Helical Antiferromagnetic Ordering in EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ Single Crystals
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The Eu$^{+2}$ spins-7/2 in EuNi$_2$As$_2$ with the body-centered tetragonal ThCr$_2$Si$_2$ structure order antiferromagnetically below the Neél temperature $T_N = 15$ K into a helical antiferromagnetic (AFM) structure with the helix axis aligned along the tetragonal $c$ axis and the Eu ordered moments aligned ferromagnetically within the $ab$ plane as previously reported from neutron diffraction measurements [T. Jin, et al., Phys. Rev. B 99, 014425 (2019)]. Here we study the crystallographic, magnetic, thermal, and electronic transport properties of Bi-flux-grown single crystals using single-crystal x-ray diffraction, anisotropic magnetic susceptibility $\chi$, isothermal magnetization $M$, heat capacity $C_p$, and electrical resistivity $\rho$ measurements versus applied magnetic field $H$ and temperature $T$. Vacancies are found on the Ni sites corresponding to the composition EuNi$_{1.95(1)}$As$_2$. A good fit of the $\rho(T)$ data by the Bloch-Grüneisen theory for metals was obtained. The $\chi_{ab}(T)$ data below $T_N$ are fitted well by molecular field theory (MFT), and the helix turn angle $kd$ and the Eu-Eu Heisenberg exchange constants are extracted from the fit parameters. The $kd$ value is in good agreement with the neutron-diffraction result. The magnetic contribution to the zero-field heat capacity below $T_N$ is also fitted by MFT. The isothermal in-plane magnetization $M_{ab}$ exhibits two metamagnetic transitions versus $H$, whereas $M_c(T = 2$ K) is nearly linear up to $H = 14$ T, both behaviors being consistent with MFT. The $M_c(H,T), \rho(H,T)$, and $C_p(H,T)$ data yielded a $H_c-T$ phase diagram separating the AFM and paramagnetic phases in good agreement with MFT. Anisotropic $\chi(T)$ literature data for the ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type helical antiferromagnet EuRh$_2$As$_2$ were also fitted well by MFT. A comparison is made between the crystallographic and magnetic properties of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type EuM$_2$Pn$_2$ compounds with $M = $ Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, or Rh, and $Pn = P$ or As, where only ferromagnetic and $c$-axis helical AFM structures are found.

I. INTRODUCTION

The body-centered tetragonal ThCr$_2$Si$_2$ structure with space group $I4/mmm$ [1] is well known for accommodating exotic ground state properties, rich magnetism, and heavy fermion superconductivity [2]. Compounds with this structure type also became extensively studied after the discovery of superconductivity (SC) in the layered iron arsenides AF$_2$As$_2$ (divalent A = Ba, Sr, Ca, Eu) and related 122-type materials with SC transition temperatures up to $T_c = 38$ K [3–6]. The unconventional SC in doped AF$_2$As$_2$ compounds arises adjacent in composition to a long-range ordered itinerant antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin-density-wave (SDW) phase associated with the Fe atoms, suggesting that magnetism and SC are closely intertwined in these systems [3–7].

Compared to the (Ca, Sr, Ba)Fe$_2$As$_2$-based compounds, the $A = $ Eu-based materials are different due to the Eu$^{+2}$ spin-7/2 $4f$ magnetic moments and associated rich magnetism. For example, EuFe$_2$As$_2$ is a unique example in which localized Eu$^{+2}$ spins order antiferromagnetically below 19 K with an $A$-type AFM structure and the itinerant Fe moments undergo an SDW transition at 190 K with an associated tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural phase transition [8–11]. Similar to (Ca, Sr, Ba)Fe$_2$As$_2$, SC is achieved in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ by either chemical doping or by hydrostatic pressure after suppression of the SDW and the associated structural transition [12]. The superconducting phase was found to coexist with long-range AFM from the Eu$^{+2}$ spin-7/2 sublattice, making the EuFe$_2$As$_2$-based system very attractive for additional research [13]. The Eu spins in the isostructural compounds EuCu$_2$P$_2$ and EuRu$_2$As$_2$ also order magnetically [14, 15]. The Eu- and Co-based pnictides EuCo$_2$P$_2$ and EuCo$_2$As$_2$ have also received considerable attention with respect to their magnetic properties. EuCo$_2$P$_2$ has an uncollapsed tetragonal (ucT) structure and orders antiferromagnetically below 66 K with a coplanar helical magnetic structure at ambient pressure with no contribution from the Co atoms [16, 17]. High-pressure studies on EuCo$_2$P$_2$ showed that the system changes its magnetic character from Eu(4f)-sublattice ordering to Co(3d)-sublattice ordering coincident with a pressure-induced first-order ucT to collapsed tetragonal (cT) structural transition [18]. We recently showed that EuCo$_2$P$_2$ at ambient pressure is a textbook example of a noncollinear helical AFM for which the thermodynamic properties in the AFM state are well described by our so-called unified molecular field theory (MFT) [19]. Similarly, single crystals of EuCo$_2$As$_2$, which is isostructural and iso electronic to EuCo$_2$P$_2$, exhibit coplanar helical AFM ordering of Eu$^{+2}$ spins below 47 K but with anomalously-enhanced effective and/or ordered moments [8, 20–22]. In contrast to EuCo$_2$P$_2$, high-pressure studies on EuCo$_2$As$_2$ showed a continuous ucT to cT crossover, which results in an intermediate-valence state of Eu$^{+2,2.25}$ at high pressure [21]. Consequently, AFM ordering of the Eu sub-
Superconductivity has been found in the Ni-based ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type compounds SrNi$_2$As$_2$ ($T_c = 0.62$ K) \cite{26}, SrNi$_2$P$_2$ ($T_c = 1.4$ K) \cite{27}, BaNi$_2$As$_2$ ($T_c = 0.7$ K) \cite{28}, and BaNi$_2$P$_2$ ($T_c = 2.80$ K) \cite{29}. Moreover, it is well known that SC emerges in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ when the long-range magnetic order is suppressed by hole doping in Eu$_{1-x}$K$_{1-x}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ \cite{30,31}, by electron doping with transition metal ions in EuFe$_{1-x}$(Co, Ru, Ir)$_x$As$_2$ \cite{32–34}, or by isovalent substitution of As by P in EuFe$_2$($As_{1-x}P_x$)$_2$ \cite{35,36}. However, Ni substitution on the Fe site in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ does not induce superconductivity down to 2 K in contrast to Ni-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ \cite{37}. Instead, it was found that both the SDW transition and AFM ordering of Eu$^{+2}$ moments were suppressed simultaneously by substituting Ni for Fe, and FM ordering of the Eu$^{+2}$ moments emerges instead of SC \cite{38,39}.

