On the Origin of High Energy Neutrinos from NGC 1068: The Role of Non-Thermal Coronal Activity
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ABSTRACT

NGC 1068, a nearby type-2 Seyfert galaxy, is reported as the hottest neutrino spot in the 10-year survey data of IceCube. Although there are several different possibilities for the generation of high-energy neutrinos in astrophysical sources, feasible scenarios allowing such emission in NGC 1068 have not yet been firmly defined. We show that the flux level of GeV and neutrino emission observed from NGC 1068 implies that the neutrino emission can be produced only in the vicinity of the supermassive black hole in the center of the galaxy. The coronal parameters, such as magnetic field strength and corona size, making this emission possible are consistent with the spectral excess registered in the millimeter range. The suggested model and relevant physical parameters are similar to those revealed for several nearby Seyferts. Due to the internal gamma-ray attenuation, the suggested scenario cannot be verified by observations of NGC 1068 in the GeV and TeV gamma-ray energy bands. However, the optical depth is expected to become negligible for MeV gamma rays, thus future observations in this band will be able to prove our model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

IceCube registered first astrophysical neutrinos with energies of TeV–PeV several years ago (Aartsen et al. 2013). However, their origin remains uncertain. Recently, a nearby Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068, which appeared as the hottest spot in all-sky 10-year survey data of IceCube, was reported to be a neutrino source with 2.9-σ confidence level (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2019). Thus, studying possible neutrino production mechanisms in NGC 1068 is a timely task that may provide a key clue for unveiling the origin of the cosmic diffuse neutrino background flux.

Production of very-high-energy (VHE) neutrinos is accompanied by emission of gamma-rays and the luminosity of that component exceeds the neutrino one. NGC 1068 is known as a gamma-ray emitter (Lenain et al. 2010; Ajello et al. 2017; The Fermi-LAT collaboration 2019). However, the reported neutrino flux is higher than the GeV gamma-ray flux (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2019). Thus, it requires a significant attenuation of GeV gamma-rays. Unless one adopts a very exotic spectrum of emitting particles, this implies a presence of enough dense X-ray target photons, $\epsilon_X \sim 1$ keV. The gamma-ray optical depth $\tau$ depends on the X-ray luminosity of this component $L_X$ and the size of the production regions $R$:

$$\tau \approx \frac{\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}}{4\pi c} \epsilon_X^{-1} L_X R^{-1} \approx 10^5 \left( \frac{\epsilon_X}{1 \text{ keV}} \right)^{-1} \frac{L_X}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \frac{R_s}{R},$$

where $L_{\text{Edd}}$ is the Eddington luminosity and $R_s$ is the Schwarzschild radius. Although this estimate is not sensitive to the mass of the source, it suggests that such a
dense X-ray target can exist only in the vicinity of compact objects.

A large number, \( N \sim 10^3 \), of stellar-mass black hole (or neutron star) systems, i.e., X-ray binaries, can produce the neutrinos with the flux level required by the IceCube detection without violating the above criteria. Their typical luminosity distribution in a galaxy is represented by a power-law as \( dN/dL_X \propto L_X^{-1.9} \) ([Swartz et al. 2011]). This implies that the dominant contributor is the higher luminosity systems. However, NGC 1068 hosts only three X-ray binaries with \( L_X \geq 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) ([Swartz et al. 2011]), thus their number is not sufficient at least by several orders of magnitude. The only remaining candidate is the supermassive black holes (SMBHs) at the center of the galaxy. High accretion rate, implied by the intrinsic X-ray luminosity of \( L_X \sim 10^{-2}L_{\text{Edd}} \) ([Bauer et al. 2015; Marinucci et al. 2016]), does not allow an effective particle acceleration in the central black hole magnetosphere. Thus, Emission of very-high-energy neutrinos from the vicinity of SMBHs indicates the operation of efficient non-thermal particle acceleration in the active galactic nuclei (AGNs) corona.

