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Abstract

We verify if Gaussian curvature of surfaces and normal curvature of curves in surfaces introduced by Diniz-Veloso [3] and by Balogh-Tyson-Vecchi [1] to prove Gauss-Bonnet theorems in Heisenberg space $\mathbb{H}^1$ are equal. The authors in [1] utilize a limit of Gaussian and normal curvatures defined in the Riemannian approximations scheme $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_L)$ introduced by Gromov to study sub-Riemannian spaces. They show that these limits exist (unlike the limit of Riemannian surface area form or length form), and they obtain Gauss-Bonnet theorem in $\mathbb{H}^1$ as limit of Gauss-Bonnet theorems in $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_L)$ when $L$ goes to infinity. The approach in [3] uses an analogous of Gauss map defined in the unitary cylinder and they show that the curvature defined by the Gauss map corresponds to the curvature of covariant derivative which is null on left invariant vector fields of $\mathbb{H}^1$. The proof of Gauss-Bonnet theorem follows as the classical one. Curvatures do not coincide. For comparison sake we apply the same formalism of [3] to get the curvatures of [1]. With the obtained formulas, it is possible to prove the Gauss-Bonnet theorem in [1] as a straightforward application of Stokes theorem.

To exemplify the Gaussian curvature of [1], we calculate the rotation surfaces of constant curvature in $\mathbb{H}^1$, which are only of three types.
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1 Introduction

In [3] Gaussian curvature for non-horizontal surfaces in sub-Riemannian Heisenberg space $\mathbb{H}^1$ was defined and a Gauss-Bonnet Theorem was proved. The definition was analogous to Gauss curvature of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with particular normal to surface and Hausdorff measure of area. The image of Gauss map was in the cylinder of radius one.

In a recent paper [1], a version of Gauss-Bonnet theorem is given through a limit of Riemannian approximations. The objective of this paper is to compare curvatures defined in [1] and [3], and show that these are not coincident. Furthermore, we show that the limit $K^\infty$ and $k_n$ of Riemannian Gaussian curvatures $K^L$ and normal curvatures $k_n^L$ depend only on one of the two functions that define the geometry of the surface. Also we obtain that $K^\infty d\sigma = d(k_n ds)$ and it is possible to get Gauss-Bonnet theorem of [1] applying Stokes theorem without taking limit.
We suppose that all points of surface $S$ field in $D$ globally defined. We define $f$ $D$ is a unitary vector field in $D$ (these surfaces in terms of horizontal curves. If the rotation surface is obtained by rotating the curve $f$ to normal to $C$ is the Gaussian curvature of surface $S$.

The curvature of $S$ is an orthonormal basis, and the Levi-Civitta connection $\nabla$ on $(\mathbb{H}^1, g^L)$. At points of a surface $S$, where the distribution $D$ does not coincide with $TS$, the intersection $D \cap TS$ has dimension 1, and we obtain a direction that we call characteristic at this point of $S$. We suppose that all points of surface $S$ have this property. The vector field normal horizontal $f_1$ is a unitary vector field in $D$ orthogonal to the characteristic direction which we suppose to be globally defined. We define $f^1$ by $f^1(f_1) = 1$ and $f^1(TS) = 0$. We denote by $f_2$ a unitary vector field in $D \cap TS$ and complete a basis of $TS$ taking $f_3 = e_3 + Af_1$. If $\alpha$ is the angle between $e_1$ and $f_1$, then $f_1 = \cos \alpha e_1 + \sin \alpha e_2$ and $f_2 = -\sin \alpha e_1 + \cos \alpha e_2$. An orthonormal basis of $TS$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, g^L)$ is given by $X^L_2 = f_2$, $X^L_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+A^2}}f_3$. The normal vector in $g^L$ to $S$ is

$$X^L_1 = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L+A^2}}f_1 - \frac{A}{\sqrt{L+A^2}}e^L_3.$$  

The curvature of $S$ in the metric $g^L$ is

$$K^L = \frac{L}{(L + A^2)^2} d\alpha \wedge dA(f_3, f_2) - \frac{L^2}{(L + A^2)^2} dA(f_2) - \frac{L}{L + A^2} A^2,$$

and

$$K^\infty = \lim_{L \to \infty} K_L = -dA(f_2) - A^2.$$  

This formula shows $K^\infty$ depends only on $A$.

