

ON DENSELY ISOMORPHIC NORMED SPACES

PETR HÁJEK AND TOMMASO RUSSO

ABSTRACT. In the first part of our note we prove that every Weakly Lindelöf Determined (WLD) (in particular, every reflexive) non-separable Banach X space contains two dense linear subspaces Y and Z that are not densely isomorphic. This means that there are no further dense linear subspaces Y_0 and Z_0 of Y and Z which are linearly isomorphic.

Our main result (Theorem B) concerns the existence of biorthogonal systems in normed spaces. In particular, we prove under the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) that there exists a dense linear subspace of $\ell_2(\omega_1)$ (or more generally every WLD space of density ω_1) which contains no uncountable biorthogonal system. This result lies between two fundamental results concerning biorthogonal systems, namely the construction of Kunen (under CH) of a non-separable Banach space which contains no uncountable biorthogonal system, and the construction of Todorčević (under Martin Maximum) of an uncountable biorthogonal system in every non-separable Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Although most research in Banach space theory focuses on the study of complete normed spaces, incomplete normed spaces play an important rôle in several instances in Functional Analysis, most notably in the study of spaces of continuous, C^1 -smooth, or compactly supported, functions on \mathbb{R}^n , with integral norm. Just to name some directions of research, let us mention: inner product spaces [17, 18], norm attainment [26, 40, 4], supporting functionals [12, 13], tilings [14], smoothness [44, 21, 29], diffeomorphisms [3, 9, 2].

Our aim in this paper will be to study normed spaces with a prescribed completion, or, equivalently, to study dense subspaces of a given Banach space. We will be interested in understanding how much some structural assumptions on a Banach space influence its dense subspaces. Moreover, given a certain property of some dense subspace of a Banach space, we wish to know if such property is inherited by other dense subspaces of the same Banach space. From this perspective, the following heuristic question arises naturally.

How different can two dense subspaces of a Banach space be?

Date: October 11, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B20, 46B26 (primary), and 46A35, 46C05 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Normed spaces, dense subspaces, densely isomorphic normed spaces, biorthogonal systems in normed spaces.

Research of the first-named author was supported in part by OPVVV CAAS CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000778. Research of the second-named author was supported by the project International Mobility of Researchers in CTU CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/16_027/0008465 and by Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), Italy.

A standard perturbation argument shows that in every separable Banach space there is a canonical (smallest) dense subspace, that is densely contained in every other dense subspace. More precisely, if $\{e_j; e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an M-basis for a separable Banach space X , every dense subspace of X contains a dense subspace isomorphic to $\text{span}\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ (see Theorem 2.1). Moreover, a similar result is also valid in $\ell_1(\Gamma)$, for every set Γ (Theorem 2.4). In our note we show that this feature breaks down completely in many non-separable Banach spaces, notably even in Hilbert spaces.

In fact, our original interest in this topic comes from the problem of the existence of smooth norms on dense subspaces (compare [44, 21] and [19, Problems 148, 149]). It was shown in [21] that every separable Banach space admits a dense subspace with C^∞ -smooth renorming—in sharp contrast with the space itself. In our joint paper with Sheldon Dantas [7] we prove similar results for certain non-separable Banach spaces, *e.g.*, those having an unconditional basis. However, our proofs rely on the structural properties of the selected subspaces, and do not work for a general dense subspace. In view of our main results below, it is in fact conceivable that while, *e.g.*, ℓ_∞ has a dense subspace X admitting an analytic norm, it may perhaps have another dense subspace Y whose every further dense subspace fails to have a smooth renorming. The same situation in fact occurs with other properties, such as the existence of an uncountable biorthogonal system, as we show below. Our paper can be viewed as the first step towards a systematic study of dense subspaces of a given (non-separable) Banach or normed space with respect to various structural properties.

To this end, we introduce the following definition, that will be the cardinal notion of the paper.

Definition 1.1. Two normed spaces X and Y are said to be *densely isomorphic* if there exist dense subspaces X_0 of X and Y_0 of Y such that X_0 and Y_0 are linearly isomorphic.

The obvious fact that linear isomorphisms between normed spaces extend to isomorphisms between their completions implies that densely isomorphic normed spaces have isomorphic completions. In particular, two Banach spaces are densely isomorphic precisely when they are isomorphic. This naturally leads us to the following problem, a formal restatement of the heuristic question above.

Problem 1.2. Let Y and Z be dense subspaces of a Banach space X . Must Y and Z be densely isomorphic?

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 below yield a positive answer for separable Banach spaces and for the spaces $\ell_1(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, our first main result shows that for a substantial class of non-separable Banach spaces the situation is different:

Theorem A. *Every non-separable WLD Banach space contains two dense subspaces that are not densely isomorphic.*

A striking particular case of the result is that every non-separable Hilbert space contains two dense subspaces that are not densely isomorphic. The proof of Theorem A will be given in Section 3 (while the definition of WLD Banach space will be briefly recalled in Section 2.1). In the particular case when the Banach space X under consideration satisfies

$\omega_1 \leq \text{dens } X \leq \mathfrak{c}$, we actually prove, in Theorem 3.3, the following stronger result: there exist two dense subspaces Y and Z of X whose every non-separable subspaces are non-isomorphic. Once more, in the case of the Hilbert space $\ell_2(\omega_1)$, this shows that, although the structure of closed subspaces of Hilbert spaces is extremely rigid, its dense subspaces can be quite diverse.

Subsequently, we present an alternative approach to Theorem A, by proving the following more precise result, under the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis.

Theorem B (CH). *Every WLD Banach space of density character ω_1 contains a dense subspace with no uncountable biorthogonal system.*

The proof of Theorem B will be given in Section 4, where we will also discuss why the result offers an alternative approach to Theorem A in the case of WLD Banach spaces with density equal to ω_1 . We borrowed the very idea of the proof from [23, Theorem 5.1], although the idea is then implemented in a different, in a sense dual, way and some technicalities present there will not be needed in the present argument.

Of course, Theorem B ought to be compared to Kunen's celebrated construction, still under CH, of a non-separable Banach space that contains no uncountable biorthogonal system ([33, 34], see [39, §7]). On the one hand, the existence of a non-separable Banach space without uncountable biorthogonal systems is a much stronger result than the mere existence of some dense subspace without such systems; on the other one, our result applies to a rather large class of well-behaved Banach spaces.

In particular, the most striking and perhaps unexpected consequence of the above theorem is the existence of a dense subspace of the non-separable Hilbert space $\ell_2(\omega_1)$ that contains no uncountable biorthogonal system; this also offers a substantial strengthening of Gudder's result [17] concerning the existence of non-separable inner product spaces with no uncountable orthonormal system (see Section 2.2 for more details).