The compound EuNi$_2$As$_2$ orders antiferromagnetically at $T_N = 15$ K \cite{8,40}. Neutron-diffraction studies revealed that the Eu$^{+2}$ spins $S = 7/2$ align ferromagnetically in the $ab$ plane and form an incommensurate AFM helical structure with the helix axis parallel to the tetragonal $c$ axis with magnetic propagation vector $k = [0, 0, 0.9200(6)]2\pi/c$ \cite{41}. The ordered moments rotate in the $ab$ plane by $kc/2 = 165.6(1)^\circ$ around the $c$ axis from layer to layer, indicating within molecular-field theory (MFT) that the dominant nearest-layer and next-nearest-layer interactions are both AFM. This study also showed that there is no contribution to the AFM ordering from the Ni sublattice.

Herein we report a detailed study of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ single crystals including their crystallographic, magnetic, thermal, and electronic-transport properties, investigated using single-crystal x-ray diffraction (XRD), magnetic susceptibility $\chi(H, T)$, isothermal magnetization $M(H, T)$, heat capacity $C_p(H, T)$, and electrical resistivity $\rho(H, T)$ measurements as functions of applied magnetic field $H$ and temperature $T$.

The experimental details are presented in Sec. II and the crystallographic results in Sec. III. A new formulation of MFT was recently presented by one of us for calculating the magnetic and thermal properties of collinear and noncollinear AFMs on the same footing \cite{42,43}, which was therefore dubbed the unified molecular-field theory. This theory is applicable to systems of identical crystallographically-equivalent Heisenberg spins interacting by Heisenberg exchange and does not use the concept of magnetic sublattices. Instead, the magnetic properties are calculated solely from the exchange interactions of an arbitrary spin with its neighbors. In addition, the parameters of the MFT are experimentally measurable, replacing the vague molecular-field coupling constants of the traditional Weiss MFT. The $M(H, T)$ isotherm and $\chi(H, T)$ data for single crystals are presented in Sec. IV, including analyses of these data by the MFT. A good fit by the MFT to the anisotropic magnetic susceptibility of the helical Eu structure below $T_N$ was obtained for a helix turn angle in good agreement with the value found \cite{41} from the neutron diffraction measurements. In addition, the nearest- and next-nearest-interplane Heisenberg exchange interactions between the Eu spins in the $c$-axis helical structure were estimated from MFT analysis of the data.

The $ab$-plane $\rho(T)$ data are presented in Sec. V, where an excellent fit by the Bloch-Grüneisen theory was obtained. Our $C_p(H, T)$ data are presented in Sec. VI, where fits by MFT are presented. The AFM-paramagnetic (PM) phase diagram in the $H_c – T$ plane was constructed from the $M(H_c, T)$, $C_p(H_c, T)$, and $\rho(H_c, T)$ data. A good fit by MFT to the boundary separating these two phases was obtained, yielding the extrapolated $c$-axis critical field $H_{c1}(T = 0) = 13.6$ T. A summary is given in Sec. VII, which includes a comparison of the crystallographic and magnetic properties of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type EuM$_2$Pn$_2$ compounds with $M =$ Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Rh and $Pn = P$ or As.
and a Mo Kα 1μS microfocus source (λ = 0.71073 Å). The preliminary quality testing was performed on a set of 32 frames. The raw frame data were collected using the Bruker APEX3 software package [44]. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT program [45] using a narrow-frame algorithm integration and the data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS) [46]. The occupancies of the atomic positions were refined assuming random occupancy of the Ni and As sites and complete occupancy of the Eu sites. The atomic displacement parameters were refined anisotropically. Initial models of the crystal structures were first obtained with the program SHELXT-2014 [47] and refined using the program SHELXL-2014 [48] within the APEX3 software package.

The phase purity and chemical composition of the EuNi$_2$As$_2$ crystals were studied using an energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) semiquantitative chemical analysis attachment to a JEOL scanning electron microscope (SEM). SEM scans were taken on cleaved surfaces of the crystals which verified the single-phase nature of the crystals. The composition of each plate-like crystal studied here was measured at six or seven positions on each of the two basal $ab$-plane faces, and the results were averaged. Good chemical homogeneity was found for each crystal. The chemical compositions of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ crystals obtained from both the EDS and single-crystal x-ray structural analysis were determined assuming that the Eu site is fully occupied. The same crystals measured by EDS (pieces of which were used for the XRD measurements) were utilized to perform the physical-property measurements.

Magnetization data were obtained using a Quantum Design, Inc., SQUID-based magnetic-properties measurement system (MPMS) in magnetic fields up to 5.5 T and a vibrating-sample magnetometer (VSM) in a Quantum Design, Inc., physical-properties measurement system (PPMS) in magnetic fields up to 14 T where 1 T = 10$^4$ Oe. The magnetic moment output of these instruments is expressed in Gaussian cgs electromagnetic units (emu), where 1 emu = 1 G cm$^3$ and 1 G = 1 Oe. The $C_p(H,T)$ was measured by a relaxation technique using a PPMS. The $\rho(H,T)$ measurements were performed using a standard four-probe ac technique using the ac-transport option of the PPMS with the current in the $ab$ plane. Annealed platinum wire (25 µm diameter) electrical leads were attached to the crystals using silver epoxy.

### III. CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

The chemical compositions and crystal data for the EuNi$_2$As$_2$ single crystals grown in both Bi flux and NiAs flux obtained from the single-crystal XRD and EDS measurements at room temperature are presented in Table I. The data confirm that EuNi$_2$As$_2$ has the ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type body-centered tetragonal symmetry with space group $I4/mmm$, consistent with previous reports [49]. However, we consistently found randomly-distributed vacancies on the Ni site from both EDS and single-crystal XRD measurements as shown in Table I, indicating that the composition of the crystals is EuNi$_{1.95(1)}$As$_2$. We find no significant difference in the lattice parameters between Bi-flux-grown and NiAs-flux-grown crystals. Therefore, all the physical-property measurements reported below were performed on the Bi-flux-grown crystals because large high-quality homogeneous single crystals of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ could be more easily grown with this flux.

### IV. MAGNETIZATION AND MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY MEASUREMENTS

#### A. Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements

The zero-field-cooled (ZFC) magnetic susceptibilities $\chi(H,T) \equiv M(T)/H$ of an EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ single crystal measured in $H = 0.1$ T aligned along the $c$ axis ($\chi_c$, $H \parallel c$) and in the $ab$ plane ($\chi_{ab}$, $H \parallel ab$) are shown in Fig. 1. A sharp peak in $\chi_{ab}(T)$ occurs at $T_N = 14.4(5)$ K, in good agreement with the previous reports [8, 40, 41]. The anisotropic $\chi(T)$ data below $T_N$, where $\chi_c$ is nearly independent of $T$ and $\chi_{ab}$ decreases with decreasing $T$, indicate that the AFM-ordered moments are aligned in the $ab$ plane. Moreover, based on MFT, the nonzero limit of $\chi_{ab}(T \rightarrow 0)$ indicates that the AFM ordering in EuNi$_2$As$_2$ is either a collinear AFM with multiple domains in the $ab$ plane or an intrinsic coplanar noncollinear AFM structure [42, 43]. The recent neutron-diffraction study of single-crystal EuNi$_2$As$_2$ indeed showed an incommensurate AFM helical structure with the Eu ordered moments aligned ferromagnetically within the $ab$ plane which rotate about the $c$ axis by 165.6(1)$^\circ$ from Eu layer to Eu layer along the $c$ axis, where the AFM propagation vector is $k = (0, 0, 0.9200)2\pi/c$ and $c$ is the tetragonal $c$-axis lattice parameter [41]. Within MFT, this turn angle indicates dominant AFM nearest-layer and also next-nearest-layer Eu-Eu interactions [42, 43]. Similar incommensurate Eu helical spin structures along the $c$ axis with almost the same propagation vector were found in the isostructural compounds EuCo$_2$P$_2$ and EuCo$_2$As$_2$ [17, 19, 21, 22].