We briefly introduce the curvature $K$ of $S$ as in [3]. We consider the dual horizontal normal $f^1 = \cos \alpha e^1 + \sin \alpha e^2 - Ae^3$ as a Gauss map

$$g : p \in S \to (\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, -A) \in C,$$

where $C = \{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$, and

$$K(p) = \lim_{U \to \{p\}} \frac{\int_{g(U)} i(\tilde{f}_1)dV}{\int_{U} i(f_1)dV}$$

is the Gaussian curvature of surface $S$ at point $p$, with $dV = f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3$ and $\tilde{f}_1$ the horizontal normal to $C$. A simple calculation shows that

$$K = d\alpha \wedge dA(f_3, f_2).$$

Observe that the curvature $K$ appears in the expression of $K^L$ as a term that goes to 0 when $L \to \infty$.

We finish this work by calculating the surfaces invariant by rotations around $z$-axis with $K^\infty$ constant. A surface invariant by rotations is foliated by the horizontal curves which are tangents to $f_2$ (they are unique up to rotations). We write the ordinary differential equations which give these surfaces in terms of horizontal curves. If the rotation surface is obtained by rotating the curve $(r(t), 0, c(t))$ around the $z$-axis, then by a parameterization satisfying

$$\theta' = \frac{\sqrt{1 - (r')^2}}{r}$$  

(1)
we obtain the other equations

\[ K^\infty + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\ln r^2) + \frac{d}{dt} (\ln r^2) = 0, \quad (2) \]
\[ e' = \frac{1}{2} r \sqrt{1-(r')^2}. \quad (3) \]

In case of constant Gaussian curvature \( K^\infty \), we integrate these equations and give the graphics of these surfaces which are solutions of (1), (2), (3). There exists only three classes of rotation surfaces with \( K^\infty \) constant, which is different from the numerous rotation surfaces of constant curvature in Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) or those in \( \mathbb{H}^1 \) with \( K \) constant.

For all the surface graphics we show the horizontal curves inside them.

For more details on these topics see [2], [4].

This work was supported by CAPES.

2 The Heisenberg group

We denote by \( \mathbb{H}^1 \) the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group whose manifold is \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), with Lie algebra \( H^1 = V_1 \oplus V_2 \), \( \dim V_1 = 2 \), \( \dim V_2 = 1 \) and \([V_1, V_1] = V_2\), \([V_1, V_2] = 0\). Since \( \mathbb{H}^1 \) is nilpotent, the exponential map \( \exp : H^1 \to \mathbb{H}^1 \) is a diffeomorphism. Let \( e_1, e_2 \) be a basis of \( V_1 \) and \( e_3 = [e_1, e_2] \in V_2 \). Applying the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula we have

\[ \exp^{-1}(\exp(X) \exp(Y)) = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y], \]

where \( X = x_1 e_1 + y_1 e_2 + z_1 e_3 \) and \( Y = x_2 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + z_2 e_3 \). As \([e_1, e_2] = e_3\) we get

\[ X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] = (x_1 + x_2)e_1 + (y_1 + y_2)e_2 + (z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1))e_3. \]

We identify \( \mathbb{H}^1 \) with \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) by identifying \((x, y, z)\) with \( \exp(x e_1 + y e_2 + z e_3) \), and this identification is known as canonical coordinates of first kind or exponential coordinates. In these coordinates the group operation is

\[ (x_1, y_1, z_1)(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)), \]

the exponential is

\[ \exp(x e_1 + y e_2 + z e_3) = (x, y, z), \]

and the left invariant vector fields \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) are given by

\[ e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \]
\[ e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \]
\[ e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \]

with brackets \([e_1, e_2] = e_3\), and \([e_3, e_1] = [e_3, e_2] = 0\). The dual basis is

\[ e^1 = dx, \]
\[ e^2 = dy, \]
\[ e^3 = dz + \frac{1}{2}(ydx - xdy), \]
with \( de^3 = -e^1 \wedge e^2 \), \( de^1 = de^2 = 0 \).

