

# Entropy dissipation for degenerate stochastic differential equations via sub-Riemannian density manifold

Qi Feng\* and Wuchen Li†

## Abstract

We study the dynamical behavior of degenerate stochastic differential equations (SDEs). We select an auxiliary-Fisher information functional as the Lyapunov functional. We conduct the Lyapunov exponential convergence analysis of degenerate SDEs in terms of generalized Fisher information and  $L^1$  distances. We derive the convergence rate by the induced generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus. Examples of explicit rates are provided, including one-dimensional degenerate SDEs, gradient-drift diffusions in the Heisenberg group, displacement group, and Martinet sub-Riemannian structure. The Lyapunov analysis is constructed from a second-order calculus of Kullback-Leibler divergence in density space with a sub-Riemannian optimal transport type metric.

**Keywords:** Degenerate drift-diffusion process; Lyapunov methods; Auxiliary Fisher information; sub-Riemannian density manifold; Generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 53C17, 60D05, 58B20.

**Thanks:** Wuchen Li is supported by AFOSR MURI FA9550-18-1-0502, NSF RTG: 2038080 and a start-up funding in University of South Carolina.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Main results</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Setting . . . . .	4
2.2	Main result . . . . .	6

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 48109; email: qif@umich.edu

†Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina; email: wuchen@mailbox.sc.edu.

<b>3</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	One dimensional degenerate SDE . . . . .	11
3.2	Drift-diffusion process on Lie group with weighted volume . . . . .	14
3.2.1	Heisenberg group . . . . .	14
3.2.2	Displacement group . . . . .	21
3.2.3	Martinet flat sub-Riemannian structure . . . . .	33
<b>4</b>	<b>Lyapunov analysis in Sub-Riemannian Density manifold</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1	Sub-Riemannian Density manifold . . . . .	40
4.2	Gamma z calculus via second order calculus of relative entropy in SDM	46
<b>5</b>	<b>Generalized Gamma <math>z</math> calculus</b>	<b>52</b>
5.1	Proof of Lemma 5.3 . . . . .	56
5.2	Proof of Lemma 5.4 . . . . .	59
5.3	Proof of Lemma 5.5 . . . . .	68
<b>6</b>	<b>Further discussions on other inequalities</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix: Degenerate SDEs and Sub-Riemannian manifold</b>	<b>85</b>
A.1	Proof of Gradient flow assumption . . . . .	89

# 1 Introduction

Degenerate drift diffusion processes play essential roles in differential geometry, Lie groups, mathematical modeling with applications in quantum computing, artificial intelligence (AI) and Bayesian sampling problems. Consider the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_t) \circ dB_t^i, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $(B_t^1, B_t^2, \dots, B_t^n)$  is a  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times n}$  is a matrix function and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  is a drift vector field. The convergence analysis of SDE (1.1) is a central question in both theory and applications [45]. In other words, how fast does the probability density function of SDE converge to the invariant density?

The Gamma calculus, also named Bakry-Émery iterative calculus [7], provides analytical bound to derive the convergence behavior of SDE (1.1). This lower bound is

known as the Ricci curvature lower bound. However, classical studies are limited to the non-degenerate diffusion coefficient matrix  $a$  setting. The classical Gamma calculus is no longer valid when  $a$  is a degenerate matrix function; see related studies in [17].

In this paper, we present a Lyapunov convergence analysis for degenerate diffusion coefficients. We select a class of  $z$ -Fisher information as the Lyapunov functional. Here  $z$  is a matrix function that is different from  $a$ . We derive a generalized Gamma calculus by the dissipation of Lyapunov functional along diffusion process. We then obtain exponential convergence result in  $L^1$  distance with explicit rate. Several concrete examples are presented, one-dimensional degenerate SDEs, and gradient-drift diffusions in Heisenberg group, displacement group, and Martinet sub-Riemannian structure. Our approach extends the classical optimal transport geometry, in particular, the second-order calculus of relative entropy in density manifold studied in [41, 46, 47].

In literature, the first generalized Gamma calculus is introduced by Baudoin-Garofalo [17] for sub-Riemannian manifolds. Related results are studied later in [12, 14, 19, 20, 21, 26, 32, 33]. The commutative property of iteration of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1^\ddagger$  (Hypothesis 1.2 in [17]) is crucial in the previous works. Our algebraic condition 2.3 do not have this requirement. We can remove this commutative condition in a weak sense. Thus our results go beyond the step two-bracket generating condition. We present algebraic conditions for the existence of the convergence rate.

On the other hand, optimal transport on the sub-Riemannian manifold has been studied by [2, 29, 37, 39]. An optimal transport metric on a sub-Riemannian manifold is proposed in [37, 39]. In this case, the density manifold still forms an infinite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The Monge-Ampère equation in sub-Riemannian settings is studied in [29]. Our approach is different. We introduce the sub-Riemannian density manifold (SDM) and study its second-order geometric calculations of relative entropies in SDM. Using those, we propose new Gamma  $z$  calculus for degenerate stochastic differential equations and establish the generalized curvature dimension type bound. Besides, [43, 49] use the analytical property of optimal transport to formulate Ricci curvature lower bound in general metric space. Different from [43, 49], we focus on the geometric calculations in density manifold introduced by the  $z$  direction. Following the second-order geometric calculations in density manifold, we formulate new Gamma calculus and corresponding Ricci curvature tensor in the sub-Riemannian manifold. Besides, our derivation also relates to the entropy methods [38]. Using entropy methods, [4, 5] derive the convergence rate for degenerate drift-diffusion processes with constant

diffusion coefficients  $a$ . Compared to previous works, we apply the entropy method using Gamma calculus and geometric calculations in density manifold. Several concrete examples of convergence rate are derived in one-dimensional SDEs and Lie group induced drift-diffusion processes.

We organize the paper below. In section 2, we introduce the notation and the main result of this paper. This is an explicit convergence rate for degenerate SDEs in  $L^1$  distance. In section 3, we provide four examples of the proposed convergence analysis, including one dimensional degenerate SDEs, gradient-drift diffusions in Heisenberg group, displacement group, and Martinet sub-Riemannian structure. In section 4, we present the Lyapunov analysis in sub-Riemannian density manifold. The related generalized Gamma calculus is presented in section 5. Some further discussions are left in section 6.

## 2 Main results

In this section, we present the setting and the main results of this paper.

### 2.1 Setting

Consider a Stratonovich SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2}a_i(X_t) \circ dB_t^i, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $(B_t^1, B_t^2, \dots, B_t^n)$  is a  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times n}$  is a matrix function, such that  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  is a vector function. Equation (2.2) can also be written into the Itô SDE:

$$dX_t = \tilde{b}(X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2}a_i(X_t)dB_t^i,$$

where

$$\tilde{b}(X_t) = b(X_t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i.$$

Here  $\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  represents

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i \right)_l = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} a_{ik} \frac{\partial a_{il}}{\partial x_k}.$$

We assume that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  satisfies the strong Hörmander condition (or bracket generating condition). This condition ensures the existence of smooth probability density function for SDE (2.2). Denote  $X_t \sim \rho(t, x)$ , where  $\rho = \rho(t, x)$  is the probability

density function of SDE (2.2). The density function  $\rho$  satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation of SDE (2.2)

$$\partial_t \rho(t, x) = -\nabla_x \cdot (\rho(t, x) \tilde{b}(t, x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( (a(x) a(x)^\top)_{ij} \rho(t, x) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

with a smooth initial condition

$$\rho_0(x) = \rho(0, x), \quad \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(x) dx = 1, \quad \rho_0(x) \geq 0.$$

