Abstract

Deep SORT [1] is a tracking-by-detection approach to multiple object tracking with a detector and a RE-ID model. Both separately training and inference with the two model is time-consuming. In this paper, we unify the detector and RE-ID model into an end-to-end network, by adding an additional track branch for tracking in Faster RCNN architecture. With a unified network, we are able to train the whole model end-to-end with multi loss, which has shown much benefit in other recent works. The RE-ID model in Deep SORT needs to use deep CNNs to extract feature map from detected object images. However, track branch in our proposed network straight make use of the RoI feature vector in Faster RCNN baseline, which reduced the amount of calculation. Since the single image lacks the same object which is necessary when we use the triplet loss to optimize the track branch, we concatenate the neighbouring frames in a video to construct our training dataset. We have trained and evaluated our model on AIC19 vehicle tracking dataset, experiment shows that our model with resnet101 backbone can achieve 57.79 % mAP and track vehicle well.

1. Introduction

Object tracking is a fundamental yet challenging task in computer vision, which requires the algorithm to track the object of multi-frames. Tracking-by-detection has become the leading paradigm in multiple object tracking due to recent progress in object detection.

Simple online and realtime tracking (SORT) [2] is a tracking-by-detection framework which first use a powerful CNN detector to detect and then use Kalman filtering and Hungarian method to track. Deep SORT adds a Deep Association Metric and Appearance information to enhance the track performance.

However, Deep SORT needs to train the detector and RE-ID model separately, which can’t benefit from the multi loss training that has been proven significant to improve the performance in many recent works. Therefore, we jointly train the detector and RE-ID module in a unified network by add an additional track branch to standard Faster RCNN architecture. As the RE-ID module needs a triplet, which is lacked in a single image, to calculate triplet loss, we concatenate the neighbouring frames of a video thus we can construct a triplet for training the track branch. Through this integrated network, we achieve tracking function and only add a little computation and memory consume based on Faster RCNN.

2. Related Work

Object Tracking Object trackers can be divided into TBD (Tracking by Detection) and DFT (Detection-Free Tracking) and can also be divided to Online and Offline by whether use future frames.

SORT is a TBD and online tracker. This method uses a powerful detector to detect objects and use Kalman filter and Hungarian algorithm to track. SORT can acquire high MOTA while keeping over 100 fps. DeepSORT adds a Deep Association Metric to enhance SORT. Deep Association Metric use a large Person Re-identification networks to judge whether two person in two input images are same.

Vehicle ReID Vehicle Re-identification (ReID) has made great progress and achieve high performance in recent years. Vehicle ReID is to used to distinguish whether two input images contains same vehicle. Vehicle ReID can be divided into representation learning based method and metric learning based method.

Representation learning based method model ReID task as a classification task, network takes two images as input and has two subnets. The first subnet outputs predicted id of every image and calculate classification loss. The second subnet integrates the feature of two images and outputs whether two vehicles is same. Representation learning based method is robust but generalize poorly.

Metric learning based method is to calculate the similarity of two images. There are many metrics in this methods.
such as Contrastive loss [3], Triplet loss [4], Quadruplet loss [5] and Margin sample mining loss [6] [7] use a detector to identify all vehicles and then use triplet network to re-identify vehicles. [8] used a batch all triplet loss with batch weighted to give more importance to the informative harder samples than trivial samples.

**Object Detection** Object detectors can be classified as two-stage detector and one-stage detector. The first two-stage detector with deep convolutional network is R-CNN [9], which using sliding window on origin image to get predefined anchor and resize anchors to fixed size, then use deep CNNs to classify whether this anchor includes any object, with an extra regression offsets to refine the locations of predicted bounding box. SPP-net [10] slides window on CNN feature map instead of origin image to avoid repeated computation and proposes Spatial Pyramid Pooling (SPP) to get fixed length vector from feature patch, which improve the performance of detection and speed up the inference. Fast R-CNN [11] uses RoI pooling to replace SPP and use fully connected layer to classify and regress instead of SVMs, which can be trained end-to-end with a multi-task loss. Faster RCNN [12] proposed region proposal networks (RPNs) to generate anchors with deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and then sample positive anchors and negative anchors with fixed ratio which can decrease the imbalance situation between anchors.