Let \( D \subset TH^1 \) be the two dimensional distribution generated by the vector fields \( e_1, e_2 \), so that \( D \) is the null space of \( e^3 \). On \( D \) we define a scalar product \(<, >\) such that \{\( e_1, e_2 \)\} is an orthonormal basis of \( D \).

The element of volume \( dV \) in \( H^1 \) is \( dV = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \).

3 The approximation by scalar product \( g_L \)

Consider the \( g_L \) metrics where \( e_1, e_2, e_3^L = e_3/\sqrt{L} \) is an orthonormal basis. The dual basis is \( e^1, e^2, e^3_L = \sqrt{L} e^3 \). Then the Carnot-Caratheodory metric space \( H^1 \) is the limit in the sense of Gromov-Hausdorff of Riemannian metric spaces \((R^3, d_L)\), when \( L \to \infty \). We consider the Levi-Civitta connection \( \nabla^L \) in \((H^1, g_L)\). A straightforward calculation shows that (see [2]):

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^L_{e_1} e_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} (-e^2 \otimes e_3 - e_1^L \otimes e_2) \\
\nabla^L_{e_2} e_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} (e^1 \otimes e_3^L + e_3^L \otimes e_1) \\
\nabla^L_{e_3} e_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} (-e^1 \otimes e_2 + e^2 \otimes e_1).
\end{align*}
\]

The curvature tensor \( R_{L,ijkl} = R_L(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{L,1212} &= R_{L,2121} = -R_{L,1221} = -R_{L,2112} = \frac{3L}{4}, \\
R_{L,1313} &= R_{L,3131} = R_{L,2323} = R_{L,3232} = -R_{L,1331} = -R_{L,3113} = -R_{L,2332} = -R_{L,3223} = \frac{-L}{4},
\end{align*}
\]

and \( R_{L,ijkl} = 0 \) otherwise.

4 Surfaces in \( H^1 \)

Suppose that \( S \) is an oriented differentiable two dimensional manifold in \( H^1 \). We get that \( \dim(D \cap TS) \geq 1 \), and as \( de^3 = e^1 \wedge e^2 \), the set where \( \dim(D \cap TS) = 2 \) has empty interior. We denote by \( \Sigma = \{x \in S : \dim(D_x \cap T_x S) = 2\} \) and by \( S' = S - \Sigma \). The set \( S' \) is open in \( S \). In what follows we will suppose \( \Sigma = \emptyset \), so \( S = S' \). With this hypothesis the one dimensional vector subbundle \( D \cap TS \) is well defined on \( S \). Suppose \( U \subset S \) is an open set such that we can define a unitary vector field \( f_2 \) with values in \( D \cap TS \), so that \( < f_2, f_2 > = 1 \). Suppose \( f_2 = xe_1 + ye_2 \).

**Definition 4.1** The unitary vector field \( f_1 \in D \) defined by

\[
f_1 = ye_1 - xe_2
\]

is the horizontal normal to \( S \).

Then we can define \( f^1 \in (TH^1)^* \) by \( f^1(f_1) = 1 \) and \( f^1(TS) = 0 \). We call \( f^1 \) the horizontal conormal to \( S \). If

\[
f_3 = e_3 - f^1(e_3)f_1,
\]

then \{\( f_2, f_3 \)\} is a special basis of \( TS \) on the open set \( U \). If we write

\[
f_1 = \cos \alpha e_1 + \sin \alpha e_2,
\]

\[
f_2 = \cos \beta e_2 - \sin \beta e_1,
\]

\[
f_3 = \cos \gamma e_3 - \sin \gamma (f^1(e_3)e_1 + f^1(e_1)e_3).
\]
for some real function $\alpha$ on $U$, reducing $U$ if necessary, then

$$f_2 = -\sin \alpha e_1 + \cos \alpha e_2,$$

and if we denote by $A = -f^1(e_3)$,

$$f_3 = e_3 + Af_1.$$

The dual basis of $(T\mathbb{H}^1)^*$ on $S$ is

$$f^1 = \cos \alpha e^1 + \sin \alpha e^2 - Ae^3,$$
$$f^2 = -\sin \alpha e^1 + \cos \alpha e^2,$$
$$f^3 = e^3.$$

