

# TWISTED FOURIER(-STIELTJES) SPACES AND AMENABILITY

HUN HEE LEE AND XIAO XIONG

**ABSTRACT.** The Fourier(-Stieltjes) algebras on locally compact groups are important commutative Banach algebras in abstract harmonic analysis. In this paper we introduce a generalization of the above two algebras via twisting with respect to 2-cocycles on the group. We also define and investigate basic properties of the associated multiplier spaces with respect to a pair of 2-cocycles. We finally prove a twisted version of the result of Bożejko/Losert/Ruan characterizing amenability of the underlying locally compact group through the comparison of the twisted Fourier-Stieltjes space with the associated multiplier spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One popular theme in abstract harmonic analysis is to construct Banach or operator algebras out of locally compact groups reflecting the properties of the underlying group. The first such examples would be the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  and the measure algebra  $M(G)$  for a locally compact group  $G$  with respect to convolution product. Some group properties are well represented by these algebras. For example, the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is amenable as a Banach algebra in the sense of B.E. Johnson if and only if  $G$  is amenable as a locally compact group [13] if and only if  $M(G)$  is Connes amenable [33]. Left translation on  $G$  provides an important example of unitary representations of  $G$ , namely the left regular representation  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ , whose canonical lifting to the  $L^1(G)$  leads us to the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G)$  and the group von Neumann algebra  $VN(G)$  of  $G$  by completing the image of the lifting in the norm topology and in the strong operator topology in  $B(L^2(G))$ , respectively. For discrete group  $G$  it is well-known that  $G$  is amenable if and only if  $C_r^*(G)$  is nuclear as a  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if  $VN(G)$  is semidiscrete as a von Neuman algebra [1, Section 2.6].

While  $L^1(G)$  and  $M(G)$  are relatively easy to understand as Banach spaces, they are non-commutative Banach algebras (without  $C^*$ -algebra structures) for non-abelian groups, which makes the analysis for their algebraic structures quite limited. This limitation leads us to look for other alternatives, and we do have dual objects which are commutative Banach algebras, namely the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$ . Recall that we have identifications  $A(G) = \{\langle \lambda(\cdot)f, g \rangle : f, g \in L^2(G)\}$  and  $B(G) = \{\langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle \mid \pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi), \text{ unitary representation, } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$ . Moreover, we have  $A(G)^* \cong VN(G)$  and  $B(G) \cong (C^*(G))^*$  in canonical ways, where  $C^*(G)$  is the full  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ , which is the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of Banach  $*$ -algebra  $L^1(G)$  with respect to the canonical involution. The duality  $A(G)^* \cong VN(G)$  allows us to consider a canonical operator space structure on  $A(G)$  and the following result of Ruan [31]: the algebra  $A(G)$  is amenable as a completely contractive Banach algebra if and only if  $G$  is amenable as a locally compact group. See Section 2.2 for the details on operator spaces. In this dual side, we actually have a richer structure, namely the algebra  $MA(G)$  of bounded multipliers of  $A(G)$  and the algebra  $M_{cb}A(G)$  of completely bounded multipliers of  $A(G)$ ,

---

*Key words and phrases.* Fourier algebra, Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, 2-cocycle, Fourier multiplier, amenability.  
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A30, Secondary 46L07.

HHLee was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant NRF-2017R1E1A1A03070510 and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIT) (Grant No.2017R1A5A1015626). XXiong was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301401).

both containing  $B(G)$ . Note that for the corresponding objects  $ML_1(G)$  and  $M_{cb}L_1(G)$  in the group algebra side we have  $ML^1(G) = M_{cb}L^1(G) = M(G)$  [41]. This additional structure gives us another way of seeing the underlying group structure. The results of Bożejko/Losert/Ruan ([4, 20, 30]) says that the equality of the two algebras  $B(G)$  and  $MA(G)$  (or  $M_{cb}A(G)$ ) characterizes amenability of  $G$ , which we will examine carefully in this paper.

The above line of research has been extended to the cases of locally compact quantum groups (see [5] and [32]). While the class of locally compact quantum groups is a vastly bigger one than the class of locally compact groups, it misses some of the fundamentally important examples of “quantum spaces” such as non-commutative torus (or quantum torus) and quantum Euclidean spaces (or Moyal planes). Recall that “quantum spaces” including locally compact quantum groups are understood through the “algebras of functions on them”, which are either  $C^*$ -algebras or von Neumann algebras. For example, the “algebra of continuous functions” on the non-commutative torus is the well-known  $C^*$ -algebra, called the irrational rotation algebra  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$ . Note that  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$  does not allow any (locally) compact quantum group structure on it due to a result of P. Soltan [34]. Nevertheless, there are canonical ways of obtaining  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$  from locally compact groups, namely via 2-cocycle twisting. More precisely, we begin with the dual group  $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \widehat{\mathbb{T}^2}$  and a 2-cocycle  $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $((x, y), (x', y')) \mapsto e^{i\theta xy'}$  for a fixed irrational number  $\theta$ . Then, the space  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  carries a Banach  $*$ -algebra structure with respect to the twisted convolution  $*_\sigma$  and the twisted involution  $\star$ , which will be clarified later. Now we get  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$  as its enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra. This procedure of 2-cocycle twisting can be done for general locally compact groups and that is one of the main focus of this paper. Note that the study of locally compact groups with 2-cocycle twisting goes back to the results of Mackey [23], Edwards/Lewis [8] and Kleppner/Lipsman [19].

As is demonstrated in the above, 2-cocycles on locally compact groups give us more of Banach/operator algebras, namely twisted group/measure algebras and twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras and group von Neumann algebras, which are different from the (quantum) group cases, and they have been studied extensively (see [24] and the continued works for example). However, analogues of the Fourier(-Stieltjes) algebra and the associated multiplier algebra received relatively little attention upto now. One exception could be the study of twisted Fourier spaces on discrete groups and the corresponding twisted multiplier space by Bédos/Conti [2] with the focus on Fourier series approach excluding the associated Fourier-Stieltjes space. Moreover, the case of general locally compact groups has not been covered in the literature. It is our main goal to initiate a systematic study on the twisted Fourier(-Stieltjes) spaces and their associated multiplier spaces for any locally compact group. Note that we use the expression “Fourier(-Stieltjes) spaces” instead of “Fourier(-Stieltjes) algebras” since they do not carry Banach algebra structures with respect to the canonical operations in general. Instead, they carry bimodule structures with respect to the usual (untwisted) Fourier(-Stieltjes) algebras of the same underlying group. This fundamental difference leads us to consider multiplier spaces between the usual Fourier algebra and the twisted Fourier space (see Section 5 for the details), which turn out to be the right object to establish a twisted version of the result of Bożejko/Losert/Ruan ([4, 20, 30]) characterizing amenability through the associated multiplier spaces.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect basic materials needed in the sequel, namely various function spaces/algebras and operator algebras on locally compact groups. We also cover necessary preliminaries on operator spaces. In Section 3 we recall known constructions of several twisted algebras on locally compact groups with more details scattered in the literatures. In Section 4 we define our main objects, namely twisted Fourier(-Stieltjes) spaces, and develop basic theory on them in parallel with the untwisted case. In Section 5 we continue to define twisted multiplier spaces with a characterization in the style of Gilbert [11] and Jolissaint [14]. In the final section we address our main result, which is a characterization of amenability through twisted multiplier spaces after

Bożejko/Losert/Ruan. The proof is divided into two sub-cases, namely the discrete group case (Section 6.1) and the non-discrete group case (Section 6.2).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Related function spaces and operator algebras without twisting.** In this subsection we will collect basic materials regarding relevant function spaces and operator algebras associated to a locally compact group  $G$ . We consider *the group algebra*, which is the space  $L^1(G)$  with respect to a fixed left Haar measure  $ds$  on  $G$  equipped with the convolution  $*$  and the involution  $*$  given by  $f * g(s) := \int_G f(t)g(t^{-1}s)dt$ ,  $f^*(s) = \Delta_G(s^{-1}) \overline{f(s^{-1})}$  for  $f, g \in L^1(G)$ . Here,  $\Delta_G$  is the *modular function* of  $G$ . The enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  of the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $(L^1(G), *)$  is called *the full group  $C^*$ -algebra* of  $G$ . The enveloping von Neumann algebra  $W^*(G)$  of  $(L^1(G), *)$  (or of  $C^*(G)$ ) can be identified with  $(C^*(G))^{**}$  in a canonical way. There are reduced versions of the above algebras. We begin with *the left regular representation*  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ ,  $\lambda(s)f(t) = f(s^{-1}t)$ ,  $f \in L^2(G)$ ,  $s, t \in G$ , which can be lifted to  $L^1(G)$  by  $\tilde{\lambda} : L^1(G) \rightarrow B(\overline{L^2(G)})$ ,  $f \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}(f) := \int_G f(s)\lambda(s)ds$ . Then we get *the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra*  $C_r^*(G) := \overline{\tilde{\lambda}(L^1(G))} \subseteq B(L^2(G))$  and *the group von Neumann algebra*  $VN(G) := \tilde{\lambda}(L^1(G))'' \subseteq B(L^2(G))$ . The dual of the full group  $C^*$ -algebra  $(C^*(G))^*$  can be identified with *the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra*  $B(G) := \{ \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle \mid \pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \text{ unitary representation, } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi \}$ , which can be obtained by the linear span of  $\mathcal{P}_1(G)$ , the collection of all bounded *positive definite* functions on  $G$  with value 1 at the identity  $e$  of  $G$ . The group von Neumann algebra  $VN(G)$  is known to have a unique predual  $A(G)$  called *the Fourier algebra*, which can be canonically identified as a subalgebra of  $C_0(G)$ . Thanks to the fact that  $VN(G) \subseteq B(L^2(G))$  is a standard form ([37]) we can deduce that  $A(G) = \{ \langle \lambda(\cdot)f, g \rangle \mid f, g \in L^2(G) \}$ , i.e. coefficient functions of the left regular representation. Both of  $A(G)$  and  $B(G)$  are Banach algebras with respect to the pointwise multiplication and  $A(G)$  actually is an ideal of  $B(G)$ .

We will need one more function algebra  $LUC(G)$  consisting of bounded continuous functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which  $s \in G \mapsto \lambda(s)f$  is continuous with respect to  $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ , which is clearly a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

*Amenability* is one of the most important properties of a locally compact group. We say that  $G$  is amenable if the commutative von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G)$  has a left invariant mean  $m$ , i.e. a state on  $L^\infty(G)$  such that  $m(\lambda(s)f) = m(f)$ ,  $\forall s \in G$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(G)$ . It is well-known that  $G$  is amenable if and only if the canonical quotient map  $Q : C^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G)$  is injective [12].

**2.2. Operator spaces.** A *concrete operator space* is a norm-closed subspace  $E$  of  $B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ . Immediate examples of operator spaces are, of course,  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras. The following materials can be found in [10].

For operator spaces  $E$  and  $F$  we say that a linear map  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is *completely bounded* (shortly, a *cb-map*) if the *cb-norm*  $\|T\|_{cb} := \sup_{n \geq 1} \|I_n \otimes T : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)\|$  is finite. The space of all cb-maps from  $E$  to  $F$  will be denoted by  $CB(E, F)$  equipped with the cb-norm. The map  $T$  is called a *complete isometry* if  $I_n \otimes T : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  is an isometry for all  $n \geq 1$ . It is called a *complete quotient map* if  $I_n \otimes T : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  is a quotient map for all  $n \geq 1$ .

For an operator space  $E \subseteq B(H)$  we can associate a sequence of Banach spaces  $M_n(E) \subseteq M_n(B(H)) \cong B(\ell_n^2 \otimes H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whose canonical norms are denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_n$ . This sequence of norms (which we call an *operator space structure*) satisfies the *Ruan's axioms*:

$$(R_1) \quad \|\alpha x \beta\|_n \leq \|\alpha\| \cdot \|x\|_n \cdot \|\beta\|, \quad \text{and} \quad (R_2) \quad \|x \oplus y\|_{n+m} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_m\}$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in M_n$ ,  $x \in M_n(E)$  and  $y \in M_m(E)$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . It turns out that  $(R_1)$  and  $(R_2)$  actually characterize operator spaces in the sense that any Banach space  $E$  equipped with a sequence of norms  $\| \cdot \|_n$  on  $M_n(E)$  satisfying  $(R_1)$  and  $(R_2)$  can be isometrically

embedded in  $B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ . Ruan's axioms allow us to consider a natural duality for operator spaces since we can equip  $M_n(E^*)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|'_n$  coming from  $CB(E, M_n)$ , which can be shown to satisfy Ruan's axioms again. We call  $(E^*, (\|\cdot\|'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  the *operator space dual* of  $E$ . If the operator space  $E$  is a dual Banach space, so that  $E = (E_*)^*$  for some predual  $E_*$  of  $E$ , then the usual isometric embedding  $E_* \hookrightarrow (E_*)^{**} = E^*$  provides a canonical operator space structure on  $E_*$ . For a linear map  $T : E \rightarrow F$  between operator spaces it is well-known that  $T$  is a complete quotient map if and only if  $T^* : E^* \rightarrow F^*$  is a complete isometry.