In this paper, we assume that SDE (2.2) has a unique invariant symmetric measure  $\mu$ , where  $d\mu = \pi(x) dx$  with  $\pi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ . Here  $\pi$  solves the equilibrium of Fokker-Planck equation (2.3):

$$-\nabla_x \cdot (\pi(x) \tilde{b}(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( (a(x) a(x)^\top)_{ij} \pi(x) \right) = 0.$$

We study a particular class of drift function  $b$  for a given invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

**Assumption (Gradient flow formulation):** Suppose that  $b$ ,  $a$ , and  $\pi$  satisfy the relation:

$$b = a \otimes \nabla a + a a^\top \nabla \log \pi, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $a \otimes \nabla a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  represents

$$(a \otimes \nabla a)_{\hat{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{\hat{k}k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{k'k}. \quad (2.5)$$

In this case, we can reformulate equation (2.3) as

$$\partial_t \rho(t, x) = \nabla \cdot \left( \rho(t, x) a(x) a(x)^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\pi(x)} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

We leave the derivation of formula (2.6) in the appendix. If  $\rho(t, x) = \pi(x)$ , then  $\log \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\pi(x)} = 0$ , and  $\pi$  is an invariant density function for SDE (2.2). In section 4, we demonstrate that the Fokker-Planck equation (2.3), or its equivalent formulation (2.6), forms a ‘‘horizontal’’ gradient flow in sub-Riemannian density manifold. We design a Lyapunov functional to study the convergence behavior of this ‘‘horizontal’’ gradient flow (2.6).

**Remark 1** (Non-gradient flow drift). *In fact, the proposed method is not limited to the gradient flow assumption of drift vector field  $b$  in (2.4). See details in [28].*

## 2.2 Main result

We now briefly sketch the main results. Denote a sub-elliptic operator  $L: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  as follows:

$$Lf = \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla f) - \langle a \otimes \nabla a, \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} + \langle b, \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}},$$

where  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus). *Consider a smooth matrix function  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times m}$ . Denote Gamma one bilinear forms  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_1^z: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  as*

$$\Gamma_1(f, g) = \langle a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad \Gamma_1^z(f, g) = \langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

Define Gamma two bilinear forms  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  as

$$\Gamma_2(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ L\Gamma_1(f, g) - \Gamma_1(Lf, g) - \Gamma_1(f, Lg) \right],$$

and

$$\Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ L\Gamma_1^z(f, g) - \Gamma_1^z(Lf, g) - \Gamma_1^z(f, Lg) \right] \quad (2.7)$$

$$+ \mathbf{div}_z^\pi \left( \Gamma_{1, \nabla(aa^\top)}(f, g) \right) - \mathbf{div}_a^\pi \left( \Gamma_{1, \nabla(zz^\top)}(f, g) \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Here  $\mathbf{div}_a^\pi, \mathbf{div}_z^\pi$  are divergence operators defined by:

$$\mathbf{div}_a^\pi(F) = \frac{1}{\pi} \nabla \cdot (\pi aa^\top F), \quad \mathbf{div}_z^\pi(F) = \frac{1}{\pi} \nabla \cdot (\pi zz^\top F),$$

for any smooth vector field  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , and  $\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}, \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}$  are vector Gamma one bilinear forms defined as

$$\Gamma_{1, \nabla(aa^\top)}(f, g) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(aa^\top) \nabla g \rangle = \left( \langle \nabla f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (aa^\top) \nabla g \rangle \right)_{k=1}^{n+m},$$

$$\Gamma_{1, \nabla(zz^\top)}(f, g) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(zz^\top) \nabla g \rangle = \left( \langle \nabla f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (zz^\top) \nabla g \rangle \right)_{k=1}^{n+m},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{div}_z^\pi \left( \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)} f, g \right) &= \frac{\nabla \cdot (zz^\top \pi \langle \nabla f, \nabla(aa^\top) \nabla g \rangle)}{\pi}, \\ \mathbf{div}_a^\pi \left( \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)} f, g \right) &= \frac{\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \pi \langle \nabla f, \nabla(zz^\top) \nabla g \rangle)}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

We next demonstrate that the summation of  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2^{z, \pi}$  can induce the following decomposition and bilinear forms. They are naturally extensions of classical Bakry-Émery calculus in Riemannian manifold, i.e., non-degenerate matrix function  $a$ .

**Notation 2.2.** For matrix  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times n}$ , we define matrix  $Q$  as

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^\top a_{11}^\top & \cdots & a_{1(n+m)}^\top a_{1(n+m)}^\top \\ \cdots & a_{ii}^\top a_{kk}^\top & \cdots \\ a_{n1}^\top a_{n1}^\top & \cdots & a_{n(n+m)}^\top a_{n(n+m)}^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times (n+m)^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

with  $Q_{ik\hat{i}\hat{k}} = a_{ii}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top$ . More precisely, for each row (resp. column) of  $Q$ , the row (resp. column) indices of  $Q_{ik\hat{i}\hat{k}}$  follows  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n$  (resp.  $\sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m}$ ). For matrix  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times m}$ , we define matrix  $P$  as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11}^\top a_{11}^\top & \cdots & z_{1(n+m)}^\top a_{1(n+m)}^\top \\ \cdots & z_{ii}^\top a_{kk}^\top & \cdots \\ z_{m1}^\top a_{n1}^\top & \cdots & z_{m(n+m)}^\top a_{n(n+m)}^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(nm) \times (n+m)^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

with  $P_{ik\hat{i}\hat{k}} = z_{kk}^\top a_{ii}^\top$ . For smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ , for any  $\hat{i}, \hat{k}, \hat{j} = 1, \dots, n+m$  and  $i, k = 1, \dots, n$  (or  $1, \dots, m$ ), we define vector  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)^2 \times 1}$  with components,

$$C_{\hat{i}\hat{k}} = \left[ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^{n+m} \left( \langle a_{ii}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top, \frac{\partial a_{kk}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \rangle, (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \langle a_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top, \frac{\partial a_{ii}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \rangle, (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right) \right], \quad (2.11)$$

where we denote  $(a^\top \nabla)_{kf} = \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}}$ . We define vector  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times 1}$  with components,

$$D_{ik} = \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad D^\top D = \sum_{i,k} D_{ik} D_{ik}. \quad (2.12)$$

We define vector  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)^2 \times 1}$  with components,

$$F_{\hat{i}\hat{k}} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i'=1}^{n+m} \left( \langle a_{ii}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top, \frac{\partial z_{kk}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \rangle, (z^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} - \langle z_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top, \frac{\partial a_{ii}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \rangle, (z^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \right) \right] \quad (2.13)$$

We define vector  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{(n \times m) \times 1}$  with components,

$$E_{ik} = \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad E^\top E = \sum_{i,k} E_{ik} E_{ik}. \quad (2.14)$$

We define vector  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)^2 \times 1}$  with components,

$$G_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{j', \hat{j}, i', \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \left[ \left( z_{jj}^\top z_{jj'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} + z_{jj}^\top z_{jj'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} a_{ii}^\top \right) \right. \quad (2.15) \\ \left. - \left( a_{ii}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{jj}^\top z_{jj'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} + a_{ii}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{jj}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} z_{jj}^\top \right) \right].$$

We define  $X$  as the vectorization of the Hessian matrix of function  $f$ :

$$X^\top = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{n+m} \partial x_{n+m}} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (n+m)^2}. \quad (2.16)$$

