{2}, T; \epsilon \right).$$

From Theorem 3.1 we know that $L_0 \tau_{\text{top}} = 0$, which is, by a shift $x \mapsto x - \frac{m\epsilon}{2}$, equivalent to

$$\left(L_0 - \frac{x}{2nh\epsilon} + \frac{m}{8nh} \right) \tau_s = 0.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$(4.26) \quad -(Y_0)_- \psi_1 = 0, \quad (Y_0)_+ \psi_2 = -\frac{1}{2m} \psi_2.$$

Since $-(Y_0)_-$ and $(Y_0)_+$ can be represented in the form

$$-(Y_0)_- = \sum_{k \leq -1} \gamma_k L^{kh/m}, \quad (Y_0)_+ = \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_k L^{-kh/n},$$

where $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon))[[x-1, T]]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the formulae in (4.26) imply that $\gamma_k = -\frac{1}{2m}\delta_{k,0}$, which yields $Y_0 = -\frac{1}{2m}$. So it follows from (4.8) that

$$(4.27) \quad Y_k = Y_0 L^{kh} = -\frac{1}{2m} L^{kh}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

By using (4.27) together with Lemma 4.2 and the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-(L^{kh})_- \psi_1}{\psi_1} &= \left(e^{\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\epsilon \partial_{T_k} \tau_s}{\tau_s}, \\ \frac{(L^{kh})_+ \psi_2}{\psi_2} &= \left(e^{h\epsilon \partial_x} e^{\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2} \frac{z^{-\mu n}}{\mu n} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\epsilon \partial_{T_k} \tau_s}{\tau_s}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain for arbitrary $k \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(e^{\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{z^{-\mu m}}{\mu m} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\left(\tilde{L}_k + \frac{\epsilon}{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} \right) \tau_s}{\tau_s} &= 0, \\ \left(e^{h\epsilon \partial_x} e^{\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}_2} \frac{z^{-\mu n}}{\mu n} \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\mu}} - 1 \right) \frac{\left(\tilde{L}_k + \frac{\epsilon}{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} \right) \tau_s}{\tau_s} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left(L_k - \frac{\epsilon}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k} \right) \tau_s = C_k(\epsilon) \tau_s, \quad C_k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon]],$$

which, after making the shift $x \mapsto x + \frac{m\epsilon}{2}$, is equivalent to

$$L_k \tau_{\text{top}} = C_k(\epsilon) \tau_{\text{top}}.$$

From the commutation relations

$$[L_0, L_k] = -k L_k,$$

it follows that $C_k(\epsilon) = 0$ for any $k \geq 1$. The theorem is proved. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us first prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $p = 1/m, q = 1/n, r = -1/h$, where m, n are coprime positive integers and $h = m + n$. To this end we will prove the following proposition, which generalizes part of the results of [9, 11].

Proposition 5.1 *The following identity holds true:*

$$(5.1) \quad Z(x, T; \epsilon) = \tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon),$$

where $Z(x, T; \epsilon)$ and $\tau_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ are defined in (1.10) and Theorem 3.1 respectively.

Proof By using the genus expansions (3.5), (3.9) and comparing the coefficients of ϵ^{2g-2} of the logarithms of both sides of (5.1), we find that the identity (5.1) is equivalent to the following infinitely many identities:

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_g(x, T) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T), \quad g \geq 0,$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T)$ are defined by

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T) := (mnh)^{g-1} \mathcal{H}_g(t(x, T); 1/m, 1/n, -1/h) + \delta_{g,0} \frac{A}{mnh}.$$

Let us first prove the identity (5.2) for $g = 0$ by using the procedure that is similar to the one used in [11]. Indeed, it is well known that $\mathcal{H}_0(t; 1/m, 1/n, -1/h)$ has the following explicit expression [7, 8]:

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_0(t; 1/m, 1/n, -1/h) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \tilde{t}_i \tilde{t}_j \frac{v_{\text{H}}(t)^{i+j+1}}{(i+j+1)i!j!}, \quad \tilde{t}_i = t_i - \delta_{i,1},$$

where $v_{\text{H}}(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is determined by the following equation:

$$(5.5) \quad v_{\text{H}}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t_i \frac{v_{\text{H}}(t)^i}{i!}.$$

Under the time substitutions (1.9) we find that $v_{\text{H}}(t(x, T))$ satisfies the equation