Among various tensor products available for operator spaces the *projective operator space*  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$  of two operator space  $E$  and  $F$  are characterized by the following property: the canonical map gives a complete isometry  $(E \widehat{\otimes} F)^* \cong CB(E, F^*)$ . For a dual operator space  $E = (E_*)^*$  we have  $M_n(E)_* \cong (M_n)_* \widehat{\otimes} E_*$  completely isometrically. Moreover, for two complete quotient maps  $T_1 : E_1 \rightarrow F_1$  and  $T_2 : E_2 \rightarrow F_2$  between operator spaces their tensor product  $T_1 \otimes T_2 : E_1 \widehat{\otimes} E_2 \rightarrow F_1 \widehat{\otimes} F_2$  is also a complete quotient map.

For a Hilbert space  $H$  we can associate two important operator spaces  $H_R := B(H, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq B(H)$  and  $H_C := B(\mathbb{C}, H) \subseteq B(H)$  called the *row Hilbert space* and the *column Hilbert space*, respectively. When  $H = \ell_n^2$ , we simply write  $R_n$  and  $C_n$ , respectively. It is well known that  $H_R \widehat{\otimes} H_C \cong B(H)_*$  completely isometrically via the canonical map. In particular, we have  $R_n \widehat{\otimes} C_n \cong (M_n)_*$ . For another Hilbert space  $K$  we have complete isometries  $H_C \widehat{\otimes} K_C \cong (H \otimes_2 K)_C$  and  $H_R \widehat{\otimes} K_R \cong (H \otimes_2 K)_R$ .

### 3. TWISTED ALGEBRAS ON LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

In this section we present known constructions of several algebras with 2-cocycle twisting on locally compact groups. We begin with recalling the definition of 2-cocycles.

**Definition 3.1.** A Borel measurable function  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is called a **2-cocycle** on  $G$  if

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(s, t)\sigma(st, r) = \sigma(s, tr)\sigma(t, r), \\ \sigma(s, e) = \sigma(e, t) = 1 \end{cases}$$

for any  $s, t, r \in G$ . The collection of all 2-cocycles on  $G$  will be denoted by  $Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , which is a group under pointwise multiplication.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,

$$\sigma_A : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad (s, t) \mapsto e^{i\langle s, At \rangle} \quad (3.1)$$

is a 2-cocycle on the group  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The same function gives rise to a 2-cocycle on the group  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by restriction, which we still denote by  $\sigma_A$  by abuse of notation. For more examples of 2-cocycles, see [17] and [24].

**3.1. Twisted convolution algebras.** The space  $L^1(G)$  can be equipped with a Banach  $*$ -algebra structure different from the usual group algebra structure as follows.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . For  $f, g \in L^1(G)$  we define the **twisted convolution**  $f *_\sigma g$  and **twisted involution**  $f^*$  by

$$\begin{cases} f *_\sigma g(s) := \int_G f(t)\sigma(t, t^{-1}s)g(t^{-1}s)dt, \\ f^*(s) := \Delta_G(s^{-1})\overline{\sigma(s, s^{-1})f(s^{-1})} \end{cases}$$

for  $s \in G$ . Here,  $\Delta_G$  is the modular function of  $G$ . The Banach  $*$ -algebra  $(L^1(G), *_\sigma, \star)$  will be simply denoted by  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** (1) It is immediate to see that the twisted convolution is associative on  $L^1(G)$ .

(2) Since we have  $|f *_\sigma g(s)| \leq \|f\| * \|g\|(s)$  for  $f, g \in C_c(G)$ ,  $s \in G$  we can easily see that  $f *_\sigma g$  is well-defined for  $f, g \in L^1(G)$  as an  $L^1$ -function on  $G$ . Moreover, Young's inequality for the usual convolution tells us that its twisted version also

holds. In other words,  $f *_{\sigma} g$  is well-defined element in  $L^r(G)$  for  $f \in L^p(G)$  and  $g \in L^q(G)$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$ , so that we have

$$\|f *_{\sigma} g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \quad (3.2)$$

- (3) Two 2-cocycles  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  are called **similar** if there is a Borel measurable function  $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\sigma_1(s, t) = \frac{\xi(s)\xi(t)}{\xi(st)} \sigma_2(s, t), \quad s, t \in G$$

satisfying  $\xi(e) = 1$ . In this case, we can easily see that the map  $f \mapsto f \cdot \xi$  becomes an isometry on  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Moreover, it is immediate to check that

$$(f *_{\sigma_1} g) \cdot \xi = (f \cdot \xi) *_{\sigma_2} (g \cdot \xi), \quad (f \cdot \xi)^{\star_2} = f^{\star_1} \cdot \xi, \quad f, g \in C_c(G),$$

where  $\star_1$  and  $\star_2$  are the involutions corresponding to  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , respectively. Thus, we can see that the map  $L^1(G, \sigma_1) \rightarrow L^1(G, \sigma_2)$ ,  $f \mapsto f \cdot \xi$  becomes an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism.

- (4) Any 2-cocycle  $\tau \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  is similar to a 2-cocycle  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  satisfying the following normalization condition ([18, p.215])

$$\sigma(s, s^{-1}) = 1, \quad \forall s \in G. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, we can choose the map

$$\sigma(s, t) = \tau(st, (st)^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(s, s^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(t, t^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(s, t), \quad s, t \in G.$$

It is straightforward to see that the above condition (3.3) implies that

$$\sigma(s, t) = \overline{\sigma(t^{-1}, s^{-1})}, \quad s, t \in G. \quad (3.4)$$

Combined with the above remark we may assume that the given 2-cocycle  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  satisfies the above condition (3.3) when we are only interested in the  $*$ -algebra structure of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ . Along with this discussion we say that  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  is **trivial** if it is similar to the constant 1 function, which obviously is a 2-cocycle.

- (5) ([25, Theorem 3.1]) Any 2-cocycle  $\tau \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  is similar to a 2-cocycle  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  which is locally continuous at the identity, i.e. there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of the identity of  $G$  such that  $\tau$  is continuous on  $U \times U$ .

We can define the twisted full group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  by the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ , but we need more details about twisted representations, which will be essential for the next steps.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . A map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a  **$\sigma$ -projective unitary representation** (shortly,  **$\sigma$ -representation**) on  $G$  if the map  $s \in G \mapsto \pi(s)\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  is Borel measurable for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and we have

$$\pi(s)\pi(t) = \sigma(s, t)\pi(st) \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $s, t \in G$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  the associated **coefficient function**  $\pi_{\xi, \eta}$  is given by

$$\pi_{\xi, \eta}(s) := \langle \pi(s)\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad s \in G. \quad (3.6)$$

- Remark 3.6.** (1) For a map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying (3.5) it is known that  $s \in G \mapsto \pi(s)\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  is Borel measurable for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $s \in G \mapsto \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$  is Borel measurable and not locally null for any non-zero  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  [18, Theorem 1].  
(2) Let  $\sigma_i \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  and  $\pi_i : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_i)$  be  $\sigma_i$ -representation for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then it is straightforward to see that  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  and the tensor product  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  is a  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ -representation.

One distinctive example of  $\sigma$ -representation is the left regular one as follows.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . The **left regular  $\sigma$ -representation**  $\lambda_\sigma$  is given by  $\lambda_\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$  and

$$\lambda_\sigma(s)f(t) := \sigma(s, s^{-1}t)f(s^{-1}t), \quad \forall s, t \in G, f \in L^2(G).$$

The above operator  $\lambda_\sigma(s)$ ,  $s \in G$  can also be understood as an isometry on  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

**Remark 3.8.** It is straightforward to check that  $\lambda_\sigma(s)$ ,  $s \in G$ , commutes with the left twisted convolution operation on  $L^1(G)$ , i.e.

$$(\lambda_\sigma(s)f) *_\sigma g = \lambda_\sigma(s)(f *_\sigma g), \quad f, g \in L^1(G). \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, it is clear from the definition that the map  $s \in G \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s)f \in L^2(G)$  is Borel measurable for any  $f \in L^2(G)$ .

As in the untwisted case any  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  can be lifted to a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation  $\tilde{\pi} : L^1(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  and vice versa. Recall that  $\tilde{\pi}$  is called *non-degenerate* if the subspace  $\tilde{\pi}(L^1(G))\mathcal{H}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ .

- (1) For a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  on  $G$  the lifted map  $\tilde{\pi} : L^1(G, \sigma) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  given by

$$\tilde{\pi}(f) := \int_G f(s)\pi(s)ds$$

is a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation. Moreover, we have

$$\pi(s)\tilde{\pi}(f) = \tilde{\pi}(\lambda_\sigma(s)f), \quad s \in G.$$

- (2) For a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation  $\Phi : L^1(G, \sigma) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  we can find a uniquely determined  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Phi = \tilde{\pi}$ .

*Proof.* (1) We omit the proof, which is a standard argument.

(2) We follow the same argument as in the untwisted case. Let  $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$  be a bounded approximate identity of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  guaranteed by [8, Theorem 4], then for any  $s \in G$  we have

$$(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_i) *_\sigma f = \lambda_\sigma(s)(\psi_i *_\sigma f) \rightarrow \lambda_\sigma(s)f \quad \text{in } L^1(G)$$

by (3.7), which implies

$$\Phi(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_i)\Phi(f)\xi \rightarrow \Phi(\lambda_\sigma(s)f)\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This allows us to define the operator  $\pi(s)$  given by

$$\pi(s)\Phi(f)\xi := \Phi(\lambda_\sigma(s)f)\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (3.8)$$

which is clearly an isometry on  $\text{span } \Phi(L^1(G))\mathcal{H}$ , a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Now it is straightforward to see that  $\pi$  is the  $\sigma$ -representation we wanted, which we omit the details.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle on  $G$ . Then, for any  $f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the map  $s \in G \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s)f \in L^p(G)$  is continuous. Moreover, any  $\sigma$ -representation on  $G$  is strongly continuous.

*Proof.* We first observe that for any compact subset  $K \subseteq G$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a fixed  $s \in G$  we can find an open neighborhood  $V$  of the identity  $s$  of  $G$  such that

$$|\sigma(s, s^{-1}u) - \sigma(t, t^{-1}u)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in V, u \in K.$$

The above comes from the continuity of  $\sigma$  and a canonical compactness argument. This leads us to the conclusion that for any  $f \in C_c(G)$  we have  $s \in G \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s)f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is continuous. A usual density argument and the fact that  $\lambda_\sigma(s)$  is an isometry for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$  tells us that  $s \in G \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s)f \in L^p(G)$  is continuous for any  $f \in L^p(G)$ . Finally, the equation (3.8) implies that the map  $s \in G \mapsto \pi(s)\eta$  is continuous for  $\eta \in \text{span } \Phi(L^1(G))\mathcal{H}$ , so that for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  by a density argument.  $\square$

We end this subsection with a more detailed description of a possible choice of bounded approximate identity with certain property. As we have seen already a bounded approximate identity of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  guaranteed by [8, Theorem 4], whose proof actually provides a further structure. The approach begins with the following **central extension** of  $G$ . Let  $G_\sigma = G \times \mathbb{T}$  endowed with the group law

$$(s, z) \cdot (t, w) = (st, zw\sigma(s, t)), \quad s, t \in G, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Then,  $G_\sigma$  becomes a locally compact group with the left Haar measure  $dsdz$ , where  $ds$  is a fixed left Haar measure on  $G$  and  $dz$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Moreover, we have the following embedding and projection.

$$j : L^1(G, \sigma) \rightarrow L^1(G_\sigma), \quad f \mapsto j(f), \quad P : L^1(G_\sigma) \rightarrow L^1(G, \sigma), \quad F \mapsto PF,$$

where  $j(f)(s, z) := \bar{z}f(s)$ ,  $(s, z) \in G_\sigma$  and  $PF(s) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} F(s, z)zdz$ ,  $s \in G$ . Note that it is straightforward to see that  $j$  is an isometry and  $P$  is a contraction, and both of  $j$  and  $P$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms such that  $P(j(f)) = f$ ,  $f \in L^1(G, \sigma)$ . This allows us view the twisted convolution algebra on  $G$  as a part of the usual convolution algebra on its central extension  $G_\sigma$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a neighborhood base at the identity of  $G$ . We have a bounded approximate identity  $(\psi_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  such that  $\psi_U \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp}\psi_U \subseteq U$ ,  $\psi_U(s) = \psi_U(s^{-1})$ ,  $s \in G$  and  $\int_G \psi_U(s)ds = 1$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* We begin with selecting  $n_U \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_U \rightarrow \infty$  as  $U \rightarrow \{e\}$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ . Then clearly  $(U \times (-\frac{1}{n_U}, \frac{1}{n_U}))_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is a neighborhood base at the identity of  $G_\sigma = G \times \mathbb{T}$ . Here, we identified  $\mathbb{T} \cong [-\pi, \pi]$ . By applying the usual construction of bounded approximate identity for  $L^1(G_\sigma)$  we get a family of functions  $\Psi_U$  such that  $\Psi_U \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp}\Psi_U \subseteq U \times (-\frac{1}{n_U}, \frac{1}{n_U})$ ,  $\Psi_U((s, z)) = \Psi_U((s, z)^{-1})$ ,  $s \in G$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\int_{G_\sigma} \Psi_U dsdz = 1$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now it is straightforward to check that  $(P(\Psi_U))_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is a bounded approximate identity of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  we wanted.  $\square$

**3.2. Twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras.** We begin with the universal group  $C^*$ -algebra with twisting.

