A Randomized Algorithm for Edge-Colouring Graphs in $O(m\sqrt{n})$ Time

Corwin Sinnamon

Abstract

We present a simple randomized algorithm to edge-colour arbitrary simple graphs based on the classic decomposition strategy of Gabow et al. [2]. The algorithm uses $d + 1$ colours and runs in $O(m\sqrt{n})$ time with high probability.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph having $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. A $k$-edge-colouring of $G$ is a function $c : E \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $c(e) \neq c(e')$ whenever two edges $e$ and $e'$ are incident to a common vertex.

Let $d$ be the maximum degree of any vertex in $G$. Clearly, edge-colouring $G$ requires at least $d$ colours as the edges incident to any vertex must be assigned different colours. Nearly closing the gap, Vizing’s Theorem [4] states that $G$ can be edge-coloured using at most $d + 1$ colours, and this is tight for some graphs (a triangle, for example). However, distinguishing between graphs that require $d$ colours and those that require $d + 1$ turns out be NP-hard, as was shown by Holyer [3]. Note that Vizing’s theorem is only true for simple graphs; multigraphs can require more colours than this.

A 1985 technical report of Gabow, Nishizeki, Kariv, Leven, and Terada [2] presents an algorithm for finding edge-colourings of arbitrary simple graphs using $d + 1$ colours. Their algorithm runs in $O(m\sqrt{n}\log n)$ time in the worst case. They also developed several algorithms to work on restricted graph classes, many of which always admit edge-colourings using $d$ colours. While there has been significant progress on some subclasses of graphs, most notably bipartite multigraphs which can be edge-coloured by $d$ colours in
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$O(m \log d)$ time \[1\], there have been no faster algorithms proposed to edge-colour arbitrary simple graphs in $d + 1$ colours.

We will present a randomized algorithm to edge-colour a simple graph in $d + 1$ colours that runs in $O(m\sqrt{n})$ time with high probability (and $O(mn)$ time in the worst case). The algorithm is a significantly simplified version of the algorithm of Gabow et al. We remove the need for the rather complicated subroutine PARALLEL-COLOR, which finds an edge-colouring in $O(md \log n)$ time.

1 Preliminaries

We mostly follow the terminology of \[2\].

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph with maximum degree $d$, and let $c: E \to [d + 1]$ be a partial edge-colouring of $G$. Assume $G$ is connected; this shall be without loss of generality because our algorithms operate independently on each component. As shorthand, we shall write $uv$ or $vu$ to denote the edge $\{u, v\}$. An edge $e$ is said to be coloured if $c(e)$ is defined and uncoloured otherwise.

**Definition 1.** A colour $\alpha$ is missing at a vertex $v$ if no edge incident to $v$ is coloured by $\alpha$. Let

$$M(v) = \{\alpha \in [d + 1] \mid \alpha \text{ is missing at } v\}$$

and let $\overline{M}(v) = [d + 1] \setminus M(v)$.

Observe that $M(v)$ is always nonempty as $v$ has at most $d$ neighbours and there are $d + 1$ colours to choose from.

**Definition 2.** A fan $F$ is a sequence of distinct vertices $(v, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$, where $k \geq 0$, such that

1. each $x_i$ is a neighbour of $v$,
2. $vx_0$ is uncoloured,
3. $vx_i$ is coloured for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and
4. $c(vx_i)$ is missing at $x_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Vertex $v$ is called the centre of $F$, and $F$ is said to be centred at $v$. 
The useful property of a fan is that we may “rotate” the colours of the fan without making the edge-colouring invalid. Let $F = (v, x_0, \ldots, x_k)$ be a fan with $\beta_i = c(vx_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and let $0 \leq j \leq k$. To shift $F$ from $x_j$ means to set $c(vx_i-1) = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, j$, and to make $vx_j$ uncoloured. Since $\beta_i$ is required to be missing at $x_{i-1}$, the function $c$ is still an edge-colouring after a shift. Note that $M(v)$ is unchanged after the shift, and that $F' = (v, x_j, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_k)$ is a fan after the shift.

