ARITHMETIC TOPOLOGY OF 4-MANIFOLDS

IGOR NIKOLAEV

Abstract. We construct a functor from the smooth 4-dimensional manifolds to the hyper-algebraic number fields, i.e. fields with a non-commutative multiplication. It is proved that the simply connected 4-manifolds correspond to the abelian extensions. As a model example, we recover the Rokhlin and Donaldson’s Theorems from the Galois theory of non-commutative fields.

1. Introduction

The arithmetic topology studies an interplay between 3-dimensional manifolds and the fields of algebraic numbers [Morishita 2012]. The idea dates back to C. F. Gauss. Roughly speaking, the 3-dimensional manifolds \( M^3 \) correspond to the algebraic number fields \( K \). The knots \( \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}^3 \) relate to the prime ideals in the ring of integers \( O_K \) of\( K \) and the links \( \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}^3 \) relate to the ideals in \( O_K \). These relations derive from a functor \( F \) on the category of 3-dimensional manifolds \( M^3 \) with values in the category of algebraic number fields \( K \) [7, Theorem 1.2].

The aim of our note is an extension of \( F \) to the smooth 4-dimensional manifolds \( M^4 \). The range of \( F \) are the hyper-algebraic number fields \( K \), i.e. fields with a non-commutative multiplication. The Galois theory of such fields was elaborated by [Cartan 1947] [2], [Jacobson 1940] [4] and others; we refer the reader to [Harqenko 1996] [12] for a detailed account. To define \( F \), let \( N \) be a finite index subgroup of the mapping class group \( \text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3 \), where \( \mathcal{M}^3 \) is a 3-dimensional manifold. The subgroup \( N \) defines a smooth branched cover \( \tilde{M}^4_N \) of the 4-sphere \( S^4 \), see [Piergallini 1995] [10] and Section 2.1. On the other hand, we have \( \tilde{N}/\tilde{Z} \cong G_K \), where \( \tilde{N} \) (\( \tilde{Z} \), resp.) is a profinite completion of \( N \) (\( \tilde{Z} \), resp.) and \( G_K \) is the absolute Galois group of \( K \) [8, Theorem 1.2]. Recall that \( G_K \) defines the underlying field \( K \) up to an isomorphism, see [Uchida 1976] [11, Corollary 2] and remark 2.5. We define a map \( F \) by the the formula:

\[
\mathcal{M}^4_N \mapsto \tilde{N}/\tilde{Z} \cong G_K \mapsto K. \tag{1.1}
\]

To formalize our results, we call the groups \( N \not\cong N' \) a Grothendieck pair, if \( \tilde{N} \cong \tilde{N}' \). We denote by \( \mathfrak{M}^4 \) a category of all smooth 4-dimensional manifolds \( \mathcal{M}^4_N \), such that: (i) the set \( \mathfrak{M}^4 \) contains no \( \mathcal{M}^4_N \) and \( \mathcal{M}^4_N' \), such that \( N \) and \( N' \) are a Grothendieck pair and (ii) the arrows of \( \mathfrak{M}^4 \) are homeomorphisms between such manifolds. Denote by \( \mathfrak{R} \) a category of the hyper-algebraic number fields, such that the arrows of \( \mathfrak{R} \) are isomorphisms between the fields. Our main result is as follows.
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Theorem 1.1. The map $F : M^4 \rightarrow K$ defined by (1.1) is a faithful functor, i.e. the 4-manifolds $\mathcal{M}_1^4, \mathcal{M}_2^4 \in M^4$ are homeomorphic if and only if the hyper-algebraic number fields $F(\mathcal{M}_1^4), F(\mathcal{M}_2^4) \in K$ are isomorphic.

Let $K$ be a Galois extension, i.e. an extension having the Galois group $\text{Gal} K$ and obeying the fundamental correspondence of the Galois theory [Cartan 1947] [2], [Jacobson 1940] [4] and [Harqenko 1996] [12]. Recall that $K$ is said to be an abelian extension, if $\text{Gal} K$ is an abelian group. Theorem 1.1 implies the following result.