When comparing Theorem A and Theorem B, the former admitting a stronger version in the case $\text{dens } X \leq \mathfrak{c}$ and the latter only stated for such a case, it is natural to wonder if Theorem B can be extended to larger Banach spaces. In Section 5, we shall discuss the situation for WLD Banach spaces with density character at least \mathfrak{c}^+ and we shall show that the above results cannot be improved. Among others, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem C. *Let X be a WLD Banach space with $\text{dens } X = \mathfrak{c}^+$. Then, every dense subspace of X contains a biorthogonal system of cardinality \mathfrak{c}^+ .*

In conclusion to this section, let us mention that we do not know if some version of Theorem B can be valid without extra set-theoretical assumptions; in particular, we do not know if there exists, in ZFC, a non-separable normed space without uncountable biorthogonal systems. This seems to be closely related to the problem of understanding how much of the arguments in [42] can be performed in absence of completeness (see [22]). Moreover, we do not know what happens when trying to extend Theorem A beyond the WLD case. For example, we don't know if Theorem A is true for ℓ_∞ .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our notation concerning Banach space theory is standard, for example, as in [1] or [10]. The unique difference, though a cardinal one, is that by *subspace* of a normed (or Banach) space we understand a linear subspace, not necessarily a closed one. When closedness is assumed, it will be stressed explicitly.

It will be convenient to adopt von Neumann's definition of ordinal numbers and to regard cardinal numbers as initial ordinal numbers. In particular, we write ω for \aleph_0 , ω_1 for \aleph_1 , *etc.*, as we often view cardinal numbers as well-ordered sets; we denote by \mathfrak{c} the cardinality of continuum. For a cardinal number κ , we write κ^+ for the smallest cardinal number that is strictly greater than κ . Moreover, for cardinal numbers κ and λ , the notation κ^λ will always refer to cardinal exponentiation. The cardinality of a set A will be denoted $|A|$. Occasionally, we also write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We refer to [28] or [35] for more on set-theoretical background.

2.1. WLD Banach spaces. Most our techniques in this note will depend on the possibility to introduce suitable systems of coordinates in some classes of Banach spaces; we shall remind here the notions relevant to our paper.

Given a normed space X , a system $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subseteq X \times X^*$ is a *biorthogonal system* for X if $\langle x_\alpha^*, x_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$, whenever $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. A biorthogonal system $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a *Markushevich basis* (*M-basis*, for short) if

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = X \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{span}}^{w^*}\{x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = X^*.$$

In the context of separable normed spaces, it is a classical Markushevich's result [37] that every separable normed space admits an M-basis. Moreover, several classes of (mainly, non-separable) Banach spaces can be characterised by the existence of M-bases with certain additional properties, *cf.* [24, Chapter 6]; in particular, WLD Banach spaces admit an handy such characterisation, that we shall use as equivalent way to introduce them.

Given an M-basis $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ for a Banach space X , the *support* of a functional $x^* \in X^*$ is the set

$$\text{supp } x^* := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \langle x^*, x_\gamma \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

A functional $x^* \in X^*$ is said to be *countably supported* by $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$, or $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ *countably supports* x^* , when its support is a countable subset of Γ . A Banach space X is *weakly Lindelöf determined* (*WLD*, for short) if it admits an M-basis $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ that countably supports X^* , *i.e.*, every $x^* \in X^*$ is countably supported by $\{x_\gamma; x_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.

Most our arguments will only require the existence of an M-basis that countably supports the dual space; in one instance, however, we shall need the result that every WLD Banach space admits a *projectional resolution of the identity* [43], see, *e.g.*, [24, p. 180].

The class of WLD Banach spaces has been widely investigated in the literature and many its properties have been detected due to the efforts of several mathematicians; let us refer to [8, §VI.7], [10, §14.5], [24, §3.4, §5.4] [30, §19.8], [31], [45] and the references therein for more details.

2.2. Orthonormal systems in inner product spaces. In this part, we shall collect some known results on orthonormal systems in inner product spaces, that we shall compare to our results.

It is easy to see that, for an orthonormal system $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ in an inner product space H , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is complete, *i.e.*, $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = H$;
- (ii) $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a basis for H ;
- (iii) Parseval's equality $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, x \rangle|^2$ holds true for every $x \in H$.

Moreover, these conditions obviously imply that $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is maximal, the converse being in general false in absence of completeness. As a simple example, let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a complete orthonormal system in a Hilbert space H , let

$$V := \text{span} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} e_j, e_2, e_3, \dots \right\}$$

and observe that the maximal orthonormal system $\{e_j\}_{j=2}^\infty$ is not complete in V . More generally, every non complete inner product space contains a maximal orthonormal system that is not complete, [18].

Moreover, it is a standard fact that every separable inner product space admits a complete orthonormal system, due to the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. This is known to be false when the separability assumption is dropped. More precisely, it was discovered by several authors independently, see, *e.g.*, [11, 15, 41], that for every uncountable cardinality λ there exists an inner product space H with $\text{dens } H = \lambda$ that admits no complete orthonormal system.

An earlier result in a similar direction was proved by Gudder [17], who constructed a non-separable inner product space that contains no uncountable orthonormal system (see also [25, Problem 54]). In order to state a more general result, let us recall the elementary fact that any two maximal orthonormal sets in an inner product space H must have the same cardinality, denoted $\dim H$. Then, given cardinal numbers κ and λ with $\kappa \leq \lambda$, there exists an inner product space H with $\dim H = \kappa$ and $\text{dens } H = \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda \leq \kappa^\omega$, [5]; let us also refer to [11, 15] for a discussion of the result.

2.3. Perturbation of M-bases. In this part, we shall show that in the class of separable Banach spaces Problem 1.2 has a positive answer indeed. As we already mentioned, we consider this a folklore result, whose proof is essentially the same as in the Small Perturbation principle, more precisely its extension to M-bases (see, *e.g.*, [36, p. 46]). For the sake of completeness, we shall give the proof.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let $\{e_j; e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an M-basis for X . Then every dense subspace of X contains a further dense subspace that is isomorphic to $\text{span}\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$.*

In particular, every two dense subspaces of X are densely isomorphic.

Proof. Let Y be any dense subspace X and select vectors $y_j \in Y$ such that $\|y_j - e_j\| \cdot \|e_j^*\| < 2^{-(j+1)}$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us now consider the linear operator $T: \text{span}(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty \rightarrow \text{span}(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ defined by the rule $Te_j = y_j$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). For each $x \in \text{span}(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$, we then have (noting that the first series is indeed a finite sum)

$$\|x - Tx\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle e_j^*, x \rangle (e_j - y_j) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|e_j^*\| \|e_j - y_j\| \cdot \|x\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} \|x\| = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|.$$

Therefore, $\frac{1}{2} \|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|x\|$, whence T is an isomorphism between $\text{span}(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ and $Y_0 := \text{span}(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$.

Finally, we check that Y_0 is a dense subspace of X . If this were not true, by Riesz' lemma we would find a unit vector $x \in S_X$ with $\text{dist}(x, Y_0) > 1/2$; by approximation, we could also assume $x \in \text{span}(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$. But then, $\|x - Tx\| \leq 1/2$ and $Tx \in Y_0$ would yield a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.2. It is worth observing that the canonical dense subspace that we built in Theorem 2.1 is moreover unique up to isomorphisms. Indeed, Sophie Grivaux [16, §2] proved that any two countably dimensional, dense subspaces of a Banach space are isomorphic. We are grateful to Gilles Godefroy for pointing out to us this result.

