Constraints on anisotropic RG flows from holographic entanglement entropy
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Abstract

In the context of the gauge/gravity duality, we propose a candidate $c$-function which is derived from the entanglement entropy of a strip-shaped region for $d+1$-dimensional quantum field theories with broken Lorentz and rotational symmetries. We examine conditions of monotonicity of the $c$-function for holographic anisotropic theories dual to the Einstein gravity via the constraints imposed by the null energy conditions. We consider near UV and IR behaviors of these theories with a dynamical exponent and hyperscaling violation parameter. We identify the sufficient conditions that guarantee the $c$ function decrease monotonically along the RG flows.
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1 Introduction

A challenging question in the quantum field theories (QFT) is finding a proper quantity in order to probe the structure of parameter space of QFT. The renormalization group (RG) provides a framework to study various aspects of the QFTs space. The RG denotes how physics in different energy scales can be related to each others.

There are special points in this space, called fixed points, which correspond to theories with scaling symmetry. Other theories may be derived from this point by various deformation. This quantity/function is definite positive and monotonically decreases along the RG flows and is a measure of degrees of freedom of the effective theory. One of feature of this function is that it takes special values at fixed points that are the same as central charges of the corresponding theories.

In the space of two-dimensional QFT, Zamolodchikov [1] showed that there exists such a positive definite function $c_2$, which decreases monotonically along the RG flows. This function is stationary and coincides with the central charge $c$ of the associated fixed points CFT. As a consequence, if RG flows connecting two UV and IR fixed points, we can have

$$c_{UV} > c_{IR}. \quad (1.1)$$

From the Wilsonian point of view, it is a measure of effective degrees of freedom that decreases along the RG flows.

One of the suitable candidates $c$-function is emergent in the realm of the information theory. In this context, the entanglement entropy is a measure of quantum entanglement. It can be used as a measure of effective degrees of freedom in QFT along the RG flows in Wilsonian sense. For a two-dimensional conformal field theory, the entanglement entropy for an interval of length $l$ is given by [2, 3]

$$S_{EE}^{\text{CFT}} = \frac{c}{3} \log \left( \frac{l}{\delta} \right) + \cdots, \quad (1.2)$$

where $c$ is the central charge, $\delta$ is a UV regulator.

The authors [4, 5] regarding the behavior $S_{EE}$ reformulated the Zamolodchikov’s $c$-theorem for a two-dimensional QFT, and defined it as

$$c_2 = 3l \frac{dS_{EE}(l)}{dl}. \quad (1.3)$$

The monotonicity flow of the $c_2$ can be shown from the strong subadditivity property of $S_{EE}$ as well as the Lorentz symmetry and unitarity of the underlying QFT.

The generalization of the $c$-theorem for higher dimension is remained a challenging problem. This issue has been addressed in [6, 7, 8, 9] for three- and four-dimensional theories with Lorentz invariance. On the other hand, the gauge/gravity duality provides a framework to demonstrate/investigate the RG flows to arbitrary dimensions in the gravitational context [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The connection between RG flows and the entanglement entropy well is depicted via holographic prescription of computing the entanglement entropy of the boundary theory [15, 16, 17]. The authors [16, 18] defined a candidate $c$-function which extracted from the holographic entanglement entropy of a strip-shape region. In [18] it was shown that the $c$-functions for Lorentz invariant
QFT decreases monotonically if the bulk gravity/matter fields satisfy the null-energy condition.

There is a natural question that in what extend one can recover monotonic RG flows for theories which exhibit broken Lorentz invariance. In [19], it was shown that for weakly coupled Lorentz-violating field theories, the entanglement entropy does not decrease monotonically under RG flows. On the other hand, [20] claimed that for Lorentz-violating strongly coupled field theories with holographic dual such a breakdown of the candidate $c$ function can be seen. However they also, with respect to the asymptotic UV behavior of the geometry, identified sufficient conditions for monotonically decreasing of the $c$ function along the RG flow. These theories are associated to geometries with a dynamical exponent $z$ and a hyperscaling violation parameter $\theta$.

These dual models are important in studying QFTs with violation of Lorentz invariance and broken rotational symmetry [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

In this work, we raise the question that how the anisotropy effects on the monotonicity of the RG flows, and in what conditions we can define the candidate $c$-function from holographic entanglement entropy for theories that exhibit Lorentz-violating as well as rotational symmetry breaking. We will construct a $c$ function that interpolates between a UV CFT and an IR anisotropic theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, first we briefly review the holographic entanglement entropy of a strip-shaped region in an AdS background, then we derive the Ryu-Takayanagi minimal surface in the hyperscaling violation background. In section 3, we derive null energy conditions, and propose the candidate $c$-function, for geometries dual to anisotropic QFTS. In section 4, we illustrate our results in some anisotropic backgrounds. Finally we conclude in section 5.