Corollary 1.2. Let $\mathcal{M}^4 \in M^4$ be a simply connected 4-manifold. Then $K = F(\mathcal{M}^4)$ is an abelian extension.

Remark 1.3. The converse of 1.2 is false.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief review of the 4-dimensional topology, the Galois theory of non-commutative fields and the arithmetic topology. Theorem 1.1, corollary 1.2 and remark 1.3 are proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we give a proof of the Rokhlin and Donaldson’s Theorems using the Galois theory of non-commutative fields.

2. Preliminaries

This section contains a brief review of the smooth 4-dimensional manifolds, the Galois theory of non-commutative fields and the arithmetic topology. We refer the reader to [Cartan 1947] [2], [Morishita 2012] [6] and [Piergallini 1995] [10] for a detailed account.

2.1. Topology of 4-dimensional manifolds. Let $\mathcal{M}^3$ be a closed 3-dimensional manifold. Denote by $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$ the mapping class group of $\mathcal{M}^3$, i.e. a group of isotopy classes of the orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}^3$. The $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$ is a finitely presented group [Hatcher & McCullough 1990] [3].

Since each finitely presented group can be realized as the fundamental group of a smooth 4-manifold, we denote by $\mathcal{M}^4 \in M^4$ a 4-manifold with $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4) \cong \text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. It will be useful for us to represent $\mathcal{M}^4$ as a PL (and, therefore, smooth) 4-fold (or 5-fold, if necessary) branched cover of the sphere $S^4$. Let $X_g$ be a closed surface, which we assume for the sake of brevity to be orientable of genus $g \geq 0$. Recall that there exists a transverse immersion $\iota : X_g \hookrightarrow S^4$, such that $\mathcal{M}^4$ is the 4-fold PL cover of $S^4$ branched at the points of $X_g$ [Piergallini 1995] [10]. In other words, the $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$ is a normal subgroup of index 4 of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^4 - X_g)$.

Remark 2.1. The immersion $\iota$ and surface $X_g$ need not be unique for given $\mathcal{M}^4$. Yet one can always restrict to a canonical choice of $\iota$ and $X_g$, which we always assume to be the case.

Definition 2.2. By $\mathcal{M}^4_N$ we understand a cover of the manifold $\mathcal{M}^4$ corresponding to the finite index subgroup $N$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4)$.

Remark 2.3. In view of the continuous map $\mathcal{M}^4 \rightarrow S^4$, the manifold $\mathcal{M}^4_N$ is a smooth $4d$-fold branched cover of the sphere $S^4$, where $d$ is the index of $N$ in $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. The manifold $\mathcal{M}^4_N$ is a regular (Galois) cover of $S^4$ if and only if $N$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. 


2.2. Galois theory for non-commutative fields. Denote by \( K \) a division ring, i.e. a ring such that the set \( K^\times := K - \{0\} \) is a group under multiplication. If the group \( K^\times \) is commutative, then \( K \) is a field. For otherwise, we refer to \( K \) as a non-commutative field.

Roughly speaking, the Galois theory for \( K \) is a correspondence between the subfields of \( K \) and the subgroups of a Galois group \( Gal \ K \) of the field \( K \). Namely, let \( G \) be a group of automorphisms of the field \( K \). It is easy to see, that the set
\[
I_G = \{ x \in K \mid g(x) = x \text{ for all } g \in G \} \tag{2.1}
\]
is a subfield of the field \( K \).

**Definition 2.4.** An extension \( K \) of the field \( L \) is called Galois, if there exists a group \( G \) of automorphisms of the field \( K \), such that \( L \cong I_G \). The Galois group of \( K \) with respect to \( L \) is defined as \( Gal K \cong G \). The absolute Galois group \( G_K \) is defined as a Galois group of the algebraic closure of \( K \). The \( G_K \) is a profinite group.

**Remark 2.5.** By an adaption of the argument for the case of fields [Uchida 1976] [11, Corollary 2], one can show that the group \( G_K \) defines the underlying field \( K \) up to an isomorphism, see lemma 3.3.