As an immediate consequence of the theorem and the considerations before the statement of Problem 1.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Two separable normed spaces are densely isomorphic if and only if their completions are isomorphic.*

It is well known that the canonical basis of the space $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ is stable under more drastic perturbations than the ones permitted in the Small Perturbation lemma. This fact allows us to obtain a positive answer to the problem also for the spaces $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be the canonical basis of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$. Then, every dense subspace of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ contains a dense subspace isomorphic to $\text{span}\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.*

In particular, any two dense subspaces of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ are densely isomorphic.

Proof. Fixed a dense subspace Y of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we may find vectors $(y_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subseteq Y$ such that $\|e_\gamma - y_\gamma\| < \varepsilon$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$). By the standard perturbation properties of the ℓ_1 basis (see, e.g., [27, p. 331]), we derive that $(y_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a Schauder basis on $\ell_1(\Gamma)$, equivalent to $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. Hence, $\text{span}(y_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a dense subspace of Y , isomorphic to $\text{span}(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. \square

3. NON-SEPARABLE WLD BANACH SPACES

In this section, we shall focus on dense subspaces of non-separable WLD Banach spaces and we shall show how to build two of them that are not densely isomorphic, thereby proving Theorem A. We shall first consider the case when the density character of the Banach space X under consideration satisfies $\omega_1 \leq \text{dens } X \leq \mathfrak{c}$, in which case we prove a stronger result. We then derive the validity of the general result from this particular case.

Let us start with two well-known lemmata that we shall exploit in the course of the argument. Their proofs are so simple that we include them here.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be an M-basis for a non-separable WLD Banach space X . Then*

$$0 \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}.$$

Proof. If not, the Hahn-Banach theorem would yield us the existence of a functional $\varphi \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\langle \varphi, x \rangle \geq \varepsilon$, for each $x \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$. In particular, $\langle \varphi, e_\alpha \rangle \geq \varepsilon$, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, whence $\text{supp } \varphi = \Gamma$ is uncountable, a contradiction. \square

The next lemma, due to Victor Klee [32] (see also [20, Proposition 2.1.6], or [38, p. 113]), is at the origin of the theory of overcomplete sequences. We need one piece of notation prior to its statement (which we only formulate in the generality needed here).

Given a normalised M-basis $\{e_j; e_j^*\}_{j=0}^\infty$ for a Banach space X and $q \in (0, 1)$, we set

$$g_q := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j e_j.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([32]). *For every infinite set $J \subseteq (0, 1/2)$, one has*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{g_q\}_{q \in J} = X.$$

Proof. If not, we would find a non-zero functional $\varphi \in X^*$ such that $\langle \varphi, g_q \rangle = 0$, for each $q \in J$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle \varphi, e_j \rangle \cdot q^j = 0 \quad (q \in J).$$

Therefore, the function

$$q \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle \varphi, e_j \rangle \cdot q^j$$

is an analytic function on $(-1, 1)$ and it equals 0 on infinitely many points in $[0, 1/2]$ (namely, each point in J). According to the identity principle, it vanishes identically, whence $\langle \varphi, e_j \rangle = 0$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently, $\varphi = 0$, a contradiction. \square

We are now ready for the first main result of the section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a WLD Banach space with $\omega_1 \leq \text{dens } X \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Then there exist two dense subspaces Y and Z of X such that no non-separable subspace of Y is isomorphic to a subspace of Z (and vice versa).*

A fortiori, Y and Z are not densely isomorphic.

Proof. Let us denote by Γ the cardinal number $\text{dens } X$ and select a normalised M-basis $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ for X . We also pick an injective long sequence $(q_\alpha)_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ of scalars in $(0, 1/2)$ with the property that every open subset of $(0, 1/2)$ contains uncountably many scalars q_α (this is possible since the Euclidean topology of $(0, 1/2)$ has a countable base).

Let us then consider the vectors

$$\tilde{e}_\alpha := e_\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (q_\alpha)^j \cdot e_j \quad (\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma).$$

We claim that the subspace $Y := \text{span}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ is the first subspace we are seeking. To this aim, we first show that Y is a dense subspace of X .

Claim 3.4. $\overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma} = X$.

Proof of Claim 3.4. We shall keep the notation from Lemma 3.2 to denote by g_q the vector

$$g_q := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j e_j \quad (q \in (0, 1/2)).$$

In particular, for $\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{e}_\alpha = g_{q_\alpha} + e_\alpha.$$

Let us now fix $q \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By construction, there exists an uncountable subset $\Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}$ of $[\omega, \Gamma)$ such that $|q - q_\alpha| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\alpha \in \Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}$. For each such α , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_q - g_{q_\alpha}\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (q^j - (q_\alpha)^j) \cdot e_j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |q^j - (q_\alpha)^j| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (q^j - (q_\alpha)^j) \right| = \left| \frac{q_\alpha - q}{(1-q)(1-q_\alpha)} \right| \leq 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every convex combination of the vectors $(g_{q_\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}}$ has distance at most 4ε from g_q .

On the other hand, $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}}$ is clearly an M-basis for its closed linear span, a non-separable WLD Banach space. Consequently, Lemma 3.1 yields the existence of a convex combination of the vectors $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}}$ with arbitrarily small norm: there are positive scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma_{q,\varepsilon}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_{\alpha_i} \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

If we consider the corresponding convex combination of the \tilde{e}_α 's, by (3.1) and (3.2), we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \tilde{e}_{\alpha_i} - g_q \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_{q_{\alpha_i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_q \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \|g_{q_{\alpha_i}} - g_q\| \leq 5\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of this argument (and $\varepsilon > 0$ being arbitrary), we conclude that

$$(3.3) \quad \{g_q\}_{q \in (0, 1/2)} \subseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}.$$

This and (3.1) then immediately imply that $e_\alpha \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$, whenever $\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma$. Finally, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{g_q\}_{q \in (0, 1/2)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega},$$

which, in conjunction with (3.3), assures us that $e_\alpha \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ also when $\alpha < \omega$, thereby concluding the proof of the claim. \square

Before we find the second dense subspace Z of X , let us also note the following crucial property of Y .

