Equivalence between first- and higher-order Kuramoto models
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The high-order Kuramoto model has recently attracted some attention in the field of coupled oscillators in order, for instance, to describe clustering phenomena in sets of coupled agents. Instead of considering interactions given directly by the sine of oscillators’ angle differences, the interaction is given by the sum of sines of integer multiples of the these angle differences. This can be interpreted as a Fourier decomposition of a general 2π-periodic interaction function. We show that in the case where only one multiple of the angle differences is considered, which we refer to as the simple \textit{q}th-order Kuramoto model, the system is qualitatively equivalent to the original Kuramoto model. In other words, any property of the simple higher-order Kuramoto model can be recovered from the standard Kuramoto model.

Along the last decades, the Kuramoto model has attracted a lot of interest in the field of synchronization of coupled oscillators. Its simple formulation and the variety of synchronization phenomena that it describes make it a good candidate to investigate such phenomena both numerically and analytically. The sinusoidal interaction in the Kuramoto model can be seen as the first order of the Fourier decomposition of a general coupling function. It is then natural to extend the coupling to higher orders, such that the dynamics depend on the sines of multiples of the angle differences. In this manuscript, we show that considering only the angle difference or a unique integer multiple of it in the sinusoidal coupling is qualitatively equivalent.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the context of synchronization of coupled dynamical systems, the Kuramoto model\textsuperscript{12} has drawn a lot of attention within the last decades.\textsuperscript{3–5} Synchrony is observed in many real systems, ranging from the brain’s oscillatory pacemaker cells establishing the circadian rhythm\textsuperscript{2} to synchronous machines connected to the high-voltage AC electrical grid.\textsuperscript{14–16} This popularity brought the topic to a point where the remaining open questions are both hard and poorly rewarding to answer. To describe more realistic systems, some generalized versions of the Kuramoto model have been considered, as, for instance, meshed interaction graphs, higher order dynamics,\textsuperscript{8} or directed interactions.\textsuperscript{9,10} One of these generalizations is to consider higher-order couplings.\textsuperscript{11,12} While the Kuramoto model is defined as

\begin{equation}
\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i - \frac{K}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation}

for \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), where \(\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}\) is the \(i\)th oscillator’s angle, \(\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}\) is its natural frequency, and \(K > 0\) is the coupling strength, the \(q\)th-order Kuramoto model, for \(q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\), is defined as

\begin{equation}
\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i - \frac{K}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sin[q \cdot (\theta_i - \theta_j)], \tag{1.2}
\end{equation}

for \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\). The sum over \(\ell\) can be seen as a truncated Fourier decomposition of a general 2π-periodic coupling function.

To this day, most of the works about this version of the model has been limited to second-order couplings (\(K_{1,2} \neq 0\)), which already exhibits behaviors significantly different from the original Kuramoto model.\textsuperscript{14–17} While most descriptions of the synchronous states of this model, for \(q = 2\) and large \(n\), have been performed numerically,\textsuperscript{14,15} an analytical approach, based on a self-consistency equation for the order parameters of the system, is given in Ref.\textsuperscript{14} The \(q\)th-order Kuramoto model has been used to describe clustering phenomena in systems of coupled synchronized oscillators. Clustered synchronous states of some particular versions of the second-order Kuramoto model and their dynamics are described in Refs.\textsuperscript{11} and\textsuperscript{12}.

When one of the coupling orders largely dominates the others, a simplifying assumption is to consider the case where \(K_{\ell} = 0\) for all \(\ell \neq q\), which we refer to as the simple \(q\)th-order Kuramoto model.\textsuperscript{11,12} In this case, Eq. (1.2) reduces to

\begin{equation}
\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i - \frac{K}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sin[q \cdot (\theta_i - \theta_j)], \tag{1.3}
\end{equation}

for \(i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), which is the dynamical system considered in this manuscript. Note that the Kuramoto model, Eq. (1.1), can be seen as the simple first-order Kuramoto model. An analytical description of the transient dynamics of Eq. (1.3) and its synchronous clustered states are given in Ref.\textsuperscript{14}.