The inverse susceptibilities $\chi_{ab}^{-1}(T)$ and $\chi_{c}^{-1}(T)$ of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ measured in $H = 0.1$ T and $H = 1$ T are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. The high-temperature $\chi^{-1}(T)$ data above $T_N$ in the PM region 70 K ≤ $T$ ≤ 300 K were fitted by both the Curie-Weiss law and the modified-Curie-Weiss law, given respectively by

$$\chi_\alpha(T) = \frac{C_\alpha}{T - \theta_\text{pc}}$$

(1a)
TABLE I: Crystal and refinement parameters and atomic coordinates obtained from Rietveld refinement of room-temperature single-crystal XRD data of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ with the ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type crystal structure, space group $F4/mmm$ and $Z = 2$ formula units per unit cell. Compositions obtained from energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) measurements are also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XRD</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>EDS</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff position</th>
<th>Occupancy (%)</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>EDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EuNi$<em>{1.95(1)}$As$</em>{1.98(1)}$</td>
<td>EuNi$<em>{1.98(4)}$As$</em>{1.95(10)}$</td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>97.7(6)</td>
<td>99.0(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>99.0(4)</td>
<td>97(5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.36639(9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuNi$<em>{1.98(4)}$As$</em>{1.95(10)}$</td>
<td>EuNi$<em>{1.95(1)}$As$</em>{1.98(1)}$</td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>93.4(4)</td>
<td>92.2(5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>100.0(8)</td>
<td>105(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.36653(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuNi$_2$As$_2$ (Ref. [49])</td>
<td>EuNi$<em>{1.84(1)}$As$</em>{2.01(4)}$</td>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>94(4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>96(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3669(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lattice parameters</td>
<td>EuNi$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>EuNi$_2$As$_2$ (Ref. [49])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>4.105(2)</td>
<td>4.1052(8)</td>
<td>4.115(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>10.078(4)</td>
<td>10.027(2)</td>
<td>10.086(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c/a$</td>
<td>2.455(2)</td>
<td>2.442(1)</td>
<td>2.451(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{cell}$ (Å$^3$)</td>
<td>169.8(2)</td>
<td>168.90(7)</td>
<td>170.78(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Grown in Bi flux

*b*Grown in NiAs flux

*c*Polycrystalline sample

TABLE II: Parameters obtained from Curie-Weiss and modified Curie-Weiss fits to $\chi(T)$ data for $H = 0.1$ T and $H = 1$ T between 70 and 300 K for EuNi$_1.95$As$_2$ using Eqs. (1a) and (1b), respectively. Listed are the temperature-independent contribution $\chi_{0\alpha}$ with the field applied in the $\alpha = ab,c$ directions, molar Curie constant $C_{\alpha}$, angle-averaged molar Curie constant $C_{ave} = (2C_{ab} + C_{c})/3$, effective moment $\mu_{eff}$, angle-averaged effective moment $\mu_{eff,ave}$, Weiss temperature $\theta_{p,ave}$, angle-averaged Weiss temperature $\theta_{p,ave}$, and $f_\alpha = \theta_{p,ave}/T_N$ with $T_N = 14.5$ K. The error bars reflect systematic errors found from different temperature ranges of the fits. The effective moment per Eu atom $\mu_{eff,ave}(\mu_B/\text{f.u.})$ was calculated from Eq. (2b). For $S = 7/2$ with $g = 2$, Eqs. (2a) and (2b) yield $C = 7.878$ cm$^3$/K/(mol Eu) and $\mu_{eff} = 7.937$ $\mu_B$/Eu, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>$\chi_{0\alpha}$ (10$^{-4}$ cm$^3$/mol)</th>
<th>$C_{ave}$ (cm$^3$/K/mol)</th>
<th>$C_{ave}$ (cm$^3$/K/mol)</th>
<th>$\mu_{eff,ave}$ (\mu_B/\text{f.u.})</th>
<th>$\mu_{eff,ave}$ (\mu_B/\text{f.u.})</th>
<th>$\theta_{p,ave}$ (K)</th>
<th>$\theta_{p,ave}$ (K)</th>
<th>$f_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\theta_{p,ave} = \frac{\theta_{p,ave}}{T_N}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H = 0.1$ T</td>
<td>$H \parallel ab$</td>
<td>10.1(2)</td>
<td>7.8(1)</td>
<td>7.91(7)</td>
<td>7.90(5)</td>
<td>7.95(3)</td>
<td>$-15(1)$</td>
<td>$-15.2(8)$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel c$</td>
<td>10.9(8)</td>
<td>8.13(3)</td>
<td>8.06(1)</td>
<td>$-15.6(5)$</td>
<td>$-19(1)$</td>
<td>$-19.4(9)$</td>
<td>$-1.2$</td>
<td>$-1.31$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel ab$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.24(4)</td>
<td>8.3(3)</td>
<td>8.1(2)</td>
<td>8.2(1)</td>
<td>$-19(1)$</td>
<td>$-19.4(9)$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel c$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.57(3)</td>
<td>8.28(1)</td>
<td>$-20.2(9)$</td>
<td>$-1.39$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H = 1$ T</td>
<td>$H \parallel ab$</td>
<td>6.2(2)</td>
<td>7.68(1)</td>
<td>7.75(1)</td>
<td>7.838(5)</td>
<td>7.874(5)</td>
<td>$-11.7(1)$</td>
<td>$-12.7(1)$</td>
<td>$-3.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel c$</td>
<td>4.4(2)</td>
<td>7.90(1)</td>
<td>7.949(5)</td>
<td>$-14.7(1)$</td>
<td>$-1.01$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel ab$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.938(5)</td>
<td>7.975(5)</td>
<td>7.969(2)</td>
<td>7.987(2)</td>
<td>$-14.9(1)$</td>
<td>$-15.63(1)$</td>
<td>$-2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel c$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.097(2)</td>
<td>8.048(1)</td>
<td>$-17.1(1)$</td>
<td>$-1.18$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

$$\chi_\alpha(T) = \chi_{0\alpha} + \frac{C_\alpha}{T - \theta_{p,ave}}, \quad (1b)$$

where $\alpha = ab$ or $c$ and $\chi_{0\alpha}$ is a $T$-independent term. The Curie constant per mole of Eu spins is given by

$$C_\alpha = \frac{N_A g_\alpha^2 S(S+1)\mu_B^2}{3k_B}, \quad (2a)$$

where $N_A$ is Avogadro's number, $g_\alpha$ is the possibly anisotropic spectroscopic splitting factor ($g$-factor), and $k_B$ is Boltzmann's constant. The effective moment $\mu_{eff,\alpha} = g\sqrt{S(S+1)}$ of a spin in units of $\mu_B$ is given by Eq. (2a) as

$$\mu_{eff,\alpha} = \frac{3k_B C_\alpha T_{ave}}{N_A \mu_B^2} \approx \sqrt{SC_\alpha}, \quad (2b)$$

where $C_\alpha$ is in cgs units of cm$^3$/K/(mol Eu$^{2+}$). The fits are shown as the straight lines in Fig. 2 and the fitted parameters together with the parameter $f_\alpha = \theta_{p,ave}/T_N$ used later are listed in Table II.

