Weak field limit of higher dimensional massive Brans-Dicke gravity: observational constraints
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We consider higher dimensional massive Brans-Dicke theory with Ricci-flat internal space. The background model is perturbed by a massive gravitating source which is pressureless in the external (our space) but has an arbitrary equation of state (EoS) parameter $\Omega$ in the internal space. We then obtain the exact solution of the system of linearized equations for the perturbations of the metric coefficients and scalar field. For massless scalar field, we demonstrate that, relying on the fine-tuning between parameters $\omega$ and $\Omega$, the model does not contradict gravitational tests and scalar field is not ghost in the case of non-zero $|\Omega| \sim O(1)$ and natural value $|\omega| \sim O(1)$. In general case of massive scalar field, the metric coefficients acquire the Yukawa correction terms where the Yukawa mass scale $m$ is defined by the mass of scalar field. For natural value $\omega \sim O(1)$, the inverse-square law experiments impose the following restriction on the lower bound of the mass: $m \gtrsim 10^{-11}$ GeV. The experimental constraints on the parameterized post-Newtonian parameter $\gamma$ requires that the equation of state parameter $\Omega$ must be extremely close to $-1/2$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of higher dimensional spacetime dates its history back to the pioneering works by G. Nordström [1], Th. Kaluza [2] and O. Klein [3] arguing that the extra dimensions are unobservable since they are compact and of small length scale. This compactification approach is actively used in modern theoretical physics. In particular, the Kaluza-Klein (KK) higher dimensional models form a cornerstone of String Theory [4]. They are also employed in attempts to resolve the challenging problems such as the hierarchy of the fundamental interactions [5], the nature of dark matter [6], and the nature of the cosmological constant [7]. Obviously, any viable physical theory should be in agreement with the existing empirical data. Since the KK models are essentially the modified gravity models, they must satisfy the gravitational tests successfully passed by General Relativity (GR), e.g., the deflection of light, the Shapiro time-delay, and the perihelion precession of Mercury. This aspect was investigated in series of papers [8-10], where the weak gravitational fields in KK models with compact Ricci-flat internal spaces (extra dimensions) are considered. There, the authors considered the post-Newtonian gravitational field created by point-like, non-relativistic massive sources simulating compact astrophysical objects (e.g., stars). They assumed that these sources are pressureless with respect to the external (non-compact) space. This is indeed a rather natural assumption because the pressure inside the non-relativistic astrophysical objects is much less than the corresponding energy density. Therefore, in GR, while calculating the post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters, it is assumed that the gravitating mass has dust-like equation of state (EoS) [11]. As a result, the calculated PPN parameters are in very good agreement with the experimental data [12]. In particular, the PPN parameter $\gamma = 1$, that is in very good agreement with the precision Shapiro time-delay experiment: $\gamma = 1 + (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ [13, 14]. Therefore, in KK models, it would be also natural to assume that the gravitating mass remains pressureless in the internal space. However, the calculations for such a model have shown that the PPN parameter $\gamma$ is quite different from unity: $|\gamma - 1| \sim O(1)$ [8]. Then, since EoS in the internal space is unknown, for the sake of generality, it is assumed some nonzero parameter $\Omega$ of EoS in the internal space. For this setting of the problem, it turns out that in the KK models with Ricci-flat internal spaces, in order for $\gamma$ to have the value close to 1, the EoS parameter $\Omega$ must be very close to $-1/2$ [9, 10]. To restore the value $\gamma = 1$, as in GR, it was necessary to choose $\Omega = -1/2$, which corresponds to black strings/branes [16, 17]. However, up to now there is no satisfactory explanation of possible nature of such a relativistic EoS in the internal space with parameter $\Omega$ being essentially non-zero and negative. Then, a natural question arises: Is the value $\Omega = -1/2$ inevitable for viable models (satisfying the gravitational tests) with Ricci-flat internal spaces?