The inverse relations are

$$e^3 = f^3,$$
$$e^1 = \cos \alpha f^1 - \sin \alpha f^2 + A \cos \alpha f^3,$$
$$e^2 = \sin \alpha f^1 + \cos \alpha f^2 + A \sin \alpha f^3.$$

As $f^1 = 0$ on $S$, we get

$$df^2 = -Ad\alpha \wedge f^3,$$
$$df^3 = Af^2 \wedge f^3,$$
$$0 = (d\alpha + A^2 f^3) \wedge f^2 - dA \wedge f^3.$$

From this last relation we obtain

$$\alpha(f_3) = -(dA(f_2) + A^2).$$

We have on $TS$

$$d(Af^3) = dA \wedge f^3 + Adc^3$$
$$= i(f_2)dAf^2 \wedge f^3 - Ae^1 \wedge e^2$$
$$= i(f_2)dAf^2 \wedge f^3 - A(- \sin \alpha f^2 + A \cos \alpha f^3) \wedge (\cos \alpha f^2 + A \sin \alpha f^3)$$

$$\quad = (i(f_2)dA + A^2)f^2 \wedge f^3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

5 \hspace{1cm} \textbf{The orthonormal basis of $gL$}

An orthonormal basis of $TS$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, g_L)$ is given by

$$X^L_2 = f_2 \text{ and } X^L_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} f_3. \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

The normal vector in $gL$ to $S$ is

$$X^L_1 = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} f_1 - \frac{A}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} e^3 = \frac{\sqrt{L + A^2}}{\sqrt{L}} f_1 - \frac{A}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} \sqrt{L} f_3. \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

If we write $\cos \beta = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L + A^2}}$ and $\sin \beta = \frac{A}{\sqrt{L + A^2}}$, then our orthonormal basis is

$$X^L_1 = \cos \beta \cos \alpha e_1 + \cos \beta \sin \alpha e_2 - \sin \beta e^L_3$$
$$X^L_2 = -\sin \alpha e_1 + \cos \alpha e_2$$
$$X^L_3 = \sin \beta \cos \alpha e_1 + \sin \beta \sin \alpha e_2 + \cos \beta e^L_3$$

As $d \sin \beta = \cos \beta d\beta$, we get

$$d\beta = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{L + A^2} dA.$$
6 The projection $\nabla^L$ of $\nabla^L$ on $TS$

The connection $\nabla^L$ on $TS$ is defined by

$$\nabla^L_X Y = \nabla^L_X Y - <\nabla^L_X Y, X^L_1 > X^L_1,$$

for $X, Y$ sections of $TS$. We have

$$\nabla^L X^L_2 = <\nabla^L X^L_2, X^L_3 > X^L_3.$$

Taking into account (4) we get

$$\nabla^L X^L_2 = (-\alpha + \sqrt{L} e^3_L) \otimes (\cos \alpha e_1 + \sin \alpha e_2) + \sqrt{L} (\cos \alpha e^1 + \sin \alpha e^2) \otimes e^L_3$$

and

$$e^1 = \cos \beta \cos \alpha X^L_1 - \sin \alpha X^L_2 + \sin \beta \cos \alpha X^L_3$$

$$e^2 = \cos \beta \sin \alpha X^L_1 + \cos \alpha X^L_2 + \sin \beta \sin \alpha X^L_3$$

$$e^3_L = -\sin \beta X^L_1 + \cos \beta X^L_2$$

we get

$$<\nabla^L X^L_2, X^L_3 > = (-\alpha + \sqrt{L} e^3_L) \sin \beta + \sqrt{L} (\cos \alpha e^1 + \sin \alpha e^2) \cos \beta$$

$$= -\alpha \sin \beta + \sqrt{L} \cos(2\beta) X^L_1 + \sqrt{L} \sin(2\beta) X^L_3$$