**Assumption 2.3.** Assume that there exists vectors  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m)^2 \times 1}$ , such that

$$(Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 + P^\top P \Lambda_2)^\top X = (F + C + G + Q^\top D + P^\top E)^\top X.$$

**Definition 1** (Hessian matrix). For smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ , define a matrix function  $\mathfrak{R} : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times (n+m)}$  as

$$\mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E + (\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \mathfrak{R}_{zb} + \mathfrak{R}_\pi)(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where we define the following bilinear forms:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}), (a^\top \nabla f)_i \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &+ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &- \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &- \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (z_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}), (z^\top \nabla f)_i \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k',\hat{k},\hat{i},i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k',\hat{k},\hat{i},i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \\
& + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \Big] \\
& + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} (z^\top \nabla \log \pi)_k \left[ z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \\
& - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{i'l'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \\
& - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{i'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right. \\
& \quad + a_{i'l'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \\
& \quad \left. + a_{i'l'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \\
& - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} (a^\top \nabla \log \pi)_l \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also denote  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times (n+m)}$ , such that  $(\nabla f)^\top \mathfrak{R}(x) \nabla f = \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Generalized  $z$  Bochner's formula). *If Assumption 2.3 is satisfied, then the following decomposition holds*

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) + \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(f, f) = \|\mathfrak{Hess}_{a, z} f\|^2 + \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{Hess}_{a, z} f\|^2 &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2], \\
\mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}^\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f).
\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove the convergence property of degenerate drift diffusion process (1.1) and related functional inequalities. Denote the Kullback–Leibler divergence as

$$D_{\text{KL}}(\rho \|\pi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \rho(x) \log \frac{\rho(x)}{\pi(x)} dx.$$

Denote the  $a, z$ -relative Fisher information functional as

$$I_{a, z}(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \rho dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \rho dx.$$

**Theorem 2** (Exponential convergence in  $L^1$  distance). *Suppose there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$ , such that*

$$\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa(aa^\top + zz^\top).$$

*Let  $\rho_0$  be a smooth initial distribution and  $\rho = \rho(t, x)$  be the probability density function of (1.1). Then  $\rho$  converges to the invariant measure  $\pi$  in the sense of*

$$I_{a,z}(\rho) \leq e^{-2\kappa t} I_{a,z}(\rho_0).$$

*In addition,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx \leq \sqrt{2D_{\text{KL}}(\rho_0 \|\pi)} e^{-\kappa t},$$

**Remark 2.4** (Functional inequalities). *Suppose  $\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa(aa^\top + zz^\top)$  with  $\kappa > 0$ , then the  $z$ -log-Sobolev inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \rho \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} dx \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa} I_{a,z}(\rho),$$

*for any smooth density function  $\rho$ .*

**Remark 2.5.** *In literature [17], the  $\Gamma_{2,z}$  operator is defined by (2.7), i.e.  $\Gamma_2^z(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} L\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(Lf, f)$ . In fact, this definition is under the assumption of  $\Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(f, f), f) = \Gamma_1^z(\Gamma_1(f, f), f)$ . This assumption holds true only for the special choice of  $a$  and  $z$ . In generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus, we introduce a new term (2.8), which removes the assumption  $\Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(f, f), f) = \Gamma_1^z(\Gamma_1(f, f), f)$ . In fact, in the paper, we show that (2.8) is exactly the new bilinear form behind assumption in [11, 12] by considering the weak form. See details in Remark 4.9.*

**Remark 2.6.** *Following [28][Assumption 1], we know that for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , if*

$$z_k^\top \nabla a_i^\top \in \text{Span}\{a_1^\top, \dots, a_n^\top\}, \quad (2.17)$$

*there exists vectors  $\widehat{\Lambda}_1$  and  $\widehat{\Lambda}_2$ , such that the Hessian operator associated with the generator of the SDE and the metric  $(aa^\top)^\dagger$  could be represented as*

$$\|\mathfrak{Hess} f\|^2 = [QX + \widehat{\Lambda}_1]^\top [QX + \widehat{\Lambda}_1] + [PX + \widehat{\Lambda}_2]^\top [PX + \widehat{\Lambda}_2].$$

*Furthermore, we have the following relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & [QX + \widehat{\Lambda}_1]^\top [QX + \widehat{\Lambda}_1] + [PX + \widehat{\Lambda}_2]^\top [PX + \widehat{\Lambda}_2] - \widehat{\Lambda}_1^\top \widehat{\Lambda}_1 - \widehat{\Lambda}_2^\top \widehat{\Lambda}_2 \\ &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2] - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2, \end{aligned}$$

if there exist  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  as in Assumption 2.3, such that

$$\widehat{\Lambda}_1^\top = \Lambda_1^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_2^\top = \Lambda_2^\top \mathbf{P}^\top. \quad (2.18)$$

The Assumption 2.3 is true if condition 2.17 and 2.18 hold. See detailed connections in [28][Remark 11].

### 3 Examples

In this section, we present several examples. We prove the  $L^1$  exponential convergence results for several degenerate SDEs.

#### 3.1 One dimensional degenerate SDE

Consider a one dimensional Stratonovich SDE:

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}a(X_t) \circ dB_t.$$

The above Stratonovich SDE is equivalent to the following Itô SDE:

$$dX_t = \tilde{b}(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}a(X_t)dB_t,$$

where  $\tilde{b} = b + aa'$  and  $a' = \partial_x a(x)$ . In this example, we consider  $X_t \in \mathbb{T}^1$  with periodic boundary condition. When  $a(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$ , the vector field  $b$  (and  $\tilde{b}$ ) can be represented as  $aa^\top \nabla F$ , for some function  $F$ , we could apply Theorem 1 by taking  $z = 0$ . In the following, we focus on the case when  $a$  vanishes at  $x = 0$ . In order to solve this problem, the vector field  $\tilde{b}$  should be in a more general form, i.e.  $\tilde{b} \neq aa^\top \nabla F$  for any  $F$ . In this case, the strong Hörmander condition does not hold, but the weak Hörmander condition holds true if  $b \neq 0$  and  $a' \neq 0$  at  $x = 0$ . We apply the result for non-gradient drift in our follow up work [28][Theorem 1].

Consider the invariant measure  $\pi = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-V}$ ,  $Z = \int_{\mathbb{T}^1} e^{-V(y)}dy$ . Construct the vector field  $\tilde{b}$ , such that

$$\gamma = a^2 \partial_x \log \pi - \tilde{b} - 2aa',$$

with  $\partial_x(\pi\gamma) = 0$ . Denote  $\rho(t, x)$  as the probability density function of  $X_t$ . The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation forms

$$\partial_t \rho(t, x) = \partial_x \left( \rho(t, x) a^2(x) \partial_x \log \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\pi(x)} \right) + \partial_x \left( \rho(t, x) \gamma(x) \right). \quad (3.19)$$

From now on, we choose  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi Z}$ , which implies

$$\frac{1}{\pi Z} = a^2 \partial_x \log \pi - \tilde{b} - 2aa'.$$

In other words,

$$\tilde{b} = -V'a^2 - 2aa' - e^V.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *For  $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$ ,  $V \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ ,  $a \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ ,  $a(0) = 0$  and  $\{a(x), a'(x)\} < \frac{1}{C}$  for  $C > 0$  large enough, there exists  $z \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$  defined as  $z = e^{V+kx+\int_0^x (a'(y))^2 e^{-V(y)} dy}$ , such that*

$$\mathfrak{R} \geq o(1/C) + ke^{\underline{V}_*} z^2, \quad (3.20)$$

where we denote  $\underline{V}_*$  as the lower bound of  $V$  on  $\mathbb{T}^1$  and  $\pi = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-V}$ .

