Computational power of single qubit discrete-time quantum walk
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Quantum walk has been regarded as a primitive to universal quantum computation. By using the operations required to describe the single particle discrete-time quantum walk we demonstrate the realization of the universal set of gates on two- and three-qubit system. The main idea is to highlight the power of single qubit quantum walk and its ability to hop in superposition of position space in realizing multi-qubit states and universal set of quantum gates on them. Realization of many non-trivial gates in the forms of engineering arbitrary states is more simpler in our model when compared to the circuit based model of computation. We discuss the scalability of the model and some propositions for using lesser number of qubits in realizing larger qubit system.

Introduction – Quantum walk [1–5], a quantum mechanical analogue of classical random walk has been a base for many quantum algorithms[6–10] and schemes for quantum simulations[11–16]. The quantum walk has been described in several ways, and continuous-time and discrete-time quantum walk are the two of the most prominent ones. Engineering quantum gates and realizing universal quantum computation has been shown using both these forms of quantum walks [17–19]. This means that any problem that can be solved on quantum computer can also be solved using quantum walks. In both the models, the computational basis are defined using quantum wires where $2^n$ wires are required for realizing $n$ qubit system. The one dimensional discrete-time quantum walk has also been used to engineer arbitrary qubit states [20]. It has been experimentally implemented on linear optical system which uses the orbital angular momentum degree of freedom of single photon states to represent the particle [21]. The idea is to increase the control over the dynamics of walk by using appropriate step-dependent coin operators and thus driving the particle’s state towards the desired qubit state. Theoretically, this technique can be used to prepare any high-dimensional quantum states and experimentally, six-dimensional space qudit state has been prepared and measured. All this highlights the versatility of quantum walks.

Recently, an experimental demonstration of eighteen-qubit entangled state ($2^{18}$ possible states) from a six-individual photons by simultaneously using its three degree of freedom has been reported [22]. This along with the ability to engineer the quantum walk dynamics gives us cue to explore the resourceful way to use lesser number of qubit to realize a entangled state of bigger system. In this letter we particularly explore the power of single particle quantum walk in a position space consisting of two and four points, respectively. In our scheme, the ability of the walker to hop between different points in the position space in superposition makes realization of many non-trivial gates much simpler when compared to the circuit model of computation. Scalability of the model, its practical relevance and some proposition for using lesser number of qubits in realizing larger qubit system is also presented.

Discrete-time quantum walk – The dynamics of the one dimensional discrete-time quantum walk on a qubit are described by a particle with two internal degrees of freedom, which is defined on a Hilbert space $H_w = H_c \otimes H_p$ where the coin Hilbert space $H_c = span\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ and position Hilbert space $H_p = span\{|l\rangle\}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ represents the number of position states available to the particle. The generic initial state of the particle, $|\psi\rangle_c$, can be written using two parameters $\delta, \eta$ in the form,

$$|\psi(\delta, \eta)\rangle_c = \cos(\delta) |0\rangle + e^{-i\eta} \sin(\delta) |1\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Each step of the walk evolution is defined by the action of the unitary quantum coin operation followed by the position shift operator. The general form of the quantum coin operator is a non-orthogonal unitary [24] which acts only on the coin space, and is given by,

$$\hat{C}(\xi, \zeta, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\xi} \cos(\theta) & e^{i\xi} \sin(\theta) \\ e^{-i\xi} \sin(\theta) & -e^{-i\xi} \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (2)$$
The position shift operator $\hat{S}_-$ and $\hat{S}_+$ that translate the particle to the left or right conditioned on the internal state of the particle are of the form,
\begin{align}
\hat{S}_-^k &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes |l-1\rangle \langle l| + |j \neq k\rangle \langle j \neq k| \otimes |l\rangle \langle l|
\hat{S}_+^j &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |k \neq j\rangle \langle k \neq j| \otimes |l\rangle \langle l| + |j\rangle \otimes |l+1\rangle \langle l|.
\end{align}

(3)

Here, $k$ and $j$ are the basis states of coin Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_c$, that is, $k, j = \text{span}\{0, 1\}$. The operator $W_{ss} = (\hat{S}_-^1 C(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \otimes I_p) (\hat{S}_+^0 C(\xi_1, \zeta_1) \otimes I_p)$ implements one step of split-step quantum walk [13, 25]. A variant of discrete-time quantum walk which results in non-zero probability at all of the position space it spans through while walking. This set of operators along with the identity operator $S = I$ can be considered a generic set of operators that describes the quantum walk. We will use this set of operators for the realization of the universal quantum gates on two- and three qubit system by mapping the position space to the computational basis.