The values of $C_{ave}$ and $\mu_{eff,ave}$ in Table II are simi-
Yosida (RKKY) interactions between the Eu spins. The slight anisotropy may arise from either the anisotropic magnetic dipole interactions between the Eu spins [50], from single-ion uniaxial anisotropy [51], and/or from anisotropy in the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interactions between the Eu spins.

The field-dependent ZFC magnetic susceptibilities \( \chi(T) \equiv M(T)/H \) measured at various magnetic fields applied in the ab plane and along the c axis for the temperature range 1.8 K \( \leq T \leq 50 \) K are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. Metamagnetic transitions are seen to occur in \( \chi_{ab}(H, T) \) for \( H \geq 3 \) T, as might be expected from the helical magnetic structure [52, 53]. One sees that \( \chi_c(T) \) in Fig. 3(b) is far less sensitive to \( H \) compared to \( \chi_{ab}(T) \) in Fig. 3(a).

### B. Magnetization versus Field Isotherms

 Isothermal \( M(H) \) data measured at \( T = 2 \) K with \( 0 \leq H \leq 14 \) T applied in the ab plane (\( M_{ab}, H \parallel ab \)) and along the c-axis (\( M_c, H \parallel c \)) are shown in Fig. 4. The \( M_c(H) \) data are nearly linear in field at \( T \ll T_N \) as predicted by MFT for a helix with the field applied along the helix axis [43]. One sees that \( M_c(H = 14 \) T) = 6.39 \( \mu_B \) does not yet reach the saturation moment per Eu spin given by \( J_{sat} = gS\mu_B = 7 \mu_B \) for \( S = 7/2 \) and \( g = 2 \).

For \( H \parallel ab \), the \( M_{ab}(H) \) data in Fig. 4 show an apparently first-order metamagnetic transition at a field \( H_{m1} \approx 3.1 \) T (marked by a vertical arrow). Then at a higher field \( H_{m2} \approx 9.5 \) T another metamagnetic transition occurs, marked by another vertical arrow, which appears to be second order.

For a detailed exposition of the high-field behaviors, we obtained \( M(H) \) isotherms and their field derivatives
at various temperatures which are plotted in Figs. 5(a,b) and 6(a,b) for $H \parallel ab$ and $H \parallel c$, respectively. The $M_c(H)$ data shown in Fig. 6(a) exhibit negative curvature at the higher fields for $T < 50$ K. At higher temperatures, a proportional behavior of $M_c(H)$ data is eventually observed. As one can see from the derivative plots in Fig. 6(b), the critical field $H_{c\perp}$ at which a second-order AFM to PM transition occurs [43] is shifted to lower field as $T$ increases. The symbol $\perp$ in $H_{c\perp}$ refers to the critical field with $H$ applied perpendicular to the zero-field plane of the ordered moments which is the $ab$ plane in this case. On the other hand, the $M_{ab}(H)$ isotherms in Fig. 5(a) show two clear metamagnetic transitions at $H_{m\parallel 1}$ and $H_{m\parallel 2}$, respectively, for $T \leq 7$ K that disappear at $T_N$. These transitions shift to higher field whereas the critical field $H_{c\parallel}$ at the AFM to PM transition shifts to lower field with increasing temperature as seen in the derivative plots in Fig. 5(b). The metamagnetic transition fields $H_{m\parallel 1}$ and $H_{m\parallel 2}$ and the critical field $H_{c\perp}$ are obtained from the fields in the derivative plots at which peaks or discontinuities are seen. The results are listed in Table III.

Theoretical studies of classical field-induced $xy$-plane (ab plane here) metamagnetic transitions in z-axis helices ($c$ axis here) at $T = 0$ were presented by one of us for moments confined to the $xy$ plane (infinite XY anisotropy) in Ref. [52] and more recently for finite XY anisotropy in Ref. [53] where the moments can flop from the $xy$ plane into a three-dimensional spin-flop arrangement on one and/or two spherical ellipses. Continuous, second-order, and first-order metamagnetic transitions were found, depending on the turn angle $kd$ and the XY anisotropy. For EuCo$_2$P$_2$ with $T_N = 66.5$ K and $kd = 0.85\pi$ rad at low temperatures, good fits to the smooth crossover transition between helix and fan phases were obtained by the MFT prediction for both $kd = 6\pi/7$ rad = $0.857\pi$ rad in Ref. [52] and $kd = 5\pi/6$ rad = $0.833\pi$ rad in Ref. [53] with the Eu spins confined to the $ab$ plane by a sufficiently large XY anisotropy.
Finally, at sufficiently high field the system exhibits a transition from the 3D single-spherical-ellipse configuration, whereas the higher-field second-order metamagnetic transition results from the occurrence and nature of the two metamagnetic transitions observed in Fig. 4 for EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$. From Fig. 5(b), one sees that $H_{c \parallel}$ refers to the critical field when $H$ is in the plane of the zero-field ordered moments. From Fig. 5(b), one sees that $H_{c \parallel} \approx 13.1$ T at $T = 7$ K, as noted in Table III. Thus the occurrence and nature of the two metamagnetic transitions observed in Fig. 4 for $H \parallel ab$ can be understood in terms of our model in Ref. [53].

**C. Molecular-Field-Theory Analysis of Magnetic Susceptibility of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$**

The anisotropy of $\chi_{ab}(T \leq T_N)$ with respect to $\chi_c(T \leq T_N)$ of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ in Fig. 1 with $\chi_{ab}(T \to 0)/\chi(T_N) \sim 0.6$ suggests intrinsic noncollinear AFM ordering with the ordered moments aligned in the ab plane. Indeed, the neutron-diffraction study indicated a helical AFM structure with the helix axis being the c axis [41] with the ordered moments aligned in the ab plane. Within MFT, the normalized transverse in-plane susceptibility $\chi_{ab}(T \leq T_N)/\chi(T_N)$ of a helical AFM structure is given by [42, 43].