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda c_{\lambda} \tilde{T}_{\lambda} e^{\lambda v_{\text{H}}(t(x, T))} + \frac{x}{mn} = 0.$$

By comparing this equation with (3.38) and by noticing that the solution to (3.38) in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]]$ must be unique we arrive at the following simple but crucial identity:

$$(5.7) \quad v_{\text{top}}(x, T) = \frac{1}{m} v_{\text{H}}(t(x, T)),$$

where we recall that $v_{\text{top}}(x, T) =: v_{\text{top}}$ is the topological solution to the dispersionless FVH. Substituting (1.9) and (5.7) into (5.4), and using (3.69), we find, after a lengthy but straightforward calculation that is similar to the one given in [11], that

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{F}_0(x, T) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0(x, T).$$

Next we are to show that the power series \mathcal{F}_g and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g$ with $g \geq 1$ satisfy the technical conditions required by the uniqueness theorem proved in [24]. For \mathcal{F}_g , this has been given in the statement of Theorem 3.1: there exist functions $F_g(z_0, \dots, z_{3g-2})$, $g \geq 1$ satisfying (3.11) and (3.12) such that

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_g(x, T) = F_g\left(v_{\text{top}}, v'_{\text{top}}, \dots, v_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)}\right), \quad g \geq 1.$$

For $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g$, the technical conditions were proved in [8, 10, 12, 24]: there exist functions

$$\widehat{F}_g(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}), \quad g \geq 1$$

such that

$$(5.10) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T) = \widehat{F}_g\left(mv_{\text{top}}(x, T), mv'_{\text{top}}(x, T), \dots, mv_{\text{top}}^{(3g-2)}(x, T)\right), \quad g \geq 1.$$

Moreover, these functions can be chosen to satisfy the conditions

$$(5.11) \quad \widehat{F}_1 = \widehat{F}_1(z_0, z_1) = \frac{1}{24} \log z_1 + \frac{\sigma_1}{24} z_0,$$

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial \widehat{F}_g}{\partial z_i} = (2g - 2) \widehat{F}_g, \quad \widehat{F}_g \in z_1^{-(4g-4)} \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{3g-2}], \quad g \geq 2.$$

Here we use the relation (5.7) and the fact that $\partial_x = \partial_{t_0}$.

From Theorem 4.3 and the results of [24] we know the validity of the following Virasoro constraints:

$$(5.13) \quad L_k \tau_{\text{top}} = 0,$$

$$(5.14) \quad L_k Z = 0,$$

where $k \geq 0$, and the operators L_k are defined in (3.3) and (3.4). According to [24], the equations (5.4), (5.5), (5.10) and (5.14) imply that \widehat{F}_g , $g \geq 1$ satisfy the loop equation of [24]. From [24] we also know that the solution to the loop equation is unique under the conditions (5.11), (5.12). On the other hand, by using the relations (5.7), (5.8), (3.10), (3.38) and (5.13) we know that F_g , $g \geq 1$ satisfy the same loop equation. Then the fact that F_g also satisfy the conditions (3.11) and (3.12) leads to the validity of the identity (5.1). The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 5.1 implies the validity of Theorem 1.1 for the rational case. Let us proceed to prove the theorem for the general case when $p, q, r \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfy the local Calabi–Yau condition. To this end, let us first prove some lemmas.

Lemma 5.2 *The power series $u(x, T; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x - 1, T; \epsilon]]$ defined by (1.7) satisfies a hierarchy of evolutionary PDEs of the form*

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial T_\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{pq} c_\lambda e^{\frac{\lambda}{p} u} \left(u' + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}_{2g+1}} C_{\lambda, J}(p, q) u^{(J)} \right), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $u^{(J)} := \partial_x^{J_1} u \cdots \partial_x^{J_{|J|}} u$, and $C_{\lambda, J}(p, q) \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, p^{-1}, q^{-1}, (p+q)^{-1}]$, $J \in \mathbb{Y}$ are homogeneous rational functions of p and q of degree $1 - |J|$, which are understood as the resulting expressions under the substitution $\lambda = kp$ or $\lambda = kq$, viewing the positive integer k as a fixed parameter.