Quantum gates using discrete-time quantum walk – The universal set of quantum gates for quantum computation comprises of a two single qubit gates: Phase gate ($P$) and Hadamard gate $H$ and one two qubit gate: controlled-NOT gate ($(CNOT)$, that is,
\begin{align}
U = \{P, H, CNOT\}.
\end{align}

(4)

The action of phase gate is given by, $P|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $P|1\rangle = e^{i\phi}|1\rangle$. The action of Hadamard gate is given by $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and $H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$. Similarly, the action of CNOT gate is given by, $CNOT|00\rangle = |00\rangle$, $CNOT|01\rangle = |01\rangle$, $CNOT|10\rangle = |11\rangle$, and $CNOT|11\rangle = |10\rangle$, where the first qubit is the control bit and the second qubit is the target bit.

Below we describe the mapping of the single particle quantum walk system to the computational basis of the two-qubit and three-qubit system. We further present the appropriate combination of shift and quantum coin operations that describe quantum walk and effectively implement universal set of gates on the computational basis. To realize the two-qubit gates using single particle quantum walk, the mapping of the physical system to the gate implementation is done by using the particle’s coin space as the first qubit and the two points in the position as the second qubit. Similarly, mapping for the three-qubit system is done using a single particle on a four points in position space. Since we are going to use only a single qubit in our scheme, most of the operations described in the rest of this letter are essentially position-specific operations in the position Hilbert space.

Phase gate – To implement a phase gate on a quantum walk system we will need only a position dependent coin operation and thus the shift operator will take the form of identity operator. For both, the two-qubit and three-qubit systems, when the computational basis of the quantum walker in position space is in the desired two- or three qubit-state, phase gate on the first (real) qubit (particle) can be applied by using phase operator as quantum coin operation, and identity operator in the position space,
\begin{align}
P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi} \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p.
\end{align}

(5)

Applying a phase operator to the second and third qubits requires the implementation of two different types of po-
sion specific identity operator separated by a phase,

$$\Phi = e^{i\phi} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \quad \text{and} \quad I = I \otimes I_p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In Fig. 1 we illustrate the mapping of the two points in position space to the computational basis of the second qubit in the two-qubit system. The position dependent coin operator on the real qubit, that is, $I$ and $\Phi$ on the space labelled $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, respectively will implement the phase gate on the second qubit. Similarly, in Fig. 2 we illustrate the mapping of the four points in position space to the computational basis of the second qubit and third qubit in the three-qubit system. Position dependent coin operator on the real qubit, that is, $I$ and $\Phi$ on the space labelled $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ in the respective computational basis will implement the phase gate on the second and third qubit.

**Hadamard gate** – Hadamard operation on the first qubit, that is, the coin state of the particle is given by the evolution operation

$$W = I(\hat{C}(0, 0, \pi/4) \otimes I_p) \equiv \hat{H}_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Which is, a coin operator $\hat{C}(0, 0, \pi/4)$ as in Eq. (2) on the state of the particle followed by identity operation on position space in place of a shift operation. The subscript of $\hat{H}$ represents the qubit on which the Hadamard operation is performed.

Hadamard operation on second and third qubit in this computational basis using single particle quantum walk can be performed by evolving the coin state of the particle in superposition of position space using the different combination of shift operators $\{S^k_x, S^k_z\}$, where $\{k, j\}$ are the states of the coin space as given in Eq. (3), Pauli operators $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_z$, and Hadamard operation $\hat{H}$ on the coin space of the particle. The quantum walk operations to realise Hadamard operation on second and third qubit in computational basis takes the form,

$$W^{\alpha_0}_+ |k\rangle \otimes |m\rangle = \left[ \sigma^m_x S^k_z (\sigma_x \otimes I) \right] (\hat{H} \otimes I)$$

$$W^{\alpha_1}_+ |k\rangle \otimes |m\rangle = \left[ \sigma^m_x S^k_z (\sigma_z \otimes I) \right] (\hat{H} \otimes I)$$

