Charged black holes in non-linear Q-clouds with O(3) symmetry
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We construct charged soliton solutions around spherical charged black holes with no angular momentum in asymptotically flat spacetime. These solutions are non-linear generalizations of charged scalar clouds, dubbed Q-ball hair or Q-clouds, and they do not contradict the non-existence theorem for free (linear) scalar clouds around charged black holes. These solutions are the first examples of O(3) solutions for Q-clouds around a non-extremal and non-rotating BH in the Abelian gauge theory. We show that a solution exists with an infinitely short hair in the limit of extremal black holes. We discuss the evolution of scalar hair in a system with fixed total charge and describe how the existence of Q-clouds is related to the weak-gravity conjecture.

Introduction.– The strong gravitational effects of black holes (BHs) allow us to study the connections between theories of gravitation and quantum-field theory. One of the most important implications of the conjunction of quantum field theory and BHs is Hawking radiation [1], which is emitted because of the creation of particle pairs near the surface of BHs. Several conjectures have been proposed through the analysis of BHs [2–5], and these serve as guides toward important insights into fundamental theories of physics. In particular, the weak-gravity conjecture [6] addresses the inconsistency of theory with BH remnants [2, 7–9] and the non-existence of global symmetries in string theory [10]. The latter fact is consistent with the no-hair theorem, which states that a BH can be described with only a finite number of parameters, like its mass, angular momentum, and gauge charge.

In relation to quantum field theory, the possibility of the existence of scalar hair around a BH is an interesting avenue for research. As scalar fields can construct solitonic objects through self-interactions or gravitational interactions, BHs may have an extended scalar hair outside their event horizons. Much effort has been devoted to finding such a stable solution around a BH, and there exist many solutions around rotating BHs [11,17]. This is because the angular momentum prevents the field from being absorbed into the BH. One may expect that a Coulomb repulsion can play the same role for a charged BH. However, free-field theories include a non-existence theorem for scalar clouds around a non-rotating charged BH [18–21] (see also Refs. [12, 16, 22, 23]). This can be understood by noting that both gravitational and electric potentials behave as $\sim 1/r$ (at least at a large distance from a BH) while the effective potential due to the angular momentum behaves as $\sim 1/r^2$. We cannot make a local minimum by using the former two potentials while we can make the one by adding the latter potential.

In this paper, we demonstrate the first examples of O(3) solutions for Q-clouds around a non-extremal and non-rotating BH in the Abelian gauge theory with a complex scalar field, which are realized by introducing the self-interaction of the scalar field.1 We consider a charged BH and introduce an attractive self-interaction in the charged scalar field. The attractive self-interaction of the scalar field allows the flat spacetime to form a localized condensate, known as Q-ball [30–33]. This solution may hold even in the presence of a BH at the center of the Q-ball, though the Q-ball may be unstable in this case as the BH absorbs the scalar field at its horizon. However, the gauge interaction prevents the charged scalar field from being absorbed into the charged BH if the Q-ball and the BH have charges of the same sign. We find that a stable solution can be constructed when these effects are in balance. We also discuss the evolution of the Q-ball hair and show that an initially near-extremal BH evolves into a non-extremal BH with scalar hair. The existence of such Q-ball hair is supported by the weak-gravity conjecture.

Charged BH and O(3) scalar hair.– We consider a Reissner-Nordström BH, which is a non-rotating charged BH. It is described by the following metric:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{r_+^2} dt^2 + \frac{\Delta}{r^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta d\phi + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (1)$$

We use the Planck unit $G = c = \hbar = 1$ throughout this paper. We define

$$\Delta \equiv r^2 - 2M_{\text{BH}} r + Q_{\text{BH}}^2, \quad (2)$$

where $M_{\text{BH}}$ and $Q_{\text{BH}}$ are the mass and charge of the BH, respectively. The horizons become the zeroes of $\Delta$, which are given as

$$r_{\pm} \equiv M_{\text{BH}} \pm \sqrt{M_{\text{BH}}^2 - Q_{\text{BH}}^2}. \quad (3)$$

1 Yang-Mills hair around a charged BH was studied in Refs. [24–29]. Proca clouds were studied in Ref. [30], and scalar hair around an extremal charged BH was studied in Refs. [31, 32]. For Q-clouds around a Kerr (rotating) BH, see Refs. [33, 34].
The charge of the BH induces an electrostatic potential outside the horizon.