Unlike the case of fields, the inner automorphisms of \( K \) are a non-trivial group. Indeed, consider an automorphism \( h_g : K \to K \) given by the formula:
\[
x \mapsto g^{-1}xg, \quad x \in K, \quad g \in K^\times. \tag{2.2}
\]
By \( Inn (K) \) we denote a group of such automorphisms under the composition \( h_{g_1} \circ h_{g_2} = h_{g_1g_2} \), where \( g_1, g_2 \in K^\times \). It follows from (2.2) that \( Inn (K) \cong K^\times / C \), where \( C \) is the center of \( K^\times \).

Let \( G \) be a finite group of automorphisms of the field \( K \). A normal subgroup \( \Gamma := G \cap Inn (K) \) of \( G \) consists of the inner automorphisms of the field \( K \). Consider a group ring
\[
\mathbb{B}(\Gamma) = \sum_{g_i \in \Gamma} \sum_{h_j \in C} g_i h_j. \tag{2.3}
\]
It is easy to see, that \( \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \) is a finite-dimensional algebra over its center \( C \). The following result has been established in [Cartan 1947] [2, Théorème 1] and [Харченко 1996] [12, p. 139].

**Theorem 2.6.** If \( K \) is a Galois extension, then the corresponding Galois group \( G \) satisfies the short exact sequence of groups:
\[
1 \to \Gamma \to G \to G/\Gamma \to 1, \tag{2.4}
\]
where \( \iota \) is an inclusion and \( |G/\Gamma| = \dim_C \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \).

Recall that \( \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \) is a Frobenius algebra [Харченко 1996] [12, Theorem 3.5.1]. In other words, there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form
\[
Q : \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \to C, \tag{2.5}
\]
such that \( Q(xy, z) = Q(x, yz) \) for all \( x, y, z \in \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \).

**Remark 2.7.** The form (2.5) is symmetric if and only if \( \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \) is a commutative ring.

**Proof.** \( Q(xy, z) = Q(x, yz) = Q(x, y) = Q(xz, y) = Q(zx, y) = Q(z, xy). \)
2.3. **Arithmetic topology.** The arithmetic topology studies an interplay between 3-dimensional manifolds and number fields [Morishita 2012] [6]. Let \( \mathfrak{M}^3 \) be a category of closed 3-dimensional manifolds, such that the arrows of \( \mathfrak{M}^3 \) are homeomorphisms between the manifolds. Likewise, let \( \mathbf{K} \) be a category of the algebraic number fields, where the arrows of \( \mathbf{K} \) are isomorphisms between such fields. Let \( \mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{M}^3 \) be a 3-manifold, let \( S^3 \in \mathfrak{M}^3 \) be the 3-sphere and let \( O_K \) be the ring of integers of \( K \in \mathbf{K} \).

**Theorem 2.8.** ([7, Theorem 1.2]) The exists a covariant functor \( F : \mathfrak{M}^3 \to \mathbf{K} \), such that:

(i) \( F(S^3) = \mathbb{Z} \);

(ii) each ideal \( I \subseteq O_K = F(\mathcal{M}) \) corresponds to a link \( \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M} \);

(iii) each prime ideal \( I \subseteq O_K = F(\mathcal{M}) \) corresponds to a knot \( \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M} \).

The following construction of \( F \) is based on [Uchida 1976] [11]. Let \( X_{g,n} \) be an orientable surface of genus \( g \geq 0 \) with \( n \geq 0 \) boundary components. Denote by \( \text{Mod} X_{g,n} \) the mapping class group of \( X_{g,n} \), i.e. a group of isotopy classes of the orientation and boundary-preserving diffeomorphisms of the surface \( X_{g,n} \). Let \( N \) be a finite index subgroup of \( \text{Mod} X_{g,n} \). We omit the construction of a 3-manifold \( \mathcal{M}^3 \in \mathfrak{M}^3 \) from \( N \) referring the reader to [7, Remark 1.1]. As usual, let \( G_K \) be the absolute Galois group of \( K \in \mathbf{K} \), while \( \widehat{N} \) and \( \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \) denote the profinite completion of the groups \( N \) and \( \mathbb{Z} \), respectively. Let \( G_K \to K \) be an injective map defined in [Uchida 1976] [11, Corollary 2].