**Definition 3.12.** *Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . We define the **full twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra**  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  of  $G$  to be the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ . In other words, for any  $f \in L^1(G)$  we have the associated norm*

$$\|f\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)} := \sup\{\|\tilde{\pi}(f)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})} \mid \pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), \sigma\text{-representation}\}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the lifted representation as in Proposition 3.9 and  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  is the completion of  $(L^1(G), \|\cdot\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)})$ . The canonical embedding from  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  into  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  will be denoted by  $\iota$ , i.e.

$$\iota : L^1(G, \sigma) \hookrightarrow C^*(G, \sigma). \quad (3.10)$$

**Remark 3.13.** *The above norm (3.9) is actually a well-defined  $C^*$ -norm thanks to the fact that the left regular  $\sigma$ -representation  $\lambda_\sigma$  is injective. Indeed, for any  $f \in L^1(G)$  with  $\lambda_\sigma(f) = 0$  we have*

$$f = \lim_U f * \psi_U = \lim_U \lambda_\sigma(f)\psi_U = 0,$$

where  $(\psi_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is the bounded approximate identity of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  in Proposition 3.11, so that we know  $\psi_U \in L^2(G)$  for each  $U$ .

Now we move to the reduced version and its von Neumann algebra counterpart.

**Definition 3.14.** *Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . We define the **reduced twisted group  $C^*$ -algebra**  $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  of  $G$  to be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{\tilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f) : f \in L^1(G)\}$  in  $B(L^2(G))$ . We also define the **twisted group von Neumann algebra**  $VN(G, \sigma)$  of  $G$  to be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{\tilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f) : f \in L^1(G)\}$  (or equivalently by  $\{\lambda_\sigma(s) : s \in G\}$ ) in  $B(L^2(G))$ .*

**Example 3.15.** (1) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ -\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for an irrational  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . Then, for the

2-cocycle  $\sigma_A$  from (3.1) we have that  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2, \sigma_A)$  is the non-commutative torus  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$  as mentioned in the introduction.

(2) Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Then,  $VN(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma_B) \cong B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  as von Neumann algebras [19, example p.490].

#### 4. TWISTED FOURIER(-STIELTJES) SPACES

In this section we define twisted Fourier(-Stieltjes) spaces  $A(G, \sigma)$  and  $B(G, \sigma)$ . Note that the same object  $A(G, \sigma)$  were briefly mentioned in a paragraph right after Proposition 4.2 of [2] in the discrete group setting, but here we cover the full generality with one technical condition, namely the continuity of the associated 2-cocycle. We mainly follow the classical approach to define Fourier(-Stieltjes) algebras in the presence of twisting. We begin with twisted positive definite functions.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle. We say that  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  **$\sigma$ -positive definite function** (shortly,  **$\sigma$ -p.d.**) if for any finitely supported function  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\sum_{s, t \in G} \overline{\sigma(s, s^{-1}t)} \varphi(s^{-1}t) \overline{\alpha(s)} \alpha(t) \geq 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\left[ \overline{\sigma(s_i, s_i^{-1})} \varphi(s_i^{-1} s_j) \sigma(s_i^{-1}, s_j) \right]_{i, j=1}^n \geq 0$$

for any finite sequences  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in G$ .

The above description is “discrete” in nature. We also have the following “continuous” description as in the untwisted case.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . We say that  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is of  **$\sigma$ -positive type** if  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$  and it defines a positive linear functional on the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ , i.e. for any  $f \in L^1(G)$  we have

$$\int_G f^* *_\sigma f(s) \varphi(s) ds \geq 0.$$

From this point on we will mainly focus on *continuous* 2-cocycles in order to avoid technical difficulties.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded continuous function. Then, the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\varphi$  is of  $\sigma$ -positive type.
- (2)  $\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -positive definite.
- (3)  $\int_G f^* *_\sigma f(s) \varphi(s) ds \geq 0$  for any  $f \in C_c(G)$ .

*Proof.* Note that we can readily check

$$\int_G f^* *_\sigma f(s) \varphi(s) ds = \int_G \int_G \overline{\sigma(s, s^{-1}t)} \varphi(s^{-1}t) \overline{f(s)} f(t) ds dt,$$

so that we may use the same argument as in the untwisted case. One key observation is that for any compact  $K \subseteq G$  we have  $M(K) = C(K)^*$  is generated by the span of point masses on  $K$  or  $L^1(K)$  in the weak\* topology.  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** For a continuous 2-cocycle  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  we define  $\mathcal{P}(G, \sigma)$  to be the collection of all bounded continuous functions  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 4.3. We also define

$$\mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(G, \sigma) : \varphi(e) = 1\}.$$

Now we present a characterization of  $\mathcal{P}(G, \sigma)$  using coefficient functions of  $\sigma$ -representations from (3.6). Here, we need a weak continuity of  $\sigma$ -representations on  $G$ , which is automatically guaranteed from the continuity of  $\sigma$  as follows.

**Remark 4.5.** For a continuous 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  the associated central extension  $G_\sigma$  has an easy way to understand topological group structure, namely the embedding  $G \rightarrow G_\sigma$ ,  $x \mapsto (1, x)$  is continuous. Note that, in general, we only know measurability of the same map. Then, for a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi$  on  $G$ , we know that the extended representation  $\pi^0$  on  $G$  given by  $\pi^0(x, t) = t\pi(x)$ ,  $(x, t) \in G_\sigma$ , is continuous ([18, Theorem 1]) and we get the continuity of  $\pi$  since  $\pi(x) = \pi^0(1, x)$ ,  $x \in G$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded continuous function. Then, the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ .
- (2) The functional  $f \in L^1(G) \mapsto \int_G f(s)\varphi(s)ds$  determines a state  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ .
- (3) There is a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  and a norm 1 element  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  such that  $\varphi(s) = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) The positivity (with respect to the Banach  $*$ -algebra structure of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ ) of the associated functional is clear. Now we recall the bounded approximate identity  $(\psi_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  in Proposition 3.11. Then, we have

$$\lim_U \int_G \psi_U(s)\varphi(s)ds = \varphi(e) = 1,$$

which explains that the associated functional extends to a state on  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ , which is the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $(\Phi, \mathcal{H}, \xi)$  be the GNS representation of  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  with respect to the state  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . By restricting to  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  we get a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ , so that there is a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Phi \circ \iota = \tilde{\pi}$ , where  $\iota : L^1(G, \sigma) \hookrightarrow C^*(G, \sigma)$  is the canonical embedding and  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the lifting of  $\pi$ . Thus, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\iota(f)) = \langle \Phi(\iota(f))\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\pi}(f)\xi, \xi \rangle = \int_G f(s)\langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle ds,$$

so that we get  $\varphi(s) = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$ ,  $s \in G$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If  $\varphi(s) = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$  for some  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  with norm 1, then clearly  $\varphi$  is bounded continuous by Remark 4.5 and  $\varphi(e) = 1$ . It remains to check that  $\varphi$  is of  $\sigma$ -positive type. By change of variables we have for any  $f \in C_c(G)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f^* *_\sigma f(s)\varphi(s)ds &= \int_G \int_G \Delta_G(t^{-1})\overline{\sigma(t, t^{-1})}\sigma(t, t^{-1}s)\overline{f(t^{-1})}f(t^{-1}s)\varphi(s) ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \Delta_G(t^{-1})\overline{\sigma(t, t^{-1})}\sigma(t, s)\overline{f(t^{-1})}f(s)\varphi(ts) ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \Delta_G(t^{-1})\overline{\sigma(t, t^{-1})}\overline{f(t^{-1})}f(s)\langle \pi(t)\pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \overline{\sigma(t, t^{-1})}\overline{f(t)}f(s)\langle \pi(t^{-1})\pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \overline{f(t)}f(s)\langle \pi(s)\xi, \pi(t)\xi \rangle ds dt \\ &= \langle \pi(f)\xi, \pi(f)\xi \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , which are continuous.

- (1) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ , then  $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \bar{\sigma})$ .
- (2) If  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma_1)$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma_2)$ , then we have  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma_1 \sigma_2)$ .
- (3) For  $f \in L^2(G)$  with norm 1 we have

$$\langle \lambda_\sigma(s) f, f \rangle = \overline{f *_\sigma \tilde{f}(s)}, \quad s \in G,$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(t) := \overline{\sigma(t, t^{-1}) f(t^{-1})} = \Delta_G(t) f^*(t), \quad t \in G. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus, we get

$$\overline{f *_\sigma \tilde{f}} \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma).$$

*Proof.* (1) is immediate from the definition of positive definite functions and (2) is clear from Remark 3.6. Finally, (3) is directly from Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$  with compact support. Then, there is  $\psi \in L^2(G)$  such that  $\varphi(s) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(s) \psi, \psi \rangle$ ,  $s \in G$ .

*Proof.* We follow the argument of [7, Section 13.8] closely. We first observe that, for a compactly supported continuous function  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -positive definite if and only if the operator

$$R(\bar{\varphi}) : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G), \quad f \mapsto f *_\sigma \bar{\varphi}$$

is positive. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f *_\sigma \bar{\varphi}, f \rangle &= \int_G \int_G f(t) \sigma(t, t^{-1} s) \overline{\varphi(t^{-1} s) f(s)} dt ds \\ &= \int_G \overline{f^* *_\sigma f(s) \varphi(s)} ds \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in L^2(G)$ . Multiplying by a constant we may assume that  $0 \leq R(\bar{\varphi}) \leq 1$ .

Now we consider an increasing sequence  $(p_n(t))_{n \geq 1}$  of non-negative polynomials on  $[0, 1]$ , vanishing at 0 and converging uniformly to the function  $\sqrt{t}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . We set  $\psi_j := p_j(\bar{\varphi}) \in L^1(G, \sigma)$  using the functional calculus of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$ . Then we have  $\psi_j \in C_c(G)$  and  $R(\psi_j) = p_j(R(\bar{\varphi}))$ , so that

$$0 \leq R(\psi_1) \leq R(\psi_2) \leq \dots \leq R(\bar{\varphi}) \leq 1.$$

Moreover, we can see that  $\{R(\psi_j) : j \geq 1\} \cup \{R(\bar{\varphi})\}$  is a commuting family of positive operators.

Note that for any  $g, h \in C_c(G)$  we have  $R(g)R(h) = R(g *_\sigma h)$  and  $R(g)^* = R(\tilde{g})$ , so that we have  $R(\psi_k *_\sigma \tilde{\psi}_j) = R(\psi_k)R(\psi_j) \geq 0$  for any  $j, k \geq 1$ . By Corollary 4.7 we know that  $\psi_k *_\sigma \tilde{\psi}_j \in \mathcal{P}(G, \sigma)$  and consequently we have  $\langle \psi_k, \psi_j \rangle = \psi_k *_\sigma \tilde{\psi}_j(e) \geq 0$  for any  $j, k \geq 1$ . Similarly, we can observe that  $\langle \psi_k - \psi_j, \psi_j \rangle \geq 0$  since  $R((\psi_k - \psi_j) *_\sigma \tilde{\psi}_j) = [R(\psi_k) - R(\psi_j)]R(\psi_j) \geq 0$  for any  $k \geq j \geq 1$ . Combining all the above for any  $k \geq j \geq 1$  we have

$$\|\psi_k - \psi_j\|_2^2 = \|\psi_k\|_2^2 - \|\psi_j\|_2^2 - 2\langle \psi_k - \psi_j, \psi_j \rangle \leq \|\psi_k\|_2^2 - \|\psi_j\|_2^2, \quad (4.2)$$

so that we have  $\|\psi_j\|_2 \leq \|\psi_k\|_2$ . By replacing  $\psi_k$  with  $\bar{\varphi}$ , the same argument tells us that  $\|\psi_j\|_2 \leq \|\bar{\varphi}\|_2$ , which implies that

$$\|\psi_1\|_2 \leq \|\psi_2\|_2 \leq \dots \leq \|\bar{\varphi}\|_2.$$

Thus, we know that the sequence  $(\|\psi_j\|_2)_{j \geq 1}$  converges and (4.2) implies that  $(\psi_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(G)$ . Thus, we have  $\psi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_j \in L^2(G)$ . Finally, for

$h \in C_c(G)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} h *_{\sigma} \bar{\varphi} &= R(\bar{\varphi})(h) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j^2(R(\bar{\varphi}))(h) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} R(\psi_j *_{\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_j)(h) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h *_{\sigma} \psi_j *_{\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_j \\ &= h *_{\sigma} \psi *_{\sigma} \tilde{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to Young's inequality (3.2). This means that  $\varphi = \overline{\psi *_{\sigma} \tilde{\psi}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle. We define the **twisted Fourier-Stieltjes space**  $B(G, \sigma)$  by

$$B(G, \sigma) := \{\pi_{\xi, \eta} \mid \pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), \sigma\text{-representation}, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

where  $\pi_{\xi, \eta}$  is a coefficient function as in (3.6).

**Remark 4.10.** (1) It is immediate to see that  $B(G, \sigma) = \text{span } \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$  and thus we have the isometric identification  $B(G, \sigma) = (C^*(G, \sigma))^*$  if we equip  $B(G, \sigma)$  with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{B(G, \sigma)} := \sup_{f \in L^1(G), \|\iota(f)\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)} \leq 1} \left| \int_G f(s) \varphi(s) ds \right|,$$

where  $\iota$  is the canonical embedding in (3.10).