Proof From [8] we know that

$$(5.16) \quad w := -\frac{\epsilon^2}{pqr} \partial_{t_0}^2 \log Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t; p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right)$$

satisfies the Hodge hierarchy, which has the form

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t_i} = X_i(w, w', \dots), \quad i \geq 0$$

for some $X_i(w, w', \dots) =: X_i \in \mathcal{A}_{w,0}[w', w'', \dots][[\epsilon]]$. From (5.10)–(5.12) it follows that in the quasi-trivial transformation

$$w = v + \Delta w = v + \epsilon^2 \partial_{t_0}^2 \widehat{F}_1(v, v') + \epsilon^4 \partial_{t_0}^2 \widehat{F}_2(v', v'', v^{(3)}, v^{(4)}) + \dots$$

the function $\Delta w = \Delta w(v', v'', \dots)$ does not depend on v . So we know from the quasi-triviality of the Hodge hierarchy that the evolutionary equations for $w = w(t(x, T))$ have the forms

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial T_\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{pq} c_\lambda e^{\lambda w} \left(w' + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}_{2g+1}} \tilde{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q) w^{(J)} \right), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

where the coefficients $\tilde{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q) \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, p^{-1}, q^{-1}, (p+q)^{-1}]$.

Introduce a gradation on $\mathbb{C}[p, q, p^{-1}, q^{-1}, (p+q)^{-1}][[t]]$ via the following degree assignments:

$$\overline{\deg} p = \overline{\deg} q = 1, \quad \overline{\deg} t_i = i - 1, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Using the relations (1.1), we find that $\overline{\deg} \mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r) = 3g - 3$. Consequently, by using (1.9) we obtain $\overline{\deg} w = -1$ as well as

$$\overline{\deg} \tilde{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q) = l(J) - |J|.$$

From (1.7) and (5.16) we see that u and w are related by a Miura-type transformation

$$(5.18) \quad u = pw + \frac{2p^2 + 2pq + q^2}{24pq^2} w'' \epsilon^2 + \dots$$

Thus by using (5.17) and (5.18) we obtain the equations (5.15) together with

$$C_{\lambda, J}(p, q) \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, p^{-1}, q^{-1}, (p+q)^{-1}].$$

Finally, from (5.15) and the fact that $\overline{\deg} u = 0$, we find that $C_{\lambda, J}(p, q)$ are homogeneous rational functions of p, q satisfying $\overline{\deg} C_{\lambda, J}(p, q) = 1 - |J|$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 5.3 *The flows of the FVH (1.8) can be represented in the form*

$$(5.19) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial T_\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{pq} c_\lambda e^{\frac{\lambda}{p} u} \left(u' + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}_{2g+1}} \overline{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q) u^{(J)} \right), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $\overline{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q)$ have the same properties as those of $C_{\lambda, J}(p, q)$ which appear in Lemma 5.2.

Proof One can prove this lemma by induction based on the following identity:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1/m}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial T_\lambda} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial T_{1/m}} \right), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We omit the details here. \square

For the reader's convenience, we give a few examples of $\overline{C}_{\lambda, J}(p, q)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_{kp, (3)}(p, q) &= \frac{k}{12} \frac{p+q}{pq^2}, & \overline{C}_{kp, (2,1)}(p, q) &= \frac{k(2k+1)}{12} \frac{p+q}{pq^2}, \\ \overline{C}_{kq, (3)}(p, q) &= \frac{k}{12} \frac{p+q}{p^2q}, & \overline{C}_{kq, (2,1)}(p, q) &= \frac{k}{12} \frac{(p+q)(2kq+p)}{p^3q}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by using the validity of Theorem 1.1 for the rational case and by using Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 we know that the equalities

$$C_{\lambda,J}\left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \overline{C}_{\lambda,J}\left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \forall J \in \mathbb{Y}$$

hold true for arbitrary coprime positive integers m and n . Then from the homogeneity of $C_{\lambda,J}(p, q)$ and $\overline{C}_{\lambda,J}(p, q)$ it follows the validity of the identities

$$(5.20) \quad C_{\lambda,J}(p, q) = \overline{C}_{\lambda,J}(p, q), \quad \forall J \in \mathbb{Y}$$

for arbitrary non-zero $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence the power series $u(x, T; \epsilon)$ given by the formula (1.7) is a solution to the FVH (1.8).