NGC 1068 is a type-2 Seyfert galaxy, which is a class of AGNs, emitting intense electromagnetic radiation in a broad range of frequencies. The intrinsic X-ray emission is generated through Comptonization of accretion disk photons in hot plasma above the disk, namely in corona (e.g., Katz 1976; Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Blinnikov 1977; Pozdnyakov et al. 1977; Galeev et al. 1979; Takahara 1979). Typical size of the AGN corona is about \( \gtrsim 10R_s \). If high-energy particles are accelerated in the corona, the nucleus of NGC 1068 is a plausible candidate for the observed neutrinos, which is consistent with a number of previous studies (see e.g., Begelman et al. 1990; Stecker et al. 1992; Kalashev et al. 2015; Inoue et al. 2019; Murase et al. 2019). There has been no clear observational evidence for the non-thermal coronal activity ([Lin et al. 1993; Madejski et al. 1995]). However, as several corona parameters, e.g., magnetic field strength and corona size, remain highly uncertain, one cannot rule out the presence of high-energy particles in AGN corona. These parameters have a critical impact on the expected non-thermal flux level.

The coronal synchrotron emission is a key for solving this problem as it reflects the non-thermal coronal activity directly and allows determining corona magnetic properties (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 1997; Inoue & Doi 2014). By combining the radio and X-ray spectra, one can also determine the size of coronae. A characteristic feature of the coronal synchrotron emission is a spectral excess in the millimeter-band, so-called mm-excess. However, previous observations had presented inconclusive evidence of such excess in the radio spectra of several Seyfert galaxies. A key observational challenge in registering the excess is the contamination by extended galactic emission and a paucity of multi-band data ([Antonucci & Barvainis 1988; Barvainis et al. 1996; Doi & Inoue 2016; Behar et al. 2018]).

Recently, Inoue & Doi (2018) reported the detection of non-thermal coronal radio synchrotron emission from two nearby Seyferts, IC 4329A and NGC 985, utilizing the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), which enabled multi-band observations with high enough angular resolution to exclude the galactic contamination. These observations provided the first determination of the key physical parameters of the corona: magnetic field strength and its size. Given the coronal parameters constrained by X-ray and radio observations, the non-thermal acceleration process there should be capable of boosting particle energy to the very-high-energy band resulting in the generation of high-energy gamma-ray and neutrino emission ([Inoue et al. 2019]).

Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) radio observations detected a homogeneous emission at the cm-bands from the central parsec of NGC 1068. The reported flux is attributed to the free-free emission ([Gallimore et al. 2004]). This spectrum is not consistent with the fluxes observed at higher frequencies, mm-bands, which shows a spectral excess (see the Sec. “Observational Properties”). Because of the spectral shape the excess component should be produced by non-thermal particles localized in a much more compact region, with the size similar to the one of the corona.

In this Letter, we study the constraints on the non-thermal particles in the corona of NGC 1068 imposed by the observations in the radio and gamma-ray bands. We check if the reported flux of VHE neutrino is consistent with the revealed properties of the corona non-thermal particles. We also discuss the reason why NGC 1068 appears as the hottest spot among other Seyfert galaxies based on the corona scenario.

2. OBSERVATIONAL PROPERTIES

NGC 1068 is one of the nearest and the best-studied Seyfert 2 galaxies in broadband (see, e.g., Pasetto et al. 2019, for details), where the central engine is supposed to be blocked by the dusty torus. It locates at a distance of \( \sim 14 \text{ Mpc} \) (1″ \( \sim 70 \text{ pc} \), Tully 1988).

The mass of the central black hole is still uncertain. It is estimated as \( \sim 1 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) from the measurement of the rotational motion of a water maser disk ([Greenhill et al. 1996; Huré 2002; Lodato & Bertin 2003]). However, the rotation curve is non-Keplerian ([Lodato & Bertin 2003]). The SMBH mass is also estimated as \( \sim 7 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) and \( \sim 1 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) from the polarized broad Balmer emission line and the neutral FeKα line, respectively ([Minezaki & Matsushita 2015]). In this study, we adopt \( 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) as the mass of the central SMBH of NGC 1068.

