HOMOGENEOUS DUAL RAMSEY THEOREM

JOSÉ G. MIJARES

Abstract. For positive integers $k < n$ such that $k$ divides $n$, let $(n)_k^{\text{hom}}$ be the set of homogeneous $k$-partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, that is, the set of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $k$ classes of the same cardinality. In [4] the following question (Problem 7.3 in [4]) was asked:

Is it true that given positive integers $k < m$ and $N$ such that $k$ divides $m$, there exists a number $n > m$ such that $m$ divides $n$, satisfying that for every coloring $(n)_k^{\text{hom}} = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_N$ we can choose $u \in (n)_m^{\text{hom}}$ such that $\{t \in (n)_k^{\text{hom}} : t \text{ is coarser than } u\} \subseteq C_i$ for some $i$?

In this note we give a positive answer to that question in Theorem 1 below. This result turns out to be a homogeneous version of the finite Dual Ramsey Theorem of Graham-Rothschild [3]. As explained in [4], our result also proves that the class $\mathcal{OMBA}_2$ of naturally ordered finite measure algebras with measure taking values in the dyadic rationals has the Ramsey property.

1. Homogeneous Dual Ramsey Theorem

1.1. Homogeneous partitions. Let $k < n$ be positive integers. As customary, write $k|n$ if $k$ divides $n$, and in that case let

\begin{equation}
(1) \quad (n)_k^{\text{hom}} = \text{the set of all homogeneous } k\text{-partitions of } \{1, \ldots, n\};
\end{equation}

that is, the set of all the partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $k$ classes of the same cardinality. If $t$ and $u$ are partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, not necessarily homogeneous, then we say that $t$ is coarser than $u$ if every class of $u$ is a subset of some class of $t$. Denote by $(u)_k^{\text{hom}}$ the set of all homogeneous $k$-partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that are coarser than $u$.

Remark 1. In [4], the notation $EQ_k^{\text{hom}}$ is used to denote $(n)_k^{\text{hom}}$.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1 (Homogeneous Dual Ramsey Theorem). Let integers $k < m$ and $N$ be given, with $k|m$. There exists a positive integer $n > m$ such that $m|n$, satisfying that for every coloring $(n)_k^{\text{hom}} = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_N$ there exists $u \in (n)_m^{\text{hom}}$ such that $(u)_k^{\text{hom}} \subseteq C_i$, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

We will prove Theorem 1 in Section 2 below. In our proof, we will make use of the infinite Dual Ramsey Theorem due to Carlson and Simpson [1], which we will state in the next subsection.
1.2. Dual Ramsey Theorem. We will essentially go back to the notation introduced in [1]. Let \( \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots \} \) be the set of positive integers and let \((\omega)\omega\) be the set of all the infinite partitions \( A = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) into infinite classes such that
\[
i < j \implies \min(A_i) < \min(A_j).
\]
Given \( A, B \in (\omega)\omega \), we say that \( A \) is \textit{coarser} than \( B \) if every class in \( B \) is a subset of some class in \( A \). Pre-order \((\omega)\omega\) as follows:
\[
A \leq B \iff A \text{ is coarser than } B.
\]
Likewise, given a positive integer \( k \), let \((\omega)^k\) be the set of all the partitions \( X = \{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) into \( k \) infinite classes satisfying
\[
i < j \implies \min(X_i) < \min(X_j), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k.
\]
Given \( X, Y \in (\omega)^k \) and \( A \in (\omega)\omega \), define “\( X \) is \textit{coarser} than \( Y \)” (resp. “\( X \) is \textit{coarser} than \( A \)” in the same way as above; and write \( X \leq Y \) (resp. \( X \leq A \)). For \( A \in (\omega)\omega \), let \((A)^k = \{X \in (\omega)^k : X \leq A\} \).

We will regard the sets \((\omega)\omega\) and \((\omega)^k\) as topological spaces with the usual topologies as defined in [1].

**Theorem 2** (Infinite Dual Ramsey Theorem; Carlson-Simpson [1]). Let \( k \) be a positive integer. For every finite Borel-measurable coloring of \((\omega)^k\) there exists \( A \in (\omega)\omega \) such that \((A)^k\) is monochromatic.

For positive integers \( k \) and \( n \), let
\[
(n)^k = \text{ the set of all the } k\text{-partitions of } n,
\]
\( i.e., \) partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) into \( k \) classes. For every such partition \( u \), denote by \((u)^k\) the set of all \( k\)-partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) that are coarser than \( u \). Also, let \((<\omega) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(n)^k\).

Theorem [1] is a homogeneous version of the following well-known result due to Graham and Rothschild, which can be obtained as a corollary of Theorem [2].