To answer this question, in the present paper we modify the action of the gravitational sector. We switch from the higher dimensional version of the Einstein-Hilbert action, considered in the previous works [8-10], to a scalar-tensor model, where gravity has an extra scalar degree of freedom $\Phi$ coupled to the scalar curvature $R$ non-
minimally. Such models arise naturally in the context of String Theory, and play a significant role in present-day cosmology (see \[20, 21\] and references therein). We focus on a higher dimensional generalization of the well-known Brans-Dicke (BD) model \[22\], characterized by the parameter \(\omega\), and construct a post-Newtonian regime for this case. We also consider the possibility of the Jordan field (scalar field) \(\Phi\) to have a non-zero mass. It is well-known that in the massless case of BD theory in \(D = 4\) dimensions, the parameter \(\omega\) must obey the condition \(\omega \gtrsim 4 \times 10^4\) in order to satisfy the restriction on the PPN parameter \(\gamma\) \[21\]. Such an extremely big value of \(\omega\) looks not very natural. It is desirable to generalize the Brans-Dicke model so that, on the one hand, it satisfies the gravity tests, and, on the other hand, \(\omega \sim O(1)\). In the present paper, we demonstrate how to achieve it by means of the presence extra dimensions.

We show in the massless case that introduction of \(d\) extra dimensions brings new possible values for the parameter \(\omega\), for which the condition \(\gamma = 1\) can be fulfilled exactly, as in GR. One opportunity is when \(\Omega = 0\), i.e. the gravity source has dust-like EoS in all spatial dimensions. In this case, \(\omega = -1 - 1/d,\) which, as we show, however corresponds to a ghost scalar \(\Phi\). Yet another possibility is introduction of non-zero equation of state parameter \(\Omega\) in the extra dimensions. Then, provided that the value of this parameter is fine tuned to \(\Omega = 0\), it is impossible in purely metric theories, while the field \(\Phi\) remains non-ghost as desired. For this model, moreover, the Brans-Dicke parameter can be of the order of unity:

\[|\omega| \sim O(1).\]

In the general massive scalar field case, the metric coefficients acquire the correction terms in the form of the Yukawa potential. The Yukawa mass scale is defined by the mass of scalar field. Based on the results of the inverse-square law experiments and, assuming that Brans-Dicke parameter \(\omega\) satisfies the naturalness condition \(\omega \sim O(1),\) we obtained the lower bound on the Yukawa mass scale \(m \gtrsim 10^{-11}\text{GeV}.\) The experimental constraints on the PPN parameter \(\gamma\) requires that the EoS parameter \(\Omega\) must be extremely close to \(-1/2\) similar to KK models with Ricci-flat internal spaces \[9, 10\].

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. \[11\] we specify the generalized BD model and present background metric coefficients and scalar field. In Sec. \[13\] we perturb the background model with a point-like mass and obtain the linearized equations for the metric coefficients and scalar field perturbations. In Sec. \[14\] we get exact solutions of the linearized equations. In this section we obtain experimental restrictions on the parameters of the model. The main results are summarized in concluding section \[15\].

II. GENERAL SETUP AND BACKGROUND MODEL

We start with the \(D \geq 4\) dimensional gravitational action in the form

\[
S_g = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2_D} \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \left[ f(\Phi)R + h(\Phi) \nabla_M \Phi \nabla^M \Phi - U(\Phi) \right],
\]

where \(g \equiv \text{det}(g_{MN}),\) the scalar \(\Phi\) couples non-minimally to the scalar curvature \(R,\) and \(f,\) \(h,\) \(U\) are some functions of \(\Phi.\) The constant \(\kappa^2_D\) is defined as \(\kappa^2_D = 2S_{D-1}G_D/c^4,\) where \(S_{D-1}\) is the total solid angle in the \((D - 1)\)-dimensional space and \(G_D\) is the \(D\)-dimensional gravitational constant. The total action of the gravitating system is then the sum \(S = S_g + S_m,\) where \(S_m = S_m[\Psi, g_{MN}]\) is the action of gravitating matter fields \(\Psi.\)

For this model, the system of dynamical equations,

\[
\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{MN}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi} = 0,
\]

take the following form, correspondingly:

\[
fG_{MN} + \left[ f'' - \frac{h}{2} \right] g_{MN}(\nabla \Phi)^2 + g_{MN} f' \Delta_D \Phi
\]
\[
+ (h - f'') \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi
\]
\[
- f' \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} U = \kappa^2_D T_{MN},
\]
\[
f' R - h' (\nabla \Phi)^2 - 2 h \Delta_D \Phi - U' = 0,
\]