- (2) We need the continuity of  $\sigma$  to ensure that  $B(G, \sigma) \subseteq C_b(G)$ , the space of bounded continuous functions on  $G$ .
- (3) In [3] a Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(\Sigma)$  for a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $\Sigma = (A, G, \alpha, \sigma)$  has been introduced. Here,  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $G$  is a discrete group,  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  and  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$  satisfying a certain compatibility condition. If we take the  $A = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha_g \equiv \text{id}$ ,  $g \in G$ , then  $\sigma$  is a 2-cocycle in our sense. However, for an equivariant representation  $(\rho, v)$ , we can actually see that  $v$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ , so that it becomes a usual representation, at best. This means that the algebra  $B(\Sigma)$  does not cover the case of the Fourier-Stieltjes space  $B(G, \sigma)$  introduced in this article.

The dual space  $B(G, \sigma)^*$  of  $B(G, \sigma)$  is the bidual of  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ , which can be understood as the universal enveloping von Neumann algebra  $W^*(G, \sigma)$  of  $C^*(G, \sigma)$  in a canonical way. More precisely, there is the universal representation

$$\Phi_u^\sigma : C^*(G, \sigma) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_u^\sigma),$$

which is a direct sum of all cyclic representations such that  $W^*(G, \sigma) = \Phi_u^\sigma(C^*(G, \sigma))''$ . Then by Proposition 3.9 there is a  $\sigma$ -representation

$$\pi_u^\sigma : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_u^\sigma) \tag{4.3}$$

called the **twisted universal representation** such that  $\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma = \Phi_u^\sigma \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota : L^1(G, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(G, \sigma)$  is the canonical embedding in (3.10). Now, we recall the usual universal enveloping von Neumann algebra  $W^*(G)$  of  $C^*(G)$  and the (untwisted) universal representation  $\pi_u : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  with  $W^*(G) = \widetilde{\pi}_u(L^1(G))''$ . By tensoring the above two we get another  $\sigma$ -representation

$$\pi_u \otimes \pi_u^\sigma : G \rightarrow W^*(G) \bar{\otimes} W^*(G, \sigma) \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_u) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{H}_u^\sigma),$$

which extends uniquely to a normal  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Gamma_u^\sigma : W^*(G, \sigma) \rightarrow W^*(G) \bar{\otimes} W^*(G, \sigma), \quad \pi_u^\sigma(s) \mapsto \pi_u(s) \otimes \pi_u^\sigma(s), \quad s \in G$$

by universality. This leads us to the following.

**Proposition 4.11.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle.

(1) For any  $s \in G$  and  $\varphi \in B(G, \sigma)$  we have

$$\langle \pi_u^\sigma(s), \varphi \rangle = \varphi(s).$$

(2) The following map is a complete contraction.

$$m_\sigma : B(G) \widehat{\otimes} B(G, \sigma) \rightarrow B(G, \sigma), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, we have  $m_\sigma^* = \Gamma_u^\sigma$ .

*Proof.* (1) We recall the bounded approximate identity  $(\psi_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of  $L^1(G, \sigma)$  in Proposition 3.11. Now we observe that  $\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\psi_U)$  converges to  $1_{W^*(G, \sigma)}$  in the weak\*-topology by taking a suitable subnet if necessary. Since the the weak\*-topology and the  $\sigma$ -weak operator topology on  $W^*(G, \sigma)$  coincide we can actually show that  $\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_U)$  converges to  $\pi_u^\sigma(s)$ ,  $s \in G$  in the weak\*-topology. Indeed, for any  $(\xi_i, \eta_i)_{i \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u^\sigma$  such that  $\sum_i \|\xi_i\| \cdot \|\eta_i\| < \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle \widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_U)\xi_i, \eta_i \rangle &= \sum_i \langle \pi_u^\sigma(s)\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\psi_U)\xi_i, \eta_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\psi_U)\xi_i, \pi_u^\sigma(s)^*\eta_i \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \sum_i \langle \xi_i, \pi_u^\sigma(s)^*\eta_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \pi_u^\sigma(s)\xi_i, \eta_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as  $U \rightarrow \{e\}$ . This implies for any  $\varphi \in B(G, \sigma)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_u^\sigma(s), \varphi \rangle &= \lim_U \langle \widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_U), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \lim_U \langle \iota(\lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_U), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \lim_U \int_G \lambda_\sigma(s)\psi_U(t)\varphi(t)dt \\ &= \lim_U \int_G \sigma(s, s^{-1}t)\psi_U(s^{-1}t)\varphi(t)dt \\ &= \varphi(s) \end{aligned}$$

by the continuity of  $\sigma$  and  $\varphi$ .

(2) This is immediate from the above result and the usual duality  $(W^*(G), B(G))$  given by

$$\langle \pi_u(s), \psi \rangle = \psi(s), \quad s \in G, \quad \psi \in B(G).$$

□

**Remark 4.12.** The map  $m_\sigma$  in (4.4) gives an operator  $B(G)$ -bimodule structure on  $B(G, \sigma)$ , which can be regarded as the canonical one.

**Proposition 4.13.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle. For every  $\varphi \in B(G, \sigma)$  we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{B(G, \sigma)} = \inf\{\|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|\},$$

where the above infimum runs over all possible  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$ . Moreover, the infimum can actually be obtained.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$  for some  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then for any  $f \in L^1(G)$  we have  $|\int_G f(s)\varphi(s)ds| = |\langle \tilde{\pi}(f)\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|\tilde{\pi}(f)\| \cdot \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|$ , which implies that  $\|\varphi\|_{B(G, \sigma)} \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|$ .

Now we will show that the infimum can actually be obtained. We can view  $\varphi$  as a normal functional on the von Neumann algebra  $W^*(G, \sigma)$ . Thus, we have the polar decomposition  $\varphi = u|\varphi|$ , where  $u \in W^*(G, \sigma)$  is a partial isometry and  $|\varphi|$  is a positive element of

$B(G, \sigma)$ , which means that there are  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|\varphi|(\cdot) = \langle \pi(\cdot)\eta, \eta \rangle$  and  $\| |\varphi| \|_{B(G, \sigma)} = \|\eta\|^2$ . The universality of  $\pi_u$  provides a normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho : W^*(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(L^1(G))''$  such that  $\rho(\pi_u^\sigma(s)) = \pi(s)$ . Then, (1) of Proposition 4.11 ensures that we have

$$\langle T, |\varphi| \rangle = \langle \rho(T), |\varphi| \rangle, \quad T \in W^*(G, \sigma).$$

From (1) of Proposition 4.11 again we know for any  $s \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= \langle \pi^\sigma(s), \varphi \rangle = \langle \pi^\sigma(s), u|\varphi| \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^\sigma(s)u, |\varphi| \rangle = \langle \rho(\pi^\sigma(s)u), |\varphi| \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(s)\rho(u), |\varphi| \rangle = \langle \pi(s)\rho(u)\eta, \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set  $\xi = \rho(u)\eta$ , then we have  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \pi(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$  and

$$\|\xi\| \leq \|\rho(u)\| \cdot \|\eta\| \leq \|\eta\|.$$

On the other hand, from the first part of this proof we know that

$$\|\eta\|^2 = \| |\varphi| \| = \|\varphi\| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|.$$

Thus, we have  $\|\xi\| = \|\eta\|$  and consequently  $\|\varphi\| = \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|$ .  $\square$

Now we define the Fourier space  $A(G, \sigma)$ .

**Definition 4.14.** Let  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be a continuous 2-cocycle. We define the **Fourier space**  $A(G, \sigma)$  to be the predual  $VN(G, \sigma)_*$  of the twisted von Neumann algebra  $VN(G, \sigma)$ .

Recall that the embedding  $VN(G, \sigma) \subseteq B(L^2(G))$  gives us the canonical complete quotient map  $B(L^2(G))_* \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma)_*$ , which is nothing but the restriction map, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} VN(G, \sigma)_* &= \{ \psi|_{VN(G, \sigma)} : \psi \in B(L^2(G))_* \} \\ &= \{ \psi|_{VN(G, \sigma)} : \psi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \omega_{\xi_n, \eta_n}, \xi_n, \eta_n \in L^2(G), \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\xi_n\| \cdot \|\eta_n\| < \infty \} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{ (\omega_{\xi, \eta})|_{VN(G, \sigma)} : \xi, \eta \in L^2(G) \} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{VN(G, \sigma)_*} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\xi_n\| \cdot \|\eta_n\| : \varphi = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \omega_{\xi_n, \eta_n} \right)|_{VN(G, \sigma)} \right\}.$$

Here,  $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$  refers to the normal functional on  $B(L^2(G))$  given by

$$\omega_{\xi, \eta}(T) = \langle T\xi, \eta \rangle, \quad T \in B(L^2(G)).$$

Since  $\text{span}\{\lambda_\sigma(s) : s \in G\}$  is weak\*-dense in  $VN(G, \sigma)$  any element  $\varphi \in VN(G, \sigma)_*$  is determined by its values on  $\text{span}\{\lambda_\sigma(s) : s \in G\}$ , so that we get an injective linear map

$$J : A(G, \sigma) \rightarrow C_0(G), \quad \omega_{\xi, \eta} \mapsto \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle.$$

It is clear that  $J$  is a complete contraction and  $J(\varphi)$  is a function in  $C_0(G)$  given by  $J(\varphi(s)) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(s), \varphi \rangle$ ,  $s \in G$ . By abuse of notation we will denote  $J(\varphi)$  simply by  $\varphi$ . This map allows us the following concrete description of  $A(G, \sigma) = VN(G, \sigma)_*$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** We can identify  $A(G, \sigma)$  as a subspace of  $C_0(G)$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A(G, \sigma) &= \{ \varphi \in C_0(G) : \varphi(\cdot) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)\xi_n, \eta_n \rangle, \\ &\quad \xi_n, \eta_n \in L^2(G), \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\xi_n\| \cdot \|\eta_n\| < \infty \} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{A(G, \sigma)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\xi_n\| \cdot \|\eta_n\| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible expression  $\varphi(\cdot) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot) \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$ . With this identification the duality  $(A(G, \sigma), VN(G, \sigma))$  becomes

$$\langle \lambda_\sigma(s), \varphi \rangle = \varphi(s), \quad s \in G, \quad \varphi \in A(G, \sigma).$$

**Corollary 4.16.** *The space  $C_c(G) \cap A(G, \sigma)$  is dense in  $A(G, \sigma)$ .*

**Remark 4.17.** *The fact that any element in  $\varphi \in A(G)$  can be written as  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \lambda(\cdot)f, g \rangle$  for some  $f, g \in L^2(G)$  comes from the observation that  $VN(G) \cong A(G)^*$  is in the standard form [37]. However, we do not know whether this still hold for the twisted case. More precisely, we do not know whether any element in  $\varphi \in A(G, \sigma)$  can be written as  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)f, g \rangle$  for some  $f, g \in L^2(G)$ .*

We can now see the relationship between  $A(G, \sigma)$  and  $B(G, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 4.18.** *The formal identity map*

$$I : A(G, \sigma) \hookrightarrow B(G, \sigma)$$

*is actually a complete isometric embedding.*

*Proof.* We only need to check the map  $I$  is a complete isometry, equivalently the map  $I^* : W^*(G, \sigma) \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma)$  is a complete quotient map. Note that  $I^*$  is nothing but the surjective normal  $*$ -homomorphism guaranteed by the universality of  $W^*(G, \sigma)$  and the left regular  $\sigma$ -representation  $\lambda_\sigma : G \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma)$ , which is known to be a complete quotient map by [36, Lemma III.2.2].  $\square$

The Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is known to be an ideal of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$ , which turns into the following with the twisting.

**Proposition 4.19.** *For  $\varphi \in B(G, \sigma)$  and  $\psi \in A(G)$  we have  $\varphi\psi \in A(G, \sigma)$ . The same conclusion holds for  $\varphi \in A(G, \sigma)$  and  $\psi \in B(G)$ . In particular, we have a complete contraction*

$$m_\sigma : A(G, \sigma) \widehat{\otimes} B(G) \rightarrow A(G, \sigma), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg.$$

*The above map makes  $A(G, \sigma)$  an operator  $B(G)$ -bimodule and consequently an operator  $A(G)$ -bimodule.*

*Proof.* Let us focus on the first statement. By polarization and a usual density argument it is enough to check the case  $\psi \in C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ . Then, we know that  $\varphi\psi \in C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ , so that Proposition 4.8 gives us the conclusion that  $\varphi\psi \in A(G, \sigma)$ . The second statement can be obtained similarly.  $\square$

The adjoint map  $\Gamma^\sigma = m_\sigma^*$  of a restriction of the above map  $m_\sigma : A(G, \sigma) \widehat{\otimes} A(G) \rightarrow A(G, \sigma)$ ,  $f \otimes g \mapsto fg$  is the **twisted co-multiplication** given by

$$\Gamma^\sigma : VN(G, \sigma) \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma) \widehat{\otimes} VN(G), \quad \lambda_\sigma(s) \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s) \otimes \lambda(s). \quad (4.5)$$

We close this subsection with the description of the operator space structure on  $A(G, \sigma) = VN(G, \sigma)_*$  by a norm formula for elements in  $M_n(VN(G, \sigma)_*)$ . Recall two complete quotient maps

$$\Phi : L^2(G)_R \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)_C \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma)_*, \quad \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \omega_{\xi, \eta}$$

and

$$\Psi : R_n \widehat{\otimes} C_n \rightarrow (M_n)_*, \quad e_i \otimes e_j \mapsto e_{ij}.$$

Then we get another complete quotient map

$$\Phi \otimes \Psi : R_n \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)_R \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)_C \widehat{\otimes} C_n \rightarrow M_n(VN(G, \sigma)_*) \cong (M_n)_* \widehat{\otimes} VN(G, \sigma)_*$$

after appropriate tensor flips. Via this map we have the following.