Fact 3.5. *The functionals $(e_j^*)_{j=0}^\infty$ separate points of Y .*

Proof of Fact 3.5. Let $y \in Y$ be such that $\langle e_j^*, y \rangle = 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let us write $y = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \tilde{e}_{\alpha_i}$, where $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq [\omega, \Gamma)$. Then, for each $j = 0, \dots, n$,

$$0 = \langle e_j^*, y \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (q_{\alpha_i})^j;$$

in matrix form, the above equations read

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ q_{\alpha_0} & q_{\alpha_1} & \dots & q_{\alpha_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (q_{\alpha_0})^n & (q_{\alpha_1})^n & \dots & (q_{\alpha_n})^n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the classical result that Vandermonde matrices are non-singular¹, it then follows $a_0 = \dots = a_n = 0$, whence $y = 0$. \square

Let us now pass to the description of the second dense subspace Z of X , which is merely $Z := \text{span}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ (although we could equally well consider the linear span of any M-basis of X). The property we shall need of the subspace Z is proved in the forthcoming lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\{v_\alpha; \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be an M-basis for a WLD Banach space X , set $Z := \text{span}\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ and let Z_0 be a non-separable subspace of Z . Then no sequence of functionals separates points of Z_0 .*

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let us assume, by contradiction, that there exists a sequence $(\psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of functionals that separates points of Z_0 . Let us also select an uncountable linearly independent subset $(w_\beta)_{\beta < \omega_1}$ of Z_0 . By definition, we may find, for each $\beta < \omega_1$, a finite subset F_β of Γ and scalars $(w_\beta^\alpha)_{\alpha \in F_\beta}$ such that

$$w_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in F_\beta} w_\beta^\alpha v_\alpha.$$

¹Notice that the result is the finite-dimensional counterpart to Lemma 3.2. Indeed, a quick proof follows the same argument as in the lemma: if the determinant were null, there would be a non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} orthogonal to each column of the matrix. But this would yield a non-zero polynomial of degree n with $n+1$ roots, a contradiction.

The Δ -system lemma ([35, Lemma III.2.6]) allows us to assume, up to passing to an uncountable subset of ω_1 , the existence of a finite subset Δ of Γ such that $F_\beta \cap F_\gamma = \Delta$ whenever β and γ are distinct ordinals, smaller than ω_1 . Then the sets $(F_\beta \setminus \Delta)_{\beta < \omega_1}$ are evidently disjoint and non-empty, due to the linear independence of the vectors w_β . Consequently, when we denote by N the countable set $N := \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } \psi_j$, we see that only countably many sets $F_\beta \setminus \Delta$ can intersect N . Therefore, up to passing to an uncountable subset of ω_1 , we can additionally assume that

$$(3.4) \quad (F_\beta \setminus \Delta) \cap N = \emptyset \quad (\beta < \omega_1).$$

Let us now consider the vectors

$$w_\beta \upharpoonright_\Delta := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} w_\beta^\alpha v_\alpha \in \text{span}\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta};$$

since these uncountably many vectors belong to a finite-dimensional vector space, we may express the zero vector as a linear combination thereof. In other words, there exists a vector $w \in \text{span}\{w_\beta\}_{\beta < \omega_1}$, which is non-zero (due to the linear independence of the vectors w_β) and $w \upharpoonright_\Delta = 0$. In light of (3.4), we then derive that $w \upharpoonright_N = 0$, whence $\langle \psi_j, w \rangle = 0$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which is the desired contradiction. \square

It is now immediate to conclude the proof, by checking that the subspaces Y and Z have no non-separable subspace in common. Indeed, Fact 3.5 yields that every subspace of Y admits a sequence of functionals that separates points, while no non-separable subspace of Z does, in light of Lemma 3.6. Therefore, no two non-separable subspaces of Y and Z respectively can be isomorphic. \square

Before we proceed with the second theorem of the section, let us add a few comments on the above argument. First of all, it is evident that the system $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha, e_\alpha^*\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ constructed above is not an M-basis for X ; this is clear from Lemma 3.6, or from the fact that the functionals $\{e_\alpha^*\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ do not separate points of X . On the other hand, $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha, e_\alpha^*\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$ is evidently an M-basis for Y (and, in particular, Y contains an uncountable biorthogonal system).

Let us also observe that, although the non-separable subspaces of Y and Z are mutually non-isomorphic, it is easy to construct separable isomorphic subspaces of Y and Z . More precisely, every separable subspace of Z is isomorphic to a subspace of Y and vice versa, as we prove in the fact below.

Fact 3.7. *Let Y and Z be the subspaces constructed in Theorem 3.3. Then every separable subspace of Z is isomorphic to a subspace of Y and, vice versa, every separable subspace of Y is isomorphic to a subspace of Z .*

Proof. Let Z_0 be a separable subspace of Z . We first observe that Z_0 admits a countable Hamel basis. Indeed, if $(\tilde{z}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a dense sequence in Z_0 , $N := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } \tilde{z}_n$ is a countable subset of Γ and every element of Z_0 has support contained in N . Therefore, $Z_0 \subseteq \text{span}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in N}$.

Secondly, according to the classical Markushevich theorem (see, *e.g.*, [24, Lemma 1.21]), we can select an M-basis for Z_0 that linearly spans Z_0 : there exists an M-basis $\{z_n; z_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for Z_0 such that $Z_0 = \text{span}\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. We then argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Select vectors $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Y such that $\|z_n - y_n\| \cdot \|z_n^*\| \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and observe that the correspondence $z_n \mapsto y_n$ defines an isomorphism from Z_0 onto $\text{span}\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$.

The proof of the ‘vice versa’ assertion is essentially identical: if Y_0 is a separable subspace of Y and $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a dense sequence in Y_0 , there is a countable set $N \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \text{span}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in N}$. Therefore, for each $y \in Y_0$, $\langle e_\alpha^*, y \rangle = 0$ whenever $\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma$ and $\alpha \notin N$. Since every vector in Y is a linear combination of the vectors $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\omega \leq \alpha < \Gamma}$, it follows that $Y_0 \subseteq \text{span}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in N}$ and we can argue as in the previous case. \square

We shall next deduce from Theorem 3.3 the validity of Theorem A for every uncountable cardinality. Let us repeat the statement of the result under consideration, for convenience of the reader.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a non-separable WLD Banach space. Then there are dense subspaces Y and Z of X that are not densely isomorphic.*

Proof. Since the case when $\text{dens } X = \omega_1$ follows from Theorem 3.3, we can assume that $\Gamma := \text{dens } X \geq \omega_2$. Moreover, X admits a projectional resolution of the identity, whence we can select a complemented (closed) subspace X_0 of X , with $\text{dens } X_0 = \omega_1$. Let us select a bounded projection P from X onto X_0 and write $X \simeq X_0 \oplus X_1$, where $X_1 = \ker P$. Finally, let us fix an M-basis $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ for X .

Following the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.3 (in particular, Claim 3.4 and Fact 3.5), we can select a dense subspace \tilde{Y} of X_0 that admits a sequence of functionals that separates points. We then set $Y := \text{span}\{\tilde{Y}, X_1\}$ and $Z := \text{span}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$. Evidently, Y and Z are dense subspaces of X ; moreover, it is plain that $P(Y) = \tilde{Y}$. Therefore, if Y_0 is any dense subspace of Y , then $P(Y_0)$ is a dense subspace of \tilde{Y} . In turn, this yields that $P(Y_0)$ is a non-separable subspace of Y and that there exists a sequence of functionals that separates points of $P(Y_0)$.