In this manuscript, we show that the dynamical systems described by Eqs. (1.1) and (1.3) are qualitatively equivalent. Doing so, we make rigorous the claim below Eq. (3) in Ref.\textsuperscript{14} that “In the case with \(K_1 = 0\)
Let us consider \( \psi^* \in \pi_q^{-1} \eta^* \) as representative for the preimage of \( \eta^* \) and consider the unique continuous lifting \( \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*} (t) \) such that \( \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*} (0) = \psi^* \) and \( \pi_q \left[ \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*} (t) \right] = \Phi_{q, \eta^*} (t) \). The time derivative of the \( i \)-th component of this lifting is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \right)_i = q^{-1} \dot{\Phi}_{q, \eta^*},
\]

\[
q^{-1} \left[ q \omega_i - \frac{qK}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sin(\Phi_{q, \eta^*}, i - \Phi_{q, \eta^*}, j) \right]
\]

\[
\omega_i - \frac{K}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sin \left[ q \left( \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*}, i - \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*}, j \right) \right].
\]

The lifting then solves Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) with initial conditions \( \psi^* \), and this is true independently of the choice of representative \( \psi^* \in \pi_q^{-1} \eta^* \).

**Equivalence.** The preimage, by \( \pi_q^{-1} \), of a solution \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) of Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) is then a set of solutions of Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) differing from one another by a shift \( 2\pi \rho / q \), with \( \rho \in \{0, 1, ... , q-1\} \). It is now clear that

\[
\pi_q \left( \pi_q^{-1} \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \right) = \Phi_{q, \eta^*},
\]

and the unique continuous lifting of \( \pi_q \Theta_{\psi^*} \) such that \( \pi_q^{-1} \pi_q \Theta_{\psi^*} (0) = \psi^* \) is exactly

\[
\pi_q^{-1} \pi_q \Theta_{\psi^*} = \Theta_{\psi^*}.
\]

Eqs. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) and \( \Theta_{\psi^*} \) establish the equivalence between the two dynamical systems Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) and \( \Theta_{\psi^*} \). The equivalence between the trajectories of Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) with initial conditions \( \psi^* \) and trajectories of Eq. \( \Theta_{\psi^*} \) with initial conditions \( \psi^* \) (or translations thereof) are illustrated in Fig. 1.

**III. CONSEQUENCES ON CLUSTERING**

Now that we established the equivalence between the simple first- and higher-order Kuramoto models, we review some results known for the Kuramoto model and translate them in the \( q \)-th order Kuramoto model, in order to derive some results about clustering in the latter.

**Fixed points.** To a given fixed point of the (first-order) Kuramoto model, corresponds \( q \)-th fixed points of the \( q \)-th order Kuramoto model. Each of these fixed points differ by a shift \( 2\pi \rho / q \), with \( \rho \in \{0, 1, ... , q-1\} \). When the natural frequencies are small (\( \omega_i \ll K / n \)), the synchronous state \( \theta^* \in [0, 2\pi) \) of Eq. \( \Phi_{q, \eta^*} \) is such that all angles are close to each other. For any \( \rho \in \{0, 1, ... , q-1\} \), the point \( q^{-1} \theta^* + 2\pi \rho / q \in [0, 2\pi) \) is a synchronous state for Eq. \( \Theta_{\psi^*} \). The integer vector \( \rho \) describes the *clustering pattern* of the corresponding synchronous state. If \( \rho_i = \rho_j \), oscillators \( i \) and \( j \) are close to each other (at least for rather small natural frequencies), and approximately \( 2\pi / q \) apart from an oscillator \( k \) such that \( \rho_k = \rho_i \pm 1 \). Then each oscillators with the same value
in \( \rho \) form a cluster. The number of different values in \( \rho \) gives the total number of clusters in the synchronous state under consideration. From the point of view of the dynamics however, the clustering pattern has no effect. The \( 2\pi/q \) shifts introduced by the vector \( \rho \) leave Eq. (2.2) unchanged. In Fig. 1, for instance, each color corresponds to a different clustering pattern. At the end of the trajectory, the orange line has five oscillators in one cluster \( \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \} \), with angles in \([0, \pi)\) and oscillator 5 forming a cluster by itself, with its angle in \([\pi, 2\pi)\). Similarly, for the green line, oscillators 1 and 3 are in one cluster with angles in \([0, \pi)\) and the others are in the cluster with angles in \([\pi, 2\pi)\).