**Proof** We first compute the following vectors. Following [28][Definition1, Definition 3], when  $a \neq 0$ , denote  $\mathbf{U} = \nabla f$  for some smooth function  $f$ , We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= a^2, & P &= az, & C &= 0, & F &= (a^2 z z' - z^2 a a')\mathbf{U}, \\ G &= (2z^2 a a' - 2a^2 z z')\mathbf{U}, & V &= 0, & D &= a a' \mathbf{U}, & E &= a z' \mathbf{U}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \frac{a'}{a}\mathbf{U}$ , such that

$$(QQ^\top \Lambda_1 + PP^\top \Lambda_2)X = (F + C + G + Q^\top D + P^\top E)X,$$

which implies,

$$-\Lambda_1 Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E = [a^2(z')^2 - z^2(a')^2]\mathbf{U}^2.$$

Recall that  $\nabla \log \pi = -\partial_x V(x) = -V'$ , denote  $a' = \partial_x a(x)$ ,  $z' = \partial_x z(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_a(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) &= [-a^3 a' V' + a^4 V'' + 2a^3 a' V' - a^3 a'']\mathbf{U}^2, \\ \mathfrak{R}_z(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) &= [2aza'z' + a^2 z z'' - 2z^2(a')^2 - 2z^2 a a'' - a^2 z z' V' + z^2 a^2 V'' + 2z^2 a a' V']\mathbf{U}^2, \\ \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) &= 2[z^2 a a'' + z^2(a')^2 - a^2 z z'' - a^2(z')^2 - z^2 a a' V' + a^2 z z' V']\mathbf{U}^2, \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\mathcal{I}_a}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) &= [\gamma a a' - \gamma a^2 V']\mathbf{U}^2, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\gamma_a}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) = [\gamma a a' - \gamma' a^2]\mathbf{U}^2, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\gamma_z}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) = [\gamma z z' - \gamma' z^2]\mathbf{U}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all the terms above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R} &= -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E + \mathfrak{R}_a + \mathfrak{R}_z + \mathfrak{R}_\pi + \beta \mathfrak{R}_{\mathcal{I}_a} + (1 - \beta) \mathfrak{R}_{\gamma_a} + \mathfrak{R}_{\gamma_z} \\ &= \left[ a^2(z')^2 - z^2(a')^2 - a^3 a' V' + a^4 V'' + 2a^3 a' V' - a^3 a'' + 2aza'z' + a^2 z z'' - 2z^2(a')^2 - 2z^2 a a'' \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a^2 z z' V' + z^2 a^2 V'' + 2z^2 a a' V' + 2[z^2 a a'' + z^2(a')^2 - a^2 z z'' - a^2(z')^2 - z^2 a a' V' + a^2 z z' V'] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta[\gamma a a' - \gamma a^2 V'] + (1 - \beta)[\gamma a a' - \gamma' a^2] + \gamma z z' - \gamma' z^2 \right] \mathbf{U}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[ -a^2(z')^2 - z^2(a')^2 - a^3a'V' + a^4V'' + 2a^3a'V' - a^3a'' + 2aza'z' - a^2zz'' + z^2a^2V'' + a^2zz'V' + \beta[\gamma aa' - \gamma a^2V'] + (1-\beta)[\gamma aa' - \gamma' a^2] + \gamma zz' - \gamma' z^2 \right] \mathbf{U}^2.$$

If  $a = 0$ , with some abuse of notations, we skip  $\mathbf{U}^2$  below, the only terms left are

$$\mathfrak{R} = \gamma zz' - \gamma' z^2 - z^2(a')^2 = e^V z(z' - V'z) - z^2(a')^2.$$

We then solve the following ODE, for any  $k > 0$ ,

$$z' - V'z - z(a')^2 e^{-V} = kz \implies z = e^{V+kx+\int_0^x (a'(y))^2 e^{-V(y)} dy}.$$

which implies  $z > 0$  and  $z \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . For  $k > 0$ , we get

$$\mathfrak{R} = ke^V z^2.$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$  and  $z, V \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ , it is clear that  $\{z, \nabla z, V, \nabla V, \nabla^2 V, \gamma, \nabla \gamma\} \leq \sqrt{C}$ , for some constant  $C$ . If  $\{a, a'\} < \frac{1}{C}$ , for  $a \neq 0$ , then  $ke^V z^2$  is the dominating term, while all the other terms containing  $a$  and  $a'$  will be relatively small. For example, one could take  $a = \frac{1}{C}x$ . We conclude that

$$\mathfrak{R} = o(1/C) + ke^V z^2, \tag{3.21}$$

which finishes the proof. ■

**Proposition 3.2.** *Given the same assumptions in Proposition 3.1. There exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$ , such that the exponential dissipation result in  $L^1$  distance holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^1} |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx = O(e^{-\kappa t}).$$

**Proof** According to Proposition 3.1, we know

$$\mathfrak{R} \geq o(1/C) + ke^{V_*} z^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Furthermore, for  $\{a, a'\} < \frac{1}{C}$ , we have  $a^2 + z^2 \leq z^2 + \frac{1}{C^2}$ , which implies, for sufficiently large  $C > 0$ ,

$$\mathfrak{R} \geq ke^{V_*} \left( \frac{1}{ke^{V_*}} o(1/C) + z^2 \right) \geq ke^{V_*} \left( z^2 + \frac{1}{C^2} \right) \geq ke^{V_*} (a^2 + z^2).$$

Following Theorem 2, we conclude the proof.

### 3.2 Drift-diffusion process on Lie group with weighted volume

In the next three examples, we consider the following degenerate drift diffusion process

$$dX_t = -a(X_t)a(X_t)^\top \nabla V(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}a(X_t) \circ dB_t, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times n})$  is a matrix function,  $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  is a vector function. We denote the invariant measure of SDE (3.23) as  $\pi$ . Assume that

$$-aa^\top \nabla V = a \otimes \nabla a + aa^\top \nabla \log \pi.$$

**Remark 3.3.** For  $V = 0$ , the invariant measure in the above Lemma exists if  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  forms left-invariant structures on unimodular Lie groups. In this case, the sub-Laplacian is a sum of squares of horizontal vector fields and invariant measure is also symmetric. The Stratonovich SDE (3.23) defines the horizontal Brownian motion on sub-Riemannian structure  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \tau, (aa^\top)^\dagger|_\tau)$  and the  $\pi$  is the volume form associated with horizontal Laplacian. In general, if the Lie group structure is not unimodular, the drift  $b \neq 0$ . See related studies about diffusion process on general manifolds in [1, 9, 16, 24, 25, 30, 31, 44].

**Remark 3.4.** It is also worth mentioning that many sub-Riemannian manifolds are non-compact. Hence there may not exist a positive constant  $\kappa$  for both classical  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1^z$  directions in the non-compact domain. The non-compactness of the domain brings additional difficulties. To prove the associated inequalities in this case, we need to extend the result derived in [13, 50]. This is a direction for future works.