Finally, assume by contradiction that Y and Z are densely isomorphic. Then, there are dense subspaces Y_0 of Y and Z_0 of Z and an isomorphism $T: Y_0 \rightarrow Z_0$. Therefore, $T(P(Y_0))$ is a non-separable subspace of Z and it admits a separating sequence of functionals. However, this is in contradiction with Lemma 3.6 and such contradiction completes the proof. \square

4. UNCOUNTABLE BIORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS

This section is dedicated to an alternative construction, under the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis, of two non densely isomorphic, dense subspaces of a WLD Banach space with density character ω_1 . In the first and main part of the section, we shall prove Theorem B, whose statement is recalled below; in the second part, we show why the present result offers a more precise version of Theorem A.

Theorem 4.1 (CH). *Let X be a WLD Banach space with $\text{dens } X = \omega_1$. Then there exists a dense subspace Y of X that contains no uncountable biorthogonal system.*

Proof. Let us select an M-basis $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ for X and assume $\|e_\alpha\| = 1$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. We shall start by constructing a new family $(\tilde{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of vectors in X . Fix an injective long sequence $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1} \subseteq (0, 1)$ and choose, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$, an enumeration σ_α of $[0, \alpha)$, i.e., a bijection $\sigma_\alpha: \omega \rightarrow [0, \alpha)$ when α is infinite, or $\sigma_\alpha: |\alpha| - 1 \rightarrow [0, \alpha)$ when α is a finite ordinal. We may now define vectors \tilde{e}_α ($\alpha < \omega_1$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_0 &:= e_0; \\ \tilde{e}_\alpha &:= e_\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha|-1} (\lambda_\alpha)^k e_{\sigma_\alpha(k)} \quad (1 \leq \alpha < \omega); \\ \tilde{e}_\alpha &:= e_\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_\alpha)^k e_{\sigma_\alpha(k)} \quad (\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

Plainly, the vectors \tilde{e}_α ($\alpha < \omega_1$) constitute a linearly independent subset of X .

The above enumerations may be chosen arbitrarily and the subsequent argument will not depend on any specific such choice. On the other hand, a substantial part of the argument to be presented will involve explaining how to properly choose the coefficients λ_α . Prior to this, let us observe that the vectors $(\tilde{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ span a linearly dense subset of X , regardless of the choice of the coefficients λ_α .

Fact 4.2. *$\overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is linearly dense in X .*

Proof of Fact 4.2. We shall actually prove, by transfinite induction, the stronger assertion that $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \beta} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \beta}$ for every $\beta \leq \omega_1$. Let us therefore assume the validity of the above equality for every $\beta < \gamma$, where $\gamma \leq \omega_1$. In the case where γ is a limit ordinal, we immediately derive that $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$, whence $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ follows (the ‘ \supseteq ’ inclusion being trivial).

If, on the other hand, γ is a successor ordinal, say $\gamma = \eta + 1$, we have in particular $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \eta} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \eta}$. By the very definition, we also have $\tilde{e}_\eta - e_\eta \in \overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \eta} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \eta}$, whence $e_\eta \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \eta}$. Plainly, this yields $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \eta} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \eta}$, namely $\overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$, which is the desired conclusion. \square

We shall now describe how to choose the sequence $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Since every M-basis in the WLD space X countably supports X^* , the evaluation map

$$\varphi \mapsto ((\varphi, e_\alpha))_{\alpha < \omega_1}$$

defines a bounded, linear injection of X^* into $\ell_\infty^c(\omega_1)$. (By $\ell_\infty^c(\omega_1)$ we understand the closed subspace of $\ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ comprising all vectors in $\ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ with countable support.) Moreover, it is elementary to verify that $\ell_\infty^c(\omega_1)$ has cardinality the continuum. Indeed, let us denote by $\ell_\infty(\alpha)$ the subspace of $\ell_\infty(\omega_1)$ comprising vectors with support contained in $[0, \alpha)$ ($\alpha < \omega_1$). Evidently, $\ell_\infty^c(\omega_1) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \ell_\infty(\alpha)$ and $\ell_\infty(\alpha)$ is naturally isometric to ℓ_∞ (when $\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1$), whence $|\ell_\infty(\alpha)| = \mathfrak{c}$; consequently, $|\ell_\infty^c(\omega_1)| = \mathfrak{c}$ too.

Therefore, we obtain that $|X^*| = \mathfrak{c}$ and the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis allows us to well order X^* in an injective ω_1 -sequence $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. This ω_1 -enumeration of X^* permits us to choose the parameters $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$, as follows.

Claim 4.3. *It is possible to choose the parameters $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ in such a way that the following assertion is satisfied.*

(NS): *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every choice of ordinal numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < \omega_1$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N < \omega_1$ with the properties that:*

- (i) $\{\varphi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \varphi_{\alpha_N}\}$ is a linearly independent set;
- (ii) $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_N$;
- (iii) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < \beta_1$;
- (iv) $\text{supp } \varphi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \text{supp } \varphi_{\alpha_N} < \beta_1$;

one has:

$$\det \left((\langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N \right) \neq 0.$$

Proof of Claim 4.3. We shall select $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ arguing by transfinite induction on $\gamma := \beta_N < \omega_1$. Let us observe preliminarily that when $\gamma = 0$, condition **(NS)** is empty, while $\tilde{e}_0 = e_0$, regardless of the choice of λ_0 . Let us therefore assume to have already selected $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \gamma}$, for some $\gamma < \omega_1$ in such a way that, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and every choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < \omega_1$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N < \omega_1$ satisfying properties (i)–(iv) and such that $\beta_N < \gamma$, the corresponding determinant appearing in **(NS)** is non-zero. We shall select λ_γ in a way that all the above determinants are non-zero, for every choice of $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < \omega_1$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N < \omega_1$ satisfying (i)–(iv) and with $\beta_N \leq \gamma$.

Let us therefore fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and ordinal numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < \omega_1$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N < \omega_1$ that satisfy conditions (i)–(iv) and with $\beta_N = \gamma$; notice that the parameters $\lambda_{\beta_1}, \dots, \lambda_{\beta_{N-1}}$ have already been determined in the previous steps of the induction and, as such, we only have to choose the parameter appearing in \tilde{e}_γ . The determinant corresponding to the above choice of N , $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ and β_1, \dots, β_N can be evaluated by means of Laplace's expansion theorem on the last column:

$$\det \left((\langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle \varphi_{\alpha_1}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_1} \rangle & \dots & \langle \varphi_{\alpha_1}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_N} \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \varphi_{\alpha_N}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_1} \rangle & \dots & \langle \varphi_{\alpha_N}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_N} \rangle \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^{N+i} d_i \langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_N} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^{N+i} d_i \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_N} \right\rangle,$$

where d_i is the determinant of the $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix obtained removing the i -th row and the N -th column from the original matrix $(\langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N$. (In the case when $N = 1$, the above formula is again true provided that we set $d_1 = 1$.) The transfinite induction assumption, applied to $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1}\}$ then leads us

to the conclusion that $d_i \neq 0$, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. Thereby, (i) yields that the functional $\varphi := \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^{N+i} d_i \varphi_{\alpha_i}$ is non-zero. Moreover, $\text{supp } \varphi < \beta_1 < \gamma$, in light of (iv); consequently,

$$\det \left((\langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N \right) = \langle \varphi, \tilde{e}_\gamma \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \varphi, e_{\sigma_\gamma(k)} \rangle \cdot (\lambda_\gamma)^k.$$

