

A GENUINE ANALOGUE OF WIENER TAUBERIAN THEOREM FOR $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

TAPENDU RANA

ABSTRACT. We prove a genuine analogue of Wiener Tauberian theorem for integrable functions on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

1. Introduction

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and \hat{f} be its Fourier transform. The celebrated Wiener-Tauberian (W-T) theorem says that the ideal generated by f in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if \hat{f} is nowhere vanishing on \mathbb{R} . This theorem has been extended to abelian groups. In 1955, Ehrenpreis and Mautner observed that the exact analogue of the theorem above fails for the commutative algebra of the integrable K -biinvariant functions on the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, where $K = SO(2)$ is a maximal compact subgroup. Nonetheless the authors proved that if a K -biinvariant integrable function f on G satisfies a “not-to-rapid decay” condition and nonvanishing condition on an extended strip $S_{1,\delta} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Re \lambda| \leq 1 + \delta\}$ for $\delta > 0$, etc, that is,

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in S_{1,\delta},$$

and “not-to-rapid decay” condition

$$\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{f}(it)| e^{Ke^{|t|}} > 0 \text{ for all } K > 0$$

together with some other conditions then the ideal generated by f in $L^1(G//K)$ is dense in $L^1(G//K)$ (see [EM] for the precise statements). Using the extended strip condition the results has been generalised to the full group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ (see [Rs1]) and to the real rank one semi simple Lie groups (see [BBH], [BWH], [Rs2], [As]). We also refer [En], and [NS] for an analogue of W-T theorem for semisimple Lie groups of arbitrary real rank.

Y. Ben Natan, Y. Benyamini, H. Hedenmalm and Y. Weit (in [BBH, BWH]) proved a genuine analogue of the W-T theorem without the extended strip condition for $L^1(SL(2, \mathbb{R})//SO(2))$. In [PS] the authors extended this result to real rank one semisimple Lie group in the K -biinvariant setting. In this article we generalize the result to the full group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and therefore this improves the corresponding result of [Rs1].

Let G be the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and K be its maximal compact subgroup $SO(2)$. A complex valued function f on G is said to be of left (resp. right) K -type n if

$$f(kx) = e_n(k)f(x) \text{ (resp. } f(xk) = e_n(k)f(x)) \text{ for all } k \in K \text{ and } x \in G, \quad (1.1)$$

where $e_n(k_\theta) = e^{in\theta}$. For a class of functions \mathcal{F} on G (e.g. $L^1(G)$), \mathcal{F}_n denotes the corresponding subclass of functions of right n type and $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ will denote the subclass of \mathcal{F}_n which are also of left type m . We denote the subclass of \mathcal{F} consisting of functions with integral zero by \mathcal{F}^0 .

The main result (Theorem 1.1) of this article is an analogue of W-T theorem to the the full group without the redundant extended strip condition. We first prove the W-T theorem for $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ (Theorem 1.3) for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is the most crucial step in the direction of proving the W-T theorem to the full group. Before stating our main result we introduce some notation. For a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A85; Secondary 22E30.

Key words and phrases. Wiener Tauberian theorem, estimate of hypergeometric functions, resolvent transform.

function $f \in L^1(G)$ its principal and discrete parts of the Fourier transform will be denoted by \widehat{f}_H and \widehat{f}_B respectively. Let $M = \{\pm I\}$ and $\widehat{M} = \{\sigma^+, \sigma^-\}$ which consists the trivial (σ^+) and the non-trivial (σ^-) irreducible representations of M . The representation $\pi_{\sigma^-, 0}$ has two irreducible subrepresentations, so called mock series. We will denote them by D_+ and D_- . The representation spaces of D_+ and D_- contain $e_n \in L^2(K)$ respectively for positive odd n 's and negative odd n 's. For each $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$, \mathbb{Z}^σ stands for the set of even integers for $\sigma = \sigma^+$, and the set of odd integers for $\sigma = \sigma^-$. Moreover, we express $-\sigma$ by $-\sigma^+ = \sigma^-$ and $-\sigma^- = \sigma^+$. We define,

$$S_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Re \lambda| \leq 1\} \text{ and } \Gamma_n = \begin{cases} \{k \mid 0 < k < n \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}\} & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \{k \mid n < k < 0 \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}\} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

For any function F on $i\mathbb{R}$, we let

$$\delta_\infty^\pm(F) = -\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |F(\pm it)|. \quad (1.2)$$

We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of functions in $L^1(G)$ such that the collections $\{\widehat{f}_H^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{\widehat{f}_B^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ have no common zero in $\widehat{M} \times S_1 \cup \{D_+, D_-\}$ and \mathbb{Z}^* respectively. If $\inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_\infty^\pm(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha)_{m, n} = 0$, then the $L^1(G)$ -bimodule generated by $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is dense in $L^1(G)$. Moreover, if the integral of f^α is zero for all α , then the ideal is dense in $L^1(G)^0$.*

For $f \in L^1(G)_n$, the natural domain of the principal part \widehat{f}_H and the discrete part \widehat{f}_B of the Fourier transform is S_1 and Γ_n respectively. To prove Theorem 1.1 we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collections of functions in $L^1(G)_n$ such that the collection $\{\widehat{f}_H^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{\widehat{f}_B^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ have no common zero in S_1 and Γ_n respectively. Moreover, if $\inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_\infty^\pm(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha)_{m, n} = 0$, then the left $L^1(G)$ module generated by $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is dense in $L^1(G)_n$.*

Theorem 1.2 will follow from the theorem below.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of functions in $L^1(G)_{n, n}$ and I be the smallest closed ideal in $L^1(G)_{n, n}$ containing $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ such that the collection $\{(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha)_{n, n} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{(\widehat{f}_B^\alpha)_{n, n} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ have no common zero in S_1 and Γ_n respectively. Moreover, if $\inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \delta_\infty^\pm(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha)_{n, n} = 0$, then $I = L^1(G)_{n, n}$.*

Proof of the theorem above borrows heavily from the ideas and methods of [BBH], [PS] which uses the method of the resolvent transform. In the following we give a sketch of our proof.

1. We will begin by showing that for all λ in $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re \lambda > 0\}$ except for a finite set \mathbf{B} there is a family b_λ such that $\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(i\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - k^2}$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$. For $\Re \lambda > 1$, $b_\lambda \in L^1(G)_{n, n}$ and $\{b_\lambda \mid \Re \lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}$ spans a dense subset of $L^1(G)_{n, n}$. We will show $\|b_\lambda\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ if $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ along the positive real axis.
2. By the Banach algebra theory (using the fact that principal part and discrete part of the Fourier transforms of the elements of I have no common zero), we define $\lambda \mapsto B_\lambda$ as a $L^1(G)_{n, n}/I$ valued even entire function.
3. Let $g \in L^\infty(G)_{n, n}$ such that g annihilates I . We define the resolvent transform $\mathcal{R}[g]$ by

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \langle B_\lambda, g \rangle,$$

Considering g as a bounded linear functional on $L^1(G)_{n, n}/I$, we write

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \langle B_\lambda, g \rangle,$$

where $B_\lambda = b_\lambda + I \in L^1(G)_{n,n}/I$, for all λ with $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$.

4. We need an explicit formula of the function $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$. For this we find a representative $T_\lambda f$ in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ of the cosets B_λ for $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ where $f \in I$ such that $(\widehat{f}_H(\sigma, \lambda))_{n,n} \neq 0$.
5. By the estimates of $\|b_\lambda\|_1$, $\|T_\lambda f\|_1$ and using a continuity argument we get the necessary estimate of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$. Then using a log-log type theorem [PS, Theorem 6.3] we show $\mathcal{R}[g] = 0$.
6. By denseness of $\{b_\lambda \mid \Re\lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}$, it follows that $g = 0$.

Let Ω be the Casimir element of G . In [PS] the solutions $\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{0,0}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{0,0}$ of

$$\Omega f = \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{4} \right) f \quad (1.3)$$

played a crucial role and they are given in terms of hypergeometric functions in [Er]. From [AKS, p.31] observing a formula of $\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ we found a way to derive the second solution of (1.3) in terms of hypergeometric functions. We are also able to write $\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ as a linear combination of $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,-\lambda}^{n,n}$ that is,

$$\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n} = c_\sigma^{n,n}(\lambda)\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n} + c_\sigma^{n,n}(-\lambda)\Phi_{\sigma,-\lambda}^{n,n}. \quad (1.4)$$

It is an essential tool to find the Fourier transforms of b_λ 's.