YOLO [13] is the first one-stage detector, it divides the CNN feature map into a grid of fixed size and predicts fixed number of predicted bounding boxes with its score on every grid. Although YOLO has a relatively poor detection performance but it speeds up in a large margin reach to real-time. SSD [14] first multi CNN layers to generate a pyramid of feature maps on final CNN feature map and assign anchors of different scale and aspect ratio in different pyramid level, then classify and regress these anchors. RetinaNet [15] use Feature Pyramid Network (FPN) to replace the multi CNN layers in SSD which integrate lower and higher feature. DSSD [16] uses an integration structure similar to FPN in SSD to generate integrated feature map.

### 3. Our Approach

#### 3.1. Baseline

This paper uses Faster RCNN as the baseline. To detect objects, Faster RCNN first use the Region Proposal Network (RPN) to generate a set of candidate bounding boxes, each with an objectness score. To generate these boxes, RPN applies some predefined anchors on the output of last conv layer of backbone and transforms corresponding feature map to a fixed-length vector, then feeds these vectors into two sibling fully connected layers, one for classification and the other for regression, to output offset and confidence score. Then we select the top anchors and apply RoI pooling layer and two sibling fully connected layers on corresponding feature map to get a RoI feature vector, which followed by classification branch and regression branch to get the more accurate classification score and coordinate. Finally, we apply NMS on these predict bounding boxes to get final predictions.

#### 3.2. Track Branch

Based on Faster RCNN architecture, we propose an additional branch for tracking. The net architecture of track branch is the same as classification branch, consisting of two fully connection layers but don’t share weights.

Fig 1 illustrates the overall network architecture of our proposed method. We add a sibling track branch to Faster RCNN.

We use the track branch to extract track feature from the RoI feature vector, Then use this feature to calculate the distance between different vehicles. The distance between the same vehicle should be near, and distance between different vehicle should be relatively far.

We apply the widely used triplet loss to optimize track branch.

\[
L_{tri} = \max (\max (d_{same}) - \min (d_{diff}) + m, 0) \tag{1}
\]

For every detected bbox, we will calculate the distances between this box and other boxes which belong to same vehicles and choose a maximum distance \(\max (d_{same})\). Similarly, minimum distances \(\min (d_{diff})\) between this box and other boxes which belongs to different vehicles will also be calculated. And then the calculated \(\max (d_{same})\) and \(\min (d_{diff})\) will be used to calculate final triplet loss. The hyperparam \(m\) is used to constrain the distance between same vehicle and different vehicle, we set \(m\) to 5.0 in this paper.

However, it’s hard to use the calculated absolute distance to distinguish whether the two vehicles belong to the same vehicles or not as the triplet loss only ensures the relative distance between different vehicles. So we use another
“pull” loss to constrain the distance between same vehicles to be small. It can be formulated as:

\[ L_{\text{pull}} = \max(d_{\text{same}}) - m_{\text{pull}} \]

(2)

where \( \max(d_{\text{same}}) \) is the maximum distance between boxes which belong to the same vehicles. \( m_{\text{pull}} \) is the margin to constrain the max same distance, we set \( m_{\text{pull}} \) to 1.0 in this paper.

When we train the model, we can’t find the same vehicles pair in one image, so we concatenate the two neighbouring frames in a video to construct a large image to ensure there are same vehicles in one image. When the input image includes same vehicles, it can be used to jointly train detection and track tasks. When the input image doesn’t include same vehicles, it can only be used to train the detection tasks since the triplet loss \( L_{\text{tri}} \) and “pull” loss \( L_{\text{pull}} \) is zero.