$$W^{\alpha_0}_- |j\rangle \otimes |n\rangle = \left[ \sigma^m_x S^l_z (\sigma_x \otimes I) \right] (\hat{H} \otimes I)$$

$$W^{\alpha_1}_- |j\rangle \otimes |n\rangle = \left[ \sigma^m_x S^l_z (\sigma_z \otimes I) \right] (\hat{H} \otimes I),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where, $\sigma^m_x = \sigma_x \otimes |m\rangle \langle m| + I_p \otimes \sum_{l \neq m} |l\rangle \langle l|$ and $|m\rangle$ is the initial position state of particle. In the Eq. (8), Hadamard operator is the coin operation on the first qubit for all initial states of the multi-qubit system followed by the conditional shift operator on position space.

Figs. 3 and 4 show the mapping of the points in position to the computational basis of the second qubit of two-qubit system, and second and third qubit of the three-qubit system, respectively. From the mapping shown in Fig. 3, it is possible to realize Hadamard operation on second qubit in two-qubit system, using $H_2 |k0\rangle \equiv W^{(k \mod 2)}_+ |k, l = 0\rangle$ and $H_2 |k1\rangle \equiv W^{(k \mod 2)}_- |k, l = 1\rangle$ where $|l\rangle$ is the position basis state and $|k\rangle$ is the coin basis state.

Similarly, as shown in the Fig. 4, one can realize the Hadamard operation on the second and third qubit of the three-qubit system,

$$H_2 |k00\rangle \rightarrow W^{(k \mod 2)}_+ |k, l = 0\rangle,$$

$$H_2 |k01\rangle \rightarrow W^{(k \mod 2)}_+ |k, l = 0\rangle,$$

$$H_2 |k11\rangle \rightarrow W^{(k \mod 2)}_- |k, l = 1\rangle,$$

$$H_2 |k10\rangle \rightarrow W^{(k \mod 2)}_+ |k, l = 1\rangle.$$
FIG. 5: Schematic illustration of the controlled-NOT gate on the computational basis of two-qubit system using a single particle quantum walk on two point position space using position dependent coin operation on the real qubit. Form of the shift operator $S^{1}_{\pm}$ is given in Eq. (3)

\[
H_{3} |k00\rangle \rightarrow W_{+}^{(k \mod 2)} |k, l = 0\rangle, \\
H_{3} |k01\rangle \rightarrow W_{+}^{((k+1) \mod 2)} |k, l = 1\rangle, \\
H_{3} |k11\rangle \rightarrow W_{+}^{((k+1) \mod 2)} |k, l = 2\rangle, \\
H_{3} |k10\rangle \rightarrow W_{-}^{(k \mod 2)} |k, l = 3\rangle,
\]

where $|k\rangle$ is the coin basis state of the real qubit which $span\{ |0\rangle, |1\rangle \}$. $l$ are the label to the points in the position space in a clock wise direction.

**Controlled-NOT gate** – This gate can be engineered by evolving the state of the particle using evolution operator which consists of identity coin operator followed by a position dependent shift operator. The shift operator can be either $S^{1}_{+}$ or $S^{1}_{-}$ as given in Eq. (3) when the coin state is the control and position state (corresponding computational basis) is the target. But, when the real qubit, coin state is the target and position state is the control then using the position dependent coin operation $\hat{C}(0, 0, \pi/2) = \sigma_{z}$ and identity shift operator will give controlled-NOT operation implementation on computational basis using single particle quantum walk. This is schematically illustrated for the two-qubit system in Fig. 5.

When second qubit is the control and third qubit is the target in the computational basis of the three-qubit system, the position dependent conditional shift operator $S^{0}_{+}S^{1}_{+}$ and $S^{0}_{-}S^{1}_{-}$ on the position space with identity operator on coin space implements the CNOT gate. Similar architecture can be designed for third qubit as control and second as target. These operations and the corresponding single particle quantum walk is schematically illustrated in Fig. 6.

**Toffoli gate** – This gate can only be realised for a system with three or more qubits. We demonstrate a possible realisation of this gate for a three-qubit system. When the first and second qubits are the controls and the third is the target, realization of this gate simply requires a conditional shift to the left and right, which can be given by the shift operator $S^{1}_{\pm}$, as defined in Eq. (3). The shift operators are to be applied on certain position basis states only, and other position basis states are simply operated upon by the identity operator. The corresponding scheme is schematically illustrated in Fig. 7.