Note added: While this article was being completed, we received [28] which has some overlaps with our results.

## 2 Holographic entanglement entropy of a strip-shaped region

In this section, we consider the holographic entanglement entropy of a strip-shaped region at UV and IR regimes. Firstly, we review the holographic entanglement at the UV fixed point which is a conformal field theory [15, 16]. Then we will derive the holographic entanglement entropy in IR region dual to anisotropic strongly coupled field theories.

### 2.1 Entanglement entropy at UV fixed point

According to Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) prescription, the holographic entanglement entropy of a sub-region $V$ on the $d$-dimensional boundary field theory is given by

$$ S_{EE} = \frac{\text{Area}(m)}{4G_N}, $$

where $m$ is the minimal surface in $d + 1$-dimensional bulk and is homologous to $V$ and $\partial_m$ matches the entangling surface $\partial_V$ on the boundary. The above formula holds in
the case that the bulk physics is described by the Einstein gravity.

For the CFT, the holographic entanglement entropy for the strip is given by [15, 16]
\[
S_{\text{CFT}} = \frac{4\pi}{d - 2} \frac{L^{d-1}}{l^p} \left( \frac{H}{\delta} \right)^{d-2} + \frac{2d\pi^{(d+1)}}{d-2} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2(d-1)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right)} \right)^{d-1} \frac{L^{d-1}}{l^p} \left( \frac{H}{l} \right)^{d-2}
\] (2.2)
where \( \delta \) is the UV cutoff, \( l \) the width of strip and \( H \) its (cutoff) length. A c-function candidate is defined from the above entanglement entropy as [18]
\[
c_d = \beta_d \frac{l^{d-1}}{H^{d-2}} \frac{\partial S_{\text{CFT}}}{\partial l}, \quad \beta_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^d} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2(d-1)}\right)} \right)^{d-1}
\] (2.3)
where \( \beta_d \) is constant.

### 2.2 Entanglement entropy in IR regime

In this subsection we will derive the holographic entanglement entropy of strip-shaped region in the IR region which is described by anisotropic strongly coupled field theories. The metric of the dual geometry is defined as [21]
\[
ds^2 = \tilde{L}^2 r^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \left( -dt^2 + dr^2 + d\vec{x}_{-1}^2 \right) + \frac{dy^2}{r^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}}. \] (2.4)

The scale transformation of the coordinates is defined as
\[
t \to \lambda t, \quad r \to \lambda r, \quad x_i \to \lambda x_i, \quad y \to \lambda^\frac{2d}{d-2} y, \quad ds^2 \to \lambda^\frac{2d}{d-2} ds^2. \] (2.5)

The metric transforms covariantly under these transformations.

The holographic entanglement entropy of a curved region in this background is considered in [26]. The above metric has an anisotropic scaling in one of spatial coordinates, so we consider two possible ways to addressing this problem. First, we take the width of the strip along the anisotropic scaling direction \( y \), while in the second case we put up the width of strip along one of the isotropic scaling directions \( x_i \)'s.

#### 2.2.1 The width of the strip along the anisotropic scaling direction

In this case, we take the strip as
\[
-\frac{l}{2} \leq y \leq \frac{l}{2}, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq H, \] (2.6)

We choose the profile of the bulk minimal surface as \( y = y(r) \). Hence the induced metric on this minimal surface reads
\[
ds^2 = \tilde{L}^2 r^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \left( d\vec{x}_{-1}^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{y^2}{r^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \right) dr^2 \right), \] (2.7)
where \( \tilde{L} \) is the \( AdS \) curvature scale, and \( y' = \partial_r y \). The holographic entanglement entropy is given by
\[
S_{EE} = \frac{2\pi}{l_p^d} \int d\sigma \sqrt{\gamma} = \tilde{L}^d 2\pi H^{d-1} \frac{l_d}{l_p^d} \int_0^{r_m} dr \frac{1}{r^{d+\frac{1}{z} - 1}} \sqrt{r^{2\left(\frac{1}{z} - 1\right)} + y'^2},
\]
\(2.8\)

in which \(\delta\) is a UV cut-off, and \(r_m\) is turning point of minimal surface which is defined such that \(r_m = r(x = 0)\) and \(y'(r_m) = \infty\). Note that the entropy functional (2.8) does not depend explicitly on \(y\), so there is a conserved quantity

\[
\frac{1}{r^{d+\frac{1}{z} - 1}} \frac{x'}{\sqrt{1 + x'^2}} = \frac{1}{r_m^{d+\frac{1}{z} - 1}}
\]
\(2.9\)