We require one more simple tool to describe our algorithm. For any two colours $\alpha$ and $\beta$, restricting $E$ to those edges coloured by $\alpha$ or $\beta$ yields a subgraph of $G$ in which every component is either a path or an even-length cycle. We call each component an $\alpha\beta$-path or an $\alpha\beta$-cycle, as appropriate. We shall not require that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be distinct, and an $\alpha\beta$-path may consist of a single vertex. An $\alpha\beta$-path may also be called an alternating path when $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not specified. To flip an alternating path means to interchange the colours of its edges. Any alternating path may be flipped without invalidating the edge-colouring.
2 The Algorithm

2.1 Colour

Our basic edge-colouring subroutine, which we call COLOUR, is analogous to RECOLOR in [2]. It colours a given edge by adjusting the existing edge-colouring so that some colour is free at both endpoints of the edge while ensuring that every previously coloured edge is still coloured. In this fashion it extends a partial edge-colouring by one edge. COLOUR works as follows, given as an argument an uncoloured edge $vx_0$.

Begin constructing a fan $F$ centred at $v$, initially set to be $(v, x_0)$, in a greedy fashion: Choose any colour $\beta$ missing at the last vertex of the fan, $x_k$. If there is some vertex $x_{k+1}$ with $c(vx_{k+1}) = \beta$ that does not already appear in $F$, then extend $F$ by appending $x_{k+1}$. Repeat this action until no such vertex exists.

This loop can only repeat up to $d - 1$ times as each iteration adds a new vertex to $F$. It terminate for one of two reasons: Either because $\beta$ is missing at $v$ or because $x_{k+1} = x_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k - 1\}$.

If the process ends because $\beta \in M(v)$, then shift the fan from $x_k$. After the shift, $\beta$ must be missing at both $v$ and $x_k$ and $x_k$ must be uncoloured. Set $c(vx_k) = \beta$. This extends the colouring as required.

Otherwise, the process terminates because $x_{k+1}$ appears earlier in the fan as some $x_j$. By definition, $\beta = c(vx_j)$ must be missing at both $x_k$ and $x_{j-1}$. Choose any colour $\alpha$ missing at $v$. Now $v$ is an endpoint of some $\alpha\beta$-path $P$. Flip $P$ and let $w$ be its other endpoint. At this point, $\beta$ must be missing at $v$ and $vx_j$ must be coloured by $\alpha$. The sets of missing colours cannot have changed for any vertices other than $v$ and $w$.

There are now two cases depending on $w$:

**Case I:** If $w = x_{j-1}$ then $\beta$ is no longer missing at $x_{j-1}$, but $\alpha$ is. Since $vx_j$ is coloured by $\alpha$, $F$ is still a fan. Moreover, $\beta$ must still be missing at $x_k$. Shift $F$ from $x_k$ and colour $vx_k$ by $\beta$.

**Case II:** If $w \neq x_{j-1}$ then $\beta$ is still missing $x_{j-1}$. The sequence $F' = (v, x_0, \ldots, x_{j-1})$ is still a fan, even if $w$ appears somewhere in $x_0, \ldots, x_{j-2}$. Shift $F$ from $x_{j-1}$ and colour $vx_{j-1}$ by $\beta$.

In either case, we succeed in extending the edge-colouring by $vx_0$ without uncolouring any other vertices.
This algorithm uses the property that every vertex has some colour missing at all times. This is guaranteed because we allow ourselves \(d + 1\) colours, and note that nowhere else does the number of colours come into play.

\(\text{COLOUR}\) is given in pseudocode below. For reasons that will become clear, we provide \(\alpha \in M(v)\) as an argument to \(\text{COLOUR}\).

1: \textbf{procedure} \(\text{COLOUR}(v, x_0, \alpha)\)  
\textbf{Require:} \(vx_0\) uncoloured  
\textbf{Require:} \(\alpha \in M(v)\)  
2: \(F \leftarrow (v, x_0)\)  
3: \(k \leftarrow 0\)  
4: repeat  
5: Pick \(\beta\) from \(M(x_k)\)  
6: if \(\beta \notin M(v)\) then  
7: Find vertex \(x_{k+1} \in N(v)\) such that \(c(vy) = \beta\)  
8: if \(x_{k+1} \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}\}\) then  
9: Append \(x_{k+1}\) to \(F\)  
10: \(k \leftarrow k + 1\)  
11: end if  
12: end if  
13: until \(\beta \in M(v)\) or \(x_{k+1} \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}\}\)  
14: if \(\beta \in M(v)\) then  
15: Shift \(F\) from \(x_k\)  
16: \(c(vx_k) \leftarrow \beta\)  
17: else  
18: Find \(j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}\) such that \(x_{k+1} = x_j\)  
19: Flip \(\alpha\beta\)-path from \(v\)  
20: if \(\beta \in M(x_{j-1})\) then  
21: Shift \(F\) from \(x_{j-1}\)  
22: \(c(vx_{j-1}) \leftarrow \beta\)  
23: else  
24: Shift \(F\) from \(x_k\)  
25: \(c(vx_k) \leftarrow \beta\)  
26: end if  
27: end if  
28: end procedure