The Fredkin gate is a controlled swap operation, and closely resembles the Toffoli gate in its implementation. In case when the first or third qubit are the target and other one is the control qubit, this operations for its realisation can be explicitly worked out exactly the same way as described for the corresponding Toffoli gate.

The quantum walk operation for creation of GHZ in computational basis is also quite straightforward. For instance, a particle prepared in the state $|000\rangle$, upon being subjected to a two-step of quantum walk can create a GHZ state. The first step would be the coin operator $\hat{C}(0, 0, \pi/4)$ followed by $S^{1}_{+}$ shift operator and the second step would be identity on coin state followed by $S^{1}_{-}$ shift operator, where the coin operator $\hat{C}$ has been defined in Eq. (2) and the $S^{1}_{\pm}$ in Eq. (3). The sequence of steps, when executed will create the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle)$.

**Concluding Remarks** – By using the provision of engi-
ineering the presence of single particle in superposition of position space using discrete-time quantum walk we have demonstrated the realization of universal quantum gates in multi-qubit system. The main idea in this work was to demonstrate the effective use of controlled evolution of particle in superposition of position space and mapping the states of the system into the computational basis. This construction shows that the scheme can be scaled up to realize higher number of computational basis, for example a four qubit systems can be realized using two qubit quantum walk on a four point position space in closed loop or a single qubit quantum walk on a eight point position space (see Appendix). Similar scaling using combination of extended position space and real qubit can be used to realize larger dimensional computational basis. Though realization of Hadamard operation on computational basis looks bit involved, we can see that the gates like CNOT and Tofolli are easily realizable. With many experimental implementation of quantum walk in lattice based and photonic systems being reported, the idea from our scheme might motivate a new quantum computer architecture based on hopping of quantum particle in superposition of position space (lattice). Our scheme exploring the power of single qubit in superposition of position space can immediately drive towards controlled engineering of quantum states and quantum simulations of sizeable quantum system using fewer qubits.
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Realization of Controlled-Z gate – We demonstrate the implementation of this gate for both the two- and three-qubit systems. In a two-qubit system, this gate is closely related to the phase gate, and is implemented exactly like the phase gate applied to the second qubit in the position space, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The only distinction in the realisation of these two gates is that in the controlled-Z gate, the parameter $\phi$ is fixed, so that $\phi = \pi$.

In a three-qubit system, this gate can be implemented by using the position dependent application of the phase operator $P$ on some position basis states and identity operator on the others. It is also observed that the implementation of this gate is symmetric, i.e., the implementation of the gate between the $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ qubits is the same as the implementation between the $j^{th}$ and $i^{th}$ qubits, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and $i \neq j$. As in the case of a two-qubit system, the parameter $\phi$ is fixed to $\pi$.

In case the gate is applied between the second and third qubits, the scheme can be implemented by using just two kinds of identity operators, separated by a phase of $\pi$. The identity with the phase $e^{i\pi} I \otimes I_P$ is applied only to one position state, whereas all the other states are acted upon by the identity operator $I \otimes I_P$. This is schematically illustrated in Fig. 8.

Demonstrating scalability of the scheme – The scheme presented in this letter can be extended easily into a higher number of qubits. Fig. 9 systematically demonstrate the mapping of real qubit and its presence in superposition of position space to the multi qubit computational basis. It also shows that the same scheme can be extended to higher dimensions also. For example, a four-qubit system can be realized by either considering two qubit quantum walkers on a four point position space, or a single quantum walker on an eight point position space as shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 9-(c).

Similarly, a five-qubit system may be realised by a system of two quantum walkers on an eight point position space. In a system described as such, there will be two real qubits and three qubits will be realised by superposition in the position space. An alternate realisation of a five-qubit state can also be a three-particle quantum walk on a four point position state.

A subtle point to be made in using the eight point position space with each connected to three other points is that the set of shift operators required needs to be expanded to include operators that make the walker choose a certain path. This is required because the set of universal gates can only act on a maximum of two qubit states at a time and thus, each point in the position space must be connected to either one or two other points. Therefore, on a eight point position space system the shift operator at each position must have three different variants which at a time can create the required superposition between two points in position space. Different architecture can be further engineered to expand the scheme.