**Theorem 2.9.** ([8, Theorem 1.2]) The map:

\[
\mathcal{M}^3_N \mapsto \widehat{N}/\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong G_K \mapsto K
\]  

(2.6)

coincides with the functor \( F \) of theorem 2.8. Such a functor is injective, unless \( N \) and \( N' \) are a Grothendieck pair. Moreover, for every normal finite index subgroup \( N' \subseteq N \), there exists a regular cover \( \mathcal{M}^3_N \) of \( \mathcal{M}^3_N \) and an intermediate field \( K' = F(\mathcal{M}^3_N) \), such that \( K \subseteq K' \) and \( \text{Gal}(K'|K) \cong N/N' \).

**Remark 2.10.** The map (2.6) extends to the 4-manifolds \( \mathcal{M}^4 \) and non-commutative fields \( \mathbf{K} \), see (1.1). Such an extension is at the heart of our paper.

3. Proofs

3.1. **Proof of theorem 1.1.** We split the proof in a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** The manifolds \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N}, \mathcal{M}^4_{N'} \in \mathfrak{M}^4 \) are homeomorphic, if and only if, the subgroups \( N, N' \subseteq \text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3 \) are isomorphic.

**Proof.** (i) Let \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N} \) be homeomorphic to \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N'} \), by a homeomorphism \( h : \mathcal{M}^4_{N} \to \mathcal{M}^4_{N'} \). Since both \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N} \) and \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N'} \) cover the 4-sphere \( S^4 \) branched over a surface \( X_g \to S^4 \), one gets a commutative diagram in Figure 1. By definition, \( N \cong p_* (\pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4_{N})) \subseteq \pi_1(S^4 - X_g) \). Likewise, \( N' \cong p'_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4_{N})) \subseteq \pi_1(S^4 - X_g) \). Since \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N} \) is homeomorphic to \( \mathcal{M}^4_{N} \), one gets an isomorphism of the fundamental groups \( \pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4_{N}) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4_{N}) \). Because the maps \( p_* \) and \( p'_* \) are injective, we conclude that \( N \) and \( N' \) are isomorphic subgroups of \( \pi_1(S^4 - X_g) \) and, therefore, of the group \( \text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3 \). In view of the above and remark 2.1, the necessary condition of lemma 3.1 is proved.
(ii) Let $N \cong N'$ be isomorphic subgroups of $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. As explained in Section 2.1, the groups $N$ and $N'$ define a pair of branched covers $\mathcal{M}_N^4$ and $\mathcal{M}_{N'}^4$ of $S^4$. In view of the diagram in Figure 1, one obtains an inclusion of groups $N, N' \subset \pi_1(S^4 - X_g)$. Since $N \cong N'$, the subgroups $N$ and $N'$ are conjugate in the group $\pi_1(S^4 - X_g)$. In other words, there exists an element $g \in \pi_1(S^4 - X_g)$, such that $N' = g^{-1}Ng$. It is well known, that the conjugate subgroups of $\pi_1(S^4 - X_g)$ correspond to the homeomorphic branched covers $\mathcal{M}_N^4$ and $\mathcal{M}_{N'}^4$ of the $S^4$. Moreover, the homeomorphism $h : \mathcal{M}_N^4 \to \mathcal{M}_{N'}^4$ is realized by a deck transformation of the branched cover. In view of the above and remark 2.1, the sufficient condition of lemma 3.1 is proved. □

Lemma 3.2. Up to the Grothendieck pairs, the subgroups $N$ and $N'$ of $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$ are isomorphic, if and only if, the groups $\hat{N}/\hat{Z} \cong G_K$ and $\hat{N'}/\hat{Z} \cong G_{K'}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. (i) Let $N \cong N'$ be a pair of isomorphic subgroups of $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. Recall that a profinite group $\hat{N}$ is a topological group defined by the inverse limit

$$\hat{N} := \varprojlim \frac{N}{N_k}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $N_k$ runs through all open normal finite index subgroups of $N$. It follows from (3.1), that if $N \cong N'$, then $\hat{N} \cong \hat{N'}$. Since $G_K \cong \hat{N}/\hat{Z}$ and $G_{K'} \cong \hat{N'}/\hat{Z}$, we conclude that $G_K \cong G_{K'}$. The necessary condition of lemma 3.2 is proved.