Using this we can rewrite \(S_{EE}\) and width of the strip \(l\) as functions of turning point \(r_m\),

\[
S_{EE} = \frac{\tilde{L}^d 2\pi H^{d-1}}{l_d} I,
\]
\(2.10\)

in which \(I\) is

\[
I = \frac{1}{r_m^{d+\frac{1}{z} - 1}} \int_{\frac{\theta}{r_m}}^{1} du \frac{u^{\theta - d}}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2(d+\frac{1}{z} - 1)}}},
\]
\(2.11\)

\[
l = 2r_m^{\frac{1}{z}} \int_{0}^{1} du \frac{u^{d+\frac{2-\theta}{z} - 2}}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2(d+\frac{1}{z} - 1)}}},
\]
\(2.12\)

where \(u = \frac{x}{r_m}\). If we explicitly compute the above integrals, we can write the \(S_{EE}\) as a function of width of the strip \(l\). To proceed, we must note that for special value of \(\theta\) the logarithmic term appears. So, we separate it.

i) \(\theta \neq z(d - 1)\)

\[
l = \alpha r_m^{\frac{1}{z}}, \quad \alpha = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi z}{\Gamma(z(d-1)+2-\theta)}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{z(d-1)+2-\theta}{2(z(d-1)+1-\theta)})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(z(d-1)+1-\theta)})}
\]
\(2.13\)

\[
S_{EE} = 4\pi z H^{\frac{\theta}{z}} \frac{l_d}{\delta} \left(\frac{H}{l_d}\right)^{d-\frac{\theta}{z} - 1} - \beta_d \frac{l_d}{l_p^d} \left(\frac{H}{l}\right)^{z(d-1) - \theta}
\]
\(2.14\)

where

\[
\beta_d = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2z(d-1) - 2\theta + 1} H^{(1-z)(d-1)+\theta} \left(\frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(z(d-1)+1-\theta)})}{\Gamma(-1 + \frac{1}{2(z(d-1)+1-\theta)})}\right)^{\alpha(d-1)z - \theta}.
\]
\(2.15\)

ii) \(\theta = z(d - 1)\)

In this case, we find \(l = 2zr_m^{\frac{1}{z}}\), and the holographic entanglement entropy is

\[
S_{EE} = 2\pi H^{d-1} \frac{l_d}{l_p^d} \log \frac{l^z}{(2z)^2\delta}.
\]
\(2.16\)
This result shows that the boundary theory exhibits a logarithmic area law violation, which may be a sign that the boundary theory has a Fermi surface.

iii) $\frac{d}{2} = d$

For this case, the holographic entropy takes the form

$$S_{EE} \sim \frac{\tilde{L}^d}{l_p^d} l^z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

### 2.2.2 The width of the strip along one of the isotropic scaling direction

In this case, we take the strip as

$$\frac{-l}{2} \leq x_1 \leq \frac{l}{2}, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq H, \ i \neq 1 \quad 0 \leq y \leq H,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

We choose the profile of the bulk minimal surface as $x_1 = x(r)$. So the induced metric on the minimal surface is derived as

$$ds^2 = \tilde{L}^d r \frac{2\theta}{x_1^2} \left(dx_{d-2}^2 + \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} dy^2 + (1 + x'^2) dr^2\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

where $\tilde{L}$ is the AdS curvature scale, and $x' = \partial_r x$. The holographic entanglement entropy is given by

$$S_{EE} = \frac{2\pi}{l_p^d} \int d\sigma \sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{L}^d 2\pi H^{d-1}}{l_p^d} \int_{\delta}^{r_m} dr \frac{\sqrt{1 + x'^2}}{r^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}-1}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

in which $\delta$ is a UV cut-off, and $r_m$ is turning point of minimal surface, and $x'(r_m) = \infty$. The corresponding conserved quantity is defined as

$$\frac{1}{r^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}-1}} \frac{x'}{\sqrt{1 + x'^2}} = \frac{1}{r_m^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)

Using this we can rewrite the $S_{EE}$ and width of the strip $l$ as functions of turning point $r_m$,

$$S_{EE} = \frac{\tilde{L}^d 2\pi H^{d-1}}{l_p^d} I,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.22)

in which $I$ is

$$I = \frac{1}{r_m^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}-2}} \int_{r_m}^1 \frac{du}{u^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2(d+\frac{1-\theta}{2})-1}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23)

$$l = 2r_m \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2(d+\frac{1-\theta}{2})-1}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.24)

