Determination of spin relaxation time and spin diffusion length by oscillation of spin pumping signal
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We theoretically investigate a manipulation method of nonequilibrium spin accumulation in the paramagnetic normal metal of a spin pumping system, by using the spin precession motion combined with the spin diffusion transport. We demonstrate based on the Bloch-Torrey equation that the direction of the nonequilibrium spin accumulation is changed by applying an additional external magnetic field, and consequently, the inverse spin Hall voltage in an adjacent paramagnetic heavy metal changes its sign. We find that the spin relaxation time and the spin diffusion length are simultaneously determined by changing the magnitude of the external magnetic field and the thickness of the normal metal in a commonly-used spin pumping system.

Recent topics in spintronics are mostly concerned with generation and detection of spin. A variety of methods of the generating spin accumulation and spin current have been investigated in the past few decades, such as the spin pumping effect, spin Hall effect, spin Seebeck effect, spin Hall magnetoresistive effect, and nonlocal spin-valve device. The generated spin is detected by using reciprocal phenomena of the generation, such as the inverse spin Hall effect, ac spin current detection by spin-transfer torque ferromagnetic resonance, and also detected optically.

The manipulation of generated spin accumulation and spin current is one of the most important issues, which has, however, few attention in spintronics. The spin accumulation or magnetization can be controlled by spin diffusion transport and by spin precession motion. The spin diffusion transport is controlled by changing the material parameters, the thickness of materials, and temperature. For the spin precession motion, one changes the direction of the spin by applying the magnetic field or by current-induced spin torques.

For paramagnetic normal metals (NM), the spin diffusion transport is commonly considered to manipulate the nonequilibrium spin accumulation. It is analyzed based on the spin diffusion equation, \( \lambda^2 \nabla^2 \mu^a = \mu^a \), where \( \mu^a \) (\( a = x, y, z \)) is the nonequilibrium spin accumulation. Here, \( \lambda \) is the spin diffusion length, which is the only physical parameter in the equation, and the three components of the accumulation are independent of each other. On the other hand, the spin precession due to the external magnetic field in NM is not used except in nonlocal spin-valve devices, such as the Hanle measurement.

In this letter, we consider the spin diffusion transport as well as spin precession motion, and show that both the spin relaxation time and the spin diffusion length of NM can be determined in spin pumping systems with a contiguous paramagnetic heavy metal (HM), without any material parameter changed. We also show that the spin pumping signal in HM can oscillate due to the spin precession in NM.

Both the spin relaxation time and the spin diffusion length are essential quantities in spintronics. However, compared to the spin diffusion length, there are fewer techniques to evaluate the spin relaxation time, such as the transmission electron spin resonance technique, the Hanle measurement, and the electron spin resonance technique. These methods require a sophisticated experimental setup to carry out. One of the advantages of our method proposed here is to enable us to measure the spin relaxation time in a commonly-used spin pumping system.

FIG. 1. Schematic description of the concept of this letter. The magnetization precession in a ferromagnet (F) induces a nonequilibrium spin accumulation in NM, which diffuses with precession by the external magnetic field. The direction of the spin accumulation at the other side of NM depends on the strength of the applied magnetic field.

We first consider NM attached to a ferromagnet (F) without HM, for simplicity. The configuration is widely used for the spin pumping effect, where the magnetization precession in F due to the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) injects spin angular momentum into the NM layer, which causes nonequilibrium spin accumulation in NM. When the external magnetic field is absent in NM, the spin accumulation simply obeys the spin diffusion equation. Conversely, we consider the case in the presence of the external magnetic field in NM, where the spin accumulation obeys the Bloch-Torrey (BT) equation.

\[
\lambda^2 \nabla^2 \mu = \mu - \tau_{sf} \gamma \mu \times H_{ext} - \chi H_{ext}. \tag{1}
\]

Here, \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio for electron spin, \( H_{ext} \) is the external magnetic field, \( \tau_{sf} \) is the spin relaxation time, and \( \chi \) is the gyromagnetic ratio for electron spin. The magnetic field \( H_{ext} \) changes direction in the field of the ferromagnet (F) when the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) occurs, the spin accumulation undergoes the Bloch-Torrey (BT) equation, which is controlled by \( \lambda^2 \nabla^2 \mu = \mu - \tau_{sf} \gamma \mu \times H_{ext} - \chi H_{ext} \).
The external magnetic field is applied along the $y$ direction, $H_{\text{ext}} = H_{\text{ext}} \hat{y}$. The distribution of the nonequilibrium spin accumulation, and the third component of the spin accumulation, there is obtained as shown in Fig. 3 (a), and the sign of the current does not change depending on the field $H_{\text{ext}} = H_{\text{ext}} \hat{y}$, which is corresponding to the flow of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$. For the two-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0$, the BT fusion. For the $2$-layer structure of $F$ and NM, in which the sign inversion of $\mu_{\text{SH}}$ occurs at $y = 0
Finally, we evaluate the order of the inverse spin Hall current in HM. We consider a case of AI as the NM and Pt as the HM with the injected spin current $j_0 \sim 10^7 \text{Am}^{-2}$ and the spin Hall angle being $\theta_{SH} = 0.04^\circ$. Using $\sigma_{NM} = 1.7 \times 10^6 \Omega^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$, $\lambda_{NM} = 650$ nm, and $\tau_{sf}^{NM} = 100 \times 10^{-12}$ s, we obtain $j_f \sim 0.1 \times 10^4 \lambda_{NM} \text{Am}^{-2} = 6.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{Acm}^{-1}$, which could be detectable in an experiment. Since the gyromagnetic ratio is $\gamma = 1.76 \times 10^{11} \text{rad s}^{-1} \text{T}^{-1}$, for the factor $\gamma H_{ext} \sim 5$, it is necessary to apply the external magnetic field $H_{ext} \sim 0.29 \text{T}$, which is reachable in an experiment.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the nonequilibrium spin accumulation is controlled by applying the external magnetic field combined with the diffusion transport. Furthermore, we showed the oscillation of the spin pumping signal, i.e., the sign change of the inverse spin Hall current $j_f$ in the adjacent HM by increasing the magnitude of the external field. From the point of the sign changing, we can determine both the spin relaxation time and the spin diffusion length without any material parameters changed.

35. The original Bloch-Torrey equation can apply to more general cases that the temperature dependence is treated, the longitudinal relaxation $T_1$ is different from the transverse one $T_2$, and the diffusion constant $D$ can depend on space. We restrict the condition, in which the dynamical scale is about FMR scale, so that we can consider the steady state, the longitudinal and transverse relaxation times are equivalent, $T_2 = T_1 = \tau_d$ in NM, and $D$ is constant for space.
36. The spin relaxation time and the spin diffusion length is connected to each other in the form $\lambda = \sqrt{D \tau_d}$.