As in [PS, Lemma 8.1], using asymptotic behaviour $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ near $t = \infty$ we show,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}}{\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}}(a_t)}{e^{2\lambda t}} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

This directly gives $\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(i\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. But for a general n , we also need to find $\widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k)$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$ and for that we need to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\phi_{\sigma,|k|}^{n,n}}{\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}}(a_t)}{e^{2\lambda t}} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Here asymptotic behaviour of $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ is not enough. We have to use the full potential of decay of discrete series matrix coefficient $\phi_{\sigma,|k|}^{n,n}$. From [Ba, Theorem 8.1] we get $\phi_{\sigma,|k|}^{n,n}$ has sufficient decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Using this we proved (1.6) and consequently $\widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - k^2}$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$.

By inverse Fourier transform we find the representative of B_λ . For all but finitely many λ with $\Re\lambda > 1$ we show $B_\lambda = b_\lambda + I$. In general there are some zeros of $c_\sigma^{n,n}(-\lambda)$ in \mathbb{C}^+ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For this reason we have to remove a neighbourhood \mathbf{B}_1 to find estimate of $\|b_\lambda\|_1$ on $|\Re\lambda| > 1$. Using estimate of $\|b_\lambda\|_1$ we find the estimate of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ on $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Re\lambda| > 1\} \setminus \mathbf{B}_1$. We use continuity to get estimate of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ on \mathbf{B}_1 . Similarly using the estimate of $\|T_\lambda f\|_1$ we find the estimate of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ on $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ and consequently we find the necessary estimate of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$. Finally using a log-log type theorem it will follow that $\mathcal{R}[g] = 0$.

Next we extend W-T theorem for $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ to $L^1(G)_n$. From the given collection $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset L^1(G)_n$ and using the isomorphism between L^1 -Schwartz space and its image under Fourier transform we construct a new collection of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ functions $\{g_m * f^\alpha \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma\}$. We show the new collection satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3. Therefore the collection $\{g_m * f^\alpha \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma\}$ is dense in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ and consequently Theorem 1.2 will follow. Following similar idea as above we prove W-T theorem for $L^1(G)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this article most of our notations are standard can be found in [Ba], [AKS], [PS] and [Rs1]. We will denote C as constant and its value can change from one line to another. For any two positive expressions f_1 and f_2 , $f_1 \asymp f_2$ stands for that there are positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 f_1 \leq f_2 \leq C_2 f_1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we will use $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ to denote real and imaginary parts of z respectively.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ be determined by $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}$ and we define,

$$\mathbb{Z}(k) = \begin{cases} \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma : m \geq k + 1\} & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma : m \leq k - 1\} & \text{if } k \leq -1. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The Iwasawa decomposition for G gives a diffeomorphism of $K \times A \times N$ onto G where $A = \{a_t \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $N = \{n_\xi \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$. That is, by Iwasawa decomposition $x \in G$ can be uniquely written as $x = k_\theta a_t n_\xi$ and using this we define $K(x) = k_\theta$ and $H(x) = t$. Let $A^+ = \{a_t \mid t > 0\}$. The Cartan decomposition for G gives $G = K\overline{A^+}K$. Let dg, dn, dk and dm be the Haar measures of G, N, K and M respectively where $\int_K dk = 1$ and $\int_M dm = 1$. We have the following integral formulae corresponding to the Cartan decomposition, which holds for any integrable function:

$$\int_G f(x) dx = \int_K \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_K f(k_1 a_t k_2) \Delta(t) dk_1 dt dk_2, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Delta(t) = 2 \sinh 2t$.

For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ let us define,

$$\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(x) = \int_K e^{(\lambda-1)H(xk)} e_n(k^{-1}) \overline{e_n(K(xk)^{-1})} dk, \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

Then we have for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}$ is a smooth eigenfunctions of the Casimir element Ω that is,

$$\Omega \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n} = \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{4} \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}.$$

Let $\Pi_{n, n}(\Omega)$ be the differential operator on $A \setminus \{1\}$ defined by

$$\Pi_{n, n}(\Omega) f = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(a_t) + 2 \coth 2t \frac{d}{dt} f(a_t) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{n^2}{\cosh^2 t} f(a_t), \quad t > 0.$$

Then from [Ba, p.62, eqn. (13.2)] we get that $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}$ is a solution of the following equation,

$$\Pi_{n, n}(\Omega) f = (\lambda^2 - 1) f. \quad (2.3)$$

We also have the following properties of $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}$:

- (1) $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}$ is a (n, n) type function.
- (2) $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n} = \phi_{\sigma, -\lambda}^{n, n}$, $\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(a_t) = \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(a_{-t})$.
- (3) For any fixed $x \in G$, $\lambda \mapsto \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(x)$ is an entire function.
- (4) $|\phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(x)| \leq 1$ $x \in G$ if $\lambda \in S_1$.

For $f \in L^1(G)_{n, n}$ the principal and discrete parts of the Fourier transform are defined by,

$$\widehat{f}_H(\sigma, \lambda)_{n, n} = \int_G f(x) \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n, n}(x^{-1}) dx \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in S_1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\widehat{f}_B(k)_{n, n} = \int_G f(x) \psi_k^{n, n}(x^{-1}) dx \quad \text{for all } k \in \Gamma_n. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that if $f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$ then $|\widehat{f}_H(\sigma, \lambda)_{n,n}| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\Im \lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ in S_1 . We also have from [Ba, p.30 propn 7.3]

$$\psi_k^{n,n} = \phi_{\sigma, |k|}^{n,n} \text{ for all } k \in \Gamma_n. \quad (2.6)$$

We denote $\mathcal{C}^1(G)$ the L^1 - Schwartz space of G . Suppose $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma$ then the space $\mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n}$ denotes the collection of functions $F : S_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (1) F is continuous on S_1 and homomorphic on $\text{Int } S_1$,
- (2) $F(\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda^{m,n} F(-\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in S_1$, where

$$\varphi_\lambda^{m,n} = P_{m,n}(\lambda)/P_{m,n}(-\lambda) \quad (2.7)$$

is the rational function defined in [Ba, Prop. 7.1],

- (3) $\widehat{\rho}_{H,l,r}(F) < \infty$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where

$$\widehat{\rho}_{H,l,r}(F) = \sup_{\lambda \in S_1} \left| \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^l F(\lambda) \right| (1 + |\lambda|)^r,$$

- (4) $F(k) = 0$ if $nm < 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}$ and $|k| \leq \min\{|m|, |n|, 1\}$.

We note that for the particular case $m = n$, $P_{n,n} = 1$ so the property 2 in the definition of $\mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,n}$ reduces to $F(\lambda) = F(-\lambda)$ and property 4 becomes irrelevant. Let,

$$\mathbb{Z}_{m,n}^1 = \begin{cases} \{k \mid 1 < k < \min\{m, n\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}\} & \text{if } mn > 0, m > 0 \\ \{k \mid \max\{m, n\} < k < 0 \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma}\} & \text{if } mn > 0, m < 0 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } mn \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{C}_B^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n}$ is the set of all functions $F : \mathbb{Z}_{m,n}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then from [Ba, Theorem 18.2] we have the following result:

Lemma 2.1. *The Fourier transform, $f \mapsto (\widehat{f}_H, \widehat{f}_B)$ is a topological isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}^1(G)_{m,n}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n} = \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n} \times \mathcal{C}_B^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n}$. Moreover, the restriction of that isomorphism gives,*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}_H^1(G)_{m,n}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}_B^1(G)_{m,n}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_B^1(\widehat{G})_{m,n}$.