In centimeter radio observations, the jets are prominent and extend for several kpc in both directions. In the central \( \sim 1'' \) region, the downstream jet emission...
dominates in the centimeter regime. The jet changes its direction at $\sim 0.2^\circ$ away from the nuclear region. This change is presumed to be the result of an interaction with a molecular cloud (Gallimore et al. 1996, 2004; Cotton et al. 2008). At long wavelengths, the VLBA 1.4 GHz, 5 GHz, and 8.4 GHz (“cm-bands”) observations reported the flux of $5.9 \pm 0.5$ mJy with a spectral index of $-0.17$ above 5 GHz and nondetection at 1.4 GHz, indicating strong attenuation below 5 GHz (Gallimore et al. 2004). At 5 GHz, the brightness temperature is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^6$ K, which is too low for synchrotron self-absorption flux unless the magnetic fields are of order of $10^9$ G (Gallimore et al. 1996, 2004). As discussed in Gallimore et al. (2004), the free-free emission is the most likely origin of the 5 GHz and 8.4 GHz flux. The emission region size is $\sim 0.8$ pc with a temperature of $\sim 10^6$ K and the electron density of $\sim 8 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$, which is irrelevant to the central corona and much more extended. At short wavelengths (“mm-bands”), the central compact region starts to dominate the entire emission (Cotton et al. 2008; Imanishi et al. 2018). Together with multi-frequency observations, a possible spectral excess was reported in the nucleus component (Krips et al. 2006; Pasetto et al. 2019). Recently, high angular resolution observations with ALMA detected 6.6 $\pm$ 0.3 mJy and 13.8 $\pm$ 1.0 mJy flux from the core at 256 GHz and 694 GHz, respectively (García-Burillo et al. 2016; Impellizzeri et al. 2019). As the expected free-free component at the mm band based on the VLBA observations is only at $\sim 4$ mJy, a new spectral component is emerging in the mm band.

In X-rays, NGC 1068 was first studied by Ginga (Koyama et al. 1989), where intense iron line was reported together with an estimate for the intrinsic X-ray luminosity of $10^{43-44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Later, it is reported that the observed X-ray emission is due to the reflected component (e.g., Ueno et al. 1994). Utilizing NuSTAR and other existing X-ray observatories, the reflected emission is revealed to be originated in multiple reflection components (Bauer et al. 2015; Marinucci et al. 2016). The intrinsic 2-10 keV luminosity is estimated as $L_X = 7.4 \pm 1 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Marinucci et al. 2016), while according to Bauer et al. (2015) it is $2.2 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. In this Letter, we take the value of $L_X = 7 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ as the fiducial value.

3. CORONAL SYNCHROTRON EMISSION

Fig. 1 shows the cm-mm spectrum of NGC 1068 based on measurements reported by Gallimore et al. (2004); García-Burillo et al. (2016); Impellizzeri et al. (2019), where the beam size is $\sim 2$, $\sim 50$, $\sim 20$ mas, respectively. In addition, we obtain the continuum fluxes at 224, 345, and 356 GHz with beam sizes of 30 mas by analyzing the latest ALMA band 6 and 7 data (2016.1.00232.S, García-Burillo et al. 2019). We also show the archival core region data sets having beam sizes $> 50$ mas as upper limits (Gallimore et al. 1996; Cotton et al. 2008; Pasetto et al. 2019).

NGC 1068 shows a mm-excess similar to those observed in previous objects (Inoue & Doi 2018). However, we cannot claim a firm detection of this component in NGC 1068, because of a paucity of flux measurements, mixture of beam sizes, and the complex source structure. In this letter, motivated by the possible neutrino detection (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2019) and detection of coronal synchrotron emission in other Seyferts (Inoue & Doi 2018), we consider specifically the possibility of coronal synchrotron emission to explain this mm-excess. The coronal synchrotron self-absorption, breaking the spectrum between 300 and 600 GHz, would imply a firm relation between the corona magnetic field and size, putting constraints on the acceleration process at work in the corona.