**Linear stability.** One can verify that the linear stability of Eq. (2.2) at a fixed point \( \theta^* \) is identical to the linear stability of Eq. (2.1) at \( \pi_q \theta^* \). More precisely, the Jacobian matrices \( J_q(\theta^*) \) of Eq. (2.2) at \( \theta^* \), and \( J_1(\pi_q \theta^*) \) of Eq. (2.1) at \( \pi_q \theta^* \) are equal. Thus, for a given distribution of natural frequencies, all clustered states have the same linear stability properties.

**Order parameter.** The order parameter \( r_1 \) is a quantity describing the level of coherence between oscillators' angles in the (first-order) Kuramoto model. It has been a major ingredient to analyze synchronization in this model \cite{14, 20}. To take clustering into account, in the higher-order Kuramoto model, it has been generalized \cite{13} to the \( q^{th} \) order parameter

\[
r_q(\theta) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{iq\theta_j}. \tag{3.1}
\]

It directly translates from the simple \( q^{th} \)-order Kuramoto model to its equivalent first-order Kuramoto model. Namely, the order parameter \( r_q(\theta^*) \) is equal to \( r_1(\pi_q \theta^*) \). A large \( q^{th} \) order parameter \( r_q \) indicates that the current state of Eq. (2.2) is clustered, but does not give any information about the clustering pattern, because it is blind to any shift of \( 2\pi/q \). The order parameter \( r_q \) takes the same value \( r = r_1(\psi^*) \) for each element of the preimage \( \pi_q^{-1} \psi^* \).

**Synchronization.** It is known \cite{23} for the Kuramoto model, Eq. (2.1), that if the coupling is sufficiently large to grant the existence of a synchronous state \( \{ K > \max_i |\omega_i - \omega_j| \} \), then there exists a value \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \in (\pi/2, \pi] \) such that the system synchronizes if all initial angles are in an arc of length at most \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \). In the simple \( q^{th} \)-order Kuramoto model, this translates as follows. First, for the same value of \( K \), the system synchronizes to the single cluster fixed point if all initial angles are in an arc of length \( \gamma_{\text{max}}/q \). Second, if the initial conditions \( \psi^* \) are such that all angles of \( \psi^* - 2\pi \rho^*/q \) are contained in an arc of length \( \gamma_{\text{max}}/q \), then the system synchronizes to the state with clusters given by \( \rho^* \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q - 1\}^n \).

**Basins of attraction.** By equivalence of the dynamics, the basin of attraction of fixed point \( \theta^* \) of Eq. (2.2) is a copy of the basin of attraction of the fixed point \( \pi_q \theta^* \) of Eq. (2.1), rescaled by a factor \( q^{-1} \). Namely, its volume is \( q^{-d} \) times the volume of the basin of attraction of \( \pi_q \theta^* \). We illustrate this in Fig. 2 where we show the basins of attraction for the systems Eq. (2.1) (left panel) and Eq. (2.2) (right panel).