Total loss of jointly training is formulated as:

\[ \text{Loss} = \lambda_1 L_{\text{cls}} + \lambda_2 L_{\text{reg}} + \lambda_3 L_{\text{tri}} + \lambda_4 L_{\text{pull}} \]

(3)

where \( \lambda_1 \sim \lambda_4 \) are weights for different loss, where \( \lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2 \) are set to 1.0, \( \lambda_3 \sim \lambda_4 \) are set to 0.2.

### 3.3. Training

Before jointly training, We first train original Faster RCNN for some epochs and ensure it can detect objects within acceptable limits. This is because the roi feature vector will have large change as detected bounding box get accurate, which may harm the tracking performance.

While jointly training, detection tranch is trained as usual. When we train the track branch, we first get the output of detection branch, and filter them by threshold \( p \), we set \( p \) to 0.5. The IoU of every predicted bounding box and ground truth bounding box will be calculated and an object id and image id will be assigned to predicted bounding box if the IoU is larger than 0.5. If the number of predicted box that which has IoU greater than 0.5 with any ground truth box is more than 1, , we only choose the box which has highest IoU and abandon other boxes to ensure every object id in one image is assigned to only one predicted bounding box. The image id is 0 if the corresponding ground truth bbox belong to the first image in concatenated image, otherwise. Predicted bounding boxes with IoU less than 0.5 with all ground truth bbox is abandoned. After assigning every predicted bbox, we can now calculate the \( L_{\text{tri}} \) and \( L_{\text{pull}} \).

We train our network on single GTX 1080ti GPU and use a batch size of 2. We first train the standard Faster RCNN for 10 epoch and train the jointly network for 40 epoch. The initial learning rate is \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) and the cosine schedule was adopted inspired by [17].

### 3.4. Inference

During inference, We first apply NMS on outputs of detection branch to get final detect results, we record the preserved index meanwhile. Then select corresponding feature by preserved index and calculate the distance matrix \( D \) between feature maps of current frame and former frame using trained track branch. The size of matrix \( D \) is \( M \times N \), where \( M \) is the number of vehicle in current frame, \( N \) is the num-
Figure 3. tracking result. (a) single camera scenario result, (b) multi-camera scenario result.

For the $i_{th}$ vehicle in the current frame, we get the minimum distance $d_{min}^i$ and its index $j$ in the $i_{th}$ row of matrix $D$. We first check whether $d_{min}^i$ is the minimum in the $j_{th}$ column of matrix $D$, then check whether $d_{min}^i$ is lower than the distance threshold $h$, where $h$ is the upper bound of the same distance when inference. Only when $d_{min}^i$ satisfies both conditions, can the $i_{th}$ vehicle of the current frame be thought as the same with the $j_{th}$ vehicle in the former vehicle.

We minimize the following formula

$$\arg\min_h (fp + fn)$$

on a dev-set to choose the proper distance threshold $h$, where $fp$, $fn$, $gp$, $gn$ is the number of false positive, false negative, ground truth positive and ground truth negative respectively under a given $h$.

### 3.5. multi object track multi camera

We construct a dataset by concatenating two images that contain the same vehicle in different cameras. Training with this dataset can make our proposed method available to handle multi object track multi-camera (MTMC) scenario.

4. **Experiment**

Our model is trained and evaluated on AIC19\footnote{Dataset can be downloaded at https://www.aicitychallenge.org/} dataset. AIC19 dataset contains 36 labeled videos for training and doesn’t contain validation dataset, so we pick 3 videos for validation and the rest for training. Our train dataset is constructed on divided AIC19 train dataset by concatenating the neighbouring frame. It contains 17556 images and 683 vehicle instances. We directly use picked 3 videos for validation and these videos contains 2988 images and 97 vehicle instance. The MTMC train dataset is constructed on divided AIC19 train dataset by concatenating images that contain the same vehicle in different cameras and finally contains 10378 images and 169 vehicles. The validation dataset for MTMC is sample from divided AIC19 validation dataset, it contains 826 groups and each group contains 2 images that from different cameras and contain the same vehicle. This validation for MTMC is only to calculate pair accuracy and totally contains 1652 images and 82 vehicles.