The excess of NGC 1068 can be reproduced with the coronal synchrotron emission model with parameters of the corona size $R_c = 10 R_*$, the magnetic field strength $B = 100$ G, and the spectral index of non-thermal electrons $p = 2.7$ on top of free-free emission produced in pc-scale region (Gallimore et al. 2004). A much softer spectral index would violate either the ALMA measurements or the flux upper limit at 351 GHz (Fig. 1). The required coronal size is consistent with optical–X-ray spectral fit-
The coronal synchrotron emission scenario is also supported by observations of the electromagnetic emission from NGC 1068 utilizing the derived coronal parameters based on the possible mm-excess (see Fig. 1). Observations of the electromagnetic emission constrain the parameters of the gamma-ray and neutrino production model (Inoue et al. 2019) except for the energy injection ratio between protons and electrons and the gyro factor $\eta_g$, which is the mean free path of a particle in units of the gyroradius.

Particles are expected to be accelerated by the diffusive shock acceleration. Other mechanisms such as magnetosphere, turbulence, or reconnection can not explain the required electron distribution for the coronal synchrotron emission because of high accretion rate and low magnetic field strength (see Sec. 8.3 in Inoue et al. (2019) for details). Gamma-rays are generated through the Comptonization of disk photons and/or hadronic interactions in coronae. Hadronic interactions generate neutrinos. Because of the intense X-ray and UV photon field from the corona and the accretion disk, $\gtrsim 100$ MeV gamma-rays are significantly attenuated.

Fig. 2 shows the expected gamma-ray and neutrino signals from NGC 1068 together with the observed gamma-ray data (The Fermi-LAT collaboration 2019; Ajello et al. 2017; MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2019) and the IceCube data (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2019). The data is taken from Fig. 7 of IceCube Collaboration et al. (2019), and the 1, 2, and 3 $\sigma$ regions are shown in the plot. For the comparison, we also show the expected sensitivity curves of a future MeV gamma-ray mission, GRAMS (Aramaki et al. 2019) and AMEGO (McEnery et al. 2019). Gamma-ray model curve is the summation of leptonic and hadronic gamma-rays after internal (X-ray corona and UV accretion disk photons) and intergalactic (on EBL photons) attenuation. For hadronic processes, we consider both $pp$ and $p\gamma$ interactions.

We follow the assumptions on the coronal parameters as in Inoue et al. (2019) except for the gyrofactor and parameters determined by the coronal synchrotron model explaining the mm-excess. Considering the measurement uncertainty, in the figure, we plot the model curve region in the range of $30 \leq \eta_g \leq 3 \times 10^4$ for each curve. The darker region corresponds to lower $\eta_g$, in which models extend to higher energies. The injection powers both in protons and electrons are set to equal as assumed in Inoue et al. (2019).

The gyrofactor $\eta_g = 30$ was required in order to explain the measured TeV diffuse neutrinos (Inoue et al. 2019). We note that the model curve with this $\eta_g$ is still acceptable, given the measurement uncertainty (according to the Fig. 4 of IceCube Collaboration et al. (2019), the neutrino spectral index is constrained to a broad range above 2). Further detailed neutrino spectrum will allow us to narrow down the range of $\eta_g$. If future data requires $\eta_g \gg 30$, it will suggest that Seyferts are sub-dominant contributors to the diffuse neutrino background fluxes.

As NGC 1068 is an AGN, it also features a bright accretion disk and a hot corona. The disk and corona emission absorbs gamma rays via pair creation. For the internal gamma-ray attenuation, we consider two cases. One is the “uniform” emissivity case as assumed in (Inoue et al. 2019), while the other is the “screened” case. In the uniform emissivity case, gamma-rays and target photons are uniformly distributed. Gamma rays are attenuated by a factor of $3u(\tau)/\tau$, where $u(\tau) = 1/2 + \exp(-\tau)/\tau - [1 - \exp(-\tau)]/\tau^2$. Here $\tau$ is the gamma-ray optical depth computed from the center of the corona. In the screened case, gamma-rays are assumed to be generated in the inner part of the corona, and the dominant attenuating photon field surrounds it. Since the disk and corona temperature depends on the disk radius (Kawanaka et al. 2008), such configuration can be realized. Then, gamma-rays are attenuated by a factor of $\exp(-\tau)$. Gamma-rays are also attenuated by the intergalactic photons during the propagation to the Earth. In this Letter, we adopt Inoue et al. (2013) for the intergalactic attenuation.