Hypergeometric function: We are going to use the following properties of hypergeometric function,

- (a) The hypergeometric function has the following integral representation for $\Re c > \Re b > 0$,

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 s^{b-1} (1-s)^{c-b-1} (1-sz)^{-a} ds, \quad |z| < 1. \quad (2.8)$$

(see [Ln, p, 239, eqn 9.1.4])

- (b)

$$c(c+1) {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = c(c-a+1) {}_2F_1(a, b+1; c+2; z) + a[c - (c-b)z] {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; c+2; z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty). \quad (2.9)$$

(see [Ln, p. 240, eqn. (9.1.7)])

- (c)

$$\int_0^1 x^{d-1} (1-x)^{b-d-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\Gamma(b-d)\Gamma(c-a-d)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-d)},$$

if $\Re d > 0, \Re(b-d) > 0, \Re(c-a-d) > 0$. (2.10)

(see [Gr, p. 813, 7.512 (3)])

The functions b_λ : Representatives of $B_\lambda, \Re\lambda > 1$

In this section first we find the expression of $\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ and the second solutions of (2.3) in terms of hypergeometric functions. We substitute

$$f(t) = g(t) \cosh^n t,$$

in the equation (2.3). Then we get the following ODE,

$$\frac{d^2 g}{dt^2} + ((2n+1) \tanh t + \coth t) \frac{dg}{dt} + ((n+1)^2 - \lambda^2)g = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

By the change of variable $z := -\sinh^2 t$ the equation reduces to the following hypergeometric differential equation

$$z(1-z) \frac{d^2 g}{dz^2} + (c - (1+a+b)z) \frac{dg}{dz} - \frac{1}{4}abg = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

with $a = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}, b = \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}, c = 1$. Therefore

$$g_1(t) = {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}; 1; -\sinh^2 t \right),$$

is a solution of (2.11) which is regular at origin, so by the uniqueness of regular solution,

$$\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(at) = (\cosh t)^n {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}; 1; -\sinh^2 t \right), \quad t > 0.$$

Also from [Er, p.105, 2.9 (11)] second solutions of (2.11) on $(0, \infty)$ are,

$$g_2(t) = (\cosh t)^{-(1+\lambda+n)} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2} + \frac{n}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{n}{2}; 1+\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right),$$

$$g_3(t) = (\cosh t)^{-(1-\lambda+n)} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-\lambda}{2} - \frac{n}{2}; 1-\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right).$$

We now define,

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(at) = (2 \cosh t)^{-(1+\lambda)} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2} + \frac{|n|}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{|n|}{2}; 1+\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right). \quad (2.12)$$

Then $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,-\lambda}^{n,n}$ both are solutions of (2.3), both has singularity at $t = 0$ and they are linearly independent. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, from [Er, p.110, 2.10(2,3 and 5)] we have,

$$\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n} = c_\sigma^{n,n}(\lambda) \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n} + c_\sigma^{n,n}(-\lambda) \Phi_{\sigma,-\lambda}^{n,n}, \quad (2.13)$$

where σ is determined by $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma$ and

$$c_\sigma^{n,n}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{1+\lambda} \Gamma(-\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\lambda-|n|}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-\lambda+|n|}{2})}. \quad (2.14)$$

We have for $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(at) = e^{-(\lambda+1)t} (1 + O(1)). \quad (2.15)$$

Hence for $\Re\lambda < 0$ and as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(at) = c_\sigma^{n,n}(\lambda) e^{-(\lambda+1)t} (1 + O(1)). \quad (2.16)$$

For simplicity if $f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$ then we denote the principal and discrete parts of the Fourier transform by \widehat{f}_H and \widehat{f}_B respectively. Since $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma$ determines σ we will use $c^{n,n}(\lambda)$ instead of $c_\sigma^{n,n}(\lambda)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) > 0\}$ be the open right half plane and $\mathbf{B} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\sigma} : 0 < \lambda < n\}$ are the zeros of $c^{n,n}(-\lambda)$ in the open right half plane.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$, we define

$$b_\lambda(a_t) := \frac{1}{2\lambda c^{n,n}(-\lambda)} \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t), \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Then for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi > n + 1$, $b_\xi(a_t)$ is positive. Now by Cartan decomposition we extend b_λ as a (n, n) type function on $G \setminus K$ and so b_λ is a solution of (1.3) on $G \setminus K$.

Later on we shall need estimates of $b_\lambda(a_t)$ near $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{B}_1 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k_0-1} B(|n| - 2i - 1; 1)$ where $B(z; 1)$ denotes a Euclidean ball of radius 1 centered at z and $k_0 = \lfloor \frac{|n|}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}_1$, we have*

(i) *There is a positive constant C independent of λ such that for all $t \in (0, 1/2]$,*

$$|b_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C \log \frac{1}{t}.$$

(ii) *There is a positive constant C independent of λ such that for all $t \in [1/2, \infty)$,*

$$|b_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t}.$$

Proof. (i) Since

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t) = (2 \cosh t)^{-(1+\lambda)} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2} + \frac{|n|}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{|n|}{2}; 1+\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right),$$

we first find the estimate of the hypergeometric function near $t = 0$ and then by polynomial approximation of gamma functions we will finally find the estimate of b_λ . Now by (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}; 1+\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right) \quad (2.18) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)} \left[(1+\lambda) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1 \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1; 1+\lambda+2; \cosh^{-2} t \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} \left((1+\lambda) - \frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} \cosh^{-2} t \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + 1, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1; 1+\lambda+2; \cosh^{-2} t \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)$ has no zero in \mathbb{C}_+ and $\cosh t \asymp 1$ near $t = 0$, so for all $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we have

$$\frac{(1+\lambda) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1 \right)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)} \asymp C \text{ and } \frac{\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} \right) \left((1+\lambda) - \frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} \cosh^{-2} t \right)}{(1+\lambda)(2+\lambda)} \asymp C \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

By the same argument and applying the formula (2.9) $k_0 = \lfloor \frac{|n|}{2} \rfloor + 1$ times we can write ,

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}; ; 1+\lambda; \cosh^{-2} t \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} \frac{P_i(\lambda, \cosh^{-2} t)}{Q_i(\lambda)} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + i, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0; 1+\lambda+2k_0; \cosh^{-2} t \right) \end{aligned}$$

where P_i 's are polynomials in λ and $\cosh^{-2} t$ and Q_i 's are polynomials in λ which has no zero in \mathbb{C}_+ such that for all $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\frac{P_i(\lambda, \cosh^{-2} t)}{Q_i(\lambda)} \asymp C$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Now since $\Re(1 + \lambda + 2k_0) > \Re(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0)$ from (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + i, \frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0; 1+\lambda+2k_0; \cosh^{-2}t\right) \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\lambda; n) \int_0^1 s^{\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (1-s)^{\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (1-s \cosh^{-2}t)^{-\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}-i} ds \\
&\quad \text{where } \mathcal{C}(\lambda; n) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda+2k_0)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + k_0\right)}. \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\lambda; n) (\cosh t)^{1+\lambda+|n|+2i} \int_0^1 s^{\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (1-s)^{\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (\cosh^2 t - s)^{-\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}-i} ds \\
&\quad (\text{writing } \cosh^2 t = 1+x \text{ and making the change of variable } s \rightarrow 1-s \text{ we get}) \\
&= \mathcal{C}(\lambda; n) (\cosh t)^{1+\lambda+|n|+2i} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}+k_0-1} s^{\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (x+s)^{-\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}-i} ds. \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Let I be the integration above. Then,

$$|I| \leq \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda-|n|}{2}+k_0-1} s^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (x+s)^{-\frac{1+\Re\lambda}{2}-i} ds.$$

Now we let I_1 be the integration on $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and I_2 on $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then,

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-s)^{\frac{-1-|n|}{2}+k_0} 2^{\frac{-\Re\lambda}{2}} 2^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+i} ds \leq C(\text{independent of } \lambda),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0-1} (x+s)^{-\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}-i} ds \\
&\leq C \frac{2^{-\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}-k_0} (x+\frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}-i}}{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2} + k_0} + C \frac{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2} + i}{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2} + k_0} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+k_0} (x+s)^{-\left(\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+i\right)-1} ds \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{s}{x+s}\right)^{\frac{1+\Re\lambda+|n|}{2}+i} \frac{1}{x+s} ds \\
&\leq C + C \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{2x}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x = \sinh^2 t$ and \log is an increasing function we have, $I_1 \leq C \log \frac{1}{t}$, hence it follows $|I| \leq C \log \frac{1}{t}$ for all $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

We now turn to the estimate of b_λ . Using the inequality above of $|I|$ and applying the expression of $c^{n,n}(\lambda)$ in (2.17) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_\lambda(a_t)| &\leq C \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}\right) \Gamma(1+\lambda+2k_0)}{\Gamma(1+\lambda) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + k_0\right)} \right| \log \frac{1}{t} \\
&\leq C \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}\right) \Gamma(1+\lambda+2k_0)}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0 - 1\right) \Gamma(1+\lambda) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2} + k_0\right)} \right| \log \frac{1}{t} \\
&\leq C \left| \frac{(1+|\lambda|)^{k_0}}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0 - 1\right)} \right| \log \frac{1}{t}
\end{aligned}$$

The last line of the inequality above follows from [PS, Appendix, Lemma A.3] and the fact that $k_0 - \frac{|n|}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}_1$, (where $\mathbf{B}_1 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k_0-1} B(|n| - 2i - 1; 1)$)

$$|b_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C \log \frac{1}{t}, \quad (2.20)$$

for all $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and C is independent of λ .