(ii) Suppose that $G_K \cong \hat{N}/\hat{Z}$ and $G_{K'} \cong \hat{N'}/\hat{Z}$ are isomorphic groups. Let us show, that $N \cong N'$ are isomorphic subgroups of $\text{Mod} \mathcal{M}^3$. To the contrary, let $N \not\cong N'$. Since $N$ and $N'$ cannot be a Grothendieck pair, we conclude that $\hat{N} \not\cong \hat{N'}$. But $G_K \cong \hat{N}/\hat{Z}$ and $G_{K'} \cong \hat{N'}/\hat{Z}$ and, therefore, $G_K \not\cong G_{K'}$. One gets a contradiction proving the sufficient condition of lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.3. The absolute Galois groups $G_K$ and $G_{K'}$ are isomorphic if and only if the the underlying non-commutative fields $K$ and $K'$ are isomorphic.

Proof. The proof is an adaption of the argument of [Uchida 1976] [11, Corollary 2] to the case of non-commutative fields. Namely, we introduce a topology on $G_K$ according to the formula (3.1). Let $G_K$ and $G_{K'}$ be open subgroups of an absolute Galois group $G$, and let $\sigma : G_K \to G_{K'}$ be a topological isomorphism. To prove lemma 3.3, it is enough to show that $\sigma$ can be extended to an inner automorphism of $G$, which corresponds an isomorphism $K \cong K'$. Indeed, one takes an open normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ contained in $G_K$ and $G_{K'}$. The group $N$ induces an isomorphism $\sigma_N : G_K/N \to G_{K'}/N$. It can be shown that $\sigma_N$ extends to an inner automorphism
of the group \( G/N \). We repeat the construction over all open normal subgroups of \( G \) and obtain an explicit formula for the required inner automorphism of \( G \). Lemma 3.3 follows.

Theorem 1.1 follows from lemmas 3.1-3.3 and formula (1.1).

3.2. **Proof of corollary 1.2.** If \( \mathcal{M}^4 \in \mathcal{M}^4 \) is a simply connected manifold, then \( \pi_1(\mathcal{M}^4) \) is a trivial group. Since \( \mathcal{M}^4 \) is a cover of \( S^3 \) branched over a surface \( X_g \hookrightarrow S^4 \), we conclude that \( \pi_1(S^4 - X_g) \) is a finite group. By the Hurewicz Theorem, the homology group

\[
H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_1(S^4 - X_g) / [\pi_1(S^4 - X_g), \pi_1(S^4 - X_g)] \tag{3.2}
\]

is also a finite abelian group.

Consider a commutative diagram in Figure 2. An automorphism of \( H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \) comes from a homeomorphism \( h : \mathcal{M}^4 \to \mathcal{M}^4 \) of the manifold \( \mathcal{M}^4 \). Theorem 1.1 says that \( h \) must correspond to an automorphism \( \sigma_h \) of the field \( \mathbb{K} \), such that \( \sigma_h(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{L} \) for a subfield \( \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{K} \). Since the automorphisms \( \sigma_h \) generate the Galois group of the extension \( \mathbb{K} | \mathbb{L} \), we conclude that:

\[
Gal \mathbb{K} \cong Aut(H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z})). \tag{3.3}
\]

Recall that the group of automorphisms of a finite abelian group is always an abelian group. Indeed, a finite abelian groups can be written in the form \( \mathbb{Z}/p_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_k \mathbb{Z} \) for some distinct primes \( p_i \). On the other hand, \( Aut(G \oplus H) \cong Aut(G) \oplus Aut(H) \), where \( G \) and \( H \) are finite abelian groups of the coprime order. Thus

\[
Aut(H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z})) \cong Aut(\mathbb{Z}/p_1 \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \cdots \oplus Aut(\mathbb{Z}/p_k \mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.4}
\]

Since the group of automorphism of a cyclic group is a cyclic group, using (3.4) we conclude, that the group \( Aut(H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z})) \) is abelian. In view of (3.3), the group \( Gal \mathbb{K} \) is also abelian. Corollary 1.2 is proved.