**Proposition 4.20.** *Every element of  $M_n(VN(G, \sigma))_*$  is of the form*

$$\varphi = \sum_{k \geq 1} \omega_{(\xi_i^k)_i, (\eta_i^k)_i}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $(\xi_i^k)_{i=1}^n \in R_n \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)_R$  and  $(\eta_i^k)_{i=1}^n \in L^2(G)_C \widehat{\otimes} C_n$  with

$$\|\varphi\|_{M_n(VN(G, \sigma))_*} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 1} \|(\xi_i^k)_i\|_{\ell_n^2(L^2(G))} \cdot \|(\eta_i^k)_i\|_{\ell_n^2(L^2(G))} \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible expression in (4.6).

## 5. TWISTED MULTIPLIER SPACES

**Definition 5.1.** *Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be continuous 2-cocycles. We say that a function  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -multiplier if the operator*

$$m_\varphi : A(G, \sigma_1) \rightarrow A(G, \sigma_2), \quad \psi \mapsto \varphi \psi$$

is well-defined, i.e.  $\varphi \psi \in A(G, \sigma_2)$  for any  $\psi \in A(G, \sigma_1)$ . We define two spaces of multipliers:

$$M(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)) := \{\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|m_\varphi\| < \infty\}$$

and

$$M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)) := \{\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|m_\varphi\|_{cb} < \infty\}.$$

When  $\sigma_1 \equiv 1$  we simply write  $M(A(G), A(G, \sigma_2))$  and  $M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma_2))$ . When  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$  we simply write  $MA(G, \sigma_1)$  and  $M_{cb}A(G, \sigma_1)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** *Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be continuous 2-cocycles.*

(1) *By Proposition 4.19 we have contractive inclusions*

$$B(G, \sigma) \subseteq M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) \subseteq M(A(G), A(G, \sigma)).$$

(2) *We also have a contractive inclusion  $M(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)) \subseteq C_b(G)$ , the space of bounded continuous functions on  $G$  with the supremum norm. Indeed, for  $\varphi \in M(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))$  we consider a non-zero function  $\psi \in A(G, \sigma_1)$ , so that we have  $\psi \varphi \in A(G, \sigma_2) \subseteq C_b(G)$ . Then, we know  $\varphi$  is continuous on a non-trivial open set, so that it is everywhere continuous by replacing  $\psi$  with  $\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)\psi$ ,  $s \in G$ . Moreover, taking adjoint of  $m_\varphi$  we get another bounded map*

$$M_\varphi = (m_\varphi)^* : VN(G, \sigma_2) \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma_1), \quad \lambda_{\sigma_2}(s) \mapsto \varphi(s) \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s),$$

which clearly shows  $M_\varphi \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ .

We have a characterization of  $M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))$  in the style of Gilbert [11] and Jolissaint [14].

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be continuous 2-cocycles and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a function. Then,  $\varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))$  if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and bounded continuous functions  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  from  $G$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\sigma(s, t) \varphi(ts) = \langle \xi(s), \eta(t) \rangle, \quad s, t \in G, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma_1} \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))} = \inf \{ \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \}, \quad (5.2)$$

where the infimum runs over all possible choices of such  $\xi(\cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))$ , which means the map  $m_\varphi : A(G, \sigma_1) \rightarrow A(G, \sigma_2)$  is completely bounded. By taking adjoint we get another cb-map

$$M_\varphi = (m_\varphi)^* : VN(G, \sigma_2) \rightarrow VN(G, \sigma_1), \quad \lambda_{\sigma_2}(s) \mapsto \varphi(s) \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s).$$

By Wittstock's factorization theorem we get a non-degenerate \*-representation

$$\Phi : C_r^*(G, \sigma_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$$

for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and bounded maps  $V_i : L^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  such that

$$M_\varphi(\cdot) = V_2^* \Phi(\cdot) V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|M_\varphi\|_{cb} = \|V_1\| \cdot \|V_2\|.$$

By composing the usual contractive embedding  $L^1(G, \sigma_2) \hookrightarrow C_r^*(G, \sigma_2)$ ,  $f \mapsto \widetilde{\lambda_{\sigma_2}}(f)$  we get a  $\sigma_2$ -representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} = \Phi \circ \lambda_{\sigma_2}$  thanks to Proposition 3.9. Thus, we have for  $f \in L^1(G)$  that

$$M_\varphi(\widetilde{\lambda_{\sigma_2}}(f)) = V_2^* \tilde{\pi}(f) V_1.$$

Now we recall the bounded approximate identity  $(\phi_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of  $L^1(G, \sigma_2)$  in Proposition 3.11. Then, for  $s \in G$  we have

$$M_\varphi(\widetilde{\lambda_{\sigma_2}}(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)\phi_U)) = M_\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)\widetilde{\lambda_{\sigma_2}}(\phi_U)) \rightarrow M_\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s))$$

in the weak\*-topology since  $\widetilde{\lambda_{\sigma_2}}(\phi_U) \rightarrow 1_{VN(G, \sigma_2)}$  in the weak\*-topology as  $U \rightarrow \{e\}$ . On the other hand we have  $\tilde{\pi}(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)\phi_U) = \pi(s)\tilde{\pi}(\phi_U)$ . Note that for any  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(\phi_U)h, k \rangle = \int_G \psi_U(s) \langle \pi(s)h, k \rangle ds \rightarrow \langle h, k \rangle$$

as  $U \rightarrow \{e\}$  since the function  $\langle \pi(\cdot)h, k \rangle$  is bounded and continuous. Thus, we have

$$V_2^* \tilde{\pi}(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)\phi_U) V_1 \rightarrow V_2^* \pi(s) V_1$$

in the weak operator topology on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Consequently, we get

$$\varphi(s)\lambda_{\sigma_1}(s) = M_\varphi(\lambda_{\sigma_2}(s)) = V_2^* \pi(s) V_1, \quad s \in G.$$

Next, for a fixed unit vector  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  we set

$$\xi(s) := \sigma(s, s^{-1})\pi(s^{-1})^* V_1 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s^{-1}) \xi_0, \quad \eta(t) := \pi(t)^* V_2 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0, \quad s, t \in G.$$

Then, both  $\xi(\cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot)$  are bounded and continuous functions on  $G$ . Moreover, for any  $s, t \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi(s), \eta(t) \rangle &= \sigma(s, s^{-1}) \langle \pi(s^{-1})^* V_1 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s^{-1}) \xi_0, \pi(t)^* V_2 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sigma(s, s^{-1}) \langle V_2^* \pi(t) \pi(s^{-1})^* V_1 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s^{-1}) \xi_0, \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sigma(s, s^{-1}) \overline{\sigma_2(ts, s^{-1})} \langle V_2^* \pi(ts) V_1 \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s^{-1}) \xi_0, \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sigma(s, s^{-1}) \overline{\sigma_2(ts, s^{-1})} \varphi(ts) \langle \lambda_{\sigma_1}(ts) \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s^{-1}) \xi_0, \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sigma(s, s^{-1}) \overline{\sigma_2(ts, s^{-1})} \varphi(ts) \sigma_1(ts, s^{-1}) \langle \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) \xi_0, \lambda_{\sigma_1}(t) V_1 \xi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sigma(t, s) \varphi(ts). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \leq \|V_1\| \cdot \|V_2\| = \|M_\varphi\|_{cb}. \quad (5.3)$$

Conversely, we assume that (5.1) holds. Consider a function  $\psi \in A(G, \sigma)$  of the form  $\psi(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s)f, g \rangle$  for  $f, g \in L^2(G)$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s)\psi(s) &= \int_G \varphi(s)\sigma_1(s, s^{-1}t) f(s^{-1}t) \overline{g(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \sigma_2(s, s^{-1}t) \overline{\sigma(s^{-1}t, t^{-1}s)} \langle \xi(t^{-1}s), \eta(t) \rangle f(s^{-1}t) \overline{g(t)} dt \\ &= \int_G \sigma_2(s, s^{-1}t) \overline{\sigma(s^{-1}t, t^{-1}s)} \sum_{i \in I} \langle \xi(t^{-1}s), e_i \rangle \cdot \langle e_i, \eta(t) \rangle f(s^{-1}t) \overline{g(t)} dt \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \int_G \sigma_2(s, s^{-1}t) \overline{\sigma(s^{-1}t, t^{-1}s)} \langle \xi(t^{-1}s), e_i \rangle f(s^{-1}t) \overline{\langle \eta(t), e_i \rangle g(t)} dt \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle \lambda_{\sigma_2}(s) h_i, k_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is a fixed orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $h_i, k_i \in L^2(G)$  are given by

$$h_i(s) := \overline{\sigma(s, s^{-1}) \langle \xi(s^{-1}), e_i \rangle f(s)}, \quad k_i(t) := \langle \eta(t), e_i \rangle g(t), \quad s, t \in G.$$

Then, we have  $\varphi\psi \in A(G, \sigma)$  with

$$\|\varphi\psi\|_{A(G, \sigma)} \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|h_i\| \cdot \|k_i\| \leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|h_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|k_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\psi\|_{A(G, \sigma)} &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \|h_i\| \cdot \|k_i\| \leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|h_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|k_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i \in I} \int_G |\langle \xi(s^{-1}), e_i \rangle f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \int_G |\langle \eta(t), e_i \rangle g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|f\|_2 \cdot \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

A general element  $\psi \in A(G, \sigma)$  is actually of the form  $\psi(\cdot) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle \lambda_{\sigma_1}(s) f_n, g_n \rangle$  for  $f_n, g_n \in L^2(G)$ . Then the above argument tells us that we have

$$\|\varphi\psi\|_{A(G, \sigma)} \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|_2 \cdot \|g_n\|_2,$$

so that we have

$$\|\varphi\psi\|_{A(G, \sigma)} \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\psi\|_{A(G, \sigma)}$$

by taking infimum over all such choices of  $f_n, g_n \in L^2(G)$ . This explains that the multiplier  $m_\varphi$  is bounded. The exactly same argument still works in the matrix valued case using the description of (4.6), so that we actually have that  $m_\varphi$  is completely bounded with

$$\|m_\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})}.$$

Together with (5.3) we get (5.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be continuous 2-cocycles. The multiplier space  $M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2))$  depends only on the difference of 2-cocycles, namely  $\overline{\sigma_1}\sigma_2$ , so that we have*

$$M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)) = M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \overline{\sigma_1}\sigma_2))$$

with the same norms. In particular, for any continuous 2-cocycle  $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  we have

$$M_{cb}A(G) = M_{cb}A(G, \sigma)$$

with the same norms.

**Remark 5.5.** (1) *The conclusion for  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$  first appeared in [2] for discrete groups.*

(2) *For the special case  $G = \mathbb{Z}^{2d}$  with the 2-cocycle in Example 3.15 the above corollary and its  $L^p$ -counterpart ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) were studied in [6]. However, it has been shown that there are  $L^p$ -bounded ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) Fourier multipliers on the non-commutative torus, which are not completely bounded on  $L^p$ . See [29] for the details.*

(3) *For  $G = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  the above corollary says that the completely bounded  $L^p$ -Fourier multipliers on quantum Euclidean spaces are the same as those on classical Euclidean spaces for  $p = 1$  or  $\infty$ .*

The following proposition follows immediately from Theorem 5.3 and the definition of  $B(G, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  be continuous 2-cocycles satisfying  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma_1}\sigma_2$ . Then, we have*

$$B(G, \sigma) \subseteq M_{cb}(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)) \subseteq M(A(G, \sigma_1), A(G, \sigma_2)).$$

**Remark 5.7.** *The idea of using two different 2-cocycles for the multiplier spaces is crucial in our investigation, which is partially due to the fact that  $A(G, \sigma)$  is merely a  $A(G)$ -bimodule with respect to pointwise multiplication. In [29] a similar idea has been already demonstrated in the special case of non-commutative torus  $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$ , namely using two different irrational parameter  $\theta$  for the study of associated Fourier multipliers.*

## 6. AMENABILITY AND THE TWISTED MULTIPLIER SPACE

We will see in this section that for every continuous 2-cocycle  $\sigma$  on the group  $G$ , the equality  $B(G, \sigma) = M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$ , and consequently the equality  $B(G, \sigma) = M(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$  characterizes the amenability of the group as in the untwisted case. The following is one ingredient we need here, which is the easy direction of a twisted version of Hulanicki's theorem [12].