Here $u = \frac{x}{r_m}$. Similarly, we can explicitly compute the above integrals, and rewrite the $S_{EE}$ as a function of width of the strip $l$. Again, for a special value of $\theta$ a logarithmic term appears. So, we separate it.
i) \( \theta \neq z(d-2)+1 \)

\[
l = \alpha r_m, \quad \alpha = 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{zd+1-\theta}{2zd+1-\theta}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2zd+1-\theta}\right)},
\]

\[
S_{EE} = \frac{4\pi z}{z(d-2)+1-\theta} \frac{\tilde{L}_d}{l_p} \left(\frac{H}{\delta}\right)^{d+\frac{1-\theta}{2}} - \beta_d \frac{\tilde{L}_d}{l_p} \left(\frac{H}{l}\right)^{d+\frac{1}{2}-2}
\]

where

\[
\beta_d = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z(d-2)+1-\theta} H^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(2-d)z+\theta-1}{2(zd+1-\theta)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2zd+1-\theta}\right)} \alpha^{(d-2)zd+1-\theta}.
\]

ii) \( \theta = z(d-2)+1 \)

In this case, we find \( l = 2r_m \), and the holographic entanglement entropy takes

\[
S_{EE} \sim \frac{\tilde{L}_d}{l_p} \log \frac{l}{\delta}.
\]

This result shows that the entanglement entropy of the boundary theory exhibits a logarithmic violation area law, which may be a sign that the boundary theory has a Fermi surface.

iii) \( \theta = (d-1)z + 1 \)

For this case, the holographic entropy takes the form

\[
S_{EE} \sim \frac{\tilde{L}_d}{l_p} l.
\]

### 3 A c-function from the entanglement entropy

In this section, we probe the monotonicity of a candidate \( c \) function. Let us start with the following generic metric which exhibits violation of the Lorentz invariance and broken rotational symmetry but respects translational symmetry,

\[
ds^2 = e^{2A(r)}(-dt^2 + dr^2 + dx_{d-1}^2 + e^{2b(r)}dy^2)
\]

(3.1)

To introduce the \( c \)-function, we consider a strip as the entangled region. Similar to the previous section, we study two cases where the strip is along one of \( x_i \)'s and along \( y \) direction in the following two subsections.
3.1 The width of strip along one of the isotropic scaling dimensions

Now we firstly consider the width of the strip along one of $x_i$’s directions, say $x = x^1$ as $-\ell/2 \leq x \leq \ell/2$, then parametrize the RT surface as $r = r(x)$. The Entanglement Entropy follows as

$$S = \frac{4\pi H^{d-1}}{\ell_p^d} \int_0^{\ell/2} dx e^{(d-1)A+h}\sqrt{r^2+1}$$

(3.2)

where $H$ is a cutoff on the length of the strip. The equation of motion is found to be

$$\ddot{r} - (d-1)A'(\dot{r}^2+1) - h'(\dot{r}^2+1) = 0.$$  

(3.3)

Since the integrand of (3.2) is independent of $x$, we can introduce the following constant of motion,

$$K_d(\ell) = \left( \mathcal{L} - r \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} \right)^{-1} = e^{-(d-1)A+h}\sqrt{\dot{r}^2+1}$$

(3.4)

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the integrand of (3.2). Let us define

$$B(r) := (d-1)A(r) + h(r)$$  

(3.5)

Then we can write

$$K_d(r_m) = e^{-B(r_m)}$$

(3.6)

Now we need to derive the variation of $S$ with respect to $\ell$. We follow [18] and details are left to the appendix A. It results to

$$\frac{dS}{d\ell} = 2\pi H^{d-1} \frac{1}{\ell_p^d K_d}$$

(3.7)

Then define

$$c_d := \beta_d \frac{\ell_p^d}{H^{d-1}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \ell}$$

$$= \beta_d \frac{2\pi \ell_p^d}{\ell_p^d K_d}$$

(3.8)

where $\beta_d$ is a positive numerical constant. We then find the flow $dc_d/dr_m$ where $r_m$ is defined as $r_m = r(0, \ell)$ with $\dot{r}(0, \ell) = 0$ as

$$\frac{dc_d}{dr_m} = \beta_d \frac{4\pi \ell_p^d}{\ell_p^d} B'(r_m) \int_0^{\ell/2} dx \frac{B'^2 - dB''}{B'^2}$$

(3.9)

The details are given in the appendix A.
Note that by setting \( h(r) = 0 \) and changing the coordinate \( r \) to domain wall coordinate, (3.9) transforms to the similar expression given in [18].