3.3. **Proof of remark 1.3.** Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be an abelian extension. Then \( Gal \mathbb{K} \) is a finite abelian group. Let us show, that the group \( H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \) can be infinite. Indeed, one can always write \( H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus Tors \), where \( k \geq 0 \) and \( Tors \) is a finite abelian group. As explained, we have \( Aut(H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z})) \cong Aut(\mathbb{Z}^k) \oplus Aut(Tors) \). Recall that \( Aut(\mathbb{Z}^k) \cong GL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \). If \( k = 1 \), then the group \( Aut(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) is abelian and finite. Yet the group \( H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus Tors \) is infinite. In other words, the corresponding 4-manifold \( \mathcal{M}^4 \in \mathcal{M}^4 \) cannot be simply connected.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
H_1(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \cong Id \quad \mathcal{M}^4 \quad F \\
H_1(S^4 - X_g; \mathbb{Z}) \quad S^4 - X_g \quad F \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\textbf{Figure 2.} Simply connected manifold \( \mathcal{M}^4 \).
4. Rokhlin and Donaldson’s Theorems revisited

In this section we give a proof of the Rokhlin and Donaldson’s Theorems based on the Galois theory of non-commutative fields. To outline the proof, let $\mathcal{M}^4 \in \mathcal{M}^4$ be a simply connected smooth 4-manifold. The corollary 1.2 says that $\mathbb{K} = F(\mathcal{M}^4)$ is an abelian extension. Consider a subgroup of the inner automorphisms $\Gamma$ of the abelian group $Gal \mathbb{K}$. Let $\mathbb{B}(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding group ring, see (2.3). Denote by $Q$ a symmetric bilinear form on the $B(\Gamma)$, see (2.5) and remark 2.7. For $\Gamma$ a finite abelian group, we calculate both $\mathbb{B}(\Gamma)$ and $Q$, see lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. On the other hand, theorem 1.1 implies an isomorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$B(\Gamma) \cong H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}),$$

(4.1)

see lemma 4.4. From the map $Q : \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, one gets a symmetric bilinear form on the homology group $H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$. As a corollary, we recover from the arithmetic of $Q$ the Rokhlin and Donaldson’s Theorems for the simply connected smooth 4-manifolds. Let us pass to a detailed argument.

**Lemma 4.1.** The group ring of a finite abelian group $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_k\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the cyclotomic fields, i.e.

$$\mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}(\zeta_{p_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\zeta_{p_k}),$$

(4.2)

where $\zeta_{p_i}$ is the $p_i$-th root of unity.

**Proof.** An elegant proof of this fact can be found in [Ayoub & Ayoub 1969] [1].

Thus to calculate $Q$, we can restrict to the cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})$ and take the tensor product over $p_i$. Recall that the trace form on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})$ is a symmetric bilinear form:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})} : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

(4.3)

such that $(x, y) \mapsto tr(xy)$, where $tr$ is the trace of an algebraic number. The trace form (4.3) is equivalent to the form $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x, x) := \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x^2)$ via the formula:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x^2 + y^2) - \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x^2) - \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(y^2) \right].$$

(4.4)

**Lemma 4.2.** The following classification is true:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})}(x^2) = \begin{cases} 
p(1), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd prime} \\
2^n(1) \left( \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle \oplus (2^{n-1} - 1) \times H \right), & \text{if } p = 2^n, \ n \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

(4.5)

where we use the Witt ring notation $p(1)$ for the diagonal quadratic form of dimension $p$, $2^n(1)$ for the Pfister form of dimension $2^n$ and $H$ for the hyperbolic (split) plane, see [Lam 2005] [5, Chapter X] for definitions.