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to show that the power series $Z(x, T; \epsilon)$ given by the formula (1.10) is a tau-function of the FVH, i.e. to verify that it satisfies the relations (2.8) and (2.9). Let us introduce, for any given $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}$, the following power series in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1, T; \epsilon]]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda &:= (\Lambda_3 - 1) \Lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon \frac{\partial \log Z(x, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\lambda} - \text{res}_{\Lambda_3} L^{-\lambda/r} \Big|_{u=u(x, T; \epsilon)}, \\ \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} &:= \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z(x, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} - \Omega_{\lambda, \mu} \Big|_{u=u(x, T; \epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u(x, T; \epsilon)$ is defined by (1.7). We are to show that

$$(5.21) \quad \Delta_\lambda = 0, \quad \Delta_{\lambda, \mu} = 0.$$

Using the genus expansion of $\log Z_{\text{cubic}}$ given in [8] one can represent the two point correlation functions of Z_{cubic} in the form

$$(5.22) \quad \frac{p+q}{p^2 q^2} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z_{\text{cubic}}}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \left(t(x, T); p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right) = \frac{(v/p)^{i+j+1}}{(i+j+1)! j!} + X_{i,j}(v, v', \dots; \epsilon)$$

for $i, j \geq 0$. Here

$$v = v(x, T) := u(x, T; \epsilon = 0) \in \mathbb{C}[[x-1, T]],$$

and $X_{i,j}(z_0, z_1, \dots; \epsilon) := X_{i,j} \in \epsilon \mathcal{A}_{z_0, 0} [z_1^{-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots] [[\epsilon]]$ satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k z_k \frac{\partial X_{i,j}}{\partial z_k} - \epsilon \frac{\partial X_{i,j}}{\partial \epsilon} = 0.$$

Then by using the definition (1.10) of $Z(x, T; \epsilon)$ and the formulae (5.22) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z(x, T; \epsilon)}{\partial x \partial T_\lambda} &= -rc_\lambda + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\partial t_i}{\partial T_\lambda} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z_{\text{cubic}}}{\partial t_0 \partial t_i} \left(t(x, T); p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right) \\ &= -rc_\lambda e^{\lambda v/p} + f_\lambda(v, v', \dots; \epsilon), \\ \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z(x, T; \epsilon)}{\partial T_\lambda \partial T_\mu} &= -\frac{r}{pq} \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} + \sum_{i, j \geq 0} \frac{\partial t_i}{\partial T_\lambda} \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial T_\mu} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z_{\text{cubic}}}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \left(t(x, T); p, q, r; \frac{\sqrt{p+q}}{pq} \epsilon \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p+q} \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda+\mu} c_\lambda c_\mu e^{(\lambda+\mu)v/p} + f_{\lambda,\mu}(v, v', \dots; \epsilon),$$

where $f_\lambda(z_0, z_1, \dots; \epsilon) =: f_\lambda$, $f_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0, z_1, \dots; \epsilon) =: f_{\lambda,\mu} \in \epsilon\mathcal{A}_{z_0,0}[z_1^{-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots][[\epsilon]]$ satisfy the equations

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k z_k \frac{\partial f_\lambda}{\partial z_k} - \epsilon \frac{\partial f_\lambda}{\partial \epsilon} = 0, \quad \sum_{k \geq 1} k z_k \frac{\partial f_{\lambda,\mu}}{\partial z_k} - \epsilon \frac{\partial f_{\lambda,\mu}}{\partial \epsilon} = 0.$$

Hence by using Lemma 2.2 we find that there exist elements

$$(5.23) \quad \Delta_\lambda^* = \Delta_\lambda^*(z_0, z_1, \dots), \quad \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^* = \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^*(z_0, z_1, \dots) \in \epsilon\mathcal{A}_{z_0,0}[z_1^{-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots][[\epsilon]]$$

satisfying the homogeneity conditions

$$(5.24) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial \Delta_\lambda^*}{\partial z_i} - \epsilon \frac{\partial \Delta_\lambda^*}{\partial \epsilon} = 0, \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} i z_i \frac{\partial \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^*}{\partial z_i} - \epsilon \frac{\partial \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^*}{\partial \epsilon} = 0,$$

such that

$$\Delta_\lambda = \Delta_\lambda^*(v, v', \dots), \quad \Delta_{\lambda,\mu} = \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^*(v, v', \dots).$$