**Basin escape.** Suppose we introduce an additive noise in Eq. (2.2) to account for unpredictable perturbation of the environment. This will eventually lead our system to jump from a synchronous state to another \cite{24, 26}. For the Kuramoto model, Eq. (2.1), such jumps bring the system from a synchronous state to a translate of itself, where some angles slip and accumulate integer multiples of \( 2\pi \). Lifting up such a trajectory to the simple \( q^{th} \)-order Kuramoto model, the jumps then occur between the
basins of attraction of different clustered states, where some angles accumulate an integer multiple of $2\pi/q$. Additive noise in Eq. (2.2) is then a possible mechanism for cluster formation.

If the noise has sufficiently small amplitude, the system remains for a long time in a neighborhood of a synchronous state, until the noise generates a sequence of perturbations that make it jump to another synchronous state. As pointed out by previous research on the Kuramoto model, the expected time between two jumps is exponential in (i) the inverse of the natural frequencies’ distribution width and (ii) the potential difference between the initial synchronous states and the closest 1-saddle. It can also be related to (iii) the distance (in the state space) between the stable synchronous state and the closest 1-saddle. By the equivalence derived in Sec. II the expected time between jumps from a clustering pattern to another then follows the same exponential dependence (i)-(iii).

The equivalence is clearly seen in Fig. 3 where the angle trajectories in the two systems seem to be rescaled copies of each other, while they are obtained by two different simulations. The difference being that in the Kuramoto model, the system jumps from the unique synchronous state to itself, by periodicity of the phase space, while in the $q$th-order Kuramoto model, the jumps occur between different clustered states.

**Generalization.** More generally, instead of non-oriented, homogeneous, all-to-all couplings, our argument can be straightforwardly extended to the Kuramoto model with interactions given by any graph, weighted or not, directed or not. Our argument also shows equivalence between the two following, more general versions of the higher-order Kuramoto model:

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \frac{K_{ij}}{n} \sin \left[ \ell (\theta_i - \theta_j) \right] ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

$$\dot{\theta}_i = p\omega_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} pK_{ij} \sin \left[ \ell (\theta_i - \theta_j) \right] ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

for $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The main consequence of the equivalence presented in this manuscript is that any property of the Kuramoto model, Eq. (2.1), can be lifted to the simple $q$th-order Kuramoto model, Eq. (2.2). The only discrepancy being the multiplicity of the elements of the lifting from Eq. (2.2) to Eq. (2.1).

To summarize, we showed that the (first-order) Kuramoto model is qualitatively equivalent to the simple $q$th-order Kuramoto model. As a matter of fact, any property of the latter can be derived from properties of the original Kuramoto model. The behavior of the higher-order Kuramoto model qualitatively changes only if at least two different coupling orders are considered. Clustering occurs in the simple $q$th-order Kuramoto model because of the choice of coupling function. But the dynamics are blind to the clustering pattern as each synchronous states is dynamically equivalent. Nevertheless, the equivalence derived in this manuscript allows to deduce some properties of the clustered states appearing in the simple higher-order Kuramoto model, from properties of the standard Kuramoto model.

To take into account clustered states whose characteristics (linear stability, basin shape and size, ...) differ, other models should be used. Some promising examples are, for instance, the more general $q$th-order Kuramoto model [Eq. (1.2)] or some dynamical systems with bounded confidence.
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Figure 3. Time evolution of the angles in the Kuramoto model (top panel) and the simple 6th-order Kuramoto model (bottom panel) with \( n = 6 \), both subject to additive white noise. Initial conditions are \((0, \ldots, 0)\) for both systems, natural frequencies are identically zero, and the coupling is \( K_1 = 1 \) and \( K_6 = 1/6 \) respectively. The noise sequences are the same, with amplitude divided by 6 for the 6th-order Kuramoto model. The black dashed lines indicate the multiple of 2\( \pi \), i.e., the limits of the periodic boundary conditions. After each jump in the Kuramoto model, the system converges back to its initial conditions, whereas the 6th-order Kuramoto model converges to a clustered state, even if the trajectories are qualitatively the same, this is only due to the different coupling functions.