To find the null energy conditions (NEC), we take a general null vector as

\[ \xi = e^{-A} \left[ a_0 \partial_t + a_r \partial_r + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i \partial_i + e^{-h} a_y \partial_y \right] \quad \text{(3.10)} \]

with \( a_0^2 = a_r^2 + a_y^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i^2 \). Then the general NEC can be read as

\[ da_r A'^2 - da_y A'h' - (a_r^2 + a_y^2)h'^2 - da_y A'' - (a_r^2 + a_y^2)h'' \geq 0 \quad \text{(3.11)} \]

One can put \( a_r = 0 \) and \( a_y = 1 \) to obtain

\[ -dA' - h'^2 - h'' \geq 0 \quad \text{(3.12)} \]

Another choice is \( a_r^2 = d - 1 \) and \( a_y^2 = 1/d \), to find

\[ (d - 1)A'^2 - A'h' - h'^2 - (d - 1)A'' - h'' \geq 0 \quad \text{(3.13)} \]

and after some algebra, (3.13) transforms to

\[ B'^2 - B'' \geq (2d - 1)A'h' + 2h'^2 + (d - 2)(d - 1)A'^2 \quad \text{(3.14)} \]

The left hand side is the main part of the integrand in (3.9). At the first glance it is obvious that taking \( A'h' \geq 0 \) implies \( B'^2 - B'' \geq 0 \). However this is sufficient but not necessary. Let us introduce the right hand side of (3.14) as a quadratic form in terms of \( A' \) and \( h' \)

\[ I := (2d - 1)A'h' + 2h'^2 + (d - 2)(d - 1)A'^2 \quad \text{(3.15)} \]

The sign of \( I \) can be easily determined. For \( d \leq 4 \), \( I \leq 0 \) when

\[ \frac{h'}{A'} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - 2d + \sqrt{\Delta} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{h'}{A'} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - 2d - \sqrt{\Delta} \right) \quad \text{(3.16)} \]

where \( \Delta = -4d^2 + 20d - 15 \). Note that the right hand sides of both inequalities in (3.16) are nonpositive for \( d \leq 4 \). Fig. 1 left panel, shows regions where \( I \geq 0 \), for \( d = 3 \).

For \( d \geq 5 \), we have \( \Delta < 0 \) and always \( I \geq 0 \) which implies

\[ B'^2 - B'' \geq I \geq 0 \quad \text{(3.17)} \]

Given this inequality, the sign of \( dc_d/dr_m \) comes from the sign of \( B'(r_m) \). It is expected to be negative for a correct behavior of \( c \) function when it goes from boundary to the bulk. To fix the sign of \( B'(r_m) \), consider the NEC (3.14) as

\[ -B'' = -(d - 1)A'' - h'' \geq A'h' - h'^2 - (d - 1)A'^2 \quad \text{(3.18)} \]

If we assume that in the UV regime the right hand side of the above inequality is positive while \( B' \) is negative, so (3.18) indicates that \( B'' \leq 0 \). This guarantees that \( B' \) remains negative. The corresponding region is shown in Fig. 1 right panel. So if the background starts from this region, in the UV regime, it remains there along the RG flow. By these conditions, the \( c \) function has a monotonic decreasing flow as

\[ \frac{dc_d}{dr_m} \leq 0. \quad \text{(3.19)} \]
3.2 The width of strip along the anisotropic direction

In this subsection, we consider the width of strip to be along $y$-direction (i.e., $-\ell/2 \leq y \leq \ell/2$). It is convenient to change variable to $d\rho = e^{-h}dr$, then the metric read as

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(\rho) + 2h(\rho)} (-e^{-2h(\rho)}dt^2 + d\rho^2 + e^{-2h(\rho)}dx_{d-1}^2 + dy^2)$$

(3.20)

By taking $\rho = \rho(y)$ as the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surface, the entropy functional would be

$$\tilde{S} = 4\pi H^{d-1} \int_0^{\ell/2} dy e^{dA + h} \sqrt{\dot{\rho}^2 + 1}$$

(3.21)

where $\dot{\rho} = d\rho/dy$. This is similar to (3.2) by replacing

$$r \to \rho, \quad d \to d + 1$$

As a constant of motion we find

$$\tilde{K}_d = e^{-B(\rho_m)} = e^{-dA - h} \sqrt{\dot{\rho}^2 + 1}$$

(3.22)

where $\rho_m$ is the maximum $\rho$ on top of RT surface at which $\dot{\rho} = 0$. $\tilde{B}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{B}(\rho) := dA(\rho) + h(\rho)$$

(3.23)

Some calculations similar to the previous subsection and appendix A lead to

$$\frac{d\tilde{S}}{d\ell} = \frac{2\pi H^{d-1}}{\rho^d} \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_d}$$

(3.24)
The corresponding c-function can be defined as
\[
\tilde{c}_d \equiv \beta_d \frac{\ell^d}{H^{d-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial \ell}
\]
\[
= \tilde{\beta}_d \frac{2\pi \ell^d}{\ell_p^d R_d}
\]
(3.25)
in which \(\tilde{\beta}_d\) is a positive numerical constant. Everything should go exactly the same as previous subsection to find,
\[
\frac{d\tilde{c}_d}{d\rho_m} = \beta_d \frac{4\pi \ell^{d-1}}{\ell_p^d} \tilde{B}'(\rho_m) \int_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}} dy \frac{\tilde{B}'^2 - d\tilde{B}''}{B'^2}
\]
(3.26)

In the new coordinate (3.20), the NEC can be found as
\[
dA'(A' + h') - dA'' - h'' \geq 0
\]
(3.27)
It can be deformed to
\[
\tilde{B}'^2 - \tilde{B}'' \geq d(d-1)A'^2 + dA'h' + h'^2
\]
(3.28)
The right hand side is always positive, so the integrand of (3.26) is guaranteed to be positive.