**Proposition 6.1.** *If  $G$  is amenable, then the canonical quotient map*

$$Q_\sigma : C^*(G, \sigma) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, \sigma), \quad \widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(f) \mapsto \widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f), \quad f \in L^1(G)$$

*is an isometric isomorphism.*

*Proof.* From the density argument it is enough to check the following inequality for any  $f \in C_c(G)$ :

$$\|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)\|_{C_r^*(G, \sigma)} \leq \|\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(f)\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Note that  $\|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)\|_{C_r^*(G, \sigma)}^2 = \|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)^* \widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)\| = \|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f^* *_\sigma f)\| = \langle (f^* *_\sigma f) *_\sigma g, g \rangle$  for some unit vector  $g \in L^2(G)$ . Thus, we have

$$\|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)\|_{C_r^*(G, \sigma)}^2 = \int_G f^* *_\sigma f(s) \cdot \overline{g *_\sigma \tilde{g}(s)} ds,$$

where  $\tilde{g}$  is from (4.1). By Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 4.7 there exist a  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi$  and a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$  such that  $\overline{g *_\sigma \tilde{g}(s)} = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$ ,  $s \in G$ . Since  $G$  is amenable, there exists a net  $(\psi_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{P}_1(G)$  with compact support such that  $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow 1$  pointwise, so that  $\psi_\alpha(s) \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle$  uniformly on compact sets, and by Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 4.6 we have  $\psi_\alpha(s) \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi_\alpha(s)\eta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle$  for some  $\sigma$ -representation  $\pi_\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  and some unit vector  $\eta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f)\|_{C_r^*(G, \sigma)}^2 &= \lim_\alpha \int_G f^\sigma *_\sigma f(s) \langle \pi(s)\xi, \xi \rangle \psi_\alpha(s) ds \\ &= \lim_\alpha \int_G f^\sigma *_\sigma f(s) \langle \pi_\alpha(s)\eta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle ds \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle \widetilde{\pi}_\alpha(f^\sigma *_\sigma f) \eta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle \\ &\leq \lim_\alpha \|\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(f)\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)}^2 \|\eta_\alpha\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\widetilde{\pi}_u^\sigma(f)\|_{C^*(G, \sigma)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 6.2.** *The converse direction of the above proposition, which is the hard part of a twisted version of Hulanicki's theorem [12] is not yet known.*

Now we go back to the study of multipliers, and consider the relation between the amenability of  $G$  and the following inclusions from Proposition 5.6

$$B(G, \sigma) \subseteq M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) \subseteq M(A(G), A(G, \sigma)).$$

First, by the aide of the above proposition, we deduce the following.

**Theorem 6.3.** *If the locally compact group  $G$  is amenable, then we have*

$$B(G, \sigma) = M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) = M(A(G), A(G, \sigma)).$$

*Proof.* For  $\psi \in M(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) \subseteq C_b(G)$  (by Remark 5.2) we consider the following composition.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} : \quad C_r^*(G, \sigma) &\xrightarrow{M_\psi} C_r^*(G) \cong C^*(G) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f) = \int_G f(s) \lambda_\sigma(s) ds &\mapsto \tilde{\lambda}(f\psi) \mapsto \tilde{\pi}_u(f\psi) \mapsto \int_G f(s) \psi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have  $C_r^*(G) \cong C^*(G)$  by amenability and  $\varphi$  denotes the trivial homomorphism from  $C^*(G)$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can see that the functional  $\tilde{\psi} \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)^* \cong C^*(G, \sigma)^*$  by Proposition 6.1, which means that  $\psi \in B(G, \sigma)$ .  $\square$

Now we consider the converse of Theorem 6.3, which is the hard part of the characterization of amenability through twisted multiplier spaces.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. If  $B(G, \sigma) = M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$ , then  $G$  is amenable.*

The proof of Theorem 6.4 is much subtler; we will divide its proof into two cases, given in the next two subsections separately.

**6.1. Proof of Theorem 6.4: discrete case.** In this section, we will always assume that  $G$  is a discrete group, and prove Theorem 6.4 in this case. We will adapt the argument of Bożejko [4] to the twisted case; a crucial observation is that the Littlewood function space  $T_2$  below (introduced in [39]) comes from another function space  $t_2$ , which is invariant under the multiplication with respect to a function with values in  $\mathbb{T}$  (e.g. 2-cocycle). Before the proof we need some preparations.

Let us recall a classical result of Grothendieck on Schur multipliers.

**Theorem 6.5.** ([27, Theorem 5.1]) *A function  $f : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a (completely) bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell^2(G))$  if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and functions  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  from  $G$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$f(t, s) = \langle \xi(s), \eta(t) \rangle, \quad s, t \in G \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|M_f\|_{cb} = \|M_f\| = \inf\{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})} \cdot \|\eta\|_{\ell^\infty(G, \mathcal{H})}\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible choices of such  $\xi(\cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot)$ . Here,  $M_f$  is the map

$$M_f : B(\ell^2(G)) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(G)), \quad A = (A(s, t))_{s, t \in G} \mapsto (f(s, t)A(s, t))_{s, t \in G}.$$

**Remark 6.6.** *Theorem 5.3 can be restated as follows: a function  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a completely bounded  $(1, \sigma)$ -multiplier if and only if  $f(s, t) = \sigma(s, t)\varphi(st)$  is a (completely) bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell^2(G))$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} = \|M_f\| = \|M_f\|_{cb}$ .*

Now we recall some function spaces.

**Definition 6.7.** *We define*

$$X_1 := \left\{ \psi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|\psi\|_{X_1} = \sup_{s \in G} \left( \sum_{t \in G} |\psi(s, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$X_2 := \left\{ \psi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|\psi\|_{X_2} = \sup_{t \in G} \left( \sum_{s \in G} |\psi(s, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$t_2 := X_1 + X_2 = \left\{ \psi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \exists \psi_1 \in X_1, \psi_2 \in X_2 \text{ such that } \psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \right\}$$

with the norm  $\|\psi\|_{t_2} = \inf_{\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2} \{\|\psi_1\|_{X_1} + \|\psi_2\|_{X_2}\}$ .

We also define the space  $T_2$  of Littlewood functions on  $G$  as follows.

$$T_2 = T_2(G) := \left\{ \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \in t_2, f(s, t) = \varphi(st), s, t \in G \right\}$$

with the norm  $\|\varphi\|_{T_2} := \|f\|_{t_2}$ .

**Remark 6.8.** (1) We may define  $T_p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  similarly, but we focus only on  $T_2$  in this article.

- (2) Letting  $\psi_1(s, t) = \varphi(st)$ ,  $\psi_2 \equiv 0$  we can easily see that  $\ell^2(G) \subseteq T_2$  contractively.
- (3) From the definition of  $t_2$  it is straightforward to check that for any  $\psi \in t_2$  and  $f : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  we have  $\psi f \in t_2$  with  $\|\psi f\|_{t_2} = \|\psi\|_{t_2}$ . Indeed, for any decomposition  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  we get the corresponding decomposition  $\psi f = \psi_1 f + \psi_2 f$ , so that  $\|\psi f\|_{t_2} \leq \|\psi_1 f\|_{X_1} + \|\psi_2 f\|_{X_2} = \|\psi_1\|_{X_1} + \|\psi_2\|_{X_2}$ . Taking infimum over all such decompositions we get  $\|\psi f\|_{t_2} \leq \|\psi\|_{t_2}$ . Now we get the desired conclusion by repeating the same argument for  $\psi f$  and  $\bar{f}$ .
- (4) It is also straightforward to check that any  $\psi \in t_2$  is a (completely) bounded Schur multiplier with

$$\|M_\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|_{t_2}.$$

Indeed, for any decomposition  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  with  $\psi_1 \in X_1$  and  $\psi_2 \in X_2$  we set  $\xi(s) = \sum_{s' \in G} \psi_1(s, s') \delta_{s'} \in \ell^2(G)$  and  $\eta(t) = \delta_t \in \ell^2(G)$ ,  $s, t \in G$ , where  $\delta_t$  is the delta function on  $t \in G$ . Then we have  $\psi_1(s, t) = \langle \xi(s), \eta(t) \rangle$ ,  $s, t \in G$  and so that  $\|M_{\psi_1}\| \leq \sup_{s \in G} \|\xi(s)\|_2 \cdot \sup_{t \in G} \|\eta(s)\|_2 = \|\psi_1\|_{X_1}$ . Similarly we have  $\|M_{\psi_2}\| \leq \|\psi_2\|_{X_2}$ , which means that  $\|M_\psi\| \leq \|\psi_1\|_{X_1} + \|\psi_2\|_{X_2}$ . Taking infimum over all such decompositions we get  $\|M_\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|_{t_2}$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $M(\sigma) := \{\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\varphi\|_{M(\sigma)} < \infty\}$  the space of all bounded multipliers from  $\ell^\infty(G)$  to  $M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$ , where

$$\|\varphi\|_{M(\sigma)} := \|M_\varphi : \ell^\infty(G) \rightarrow M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)), g \mapsto \varphi g\|.$$

Then we have the following contractive inclusion.

$$T_2 \subseteq M(\sigma).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\varphi \in T_2$ . From a standard extreme point argument it is enough to check that  $1_E \varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$  with  $\|1_E \varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} \leq \|\varphi\|_{T_2}$  for any  $E \subseteq G$ . Indeed, for the decomposition  $1_E = \frac{1}{2}[(1_E - 1_{G \setminus E}) + 1_G] = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_E + 1_G)$  we can see that the function  $(s, t) \mapsto \varphi(st)$  is in  $t_2$ , so that the function  $(s, t) \mapsto \sigma(t, s) \xi_E(st) \varphi(st)$  is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell^2(G))$ . By Theorem 5.3, Remark 6.6 and Remark 6.8 (4) we know that  $\xi_E \varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$  with  $\|\xi_E \varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} \leq \|\varphi\|_{T_2}$ . Replacing  $\xi_E$  by  $1_G$ , we have also  $\varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$  with

$$\|\varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} \leq \|\varphi\|_{T_2},$$

which, in turn, tells us that  $1_E \varphi \in M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))$  with

$$\|1_E \varphi\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} \leq \|\varphi\|_{T_2}.$$

□

Now we are going to complete the proof of Theorem 6.4 when the group  $G$  is discrete.

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to be of *cotype 2*, if there exists a universal constant  $C$ , such that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) x_i \right\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all finite  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq X$ , where  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is the sequence of Rademacher functions on  $[0, 1]$ . From the assumption we have  $M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) = B(G, \sigma)$  the dual of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G, \sigma)$ , so that it is of cotype 2 as a Banach space ([38, 26]). For any finite subset  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq G$  and  $(a_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  we consider  $g = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \delta_{s_j} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . For  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  we set  $g_\varepsilon := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j(\varepsilon) \delta_{s_j} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . From the cotype 2 condition we have a universal constant  $C$  such that,

$$C \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int_0^1 \|g_\varepsilon(s)\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma))} d\varepsilon \leq \|g\|_{M(\sigma)}.$$

Since the choice of  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  and  $(a_j)_{j=1}^n$  was arbitrary we have  $M(\sigma) \subseteq \ell^2(G)$ , which in turn gives us  $T_2 \subseteq M(\sigma) \subseteq \ell^2(G) \subseteq T_2$  and consequently  $T_2 = \ell^2(G)$ . This implies that  $G$  is amenable by a result of J. Wysoczanski [40] (or [27, Theorem 2.5]).  $\square$

**6.2. Proof of Theorem 6.4: non-discrete case.** This subsection deals with the proof of Theorem 6.4 when  $G$  is a non-discrete locally compact group, which is the most technical part in this paper. Our treatment follows Losert [20] rather closely with modifications to the twisted case and to the operator space level.

We first introduce the sufficient condition used in [20], for the amenability of the group  $G$ . It is based on a conclusion on the space of left-uniformly continuous functions  $LUC(G)$ . If  $M$  is a mean on  $L^\infty(G)$  or  $LUC(G)$  and  $s \in G$ , we write

$$d(M, x) = \sup\{|M(\lambda(x)f - f)| : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

**Proposition 6.10** ([20]). *Assume there exists  $c < 2$  such that for each  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in G$  (not necessarily distinct points) there exists a mean  $M$  on  $LUC(G)$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^N d(M, x_k) \leq cN$ . Then  $G$  is amenable.*

Before we proceed to the details of the proof of Theorem 6.4, we would like to introduce two different types of products between  $\lambda_\sigma(s)$  and  $u \in A(G, \sigma)$  as follows.

**Definition 6.11.** *For  $s \in G$  and  $u \in A(G, \sigma)$  we define*

$$\lambda_\sigma(s) \cdot u := M_u(\lambda_\sigma(s)) = u(s)\lambda(s) \in VN(G),$$

where  $M_u = m_u^* : VN(G, \sigma) \rightarrow VN(G)$ . This can be easily extended to the product

$$T \cdot u := M_u(T) \in VN(G)$$

for any  $T \in VN(G, \sigma)$ . We also define

$$\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u := \overline{\lambda_\sigma(s)(\bar{u})} \in C_0(G),$$

where  $\lambda_\sigma(s)$  is regarded as an operator acting on  $C_0(G)$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** *For  $s \in G$ ,  $u \in A(G, \sigma)$ ,  $v \in A(G)$  and  $T \in VN(G, \sigma)$  we have the following.*

$$(1) \langle T \cdot u, v \rangle = \langle T, uv \rangle.$$

$$(2) \lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u \in A(G, \sigma) \text{ and}$$

$$\langle T, \lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u \rangle = \langle \lambda_\sigma(s)^* T, u \rangle. \quad (6.3)$$

$$(3) \text{ The map}$$

$$\Phi_s : A(G, \sigma) \rightarrow A(G, \sigma), \quad f \mapsto \lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet f$$

is a completely isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* (1) Trivial for  $T = \lambda_\sigma(s)$  and we can use weak\*-density for general  $T$ .