![FIG. 5: (a) Isothermal magnetization $M_{ab}$ of an EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ single crystal versus magnetic field $H$ applied in the $ab$ plane ($H \parallel ab$) at the indicated temperatures $T$. (b) Derivative $dM_{ab}/dH$ versus $H$ at $T = 2$, 5, and 7 K obtained from the respective data in (a).](image-url)

![FIG. 6: Same as Fig. 5 except with the field applied along the c axis ($H \parallel c$).](image-url)
\[
\frac{\chi_{ab}(T \leq T_N)}{\chi(T_N)} = \left(1 + \tau^* + 2f_J + 4B^*(1 - f_J)/2 \right) (\tau^* + B^*)/(1 + B^*) - (f_J + B^*)^2, \quad (3a)
\]

where

\[
B^* = 2(1 - f_J) \cos(kd) [1 + \cos(kd)] - f_J, \quad (3b)
\]

\[
t = \frac{T}{T_N}, \quad \tau^*(t) = \frac{(S + 1)t}{3B_S(y_0)}, \quad y_0 = \frac{3\bar{\mu}_0}{(S + 1)t}, \quad (3c)
\]

\[f_J = f = \theta_p/T_N \text{ in Table II for weak anisotropy as in EuNi}_{1.95}\text{As}_2, \text{ the ordered moment versus } T \text{ in } H = 0 \text{ is denoted by } \mu_0, \text{ and the reduced ordered moment } \bar{\mu}_0 = \mu_0/\mu_{sat} \text{ is determined by numerically solving the self-consistency equation}
\]

\[
\bar{\mu}_0 = B_S(y_0). \quad (3d)
\]

We define

\[
B'_S(y_0) \equiv \left. \frac{dB_S(y)}{dy} \right|_{y = y_0}, \quad (4)
\]

where the Brillouin function \(B_S(y)\) is

\[
B_S(y) = \frac{1}{2S} \left\{ (2S + 1) \coth \left[ \frac{(2S + 1)y}{2} \right] - \coth \left[ \frac{y}{2} \right] \right\}. \quad (5)
\]

Here \(kd = k(2\pi/c)(c/2) = k\pi \text{ rad is the turn angle between the ordered magnetic moments in adjacent layers along the helix axis, where the AFM propagation vector is written as } (0, 0, k)2\pi/c. \text{ At } T = 0, \text{ Eqs. } (3) \text{ yield } [42, 43]

\[
\frac{\chi_{ab}(T = 0)}{\chi(T_N)} = \frac{1}{2[1 + 2 \cos(kd) + 2 \cos^2(kd)]}. \quad (6)
\]

The \(\chi(T)\) data in Fig. 1 are plotted on an expanded temperature scale in Fig. 7(a). The scaled data \(\chi(T)/\chi(T_N)\) necessary for fitting the \(\chi_{ab}(T)\) data by Eqs. (3) are plotted in Fig. 7(b). For the fits we used \(S = 7/2\) and the value \(f = -1.17\) from the average of the two values for \(f_{ab}\) with \(H = 0.1 \text{ T}\) in Table II. Taking \(\chi_{ab}(T = 0)/\chi(T_N) = 0.57\) from Fig. 7(b) and solving Eq. (6) for \(kd\) gives the two solutions \(kd = 0.52\pi \text{ rad (94°)} \text{ and } kd = 0.88\pi \text{ rad (158°)} \text{ for the helix turn angle for } \text{EuNi}_{1.95}\text{As}_2 \text{ at } T = 0. \text{ In order to fit the lowest-} T \chi_{ab}\text{ data in Fig. 7(b), we used } kd(T = 0) = 0.88\pi \text{ rad which is in reasonable agreement with the value from the neutron diffraction measurement } (kd = 0.9200\pi \text{ rad}) [41]. \text{ The } \chi_{ab}(T \leq T_N)/\chi(T_N) \text{ fit thus obtained using Eqs. (3) is plotted as the solid red curve in Fig. 7(b). The corresponding fit on the absolute susceptibility scale is shown in Fig. 7(a). The fit is seen to be in excellent agreement with the experimental } \chi_{ab}(T) \text{ data.}
\]

\[\text{D. Heisenberg Exchange Interactions in EuCo}_2\text{As}_2\]

We now estimate the Eu intralayer and the Eu interlayer Heisenberg exchange interactions along the c axis within the minimal \(J_0 - J_1 - J_2\) MFT model for a helix [43, 53, 54], where \(J_0\) is the sum of the Heisenberg exchange interactions of a representative spin with all other spins in the same spin layer perpendicular to the helix \((z = c)\) axis, \(J_1\) is the sum of all interactions of the spin with spins in a nearest layer along the helix axis, and \(J_2\) is the sum of all interactions of the spin with spins in a second-nearest layer, as indicated in Fig. 8. Within this one-dimensional model, \(kd, T_N, \text{ and } \theta_p\) are related.
Eu to these exchange interactions by [42, 43].

\[
\cos(kd) = -\frac{J_1}{4J_2},
\]

(7a)

\[
T_N = -\frac{S(S+1)}{3k_B} [J_0 + 2J_1 \cos(kd) + 2J_2 \cos(2kd)],
\]

(7b)

\[
\theta_p = -\frac{S(S+1)}{3k_B} (J_0 + 2J_1 + 2J_2),
\]

(7c)

where a positive (negative) \( J \) corresponds to an AFM (FM) interaction. Using \( S = 7/2 \), \( T_N = 14.5 \) K, \( \theta_p = \theta_{p\text{ave}} \) in Table II, and \( kd = 0.88\pi \) rad, solving Eqs. (7) for \( J_0, J_1, \) and \( J_2 \) yields the values listed in Table IV. Table II gives a negative (AFM-like) \( \theta_p \) value, consistent with the net interaction strength \( J_{\text{tot}} = J_0 + 2J_1 + 2J_2 \) being AFM-like. Indeed, the interplane exchange constants \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) must both be positive (AFM-like) in order to obtain the observed helical AFM structure with \( \pi/2 < kd \leq \pi \) rad. The FM (negative) intralayer interaction \( J_0 \) is required so that the Eu spins in each \( ab \)-plane layer are ferromagnetically aligned within the helical structure.

 Estimates of the Heisenberg exchange interactions \( J_A \), \( J_B \), and \( J_C \) between the Eu spins in the body-centered-tetragonal unit cell of EuNi_{1.95}As_{2} as shown in Fig. 9 can be found from the \( J_0, J_1, \) and \( J_2 \) values in Table IV according to

\[
J_0 = 4J_A, \quad J_1 = 4J_B, \quad J_2 = J_C,
\]

(8)

and the results are listed in Table IV. Also shown are the helix turn angles and exchange constants estimated via MFT for other related Eu-based 122-type helical antiferromagnets including EuRh_{2}As_{2} which we analyze in the following section.

FIG. 8: Generic helical AFM structure [42]. Each arrow represents a layer of moments perpendicular to the \( z \) axis that are ferromagnetically aligned within the \( xy \) plane and with interlayer separation \( d \). The wave vector \( k \) of the helix is directed along the \( z \) axis. The magnetic moment turn angle between adjacent magnetic layers is \( kd \), where \( k \) is the magnitude of the wave vector. The top view shows the magnetic moments as viewed from the positive \( z \) axis. When the moment vectors are placed tail to tail as shown, the picture is a hodograph of the magnetic moments. The MFT nearest-layer and next-nearest-layer exchange interactions \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) are indicated.