**Detection Result** We use AP and metrics to evaluate the performance of the detector, AP represents the average precision rate, which is computed over ten different IoU thresholds (i.e.,0.5:0.05:0.95). Our detector can achieve 57.79 % mAP.

**Track Result** We use Multiple Object Tracking Accuracy (MOTA) to evaluate the performance of the tracker. MOTA can be formulated as:

$$MOTA = 1 - \frac{M + FP + MM}{T}$$

where $M$ is sum of misses in all frames, $M = \sum_t m_t$. $FP$ is sum of false positive in all frames, $FP = \sum_t fp_t$. $MM$ is sum of mismatch in all frames, $MM = \sum_t mm_t$. $T$ is sum of the number of objects present in all frames, $T = \sum_t g_t$. 
However, there are many unlabeled vehicles in the dataset therefore many detected vehicles will be thought as false positive, leading to a poor MOTA. We also use a metric named pair accuracy to evaluate track performance because of this situation. To calculate pair accuracy, we first select matched detected vehicle with labeled vehicle using IoU. Then construct pair connections by track result in neighbouring frames and judge whether this connections are right according to labeled vehicle id. This metric can reflect track accuracy at every frame. Pair accuracy is shown in Table 1.

The MOTA of our tracker is 8.10 % and pair accuracy is 99.84 % when distance thresh $h$ is set to 0.343. The explicit statistic of MOTA is shown in Table 2.

4.1. Ablation Study

multi-task loss We test the performance between standard Faster RCNN and our proposed unified network. The standard Faster RCNN is trained for 50 epochs on the same dataset. The standard Faster RCNN can achieve 57.8 % mAP.

We also test the performance of tracker when we frozen the detector weight. The MOTA of tracker is 10.94 % and pair accuracy is 99.43 % when distance thresh $h$ is set to 3.327.

We test the effect of “pull” loss by set $\lambda_4 = 0$. The MOTA of tracker is -1.80 % and pair accuracy is 99.99 % when distance thresh $h$ is set to 7.529.

MTMC We evaluate same ablation experiment for multi-camera scenario. As shown in Table 1, pair accuracy of model that frozen detector in multi-camera scenario is 71.21 % when distance thresh $h$ is set to 0.18, pair accuracy of model that remove “pull” loss is 77.99 % when distance thresh $h$ is set to 28.548.

4.2. Error Analysis

There are some match incorrect and track miss situation in our method. One reason leading to these problems may be that AIC19 dataset didn’t label all the vehicles, but only labeled running car for tracking. It may harm the training processure and lead to a lower mAP because some still unlabeled vehicles can be detected when inference. Another reason may influence track performance is that we only use track feature to calculate vehicle similarity but not take account of continous coordinate of same vehicle.

The reason for lower accuracy in MTMC scenario may be that we don’t use center loss or other metrics to improve the robustness of our model. Another reason is that the threshold we set to determine whether two vehicle are same when inference in single camera scenario may not be proper in multi-camera scenario.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed a unified object detection and tracking network for high quality vehicle detection and tracking. This method was shown to reduce the amount of computation and make full use of multi-loss during training and inference. This is achieved by add an additional sibling track branch to standard Faster RCNN network, using ROI feature vector as input and triplet loss to train this branch. The dataset needed by training procedure is constructed by concatenating neighbouring frames in training videos. Although the not fully labeled dataset had reduced the metrics of vehicle detection and tracking, our proposed method can still achieve 57.79 % mAP and high performance of vehicle tracking in human eye view. We believe that our proposed method can be used for many other object detection and tracking.

There are many advanced object detection framework in recent few years, such as Cascade RCNN [18], FCOS [19]. We believe that using these better frameworks to replace the Faster RCNN framework in our work can improve the...
Figure 4. Histogram of distance distribution between same objects and different objects. (a) is distance distribution in single camera scenario, we choose 0.343 as distance threshold for inference, (b) is distance distribution in multi-camera scenario, we choose 8.72 as distance threshold for inference.

Figure 5. Tracking results.

Performance of vehicle detection and tracking, we leave as our future work.
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