**Proof.** We refer the reader to [Otake 2014] [9, Theorem 2.1] for the proof.

**Remark 4.3.** The case $n = 1$ ($n = 2$; $n = 3$) in formula (4.5) corresponds to the complex numbers (quaternions; octonions), respectively; see [Lam 2005] [5, Chapter X]. We omit these values of $n$, since none of the fields is contained in $\mathbb{K}$. 
Lemma 4.4. If $H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$ is the second homology of a simply connected manifold \( \mathcal{M}^4 \), then $\mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \cong H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$, where $\cong$ is an isomorphism of the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

Proof. Recall that $Gal \mathbb{K}$ is acting on the field $\mathbb{K}$ by the automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$. Theorem 1.1 says that such automorphisms correspond to the homeomorphisms of the manifold $\mathcal{M}^4$. Recall that each homeomorphism $h : \mathcal{M}^4 \to \mathcal{M}^4$ defines a linear map $h^* : H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\Gamma \subseteq Gal \mathbb{K}$, one gets a linear representation:

$$\rho : \Gamma \to Aut(H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})).$$

(4.6)

Consider a regular representation of $\Gamma$ by the automorphisms of $H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$. It is easy to see, that such a representation coincides with $\rho$ and, therefore, we conclude that $k = |\Gamma|$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{B}(\Gamma)$ is the group ring of $\Gamma$, one gets an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}(\Gamma) \cong H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$ between the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Lemma 4.4 is proved. \(\square\)

Corollary 4.5. (Rokhlin and Donaldson)

(i) Definite intersection form of a simply connected smooth 4-manifold is diagonalizable;

(ii) Signature of the intersection form of a simply connected smooth 4-manifold is divisible by 16.

Proof. As explained, we identify the intersection form:

$$H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

with the trace form $Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}(x, y)$ given by the formulas (4.3)-(4.5). Accordingly, we have to consider the following two cases.

(i) Let us consider the case $Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}(x^2) = p(1)$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Let $\{1, \zeta_p, \ldots, \zeta_p^{p-1}\}$ be the standard basis in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. The corresponding formula (4.5) can be written as:

$$Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(x^2) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x_i^2, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $x = x_0 + x_1 \zeta_p + \cdots + x_{p-1} \zeta_p^{p-1}$ for an $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Using (4.4), one gets a symmetric bilinear form on $H_2(\mathcal{M}^4; \mathbb{Z})$:

$$Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x_i y_i, \quad x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ (4.9)

It remains to notice, that $\mathcal{M}^4 \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a smooth manifold and (4.9) is a positive definite diagonalizable intersection form. Item (i) of corollary 4.5 follows.

(ii) Let us consider the case $Tr_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(x^2) = 2^n(1)(1) \oplus (-1) \oplus (2^{n-1} - 1) \times H)$, where $p = 2^n$ and $n \geq 4$; see remark 4.3 explaining the restriction $n \geq 4$. Let
{1, ζ_{2^{n+1}}, \ldots, ζ_{2^{n+1}+1}} be the standard basis in the \( \mathbb{Z}[ζ_{2^{n+1}}] \). The corresponding formula (4.5) can be written as:

\[
Tr_Q(ζ_{2^{n+1}})(x^2) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} x_i^2 + (x_{2^n}^2 - x_{2^{n+1}}) + \left( \sum_{i=2^n+2}^{3 \times 2^{n-1}-1} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=3 \times 2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} x_i^2 \right),
\]

(4.10)

where \( x = x_0 + \cdots + x_{2^{n+1}-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[ζ_{2^{n+1}}] \). Using (4.4), one obtains a symmetric bilinear form:

\[
Tr_Q(ζ_{2^{n+1}})(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} x_i y_i + (x_{2^n} y_{2^n} - x_{2^n+1} y_{2^n+1}) + \left( \sum_{i=2^n+2}^{3 \times 2^{n-1}-1} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=3 \times 2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+1}-1} x_i y_i \right).
\]

(4.11)

It is easy to see, that \( Tr_Q(ζ_{2^{n+1}})(x, y) \) is a diagonal bilinear form on \( H_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \). The signature of (4.11) is equal to \( 2^n \), since the number of positive and negative 1’s for the terms in brackets is the same, while the signature of the first sum is \( 2^n \). It remains to notice, that \( n \geq 4 \) and, therefore, the signature of \( Tr_Q(ζ_{2^{n+1}})(x, y) \) is divisible by 16. Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a simply connected smooth 4-manifold, one gets item (ii) of corollary 4.5.

\[\square\]
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