FIG. 9: Exchange interactions \( J_A \), \( J_B \), and \( J_C \) between the Eu spins in a body-centered-tetragonal unit cell of EuNi_{1.95}As_{2}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( kd ) (rad)</th>
<th>( J_0 ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_1 ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_2 ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_{\text{tot}} ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_A/k_B ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_B/k_B ) (K)</th>
<th>( J_C/k_B ) (K)</th>
<th>( \theta_p ) (K)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EuCo_{2}P_{2}</td>
<td>0.857\pi</td>
<td>-9.55</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>-4.08</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCo_{2}As_{2}</td>
<td>0.79\pi</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
<td>-1.712</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.7901</td>
<td>19.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuNi_{2}As_{2}</td>
<td>0.88\pi</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuRh_{2}As_{2}</td>
<td>0.83\pi</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. Molecular-Field-Theory Analysis of Magnetic Susceptibility of EuRh$_2$As$_2$

Anisotropic magnetic susceptibility data for single-crystal EuRh$_2$As$_2$ revealed AFM ordering at $T_N = 47$ K [25], as shown in Fig. 10(a). The Curie-Weiss fit to the inverse susceptibility data $\chi^{-1}(T)$ for both field orientations is shown in Fig. 10(b) and the fitted Curie constant and Weiss temperature are $C = 7.42(4)$ cm$^3$/mol and $\theta_p = 6.76(5)$ K, respectively. Eu valence fluctuations have been suggested [25] as the reason for the smaller value of the Curie-constant in EuRh$_2$As$_2$ compared to the value $7.88$ cm$^3$/mol for $S = 7/2$ with $g = 2$. The positive value of $\theta_p$ indicates a net FM exchange interaction between the Eu$^{2+}$ magnetic moments. X-ray resonant magnetic scattering measurements on a single crystal showed that the predominant magnetic structure is a $c$-axis helix with a turn angle of $\approx 0.9\pi$ rad $\approx 160^\circ$ [55]. Therefore we analyze here the anisotropic magnetic susceptibility literature data for EuRh$_2$As$_2$ [25] in terms of a helical model within MFT.

The value of $\chi_{ab}(T \to 0)/\chi(T_N)$ in Fig. 10(a) is consistent with EuRh$_2$As$_2$ being a helical $c$-axis antiferromagnet with the ordered moments oriented within the $ab$ plane. Expanded plots of the data in Fig. 10 at low temperatures are shown in Fig. 11. Using the value $\chi_{ab}(T = 0)/\chi(T_N) = 0.66$ from Fig. 11(b) and solving Eq. (6) for $kd$ gives the two solutions $kd = 0.5\pi$ rad ($98^\circ$) and $kd = 0.83\pi$ rad ($149^\circ$) for the helix turn angle at $T = 0$. We used $kd(T = 0) = 0.83\pi$ rad for EuRh$_2$As$_2$ because this $kd$ is comparable to the value of $kd$ from Ref. [55] as well as neutron diffraction studies on similar compounds as listed in Table IV. The scaled data $\chi_{ab}(T \leq T_N)/\chi(T_N)$ are fitted by Eqs. (3) using $S = 7/2$, $T_N = 47$ K, $f = 6.5/47 = 0.14$ and $kd = 0.83\pi$ rad as shown by the solid curve in Fig. 11(b). The corresponding fit is shown in Fig. 11(a) as another solid curve. The fits are seen to be quite good. The Heisenberg exchange interactions between the Eu spins in EuRh$_2$As$_2$ were derived from Eqs. (7) and (8) using $S = 7/2$ and $kd = 0.83\pi$ rad and are listed in Table IV.
behavior. The residual resistivity at temperature derivative $T$ consistent with the $\rho$ from 1.8 to 300 K measured at zero magnetic field is $\leq T \leq$ of the data by Eq. (9) over the temperature interval 2 K $\rho$ plots of data above 20 K and is extrapolated to prediction of the Bloch-Gr"uneisen theory in Eqs. (10) to the zero magnetic field. The solid black curve is the fit of the as a function of temperature from 1.8 to 300 K measured in FIG. 12: (a) In-plane electrical resistivity $\rho$ of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ as a function of temperature from 1.8 to 300 K measured in zero magnetic field. The solid black curve is the fit of the prediction of the Bloch-Gr"uneisen theory in Eqs. (10) to the data above 20 K and is extrapolated to $T = 0$. (b) Expanded plots of $\rho(T)$ at low temperature. The black line is the fit of the data by Eq. (9) over the temperature interval 2 K $\leq T \leq 14$ K with a dashed-line extrapolation to 0 K. Inset: temperature derivative $d\rho/dT$ versus $T$.

V. ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY

The in-plane ($ab$-plane) electrical resistivity $\rho$ of an EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ crystal as a function of temperature $T$ from 1.8 to 300 K measured at zero magnetic field is shown in Fig. 12(a). The $\rho(T)$ exhibits metallic behavior. The residual resistivity at $T = 1.8$ K is $\rho_0 \approx 9.3 \mu\Omega$ cm and the residual resistivity ratio RRR $\equiv \rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(1.8 \text{ K}) \approx 6.9$. The AFM transition is observed at $T_N = 14.2(8)$ K, as clearly shown in a plot of the derivative $d\rho(T)/dT$ versus $T$ in Fig. 12(b) insert, consistent with the $T_N$ values found from our $\chi(T)$ data above and $C_p(T)$ data below. In addition, the data in the inset show a slope change at $\approx 4.0(5)$ K of unknown origin.

The low-$T$ $\rho_{ab}(T)$ data below $T_N$ are fitted from 2 K to 14 K by

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n,$$

(9)
as shown by the solid curve in Fig. 12(b). The fitted parameters are $\rho_0 = 8.71(3) \mu\Omega$ cm, $A = 0.262(9) \mu\Omega$ cm/$K^n$ with $n = 1.26(1)$. Thus $\rho(T \leq T_N)$ does not follow Fermi-liquid $T^2$ behavior, likely because it is affected by the $T$-dependent loss of spin-disorder scattering on cooling below $T_N$.