**Theorem 3** (Dual Ramsey Theorem; Graham-Rothschild [3]). Let integers \( k < m \) and \( N \) be given. There exists a number \( n > m \) satisfying that for every coloring \((n)^k = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_N\) there exists \( u \in (n)^m \) such that \((u)^k \subseteq C_i \) for some \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \).

2. Proof of Theorem [1]

Let \( A = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in (\omega)\omega \) be given. We will borrow some notation from [5]. Define
\[
r(0, A) = \emptyset \quad \& \quad r(i, A) = \{A_j \cap \{1, \ldots, \min(A_i)\} : 1 \leq j \leq i\}; \quad i > 0.
\]
Note that \( r(i, A) \) is a partition of \( \{1, \ldots, \min(A_i)\} \). We think of it as the \( i\)-th approximation of \( A \). If a finite partition \( b \) is the \( i\)-th approximation of some \( B \leq A \), we write \( b \in (<\omega)^i \upharpoonright A \).

It will be useful to understand elements \( A = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in (\omega)\omega \) as surjective functions \( A : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) where \( A_i = A^{-1}(\{i\}) \). Likewise, elements \( X = \{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \in (\omega)^k \) will
be as well understood as surjective functions \( X : \mathbb{N} \to \{1, \ldots, k\} \) where \( X_i = X^{-1}\{i\} \), for 1 \( \leq \) i \( \leq \) k. In the same spirit, we will regard partitions \( \pi \) of a finite set \( F \neq \emptyset \) into \( k \) classes as surjections \( u : F \to \{1, \ldots, k\} \). We will shift between understanding partitions as surjective functions and sets of disjoint classes throughout the rest of this article. Now, keep that in mind and fix a positive integer \( k \) for a while. We will borrow some notation and ideas from [2]. Given \( A \in (\omega)^\omega \), let \( \pi : (\omega)^\omega \to (\omega)^k \) be defined as follows:

\[
\pi(A)(i) = \begin{cases} 
A(i) & \text{if } 1 \leq A(i) \leq k \\
1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Notice that \( \pi \) is a surjection. We understand \( \pi \) as a projection function from \((\omega)^\omega\) onto \((\omega)^k\). Following [2], define an approximation function \( s \) with domain \( \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \times (\omega)^k \) as follows. For \( i \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \) and \( X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_k\} \in (\omega)^k \), the output \( s(i, X) \) is a \( k \)-partition of some finite subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) whose \( j \)-th class, \( s(i, X)_j \) \( (1 \leq j \leq k) \), will be defined by cases as follows:

For \( i \leq k \), let

\[
s(i, X)_j = X_j \cap \{1, \ldots, \min(X_k)\}
\]

So, for \( i \leq k \), \( s(i, X)_j \) is the unique initial segment of \( X_j \) included as a subset in \( \{1, \ldots, \min(X_k)\} \).

Note that for any \( A \in (\omega)^\omega \) such that \( \pi(A) = X \), if \( i \leq k \) we have \( s(i, X) = r(i, A) \). In particular, \( s(0, X) = \emptyset \) and for \( 0 < i \leq k \), \( s(i, X) \) is a partition of \( \{1, \ldots, \min(X_i)\} \).

For \( i > k \), let

\[
s(i, X)_j = s(i-1, X)_j \cup \{\min(X_j \setminus s(i-1, X)_j)\}
\]

i.e., for \( i > k \), we obtain the class \( s(i, X)_j \) by adding to \( s(i-1, X)_j \) the minimum element of \( X_j \) that is not an element of \( s(i-1, X)_j \). (Therefore, in this case, \( s(i, X)_j \) is as well an initial segment of \( X_j \) like in the case \( i \leq k \).) This completes the definition of the approximation function \( s \). We think of \( s(i, X) \) as the \( i \)-th approximation of \( X \). Actually, each \( X \in (\omega)^k \) can be identified with the sequence \( (s(i, X))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) of its approximations.

Let \( \mathcal{S}_k \) denote the range of \( s \). We have

\[
(< \omega)^k \subset \mathcal{S}_k, \text{ but } \mathcal{S}_k \setminus (< \omega)^k \neq \emptyset.
\]

Now, note that for every \( a \in (< \omega)^k \) the union of \( a \) is an initial segment of \( \mathbb{N} \). That is not necessarily true for all elements of \( \mathcal{S}_k \). Given \( b \in \mathcal{S}_k \), if the union of \( b \) is an initial segment of \( \mathbb{N} \), then denote by \( \# b \) the unique \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( b \) is a partition of \( \{1, \ldots, l\} \). Denote by \( \cup b \) the union of \( b \). Let

\[
\mathcal{S}_k^\# = \{b \in \mathcal{S}_k : \cup b \text{ is an initial segment of } \mathbb{N}\}.
\]