The basic operations used in \(\text{COLOUR}\), such as checking whether a colour is missing at a vertex or finding the edge incident to a vertex coloured with
a given colour, can be performed in constant time using some simple data structures. Let each vertex \( u \) maintain a dictionary containing its missing colours for testing whether \( \beta \in M(u) \) and for efficiently choosing an arbitrary colour missing at \( u \). Let \( u \) also keep an array \( A_u \) of length \( d \) such that \( A_u[\alpha] = \text{null} \) if \( \alpha \in M(u) \) and otherwise \( A_u[\alpha] = x \) where \( c(ux) = \alpha \) for finding the edge incident to \( u \) having a certain colour. To efficiently test membership in \( F \), mark each vertex as it is added to \( F \). With these in hand every line above takes constant time, except for shifting a fan and flipping an alternating path. These data structures can be initialized in \( O(nd) \) time.

Let us assume going forward that COLOUR always flips one \( \alpha \beta \)-path with an endpoint at \( v \). This is indeed the case if a vertex \( x_{k+1} \) is chosen that appears earlier in \( F \) as the execution will reach line 19. Otherwise, it must terminate when \( \beta \) is missing at both \( v \) and \( x_k \) (as in lines 14—16). In this case the \( \alpha \beta \)-path beginning at \( v \) has length 0, and so flipping the path would do nothing. Without loss of generality, we can pretend that COLOUR flips that alternating path.

**Lemma 3.** COLOUR\((vx_0)\) colours the edge \( vx_0 \) in time \( O(d + \text{length}(P)) \), where \( P \) is the path that was flipped. The previously coloured edges remain coloured.

**Proof.** The correctness of colour is justified in the description above.

The loop to construct \( F \) (lines 4—13) can only repeat \( d \) times as \( \text{deg}(v) < d \). Each iteration requires constant time. The only other time-consuming operations are shifting \( F \) from one of its vertices and flipping an alternating path. Shifting \( F \) can be done in linear time in the length of \( F \), which is \( O(d) \), and flipping a path requires time linear in the length of the path. Thus COLOUR completes in \( O(d + \text{length}(P)) \) time.

### 2.2 Random-Colour

The randomized version of COLOUR, called RANDOM-COLOUR, is to simply choose an uncoloured edge \( \{v, w\} \) at random and apply COLOUR to it, randomly picking one of the endpoints to be the centre of the fan. Similarly the missing colour \( \alpha \) is chosen uniformly at random from \( M(v) \), where \( v \) is the centre of the fan.

1. **procedure** RANDOM-COLOUR()
2. Choose an uncoloured edge \( vw \) uniformly at random

---
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Choose \( \alpha \in M(v) \) uniformly at random

\[ \text{COLOUR}(v, w, \alpha) \]

\[ \text{end procedure} \]

We shall see that randomization helps a great deal in expectation. This is because the most expensive part of \text{COLOUR} is flipping the alternating path, and it cannot happen that every alternating path induced by an edge-colouring is very long. Since many different paths have some chance of being flipped, the average length of the flipped path will be small.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( \ell \geq 1 \) be the number of uncoloured edges. \text{RANDOM-COLOUR} maintains a valid edge-colouring and reduces \( \ell \) by one. It runs in \( O(md/\ell) \) time in expectation and \( O(n) \) time in the worst case.

**Proof.** In light of Lemma 3, we shall aim to prove that the expected length of the flipped path is at most \( 2m(d+1)/\ell \). Since \( d \leq m(d+1)/\ell \) for all values of \( \ell \), this will imply the result.

\text{RANDOM-COLOUR} chooses a triple \((v, w, \alpha)\) by a random process and then applies \text{COLOUR} using these arguments. These arguments uniquely determine the alternating path that is flipped by \text{COLOUR}.

Let \( v, w, \) and \( \alpha \) be random variables distributed as in \text{RANDOM-COLOUR} and let \( P \) be a random variable representing the alternating path flipped by \text{COLOUR}(v, w, \alpha).