As $X^L_1$ is null on $TS$ we get

$$\nabla X^L_2 = (-\alpha \sin \beta + \sqrt{L} \sin(2\beta) X^L_3) \otimes X^L_3.$$ 

In the same way as $<\nabla^L X^L_3, X^L_2 >= - <\nabla^L X^L_2, X^L_3 >$, we obtain

$$\nabla X^L_3 = (\alpha \sin \beta - \sqrt{L} \sin(2\beta) X^L_3) \otimes X^L_2.$$ 

Now

$$X^L_2([X^L_2, X^L_3]) = \frac{1}{\cos \beta} e^3_L([X^L_2, X^L_3]) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\cos \beta} e^3_L \left((-\sin \beta \sqrt{L} + \sin \beta \sin \alpha d(\alpha_1) - \sin \beta \cos \alpha d(\alpha_2)) e^L_3 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta} (-\sqrt{L} - d(\alpha e^L_3))$$

7 The limit of curvatures $K^L$ of $S$

Now we will calculate the Gaussian curvature $K^L = <R^L(X^L_2, X^L_3)X^L_3, X^L_2 >$, where $R^L(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$. Therefore

$$<\nabla X^L_2 \nabla X^L_3 X^L_3, X^L_2 > = X^L_2 (\alpha(X^L_3) \sin \beta - \sqrt{L} \sin(2\beta))$$

$$<\nabla X^L_3 \nabla X^L_2 X^L_3, X^L_2 > = X^L_3 (\alpha(X^L_2) \sin \beta)$$

$$<\nabla_{[X^L_2, X^L_3]} X^L_3, X^L_2 > = \alpha([X^L_2, X^L_3]) \sin \beta - \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} \sin(2\beta) X^L_3([X^L_2, X^L_3])$$

$$= \alpha([X^L_2, X^L_3]) \sin \beta - \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} \sin(2\beta) \frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta} (-\sqrt{L} - d(\alpha e^L_3))$$
\[ K^L = X^L_2(d\alpha(X^L_2) \sin \beta - \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} \sin(2\beta)) - X^L_3(d\alpha(X^L_3) \sin \beta) - d\alpha([X^L_2, X^L_3]) \sin \beta + \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} \sin(2\beta)(\frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta}(-\sqrt{L} - d\beta(X^L_2)))]

\[ = d\alpha(X^L_2) \cos \beta d\beta(X^L_2) - \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} 2 \cos(2\beta) d\beta(X^L_2) - d\alpha(X^L_2) \cos \beta d\beta(X^L_2) + \sqrt{L} \sin^2(\beta)(-\sqrt{L} - d\beta(X^L_2)) \]

\[ = \cos \beta d\alpha \wedge d\beta(X^L_3, X^L_2) - \sqrt{L} \cos^2 \beta d\beta(X^L_2) - \sqrt{L} \sin^2 \beta \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} d\alpha \wedge \frac{\sqrt{L}}{L + A^2} dA(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} f_3, f_2) - \sqrt{L} \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} \frac{2}{L + A^2} dA(f_3) - L\frac{A}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{L + A^2} d\alpha \wedge dA(f_3, f_2) - \frac{L^2}{(L + A^2)^2} dA(f_2) - \frac{L}{L + A^2} A^2. \]

Therefore

\[ K^\infty = \lim_{L \to \infty} K^L = -dA(f_2) - A^2. \tag{8} \]

**8 The limit of Riemannian area element of S**

It follows from (7) and (6) that

\[ X^1_L = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L + A^2}} f_1, \quad X^2_L = f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad X^3_L = \sqrt{L + A^2} f_3 + \frac{A}{\sqrt{L + A^2}}. \]