(ii) Since $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t) \asymp e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t}$ near ∞ and by the definition of b_λ and $c^{n,n}(\lambda)$ we get for all $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_\lambda(a_t)| &\leq C \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)} \right| |\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t)| \\
&\leq C \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\lambda+|n|}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0 - 1\right) \Gamma(1+\lambda)} \right| e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t} \\
&\leq C \left| \frac{(1+|\lambda|)^{k_0-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+\lambda-|n|}{2} + k_0 - 1\right)} \right| e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t} \quad (\text{using [PS, Appendix, Lemma A.3]}) \\
&\leq C e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t} \quad (\text{Since } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, $|b_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C e^{-(\Re\lambda+1)t}$ where C is independent of λ . □

Remark 2.3. The proof of the lemma above shows that to get the estimate of b_λ near 0 and ∞ we only need to remove a neighbourhood of the zeros of $c^{n,n}(-\lambda)$ and origin (when n is odd). If we only remove the zeros of $c^{n,n}(-\lambda)$ and origin but not the neighbourhoods then on both cases the constant on the right hand side will depend on λ , for example for $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $|b_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C_\lambda \log \frac{1}{t}$.

Lemma 2.4. (a) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$, b_λ is locally integrable at e .

(b) For $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$, $b_\lambda \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$.

(c) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$, b_λ is in L^2 outside neighbourhood of e .

(d) For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$, there exists $p < 2$ (depending on λ) such that b_λ is in L^p outside neighbourhood of e .

Proof. Proof of this lemma follows directly from previous Lemma 2.2 and the asymptotic behaviour of $\Delta(t)$ near 0 and ∞ . □

Remark 2.5. By the lemma above b_λ can be written as a sum of L^1 and L^p ($p < 2$) functions on G . Therefore its principal part of the Fourier transform is a continuous function on \mathbb{C} , vanishing at infinity in \mathbb{C} . In fact in the next lemma we are going to find the Fourier transforms of b_λ .

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(i\xi) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and} \\ \widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - k^2}, \text{ for all } k \in \Gamma_n.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For two smooth functions f and g on $(0, \infty)$, we define

$$[f, g](t) = \Delta(t) [f'(t)g(t) - f(t)g'(t)], \quad t > 0.$$

An easy calculation shows that $[f, g]'(t) = [\Pi_{n,n}(\Omega)f \cdot g - f \cdot \Pi_{n,n}(\Omega)g](t)\Delta(t)$. Therefore, for any $b > a > 0$, we have

$$\int_a^b (\Pi_{n,n}(\Omega)f \cdot g - f \cdot \Pi_{n,n}(\Omega)g)(t)\Delta(t) dt = [f, g](b) - [f, g](a). \quad (2.21)$$

Then by similar calculations in [PS, Lemma 8.1] we have the following two results,

$$[\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](\cdot) = 2\lambda c^{n,n}(-\lambda)$$

and if f is an even smooth function on \mathbb{R} then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [f, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](t) = 2\lambda c^{n,n}(-\lambda)f(0). \quad (2.22)$$

CASE 1 : $\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(i\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $f = \phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, g = \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ in equation (2.21) we get,

$$\int_a^b \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(t)\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}(t)\Delta(t)dt = \frac{1}{-\lambda^2 - \xi^2} \left([\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b) - [\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](a) \right).$$

Taking $a \rightarrow 0^+$, we get from (2.22)

$$\int_0^b \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(t)\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}(t)\Delta(t)dt = \frac{2\lambda c^{n,n}(-\lambda)}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2} - \frac{[\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b)}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}.$$

Therefore if we could show $[\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b) \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$ then we will be done.

We note that the existence of limit is guaranteed by the equation above. As like before we can write,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} [\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2\lambda b} \left(\frac{\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}}{\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}} \right)'(b).$$

By the asymptotic behavior of $\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\phi_{\sigma,i\xi}^{n,n}}{\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}}(b)}{e^{2\lambda b}} = 0.$$

Finally for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$,

$$\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(i\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - (i\xi)^2}, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

CASE 2 : $\widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - k^2}$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$.

We note that from [Ba, p.30 propn 7.3] we have $\psi_k^{n,n} = \phi_{\sigma,|k|}^{n,n}$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$.

Let $k \in \Gamma_n$, we put $f = \psi_k^{n,n}$, $g = \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ in equation (2.21) to get,

$$\int_a^b \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(t) \psi_k^{n,n}(t) \Delta(t) dt = \frac{1}{-\lambda^2 + k^2} ([\psi_k^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b) - [\psi_k^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](a)).$$

Taking $a \rightarrow 0^+$, we get from (2.22)

$$\int_0^b \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(t) \psi_k^{n,n}(t) \Delta(t) dt = \frac{2\lambda c^{n,n}(-\lambda)}{\lambda^2 - k^2} - \frac{[\psi_k^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b)}{\lambda^2 - k^2}.$$

Therefore if we could show $[\psi_k^{n,n}, \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}](b) \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$ then we will be done.

From [Ba, p.33 Theorem 8.1] we get that there exist constants $C, r_1, r_2, r_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$|\psi_k^{n,n}(t)| \leq C(1 + |n|)^{r_1} (1 + |k|)^{r_2} (1 + t)^{r_3} e^{-2t}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ for which $|k| \geq 1$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}(k)$.

Now by the asymptotic behaviour of $\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}$ we get,

$$\left| \frac{\psi_k^{n,n}(b)}{e^{2\lambda b} \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(b)} \right| \leq C \frac{(1 + |k|)^{r_2} (1 + b)^{r_3} e^{-2b}}{e^{2\lambda b} e^{-(\lambda+1)b}} \leq C \frac{(1 + |k|)^{r_2} (1 + b)^{r_3}}{e^{(\lambda+1)b}}$$

for a fixed n . Therefore $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\psi_k^{n,n}(b)}{e^{2\lambda b} \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(b)} \right| = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.7. Since for $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$, b_λ is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ and its principal Fourier transform is a well defined continuous function on the strip S_1 , which is also holomorphic in S_1^o . Therefore by analytic continuation we can write for $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$,

$$\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - z^2}, \text{ for all } z \in S_1.$$

We now turn to the estimates of $\|b_\lambda\|_1$ which is essential in §3.

Lemma 2.8. (i) If $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}_1$, $\|b_\lambda\|_1 \leq C \frac{(1+|\lambda|)}{\Re\lambda-1}$ for some $C > 0$.

(ii) $\|b_\lambda\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ if $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ along the positive real axis.

Proof. (i) Since $\Delta(t) \asymp t$ near 0 and $\Delta(t) \asymp e^{2t}$ near ∞ , from Lemma 2.2 we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_\lambda\|_1 &= \int_0^{1/2} |b_\lambda(a_t)| \Delta(t) dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty |b_\lambda(a_t)| \Delta(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t \log \frac{1}{t} + C \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty e^{(1-\Re\lambda)t} \\ &\leq C + \frac{C}{\Re\lambda - 1} \\ &\leq C \frac{1 + |\lambda|}{\Re\lambda - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\lambda = \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi > n + 1$ then $b_\xi(a_t)$ is nonnegative. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_\xi\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} b_\xi(a_t) \Delta(t) dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\cosh t)^{|n|} b_\xi(a_t) \Delta(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi^2 - (n+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last line of the inequalities follows from similar calculation of [PS, Lemma 3.3], which uses (2.10). Hence the proof follows. \square

Lemma 2.9. *The functions $\{b_\lambda \mid \Re \lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}$ span a dense subset of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$.*

Proof. We will show that $\overline{\text{span}\{b_\lambda \mid \Re \lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}}$ contains $C_c^\infty(G)_{n,n}$ and since $C_c^\infty(G)_{n,n}$ is dense in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$, the lemma will follow.