On the other hand, applying the operators $\epsilon \partial_{T_\lambda}$ and $\epsilon^2 \partial_{T_\lambda} \partial_{T_\mu}$ to both sides of (1.7), and using equations (2.6) and (2.56), we obtain

$$\partial_x \Delta_\lambda = 0, \quad \partial_x^2 \Delta_{\lambda,\mu} = 0.$$

Then by using the transcendency of $v(x, T)$ we have the identities (cf. Lemma 3.8)

$$(5.25) \quad \partial \Delta_\lambda^* = 0, \quad \partial^2 \Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^* = 0,$$

where $\partial = \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$. Combining with (5.23), (5.24), we have $\Delta_\lambda^* = 0$ and $\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}^* = 0$. So the relations (5.21) hold true. Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

6 Proofs of Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

In this section, we first prove Proposition 1.2. Then we do some explicit calculations for the coefficients R_g based on the Hodge–FVH correspondence, which leads to a proof of Proposition 1.3. Finally, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4 for certain special cubic Hodge integrals.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. In the rational case, we have $p = 1/m$, $q = 1/n$ for some coprime positive integers m, n . By using (3.6) and (3.7) as well as Theorem 5.1 we find that Z satisfies

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{I}} (1 - \mu) T_\mu \frac{\partial Z}{\partial T_\mu} + x \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} + \epsilon \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{x^2}{2mn h \epsilon^2} Z - \frac{\sigma_1}{24} Z = 0,$$

from which the properties (1.14), (1.15) follow immediately. The degree estimate $\deg R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3) \leq 3g - 3$ with $g \geq 2$ follows from Theorem 1.3 of [8]. To show (1.18) we first note that for $g \geq 2$,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r) \\ = (-1)^{g-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} \frac{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_n^{i_n} \lambda_g \lambda_{g-1} \lambda_{g-2} (p^g q^{g-1} r^{g-2} + (p \leftrightarrow q \leftrightarrow r))$$

$$+ (-1)^{g-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} \frac{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_n^{i_n} \lambda_{g-1}^3 p^{g-1} q^{g-1} r^{g-1} + \dots,$$

where

$$(p \leftrightarrow q \leftrightarrow r) := p^g r^{g-1} q^{g-2} + q^g p^{g-1} r^{g-2} + q^g r^{g-1} p^{g-2} + r^g p^{g-1} q^{g-2} + r^g q^{g-1} p^{g-2},$$

and the dots “ \dots ” denotes the terms in $\mathcal{H}_g(t; p, q, r)$, as polynomials of p, q, r , having degree strictly less than $3g - 3$. From (1.12) and (1.16) it follows that the degrees defined for polynomials in p, q, r and the ones for polynomials in σ_1, σ_3 are consistent. As it was proved in [8], the following formula holds true for $g \geq 2$:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} \frac{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{i_1} \cdots \psi_n^{i_n} \lambda_g \lambda_{g-1} \lambda_{g-2} = \frac{1}{2(2g-2)!} \frac{|B_{2g}|}{2g} \frac{|B_{2g-2}|}{2g-2} \frac{\partial^{2g-2} v(t)}{\partial t_0^{2g-2}},$$

where $v(t) := \partial_0^2 \mathcal{H}_0(t; p, q, r)$. Then the identity (1.18) follows from Mumford’s relation

$$\lambda_{g-1}^3 = 2\lambda_g \lambda_{g-1} \lambda_{g-2}$$

(see [29]) and the fact that

$$(6.2) \quad v(t(x, T))|_{T=0} = \log x.$$

The proposition is proved. \square

We proceed to prove Proposition 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Recall that Lemma 3.4 states that the difference equation (1.19), i.e. the equation

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_m \leq n} e^{\sum_{j=1}^m V(x + \alpha_j m \epsilon - (j - \frac{1}{2}) n \epsilon; \epsilon)} = \binom{m+n}{m} x,$$

has a unique solution of the form

$$(6.4) \quad V(x; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{m} \log x + \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \frac{P_g(m, n)}{x^{2g}}.$$

We have shown in [24] that

$$(6.5) \quad \log Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t(x, 0); \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{h}; \epsilon \right) = \epsilon^{-2} \mathcal{H}_0(t(x, 0)) + \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{24} \log x + \sum_{g \geq 2} \epsilon^{2g-2} \frac{R_g}{x^{2g-2}},$$

where $R_g = R_g(\sigma_1, \sigma_3) \in \mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1, \sigma_3]$, $g \geq 2$, and σ_1, σ_3 are given in (1.16). From Theorem 1.1 it follows that

$$u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon) = \left(\Lambda_3^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\Lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \log Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t(x, T); \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{h}; \epsilon \sqrt{mnh} \right).$$