(In the case where $\gamma < \omega$, the summation is extended from 0 to $|\gamma| - 1$.) When considered as a function of λ_γ , the above expression is a power series whose coefficients are bounded and not all of them are null. Therefore, the correspondence

$$\lambda_\gamma \mapsto \det \left((\langle \varphi_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{i,j=1}^N \right)$$

defines a non-trivial analytic function on $(-1, 1)$ and, accordingly, such function has at most countably many zeros on $(-1, 1)$, in light of the identity principle. Moreover, due to (ii) and (iii), there are only countably many choices for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ and β_1, \dots, β_N that satisfy $\beta_N = \gamma$ and (i)–(iv). Therefore, taking the union of all the corresponding countable zero sets of those countably many analytic functions, we obtain a countable set $\Lambda \subseteq (-1, 1)$ such that every determinant appearing in **(NS)** is non-zero, for each choice of $\lambda_\gamma \in (-1, 1) \setminus \Lambda$. Hence, when we select $\lambda_\gamma \in (0, 1) \setminus \Lambda$ and such that $\lambda_\gamma \neq \lambda_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \gamma$, we obtain that **(NS)** is satisfied also for γ ; therefore, the transfinite induction is complete and so is the proof of Claim 4.3. \square

Having the claim proved, we may choose parameters $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ satisfying condition **(NS)** above; we then denote by $Y := \text{span}\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$, where the vectors $(\tilde{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ are obtained from the presently chosen sequence $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. We shall conclude the proof by showing that Y is the desired dense subspace with no uncountable biorthogonal system. The density of Y in X being already established in Fact 4.2, we only need to prove the following claim.

Claim 4.4. *The subspace Y contains no uncountable biorthogonal system.*

Proof of Claim 4.4. Assume, by contradiction, that Y contains an uncountable biorthogonal system, say $\{u_\alpha; g_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$; obviously, we may assume that $g_\alpha \in X^*$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Moreover, by definition, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exist a finite subset F_α of ω_1 and scalars $(u_\alpha^\beta)_{\beta \in F_\alpha}$ such that

$$u_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in F_\alpha} u_\alpha^\beta \tilde{e}_\beta.$$

Application of the Δ -system lemma to the system of finite sets $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ allows us to assume, up to passing to an uncountable subset of ω_1 and relabelling, that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset Δ of ω_1 such that:

- (1) $|F_\alpha| = n - 1$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$;
- (2) $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta = \Delta$ for distinct $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$;
- (3) $\Delta < F_\alpha \setminus \Delta < F_\beta \setminus \Delta$ whenever $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

Indeed, (1) and (2) follow directly from the Δ -system lemma and (3) is achieved by a simple transfinite induction argument, based on the fact that, the sets $(F_\alpha \setminus \Delta)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ being disjoint, only countably many of them can intersect each set of the form $[0, \beta)$ ($\beta < \omega_1$). (Note that the sets $F_\alpha \setminus \Delta$ are indeed non-empty, by virtue of the linear independence of the vectors $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$.)

Let us now consider the functionals g_1, \dots, g_{n^2} . Since we enumerated X^* in the ω_1 -sequence $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$, there exist ordinals $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n^2}$ such that $g_j = \varphi_{\alpha_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n^2$). Moreover, each g_j is countably supported, whence we can select a countable ordinal Γ such that

- (i) $\text{supp } \varphi_{\alpha_j} \leq \Gamma$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n^2$;
- (ii) $\alpha_j \leq \Gamma$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n^2$;
- (iii) $n^2 \leq \Gamma$ (which is actually consequence of (ii)).

According to (3), we are now in position to choose $\theta_1 < \omega_1$ with the properties that $\Gamma < \theta_1$ and $\Gamma < F_{\theta_1} \setminus \Delta$. Let us also select ordinals $\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$ such that $\Gamma < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_n$; then (3) also ensures us that

$$(4.1) \quad \Gamma < F_{\theta_1} \setminus \Delta < F_{\theta_2} \setminus \Delta < \dots < F_{\theta_n} \setminus \Delta.$$

Consider now the corresponding vectors $u_{\theta_1}, \dots, u_{\theta_n}$ and write them as follows:

$$u_{\theta_i} = \sum_{\beta \in F_{\theta_i}} u_{\theta_i}^\beta \tilde{e}_\beta = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} u_{\theta_i}^\beta \tilde{e}_\beta + \sum_{\beta \in F_{\theta_i} \setminus \Delta} u_{\theta_i}^\beta \tilde{e}_\beta =: u_{\theta_i} \upharpoonright_\Delta + u_{\theta_i} \upharpoonright_{\Delta^c}.$$

Evidently, $\{u_{\theta_i} \upharpoonright_\Delta\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \text{span}\{\tilde{e}_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}$, a vector space of dimension at most $n-1$, according to (1). Therefore, there exist scalars c_1, \dots, c_n , not all equal to 0, such that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_{\theta_i} \upharpoonright_\Delta = 0.$$

Let us finally consider the non-zero vector $u := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_{\theta_i}$; on the one hand, (iii) yields, for each $j = 1, \dots, n^2$

$$\langle \varphi_{\alpha_j}, u \rangle = \langle g_j, u \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \langle g_j, u_{\theta_i} \rangle = 0.$$

On the other hand, condition (1) implies that u is linear combination of at most n^2 \tilde{e}_β 's; moreover, (4.1) and (4.2) assure us that u only depends on those \tilde{e}_β for which $\Gamma < \beta$. Consequently, we may find scalars a_1, \dots, a_{n^2} and ordinals $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n^2}$ with $\Gamma < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_{n^2} < \omega_1$ and such that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} a_i \tilde{e}_{\beta_i}$$

(notice that we insist the linear combination to have length exactly n^2 , at the cost of choosing some $a_i = 0$). Therefore, the equations $\langle \varphi_{\alpha_j}, u \rangle = 0$ now read

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \varphi_{\alpha_1}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \varphi_{\alpha_1}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_{n^2}} \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \varphi_{\alpha_{n^2}}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_1} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \varphi_{\alpha_{n^2}}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_{n^2}} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, (i) and (ii) imply that the ordinals $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n^2}\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n^2}\}$ satisfy the assumptions of Claim 4.3, which therefore yields

$$\det \left((\langle \varphi_{\alpha_j}, \tilde{e}_{\beta_i} \rangle)_{j,i=1}^{n^2} \right) \neq 0,$$

whence $a_1 = \dots = a_{n^2} = 0$. This ultimately implies $u = 0$, a contradiction which concludes the proof of the claim. \square

\square

We shall now show that Theorem B yields an alternative way to build dense subspaces that are not densely isomorphic. More precisely, it may be used to prove the existence of two dense subspaces with no isomorphic non-separable subspaces, thereby giving an alternative proof of Theorem 3.3.