In the screened case, the model can explain the preliminary neutrino signals above several TeV without violating the gamma-ray data. On the other hand, the uniform emissivity model violates the low-energy gamma-ray data. This implies a further detailed study of the
coronal geometry is necessary. Future MeV gamma-ray missions such as GRAMS (Aramaki et al. 2019) and AMEGO (McEnery et al. 2019) will verify our model and help us to understand the coronal geometry, which is not well understood yet.

Due to the internal attenuation, it is not easy for the corona model to explain the entire observed gamma-ray flux data up to 20 GeV, requiring another mechanism to explain gamma-rays above 100 MeV such as star formation activity (Ackermann et al. 2012), jet (Lenain et al. 2010), or disk wind (Lamastra et al. 2016).

5. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

The IceCube collaboration reported NGC 1068 as the hottest spot in their 10-year survey (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2019). Surprisingly, the reported neutrino flux is higher than the GeV gamma-ray flux, which requires different origins and a significant attenuation of GeV gamma-rays from the neutrino production site. This further implies a presence of enough dense X-ray target photons in the neutrino production region in order to attenuate gamma-rays \( \gtrsim 100 \) MeV. Such a dense X-ray target can exist only in the vicinity of compact objects. However, stellar-mass objects such as X-ray binaries cannot explain the whole neutrino flux because the number of such objects in NGC 1068 is several orders of magnitude fewer than requirement. The only feasible candidate is the coronal activity of SMBHs at the center of the galaxy.

NGC 1068 is one of the best-studied type-2 Seyfert galaxies. The nucleus flux in the cm band comes from the free-free emission component (Gallimore et al. 2004). However, at higher frequencies, an excess of core flux is reported utilizing ALMA (García-Burillo et al. 2016; Impellizzeri et al. 2019). We found that the coronal synchrotron emission model can reproduce the observed mm spectrum, which puts constraints on the acceleration process in the corona.

Given the corona parameters revealed with ALMA measurements, we studied the resulting gamma-ray and neutrino emissions from the corona of NGC 1068. Although it is difficult to explain the gamma-ray flux above 100 MeV due to significant internal attenuation effect, the coronal emission can explain the reported IceCube neutrino flux with the gyro factor in the range of \( 30 \leq \eta_g \leq 3 \times 10^4 \). Further neutrino data on NGC 1068 will narrow down the required range of \( \eta_g \). It should be noted that \( \eta_g \sim 30 \) is required for Seyferts to explain the diffuse neutrino fluxes up to 300 TeV (Inoue et al. 2019).

In order not to violate the observed gamma-ray data, the corona cannot be uniform. The dominant attenuating photon field needs to surround the gamma-ray emission region. Since the disk temperature depends on the disk radius, such a configuration can be realized. Future MeV gamma-ray observations will be the critical tool to test the corona scenario.

An important question is what differs NGC 1068 from other nearby Seyfert galaxies. NGC 1068 is not the brightest X-ray Seyfert (Oh et al. 2018). Its observed hard X-ray flux is a factor of \( \sim 16 \) fainter than the one of the brightest Seyfert, NGC 4151. NGC 1068 is a type-2 Seyfert galaxy, and obscured by the materials up to the neutral hydrogen column density of \( N_H \sim 10^{20}\text{cm}^{-2} \) (Bauer et al. 2015; Marinucci et al. 2016). If we correct this attenuation effect to understand the intrinsic X-ray radiation power, NGC 1068 appears to be the intrinsically brightest Seyfert. For example, intrinsically, it would be by a factor of \( \sim 3.6 \) brighter than NGC 4151 in X-ray. As the dusty torus does not obscure coronal neutrino emission, which can scale with accretion power, NGC 1068 might be the brightest source of VHE neutrinos. This could be the reason why NGC 1068 appears as the hottest spot in the IceCube map rather than other Seyfert galaxies.
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