(2) We consider  $u \in A(G, \sigma) \cap C_c(G)$  first. We know  $\bar{u} \in C_c(G) \subset L^2(G)$ , so that  $\lambda_\sigma(s)(\bar{u}) \in C_c(G)$  and then  $\overline{\lambda_\sigma(s)(\bar{u})} \in C_c(G)$ . By Proposition 4.8 we can assume that  $u(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)\xi, \eta \rangle$  for some  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$ , then we have

$$\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)\xi, \lambda_\sigma(s)\eta \rangle \quad (6.4)$$

by direct computations. This explains  $\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u \in A(G, \sigma)$ , and the density takes care of the general case. The second statement is also straightforward to the case  $T = \lambda_\sigma(t)$ ,  $t \in G$  and we again appeal to density for the general case.

(3) By (6.4), we know that  $\Phi_s$  is a contraction. On the other hand, we can readily see that

$$\lambda_\sigma(s)^* \bullet (\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u) = \overline{\sigma(s, s^{-1})}^2 u,$$

or equivalently,  $u = \sigma(s, s^{-1})\lambda_\sigma(s^{-1}) \bullet (\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u)$ . So  $\|u\|_{A(G, \sigma)} \leq \|\lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u\|_{A(G, \sigma)}$  by the contractivity of  $\Phi_s$ . Thus  $\Phi_s$  is an onto isometry.

For the operator space level, we recall the characterization of canonical elements in  $[\mathbf{M}_n(VN(G, \sigma))]_*$  given in (4.6), from which we deduce

$$I_n \otimes \Phi_s \left( \sum_k \langle I_n \otimes \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)(\xi_i^k)_i, (\eta_j^k)_j \rangle \right) = \sum_k \langle I_n \otimes \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)(\xi_i^k)_i, I_n \otimes \lambda_\sigma(s)(\eta_j^k)_j \rangle.$$

Hence  $\Phi_s$  is a complete contraction. Using the same trick as in the Banach space level, we can finally see that  $\Phi_s$  is an onto complete isometry for every  $s \in G$ .  $\square$

With the help of above actions, we can get the following technical lemma and induction proposition for the proof of Theorem 6.4. In this lemma and proposition,  $f$  denotes a fixed continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$ ,  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $f(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 6.13.** *Let  $T \in VN(G, \sigma)$  with  $0 \leq T \leq 1$  and  $u \in C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ . Suppose that  $\langle T, u \rangle > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}$ .*

(1) *If  $\langle \lambda_\sigma(s)^* T \lambda_\sigma(s), u \rangle > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}$ , then we have*

$$\langle f(T) \lambda_\sigma(s) f(T), \lambda_\sigma(s) \bullet u \rangle > 1 - 2\delta.$$

(2) *Suppose that  $(T_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a sequence of commuting operators in  $VN(G, \sigma)$  such that  $0 \leq T_i \leq 1$  and  $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2, u \rangle < \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4n}\right)^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then*

$$\left\langle \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - T_i) \right) T \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - T_i) \right), u \right\rangle > 1 - \delta^2.$$

*Proof.* Note that Proposition 4.8 ensures that  $u(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)h, h \rangle$  for some unit vector  $h \in L^2(G)$ . Then, we may repeat the the same argument of [20, Lemma 2] for the rest of the proof with the help of (6.3).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.14.** *Assume that  $G$  is non-discrete. For any  $(u_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ ,  $(s_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq G$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $(R_i)_{i=1}^n, (\overline{R}_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  and  $(w_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G)$  such that*

- (1)  $0 \leq R_i, \overline{R}_i \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $\overline{R}_i \overline{R}_j = \overline{R}_j \overline{R}_i = 0, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ;
- (3)  $R_i \overline{R}_i = \overline{R}_i R_i = R_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (4)  $\langle R_i \lambda_\sigma(s_i) R_i, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon$ .

*In particular, for any  $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \right\|_{A(G, \sigma)} > (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|.$$

*Proof.* We begin with the case  $n = 1$ . Proposition 4.8 says that  $u_1(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot)h, h \rangle$  for some unit vector  $h \in L^2(G)$ . Thus, we have  $\langle T, u_1 \rangle = \langle Th, h \rangle$  for any  $T \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ . By Kaplansky density theorem there is  $R \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  such that  $0 \leq R \leq 1$  and

$$\langle R, u_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_\sigma(s_1)^* R \lambda_\sigma(s_1), u_1 \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

Then, Lemma 6.13 (1) tells us that  $\langle f(R) \lambda_\sigma(s_1) f(R), \lambda_\sigma(s_1) \bullet u_1 \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Thus, we get the wanted conclusion for  $R_1 := f(R), \overline{R}_1 := f(2R)$  and  $w_1 \equiv 1$ .

Now we assume that the conclusion is true for  $n \geq 1$  and consider the case for  $n + 1$ . We set

$$\overline{\overline{R}}_i := f(2\overline{R}_i), \overline{\overline{R}}'_i := f(\overline{R}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (6.5)$$

Functional calculus with the conditions (2) and (3) tells us that

$$\overline{\overline{R}}'_i \overline{\overline{R}}'_j = \overline{\overline{R}}'_j \overline{\overline{R}}'_i = 0, \quad R_i \overline{\overline{R}}'_i = \overline{\overline{R}}'_i R_i = R_i, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \quad (6.6)$$

Moreover, we can easily see that  $f(t)f(2t) = f(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , so that we have

$$\overline{\overline{R}}'_i \overline{\overline{R}}_i = \overline{\overline{R}}_i \overline{\overline{R}}'_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (6.7)$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{R}_i)^2 \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  and  $G$  is non-discrete we can apply [20, Lemma 1] to get a unit vector  $h_n \in L^2(G)$  such that

$$|\langle \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{R}_i)^2 \right] \cdot u_{n+1} \right) h_n, h_n \rangle| < \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{64n} \right)^2 \quad (6.8)$$

and

$$|\langle \lambda_\sigma(x_{n+1})^* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{R}_i)^2 \right] \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1}) h_n, h_n \rangle| < \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{64n} \right)^2. \quad (6.9)$$

Now we set  $w_{n+1}(\cdot) = \langle \lambda(\cdot) h_n, h_n \rangle \in \mathcal{P}_1(G)$ . By Corollary 4.7 we know that  $u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \in C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$ , so that we can apply Kaplansky density theorem again to get  $T \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$  such that  $0 \leq T \leq 1$  and

$$\langle T, u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$\langle \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1})^* T \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1}), u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}. \quad (6.11)$$

We further define

$$R' := \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{R}_i) \right) T \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{R}_i) \right), \quad R_{n+1} := f(R'), \quad \overline{R}_{n+1} := f(2R').$$

From (6.7) we can see that  $R' \overline{R}'_i = 0$ , so that  $\overline{R}_{n+1} \overline{R}'_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Similarly, we also get  $\overline{R}'_i \overline{R}_{n+1} = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Together with (6.6) we now see that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied for the sequences  $(R_1, \dots, R_{n+1})$  and  $(\overline{R}'_1, \dots, \overline{R}'_n, \overline{R}_{n+1})$ .

Note that the condition (6.8) is the same as  $|\langle \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{R}_i)^2, u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle| < \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{64n} \right)^2$ , so that we can apply Lemma 6.13 (2) together with (6.10) to get  $\langle R', u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}$ . By repeating the same argument with (6.9), (6.11) and unitary conjugation with respect to  $\lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1})$  (i.e. replacing  $X$  with  $\lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1})^* X \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1})$ ) we also get

$$\langle \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1})^* R' \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1}), u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

Finally we appeal to Lemma 6.13 (1) again to get

$$\langle f(R') \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1}) f(R'), \lambda_\sigma(s_{n+1}) \bullet u_{n+1} w_{n+1} \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

which gives us the condition (4) and the induction procedure is now completed.

For the last statement we set  $S_i = R_i \lambda_\sigma(s_i) R_i$  and note that  $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$  (respectively,  $(S_i^*)_{i=1}^n$ ) have orthogonal ranges from the conditions (2) and (3). Then, we have  $\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \| \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \right\|_{A(G, \sigma)} \\ & \geq \left| \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n S_j, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \right\rangle \right| = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mu_i \langle S_j, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \rangle \right| \\ & \geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \langle S_i, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \rangle \right| - \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \left\langle \sum_{j \neq i} S_j, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \right\rangle \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Note that  $(u_i w_i)(\cdot) = \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot) k_i, k_i \rangle$  for some unit vector  $k_i \in L^2(G)$ , so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \sum_{j \neq i} S_j, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \rangle| &= |\langle \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_\sigma(s_i)^* S_j, u_i w_i \rangle| \\
&= |\langle \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_\sigma(s_i)^* S_j k_i, k_i \rangle| \\
&= |\langle k_i, (\sum_{j \neq i} S_j^*) \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i \rangle| \\
&= |\langle k_i - S_i^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i, (\sum_{j \neq i} S_j^*) \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i \rangle| \\
&\leq \|k_i - S_i^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i\|_2 < \sqrt{2\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k_i - S_i^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i\|_2^2 &= \langle k_i - S_i^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i, k_i - S_j^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i \rangle \\
&\leq 2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle S_i^* \lambda_\sigma(s_i) k_i, k_i \rangle \\
&= 2 - 2|\langle S_i, \lambda_\sigma(s_i) \bullet (u_i w_i) \rangle| < 2\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above estimate is still valid when we replace  $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$  with  $(z_i S_i)_{i=1}^n$  for any  $(z_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , so that (6.12) gives us the conclusion we wanted.  $\square$

**Remark 6.15.** *Proposition 6.14 is a corrected and updated version of [20, Proposition 1] to the twisted setting. We made the induction in the proof of [20, Proposition 1] explicit, which was crucial but slightly flawed as it is. Precisely speaking, after we replace  $\bar{R}_i$  at the end of the proof of [20, Proposition 1] with  $\bar{R}'_i := (1 - f(4R'))\bar{R}_i(1 - f(4R'))$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $R_i$  and  $R'$  are the operators from [20, Proposition 1], the desired properties are not guaranteed since we do not know whether  $R'$  and  $\bar{R}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are commuting.*

*In the beginning stage of this project the authors had a different solution for the above mentioned error, but the approach in Proposition 6.14 actually follows Losert's own solution kindly shared with the authors through private communications [21, 22]. Note that the proof of [15, Proposition 5.3.3] also dealing with [20, Proposition 1] is still incomplete as it is.*

*(Proof of Theorem 6.4: for non-discrete  $G$ )*

We begin with the equality  $M_{cb}(A(G), A(G, \sigma)) = B(G, \sigma)$  and will deduce the hypothesis of Proposition 6.10, i.e. for any points  $s_1, \dots, s_N \in G$  we would like to construct a mean  $M$  on  $LUC(G)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^N d(M, s_k) \leq cN$  for some universal constant constant  $c < 2$  independent of  $N$ .

*Step 1.* For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a compact neighborhood  $V$  of  $e \in G$ , we can choose neighborhoods  $W$  and  $U$  of  $e \in G$  such that

$$UW \subseteq V \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\mu(V) < (1 + \varepsilon)\mu(W). \tag{6.14}$$

By a standard argument we can choose a symmetric neighborhood  $U' = (U')^{-1}$  of  $e \in G$  such that  $U' \subseteq U$  and a function  $\varphi \in A(G)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq U, \\ \varphi|_{U'} \equiv 1, \\ \|\varphi\|_{A(G)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{6.15}$$

Now we choose a function  $u \in C_c(G) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(G, \sigma)$  with  $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq U'$ . Indeed, we pick a symmetric neighborhood  $U''$  of  $e \in G$  such that  $U'' \subseteq U'$  and  $U''U'' \subseteq U'$ . Then, the following choice of  $u$  is what we wanted:

$$u(\cdot) := \langle \lambda_\sigma(\cdot) \xi, \xi \rangle, \tag{6.16}$$

where  $\xi = \sqrt{\mu(U'')}1_{U''} \in L^2(G)$ .

We finally claim in this step that there is a positive norm 1 element  $v = [v_{ij}] \in M_n(VN(G))_*$  and a universal constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \|[u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} > CN. \quad (6.17)$$

For the choice of such  $v$  we apply Proposition 6.14 for  $u_k = u$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , to get  $(w_k)_{k=1}^N \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G)$  such that  $\|\sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k)\|_{A(G,\sigma)} > N/2$ . This means that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k) \right\|_{M_{cb}(A(G), A(G,\sigma))} > CN$$

for some universal constant  $C > 0$  coming from the equality  $M_{cb}(A(G), A(G,\sigma)) = B(G,\sigma)$ , so that we can find a contractive element  $v = [v_{ij}] \in M_n(VN(G))_*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \|[ (\lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k)) v_{ij} ]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} \\ & \geq \|[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k) \right) v_{ij} ]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} \\ & > CN. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v$  is a linear combination of four positive contractive elements in  $M_n(VN(G))_*$ , we may assume that  $v$  itself is positive and by multiplying a suitable positive constant we may further assume that  $v$  has norm 1.