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G)_{n,n}$. Since \widehat{f}_H is entire and it has polynomial decay on any bounded vertical strip (by Paley-Wiener theorem) Cauchy's formula implies that

$$\widehat{f}_H(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\widehat{f}_H(z)}{z-w} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\widehat{f}_H(z)}{z-w} dz, \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

where $\Gamma_1 = (|n| + 2) + i\mathbb{R}$ downward and $\Gamma_2 = -(|n| + 2) + i\mathbb{R}$ upward. Next by the change of variable $z \rightarrow -z$ in the second integral,

$$\widehat{f}_H(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\widehat{f}_H(z)}{z-w} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\widehat{f}_H(-z)}{-z-w} (-dz).$$

We know $\widehat{f}_H(z)$ is an even function, therefore for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\widehat{f}_H(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{2z \widehat{f}_H(z)}{z^2 - w^2} dz. \quad (2.23)$$

Since $\widehat{f}_B(k) = \widehat{f}_H(|k|)$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$, so from (2.23) and together with Lemma 2.6 we get,

$$\widehat{f}_H(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} 2z \widehat{f}_H(z) \widehat{b}_{zH}(w) dz, \quad \text{for all } w \in S_1, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\widehat{f}_B(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} 2z \widehat{f}_H(z) \widehat{b}_{zB}(k) dz, \quad \text{for all } k \in \Gamma_n. \quad (2.25)$$

The decay condition on \widehat{f}_H and Lemma 2.8 imply that the $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ valued integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} 2z \widehat{f}_H(z) b_z(\cdot) dz$$

converges and (2.24), (2.25) implies that it must converge to f . Thus the Riemann sums which are nothing but finite linear combinations of b_λ 's converge to f . So we can conclude that f is in the closed subspace spanned by $\{b_\lambda \mid \Re \lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}$. The lemma follows. \square

3. Resolvent transform

Let $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}$ be the unitization of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ and δ , where δ is the (n, n) type distribution defined by $\delta(\phi) = \phi(e)$ for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)_{n,n}$. Maximal ideal space of $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}$ is $\{L_z : z \in S_1 \cup \{\infty\}\}$ and $\{L'_k : k \in \Gamma_n\}$, where L_z and L'_k are the complex homomorphism on $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}$ defined by

$$L_z(f) = \widehat{f}_H(z) \text{ and } L'_k(f) = \widehat{f}_B(k) \text{ for all } f \in L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}.$$

From now on we will denote I as a closed ideal of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ such that $\{\widehat{f}_H : f \in I\}$ and $\{\widehat{f}_B : f \in I\}$ does not have common zero on S_1 and Γ_n respectively. Since $\delta * f = f$ for all $f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$ so I is also an ideal of $L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}$ and $L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}/I$ makes sense.

In Banach algebra theory if J is a closed ideal of a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} then the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A}/J is

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{A}/J) = \{h \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A}) : h = 0 \text{ on } J\},$$

where $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A} .

From the theory above the maximal ideal space of $L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}/I$ is the complex homomorphism \tilde{L}_∞ and it is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_\infty(f + I) = \widehat{f}_H(\infty) \text{ for all } f \in L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}/I.$$

It also follows that an element $f + I$ in $L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}/I$ is invertible if and only if $\widehat{f}_H(\infty) \neq 0$.

Let λ_0 be a fixed complex number with $\Re \lambda_0 > n + 1$. Then by Lemma 2.4 b_{λ_0} is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the function,

$$\lambda \mapsto \widehat{\delta} - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) \widehat{b_{\lambda_0 H}}$$

does not vanish at ∞ and hence $\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0} + I$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $L^1_\delta(G)_{n,n}/I$. We put

$$B_\lambda = (\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0} + I)^{-1} * (b_{\lambda_0} + I), \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now let $g \in L^\infty(G)_{n,n}$ annihilates I , so we can take g as a bounded linear functional on $L^1(G)_{n,n}/I$. We define the resolvent transform $\mathcal{R}[g]$ of g by

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \langle B_\lambda, g \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1), $\lambda \mapsto B_\lambda$ is a Banach space valued even holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} . So $\mathcal{R}[g]$ is an even holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} .

We need an explicit formula of the function $\mathcal{R}[g]$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{C} . We will show for $\Re \lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$, $B_\lambda = b_\lambda + I$. Also, for $0 < \Re \lambda < 1$ we find a representative of the cosets B_λ in the next section.

4. Representatives of $B_\lambda, 0 < \Re \lambda < 1$ and properties of $\mathcal{R}[g]$

Let λ be such that $0 < \Re \lambda < 1$. For $f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$ we define

$$T_\lambda f := \widehat{f}_H(\lambda) b_\lambda - f * b_\lambda. \quad (4.1)$$

Since b_λ is a sum of L^1 and L^p functions (by Lemma 2.4) $T_\lambda f$ is well defined and the principal and discrete part of Fourier transforms exist on $i\mathbb{R}$ and Γ_n respectively. The proof follows directly from Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < \Re \lambda < 1$ and f be a $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ function on G . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T_\lambda f}_H(i\xi) &= \frac{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda) - \widehat{f}_H(i\xi)}{\lambda^2 + \xi^2}, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \widehat{T_\lambda f}_B(k) &= \frac{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda) - \widehat{f}_B(k)}{\lambda^2 - k^2}, \text{ for all } k \in \Gamma_n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$. Then,*

$$\int_K b_\lambda(a_s k a_t) e_n(k^{-1}) dk = \begin{cases} b_\lambda(a_s) \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n,n}(a_t) & \text{if } s > t \geq 0, \\ b_\lambda(a_t) \phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{n,n}(a_s) & \text{if } t > s \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since b_λ is smooth outside K and $a_ska_t \notin K$ as $s \neq t$, the integral is well defined. Fix $s > 0$, as b_λ is a (n, n) type eigenfunction of Ω on $G \setminus K$ with eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda^2-1}{4}$, the function

$$g \mapsto \int_K b_\lambda(a_skg)e_n(k^{-1})dk$$

is smooth (n, n) type eigenfunction of Ω on the open ball $B_s = \{k_1a_rk_2 \in K\overline{A^+}K \mid r < s\}$. Hence the function $t \mapsto \int_K b_\lambda(a_ska_t)e_n(k^{-1})dk$ is a solution of (2.3) on $(0, s)$ which is regular at 0. Therefore,

$$\int_K b_\lambda(a_ska_t)e_n(k^{-1})dk = C\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < s \text{ and for some constant } C.$$

Putting $t = 0$ in the equation above we get $C = b_\lambda(a_s)$. Therefore for $s > t \geq 0$ we have,

$$\int_K b_\lambda(a_ska_t)e_n(k^{-1})dk = b_\lambda(a_s)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t).$$

Similarly the second case follows. \square

Next we will show $T_\lambda f$ is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ and to do that we will use the following representation of $T_\lambda f$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ and $f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$. Then for all $t > 0$,*

$$T_\lambda f(a_t) = b_\lambda(a_t) \int_t^\infty f(a_s)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_s)\Delta(s)ds - \phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t) \int_t^\infty f(a_s)b_\lambda(a_s)\Delta(s)ds.$$

Proof. Here we are going to use the fact that there exists $k_0 \in K$ such that $k_0a_s k_0^{-1} = a_{-s}$ for all $s \geq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f * b_\lambda(a_t) &= \int_K \int_0^\infty \int_K f(k_1a_s k_2)b_\lambda(k_2^{-1}a_{-s}k_1^{-1}a_t)\Delta(s)dk_1 ds dk_2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(a_s) \int_K b_\lambda(a_{-s}k_1a_t)e_n(k_1^{-1})\Delta(s)dk_1 ds \quad (\text{change of variable } k_1 \rightarrow k_1^{-1}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(a_s) \int_K b_\lambda(k_0a_s k_0^{-1}k_1a_t)e_n(k_1^{-1})\Delta(s)dk_1 ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(a_s) \int_K b_\lambda(a_s k_1a_t)e_n(k_1^{-1})\Delta(s)dk_1 ds \quad (\text{change of variable } k_1 \rightarrow k_0k_1) \\ &= \int_0^t f(a_s)b_\lambda(a_t)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_s)\Delta(s)ds + \int_t^\infty f(a_s)b_\lambda(a_s)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_t)\Delta(s)ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The last line follows from Lemma 4.2. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_H(\lambda)b_\lambda(a_t) &= b_\lambda(a_t) \int_K \int_0^\infty \int_K f(k_1a_s k_2)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}((k_2^{-1}a_{-s}k_1^{-1})\Delta(s)dk_1 ds dk_2 \\ &= b_\lambda(a_t) \int_0^\infty f(a_s)\phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_s)\Delta(s)ds \quad (\text{Since } \phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_{-s}) = \phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n,n}(a_s)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Putting the expressions above (4.2) and (4.3) in the definition of $T_\lambda f$ the result follows. \square

Next we show $T_\lambda f$ is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ for $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ and find the estimates of $\|T_\lambda f\|_1$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ and f be a (n, n) type integrable function on G . Then $T_\lambda f \in L^1(G)_{n,n}$ and moreover if $\lambda \notin B(0; 1) \cup B(1; 1)$, its L^1 norm satisfies,*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1(1 + |\lambda|)d(\lambda, \partial S_1)^{-1},$$

where $d(\lambda, \partial S_1)$ denotes the Euclidean distance of λ from the boundary ∂S_1 of the strip S_1 .