So by using (3.20) we obtain

$$(6.6) \quad V(x; \epsilon) = \left(\Lambda_3^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\Lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \log Z_{\text{cubic}} \left(t(x, 0); \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{h}; \epsilon \sqrt{mnh} \right).$$

The proposition then follows from (6.4)–(6.6). \square

Example 6.1 Let us apply Proposition 1.3 to compute R_g for $g = 2, 3, 4$. We first derive the expressions of the differential polynomials $M_1^{[g]} = M_1^{[g]}(u', \dots, u^{(2g)})$ defined in (3.21), (3.22) for $g = 2, 3, 4$. By using (3.26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1^{[1]} &= \frac{mn(2mh - n)}{24}u'' + \frac{m^3n(h + 1)}{24}(u')^2, \\
M_1^{[2]} &= \frac{mn(24m^2nh^2 - 4mh(2m^2 + 2mn + 7n^2) + 7n^3)}{5760}u^{(4)} \\
&\quad + \frac{m^3n(h + 1)(12mnh - 4m^2 - 6mn - 9n^2)}{1440}u'u''' \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2n(36m^2nh^2 - 4mh(3m^2 - 2mn + 8n^2) - 12m^3 - 8m^2n - 12mn^2 + 5n^3)}{5760}(u'')^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{m^4n(h + 1)(22mnh - (8m^2 + 13n^2) - 4h)}{2880}(u')^2u'' \\
&\quad + \frac{m^5n(h + 1)(5mnh - (2m^2 - 3mn + 2n^2) - 2h)}{5760}(u')^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the expressions for $M_1^{[3]}$ and $M_1^{[4]}$ are quite long, we omit them here. By using (3.27) and (3.29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \frac{nh(m - 1)}{24m}, \\
P_2 &= -\frac{nh(m - 1)}{960m}(4mnh + 3m^2 - 3mn - 3n^2 - m), \\
P_3 &= \frac{nh(m - 1)}{72576m}(8m^2n^2h^2 + 4mnh(44m^2 - 17mn - 17n^2) + 95m^4 - 75m^3n \\
&\quad - 48m^2n^2 + 54mn^3 + 27n^4 - m(49m^2 - 13mn - 13n^2) + m^2 + m).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also omit the long expression of P_4 . Then it follows from Proposition 1.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &= -\frac{1}{1440} + \frac{13\sigma_1}{5760} - \frac{7\sigma_1^2}{5760} + \frac{1}{17280}\left(\sigma_1^3 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}\right), \\
R_3 &= \frac{1}{181440} - \frac{107\sigma_1}{362880} + \frac{145\sigma_1^2}{290304} - \frac{31\sigma_1^3}{161280} \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{31}{1088640} - \frac{113\sigma_1}{4354560}\right)\left(\sigma_1^3 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}\right) - \frac{(\sigma_1^3 - \sigma_3/2)^2}{13063680},
\end{aligned}$$

which coincide with the ones given in [24]. In the same way we also obtain the following expression of R_4 :

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4 &= \frac{211}{10886400} + \frac{\sigma_1}{48600} - \frac{1193\sigma_1^2}{4354560} + \frac{18629\sigma_1^3}{58060800} - \frac{127\sigma_1^4}{1290240} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{83}{3870720} - \frac{1657\sigma_1}{32659200} + \frac{6469\sigma_1^2}{261273600}\right)\left(\sigma_1^3 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{17}{65318400} + \frac{247\sigma_1}{1567641600}\right)\left(\sigma_1^3 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{(\sigma_1^3 - \sigma_3/2)^3}{2351462400}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the case when one of p, q is taken to be 1 and give a proof of Theorem 1.4. It suffices to consider the case with $p = 1$. So in what follows we assume that

$$(6.7) \quad (p, q, r) = \left(1, q, -\frac{q}{q+1}\right).$$

We note that in this case the parameter $1/q$ is identified with the framing parameter f . For more details about the framing, see for example [3].