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to \(\Phi,\) \((\nabla \Phi)^2 = \nabla_M \Phi \nabla^M \Phi,\) and \(\Delta_D = \nabla_M \nabla^M\) is the \(D\)-dimensional Laplace-Beltrami operator, \(T_{MN} = -(2/\sqrt{|g|}) \delta S_m/\delta g_{MN}\) is the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) of matter, and \(G_{MN}\) is the Einstein tensor.

By redefinition of variables, we can always set \(f(\Phi) \equiv \Phi\) and \(h(\Phi) \equiv -\omega(\Phi)/\Phi\) for some function \(\omega(\Phi).\) We continue with the higher dimensional generalization of the massive Brans-Dicke gravity, namely, with the case \(\omega = \text{const},\) reducing the system of Eqs. \[13\] and \[14\] as follows;

\[
\Phi G_{MN} + g_{MN} \frac{\omega}{2\Phi} (\nabla \Phi)^2 + g_{MN} \Delta_D \Phi - \frac{\omega}{\Phi} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi
\]
\[
- \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} U = \kappa^2_D T_{MN},
\]
\[
R - \frac{\omega}{\Phi^2} (\nabla \Phi)^2 + \frac{2\omega}{\Phi} \Delta_D \Phi - U' = 0.
\]

Performing contraction of \[13\] with \(g^{MN},\) we obtain

\[
\Phi R = -\frac{2}{D-2} \kappa^2_D T + \frac{\omega}{\Phi} (\nabla \Phi)^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{2(D-1)}{D-2} \Delta_D \Phi + \frac{D}{D-2} U,
\]

where \(\kappa^2_D = 2S_{D-1}G_D/c^4,\) and \(S_{D-1}\) is the total solid angle in the \((D - 1)\)-dimensional space and \(G_D\) is the \(D\)-dimensional gravitational constant.
allowing us to exclude $R$ from \( \Phi \) and then obtain
\[
[(D - 1) + \omega(D - 2)] \Delta_D \Phi = \kappa_D^2 T + \frac{D - 2}{2} \Phi \nabla U' - \frac{D}{2} U.
\]

We assume that the spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}_D$ is a product manifold $\mathcal{M}_D = \mathcal{M}_4 \times \mathcal{M}_d$ with $d \equiv D - 4$, and that the background metric on this manifold has the following factorizable form:
\[
\hat{g}_{MN} dX^M \otimes dX^N = \hat{g}_{\mu \nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + \hat{g}_{mn} dx^m \otimes dx^n, \\
M, N = 0, ..., D, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad (9)
\]
Here, $\hat{g}_{\mu \nu} \equiv \eta_{\mu \nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ is the Minkowski metric over $\mathcal{M}_4$, and $\hat{g}_{mn}$ is the metric over a compact $d$-dimensional Ricci-flat space $\mathcal{M}_d$:
\[
\hat{R}_{mn} [\hat{g}^{(d)}] = 0. \quad (10)
\]
Hereafter, the hats denote background values. Ricci-flat compactifications encompass a wide class of geometries, including tori and Calabi-Yau manifolds. It is worth noting that components of the Riemann tensor of the Ricci-flat spaces can be non-zero (e.g., in the case of non-vanishing Weyl tensor $[11]$).

We assume that the Jordan field (scalar field) of the massive BD theory has a potential of the form
\[
U(\Phi) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\Phi - \hat{\Phi})^2. \quad (11)
\]
Accordingly, the field $\Phi$ has a mass scale $\mu$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ defines the position of a stable vacuum of the potential $U$. Hence, $\hat{\Phi}$ is the background value of $\Phi$. Since the scalar field $\Phi$ determines the strength of the gravitational coupling, its background value $\hat{\Phi}$ cannot be zero. It can be easily verified that the background metric (11) together with $\hat{\Phi} = \text{const}$ solves the field equations (9) in the absence of matter, i.e., when $\hat{T}_{MN} = 0$. In order for gravity to be attractive, it is necessary that $\hat{\Phi} > 0$.