Let X be a WLD Banach space with $\text{dens } X = \omega_1$, assume CH, and let Y be a dense subspace of X that contains no uncountable biorthogonal system. In order to find a dense subspace Z of X such that no non-separable subspace of Z is isomorphic to a subspace of Y , we only need to construct a dense subspace Z of X every whose non-separable subspace contains an uncountable biorthogonal system. This is obtained in the forthcoming lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be an M -basis for a Banach space X . Then every non-separable subspace of $Z := \text{span}\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ contains an uncountable biorthogonal system.*

Proof. If Z_0 is any non-separable subspace of Z , then we can choose an uncountable linearly independent set $(v_\beta)_{\beta < \omega_1} \subseteq Z_0$. By definition, for each $\beta < \omega_1$, there exist a finite subset F_β of Γ and non-zero scalars $(v_\beta^\alpha)_{\alpha \in F_\beta}$ such that

$$v_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in F_\beta} v_\beta^\alpha e_\alpha.$$

By the Δ -system lemma, we may additionally assume that there exists a finite subset Δ of Γ such that $F_\beta \cap F_\gamma = \Delta$ for distinct $\beta, \gamma < \omega_1$. By linear independence of the $(v_\beta)_{\beta < \omega_1}$, we can also assume the sets $F_\beta \setminus \Delta$ to be non-empty; let us therefore choose $\alpha_\beta \in F_\beta \setminus \Delta$ ($\beta < \omega_1$). Finally, consider the functionals

$$\varphi_\beta := \frac{1}{v_\beta^{\alpha_\beta}} e_{\alpha_\beta}^*$$

and observe that $\{v_\beta; \varphi_\beta\}_{\beta < \omega_1}$ is evidently a biorthogonal system in Z_0 . \square

5. LARGE BANACH SPACES

In this last section we shall concentrate our attention on Banach spaces whose density character is at least equal to \mathfrak{c}^+ and we shall show that the results presented in the previous sections do not extend to this setting; in particular, we shall prove Theorem C (see Corollary 5.4). We need one definition before explaining the results (see [6, p. 254]).

Definition 5.1. We say that a cardinal number κ is *strongly ω_1 -inaccessible*, and we write $\omega_1 \ll \kappa$, if $\alpha^\omega < \kappa$ whenever $\alpha < \kappa$.

For example, we have $\omega_1 \ll \mathfrak{c}^+$ and, more generally, an infinite cardinal number κ satisfies $\omega_1 \ll \kappa^+$ if and only if $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$. In particular, $\omega_1 \ll (2^\kappa)^+$, for every infinite cardinal number κ . Finally, let us mention that, subject to the Generalised Continuum Hypothesis, an infinite cardinal κ satisfies $\omega_1 \ll \kappa^+$ if and only if $\text{cf } \kappa > \omega$ (see, *e.g.*, [28, Theorems 3.11 and 5.15(iii)]).

Theorem 5.2. *Let Γ be a cardinal number such that $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$ and X be a Banach space with $\text{dens } X = \Gamma$ that admits an M-basis. Also let Z be a subspace of X with $|Z| \geq \Gamma$. Then, every maximal, disjointly supported family of unit vectors in Z has cardinality Γ .*

In particular, the result is true for every dense subspace Z of X , or, more generally, for every subspace Z such that $\text{dens } Z = \Gamma$.

Evidently, Zorn's lemma then implies that there exist, in Z , disjointly supported families of unit vectors, of cardinality Γ .

Proof. Fix an M-basis $\{e_\alpha; e_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ for X and assume, without loss of generality, that $\|e_\alpha^*\| = 1$, for each $\alpha < \Gamma$. Also, let $\{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ be a collection of disjointly supported unit vectors in Z , with $|\gamma| < \Gamma$. We will prove that $\{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is not maximal.

Since, for each $\alpha < \gamma$, $\text{supp } z_\alpha := \{\beta < \Gamma : \langle e_\beta^*, z_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$ is evidently a countable set, the set $S := \cup_{\alpha < \gamma} \text{supp } z_\alpha$ has cardinality $|S| \leq \max\{|\gamma|, \omega\} < \Gamma$. Consider then the bounded linear transformation $T: Z \rightarrow c_0(S)$ defined by

$$x \mapsto \{\langle e_\alpha^*, x \rangle\}_{\alpha \in S}.$$

On the one hand, we have $|c_0(S)| \leq |S|^\omega < \Gamma$, since $|S| < \Gamma$ and $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$; on the other one, $|Z| \geq \Gamma$ by assumption. Consequently, the operator T cannot be injective and we can select a unit vector $z_\gamma \in Z$ such that $Tz_\gamma = 0$. In other words, the unit vectors $\{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ are disjointly supported, and we are done. \square

Remark 5.3. As it turns out, the condition $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$ in Theorem 5.2 is also necessary for the validity of the result. This can be seen from Corollary 5.7, since it is proved in [5] that the said corollary holds true precisely when $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$.

Let us then turn to some consequences of the above result. For the sake of simplicity, we shall only state them for dense subspaces of a given Banach space X ; however, as they only depend on the conclusion of the previous theorem, they are valid, more generally, for every subspace Z such that $|Z| \geq \text{dens } X$. Moreover, in each of them, the most interesting case is where $\text{dens } X = \mathfrak{c}^+$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be a Banach space with M -basis and such that $\omega_1 \ll \text{dens } X$. Then every dense subspace Z of X contains a biorthogonal system of cardinality $\text{dens } X$.*

This result strongly contrasts with Theorem B and it clarifies the already mentioned fact that Theorem B does not extend to larger cardinalities. Moreover, the result offers a simple instance of a situation where an uncountable biorthogonal system can be constructed, even in absence of completeness. Let us also observe that an alternative, direct argument could be obtained from the same argument as in Lemma 4.5, upon replacing the use of the Δ -system lemma with its general version, [35, Lemma III.6.15].

Proof. Given a disjointly supported collection of unit vectors $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha < \text{dens } X}$ in Z , select, for each $\alpha < \text{dens } X$, an ordinal $\beta_\alpha \in \text{supp } z_\alpha$. Then

$$\left\{ z_\alpha; \frac{1}{\langle e_{\beta_\alpha}^*, z_\alpha \rangle} e_{\beta_\alpha}^* \right\}_{\alpha < \text{dens } X}$$

is the desired biorthogonal system. \square

In the next result, we are even able to construct an Auerbach system of cardinality equal to the density of the underlying Banach space. This complements the results obtained in [23] and, in a sense, also confirms the intuition in [19, Problem 294] that some unconditionality assumption might imply the existence of large Auerbach systems.