We introduce a complex scalar field $\Phi$ that has charge $q$ under the same Abelian gauge symmetry. This scalar field also induces an electrostatic potential in the outer region. After adopting the following ansatz,

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \phi(r)e^{-i\omega t},$$

which is motivated by the Q-ball solution in the flat spacetime, we obtain the following equations for $\phi$ and the zeroth component of gauge field $A_0$:

$$\Delta \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\Delta \phi}{dr} \right) + r^4 g^2 \phi - \Delta r^2 V'(\phi) = 0,$$

$$r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) - \frac{g^2}{\Delta} \phi = 0,$$

where we define $g \equiv \omega_c + qA_0$. The boundary conditions are given by

$$\phi'(r_+) = V'(\phi(r_+))\frac{r^2}{r_+ - r_-}, \quad \phi(\infty) = 0,$$

$$g(r_+) = 0, \quad g(\infty) = \omega_c.$$

Next, we consider the case in which the mass and charge of the scalar field are much smaller than those of the BH so that we can treat the metric and the U(1) gauge field as the background. Then, the gauge-field background is given by $A_0 = -Q_{BH}/r$ and the equations of motion reduce to Eq. (5) with $g = \omega_c - qQ_{BH}/r$.

We are only interested in stationary solutions, so $\omega_c$ must be equal to

$$\omega_c \equiv \frac{qQ_{BH}}{r_+}.\quad (9)$$

Otherwise, the above equation asymptotically approaches

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dr^2} + (\omega_\phi - \omega_c)^2 \phi \sim 0,$$

near the horizon, with $dr_+/dr \equiv r^2/\Delta$, which gives an incoming or outgoing (i.e., not stationary) wave solution$^2$,

$$\phi(r) \sim e^{-i(\omega_\phi - \omega_c)r}.$$

along with the factor $e^{-i\omega_\phi t}$. The stationary condition, $\omega_\phi = \omega_c$, is known to be at the threshold for superradiance$^{[13],[39]}$.

For Q-balls in flat spacetime, the phase velocity $\omega_\phi$ is equal to the derivative of the Q-ball energy with respect to the Q-ball charge, which is the chemical potential of the Q-ball$^{[40]}$. Therefore if $\omega_\phi$ is smaller than the mass of $\Phi$ in vacuum, the energetically favored behavior is for a particle to be localized to form a Q-ball. In the presence of a BH at the center of the Q-ball, a U(1) gauge interaction and a charged BH are needed to construct a stationary solution. The U(1) gauge interaction prevents the scalar field from being absorbed into the BH if the BH and Q-ball have charges of the same sign. This behavior can also be understood from Eq. (5): $\omega_c$ vanishes if the BH has no charge and cannot be equal to $\omega_\phi$.$^3$

**Examples of scalar hair.** We shall next discuss the properties of Q-ball hair that specify the scalar potential. First, we consider the case in which $V(|\Phi|)$ is given by a polynomial potential:

$$V(\Phi) = \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 - \lambda |\Phi|^4 + A|\Phi|^6.$$

We assume $A > \lambda^2/4\mu^2$ so that $\Phi = 0$ is a true vacuum.

Let us begin to consider the limiting case in which the gauge charge is vanishingly small and the Q-ball radius is considerably larger than the BH radius; thus, the effect of the BH is negligible. In this case, we can construct Q-balls just as we do in flat spacetime. In the thin-wall limit of the Q-ball$^{[30]}$, the phase velocity $\omega_\phi$ and the Q-ball radius $R_Q$ are given by

$$\omega_\phi \simeq \mu \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2/4A\mu^2},$$

$$R_Q \simeq \left( \frac{3AQ_{\Phi}}{4\pi\lambda\omega_\phi} \right)^{1/3}.\quad (14)$$

For a smaller and thicker Q-ball, $\omega_\phi$ is larger than this value but is smaller than $\mu$. So there exists a Q-ball solution only when $\mu \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2/4A\mu^2} < \omega_\phi < \mu$. In the presence of a BH, $\omega_\phi$ must be equal to $\omega_c$ so that no energy flows at the BH surface. Thus, there exists a Q-ball solution when $\mu \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2/4A\mu^2} < \omega_c < \mu$. In other words, we can always construct an O(3) Q-ball hair around a charged BH if there exists a large Q-ball solution in flat spacetime and if this solution satisfies $\omega_\phi = \omega_c$.