The $\rho(T)$ in the normal state above 20 K is fitted by the Bloch-Gr"uneisen (BG) model where the resistivity arises from scattering of electrons from acoustic phonons. Our fitting function is

$$\rho_{BG}(T) = \rho_0 + \rho_{sd}(T) + C f(T/\Theta_R),$$

(10a)

where [56]

$$f(T/\Theta_R) = \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_R}\right)^5 \int_0^{\Theta_D/T} \frac{x^n dx}{(1 - e^{-x})(e^x - 1)} dx.$$  

(10b)

Here $\rho_0 + \rho_{sd}(T)$ is the sum of the residual resistivity $\rho_0$ due to static defects in the crystal lattice and the spin-disorder resistivity $\rho_{sd}(T)$. The constant $C$ describes the $T$-independent interaction strength of the conduction electrons with the thermally excited phonons and contains the ionic mass, Fermi velocity, and other parameters, $x = \frac{h\omega}{2\pi k_B T}$, and $\Theta_R$ is the Debye temperature determined from electrical resistivity data. The representation of $f(T/\Theta_R)$ used here is an accurate analytic Padé approximant function of $T/\Theta_R$ determined by a fit to the integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (10b) [56]. The fit to the $\rho(T)$ data between 20 and 300 K by Eqs. (10) is shown as the solid black curve in Fig. 12(a). The fitted parameters are $(\rho_0 + \rho_{sd}) = 17.18(3) \mu\Omega$ cm, $C = 27.0(2) \mu\Omega$ cm and $\Theta_R = 179(1)$ K. The $\rho_{sd}$ calculated from the value of $(\rho_0 + \rho_{sd})$ using $\rho_0(1.8 \text{ K}) = 9.29 \mu\Omega$ cm is $\rho_{sd} \approx 7.89 \mu\Omega$ cm.

The $\rho(T)$ of single-crystal EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ in various magnetic fields applied along the $c$ axis is shown in Fig. 13. We find that $T_N$ decreases from 14.2 K at $H = 0$ to 12.3 K at $H = 8$ T, as plotted in Fig. 17 below. The $\rho(T > T_N)$ shows negative magnetoresistance, with the strongest dependence just above $T_N$.

VI. HEAT CAPACITY

The zero-field heat capacities $C_p(T)$ for EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ and for the nonmagnetic reference compound BaCo$_2$As$_2$ [22] measured in the temperature range from 1.8 to 300 K are shown in Fig. 14(a). A pronounced anomaly at $T_N \approx 14.4$ K in the expanded plot in Fig. 14(b) is observed that confirms the intrinsic nature of AFM ordering in this compound. $C_p$ attains a value of $\approx 123.7 \text{ J/mol K}$ at $T = 300$ K which is close to the classical Dulong-Petit high-$T$ limit value $C_V = 3nR = 123.5 \text{ J/mol K}$, where $n = 4.95$ is the
number of atoms per formula unit and \( R \) is the molar gas constant. We fitted the \( C_p(T) \) data in the PM state using the sum of an electronic term and the lattice term given by the Debye model, according to

\[
C_p(T) = \gamma T + nC_V \text{Debye}(T),
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the Sommerfeld electronic heat capacity coefficient, \( C_V \text{Debye}(T) \) is the Debye lattice heat capacity given by

\[
C_V \text{Debye}(T) = 9R \left( \frac{T}{\Theta_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{\Theta_D/T} dx \left( \frac{x^4}{e^x - 1} \right)^2,
\]

and \( n = 4.95 \) is again the number of atoms per formula unit. The solid curve in Fig. 14(a) represents the fit of the \( C_p(T) \) data for 50 K \( \leq T \leq 300 \) K by Eqs. (11) obtained using the accurate analytic Padé approximant function for \( C_V \text{Debye} \) versus \( T/\Theta_D \) given in Ref. [56]. The fit gave \( \gamma \approx 5 \text{ mJ/mol K}^2 \) and \( \Theta_D = 284(1) \text{ K} \).

The magnetic contribution \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) to \( C_p(T) \) of EuNi\(_{1.95}\)As\(_2\) obtained after subtracting the lattice contribution, taken to be \( C_p(T) \) of BaCo\(_2\)As\(_2\) [22], is shown in Fig. 15(a). The MFT prediction for \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) is [43]

\[
\frac{C_{\text{mag}}(t)}{R} = \frac{3S\rho_0^2(t)}{(S+1)t \left( \frac{S+1}{3S^2(t)} - 1 \right)}
\]

which is calculated using Eqs. (3)–(5). The solid black curve in Fig. 15(a) represents the MFT prediction for \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) calculated for \( T_N = 14.5 \) K and \( S = 7/2 \), which is seen to agree with the trend of the data. Within MFT the discontinuity in \( C_{\text{mag}} \) at \( T = T_N \) is given by [43]

\[
\Delta C_{\text{mag}} = R \frac{5S(1+S)}{1 + 25S + 25S^2} = 20.14 \text{ J/mol K},
\]

where the second equality is calculated for \( S = 7/2 \). The experimental heat capacity jump in Fig. 15(a) at

\[ T_N = 14.2 \text{ K} \approx 17 \text{ J/mol K}, \]

somewhat smaller than the theoretical prediction. We also find that \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) is nonzero for \( T_N < T \leq 30 \) K, indicating the presence of dynamic short-range AFM ordering of the Eu spins above \( T_N \), thus accounting at least in part for the decrease in \( \Delta C_{\text{mag}} \) from the theoretical value.

The hump in \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) below \( T_N \) at about 5 K in Fig. 15(a) is reproduced by the MFT prediction and arises naturally within MFT in the ordered-state \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) when \( S \) becomes large [43], as shown more clearly as a plateau in the experimental and theoretical plots of \( C_{\text{mag}}/T \) versus \( T \) in Fig. 15(b). This feature has also been observed in the ordered state of other spin-7/2 Eu\(^{+2}\) and Gd\(^{+3}\) compounds such as in Refs. [24, 57–59].

The magnetic entropy is calculated from the \( C_{\text{mag}}(T) \) data for EuNi\(_{1.95}\)As\(_2\) in Fig. 15(a) using

\[
S_{\text{mag}}(T) = \int_0^T \frac{C_{\text{mag}}(T')}{T'} dT'
\]

and the result is shown in Fig. 15(c). The horizontal dashed line is the theoretical high-\( T \) limit \( S_{\text{mag}}(T) = R \ln(2S+1) = 17.29 \text{ J/mol K} \) for \( S = 7/2 \). The entropy reaches 89% of \( R\ln(8) \) at \( T_N \). The high-temperature limit of the data is smaller than the theoretical value, which

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig13.png}
\caption{In-plane electrical resistivity \( \rho \) of EuNi\(_{1.95}\)As\(_2\) function of temperature between 1.8 to 300 K measured in the indicated magnetic fields \( H \parallel c \).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig14.png}
\caption{(a) Temperature \( T \) dependence of the heat capacity \( C_p \) for EuNi\(_{1.95}\)As\(_2\) and BaCo\(_2\)As\(_2\) [22] single crystals in \( H = 0 \) T. The black solid curve is a fit of the data between 50 and 300 K by the Debye lattice heat capacity model in Eqs. (11). (b) Expanded plot of \( C_p(T) \) for EuNi\(_{1.95}\)As\(_2\) and BaCo\(_2\)As\(_2\) at low temperature.}
\end{figure}
is likely due to a small error in estimating the lattice contribution to $C_p(T)$.

The $C_p(H, T)$ of single-crystal EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ measured in various magnetic fields applied along the $c$ axis is shown in Fig. 16. It is evident that $T_N$ shifts to lower temperatures and the heat capacity jump at $T_N$ decreases with increasing field, both as predicted from MFT for a field parallel to the helix axis [43]. We take $T_N$ at each field to be the temperature of the peak in $C_p$ versus $T$ instead of the temperature at half-height of the transition, because the latter is ambiguous to estimate due to the significant contribution of short-range AFM order- to the $c$ axis, $H \parallel c$.