Observe that \( v \) is always an endpoint of \( P \). For any \( x \in V \) we have
\[
\mathbb{P}[v = x] = \frac{\deg(x) - |M(x)|}{2\ell} \leq \frac{|M(x)|}{2\ell}
\]

Thus, for any alternating path \( Q \) in the graph,
\[
Pr[P = Q] \leq \mathbb{P}[v = x] + \mathbb{P}[v = y] \leq \frac{|M(x)|}{2\ell} + \frac{|M(y)|}{2\ell} \leq \frac{d + 1}{\ell}
\]

Moreover, if \( \text{length}(P) \geq 2 \), then \( \alpha \) is uniquely determined by \( v \) and \( P \) because \( \alpha \) must be one of the two colours appearing on \( P \), and only one of those colours is missing at \( v \). Therefore, if \( Q \) is an alternating path with
length\( (Q) \geq 2 \) and endpoints \( x \) and \( y \), then

\[
\mathbb{P}[P = Q] \leq \mathbb{P}[v \text{ is an endpoint of } Q \text{ and } 
\alpha \text{ is the unique colour of } Q \text{ in } M(v)] \\
\leq \mathbb{P}[v = x] \mathbb{P}[\alpha \text{ is the unique colour of } Q \text{ in } M(x) \mid v = x] \\
+ \mathbb{P}[v = y] \mathbb{P}[\alpha \text{ is the unique colour of } Q \text{ in } M(y) \mid v = y] \\
\leq \frac{|M(x)|}{2\ell} \cdot \frac{1}{|M(x)|} + \frac{|M(y)|}{2\ell} \cdot \frac{1}{|M(y)|} \\
= \frac{1}{\ell}
\]

Thus,

\[
\mathbb{E}[\text{length}(P)] = \sum_Q \mathbb{P}[P = Q] \text{length}(Q) \\
\leq \sum_{\text{length}(Q) = 1} \frac{d + 1}{\ell} + \sum_{\text{length}(Q) \geq 2} \frac{\text{length}(Q)}{\ell}
\]

Here \( Q \) ranges over all alternating paths in \( G \).

Clearly there are at most \( m \) paths of length 1 in \( G \), and so

\[
\sum_{\text{length}(Q) = 1} \frac{d}{\ell} \leq \frac{m(d + 1)}{\ell}.
\]

Observe that every coloured edge in \( G \) contributes to at most \( d + 1 \) different alternating paths in \( G \), for if the edge is coloured by \( \gamma \) then the edge can only be part of \( \gamma \delta \)-paths for \( \delta \in [d + 1] \). Hence \( \sum_Q \text{length}(Q) \leq m(d + 1) \).

This proves that \( \mathbb{E}[\text{length}(P)] \leq 2\frac{m(d+1)}{\ell} \) and the result now follows.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 5.** *The edge-colouring algorithm that applies Random-colour until all edges have been coloured finds a \((d+1)\)-edge-colouring in expected time \( O(md \log(n/d)) \).*

*Proof.* By linearity, the expected running time is \( O(\sum_{\ell=1}^m d + \min\left(\frac{md}{\ell}, n\right)) \).
Let $k = \lceil \frac{md}{n} \log(n/d) \rceil$. Then, for an appropriate constant $C$,

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} d + \min \left( \frac{md}{\ell}, n \right) \leq md + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} n + \sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \frac{md}{\ell} \\
\leq md + n(k - 1) +Cmd\log(m/k) \\
\leq md + md\log\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) + Cmd\log\left(\frac{m}{n\log(n/d)}\right) \\
= O\left(md\log\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\right).
$$

### 2.3 Random-Euler-Colour

We can do much better than this corollary using the divide-and-conquer method of [2]. We shall call this algorithm Random-Euler-Colour since it is based on an Euler tour of the graph. Consider the following process that splits a graph $G$ into two edge-disjoint subgraphs $G_1$ and $G_2$: Start from some vertex and follow edges in any fashion, removing the edges as they are traversed and alternately adding the edges to $G_1$ and $G_2$. If a vertex with no incident edges is reached then begin again from a different vertex. At the end of this process, one subgraph will contain $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ edges and the other will contain $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ edges, and for each vertex $v$, one subgraph will have $\lfloor \deg(v)/2 \rfloor$ of its incident edges and the other will have $\lceil \deg(v)/2 \rceil$ of its incident edges. Thus, $G$ can be decomposed into two subgraphs each having maximum degree at most $\lceil d/2 \rceil$ in $O(m)$ time.