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a Banach space with a long suppression 1-unconditional Schauder basis and such that $\omega_1 \ll \text{dens } X$. Then every dense subspace Z of X contains an Auerbach system of cardinality $\text{dens } X$.*

Proof. Let us denote $\Gamma := \text{dens } X$ and fix a long suppression 1-unconditional Schauder basis $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ for X ; thus, for every subset A of Γ there is a naturally defined non-expansive projection $P_A: X \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$. Fixed a dense subspace Z of X , according to Theorem 5.2, we may select a disjointly supported family $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ of unit vectors in Z .

For each $\alpha < \gamma$, we set $S_\alpha := \text{supp } z_\alpha \subseteq \Gamma$ and we find a norming functional z_α^* for z_α . Evidently, $\langle z_\alpha^* \circ P_{S_\alpha}, z_\beta \rangle = 0$ for distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $\|z_\alpha^* \circ P_{S_\alpha}\| \leq 1$; therefore,

$$\{z_\alpha; z_\alpha^* \circ P_{S_\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$$

is the desired Auerbach system in Z . \square

In the case where the Banach space X is $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), or $c_0(\Gamma)$ and we apply Theorem 5.2 to the canonical basis $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ of the space, it is evident that every disjointly supported family of unit vectors is isometrically equivalent to $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \Gamma}$. Therefore, we arrive at the next consequence of our result.

Corollary 5.6. *Let Γ be a cardinal number such that $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$ and let X be either $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), or $c_0(\Gamma)$. Then for every two dense subspaces Y and Z of X there are subspaces Y_0 of Y and Z_0 of Z with $\text{dens } Y_0 = \text{dens } Z_0 = \Gamma$ that are isometric.*

This corollary implies, in particular, that the stronger version of Theorem A that we proved in Theorem 3.3 cannot be extended to WLD Banach spaces of density \mathfrak{c}^+ ; in other words, the dense subspaces that we built in Theorem 3.8 cannot be made as diverse as the ones in Theorem 3.3.

To conclude, let us also mention that Theorem 5.2 above subsumes the result from [5] that we already mentioned in Section 2.2, concerning the existence of orthonormal systems in inner product spaces.

Corollary 5.7 ([5]). *Let Γ be a cardinal number such that $\omega_1 \ll \Gamma$. Then every dense subspace of $\ell_2(\Gamma)$ contains an orthonormal system of cardinality Γ .*

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to Tomasz Kochanek for pointing out to us the reference [17]. We also thank the anonymous referee for the helpful report.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **233**. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] D. Azagra, Diffeomorphisms between spheres and hyperplanes in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **125** (1997), 179–186.
- [3] C. Bessaga, Every infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is diffeomorphic with its unit sphere, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **14** (1966), 27–31.
- [4] E. Bishop and R.R. Phelps, A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1961), 97–98.
- [5] D. Buhagiar, E. Chetcuti, and H. Weber, Orthonormal bases and quasi-splitting subspaces in pre-Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345** (2008), 725–730.
- [6] W.W. Comfort and S.A. Negrepontis, *Chain conditions in topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **79**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982.
- [7] S. Dantas, P. Hájek, and T. Russo, Smooth norms in dense subspaces of Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **487** (2020), 123963.
- [8] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **64**. Longman, Essex, 1993.
- [9] T. Dobrowolski, Smooth and R-analytic negligibility of subsets and extension of homeomorphisms in Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **65** (1979), 115–139.
- [10] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach space theory. The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [11] I. Farah, Orthonormal bases of Hilbert spaces, [arXiv:0908.1942](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1942).
- [12] V.P. Fonf, On supportless convex sets in incomplete normed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 1173–1176.
- [13] V.P. Fonf, On supportless absorbing convex subsets in normed spaces, *Studia Math.* **104** (1993), 279–284.
- [14] V.P. Fonf, A. Pezzotta, and C. Zanco, Tiling infinite-dimensional normed spaces, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), 713–719.
- [15] S. Fuchino, Pre-Hilbert spaces without orthonormal bases, [arXiv:1606.03869](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03869).
- [16] S. Grivaux, Construction of operators with prescribed behaviour, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **81** (2003), 291–299.
- [17] S. Gudder, Inner product spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **81** (1974), 29–36.

- [18] S. Gudder and S. Holland, Second correction to: "Inner product spaces" (Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), 29–36), *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 818.
- [19] A.J. Guirao, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Open problems in the geometry and analysis of Banach spaces*, Springer, 2016.
- [20] V.I. Gurariy and W. Lusky, *Geometry of Müntz space and related questions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [21] P. Hájek, Smooth norms that depend locally on finitely many coordinates, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 3817–3821.
- [22] P. Hájek and M. Johanis, A note on biorthogonal systems, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* (2020). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13163-019-00339-6>.
- [23] P. Hájek, T. Kania, and T. Russo, Separated sets and Auerbach systems in Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8160>, [arXiv:1803.11501](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.11501).
- [24] P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Vanderverff, and V. Zizler, *Biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, **26**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [25] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book. Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **19**. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **17**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [26] R.C. James, A counterexample for a sup theorem in normed spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 511–512.
- [27] G.J.O. Jameson, *Topology and Normed Spaces*, Chapman and Hall, 1974.
- [28] T. Jech, *Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [29] M. Johanis, Smooth partitions of unity on Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), 694–704.
- [30] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, and M. López-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, Vol. **24**. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [31] O.F.K. Kalenda, Valdivia compact spaces in topology and Banach space theory, *Extracta Math.* **15** (2000), 1–85.
- [32] V.L. Klee, On the Borelian and projective types of linear subspace, *Math. Scand.* **6** (1958), 189–199.
- [33] K. Kunen, Products of S-spaces, manuscript, May 1975 (5 pp.).
- [34] K. Kunen, On hereditarily Lindelöf Banach spaces, manuscript, July 1980 (2 pp.).
- [35] K. Kunen, *Set Theory. An Introduction to Independence Proofs*, Studies Logic Found. Math., **102**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [36] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. I. Sequence spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **92**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1977.
- [37] A.I. Markushevich, Sur les bases (au sens large) dans les espaces linéaires, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **41** (1943), 227–229.
- [38] V.D. Milman, Geometric theory of Banach spaces Part I, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **25** (1970), 111–170.
- [39] S. Negreponis, Banach Spaces and Topology, *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, 1045–1142, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [40] R.R. Phelps, Subreflexive normed linear spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **8** (1957), 444–450.
- [41] S. Shelah, Inner product space with no ortho-normal basis without choice, [arXiv:1009.1441](https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1441).
- [42] S. Todorčević, Biorthogonal systems and quotient spaces via Baire category methods, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), 687–715.
- [43] M. Valdivia, Resolutions of the identity in certain Banach spaces, *Collect. Math.* **39** (1988), 127–140.
- [44] J. Vanderwerff, Fréchet differentiable norms on spaces of countable dimension, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **58** (1992), 471–476.
- [45] V. Zizler, Nonseparable Banach spaces, *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*, Vol. 2, 1743–1816. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.

(P. Hájek) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, TECHNICKÁ 2, 166 27 PRAHA 6, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: hajek@math.cas.cz

(T. Russo) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, TECHNICKÁ 2, 166 27 PRAHA 6, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: russotom@fel.cvut.cz