To determine the sign of \(B'(\rho_m)\), we rewrite (3.27) as
\[
-B'' \geq -dA'(A' + h')
\]
(3.29)
If we can set the right hand side to be positive, then \(B'' \leq 0\). On the other hand, suppose \(B'\) initially is negative at UV limit, then \(B'' \leq 0\) prevents sign changing of \(B'\). This happens when \(-A' \leq h' \leq -dA'\) for \(A' \leq 0\), and \(h' \leq -A'\) for \(A' \geq 0\). Fig. 2 presents this region in the \(h' - A'\) plane.

4 Some explicit examples

To investigate our RG-flow in (3.9), we consider few explicit calculations, near the boundary and far in the IR region.

4.1 An anisotropic model in the UV regime

In this section we consider the axion-dilaton theory with full dilaton potential while the axion is small [29, 30, 21],
\[
S = \frac{1}{2\ell_p^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left( R + \mathcal{L}_M \right)
\]
\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} Z(\phi)(\partial \chi)^2
\]
(4.1)
Figure 2: This diagram is related to the case that the width of strip is along $y$-direction with $d = 3$. The shaded area in $h' - A'$ plane, shows the regions where both $B' \leq 0$ and $B'' \leq 0$ are valid. The red and blue lines are $h' = -dA'$ and $h' = -A'$, respectively.

with

$$V(\phi) = 12 \cosh(\sigma \phi) - 6\sigma^2 \phi^2, \quad Z(\phi) = e^{2\gamma \phi}$$

(4.2)

The Einstein’s equations can be found as

$$-3A'' - 3A'h' - 3A'^2 - h'' - h'^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( -2e^{2A}V(\phi) + e^{-2h}Z(\phi) + \phi'^2 \right)$$

(4.3)

$$3A'(2A' + h') = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2e^{2A}V(\phi) - e^{-2h}Z(\phi) + \phi'^2 \right)$$

(4.4)

$$3A'' + 3A'h' + 3A'^2 + h'' + h'^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2e^{2A}V(\phi) - e^{-2h}Z(\phi) - \phi'^2 \right)$$

(4.5)

$$3e^{2h}(A'' + A'^2) = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2e^{2(A + h)}V(\phi) - e^{2h}\phi'^2 + Z(\phi) \right)$$

(4.6)

and the dilaton equation,

$$\phi'' + \phi'(3A' + h') = a^2 \gamma e^{2\gamma \phi - 2h} + 12\sigma e^{2A}(\sigma \phi - \sinh(\sigma \phi)).$$

(4.7)

An anisotropic ansatz can be introduced as

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(r)} \left[ -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + e^{2h(r)}dy^2 \right]$$

$$\phi = \phi(r), \quad \chi = ay$$

(4.8)

Here, we restrict ourselves to zero temperature solution for which $f(r) = 1$. For a small anisotropy $ar \ll 1$, a perturbative solution can be found as

$$A(r) = -\log(r) - \frac{a^2 r^2}{72} + \mathcal{O}(ar)^4,$$

(4.9)

$$h(r) = \frac{a^2 r^2}{8} + \mathcal{O}(ar)^4,$$

(4.10)

$$\phi(r) = -\frac{\gamma a^2 r^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(ar)^4.$$  

(4.11)
Figure 3: The shaded regions in $a - r$ plane shows the regions where conditions $B'^2 - B'' > 0$ and NEC’s are satisfied. The red curve shows where $B' = 0$ under which $B' < 0$. There is a narrow area in which NEC’s are valid but $B'^2 - B'' > 0$ is violated.

A numerical investigation, in Fig. 3, shows that for small $ar$, all inequalities including NEC’s, $B'^2 - B'' > 0$ and $B' < 0$ are satisfied. Note that NEC’s prevent $B'$ sign change. There is a narrow area where NEC’s are valid but $B'^2 - B'' > 0$ is violated. However, it happens for $ar > 1$ which is inconsistent with our small $ar$ assumption. So we have a monotonically decreasing $c$-function,

$$\frac{dc_d}{dr_m} \leq 0,$$

(4.12)
as far as $ar$ is small.