### III. LINEARIZED EQUATIONS

Now, we consider linear perturbations of the background model. The perturbed metric tensor and scalar field read, correspondingly,
\[
g_{MN} \approx \hat{g}_{MN} + \delta g_{MN} \equiv \hat{g}_{MN} + h_{MN}, \quad h^K_L \equiv \hat{g}^{KM} h_{ML}, \quad (12)
\]
and
\[
\Phi \approx \hat{\Phi} + \delta \Phi = \hat{\Phi} (1 + \phi), \quad \phi \equiv \delta \Phi / \hat{\Phi}. \quad (13)
\]
We assume that these linear perturbations correspond to the perturbation of the EMT of the gravitating system $\delta T_{MN}$. Since there is no background matter, the energy momentum tensor coincides with $T_{MN} = \delta T_{MN}$.

In order to perform linearization, it is convenient to rewrite (11) by eliminating $R$ from it with the help of (10):
\[
\Phi R_{MN} + (1 + \omega) g_{MN} \Delta_D \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi} U' g_{MN} - \frac{\omega}{\Phi} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi
\]
\[
- \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} U = \kappa_D^2 T_{MN}. \quad (14)
\]
The linearized field equations (14) and (8) take the following form, correspondingly,
\[
\delta R_{MN} + (1 + \omega) \delta g_{MN} \Delta_D \phi - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi} \mu^2 \delta g_{MN} \phi - \nabla_M \nabla_N \phi = \frac{\kappa_D^2}{\Phi} \delta T_{MN}, \quad (15)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi} \mu^2 \delta g_{MN} \phi - \nabla_M \nabla_N \phi = \frac{\kappa_D^2}{\Phi} \delta T_{MN}, \quad (16)
\]
Here, $\delta R_{MN}$ is the linear perturbation of the Ricci tensor and it can be written in the form (see $[3]$):
\[
\delta R_{MN} = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Delta} \hat{C} h_{MN} + 2 \nabla_M (Q_N), \quad (17)
\]
\[
Q_N \equiv \nabla_K h^K_N - \frac{1}{2} \partial_N h^K_K, \quad (18)
\]
where $A_{(MN)} \equiv (A_{MN} + A_{NM})/2$ and $\hat{\Delta} \hat{L}$ is the Lichnerowicz operator:
\[
\hat{\Delta} \hat{C} h_{MN} \equiv \nabla^K \nabla_K h_{MN} + 2 \hat{R}_{PMNL} h^{PL} - 2 \hat{R}_{P(M} h^{PN)}, \quad (19)
\]
In the last line we took into account the Ricci-flatness of the background model: $\hat{R}_{MN} = 0$.

In order to eliminate the non-physical degrees of freedom due to the diffeomorphism invariance, we impose the gauge condition
\[
Q_N = \frac{1}{2} \partial_N \phi. \quad (20)
\]
Additionally, without loss of generality, we can set $\hat{\Phi} = 1$, which is equivalent to the renormalization of constant $\kappa^2_D / \Phi \rightarrow \kappa^2_D$, $\mu^2 \hat{\Phi} \rightarrow \mu^2$. Then, (15) takes the form
\[
(1 + \omega) \hat{g}_{MN} \hat{\Delta} \hat{C} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Delta} \hat{C} h_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \hat{g}_{MN} \kappa^2_D \delta T_{MN}. \quad (21)
\]
Now, we suppose that the matter source of the perturbations of $g_{MN}$ and $\Phi$ is a compact gravitating source, representing an astrophysical object, e.g., the Sun. Since the pressure inside the Sun is negligible as compared to its energy density, we can assume that the EoS in the external (observable) space is dust-like: $p_0 = 0$. This is the