Proof. Proof of the Lemma above follows exactly in the same line as [PS, Lemma 4.4]. \square

Now we summarize the necessary properties of the resolvent transform.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume $g \in L^\infty(G)_{n,n}$ annihilates I and fix a function $f \in I$. Let $Z(\widehat{f}_H) := \{z \in S_1 : \widehat{f}_H(z) = 0\}$. Then*

(a) $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ is an even holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} . It is given by the following formula :

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \begin{cases} \langle b_\lambda, g \rangle, & \Re \lambda > 1, \lambda \notin \mathbf{B} \\ \frac{\langle T_\lambda f, g \rangle}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)}, & 0 < \Re \lambda < 1, \lambda \notin Z(\widehat{f}_H). \end{cases}$$

(b) For $|\Re \lambda| > 1$, $|\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)| \leq C \|g\|_\infty \frac{(1+|\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)}$,

(c) For $|\Re \lambda| < 1$, $|\widehat{f}_H(\lambda) \mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)| \leq C \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty \frac{(1+|\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)}$, where the constant C is independent of $f \in I$.

Proof. (a) **CASE-1** : Let $\Re \lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$ then by (2.4) b_λ is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$. For $z \in S_1$ we have from Lemma 2.6 and 2.7,

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z)} - \frac{1}{\widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(z)} = \lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2$$

so, $(1 - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z)) \widehat{b}_{\lambda H}(z) = \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z)$.

Similarly for $k \in \Gamma_n$ we have,

$$(1 - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 B}(k)) \widehat{b}_{\lambda B}(k) = \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 B}(k).$$

So

$$(\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0}(\cdot)) b_\lambda(\cdot) = b_{\lambda_0}(\cdot)$$

as $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}$ functions. Hence in the quotient algebra $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}/I$,

$$(\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0} + I) * (b_\lambda + I) = b_{\lambda_0} + I, \quad (4.4)$$

Now $(\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0} + I)$ is invertible in $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}/I$ so from (3.1) and (4.4) we get $B_\lambda = b_\lambda + I$ Therefore by the definition of $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \langle b_\lambda, g \rangle.$$

CASE-2 : Let $0 < \Re \lambda < 1$, $\lambda \notin Z(\widehat{f}_H)$. Then by Lemma 4.4 $T_\lambda f$ is in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$. Similarly as in previous case we have from Lemma 4.1,

$$(1 - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z)) \frac{\widehat{T_\lambda f_H}(z)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)} = \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z) - \frac{\widehat{f}_H(z) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 H}(z)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)} \quad \text{for all } z \in S_1$$

and

$$(1 - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 B}(k)) \frac{\widehat{T_\lambda f_B}(k)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)} = \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 B}(k) - \frac{\widehat{f}_B(k) \widehat{b}_{\lambda_0 B}(k)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)} \quad \text{for all } k \in \Gamma_n.$$

Therefore

$$(\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2) b_{\lambda_0}(\cdot)) \left(\frac{T_\lambda f(\cdot)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)} \right) = b_{\lambda_0}(\cdot) - \frac{f(\cdot) b_{\lambda_0}(\cdot)}{\widehat{f}_H(\lambda)}$$

in $L_\delta^1(G)_{n,n}$. Since $f \in I$

$$(\delta - (\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2)b_{\lambda_0} + I) * \left(\frac{T_\lambda f}{\widehat{f}(\lambda)} + I \right) = b_{\lambda_0} + I. \quad (4.5)$$

Again from (3.1) and the equation above

$$B_\lambda = \frac{T_\lambda f}{\widehat{f}(\lambda)} + I,$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) = \frac{\langle T_\lambda f, g \rangle}{\widehat{f}(\lambda)}.$$

(b) Since $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ is even we only need to consider the case $\Re\lambda > 1$. For $\Re\lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}_1$ we have from Lemma 2.8,

$$\|b_\lambda\|_1 \leq C \frac{(1 + |\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)} \text{ for some } C > 0.$$

Now from (3.2) it follows that $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ is bounded on \mathbf{B}_1 . Hence

$$|\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)| \leq C \|g\|_\infty \frac{(1 + |\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)}.$$

(c) From Lemma 4.4 we get for $0 < \Re\lambda < 1$ and $\lambda \notin B(0; 1) \cup B(1; 1)$,

$$\left| \widehat{f}_H(\lambda) \mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) \right| \leq C \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty \frac{(1 + |\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)}.$$

Since $\widehat{f}_H(\lambda) \mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ is an even continuous function on S_1 , the same estimate is true for $0 < |\Re\lambda| < 1$, $\lambda \notin B(0; 1) \cup B(1; 1)$. Now from (3.2) it follows that $\mathcal{R}[g](\lambda)$ is bounded on $B(0; 1) \cup B(1; 1)$ with bound independent of f , Therefore for $0 < |\Re\lambda| < 1$ and $\lambda \in B(0; 1) \cup B(1; 1)$,

$$\left| \widehat{f}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) \right| \leq C \|f\|_1,$$

where C is independent of f and λ . So we have for $0 < |\Re\lambda| < 1$,

$$\left| \widehat{f}_H(\lambda) \mathcal{R}[g](\lambda) \right| \leq C \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty \frac{(1 + |\lambda|)}{d(\lambda, \partial S_1)}.$$

Finally the constant in the inequality above is independent of f so by continuity of $\mathcal{R}[g]$ and \widehat{f} the lemma follows. \square

5. Results from complex analysis

For any function F on $i\mathbb{R}$, we let

$$\delta_\infty^+(F) = -\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |F(it)| \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_\infty^-(F) = -\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |F(-it)|.$$

Next from [PS, Theorem 6.3] we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $M : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (e, \infty)$ be a continuously differentiable decreasing function with*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t \log \log M(t) < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty \log \log M(t) dt < \infty.$$

Let Ω be a collection of bounded holomorphic functions on S_1^0 such that

$$\inf_{F \in \Omega} \delta_\infty^+(F) = \inf_{F \in \Omega} \delta_\infty^-(F) = 0.$$

Suppose H satisfies the following estimates for some nonnegative integer N :

$$\begin{aligned} |H(z)| &\leq (1 + |z|)^N M(d(z, \partial S_1)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1, \\ |F(z)H(z)| &\leq (1 + |z|)^N M(d(z, \partial S_1)), \quad z \in S_1^0, \text{ for all } F \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

1. If in addition, H is a holomorphic function on $S_1 \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ then H is dominated by a polynomial outside a bounded neighbourhood of $\{\pm 1\}$.
2. If H is an entire function, then it is a polynomial.