#### 3.2.1 Heisenberg group

In this subsection, we apply our general theory to the well-known example in sub-Riemannian geometry, which is the Heisenberg group. We believe that even for Heisenberg group, the analytical bound for the  $z$ -LSI is also new. A related LSI for the horizontal Wiener measure has been studied in [13]. Recall briefly that the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^1$  admits left invariant vector fields:  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2}x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . Here  $\{X, Y, Z\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{H}^1$ . In this case,  $\pi = e^{-V}$ . In particular,  $X$  and  $Y$  generate the horizontal distribution  $\tau$ . To fit into our general theory from the previous section, we take matrices  $a$  and  $z$  as below

$$a^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -y/2 \\ 0 & 1 & x/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z^\top = (0, 0, 1).$$

In particular, we have

$$a^\top \nabla f = \left( (a^\top \nabla)_1 f, (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right)^\top, \quad (a^\top \nabla)_1 f = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right).$$

We have the following proposition for Heisenberg group following Theorem 1.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)$ , one has*

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) + \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(f, f) = \|\mathfrak{H}ess_{a, z} f\|^2 + \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1^\top &= (0, -\partial_z f, 0, -\partial_z f, 0, 0, 0, 0); \\ \Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, (a^\top \nabla)_2 f, -(a^\top \nabla)_1 f, 0); \\ \mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\ &= -\Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} - y \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} + x \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right] (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\ \mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\ \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *If there exists  $\kappa > 0$  as shown in Theorem 2, the exponential dissipation result in  $L^1$  distance holds:*

$$\int |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx = O(e^{-\kappa t}).$$

We next formulate the curvature tensor into a matrix format. Denote

$$\mathbf{U} = \left( (a^\top \nabla)_1 f, (a^\top \nabla)_2 f, (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \right)_{3 \times 1},$$

and denote  $\mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3}$  as the identity matrix. With a little abuse of notation, there exists a symmetric matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that we can represent the tensor as below.

$$\mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = (\mathbf{U})^\top \cdot \mathfrak{R} \cdot \mathbf{U},$$

which implies that

$$\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa (aa^\top + zz^\top) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \succeq \kappa (\Gamma_1(f, f) + \Gamma_1^z(f, f)).$$

In other words, we need to estimate the smallest eigenvalue of matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We next present the formulation of matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  for the Heisenberg group as follows.

**Corollary 3.7.** *The matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  associated with Heisenberg group has the following form*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_{11} &= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} - y \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} \right] + \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) - 1; \\ \mathfrak{R}_{22} &= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} + x \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right] - 1; \quad \mathfrak{R}_{33} = \frac{1}{2}; \\ \mathfrak{R}_{12} &= \mathfrak{R}_{21} = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right); \\ \mathfrak{R}_{13} &= \mathfrak{R}_{31} = \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V; \quad \mathfrak{R}_{23} = \mathfrak{R}_{32} = -\frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V.\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.8.** *Based on the matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  derived above, we show a specific examples with potential function  $V = x^2 + \frac{y^2+z^2}{2}$  as shown in Figure 1. We have the following matrix.*

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{y^2}{4} - \frac{y}{2} & \frac{x-xy}{4} & \frac{2y+xz}{4} \\ \frac{x-xy}{4} & \frac{x^2}{4} & \frac{yz-2x}{4} \\ \frac{2y+xz}{4} & \frac{yz-2x}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 1: Illustration of the Ricci curvature for the Heisenberg group. Here  $V(x, y, z) = x^2 + \frac{y^2+z^2}{2}$ . We plot the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathfrak{R}$  on the region  $[-0.2, 0.2]^2$ . Left is for  $z = 0$ . Right is for  $z = 10$ . From the numerical calculation, we find that the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathfrak{R}$  at a given point in  $[-0.2, 0.2]^2$  is around 0.0078, when  $z = 10$ .

The proof of Proposition of 3.5 follows from the following three lemmas.



**Lemma 3.9.** For Heisenberg group, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{y}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{y}{2} & 0 & \frac{y^2}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{x}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{y}{2} & -\frac{xy}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{y}{2} & \frac{x}{2} & 0 & -\frac{xy}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{x}{2} & 0 & \frac{x}{2} & \frac{x^2}{4} \end{pmatrix}; \\
P &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{y}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{x}{2} \end{pmatrix}; \\
D^\top &= (0, \frac{1}{2}\partial_z f, -\frac{1}{2}\partial_z f, 0); \quad E^\top = (0, 0); \quad F^\top = G^\top = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \\
C^\top &= (0, 0, \frac{x}{4}\partial_z f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_y f, 0, 0, \frac{y}{4}\partial_z f - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x f, \frac{x}{4}\partial_z f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_y f, \frac{y}{4}\partial_z f - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x f, -\frac{y}{2}\partial_y f - \frac{x}{2}\partial_x f).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.10.** On  $\mathbb{H}^1$ , vectors  $F$  and  $G$  are zero vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X \\
&= \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z} f\|^2 - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z} f\|^2 &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2]; \\
\Lambda_1^\top &= (0, -\partial_z f, 0, -\partial_z f, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \\
\Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, (a^\top \nabla)_2 f, -(a^\top \nabla)_1 f, 0); \\
-\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E &= -\Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_1^z(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.11.** By routine computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -(a^\top \nabla)_1 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&+ \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} - y \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 \\
&+ \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} + x \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \\
&+ 2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right] (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.10] We first have

$$\begin{aligned}
2C^\top X &= \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 2C_{\hat{i}\hat{k}}^\top X_{\hat{i}\hat{k}} \\
&= 2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_1 f}{2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_1 f}{2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \left( \frac{y}{2} \partial_y f + \frac{x}{2} \partial_x f \right) \right] \\
& = 2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f - 2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f - 2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \left( \frac{y}{2} \partial_y f + \frac{x}{2} \partial_x f \right) \\
& = 2(a^\top \nabla)_2 f \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right] - 2(a^\top \nabla)_1 f \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X \\
& = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} - y \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_z f \right]^2 \\
& + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z f \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 \\
& + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 \\
& + 2(a^\top \nabla)_2 f \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right] - 2(a^\top \nabla)_1 f \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Completing squares for the cross terms involving the type of “ $\nabla f \nabla^2 f$ ” and following the reformulation as below

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_z f \right]^2 \\
& + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z f \right]^2 \\
& = 2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - \partial_z f \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_z f|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X \\
& = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} - y \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - \partial_z f \right]^2 \\
& + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} + (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right]^2 \\
& + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right]^2 - |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of square terms give  $\|\mathfrak{Hess}_{a,z}\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2$ , hence  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ . The remainders generate  $-\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E$ , which equals  $-\Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f)$ .  $\blacksquare$

We are now left to compute the tensors.

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.11] By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&+ \sum_{i,k=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&- \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&- \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \\
&= \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For the four terms above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = 0 \\
\mathcal{I}_2 &= \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = 0 \\
\mathcal{I}_3 &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = 0 \\
\mathcal{I}_4 &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar computation applies to the tensor terms  $\mathfrak{R}_\pi$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$ . Since  $z$  is a constant matrix, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{i,\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (z_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}), (z^\top \nabla)_i f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute the tensor terms involving the drift  $b$ . For the drift term in tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$ , taking  $b = -a a^\top \nabla V$ , which means  $b = -(a_{\hat{k}k} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}})_{\hat{k}=1,2,3}$  in local coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_b &= \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&+ \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&+ \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
& = \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We now derive the explicit formulas for the above four terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 & = \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathcal{J}_2 & = \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_3 & = \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \right] \\
& = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} - y \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} + \frac{x^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} + x \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \\
& \quad + 2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{xy}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right] (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathcal{J}_4 & = - \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& \quad - \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \partial_z f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above formulas, we get  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$ . We now compute the drift tensor term

of  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$ . By taking  $b = -aa^\top \nabla V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_b(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla f)_i - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla f)_1 \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&= \mathcal{J}_1^z + \mathcal{J}_2^z + \mathcal{J}_3^z + \mathcal{J}_4^z.
\end{aligned}$$