Therefore on S we get

\[ d\sigma_L = X^2_L \wedge X^3_L = \sqrt{L + A^2} f^2 \wedge f^3 \]

since that \( f^1 \) is null on \( TS \). We can see that \( \lim_{L \to \infty} K^L d\sigma_L \) does not exist. In \([1]\), to get an area form on \( S \) it was necessary to multiply \( d\sigma_L \) by \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \) and take the limit as \( L \) goes to infinity to obtain a surface form, i.e.,

\[ d\sigma = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} d\sigma_L = f^2 \wedge f^3, \]

which is the Hausdorff measure on \( S \). Therefore

\[ \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} K^L d\sigma_L = K^\infty f^2 \wedge f^3 = K^\infty d\sigma. \tag{9} \]

**9 The limit of normal curvatures of transverse curves in S**

Suppose \( \gamma(t) \) is a curve in \( S \) such that \( \gamma'(t) = a(t)f_2(\gamma(t)) + b(t)f_3(\gamma(t)) \), where \( b(t) \neq 0 \) for every \( t \). Then \( \gamma'(t) = a(t)X^L_2 + b(t)\sqrt{L + A^2} X^L_3 \) and the unitary tangent vector in the metric \( g_L \) is

\[ T^L(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2(L + A^2)}}(aX^L_2 + b\sqrt{L + A^2} X^L_3). \]

Let’s write \( a^L = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2(L + A^2)}} \) and \( b^L = \frac{bL + A^2}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2(L + A^2)}} \), so that \( T^L = a^L X^L_2 + b^L X^L_3 \). Then

\[ \nabla^L_{T^L} T^L = \frac{d}{dt} a^L X^L_2 + \frac{d}{dt} b^L X^L_3 + a^L \nabla^L_{T^L} X^L_2 + b^L \nabla^L_{T^L} X^L_3 \]

\[ = \frac{d}{dt} a^L X^L_2 + \frac{d}{dt} b^L X^L_3 + (-\sin \beta d\alpha(T^L) + \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2} \sin(2\beta) X^3_L(T^L))(a_L X^L_3 - b^L X^L_2) \]
Let be \( N^L = -b^L X_2^L + a^L X_3^L \). Then \( k_n^L = < \nabla^L T^L, N^L > \), so

\[
k_n^L = -bL \frac{a}{L} + aL \frac{b}{L} + (\sin \beta d\alpha(T^L) + \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}} \sin(2\beta) X_3^L(T^L))
\]

It follows from this formula that

\[
k_n = \lim_{L \to \infty} k_n^L = A \frac{b}{|b|}
\]

We can see, from expressions \( K^\infty = -dA(f_2) - A^2 \) and \( k_n = A \frac{b}{|b|} \), that both formulas depend only on \( A \). This means that the "horizontal" geometry of the surface \( S \) disappears as \( L \) goes to infinity. This will become clear as we proceed to find the rotation surfaces with \( K^\infty \) constant, which are composed only of three families.

### 10 The limit of length elements

The length element in the metric \( g^L \) on \( \gamma \) is

\[
ds_L = a^L X_2^L + b^L X_3^L.
\]

As \( \lim_{L \to \infty} a^L = 0 \) and \( \lim_{L \to \infty} b^L = \frac{b}{|b|} \), we get

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} ds_L = \lim_{L \to \infty} (a^L f_2^2 + b^L \sqrt{L + A^2} f^3) = \frac{b}{|b|} f^3 \lim_{L \to \infty} \sqrt{L + A^2}
\]

that does not exist. But as in \([1]\) and section \([8]\) if we multiply by \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \) we obtain

\[
ds = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} ds_L = b f^3,
\]

which is Hausdorff measure for transversal curves. It follows that

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} k_n^L ds_L = k_n ds = Af^3. \tag{10}
\]

### 11 The Gauss-Bonnet theorem

The proof of Gauss-Bonnet theorem in \([1]\) was done taking limits of Gauss-Bonnet formulas in \((R^3, g_L)\) as \( L \) goes to infinity:

\[
\int_S \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} K^L d\sigma_L + \int_{\partial S} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} k_n^L ds_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} 2\pi \chi(S)
\]

to obtain \( \int_S K^\infty d\sigma + \int_{\partial S} k_n ds = 0. \)
We will give below a straightforward proof due to expressions of $K^\infty$ and $k_n$ obtained in sections 7 and 9. We will restrict our theorem to regions where points are non singular and the boundary is constituted by transverse curves.