---

1 Obviously, since $\hat{\Phi} > 0$ by definition, this renormalization does not alter the signs of $\kappa^2_D$ and $\mu^2$. 
usual assumption for calculating the PPN parameters in GR [11]. However, for the sake of generality, we allow that the source may have a non-zero pressure/tension \( p_1 \) in the internal space, characterized by the corresponding EoS parameter \( \Omega \). In particular, it was shown in a recent work [9] that in the purely metric Kaluza-Klein models with Ricci-flat compactification, \( \Omega = -1/2 \) is the necessary condition for the theory to reproduce the post-Newtonian regime that fits the observations, i.e., the PPN parameter \( \gamma = 1 \) as in the case of GR. Therefore, the EMT of the source is chosen in the following form:

\[
T^M = \delta T^M_N = -\varepsilon \delta_0^M \delta^N_\delta + p_1 \delta_1^M \delta_1^N,
\]

\[
\varepsilon \equiv pc^2 = Mc^2 \frac{\delta(r)}{V_d}, \quad p_1 = \Omega \varepsilon, \quad \Omega = \text{const}, \quad (22)
\]

where \( V_d \) is the co-moving volume element of the (unperturbed) internal space, \( r = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \) is the position vector in the external space, and \( M \) is the mass of the object. Clearly, the corresponding matter source represents a gravitating mass \( M \) which is point-like with respect to the external space and uniformly distributed in the extra dimensions (internal space).

Taking into account the structure of the EMT of the perturbation [22], it turns out that the only non-zero components of the metric perturbations \( h_{MN} \) are [9, 23]

\[
h_{00} \equiv -\hat{g}_{00} \chi_1 = \chi_1, \quad h_{\mu \nu} \equiv \hat{g}_{\mu \nu} \chi_2 = \delta_{\mu \nu} \chi_2, \quad h_{mn} \equiv \hat{g}_{mn} \chi_3, \quad (23)
\]

(hereafter, \( \mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3 \)), with \( \chi_{1,2,3} \) being some scalar functions of the external space coordinates only. Clearly, since \( \delta T_{MN} \) depends only on the coordinates of the external space, these functions, together with \( \phi \), also depend only on \( r \).

Now, taking into account that

\[
\hat{R}_{PLN} h^{PL} = \hat{R}_{pmln} h^{pl} = \chi_3 \hat{R}_{pmln} \hat{g}^{pl} = \chi_3 \hat{R}_{mn} = 0 \quad (24)
\]

and then

\[
\Delta_L (\hat{g}_{MN}) = \nabla^2 \hat{g}_{MN} f = \hat{g}_{MN} \Delta f, \quad (25)
\]

where \( f \) is an arbitrary function of \( r \), we obtain

\[
\Delta_L h_{00} = -\hat{g}_{00} \Delta \chi_1 = \Delta \chi_1, \quad \Delta_L h_{\mu \nu} = \hat{g}_{\mu \nu} \Delta \chi_2 = \delta_{\mu \nu} \Delta \chi_2, \quad \Delta_L \phi = \phi, \quad (26)
\]

where \( \Delta \equiv \Delta_3 \) is the Laplace operator over the flat external space. Therefore, the components of the linearized field equations [21] are

\[
-(1 + \omega) \Delta \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \chi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi = \kappa_D^2 \varepsilon, \quad (27)
\]

\[
(1 + \omega) \Delta \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \chi_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi = 0, \quad (28)
\]

\[
(1 + \omega) \Delta \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \chi_3 - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi = \kappa_D^2 \Omega \varepsilon. \quad (29)
\]

And, for Eq. (16), we have

\[
[(d + 3) + \omega(d + 2)] \Delta \phi - \frac{d + 2}{2} \mu^2 \phi = -\kappa_D^2 \varepsilon (1 - \Omega d). \quad (30)
\]