Random-Euler-Colour edge-colours a graph in $d + 1$ colours by recursively edge-colouring these two edge-disjoint subgraphs (using different colours) and combining the colourings. As each subgraph has maximum degree $\lceil d/2 \rceil \leq \frac{d+1}{2}$, the total number of colours in the two edge-colourings is at most $d + 3$. To reduce the number of colours, the procedure chooses the two least common colours among these and uncolours all edges having those colours. Now there are only $d + 1$ colours used and no more than $2m_d$ uncoloured edges. The edge-colouring is then completed using Random-Colour.

1: **procedure** Random-Euler-Colour($G$)  
2: \hspace{1em} if $d \leq 1$ then  
3: \hspace{2em} Colour every edge with the same colour  
4: \hspace{1em} else
Use an Euler tour to decompose $G$ into subgraphs $G_1$ and $G_2$

\[
\text{Random-Euler-Colour}(G_1) \quad \text{Random-Euler-Colour}(G_2)
\]

if $d$ is even then

\[
G \text{ is edge-coloured by } d + 2 \text{ colours}
\]

Choose the least common colour $\gamma$

Uncolour all edges coloured by $\gamma$

else

\[
G \text{ is edge-coloured by } d + 3 \text{ colours}
\]

Choose the two least common colours $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$

Uncolour all edges coloured by $\gamma$ or $\gamma'$

end if

Only $d + 1$ colours are used now

There are at most $2\frac{m}{d}$ uncoloured edges

while $G$ has an uncoloured edge do

\[
\text{Random-Colour()}
\]

end while

end if

end procedure

**Theorem 6.** Random-Euler-Colour edge-colours a graph in

\[
O \left( \min \left( m\sqrt{n}, md \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \right) \right)
\]

expected time.

**Proof.** Let $T(m, d)$ be the worst-case expected run time of Random-Euler-Colour on a graph with $m$ edges and maximum degree $d$. Then $T$ is nondecreasing in both arguments and $T(m, 1) = O(m)$.

Since the Euler tour method of splitting the graph into subgraphs takes $O(m)$ time, lines 1—17 can be completed in $O(m) + 2T([m/2], [d/2])$ time. The time to execute lines 20—22 in each iteration is at most $O \left( \frac{mn}{d^2} \right)$ since Random-Colour takes $O(n)$ time in the worst case.

However, if we assume $d \leq \sqrt{n}$, then by Lemma 4 the expected time to
execute lines 20—22 is, up to a constant,

\[
\sum_{\ell=1}^{2m/d} \min \left( \frac{md}{\ell}, n \right) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} n + \sum_{\ell=k}^{2m/d} \frac{md}{\ell} \\
\leq n(k - 1) + CMD \ln \left( \frac{2m}{dk} \right) \\
\leq (C + 1) md \ln \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right)
\]

where in the last line we set \( k = \lceil \frac{md}{n} \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \rceil \) and \( C \) is some constant.

Thus, \( T(m, d) \) follows the recursion (for some constant \( K \))

\[
T(m, d) \leq K \min \left( \frac{md}{n}, md \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \right) + 2T([m/2], [d/2]) \\
\leq K \min \left( \frac{md}{n}, md \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \right) + 2T \left( \frac{m + 1}{2}, \frac{d + 1}{2} \right)
\]

Let us analyze this recursion in two cases depending on whether \( d \) is smaller or larger than \( \sqrt{n} \).

**Case I: \( d < \sqrt{n} \):**

\[
T(m, d) \leq Kmd \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) + 2T \left( \frac{m + 1}{2}, \frac{d + 1}{2} \right)
\]

Observe that \( md \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \) decreases by roughly a factor of 4 in each step, which dominates the factor of 2 in the recursive term. Thus, as the terms of the expansion decrease geometrically until \( md \) is a small constant,

\[
T(m, d) = O \left( md \log \left( \frac{2n}{d^2} \right) \right) \text{ for } d < \sqrt{n}.
\]

Note that \( m \sqrt{n} \) is larger than this function when \( d < \sqrt{n} \).