Let be $R \subset S$ a fundamental set, and $c$ a fundamental 2-chain such that $|c| = R$. The oriented curve $\gamma = \partial c$ is the bounding curve of $R$. The curve $\gamma$ is piecewise differentiable, and composed by differentiable curves $\gamma_j : [s_j, s_{j+1}] \rightarrow S$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$, with $\gamma_1(s_1) = \gamma_r(s_{r+1})$ and $\gamma_j(s_{j+1}) = \gamma_{j+1}(s_{j+1})$, for $j = 1, \ldots, r - 1$.

**Theorem 11.1 (Gauss-Bonnet formula)** Let $R$ be contained in a coordinate domain $U$ of $S$, let the bounding curve $\gamma$ of $R$ be a simple closed transverse curve. Then

$$\int_\gamma k_n + \int_R K^\infty = 0,$$

where $k_n = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} k_n^L$ on $\gamma$ and $K^\infty = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} K^L$ on $R$.

**Proof.** From Stokes theorem and using (5) we get

$$\int_R K^\infty = \int_c K^\infty f^2 \wedge f^3 = \int_c (-i(f_2)dA - A^2) f^2 \wedge f^3 = \int_c d(-Af^2) = -\int_{\partial c} Af^2 = -\int_\gamma k_n.$$

**12 The curvature $K^\infty$ for surfaces invariant by rotations**

Suppose $(a(t), b(t))$ is a curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $(a(t), b(t), c(t))$ a horizontal curve in $\mathbb{H}^1$ such that

$$c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [a(s)b'(s) - b(s)a'(s)]ds.$$

Rotating this curve we obtain a surface $S$ invariant by rotations given by

$$f(u, v) = (a(v) \cos u - b(v) \sin u, b(v) \cos u + a(v) \sin u, c(v)).$$

The coordinate vector fields are

$$f_u = f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}) = (-a(v) \sin u - b(v) \cos u)e_1 + (-b(v) \sin u + a(v) \cos u)e_2 - \frac{1}{2}(a(v)^2 + b(v)^2)e_3$$

and

$$f_v = f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}) = (a'(v) \cos u - b'(v) \sin u)e_1 + (a'(v) \sin u + b'(v) \cos u)e_2.$$
\[
\sin \alpha(u, v) = \frac{-a'(v) \cos u + b'(v) \sin u}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}}
\]
and
\[
f_1(u, v) = \frac{a'(v) \sin u + b'(v) \cos u}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}} \cdot \frac{a'(v) \cos u - b'(v) \sin u}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}} - c_1 \cdot \frac{a'(v)^2 \cos u - b'(v)^2 \sin u}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}} - c_2.
\]
As \( f_u(u, v), f_v(u, v) \geq a(v)b'(v) - b(v)a'(v) \), we get
\[
f_u - \frac{a(v)b'(v) - b(v)a'(v)}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}} f_2 = - \frac{a(v)a'(v) + b(v)b'(v)}{\sqrt{a'(v)^2 + b'(v)^2}} f_1 - \frac{a(v) + b(v)^2}{2} c_3
\]
so
\[
f_u - \frac{ab' - ba'}{\sqrt{(a')^2 + (b')^2}} f_2 = - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \left( c_3 + 2 \frac{aa' + bb'}{(a')^2 + (b')^2} f_1 \right).
\]
It follows that
\[
f_u = \frac{ab' - ba'}{\sqrt{(a')^2 + (b')^2}} f_2 - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} f_3
\]
where \( f_3 = c_3 + A f_1 \) and
\[
A = 2 \frac{aa' + bb'}{\sqrt{(a')^2 + (b')^2}(a^2 + b^2)}.
\]
From equation \( d \sin \alpha = \cos \alpha \, d\alpha \) we get
\[
d \sin \alpha = \frac{a' \sin u + b' \cos u}{\sqrt{(a')^2 + (b')^2}} (du + \frac{a''b' - b''a'}{(a')^2 + (b')^2} dv)
\]
so
\[
d\alpha = du + \frac{a''b' - b''a'}{(a')^2 + (b')^2} dv.
\]
Introducing polar coordinates
\[
a(t) = r(t) \cos \theta(t), \quad b(t) = r(t) \sin \theta(t)
\]
we get \( a^2 + b^2 = r^2, ab' - ba' = r^2 \theta', aa' + bb' = rr', (a')^2 + (b')^2 = (r')^2 + r^2(\theta')^2, a'b'' - b'a'' = (r^2(\theta')^2 + 2(r')^2 - rr'' \theta' + rr' \theta''). \) Taking a parameterization such that
\[
(a')^2 + (b')^2 = 1,
\]
then
\[
A = 2 \frac{aa' + bb'}{(a^2 + b^2)} = \frac{2rr'}{r^2} = \frac{d}{dv} \ln r^2
\]
Also from \[11\]
\[
f_2(u, v) = f_v(u, v).
\]
and \[8\]
\[
K^\infty = -dA(f_v) - A^2 = - \frac{dA}{dv} - A^2
\]
(13)
13 Surfaces of constant $K^\infty$ curvature invariant by rotations