**IV. SOLUTIONS OF THE LINEARIZED EQUATIONS AND EXPERIMENTAL CONSTRAINTS**

The system of four differential equations [27-30] can be solved by reducing it to the system of linear algebraic equations by means of the Fourier transform. All four sought solutions can be expressed by one master equation

\[
f(r) = \frac{\kappa_D^2 Mc^2}{4\pi V_d} \frac{1}{r} \left[ A - \left( A - \frac{B}{C} \right) e^{-mr} \right], \quad (31)
\]

where

\[
C \equiv (d + 3) + \omega(d + 2), \quad m^2 \equiv \frac{d + 2 \mu^2}{C}, \quad (32)
\]

and the constants \( A \) and \( B \) are defined for each field separately:

\[
\phi(r) : \quad A_0 = 0, \quad B_0 = 1 - \Omega d, \quad (33)
\]

\[
\chi_1(r) : \quad A_1 = \frac{2(1 + d(1 + \Omega))}{d + 2}, \quad B_1 = 2 [d(\omega + 1)(\Omega + 1) + \omega + 2], \quad (34)
\]

\[
\chi_2(r) : \quad A_2 = \frac{2(1 - \Omega d)}{d + 2}, \quad B_2 = 2(1 + \omega)(1 - \Omega d), \quad (35)
\]

\[
\chi_3(r) : \quad A_3 = \frac{2(1 + 2\Omega)}{d + 2}, \quad B_3 = 2 [1 + \omega + (3 + 2\omega)\Omega]. \quad (36)
\]

Since Eq. (30) is the Helmholtz equation, the scalar field \( \phi \) is of the Yukawa potential form with the Yukawa characteristic mass scale \( m \). Obviously, to have a physically reasonable solution we should demand \( m^2 > 0 \) leading to the condition

\[
C > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega > \omega_{ct} = -\frac{d + 3}{d + 2}, \quad (37)
\]

which is exactly the ghost free condition [24]. Under this condition the kinetic term of the scalar field yields the “correct” positive sign in the Einstein frame. The admixture of the Yukawa potential to the metric perturbations \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \) and \( \chi_3 \) is due to the admixture of scalar field terms to Eqs. (27-29). It can be easily verified from [31] and [31-36] that the combinations \( \chi_1 + \chi_2, \chi_1 + \chi_3 \) and \( \chi_2 - \chi_3 \) behave as \( 1/r \) without such admixture as they satisfy the Poisson equation.

Now, we want to investigate under which conditions the above solutions do not contradict to the data from observations. It is well known (see, e.g., [11]) that the
metric correction term \( h_{00} = \chi_1 \) defines the gravitational potential: \( \chi_1 = -2\varphi/c^2 \). The inverse-square law experiments impose restrictions on the Yukawa corrections to the Newtonian gravitational potential \( 2\). On the other hand, the ratio \( \gamma = h_{00}/h_{00} = \chi_2/\chi_1 \) defines the PPN parameter \( \gamma \). The gravitational tests such as the Shapiro time-delay experiment \( 13 \) strictly restrict this ratio to the value of unity. We make use of the results from these experiments to obtain constraints on the parameters of the model under consideration in this work. To perform it, we consider, first, the massless case, which is simply the higher dimensional generalization of the original Brans-Dicke gravity.

1. Massless scalar field

In the case of massless scalar field, i.e., \( \mu = m = 0 \), the contributions from the Yukawa correction terms disappear and \( 31 \) reads

\[
\frac{\kappa_d^2 M^2 c^2}{4\pi V_d} C \frac{1}{r}.
\]

Here, the gravitational potential \( \varphi = -\chi_1 c^2/2 \) has the Newtonian form and should exactly coincide with the Newtonian expression \( \varphi_N = -G_N M/r \). To get it, the higher dimensional \( \kappa_d^2 \) and the Newtonian \( G_N \) gravitational constants are related as

\[
\frac{\kappa_d^2 B_1}{V_d} C = \frac{8\pi G N}{c^4}.
\]

Therefore, we cannot make use of the results of the inverse-square law experiments to obtain restrictions on the parameters of the massless model. Let us then consider the PPN parameter \( \gamma \). Since \( \chi_2(r)/(B_2/B_1)\chi_1(r) \), for the PPN parameter \( \gamma \) we obtain

\[
\gamma = \frac{B_2}{B_1} = \frac{(1 + \omega)(1 - \Omega d)}{d(\omega + 1)(\Omega + 1) + \omega + 2}.
\]

If we set \( d = 0 \) (which corresponds to the absence of extra dimensions), the well-known result for the original Brans-Dicke theory in 4D

\[
\gamma = \frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 2}
\]

is restored as a particular case \( 22 \). The precision Shapiro time-delay experiment \( 13 \) restricts value of \( \gamma \) to the narrow interval

\[
\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}
\]

implying, by means of \( 13 \), that \( \omega \) must be about \( 4 \times 10^4 \) or greater. The values \( \omega \sim O(1) \) are, therefore, excluded, which constitutes the problem of naturalness of the classical BD theory. On the other hand, we see from \( 40 \) that the presence of extra dimensions may extend the set of allowed values for \( \omega \).