We further compute as blow by taking advantage of the constant matrix  $z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1^z &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_2^z &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_4^z &= - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0 \\
\mathcal{J}_3^z &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
&= \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus completed. ■

### 3.2.2 Displacement group

In this subsection, we derive the generalized curvature dimension bound for displacement group, which is one example of three dimensional solvable Lie groups. We adapt the general setting from [22] below. Denote  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the three dimensional solvable Lie algebra and denote  $H \subset \mathfrak{g}$  as the horizontal subspace satisfying Hörmander's condition, then for a given inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $H$ , there exists a canonical basis  $\{X, Y, Z\}$  for  $(\mathfrak{g}, H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , such that  $\{X, Y\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $H$  and satisfies the

following Lie bracket generating condition for parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ :

$$[X, Y] = Z, \quad [X, Z] = \alpha Y + \beta Z, \quad [Y, Z] = 0.$$

When the parameter  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ , the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a faithful representation. In particular, it is shown in [22] that the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , in local coordinates  $(\theta, x, y)$ , corresponds to the following left-invariant differential operators:

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad Y = e^{\beta \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad R = -\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

with the following relation

$$[X, Y] = \beta Y + R, \quad [X, R] = 0, \quad [Y, R] = 0.$$

In terms of local coordinates  $(\theta, x, y)$ , we have

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{\beta \theta} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding Lie group of this special Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called displacement group, i.e.  $\mathbf{SE}(2)$ . We choose  $\{X, Y\}$  as the horizontal orthonormal basis for subalgebra  $H$ . To fit into the general framework from the previous section, we take

$$a = (X, Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\beta \theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\beta \theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -g(\theta, x, y) \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $g(\theta, x, y) \neq 0$ . Our focus here is to derive the curvature tensor in terms of  $\pi = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-V}$ . We then use  $(aa^\top)^\dagger|_H$  as the horizontal metric on  $H$ . Thus the sub-Riemannian structure is given by  $(\mathbf{SE}(2), H, (aa^\top)^\dagger|_H)$  and we proceed to derive the generalized curvature dimension bound following our framework in Section 4. By direct computations, it is easy to show that, for general smooth function  $f$ ,  $\Gamma_1(f, \Gamma_1^z(f, f)) \neq \Gamma_1^z(f, \Gamma_1(f, f))$ . Hence classical Gamma  $z$  calculus proposed in [17] can not be extended for this case to derive zLSI. Thus we need to compute vector  $G$  and the tensor term  $\mathfrak{R}_\pi$ . Following Theorem 1, we have the following  $z$ -Bochner's formula for  $\mathbf{SE}(2)$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *For any smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{SE}(2))$ , one has*

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) + \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(f, f) = \|\mathfrak{Hess}_{a, z} f\|^2 + \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1^\top &= (0, \beta \partial_x f, \frac{\beta \partial_y f}{2}, \beta \partial_x f, 0, 0, \frac{\beta \partial_y f}{2}, 0, -\beta \partial_\theta f); \\
\Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_6, 0, \lambda_9); \\
\lambda_6 &= \frac{\partial_\theta g \partial_y f}{g} - \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g^2} - \frac{\partial_\theta g \partial_y f}{g}; \\
\lambda_9 &= \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f}{g} + \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g^2} - \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f}{g};
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&= \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \beta^2(1 + \frac{1}{g^2}) \Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{\beta^2}{2g^2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f) \\
&\quad + \beta^2 e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{i i'}^\top a_{\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f - \sum_{k=1}^2 (a^\top \nabla)_k z_{13}^\top (a^\top \nabla)_k V \partial_y f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad - g \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - g(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{l', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{l l'}^\top a_{\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad - 2\Gamma_1(\log \pi, \log g) |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - 2\Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 &= \left[ e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} \right] |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2; \\
\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{i i'}^\top a_{\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f &= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} + e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y \partial y} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} \right] \frac{|(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2}{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.13.** *If there exists  $\kappa > 0$  as shown in Theorem 2, the exponential dissipation result in  $L^1$  distance holds:*

$$\int |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx = O(e^{-\kappa t}).$$

Similarly, we formulate the curvature tensor into a matrix format of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Corollary 3.14.** *The matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  associated with  $\mathbf{SE}(2)$  has the following representation*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{11} &= \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} - g \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y} - \beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{g^2}\right); \\
\mathfrak{R}_{22} &= \left[ e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} \right] - \beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{g^2}\right) - \beta (a^\top \nabla)_1 V; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{33} &= \frac{\beta^2}{2g^2} - \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) - 2\Gamma_1(\log \pi, \log g) - \frac{1}{g} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} + e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y \partial y} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} \right]; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{12} &= \mathfrak{R}_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y}) - g(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y}) + \beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \right); \\
\mathfrak{R}_{13} &= \mathfrak{R}_{31} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\beta}{g} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V - \Gamma_1(\log g, V) \right); \\
\mathfrak{R}_{23} &= \mathfrak{R}_{32} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\beta}{g} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V + \frac{\beta^2}{g} e^{\beta\theta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.15.** *By taking  $g(\theta, x, y) = \beta$  as a constant, Proposition 3.12 reduces to a simple version, in particular, the tensors reduce to be*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&= -(1 + \beta^2) \Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f) \\
&\quad + \beta^2 e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -\beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - \beta (e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Below, we focus on computing the lower bound of the sub-Riemannian Ricci curvature around the origin  $(0, 0, 0)$  for a particular potential function  $V = \theta^2 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}$ . This is equivalent to find the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e.  $\kappa = \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{R})$ . Note that, at the origin, the matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  has the following form

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta^2 & -\frac{\beta}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\beta}{2} & 1 - \beta^2 & -\frac{\beta}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{\beta}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice when  $\beta^2 + \beta < 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a diagonally dominant matrix, whose smallest eigenvalue is positive. For example, taking  $\beta = 0.1$ , we have  $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{R}) \approx 0.495$ . Notice that matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  is continuous w.r.t.  $(x, y, \theta)$ , so as its eigenvalue. Thus around a small



neighbourhood of the origin (e.g. torus  $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^3$  with radius  $\varepsilon$  and periodic boundary condition, for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ), we have the entropy dissipation properties for the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation with periodic boundary condition.

By routine computations, we derive the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.16.** *For displacement group  $\mathbf{SE}(2)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\beta\theta} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{\beta\theta} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{2\beta\theta} & e^{\beta\theta} & 0 & e^{\beta\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \\
P &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g(\theta, x, y) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g(\theta, x, y)e^{\beta\theta} & -g(\theta, x, y) \end{pmatrix}; \\
D^\top &= (0, \beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_x f, 0, 0), \quad E^\top = (-\partial_y f \partial_\theta g, -\partial_y f \partial_y g - e^{\beta\theta} \partial_y f \partial_x g); \\
C^\top &= (0, \beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_y f + \beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_x f, 0, 0, -\beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f, -\beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f, 0, 0, 0). \\
F &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ g \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_y g + e^{2\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_x g \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ g \partial_y f \partial_y g + e^{\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_x g \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2g \partial_y f \partial_\theta g \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2e^{\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_y g - 2e^{2\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_x g \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2g \partial_y f \partial_y g - 2e^{\beta\theta} g \partial_y f \partial_x g \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 3.12 follows from the two lemmas below.