A direct computation tells us that

$$\lambda_\sigma(s_k^{-1}) \bullet \left[ (\lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k)) v_{ij} \right] = \overline{\sigma(s_k^{-1}, s_k)} uw_k \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij}).$$

From (3) of Proposition 6.12 and the fact that  $f \in A(G,\sigma) \mapsto fw_k \in A(G,\sigma)$  is a complete contraction by Proposition 4.19 and the condition  $w_k \in \mathcal{P}_1(G) \subseteq B(G)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \|[u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} & \geq \sum_{k=1}^N \|[uw_k \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^N \|[ (\lambda_\sigma(s_k) \bullet (uw_k)) v_{ij} ]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*} \\ & > CN. \end{aligned}$$

*Step 2.* We would like to get an upper estimate for  $C_k := \|[u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})]\|_{M_n(VN(G,\sigma))_*}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . We first pick a contractive element  $T^k = [T_{ij}^k] \in M_n(VN(G,\sigma))$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_k & = |\langle T^k, [u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})] \rangle| = |\langle T^k, [\varphi u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij})] \rangle| \\ & = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle T_{ij}^k, \varphi u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij}) \rangle \right| = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi, u \cdot (\lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij}) \rangle \right| \\ & = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi), u \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij} \rangle \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma^\sigma$  is the twisted co-multiplication from (4.5). Note that we used the fact that  $u = \varphi u$  from (6.15) for the second equality and (1) of Proposition 6.12 for the fourth equality. Since  $v$  is a positive contractive element of  $M_n(VN(G))_*$ , we have

$$v = [v_{ij}] = \omega_{\eta,\eta} \quad (6.18)$$

for some unit vector  $\eta = [\eta_{ij}] \in H_n \otimes_2 L^2(G) \cong L^2(G; H_n)$ , where  $H_n$  is the Hilbert space of all  $n \times n$  matrices with the canonical inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Tr}(XY^*)$ . Here, we are using the fact that the von Neumann algebras  $VN(G)$  and  $M_n(VN(G))$  are both in standard form. Together with (6.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi), u \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* v_{ij} \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i,l} \left( \sum_j \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)(\xi \otimes \eta_{jl}) \right), \xi \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{il} \right\rangle \\ &= \mu(W)^{-1} \cdot I, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  is the integral

$$I = \int_W \left| \int_{G \times G} \sum_{i,j,l} \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)(\xi \otimes \eta_{jl})(x, zy) \overline{(\xi \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{il})(x, zy)} dx dy \right| dz.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_G \int_{G \times W} \sum_{i,l} \left| \sum_j \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)(\xi \otimes \eta_{jl})(x, zy) \right| \cdot |(\xi \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{il})(x, zy)| dx dz dy \\ &= \int_G \int_{G \times W y} \sum_{i,l} \left| \sum_j \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)(\xi \otimes \eta_{jl})(x, z) \right| \cdot |(\xi \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{il})(x, z)| dx dz \frac{dy}{\Delta_G(y)} \\ &= \int_G \int_{G \times W y} \sum_{i,l} \left| \sum_j \Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)(\xi \otimes \eta_{jl}|_{V y})(x, z) \right| \cdot |(\xi \otimes \lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{il})(x, z)| dx dz \frac{dy}{\Delta_G(y)} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_G \left\| [\xi \otimes \eta_{ij}|_{V y}] \right\|_{L^2(G \times G; H_n)} \cdot \left\| [\xi \otimes (\lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{ij})|_{W y}] \right\|_{L^2(G \times G; H_n)} \frac{dy}{\Delta_G(y)} \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) \int_G \left\| [\eta_{ij}|_{V y}] \right\|_{L^2(G; H_n)} \cdot \left\| [(\lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{ij})|_{W y}] \right\|_{L^2(G; H_n)} \frac{dy}{\Delta_G(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above the second equality is from the fact that  $(\text{supp} \varphi)W y \subseteq U W y \subseteq V y$  and that each  $T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi$  is a  $\sigma$ -SOT (strong operator topology) limit of the elements of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi(y_i) \lambda_\sigma(y_i)$  with  $(y_i)_{i=1}^m \subseteq \text{supp} \varphi$ , so that  $\Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)$  is a  $\sigma$ -SOT limit of the elements of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi(y_i) \lambda_\sigma(y_i) \otimes \lambda(y_i)$ . The last inequality in the above is by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that  $\|\Gamma^\sigma(T_{ij}^k \cdot \varphi)\| \leq \|T_{ij}^k\| \cdot \|\varphi\|_{A(G)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  from (6.15).

Finally, we set

$$a(y) := \frac{\|[\eta_{ij}|_{V y}]\|_{L^2(G; H_n)}}{\sqrt{\Delta_G(y)}} \quad \text{and} \quad b(y) := \frac{\|[(\lambda(s_k)^* \eta_{ij})|_{W y}]\|_{L^2(G; H_n)}}{\sqrt{\Delta_G(y)}}, \quad y \in G.$$

to get the estimate

$$C_k \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\mu(W)} \int_G a(y) b(y) d\mu(y). \quad (6.19)$$

Note that it is straightforward to check

$$\int_G a(y)^2 d\mu(y) = \mu(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_G b(y)^2 d\mu(y) = \mu(W). \quad (6.20)$$

*Step 3.* Finally we define a mean  $M = M_V$  on  $LUC(G)$  by

$$M(f) := \int_G f(y) \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\eta_{ij}(y)|^2 d\mu(y), \quad f \in LUC(G).$$

From (6.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| M(f) - \mu(V)^{-1} \int_G f(y)a(y)^2 dy \right| \\
&= \left| M(f) - \mu(V)^{-1} \int_G f(y) \int_V \sum_{i,j} |\eta_{ij}(zy)|^2 dz dy \right| \\
&= \left| M(f) - \mu(V)^{-1} \int_V \int_G f(z^{-1}y) \sum_{i,j} |\eta_{ij}(y)|^2 dy dz \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Similary we get

$$\left| M(\lambda(x_k)f) - \mu(W)^{-1} \int_G f(y)b(y)^2 dy \right| \leq \sup_{z \in W} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty \leq \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty,$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| M(\lambda(x_k)f - f) \right| &\leq 2 \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \left| \mu(V)^{-1} \int_G f(y)a(y)^2 dy - \mu(W)^{-1} \int_G f(y)b(y)^2 dy \right| \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty + \mu(W)^{-1} \int_G |f(y)| \cdot \left| \frac{\mu(W)}{\mu(V)} a(y)^2 - b(y)^2 \right| dy \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty + D \cdot \|f\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \varepsilon + \mu(W)^{-1} \int_G |a(y)^2 - b(y)^2| dy$ . By the estimate (6.19) and [20, Lemma 4] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D &\leq \varepsilon + \mu(W)^{-1} ((\mu(V) + \mu(W))^2 - \frac{4\mu(W)^2 C_k^2}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \varepsilon + \left[ (2 + \varepsilon)^2 - \frac{4C_k^2}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 2 + 3\varepsilon - C_k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By summation over  $k$  we deduce from (6.17) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \left| M(\lambda(s_k)f - f) \right| \leq 2N \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty ((2 + 3\varepsilon)N - NC^2).$$

Now, we fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\tilde{C} = 2 + 3\varepsilon - C^2 < 2$ . Then, we have a family of means  $(M_V)$  on  $LUC(G)$  satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \left| M_V(\lambda(s_k)f - f) \right| \leq 2N \sup_{z \in V} \|\lambda(z)f - f\|_\infty + \tilde{C}N \|f\|_\infty.$$

By considering a weak\*-cluster point in  $LUC(G)^*$  we actually get a mean  $m$  satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \left| m(\lambda(s_k)f - f) \right| \leq \tilde{C}N \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in LUC(G),$$

which forces  $G$  to be amenable by Proposition 6.10.

**Remark 6.16.** *The above proof combined Lemma 3 and Lemma 5 and the proof of Theorem 1 in p. 352-353 of [20]. For the extension to the cb-multiplier version we followed the approach of an unpublished note by Ruan [30].*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We, the authors, are grateful to Victor Losert for his patient answers to numerous questions of the authors regarding his own solution to the error in [20, Proposition 1], to Zhong-Jin Ruan for kindly sharing his unpublished manuscript [30], and to Anthony To-Ming Lau, Nico Spronk for valuable discussions and informations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N.P. Brown and N. Ozawa.  $C^*$ -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [2] E. Bédos, R. Conti. On twisted Fourier analysis and convergence of Fourier series on discrete groups. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 15 (2009), 336-365.
- [3] E. Bédos, R. Conti. The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a  $C^*$ -dynamical system. *Internat. J. Math.* 27 (2016), no. 6, 1650050, 50 pp.
- [4] M. Bożejko. Positive definite bounded matrices and a characterization of amenable groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95 (1985), 357-360.
- [5] M. Caspers, H.H. Lee and . Ricard, Operator biflatness of the  $L^1$ -algebras of compact quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 700 (2015), 235244.
- [6] Z. Chen, Q. Xu and Z. Yin. Harmonic analysis on quantum tori. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 322 (2013), 755-805.
- [7] J. Dixmier.  $C^*$ -algebras. *North-Holland Mathematical Library*, Vol. 15. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. xiii+492 pp.
- [8] C. M. Edwards and J. T. Lewis. Twisted Group Algebras I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 13 (1969), 119-130.
- [9] P. Eymard. L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* 92 (1964), 181-236.
- [10] E. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. Operator spaces. *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*, 23. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. xvi+363 pp.
- [11] J.E. Gilbert.  $L^p$ -convolution operators and Banach space tensor products I, II and III. *Unpublished*.
- [12] A. Hulanicki. Groups whose regular representation weakly contains all unitary representations. *Studia Math.*, 24 (1964), 37-59.
- [13] B.E. Johnson. Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* volume 127 (1972).
- [14] P. Jolissaint. A characterization of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebras. *Colloq. Math.*, 63(1992), 311-313.
- [15] E. Kaniuth, A.T.-M. Lau. Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 231. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018. xi+306 pp.
- [16] A. Kleppner. The structure of some induced representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 1962, 29(4), 555-572.
- [17] A. Kleppner. Multipliers on abelian groups. *Math. Ann.*, 158 (1965), 11-34.
- [18] A. Kleppner. Continuity and measurability of multiplier and projective representations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 17 (1974), 214-226.
- [19] A. Kleppner, R. Lipsman. The Plancherel formula for group extensions. I. *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup.*, (4) 5 (1972), 459-516.
- [20] V. Losert. Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 92 (1984), 347-354.
- [21] V. Losert. A hand-written Heidelberg lecture note, 1985.
- [22] V. Losert. An e-mail correspondence with Guangwu Xu, 1993.
- [23] G. Mackey. Unitary representations of group extensions. I, *Acta Math.* 99 (1958), 265-311.
- [24] J.A. Packer. Twisted group  $C^*$ -algebras corresponding to nilpotent discrete groups. *Math. Scand.* 64 (1989), no. 1, 109122.
- [25] K.R. Parthasarathy. Multipliers on locally compact groups. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 93 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1969 iii+54 pp.
- [26] G. Pisier. Grothendieck's theorem for non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras with an appendix on Grothendieck's constant. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 29 (1978), 397-415.
- [27] G. Pisier. *Similarity problems and Completely bounded maps*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618, Springer 1991.
- [28] G. Pisier. The similarity degree of an operator algebra. *Algebra i Analiz*, 10 (1998), 132-186.
- [29] É. Ricard.  $L_p$  multipliers on quantum tori. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 270 (2016), 4604-4613.
- [30] Z.-J. Ruan. A characterization of amenable groups. Unpublished note.
- [31] Z.-J. Ruan. The operator amenability of  $A(G)$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, 117 (1995), 1449-1474.
- [32] Z.-J. Ruan. Amenability of Hopf von Neumann algebras and Kac algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 139 (1996), no. 2, 466-499.
- [33] V. Runde. Connes-amenability and normal, virtual diagonals for measure algebras. I. *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) 67 (2003), 643-656.
- [34] Soltan, P. M. Quantum spaces without group structure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010), no. 6, 2079-2086.

- [35] N. Spronk. On multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, Canada, 2002.
- [36] M. Takesaki. Theory of operator algebras. I. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979. vii+415 pp.
- [37] M. Takesaki. Theory of operator algebras. II. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 125. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xxii+518 pp.
- [38] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. On the moduli of smoothness and convexity and the Rademacher averages of the trace classes  $S_p(1 < p < \infty)$ . *Studia Math.*, 50 (1974), 163-182.
- [39] N. Th. Varopoulos. On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operator theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* 16 (1974), 83-100.
- [40] J. Wysoczanski. Characterization of amenable groups and the Littlewood functions on free group. *Colloq. Math.* 55, 261-265 (1988).
- [41] J. G. Wendell. Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, (2) 251261, 1952.

HUN HEE LEE : DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL, 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address:* hunheelee@snu.ac.kr

XIAO XIONG : INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 150001 HARBIN, CHINA

*E-mail address:* xxiong@hit.edu.cn