First, we consider the case of \( \Omega = 0 \) (the massive source yields no pressure/tension in the extra dimensions). Then the exact equality \( \gamma = 1 \) with \( \Omega = 0 \) from \( 40 \) leads to the condition:

\[
\omega \equiv \omega_0 = -1 - \frac{1}{d} < -1.
\]

Therefore, in this case the PPN parameter \( \gamma \) exactly coincides with the value of GR and, from this point, these theories are indistinguishable. However, parameter \( C \)

\[
C = (d + 3) + \omega_0(d + 2) = -\frac{2}{d} < 0
\]

has negative sign, which implies that the scalar field is a ghost. It is worth noting that here \( B_1 = C \) and their ratio does not change sign in \( 39 \).

To avoid ghosts, we turn now to the case of non-zero \( \Omega \neq 0 \). From \( 40 \), it immediately follows that it is possible to obtain the exact equality \( \gamma = 1 \) provided that the fine-tuning condition

\[
\Omega = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d(\omega + 1)}
\]

is satisfied. For this value of \( \Omega \), we obtain \( B_1 = B_2 = C \). The requirement \( \Omega > 0 \) leads to a restriction on the allowed values of \( \omega \):

\[
\Omega > 0 \Rightarrow \omega_0 < \omega < -1.
\]

Parameter \( \Omega \) is positive also if \( \omega < \omega_0 \) along with \( \omega > -1 \). However, these two inequalities are inconsistent. Since we have \( \omega_0 \sim \omega_{\text{ct}} < 1 \), we can choose \( \omega \) in such a way that

\[
\omega_{\text{ct}} < \omega < -1 \Rightarrow \Omega > \frac{1}{d}.
\]

The condition \( \omega_{\text{ct}} < \omega \) provides the positivity of \( C > 0 \) that is the absence of ghosts. Hence, in this case, we have both the field \( \Phi \) being non-ghost, and positive parameter \( \Omega \). The latter condition means that the gravitating source has positive pressure in the internal space rather than tension \( 41 \).

The negative values of \( \Omega < 0 \) result in two types of inequalities for \( \omega \):

\[
\omega < -1, \omega < \omega_0 \Rightarrow \omega < \omega_0
\]

and

\[
\omega > -1, \omega > \omega_0 \Rightarrow \omega > -1.
\]

Obviously, condition \( 48 \) leads to ghost scalar field. Whereas, for \( \omega \) from \( 49 \) ghosts are absent and \( \omega \) can be positive and of the order of 1: \( \omega \sim O(1) \), provided that \( \Omega < -1/2 \) (tension in the extra dimensions).

\[2\] Note, that positive values of \( \Omega \) are totally excluded in the purely metric models \( 2, 14 \), where the condition \( \gamma = 1 \) results in the requirement \( \Omega = -1/2 < 0 \).
2. Massive scalar field

Let us turn now to the general case of massive scalar field. To better understand the structure of the metric coefficients $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$, we rewrite them in the following form

$$
\chi_i = \frac{\kappa_D^2 c^2}{4\pi V_d} A_i \frac{M}{r} (1 + \alpha_i e^{-mr}) , \quad i = 1, 2
$$

(50)

where

$$
\alpha_i \equiv -1 + \frac{B_i}{A_i C}
$$

(51)

and

$$
- \alpha_1 A_1 = \alpha_2 A_2 = \frac{1}{3 + 2\omega}
$$

$$
+ \frac{d(1 + 2\Omega)}{d + 2} - \frac{2d(\omega + 1)}{(3 + 2\omega)C} [(1 + \omega)(1 + 2\Omega) + \Omega].
$$

(52)