4.2 Anisotropy in the IR-regime

In the IR limit, the anisotropic model in (4.1) admits an IR solution like (2.4). We consider it for arbitrary $d$ as [21],

$$ds^2 = L^2 r^{2d} \left( \frac{-dt^2 + dr^2 + d\vec{x}^2}{r^2} + \frac{dy^2}{r^2} \right),$$

(4.13)

In comparison to (3.1), we identify metric functions as

$$A(r) = \left( \frac{\theta}{dz} - 1 \right) \log(r)$$

(4.14)

$$h(r) = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \log(r)$$

(4.15)

It follows then,

$$B' = \frac{(d-1)\theta - d((d-2)z + 1)}{dz} \frac{1}{r}$$

(4.16)

$$\frac{B'^2 - B''}{B'^2} = 1 - \frac{dz}{d((d-2)z - \theta + 1) + \theta}$$

(4.17)
Figure 4: The shaded regions in $\theta - z$ plane in $d = 3$ ($d = 4$) in the left (right) panel show the regions where $B'^2 - B'' \geq 0$, $B' \leq 0$ and NEC’s are valid.

It is clear that both expressions have definite sign. So we can set parameters such that $B' \leq 0$ and $(B'^2 - B'')/B'^2 \geq 0$ and they never change their signs as functions of $r$. In this regime, the Null Energy Conditions (NEC) are as follows

$$-d(\theta - 1)z - d + \theta^2 \geq 0$$

(4.18)

$$(z - 1)(dz - \theta + 1) \geq 0$$

(4.19)

Fig. 4 shows regions in $\theta - z$ plane where $B' \leq 0$, $(B'^2 - B'')/B'^2 \geq 0$ and NEC’s are satisfied which guarantees that $c_d$ is a monotonically decreasing function of $r_m$.

5 Conclusion

Within gauge/gravity duality, we calculate the entanglement entropy (EE) of a strip-shaped region in $d + 1$-dimensional quantum field theory (QFT) with broken Lorentz invariance as well as rotational symmetry. These theories are representative for many physical phenomena. So, extracting various information from these theories may be helpful in understanding of some quantum systems. One of the ways to receive information is computing entanglement entropy, which is a measure of entanglement structure of the ground state of underlying theory.

We have computed the entanglement entropy of anisotropic strongly gauge fields which is dual to a class of geometries that is identified with two parameters, dynamical and hyperscaling violation parameters $\{z, \theta\}$. Note, these geometries is defined in some intermediate regime. We have done analytical computations in order to derived the holographic entanglement entropy of a strip-shaped region.

The holographic entanglement entropy of strip-shaped region has been used to construct a candidate $c$-function which is interpolated between UV and IR fixed points. We identified various sufficient conditions to provide monotonically decreasing of $c$ along RG flows. These conditions are extracted from the null energy conditions of the bulk matter fields.
When the width of strip is along one of the isotropic directions, some additional conditions are needed to provide the monotonocity of $c$ function. In the case of a strip with width along the anisotropic direction, the null energy conditions are sufficient and no other restrictions are necessary.

In order to found an intuition of these general discussion, we illustrated the $c$ function in some special cases in five dimension. We have chosen two cases: near UV, and near IR expansions. For each one we observed that $c$-function monotonically decreased if the null energy conditions are satisfied.

It would be interesting to consider theories for which, in addition to the Lorentz-violation and broken rotation, there is no translation symmetries.
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## A The RG-flow equation

In this appendix, we present the details of deriving the RG-flow given in (3.9).

Firstly, we find variation of $S$ with respect to $\ell$, reminding that it has an explicit $\ell$ dependence through the integral upper bound and an implicit one through $r(x, \ell)$. One finds,

$$\frac{dS}{d\ell} = \frac{4\pi H^{d-1} e^{(d-1)A+h}}{\ell^d p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{d\ell}{d\ell} \right) (r^2 + 1) + r \frac{\partial r}{\partial \ell} \right] \bigg|_{x=\ell^2}, \quad (A.1)$$

where we have used (3.3) and integration by parts. Following [18], we take a variation of $r(\ell^2, \ell) = r_c$ with respect to $\ell$ to find

$$\left[ \frac{\dot{r}(x, \ell)}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{d\ell}{d\ell} \right) + \frac{\partial r(x, \ell)}{\partial \ell} \right]_{x=\ell^2} = 0. \quad (A.2)$$

Plugging in (A.1), we find

$$\frac{dS}{d\ell} = -\frac{4\pi H^{d-1}}{\ell^d p} \frac{1}{K_d(\ell) \dot{r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \ell}} \bigg|_{x=\ell^2}. \quad (A.3)$$