6. Proof of W-T Theorem for $L^1(G)_{n,n}$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since the ideal generated by $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is same as the ideal generated by the elements $\left\{ \frac{f^\alpha}{\|f^\alpha\|} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda \right\}$ and $\delta_\infty^\pm(\widehat{f}_H) = \delta_\infty^\pm\left(\frac{\widehat{f}_H^\alpha}{\|f\|_1}\right)$, we can assume that the functions f^α are of unit L^1 norm. Let $g \in L^\infty(G)_{n,n}$ annihilates the closed ideal I generated by $\{f^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. We will show that $g = 0$. Then by an application of Hahn Banach theorem it will follow that $I = L^1(G)_{n,n}$. From the hypothesis we have,

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \delta_\infty^+(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha) = \inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \delta_\infty^-(\widehat{f}_H^\alpha) = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.5, the entire function $\mathcal{R}[g]$ satisfies the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}[g](z)| &\leq C(1 + |z|)(d(z, \partial S_1))^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1, \\ |\widehat{f}_H^\alpha(z)\mathcal{R}[g](z)| &\leq C(1 + |z|)(d(z, \partial S_1))^{-1}, \quad z \in S_1^0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, where C is a constant and we choose it is greater than e . We can define $M : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (e, \infty)$ to be a continuously differentiable decreasing function such that $M(t) = \frac{C}{t}$ for $0 < t < 1$, and $\int_1^\infty \log \log M(t) dt < \infty$. With this definition of M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}[g](z)| &\leq (1 + |z|)M(d(z, \partial S_1)) \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1, \\ |\widehat{f}_H^\alpha(z)\mathcal{R}[g](z)| &\leq (1 + |z|)M(d(z, \partial S_1)) \quad z \in S_1^0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 5.1, $\mathcal{R}[g](z)$ is a polynomial. From Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathcal{R}[g](z) \leq \|b_z\|_1 \|g\|_\infty.$$

Then Lemma 2.8 implies $\mathcal{R}[g](z) \rightarrow 0$ when $z \rightarrow \infty$ along the positive real axis. Therefore $\mathcal{R}[g]$ must be the zero polynomial. Hence $\langle b_\lambda, g \rangle = 0$ whenever $\Re \lambda > 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{B}$ but the collection $\{b_\lambda \mid \Re \lambda > 1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin \mathbf{B}\}$ spans a dense subset of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ by Lemma 2.9. So $g = 0$ and the proof follows. \square

Finally we like to mention here that we first started to prove a W-T theorem for $L^1(G)_{m,n}$ but our method fails in this general setting as $L^1(G)_{m,n}$ is not necessarily a commutative banach algebra.

7. Final Results

Now we prove Wiener Tauberian theorem for $L^1(G)_n$ using Theorem 1.3. Here we will follow similar technique as in [Rs1].

For $f \in L^1(G)$ we have from [Ba, p. 30, prop 7.3],

$$(\widehat{f}_B(k))_{m,n} = \eta^{m,n}(k) (\widehat{f}_H(k))_{m,n} \text{ for all } k \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}(k), \quad (7.1)$$

where $\eta^{m,n}(k)$ is a positive number. Therefore

$$(\widehat{f}_B(k))_{m,n} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (\widehat{f}_H(k))_{m,n} \neq 0.$$

Suppose $\widehat{f}_B(k) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$, then it implies the following:

- (a) If n is positive then for every $m < n$, $(\widehat{f}_B(n-1))_{m,n} = 0$, so f has at least one non zero component of left type m such that $m \geq n$. Similarly when n is negative f has at least one left type m for some $m \leq n$.
- (b) Let $f \in L^1(G)_n$ and n is even. If $n > 0$ then by the hypothesis above $\widehat{f}_B(1) \neq 0$ and so there is an m such that $m \in \mathbb{Z}(1)$ and $(\widehat{f}_B(1))_{m,n} \neq 0$. Therefore $(\widehat{f}_H(1))_{m,n} \neq 0$. For $n < 0$ one can have a similar statement.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first consider the case when the collection indexed by Ω contains exactly one function, $f \in L^1(G)_n$. Let $f_m(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} f(k_\theta x) d\theta$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then f_m is an (m, n) type function and (m, n) -th matrix coefficient $\widehat{f}_H, (\widehat{f}_H)_{m,n} = \widehat{f}_{mH}$.

Now we will construct a family of functions in $\mathbb{C}, \{\mathcal{G}_m(\cdot) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma\}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m}$. When $mn \geq 0$ let us define $\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(\lambda)$ where $Q_{n,m} = P_{n,m}$ which is the numerator of the rational function $\varphi_\lambda^{n,m}$ from (2.7). Hence $e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda^{n,m} e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(-\lambda)$ which shows that

$$\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m} \quad (7.2)$$

for the case $mn \geq 0$. Here we note that $Q_{n,m}(0) \neq 0$.

If $mn < 0$ then we will have to choose the polynomial in a slightly different way because we want $\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda)$ to satisfy all the properties of $\mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m}$.

Case 1. Let n be odd. Then we take the polynomial $Q'_{n,m}(\lambda) = P_{n,m}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda^2$. Now $Q'_{n,m}(0) = 0$ and $e^{-\lambda^4} Q'_{n,m}(\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda^{n,m} e^{-\lambda^4} Q'_{n,m}(-\lambda)$. Therefore, in this case

$$\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^4} Q'_{n,m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m}. \quad (7.3)$$

Case 2. Let n be even (hence $|n|, |m| \geq 2$ as $nm < 0$). Then the required polynomial is $Q''_{n,m}(\lambda) = P_{n,m}(\lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)$. So $Q''_{n,m}(\pm 1) = 0$ and $e^{-\lambda^4} Q''_{n,m}(\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda^{n,m} e^{-\lambda^4} Q''_{n,m}(-\lambda)$. Therefore in this case also,

$$\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^4} Q''_{n,m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m}. \quad (7.4)$$

Now for all n, m

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda) &= e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda) \\ &= e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{n,m}(-\lambda) \varphi_\lambda^{n,m} \varphi_\lambda^{m,n} \widehat{f}_{mH}(-\lambda) \\ &= \mathcal{G}_m(-\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(-\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since f_m is an (m, n) type function on G so $\widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda^{m,n} \widehat{f}_{mH}(-\lambda)$ and $\varphi_\lambda^{n,m} = (\varphi_\lambda^{m,n})^{-1}$. This shows that for all m , $\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda)$ is the Fourier transform of an (n, n) type function with respect to principal series representation. Now we claim that $\lambda \in S_1$ there is an m such that $\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda) \neq 0$. The only possible zeros of the polynomials $Q_{n,m}, Q'_{n,m}$ and $Q''_{n,m}$ in S_1 are $\{0, \pm 1\}$ and everywhere else it is non-zero. Given $\widehat{f}_H(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in S_1$. If we could show that for each $\lambda \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ there is an m such that $\mathcal{G}_m(\lambda) \widehat{f}_{mH}(\lambda) \neq 0$ then we will be done.

Before proving our claim we find out exactly when $\{0, \pm 1\}$ are zeros of the polynomials above.

- (i) $P_{n,m}(-1) = 0$ if and only if $n = 0$ and $m \neq 0$,
 $P_{n,m}(+1) \neq 0$ for all $m \neq 0$ and $P_{n,0}(+1) \neq 0$ when $n \neq 0$,
therefore

$$Q_{n,m}(\pm 1) \neq 0 \text{ when } nm \neq 0 \text{ and } Q'_{n,m}(\pm 1) \neq 0 \text{ for all } m \neq 0. \quad (7.5)$$

(ii)

$$\text{Since } Q_{n,m}(0) \neq 0 \text{ so } Q''_{n,m}(0) \neq 0. \quad (7.6)$$

$$Q'_{n,m}(0) = 0 \text{ and } Q''_{n,m}(\pm 1) = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

First we consider the case for $\lambda = 0$. By hypothesis there is an m such that $\widehat{f}_{mH}(0) \neq 0$. If n is odd then $mn > 0$, otherwise $\phi_{\sigma^-,0}^{m,n} \equiv 0$ which implies $\widehat{f}_{mH}(0) = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}_m(0)\widehat{f}_{mH}(0) \neq 0$ as $Q_{n,m}(0) \neq 0$.

Next suppose n is even. Now If $nm \geq 0$ then $\mathcal{G}_m(0)\widehat{f}_{mH}(0) \neq 0$ as $Q_{n,m}(0) \neq 0$. When $nm < 0$ then also $\mathcal{G}_m(0)\widehat{f}_{mH}(0) \neq 0$ because from (7.6) $Q''_{n,m}(0) \neq 0$.

Now we prove our claim for $\lambda = \pm 1$. Here we will consider several case for n .

Case 1. Let $n = 0$, then $\widehat{f}_{mH}(1) = 0$ for all $m \neq 0$ as $\phi_{\sigma^+,1}^{m,0} \equiv 0$. Therefore $\widehat{f}_{0H}(1) \neq 0$ and also $\widehat{f}_{0H}(1) = \widehat{f}_{0H}(-1)$.