Parameters $A_1$ and $A_2$ can be also expressed as

$$
A_1 - 1 = -(A_2 - 1) = \frac{d(1 + 2\Omega)}{d + 2}.
$$

(53)

The limit $m \to \infty \Rightarrow \phi \to 0$ and hence corresponds to GR limit of our model, viz., to Kaluza-Klein model in GR. In this case the PPN parameter $\gamma = 1$ only if $\Omega = -1/2$ in full agreement with previous works [8–10]. In the case of four-dimensional massive Brans-Dicke model, i.e., when $d = 0$, as it follows from Eqs. (52) and (53), we reproduce the results of paper [26] (up to evident substitution $\kappa_D^2 c^2/(4\pi V_d) \to 2G_N/c^2$).

Coming back to the general case (i.e., $d \neq 0$ and $m$ is finite), we investigate now under which conditions the metric coefficients (50) do not contradict the gravitational tests. From the inverse-square law experiments we can obtain restrictions on the Yukawa correction term in (1). The price for it is the fine-tuning condition (45).

First, at the large distances from the gravitational mass, the gravitational potential should have the Newtonian form. Then, keeping in mind that the gravitational potential $\phi$ is defined by the function $\chi_1 : \phi = -\chi_1 c^2/2$, we define the connection between the higher dimensional and Newtonian gravitational constants:

$$
\frac{\kappa_D^2}{V_d} A_1 = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}.
$$

(54)

For this relation, to be consistent, we must have $A_1 > 0$, which is equivalent to $\Omega > -1 - 1/d$. Moreover, as we shall see below, parameter $\Omega$ should be very close to the value $-1/2$. For such a value of $\Omega$ we find that $\alpha_1 = 1/C$

Then, if we take the natural value $\omega \sim O(1)$, we get an estimate $\alpha \lesssim 1$ and, consequently, the upper limit on the Yukawa characteristic length of interaction $\lambda = 1/m \lesssim 10^{-3} \text{cm}$ [25]. In other words, the Yukawa mass scale is $m \gtrsim 10^{-11} \text{GeV}$. This bound is much stronger than the lower limit $20 \times 10^{-27} \text{GeV}$ obtained in [26] only on the base of the PPN parameter $\gamma$ without taking into account the results of the inverse-square law experiments.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have considered higher dimensional generalization of the massive Brans-Dicke theory with Ricci-flat internal space (extra dimensions). The background model was perturbed by a massive gravitating source which is pressureless in the external (our space) but has an arbitrary EoS parameter $\Omega$ in the internal space. The system of linearized equations for the perturbations of the metric coefficients and scalar field has been solved exactly. Then, the observational data have been considered for obtaining the experimental bounds for the parameters of the model. To this end, we have used the results of both the table top inverse-square law experiments and gravitational experiments (the time delay of radar echoes) in the Solar system.

First, we have investigated the massless scalar field, which simply generalizes the original four-dimensional Brans-Dicke theory to higher dimensions. In this case, we have shown that the pressureless case $\Omega = 0$ suffers from the presence of ghost scalar field. On the other hand, we have shown that when $\Omega$ is allowed to be non-zero, it is possible to construct models which, first, are in agreement with gravitational tests and, second, have a natural value $|\omega| \sim O(1)$. The price for it is the fine-tuning condition (15).

Then, we have investigated the general massive scalar field case. It turned out that the metric coefficients acquire the correction terms in the form of the Yukawa potential with a Yukawa mass scale defined by the mass of the scalar field. Based on the results of the inverse-square law experiments and, assuming that Brans-Dicke parameter $\omega$ satisfies the natural condition $\omega \sim O(1)$, we obtained the lower bound on the Yukawa mass scale is $m \gtrsim 10^{-11} \text{GeV}$. This bound is much stronger than the lower bound $20 \times 10^{-27} \text{GeV}$ obtained in [26] only on the base of the PPN parameter $\gamma$ without taking into account the results of the inverse-square law experiments.
It is worth noting that the bound we obtained is also applicable to four-dimensional case. The experimental constraints on the PPN parameter γ requires that the EoS parameter Ω must be extremely close to −1/2.
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