![FIG. 15: (a) Magnetic contribution $C_{mag}$ of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ versus temperature $T$ between 1.8 and 30 K obtained by subtracting the nonmagnetic contribution $C_p(T)$ of BaCo$_2$As$_2$. The MFT prediction for $C_{mag}(T)$ with $S = 7/2$ and $T_N = 14.5$ K is shown as the black solid line. (b) Plot of the data in (a) as $C_{mag}(T)/T$ versus $T$, where again the MFT prediction is given by the solid black line. (c) Magnetic entropy $S_{mag}(T)$ calculated from the experimental $C_{mag}(T)/T$ data in (b) using Eq. (14). The horizontal dashed line in (c) is the theoretical high-$T$ limit $S_{mag} = R \ln(2S + 1) = R \ln(8) = 17.29$ J/mol K for $S = 7/2$.](image1)

![FIG. 16: Heat capacity $C_p$ versus temperature $T$ of single-crystal EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ in various magnetic fields applied parallel to the $c$ axis, $H \parallel c$.](image2)

### TABLE V: The transition temperature $T_N$ estimated from electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ and heat capacity $C_p(T)$ data at various magnetic fields $H$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H(T)$</th>
<th>$T_N$ from $\rho$ (K)</th>
<th>$T_N$ from $C_p$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.2(8)</td>
<td>13.97(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.1(6)</td>
<td>13.91(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.09(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.6(3)</td>
<td>13.54(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.1(5)</td>
<td>13.15(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.43(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.3(2)</td>
<td>11.82(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.20(20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We report the crystallographic, magnetic, thermal, and transport properties of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ single crystals grown in Bi flux. A single-crystal x-ray diffraction refinement and energy-dispersive x-ray composition analysis consistently revealed vacancies on the Ni site corresponding to the composition EuNi$_{1.95(1)}$As$_2$. The occurrence of vacancies on the Ni site has not been reported in previous studies of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ [8, 40, 41]. Reference [49] found a deviation from full occupancy on the Ni site in EuNi$_2$As$_2$, but this deviation was consistent with full occupancy to within the error bar.

The electrical resistivity data indicate metallic behavior and were fitted very well in the normal state by the Bloch-Grüneisen model for acoustic electron-phonon scattering.

Our magnetic data for this helical antiferromagnet were analyzed using unified molecular field theory and yielded a helical turn angle $kd$ that is close to that found by neutron diffraction. The $M_c(H)$ data along the helix axis at 2 K are nearly linear in field up to $H = 14$ T, as expected within MFT for a helical AFM structure. The perpendicular critical field $H_{c\perp}(T = 0)$ for the second-order transition from the AFM to PM state is found from a fit to the data in Fig. 17 as $H_{c\perp}(T = 0) = 13.6$ T. We also analyzed the $\chi(T)$ data of EuRu$_2$As$_2$ similar to EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ using our MFT, where a helix turn angle $kd = 0.83\pi$ rad was inferred from the fit.

The magnetic heat capacity of EuNi$_2$As$_2$ is described rather well by MFT, except for a significant amount of short-range AFM order above $T_N$ which reduces the magnetic entropy at $T_N$ from the value expected for spin $S = 7/2$ with $g = 2$.

The $H_c$-$T$ phase diagram for fields parallel to the helix $c$ axis was determined from the field-dependent magnetization, heat capacity, and electrical resistivity data. The phase line separating the AFM and PM phases is described well by MFT.

A summary of some of the properties of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type EuM$_2$Pn$_2$ compounds with $M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Rh, or Ru and $Pn = P$ or As is given in Table VI [8, 11, 14–17, 20, 21, 24, 25, 40, 41, 49, 55, 61–64], including the results of our measurements and analyses of EuNi$_{1.95}$As$_2$ and EuRh$_2$As$_2$. One sees from Table VI that four of the five Eu compounds for which AFM ordering was reported have a $c$-axis helical structure, including EuFe$_2$As$_2$ which has an A-type AFM structure with the Eu moments aligned in the $ab$ plane and hence is also a $c$-axis helix with a turn angle of $180^\circ$. The other compounds EuFe$_2$P$_2$, EuCu$_{1.75}$P$_2$, and EuRu$_2$As$_2$ instead order ferromagnetically. Whether the structure is cT or ucT seems not to have a definitive influence on the AFM versus FM ordering of these compounds. A more general review of the correlations between the magnetic properties with respect to the cT or ucT structures of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-structure materials is given in Sec. VIII of Ref. [65]. Electronic structure calculations would be of interest to determine why some compounds in Table VI exhibit (helical) AFM structures while the others become ferromagnetic.
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TABLE VI: Survey of the magnetic properties of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type Eu$M_2Pn_2$ compounds with $M =$ Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Rh and $Pn =$ P or As. Shown are the tetragonal $c/a$ ratio, the tetragonal crystal structure [uncollapsed tetragonal (ucT) or collapsed tetragonal (cT)], the magnetic transition temperature $T_m$ which is ferromagnetic (FM) or antiferromagnetic (AFM), the AFM structure if AFM, the ordering axis or plane, and the reference for the work, where PW means present work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$c/a$</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$T_m_{Eu}$ (K)</th>
<th>Ordering Type</th>
<th>AFM structure</th>
<th>Ordering axis/plane</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EuFe$_2$P$_2$</td>
<td>2.9433(1)</td>
<td>ucT</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>FM$^a$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[61, 62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuFe$_3$As$_2$</td>
<td>3.113(4)</td>
<td>ucT</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>A-type$^b$</td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>[8, 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCo$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>2.930(2)</td>
<td>ucT</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Helical</td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>[8, 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCo$_3$P$_2$</td>
<td>3.015(3)</td>
<td>ucT</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Helical</td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>[16, 17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCu$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>2.422(1)</td>
<td>cT</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td></td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>[24, 63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCu$_1$P$_2$</td>
<td>2.3312(1)</td>
<td>cT</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[14, 64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuRh$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>2.772(3)</td>
<td>ucT</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Helical</td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>PW, [25, 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuRu$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>2.576(1)</td>
<td>cT</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td></td>
<td>c axis</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuNi$_2$P$_2$</td>
<td>2.407(1)</td>
<td>cT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuNi$_2$As$_2$</td>
<td>2.448(1)</td>
<td>cT</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Helical</td>
<td>ab plane</td>
<td>PW, [8, 40, 41, 49]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Canted at 17(3)$^\circ$ from the $c$ axis.
$^b$This A-type structure with the moments aligned in the $ab$ plane is a $c$-axis helical structure with a 180$^\circ$ turn angle between adjacent moment layers along the $c$ axis. This helical structure is explicable in terms of the $J_0$-$J_1$-$J_2$ model in Eq. (7a) if $J_1 = 4J_2 > 0$ (both AFM).

$^c$Eu is intermediate valent: no magnetic ordering.
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