**Case II: \( d \geq \sqrt{n} \):**

\[
T(m, d) \leq K \frac{mn}{d} + 2T \left( \frac{m + 1}{2}, \frac{d + 1}{2} \right)
\]
Let us unfold the first $r$ terms of the recursion.

\[
T(m, d) \leq K \frac{mn}{d} + 2K \frac{(m+1)n}{d+1} + 4T \left( \frac{m+3}{4}, \frac{d+3}{4} \right) \\
\leq K \frac{mn}{d} + 2K \frac{(m+1)n}{d+1} + 4 \frac{(m+3)n}{d+3} + 8T \left( \frac{m+7}{8}, \frac{d+7}{8} \right) \\
\vdots \\
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} 2^i \left( K \frac{(m+2^i-1)n}{d+2^i-1} \right) + 2^r T \left( \frac{m+2^r-1}{2^r}, \frac{d+2^r-1}{2^r} \right)
\]

Since \( \frac{m+2^i-1}{d+2^i-1} \leq \frac{mn}{d} \) for all \( i \geq 0 \), this yields

\[
T(m, d) \leq 2^r \left( K \frac{mn}{d} \right) + 2^r T \left( \frac{m}{2^r}, \frac{d}{2^r} + 1 \right)
\]

Choosing \( r = \log_2 \left( \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + O(1) \) we ensure that \( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \leq \frac{d}{2^r} + 1 < \sqrt{n} \).

Hence, by Case I,

\[
T \left( \frac{m}{2^r} + 1, \frac{d}{2^r} + 1 \right) = O \left( \frac{m}{2^r} + 1 \right) \sqrt{n} \log \left( \frac{2n}{\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \right)^2} \right) \\
= O \left( \frac{m\sqrt{n}}{2^r} + \sqrt{n} \right) \\
= O \left( \frac{m\sqrt{n}}{2^r} \right)
\]

Therefore,

\[
T(m, d) = O \left( 2^r \frac{mn}{d} + 2^r \left( \frac{m\sqrt{n}}{2^r} \right) \right) \\
= O \left( \left( \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{mn}{d} + m\sqrt{n} \right) \\
= O(m\sqrt{n}).
\]
We have shown that the expected behaviour of Random-Euler-Colour is much better than the worst-case. In fact, the behaviour of Random-Euler-Colour is very likely to follow this expectation. This corollary will follow easily from our analysis since we have expressed the run time as the sum of independent random variables with bounded variances.

**Corollary 7.** Random-Euler-Colour runs in $O(m\sqrt{n})$ time with high probability.

*Proof.* All of the randomness in the running time of Random-Euler-Colour is due to the unpredictable lengths of the alternating paths in Random-Colour. Since we treat the run time of each call to Random-Colour as independent, depending only on $m$, $d$, $n$, and $\ell$, the total variance in the running time will be at most the sum of the variances of the calls to Random-Euler-Colour.

Returning to the proof of Lemma 4, recall that the expected length of the alternating path $P$ in a call to Random-Colour is bounded by

$$\sum_{\text{length}(Q)=1} \frac{d+1}{\ell} + \sum_Q \frac{\text{length}(Q)}{\ell}$$

where $Q$ ranges over all alternating paths in $G$. The bound of $O\left(\frac{md}{\ell}\right)$ follows from the fact that $\sum_Q \text{length}(Q) \leq m(d+1)$.

The variance of the length of the path is bounded by

$$\sum_Q \frac{\text{length}(Q)^2}{\ell}$$

Since $P$ has length at most $n$, we have

$$\text{Var}[\text{length}(P)] = O\left(\frac{\sum_Q \text{length}(Q)^2}{\ell}\right) = O(n) \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{length}(P)]$$

The dominating term in the cost of Random-Euler-Colour is the sum of lengths of the alternating paths. Therefore, the total variance in the running time $T(m,d)$ of Random-Euler-Colour is bounded by $O(n) \cdot \mathbb{E}[T(m,d)]$. Hence, for some constant $C$,

$$\sigma[T(m,d)] \leq C\sqrt{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[T(m,d)]} \leq Cm^{1/2}n^{3/4}$$
There is a polynomial gap between the standard deviation and our target of \( m\sqrt{n} \); they differ by a factor of \( O \left( \frac{m^{1/2}}{n^{1/4}} \right) \). By Chebyshev’s Inequality,

\[
P[T(m, d) \geq E[T(m, d)] + Cm\sqrt{n}] < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{m}.
\]

We have \( m \geq n - 1 \) by our assumption of connectedness. Thus \textsc{Random-Euler-Colour} takes \( O(m\sqrt{n}) \) time with probability at least \( 1 - O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^3}} \right) \).
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