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2 *For the rational case with $p = 1$, the initial value $V(x; \epsilon)$ for $u_{\text{top}}(x, T; \epsilon)$ has the following explicit expression:*

$$(6.8) \quad V(x; \epsilon) \equiv \log x.$$

Proof In the present case, the equation (1.19) reads

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \Lambda^{i-n/2} e^V = (n+1)x,$$

whose unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[[x-1; \epsilon]]$ is clearly given by $V = \log x$. The lemma is proved. \square

By employing Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 1.3 one can obtain the following explicit expressions for the polynomials R_g :

$$(6.9) \quad R_1 = -\frac{1}{24} \left((q+1) + (q+1)^{-1} \right),$$

$$(6.10) \quad R_g = -(2g-3)! \left(\tilde{B}_g^2 + \sum_{i=1}^g \tilde{B}_{g-i} \tilde{B}_{g+i} \left((q+1)^i + (q+1)^{-i} \right) \right), \quad g \geq 2,$$

where $\tilde{B}_i := \frac{1-2^{1-i}}{i!} B_i$, $i \geq 0$. By using (1.3), (1.9) and (1.15) we know that R_g , $g \geq 1$ are related to the cubic Hodge integrals by the formula

$$(6.11) \quad R_g = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Y}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(J)}}{m(J)!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g, \ell(J)}} \mathcal{C}_g(-1) \mathcal{C}_g(-q) \mathcal{C}_g \left(\frac{q}{1+q} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(J)} \psi_i^{J_i+1}.$$

Therefore, the formulae (6.9), (6.10) yield relations for the Hodge integrals. These relations specialize to (1.18) of [9] when $q = 1$.

Now let us prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By using (5.3) we know that it suffices to show that $(mnh)^{1-g} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T)$, $g \geq 1$ possess the property given by (1.24), (1.25). According to Theorem 1.1, $e^{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, T; \epsilon)}$ is the topological tau-function of the FVH. Due to the symmetry with respect to the interchange of p, q in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, T)$, we only need to prove the theorem for the case $p = 1$.

Denote $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, T_1; \epsilon) := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, T; \epsilon)|_{T=0}$, and introduce the k -point correlators for $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, T_1; \epsilon)$ as follows:

$$(6.12) \quad C_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(x, \epsilon) := \epsilon^k \frac{\partial^k \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, T_1; \epsilon)}{\partial T_{i_1} \dots \partial T_{i_k}} \Big|_{T_1=0}, \quad k \geq 1, i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 1.$$

From Proposition 1.2 we see that in order to prove the theorem, we only need to show that all these correlators belong to $\mathbb{C}[[x, \epsilon]]$. To this end, let us first look at the two-point correlators. Using the relation (2.9) between the tau-function of the FVH and two-point correlation functions $\Omega_{\lambda, \mu}$, we obtain

$$C_{i_1, i_2}(x, \epsilon) = \Omega_{i_1, i_2}|_{T=0}, \quad i_1, i_2 \geq 1.$$

From the definition (2.3) with $p = 1$ it follows that $\Omega_{i, j}$ are polynomials of $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + \ell} e^{u_{\text{top}}}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by using Lemma 6.2 we arrive at the fact that the 2-point correlators $C_{i_1, i_2}(x, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, \epsilon]]$. Now let us introduce the notations

$$\Omega_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k} = \epsilon^{k-2} \partial_{T_{\lambda_1}} \dots \partial_{T_{\lambda_{k-2}}} \Omega_{\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k}, \quad k \geq 3.$$

By using (2.56) and by induction on k we know that Ω_{i_1, \dots, i_k} with $k \geq 2$ are all polynomials of $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + \ell} e^{u_{\text{top}}}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. So from Lemma 6.2 it follows that $C_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(x, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, \epsilon]]$ for all $k \geq 3$. It remains to show that the 1-point correlators also belong to $\mathbb{C}[[x, \epsilon]]$. To this end, let us use the equation (3.6). Dividing this equation by $Z = \tau_{\text{top}}$, taking its derivative with respect to T_i , and then putting $T = 0$, we find that $C_i(x, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, \epsilon]]$, $i \geq 1$. The theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] M. Adler, T. Shiota, P. van Moerbeke, A Lax representation for the vertex operator and the central extension, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **171** (1995), 547–588.
- [2] B. Bakalov, W. Wheeler, Additional symmetries of the extended bigraded Toda hierarchy, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical & Theoretical*, **49** (2016), 055201.
- [3] A. Brini, Open topological strings and integrable hierarchies: remodeling the A-Model, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **312** (2012), 735–780.
- [4] A. Buryak, Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy for the Hodge integrals, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **9** (2015), 239–272.
- [5] E. Date, M. Kashiwara, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, In “Proceedings of RIMS Symposium on Nonlinear integrable systems—classical theory and quantum theory” (Kyoto, 1981), World Sci. Publishing (1983), 39–119.
- [6] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, 2nd edition, World Scientific, 2003.
- [7] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, In “Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups” (Montecatini Terme, 1993), Editors: Francaviglia, M., Greco, S., Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1620** (1996), 120–348.
- [8] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, Hodge integrals and tau-symmetric integrable hierarchies of Hamiltonian evolutionary PDEs, *Adv. Math.* **293** (2016), 382–435.
- [9] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, Hodge-GUE correspondence and the discrete KdV equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **379** (2020), 461–490.