Suppose that $K^\infty$ is constant on $S$. Solving equation (13) we obtain

$$A(v) = -\sqrt{K^\infty} \tan(\sqrt{K^\infty}x + c_1),$$

for $K^\infty > 0$;

$$A(v) = \sqrt{-K^\infty} \tanh(\sqrt{-K^\infty}x + c_1),$$

for $K^\infty < 0$;

$$A(v) = \frac{1}{x + c_1}$$

for $K^\infty = 0$. From (12) we get:

$$r(v) = c_2 \sqrt{\cos(\sqrt{K^\infty}v + c_1)}$$

for $K^\infty > 0$;

$$r(v) = c_2 \sqrt{\cosh(\sqrt{-K^\infty}v + c_1)}$$

for $K^\infty < 0$;

$$r(v) = c_2 \sqrt{v + c_1}$$

for $K^\infty = 0$. In any case

$$\theta(v) = \int_0^v \frac{1-r'(t)^2}{r(t)} dt$$

and $c(v)$ as in (14). The surface is defined only for $|v| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{K^\infty}} \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{2}{r_0^2 K^\infty} + \sqrt{\frac{4}{r_0^2(K^\infty)^2} + 1}\right)$ and, in figure 1 below, we can see the graphics of $S$ with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = 1$, indicating the horizontal curve.

13.1 Case $K^\infty > 0$

We can write

$$r(v) = r_0 \sqrt{\cos(\sqrt{K^\infty}v)},$$

and $c(v), \theta(v)$ as in (14). The surface is defined only for $v > \frac{R^2}{4}$ and, in figure 1 below, we can see the graphics of $S$ with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = 1$, showing the horizontal curve.

13.2 Case $K^\infty = 0$

We can write

$$r(v) = r_0 \sqrt{v},$$

and $c(v), \theta(v)$ as in (14). The curve is defined only for $v > \frac{R^2}{4}$ and, in figure 2 below, we can see the graphics of $S$ with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = 0$, showing the horizontal curve.

13.3 Case $K^\infty < 0$

We can write

$$r(v) = r_0 \sqrt{\cosh(\sqrt{-K^\infty}v)},$$

and $c(v), \theta(v)$ as in (14). The surface is defined only for $|v| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K^\infty}} \cosh^{-1}\left(-\frac{2}{r_0^2 K^\infty} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{r_0^2(K^\infty)^2}}\right)$ and, in figure 3 below, we can see the graphics of $S$ with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = -1$, showing the horizontal curve.
Figure 1: Surface with $r_0 = 1$ and $K = 1$

Figure 2: Surface with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = 0$
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Figure 3: Surface with $r_0 = 1$ and $K^\infty = -1$