If \( a \in (< \omega)^k \) and \( b \in \mathcal{S}_k \), write

\[
a = s_k(b)
\]
if there exist \( X \in (\omega)^k \) and an integer \( i > k \) such that \( s(k, X) = a \) and \( s(i, X) = b \). Now, let an integer \( n \) with \( k|n \) and \( a \in (\omega)^k \) be given. There exists a bijective correspondence between \((n)^k_{\text{hom}}\) and the set

\[(13)\quad T(a, k, n) = \{ b \in S^\#_k : a \neq b, \quad a = s_k(b), \quad \#b = \#a + n \}.\]

To see that such a correspondence exists, fix a bijective function

\[(14)\quad \varphi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{\#a + 1, \ldots, \#a + n\}.\]

Now, given \( t \in (n)^k_{\text{hom}} \), define \( b_t \in T(a, k, n) \) by

\[(15)\quad b_t(j) = \begin{cases} a(j) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \#a \\ t(\varphi^{-1}(j)) & \text{if } \#a < j \leq \#a + n \end{cases}\]

Then the correspondence

\[(16)\quad t \mapsto b_t\]

is bijective. Obviously, the inverse of a partition \( b \in T(a, k, n) \) under this correspondence is the partition \( t \in (n)^k_{\text{hom}} \) defined by

\[(17)\quad t(j) = b(\varphi(j)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.\]

From now on, for all positive integers \( k < n \) with \( k|n \) and every \( a \in (\omega)^k \) we will fix one such bijective correspondence \( t \mapsto b_t \) between \((n)^k_{\text{hom}}\) and \( T(a, k, n) \) throughout the rest of this section.

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem**: Fix positive integers \( k < m \) and \( N \), with \( k|m \), and suppose that the conclusion in the statement fails. For each positive integer \( n > m \) such that \( m|n \), choose a coloring \((n)^k_{\text{hom}} = C_1^n \cup \cdots \cup C_N^n\) admitting no monochromatic set of the form \((u)^k_{\text{hom}} \) with \( u \in (n)^m_{\text{hom}} \).

Given \( X \in (\omega)^k \), let \( a = s(k, X) \). Let

\[(18)\quad i_0 = \min\{i > m : m \text{ divides } |\cup s(i, X)| - \#a\}.\]

Here, for \( i > m \), \( |\cup s(i, X)| \) denotes the cardinality of the union of the partition \( s(i, X) \). Define the positive integer

\[(19)\quad n(X) = |\cup s(i_0, X)| - \#a\]

Note that \( k|n(X) \). List the elements of \( \cup s(i_0, X) \) in their natural increasing order as \( x_1 < \cdots < x_{\#a+n(X)} \), and let \( b_X \in T(a, k, n(X)) \) be defined by

\[(20)\quad b_X(j) = \begin{cases} a(j) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \#a \\ s(i_0, X)(x_j) & \text{if } \#a < j \leq \#a + n(X) \end{cases}\]

Denote by \( t(b_X) \) the unique element \( t \in (n(X))^k_{\text{hom}} \) such that \( b_t = b_X \). Define a coloring \((\omega)^k = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_N\) as follows:
Note that each $C_j$ is Borel. So by Theorem 2 there exists $A \in (\omega)\omega$ such that $(A)^k \subseteq C_{j_0}$ for some $j_0 \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Fix $a_0 \in (\omega)^m \upharpoonright A$ and let $Y \in (A)^m$ be such that $s(m, Y) = a_0$. Let

$$l = \min\{i > m : m \text{ divides } |\cup s(i, Y)| - \#a_0\}$$

and set $n = |\cup s(l, Y)| - \#a_0$. List the elements of $\cup s(l, Y)$ in their natural increasing order as $y_1 < \cdots < y_{\#a_0 + n}$. Define $b_Y \in T(a_0, m, n)$ by

$$b_Y(j) = \begin{cases} a_0(j) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \#a_0 \\ s(l, Y)(y_j) & \text{if } \#a_0 < j \leq \#a_0 + n \end{cases}$$

Now denote by $u$ the unique element $t \in (n)^m_{\text{hom}}$ such that $b_t = b_Y$.

Finally, for $t \in (u)^k_{\text{hom}}$, define $X \in (A)^k$ with $X \leq Y$ by merging classes in $Y$ according to how the corresponding classes in $u$ were merged to build $t$. Let $a = s(k, X)$ and note that $n(X) = n$. If $b_t$ is the unique element of $T(a, k, n)$ corresponding to $t$, then $b_X = b_t$ and therefore $t = t(b_X)$. Thus, $t \in C_{j_0}^n$, by the choice of $A$. Since $t$ was arbitrary, we get $(u)^k_{\text{hom}} \subseteq C_{j_0}^n$. A contradiction. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box
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