- [10] B. Dubrovin, D. Yang, Remarks on intersection numbers and integrable hierarchies. I. Quasi-triviality, arXiv:1905.08106.
- [11] B. Dubrovin, D. Yang, On cubic Hodge integrals and random matrices, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **11** (2017), 311–336.
- [12] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, arXiv:math/0108160.
- [13] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Virasoro symmetries of the extended Toda hierarchy, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **250** (2004), 161–193.
- [14] C. Faber, R. Pandharipande, Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), 173–199.
- [15] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, On the gauge theory/geometry correspondence, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (1999), 1415–1443.
- [16] T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, Localization of virtual classes, *Invent. Math.* **135** (1999), 487–518.
- [17] M.-X. Huang, A. Klemm, S. Quackenbush, Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: modularity and boundary conditions. In “Homological mirror symmetry”, 1–58. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [18] C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, Combinatorics of the modular group II the Kontsevich integrals, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **23** (1992), 5661–5705.
- [19] M. Kazarian, S. Lando, An algebro-geometric proof of Witten’s conjecture, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **20** (2007), 1079–1089.
- [20] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 1–23.
- [21] C.-C. M. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, A proof of a conjecture of Mariño–Vafa on Hodge integrals, *J. Differential Geom.* **65** (2003), 289–340.
- [22] K. Liu, H. Xu, Mirzakhani’s recursion formula is equivalent to the Witten-Kontsevich theorem, *Astérisque* **328** (2009), 223–235.
- [23] S.-Q. Liu, C.-Z. Wu, Y. Zhang, On properties of Hamiltonian structures for a class of evolutionary PDEs, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **84** (2008), 47–63.
- [24] S.-Q. Liu, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, C. Zhou, The loop equation for special cubic Hodge integrals, arXiv:1811.10234.
- [25] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, On quasitriviality and integrability of a class of scalar evolutionary PDEs, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2005), 101–119.
- [26] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Zhou, Fractional Volterra hierarchy, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108** (2018), 261–283 .

- [27] M. Mariño, C. Vafa, Framed knots at large N , *Orbifolds in Mathematics and Physics* (Madison, WI, 2001), *Contemp. Math.* **310** (2002), 185–204.
- [28] M. Mirzakhani, Weil–Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **20** (2007), 1–23.
- [29] D. Mumford, Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves, In: *Arithmetic and geometry*, 271–328. Birkhäuser Boston, 1983.
- [30] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, Hodge integrals and invariants of the unknot, *Geom. Topol.* **8** (2004), 675–699.
- [31] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, Gromov–Witten theory, Hurwitz numbers, and matrix models, In: *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.* Vol. **80**, Part 1 (2009), 325–414.
- [32] A.Y. Orlov, E.I. Schulman, Additional Symmetries for Integrable and Conformal Algebra Representation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986), 171–179.
- [33] M. Sato, Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **439** (1981), 30–46.
- [34] K. Ueno, K. Takasaki, Toda lattice hierarchy, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **4** (1984), 1–95.
- [35] E. Witten, Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, *Surveys in differential geometry* **1** (1991), 243–320. Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA.
- [36] J. Zhou, Hodge integrals and integrable hierarchies, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **93** (2010), 55–71.

Si-Qi Liu, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China
e-mail: liusq@tsinghua.edu.cn

Di Yang, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei 230026, P.R. China
e-mail: diyang@ustc.edu.cn

Youjin Zhang, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China
e-mail: youjin@tsinghua.edu.cn

Chunhui Zhou, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei 230026, P.R. China
e-mail: zhouch@ustc.edu.cn