**Lemma 3.17.** *On  $\mathbf{SE}(2)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& [QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2[C^\top + F^\top + G^\top]X \\
&= \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z} f\|^2 - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E.
\end{aligned}$$

*In particular, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z} f\|^2 &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2]; \\
\Lambda_1^\top &= (0, \beta \partial_x f, \frac{\beta \partial_y f}{2}, \beta \partial_x f, 0, 0, \frac{\beta \partial_y f}{2}, 0, -\beta \partial_\theta f); \\
\Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_6, 0, \lambda_9); \\
\lambda_6 &= \frac{\partial_\theta g \partial_y f}{g} - \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g^2} - \frac{\partial_\theta g \partial_y f}{g};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_9 &= \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f}{g} + \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g^2} - \frac{(a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f}{g}; \\
&\quad -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&= \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{g^2}\right) \Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{\beta^2}{2g^2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.18.** *By routine computations, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \beta^2 e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f - \sum_{k=1}^2 (a^\top \nabla)_k z_{13}^\top (a^\top \nabla)_k V \partial_y f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&\quad - g \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - g(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{l', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{ll'}^\top a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f - 2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{l', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{ll'}^\top a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{l'}} |\partial_y f|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^3 (a^\top \nabla)_l \log \pi a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.17] According to Lemma 3.16 and observe the fact that  $G = -2F$  and  $(a^\top \nabla)_2 f = e^{\beta\theta} \partial_x f + \partial_y f$ , we first have

$$\begin{aligned}
2C^\top X &= 2[\beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_y f + \beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_x f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + 2[-\beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + 2[-\beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}; \\
2[F^\top + G^\top] X &= -2 \left( g \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + e^{\beta\theta} g (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + g (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X + 2F^\top X + 2G^\top X \\
&= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]^2 \\
&\quad + \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} \right]^2 + \left[ -g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} - \partial_y f \partial_\theta g \right]^2 + \left[ -ge^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]^2 \\
&\quad + 2[\beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_y f + \beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_x f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + 2[-\beta e^{2\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + 2[-\beta e^{\beta\theta} \partial_\theta f] \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\
&\quad - 2 \left( g \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + e^{\beta\theta} g (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + g (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]^2 \\
&+ \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} \right]^2 + \left[ -g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} - \partial_y f \partial_\theta g \right]^2 + \left[ -g e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]^2 \\
&+ 2\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} \right] - 2\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} - 2g \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} \\
&- 2\beta \partial_\theta f \left[ 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right] + 2\beta \partial_\theta f \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right] \\
&- 2g (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Completing square for the above terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[QX + D]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X + 2F^\top X + 2G^\top X \\
&= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{2\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + 2e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} - \beta \partial_\theta f \right]^2 - \beta^2 |\partial_\theta f|^2 \\
&+ \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right]^2 - \beta^2 |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \\
&+ \left[ g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \partial_\theta g \partial_y f - \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g} - \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g} + \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \right]^2 \\
&+ \left[ g e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + g \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} + (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f + \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]^2 \\
&+ 2 \left[ \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g} + \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \right] \partial_\theta g \partial_y f - 2 \partial_y f (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \times \left[ \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The first order terms generate  $-\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E$  and the sum of square terms generate vectors  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ . We further formulate the above two terms as below

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]^2 + \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right]^2 \\
&= 2 \left[ e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial y} + \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\beta}{2} \partial_y f \right]^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} |\partial_y f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding  $\frac{\beta^2}{2} |\partial_y f|^2$  into the term  $-\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E$  again, we further expand as below,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&= -\beta^2 [|\partial_\theta f|^2 + |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2] - \left[ \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g} + \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \right]^2 \\
&+ 2 \left[ \frac{\beta (a^\top \nabla)_2 f}{g} + \partial_\theta g \partial_y f \right] \partial_\theta g \partial_y f - 2 \partial_y f (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \times \left[ \frac{\beta \partial_\theta f}{g} - (a^\top \nabla)_2 g \partial_y f \right] + \frac{\beta^2}{2} |\partial_y f|^2 \\
&= -\beta^2 \Gamma_1(f, f) - \frac{\beta^2}{g^2} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - |(a^\top \nabla)_2 (\log g)|^2 |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - 2 \frac{\beta}{g} (a^\top \nabla)_2 \log g (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
&- \frac{\beta^2}{g^2} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - |(a^\top \nabla)_1 \log g|^2 |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2 \frac{\beta}{g} (a^\top \nabla)_1 \log g (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\frac{\beta}{g}(a^\top \nabla)_1 \log g (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f + 2|(a^\top \nabla)_1 \log g|^2 |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 \\
& + 2\frac{\beta}{g}(a^\top \nabla)_2 \log g (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f + 2|(a^\top \nabla)_2 \log g|^2 |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2g^2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

By grouping the bilinear terms of  $\nabla f$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
& = \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \beta^2(1 + \frac{1}{g^2}) \Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{\beta^2}{2g^2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

■

We are now left to compute the three tensor terms.

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.18] For **SE**(2), we have  $n = 2$  and  $m = 1$ . Recall from Theorem 1, we denote  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_b(\nabla f, \nabla f)$  where  $\mathfrak{R}_b(\nabla f, \nabla f)$  represents the tensor term involving drift  $b$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) & = \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
& + \sum_{i,k=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_{kf} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \\
& = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{I}_2 & = \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = a_{11}^\top a_{11}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} a_{22}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = \beta^2 e^{\beta \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f; \\
\mathcal{I}_3 & = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{I}_4 & = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the drift term in tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$ , taking  $b = -aa^\top \nabla V$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_b &= \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging into the matrices  $a^\top$  and  $z^\top$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &= \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= \beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_2 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_2 &= \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= \beta e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_3 &= \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial \theta} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2(e^{\beta\theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_4 &= - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= -\beta e^{\beta\theta} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing the above computations, we get the tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$ . Now we turn to the second tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$ , which has the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^1 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^1 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle \left( z_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_i f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \\
&= \mathcal{I}_1^z + \mathcal{I}_2^z + \mathcal{I}_3^z + \mathcal{I}_4^z + \mathfrak{R}_b^z(\nabla f, \nabla f).
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote further that

$$\mathfrak{R}_b^z(\nabla f, \nabla f) = - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left( z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) (z^\top \nabla)_1 f.$$

By taking  $b = -aa^\top \nabla V$ , we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_b^z(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k'k'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = \mathcal{J}_1^z + \mathcal{J}_2^z + \mathcal{J}_3^z + \mathcal{J}_4^z.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, it is not hard to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1^z & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0 \\
\mathcal{I}_2^z & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}=1}^3 a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \\
\mathcal{I}_3^z & = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \langle z_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0 \\
\mathcal{I}_4^z & = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \langle z_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_1 f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k'k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_2^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k'k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_4^z & = - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k'k'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = - \sum_{k=1}^2 (a^\top \nabla)_k z_{13}^\top (a^\top \nabla)_k V \partial_y f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f. \\
\mathcal{J}_3^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{k'k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = \sum_{\hat{i}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = -g \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 - g(e^{\beta \theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y}) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f;
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are left to compute the term  $\mathfrak{R}_\pi$ . Recall that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^3 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \\
&+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^3 \left[ z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right. \\
&\quad + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \\
&\quad \left. + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right]. \\
&+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^1 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^3 (z^\top \nabla \log \pi)_k \left[ z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \\
&- 2 \sum_{j=1}^1 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\nu, \hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^3 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} a_{l\nu}^\top a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \\
&- 2 \sum_{j=1}^1 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\nu, \hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^3 \left[ a_{l\nu}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right. \\
&\quad + a_{l\nu}^\top a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_i} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \\
&\quad \left. + a_{l\nu}^\top a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \\
&- 2 \sum_{j=1}^1 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^3 (a^\top \nabla \log \pi)_l \left[ a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{10} \mathcal{K}_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_1 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_3 = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_4 = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_5 = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_6 = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_7 = 0; \\
\mathcal{K}_8 &= -2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\nu, \hat{l}=1}^3 a_{l\nu}^\top a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial^2 z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_i} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathcal{K}_9 &= -2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\nu, \hat{l}=1}^3 a_{l\nu}^\top a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_\nu} |\partial_y f|^2 = -2\Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2; \\
\mathcal{K}_{10} &= -2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^3 (a^\top \nabla)_l \log \pi a_{li}^\top \frac{\partial z_{13}^\top}{\partial x_i} \partial_y f (z^\top \nabla)_1 f = -2\Gamma_1(\log \pi, \log g) |(z^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$