When the Q-ball size, $R_Q$, is comparable to the BH size, $r_+$, the equation (5) can only be solved numerically. The shooting method can be used for this solution. The field value at the center of the Q-ball, $\phi_0$, is chosen in such a way that $\phi(r)$ approaches 0 for the range $r \to \infty$. The unknown parameters we should specify are $M_{BH}$, $Q_{BH}$, $\mu$, $q$, and parameters that govern the self-interaction. Here we note that equation Eq. (5) does not change with the following rescaling:

$$M_{BH} \to cM_{BH}, \quad Q_{BH} \to cQ_{BH}, \quad r \to cr, \quad \omega_\phi \to \omega_\phi/c, \quad \mu \to \mu/c, \quad q \to q/c, \quad \phi \to \phi/c. \quad (15)$$

---

$^2$ The minus sign in the exponent is determined by the fact that the wave in BH background must be ingoing in a frame co-rotating with the horizon.

$^3$ In the case of a Kerr BH, rotation prevents absorption into the BH, and the angular momentum contributes to $\omega_c$.$^{[33],[39]}$
We use invariant combinations under this rescaling, such as $Q_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{BH}}, r/M_{\text{BH}}, \omega/\mu, q/\mu,$ and $\phi/\mu,$ to show the numerical results. Note that the energy and charge of Q-ball can always be made much smaller than the mass and charge of the BH by choosing a small value of $\epsilon$ in the rescaling. Therefore, there always exists a parameter space in which back-reactions of the Q-ball to the metric and gauge potential are negligible. We can also rescale $\phi$ so that $\lambda = 1$ without losing generality. We take $A = \lambda^2/3\mu^2$ as an example. We numerically determine the size of the Q-ball by identifying the radius at which 90% of the Q-ball charge is enclosed.

From our numerical simulations, we find that Q-balls can only exist when $\mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\mu^2}} = \mu/2 \lesssim \omega_0 \lesssim \mu$ for $M_{\text{BH}} \mu \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1),$ which is consistent with the above discussion about large Q-balls. We also find that $\omega_0$ must by very close or equal to unity for $M_{\text{BH}} \mu \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and that no Q-ball solution exists for $M_{\text{BH}} \mu \gg 1.$ For example, the upper bounds are $M_{\text{BH}} \mu \approx 8.0, 8.7, 12$ for the cases of $Q_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{BH}} = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9,$ respectively.

The possibility existence of Q-ball hair around extremal BHs is an interesting question [31, 32]. However, the boundary conditions at the surface of an extremal BH do not uniquely determine a Q-ball solution because the equation is regular at $r = r_+$ only if $\phi(r_+) = \phi'(r_+) = 0.$ Instead, we consider near-extremal BHs with $Q_{\text{BH}} = (1 - \epsilon)M_{\text{BH}}$ for small values of $\epsilon.$ Figure 4 shows Q-ball profiles for the cases $\epsilon = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5},$ where we take $q/\mu = 0.8$ and $\mu M_{\text{BH}} = 2.$ We can see that the width of the Q-ball, defined by $R_Q - r_+,$ becomes small at the extremal limit of the BH. Fig. 2 plots the Q-ball width as a function of $\epsilon$ for the cases of $q/\mu = 0.8$ and $\mu M_{\text{BH}} = 0.5, 1, 2.$ The width of the Q-ball hair for the case of $\mu M_{\text{BH}} = 2$ can be arbitrarily short in the extremal limit of BH. However, we note that this is not a generic feature for a relatively large $\mu M_{\text{BH}}.$ We find that the results are qualitatively different for the case of $q\mu = 1.$

The behavior of the width in the extremal limit of BH can be roughly understood by the following heuristic argument. The regime of $2\sqrt{2} \ll (r + r_+)/r_+ \equiv x \ll 1$ and $\epsilon \ll 1$, we can use $\Delta \simeq r^2 x^2$ and $r \simeq r_+$ and approximate the equation of motion as

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \phi}{x \partial x} + \frac{r^2 q^2}{x^2} \phi - \frac{r^2 V'}{x^2} = 0. \quad (16)$$

This equation respects the conformal symmetry under the approximation, namely it is invariant with respect to the rescaling of $x.$ Therefore the typical size of the solution is not determined by this equation itself but is determined by the full equation beyond the “ultraviolet” or “infrared” cutoffs of this equation. These cutoffs are given by $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ because of the approximation we used to derive the equation. Thus we expect that the width of the Q-ball hair is proportional to either $\epsilon^{1/2}$ or $\epsilon^0$ for a small $\epsilon,$ depending on the parameters. This is consistent with the results of our numerical calculation shown in Fig. 2.