Case 2. Let $n(\neq 0)$ be an even no. If $n > 0$ then by discussion(b) preceding this proof, there exists an $r \in \mathbb{Z}(1)$ such that $\widehat{f}_{rH}(1) \neq 0$ and so $\mathcal{G}_r(1)\widehat{f}_{rH}(1) \neq 0$ (since $Q_{n,m}(\pm 1) \neq 0$ for $nm > 0$ see (7.5)). But $\widehat{f}_{rH}(-1) = \varphi_1^{n,r}\widehat{f}_{rH}(1)$ and $\varphi_\lambda^{n,r}$ has no zero at $\lambda = 1$ (see [Ba, prop 7.2]). This shows that $\widehat{f}_{rH}(-1) \neq 0$ and so $\mathcal{G}_m(-1)\widehat{f}_{mH}(-1) \neq 0$.

When $n < 0$ we will give similar arguments. By the same discussion(b) there exists an $s \in \mathbb{Z}(-1)$ such that $\widehat{f}_{sH}(-1) \neq 0$ and so $\mathcal{G}_s(-1)\widehat{f}_{sH}(-1) \neq 0$. But $\widehat{f}_{sH}(-1) = \varphi_1^{n,s}\widehat{f}_{sH}(1)$ and $\varphi_\lambda^{n,s}$ has no pole at $\lambda = 1$. This implies $\widehat{f}_{sH}(1) \neq 0$ hence $\mathcal{G}_m(-1)\widehat{f}_{mH}(-1) \neq 0$ (since $Q_{n,m}(\pm 1) \neq 0$ for $nm > 0$ see (7.5)). This concludes our claim when n is an even no.

Case 3. Let n be an odd no. Then by the hypothesis there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma^-}$ such that $\widehat{f}_{mH}(1) \neq 0$.

Then $mn \neq 0$ so from (7.5) it follows $\mathcal{G}_m(1)\widehat{f}_{mH}(1) \neq 0$. Proof for $\lambda = -1$ is exactly similar.

Let $\mathcal{G}'_m(k) = e^{-k^4}Q_{n,m}(k)$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$ where $Q_{n,m}$ is chosen in the same way as before. Now let for $k_0 \in \Gamma_n$, $\widehat{f}_{m_0B}(k_0) \neq 0$ then $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}(k_0)$. Therefore $Q_{n,m_0}(k_0) \neq 0$ as all the zeros of the polynomial P_{n,m_0} are either between m_0 and n or between $-m_0$ and $-n$ (see [Ba, prop. 7.1]). Now from Lemma 2.1 isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}^1(G)_{n,m}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(\widehat{G})_{n,m}$ for every m , there exists $g_m \in \mathcal{C}^1(G)_{n,m}$ such that $\widehat{g}_{mH}(\lambda) = \mathcal{G}_m(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in S_1$ and $\widehat{g}_{mB}(k) = \mathcal{G}_m(k)$ for all $k \in \Gamma_n$.

Now we show the set of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ functions $\{g_m * f_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma\}$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.3. Since $Q_{n,m}$'s are always polynomial in λ , by a simple argument of analysis shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\mathcal{G}_m(it)| = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\mathcal{G}_m(it)\widehat{f}_{mH}(it)| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |(\mathcal{G}_m(it))| + \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\widehat{f}_{mH}(it)| \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\widehat{f}_{mH}(it)|. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Therefore by the given hypothesis,

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma} \delta^\pm(\mathcal{G}_m \widehat{f}_{mH}) = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

So we have established that the ideal generated by $\{g_m * f_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^\sigma\}$ is dense in $L^1(G)_{n,n}$. But $g_m * f_m = g_m * f$; so the result follows from the fact that the left $L^1(G)$ module generated by $L^1(G)_{n,n}$ is all of $L^1(G)_{n,n}$.

Now suppose Λ is an arbitrary index set. Then out of each f^α by projections we get f_j^α for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ which are functions of type (j, n) . We apply previous arguments to the collection $\{\widehat{f}_{jH}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$

$\Lambda, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ of functions in $L^1(\widehat{G})_n$ and the theorem follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As we have seen in the proof of previous theorem, it is enough to consider the case when the collection contains a single function, namely f . Let f_j be the projection of f to $L^1(G)_j$, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $j, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we choose a polynomial $Q_{j,m}$ in λ involving j and m so that $e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{j,m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{j,m}$.

When $jm \geq 0$, $Q_{j,m} = P_{j,m}$ is the numerator of the rational function $\varphi_\lambda^{j,m}$. Now suppose $jm < 0$, then whenever j, m are odd integers we take $Q'_{j,m} = \lambda^2 P_{j,m}$ and if j, m are even integers then we choose $Q''_{j,m} = (1 - \lambda^2) P_{j,m}$, where $P_{j,m}$ is as above. Then for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{j,m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H^1(\widehat{G})_{j,m}$. By the isomorphism of L^1 Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}^1(G)_{j,m}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(\widehat{G})_{j,m}$ (see Lemma 2.1) there exists $g_{j,m} \in \mathcal{C}^1(G)_{j,m}$ such that $\widehat{g_{j,m}H}(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^4} Q_{j,m}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in S_1$ and $\widehat{g_{j,m}B}(k) = e^{-k^4} Q_{j,m}(k)$ for all $k \in \Gamma_j$. Now for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the following collection of functions,

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \{f_j * g_{j,m} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

contained in $L^1(G)_m$.

As in (7.8) and (7.9) we have for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\widehat{g_{j,m}H}(it) \widehat{f_{i,j}H}(it)| = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \log |\widehat{f_{i,j}H}(it)| \quad (7.11)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. So,

$$\inf_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta^\pm(\widehat{g_{j,m}H} \widehat{f_{i,j}H}) = 0. \quad (7.12)$$

Now for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, Fourier transforms of the elements of \mathcal{F}_m does not have common zeros, follows from [Rs1, Theorem 1.2]. Therefore together with (7.12) it follows that for every m , elements of \mathcal{F}_m satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and so \mathcal{F}_m generates $L^1(G)_m$ under left convolution. Now $f_j * g_{j,m} = f * g_{j,m}$, for every m . So the two sided closed ideal generated by f contains $L^1(G)_m$ for all m . The smallest closed right G -invariant subspace of $L^1(G)$ containing $L^1(G)_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is $L^1(G)$ itself. Hence the first part of the Theorem 1.1 follows. The second part of the theorem follows similarly as in [Rs1, Theorem 1.2]. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank to his supervisor Prof. Sanjoy Pusti for introducing him to the problem and for the many useful discussions during the course of this work. I am grateful to him for encouraging me in research and his guidance.

REFERENCES

- [AKS] Askey, R.A., Koorwinder, T.H., and Schempp W., *Special Functions : Group Theoretical Aspects and application*
- [Ba] Barker, W.H., *L^p harmonic analysis on SL(2, ℝ)*, Memoir of the American Mathematical Society, 393(1998).
- [BBH] Ben Natan, Y., Benyamini, Y., Hedenmalm, H., Weit, Y., *Wiener's Tauberian theorem for spherical functions on the automorphism group of the unit disk*. Ark. Mat. 34 (1996), no. 2, 199–224.
- [BWH] Benyamini, Y., Weit, Y., *Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on SU(1,1)*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 42 (1992), no. 3, 671–694.
- [EM] Ehrenpreis, L., Mautner, F. I., *Some properties of the Fourier transform on semisimple Lie groups*. I. Ann. of Math. (2) 61, (1955). 406–439.
- [Er] Erdélyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., Tricomi, F. G., *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953..
- [Gr] Gradshteyn, I. S., Ryzhik, I. M., *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Seventh edition. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [Ln] Lebedev, N. N., *Special functions and their applications. Revised English edition*. Translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1965.

- [En] Narayanan, E. K., *Wiener Tauberian theorems for $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$* . Pacific J. Math. 241 (2009), no. 1, 117–126.
- [NS] Narayanan, E. K. and Sitaram, A., *Analogues of the Wiener Tauberian and Schwartz theorems for radial functions on symmetric spaces*. Pacific J. Math. 249 (2011), no. 1, 199–210.
- [PS] Pusti, S.; Samanta, A., *Wiener-Tauberian theorem for rank one semisimple Lie groups and for hypergeometric transforms*. Math. Nachr. 290 (2017), no. 13, 20242051.
- [Rs1] Sarkar, R. P., *Wiener Tauberian theorems for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$* . Pacific J. Math. 177 (1997), no. 2, 291–304.
- [Rs2] Sarkar, R. P., *Wiener Tauberian theorem for rank one symmetric spaces*. Pacific J. Math. 186 (1998), no. 2, 349–358.
- [As] Sitaram, A., *On analogue of the Wiener Tauberian theorem for symmetric spaces of the noncompact type* Pacific J. Math. 133(1), 197-208(1988).