In the UV regime, $r \to 0$, the background is expected to be $AdS$, so we have,

$$A(r) \sim -\log(r)$$
$$h(r) \sim 0 \quad (A.4)$$

Then we solve (3.3) to get $\dot{r} = -\sqrt{K_d^2 r^{2d-2d} - 1}$ and integrate to find $x$,

$$x - \frac{\ell}{2} = \frac{1}{d-1} K_d^{\frac{1}{1-d}} F(K_d r^{1-d}) \quad (A.5)$$
where

\[ F(u) = \int \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}} \, du \quad (A.6) \]

It is clear that at the boundary \( F(u \to \infty) = 0 \). Now following [18], take a partial derivative of (A.5) with respect to \( \ell \), and solve for \( \frac{\partial r}{\partial \ell} \),

\[ \frac{\partial r}{\partial \ell} = \frac{1}{(d-1)K_d} r + \frac{1}{2(d-1)^2} K_d^{2-d} \sqrt{K_d^2 r^{2-2d} - 1} \left( (d-1)^2 - 2K_d^{\frac{d}{2-d}} K_d'(\ell) F(u) \right) \quad (A.7) \]

then divide by \( \dot{r} = -\sqrt{K_d^2 r^{2-2d} - 1} \) and take the limit \( r \to 0 \) to find,

\[ \left. \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \ell} \right|_{x=\ell} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (A.8) \]

It follows then

\[ \frac{dS}{d\ell} = \frac{2\pi H^{d-1}}{r_p^{d-1}} \frac{1}{K_d} \quad (A.9) \]

which is the equation (3.7).

Now our task is to find the flow \( dc_d/dr_m \) where \( r_m \) is defined as \( r_m = r(0, \ell) \) with \( \dot{r}(0, \ell) = 0 \). We proceed as

\[ \frac{dc_d}{dr_m} = \beta_d \frac{2\pi \ell^{d-1}}{e_p K_d} \left( \frac{d\ell}{dr_m} + \frac{1}{d} B'(r_m) \ell \right) \quad (A.10) \]

On the other hand, \( \ell \) can be found as a function of \( r_m \),

\[ \ell = 2 \int_0^{\ell/2} dx = -2 \int_0^{r_m} \frac{dr}{\dot{r}} = 2 \int_0^{r_m} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{K_d^2 e^{2B} - 1}} \quad (A.11) \]

Now define \( w = K_d e^B \) and

\[ G(w) := \int \frac{w^{-(1+\frac{d}{2})}}{\sqrt{w^2 - 1}} \, dw \quad (A.12) \]

which is indeed a hypergeometric function with \( G(w \to \infty) = 0 \) at the boundary \( \to 0 \). Then we have

\[ \ell = 2 \int_{r=0}^{r=r_m} K_d^{\frac{1}{2-d}} e^{B/2} \, dG \]

\[ = 2 \frac{G(1)}{B'(r_m)} - 2 \int_0^{r_m} K_d^{\frac{1}{2-d}} G \left( \frac{e^{B/2}}{B'} \right) \, dr \quad (A.13) \]
where the first term is the boundary term. We now take a derivative with respect to \(r_m\),

\[
\frac{d\ell}{dr_m} = -\frac{2}{d}G(1) + \frac{2}{d}B'(r_m) \int_0^{r_m} K_1^{1/d} G\left(\frac{e^{B/d}}{B'}\right) \, dr - 2 \int_0^{r_m} K_1^{1/d} \frac{dG}{dr_m}\left(\frac{e^{B/d}}{B'}\right) \, dr
\]

\[
\quad = -\frac{2}{d}G(1) + \frac{2}{d}B'(r_m) \int_0^{r_m} \left( K_1^{1/d} G(w) + \frac{de^{-B/d}}{\sqrt{w^2 - 1}} \right) \left(\frac{e^{B/d}}{B'}\right) \, dr
\]

(A.14)

in which we have used

\[
\frac{dG}{dr_m} = -e^B K_d B'(r_m) \frac{dG}{dw}
\]

(A.15)

Now plugging (A.13) and (A.14) in (A.10) we obtain,

\[
\frac{dc_d}{dr_m} = \beta d \frac{4\pi d^{d-1}}{\ell_p^d} B'(r_m) \int_0^{r_m} \frac{e^{-B/d}}{\sqrt{K_d e^{2B} - 1}} \left(\frac{e^{B/d}}{B'}\right) \, dr
\]

\[
\quad = \beta d \frac{4\pi d^{d-1}}{\ell_p^d} B'(r_m) \int_0^{\ell/2} dx e^{-B/d} \left(\frac{e^{B/d}}{B'}\right) \, dx
\]

\[
\quad = \beta d \frac{4\pi d^{d-1}}{\ell_p^d} B'(r_m) \int_0^{\ell/2} dx \frac{B^2 - dB''}{B'^2}
\]

(A.16)
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