Next, we consider the case in which $V(|\Phi|)$ is given as a logarithmic potential:

$$V(\Phi) = \mu^4 \ln (1 + |\Phi|^2/\mu^2). \quad (17)$$

This is motivated by the flat directions in gauge-mediated supersymmetric models [33, 42], where we rescale $\Phi$ to absorb a supersymmetric breaking scale without losing generality. The potential is almost flat for $|\Phi| \gg \mu.$

In the limit of a large Q-ball and small $q,$ we can neglect the effects of both gravity and gauge potential and obtain

$$\omega_0 \simeq \sqrt{2\pi Q_0^{1/4}} \mu \simeq \sqrt{2\pi \mu^2/\phi_0}, \quad (18)$$

$$R_Q \simeq \frac{\pi}{\omega_0}, \quad (19)$$

where $\phi_0$ is the field value at the center of the Q-ball and we neglect logarithmic corrections for the sake of simplicity. In this case, $\omega_0$ can be made arbitrary small by taking a large value of $Q_0.$ We can then construct a stationary solution of the Q-ball hair for an arbitrary...
small $\omega_c$. Note that the Q-ball radius is determined by $\omega_c$, which is the typical mass scale of the potential at $\phi = \phi_0$.

When $R_Q \sim r_+$ and $Q_{BH} \ll M_{BH}$, $\omega_\phi = \omega_c$ remains a parameter that determines the overall behavior of the solution to equation (5). Thus, we expect that the Q-ball width, $R_Q - r_+$, is on the order of $1/\omega_c$. Figure 3 shows how the Q-ball width depends on $M_{BH}$ for the cases with $Q_{BH} = 0.1 M_{BH}$ and $q/\mu = 0.1, 1, 10$ (solid lines) and $q = 1/M_{BH}$ (dashed line). We find that $(R_Q - r_+) \sim \pi/\omega_c$ even for $R_Q - r_+ \ll r_+$ and that there exists a Q-ball solution for an arbitrarily large $M_{BH}/\mu$. Hence, we can construct a Q-ball hair with an arbitrarily short thickness by taking a large value of $\omega_c$ (or $\mu$). This finding contrasts with the case of the polynomial potential, in which the Q-ball cannot be much thinner than a non-extremal BH because of the upper bound on $M_{BH}/\mu$.

Figure 4 plots the width of the Q-ball as a function of $\epsilon$ to show the Q-ball behavior at the near-extremal limit. As in the case of the polynomial potential, the width can be arbitrarily short for a near-extremal BH in the limit of $\epsilon \to 0$ for the cases of $\mu M_{BH} = 1$ and 10. These results are consistent with our heuristic argument around Eq. (16) because the width is proportional to either $\epsilon^{1/2}$ or $\epsilon^2$ for a small $\epsilon$. We also find that two solutions of the Q-ball hair exist for the case of $\mu M_{BH} = 10$ in the range of $10^{-5} \lesssim \epsilon \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-2}$. A similar feature can also be found for gauged Q-balls in the flat spacetime [37].

**Evolution of Q-ball hair.**— Finally, we consider the evolution of a system with a fixed total charge $Q_{tot} = Q_\phi + Q_{BH}$. In the limit $R_Q \gg r_+$, we can approximate the Q-ball solution from the solution in flat spacetime. Figure 5 shows a schematic of two curves: $\omega_\phi = \omega_\phi(Q_\phi)$ and $\omega_\phi = \omega_c(Q_{BH})$ with fixed $Q_{tot} = M_{BH}$.[4] If these curves intersect, there exists a stationary solution of a BH with Q-ball hair.

Note that under the superradiance condition, i.e., $\omega_\phi < \omega_c$, and if superradiant instability occurs, the energy and charge of the BH will be extracted by the Q-ball. As the Q-ball extracts the charge of the BH, $\omega_c \propto Q_{BH}$ decreases. On the other hand, if $\omega_\phi > \omega_c$, the charge of the Q-ball is absorbed into the BH and $\omega_c$ increases. In both cases, $\omega_\phi$ changes only slightly, so the system will

![Figure 3: Q-ball width as a function of $M_{BH}/\mu$ for the logarithmic potential. We take $Q_{BH} = 0.1 M_{BH}$ and $q = 0.1 \mu$ (red curve), $\mu$ (green curve), $10 \mu$ (blue curve). We take $q = 1/M_{BH}$ for the dashed line.](image)

![Figure 4: Q-ball width as a function of $\epsilon$ for the logarithmic potential. We take $q/\mu = 0.8$ and $\mu M_{BH} = 0.1$ (red curve), $1$ (green curve), $10$ (blue curve). Two solutions of the Q-ball hair exist for the case $\mu M_{BH} = 10$ and $10^{-5} \lesssim \epsilon \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-2}$.](image)

![Figure 5: $\omega_c Q_\phi$ relation for a fixed $Q_{tot} = Q_\phi + Q_{BH}(= M_{BH})$, where $i = \phi$ (blue curve) and $c$ (red curve). We set $A/\mu = \lambda = 1, q/\mu = 1.2$ as an example. When $q > \mu$, which is suggested by the weak-gravity conjecture, the two lines $\omega_\phi$ and $\omega_c$ intersect with each other. To plot $\omega_\phi$, we use the polynomial potential with cubic and quadratic terms in flat spacetime as an example [43]. This curve asymptotically approaches the value calculated by the thin-wall approximation, $\omega_{thin}$, for $Q_\phi \to \infty$.](image)
eventually reach the stationary solution of Q-ball hair with $\omega_c = \omega_0$. This behavior demonstrates the stability of the Q-ball hair if $\omega_0 = \omega_c$.

Next, we discuss the relation of this work to the weak-gravity conjecture. The conjecture states that there must exist a charged particle with mass $\mu$ and charge $q$ that satisfy

$$q > \mu. \quad (20)$$

Note that $\omega_c$ is maximal and is equal to $q$ for an extremal BH (i.e., for $Q_{BH} = M_{BH}$). These facts imply that there must exist a particle that experiences superradiance around an extremal BH. Now consider a scalar field with self-interactions that allow it to form a Q-ball. This field would resemble the diagram in Fig. 3.

Then Eq. (20) implies that $\omega_c = (\approx q) > \omega_0 (\approx \mu)$ for $Q_0 = 0$ and $Q_{BH} = M_{BH}$ while $\omega_c = (\approx 0) < \omega_0 (\approx 0)$ for $Q_0 = Q_{tot} (\approx M_{BH})$ and $Q_{BH} = 0$. This statement means that the curves of $\omega_c$ and $\omega_0$ must intersect if we take $Q_{tot} = M_{BH}$. The existence of Q-ball hair is thus supported by the weak-gravity conjecture.

**Summary and discussion.**—We have constructed Q-ball solutions around a charged BH with no angular momentum. There exist simple O(3) solutions for scalar BH hair. If the radius of the Q-ball is much longer than the size of the BH, the solution is simply given as a combination of a Q-ball in flat spacetime and a BH with a certain angular phase velocity. We have also shown that a Q-ball solution exists that is narrower than the BH as certain angular phase velocity.

We have also discussed the evolution of BH hair in a system with fixed total charge and the stability of Q-ball hair. If we begin with a near-extremal BH, Q-ball hair would grow via superradiance, and the system will evolve into a non-extremal BH with Q-ball hair. The weak-gravity conjecture supports the existence of Q-ball hair if the scalar potential admits the formation of a Q-ball in flat spacetime.

Although charged BHs are unlikely to develop during the realistic evolution of cosmological history, the observational possibility of Q-ball hair is still interesting to investigate. The recent observation of a BH shadow by the Event Horizon Telescope has introduced such a possibility [16]. The gravitational lensing of light may be affected by scalar hair around a BH, and this effect could be observed by the Event Horizon Telescope [17, 18]. If the BH hair has a certain type of photon coupling, we may observe photons that are produced from the accretion disc and are then polarized in interactions with the BH hair [19]. Although these works consider a Kerr BH, Q-ball hair around a charged BH is also worth investigating.

Finally, we note that near-extremal Reissner-Nordström BHs are well-studied in the context of string theory, as such an object can be described with a D-brane in certain spacetime dimensions [20, 51]. This kind of BH can be also studied using the anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence, since the geometry around the near-extremal BH reduces to the AdS$_2 \times$S$^2$ geometry (see, e.g., Refs. [52, 53]). The properties of Q-ball hair will also be interesting to explore using these approaches, and we leave this investigation for future work.
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