### 3.2.3 Martinet flat sub-Riemannian structure

In this part, we apply our result to Martinet flat sub-Riemannian structure, which satisfies bracket generating condition and has non-equiregular sub-Riemannian structure (see [9]). The sub-Riemannian structure is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  through the kernel of one-form  $\eta := dz - \frac{1}{2}y^2 dx$ . A global orthonormal basis for the horizontal distribution  $\mathcal{H}$  adapt the following differential operator representation, in local coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ ,

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

The commutative relation gives

$$[X, Y] = -yZ, \quad [Y, [X, Y]] = Z, \quad \text{where } Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

To apply in our framework, we take

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z^\top = (0, 0, 1), \quad aa^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 & \frac{y^4}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the sub-Riemannian structure has the form  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{H}, (aa^\top)^\dagger|_{\mathcal{H}})$ .

**Proposition 3.19.** *In this setting,*

$$\pi = e^{-\frac{y^2}{2} - V},$$

then

$$-aa^\top \nabla \log \pi = a \otimes \nabla a + aa^\top \nabla V.$$

**Proof** The poof follows from the observation that,

$$a \otimes \nabla a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\top, \quad aa^\top \nabla \log e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\top.$$

■

Similar to the previous displacement group case, we have the following identity.

**Proposition 3.20.** *For any smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{M})$ , one has*

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) + \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(f, f) = \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a, z} f\|^2 + \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1^\top &= (0, y\partial_z f/2, 0, y\partial_z f/2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \\
\Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -y\partial_y f, \frac{y^3}{2}\partial_z f + y\partial_x f, 0); \\
\mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&= \frac{y^2}{2}\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - y^2\Gamma_1(f, f) \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_1 f + y(a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_2 f + y \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z}\right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z}\right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}'=1}^3 a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + y^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^4}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z}\right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2.$$

**Proposition 3.21.** *If there exists  $\kappa > 0$  as shown in Theorem 2, the exponential dissipation result in  $L^1$  distance holds:*

$$\int |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx = O(e^{-\kappa t}).$$

Similarly, we summarize the sub-Riemannian Ricci tensor in terms of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as follows.

**Corollary 3.22.** *The matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  associated with Martinet sub-Riemannian structure has the following form*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{11} &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial x} + y^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^4}{4} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z}\right) - y^2; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{22} &= \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} - y^2; \quad \mathfrak{R}_{33} = \frac{y^2}{2}; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{12} &= \mathfrak{R}_{21} = \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z}; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{13} &= \mathfrak{R}_{31} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}; \quad \mathfrak{R}_{23} = \mathfrak{R}_{32} = \frac{1}{2} y (a^\top \nabla)_1 V.
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.23.** *Based on the matrix  $\mathfrak{R}$  derived above, we show a specific examples with potential function  $V = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$  as shown in Figure 2 (top of next page). We have the*

following matrix,

$$\mathfrak{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - y^2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{y^2}{2} & \frac{xy}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} & \frac{xy}{2} & \frac{y^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 2: Illustration of the Ricci curvature for the Martinet sub-Riemannian structure. Here  $V(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$ . We plot the smallest eigenvalue of  $A$  on the region  $[-1, 1]^2$ . From the numerical calculation, we find that the smallest eigenvalue of  $A$  at a given point in  $[-1, 1]^2$  is around 0.1744.

The proof of Proposition 3.20 follows from the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.24.** *For Martinet sub-Riemannian structure  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{H}, (aa^\top)^\dagger_{|\mathcal{H}})$ , we have*

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 & \frac{y^4}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{y^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y^2/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$C^\top = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{y^3}{2} \partial_z f + y \partial_x f, -y \partial_y f, 0, -\frac{y^3}{2} \partial_y f);$$

$$D^\top = (0, 0, y \partial_z f, 0), \quad E^\top = (0, 0);$$

$$F^\top = G^\top = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

The proof follows from the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.25.** *For Martinet sub-Riemannian structure,  $F$  and  $G$  are zero vectors, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & [\overline{QX + D}]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X \\ = & \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z}f\|^2 - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E. \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z}f\|^2 &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2]; \\ \Lambda_1^\top &= (0, y\partial_z f/2, 0, y\partial_z f/2, 0, 0, 0, 0); \\ \Lambda_2^\top &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -y\partial_y f, \frac{y^3}{2}\partial_z f + y\partial_x f, 0); \\ -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E &= \frac{y^2}{2}\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - y^2\Gamma_1(f, f). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.26.** *By routine computations, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_1 f + y(a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_2 f + y \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}(a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\ &+ \sum_{i,k'=1}^3 a_{1i}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z}\right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 - y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\ \mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z}\right) |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\ \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.25] Since  $F$  and  $G$  are zero vectors, we have

$$2C^\top X = 2\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \left(\frac{y^3}{2}\partial_z f + y\partial_x f\right) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} (y\partial_y f) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \left(\frac{y^3}{2}\partial_y f\right)\right].$$

By routine computation, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\overline{QX + D}]^\top [QX + D] + [PX + E]^\top [PX + E] + 2C^\top X \\ = & \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{y^4}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} + y\partial_z f\right]^2 \\ & + \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y}\right]^2 \\ & + \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}\right]^2 \\ & + 2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \left(\frac{y^3}{2}\partial_z f + y\partial_x f\right) - 2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} (y\partial_y f) - 2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \left(\frac{y^3}{2}\partial_y f\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{y^4}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} + y \partial_z f \right]^2 \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \right]^2 \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial z} - y \partial_y f \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} + \left( \frac{y^3}{2} \partial_z f + y \partial_x f \right) \right]^2 \\
&\quad - y^2 |\partial_y f|^2 - \left( \frac{y^3}{2} \partial_z f + y \partial_x f \right)^2 \\
&= |\mathfrak{Hess}_{a,z} f|^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - y^2 \Gamma_1(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus completed. ■

We are now left to compute the three tensor terms.

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 3.26] Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,k=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \\
&= \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{I}_2 &= \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{ii'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= a_{22}^\top a_{22}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial y} a_{13}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f; \\
\mathcal{I}_3 &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{ii'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{I}_4 &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the drift term, we take  $b = -aa^\top \nabla V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_b &= \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f + a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_i f \right] \\
&= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging into the matrices of  $a^\top$  and  $z^\top$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &= \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= a_{22}^\top \frac{\partial a_{13}^\top}{\partial y} (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f = y (a^\top \nabla)_1 V \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_2 &= \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{1\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2k'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{2\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&= y \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_3 &= \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{i}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{\hat{i},k'=1}^3 a_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^\top \nabla)_1 f|^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right) (a^\top \nabla)_1 f (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial y} |(a^\top \nabla)_2 f|^2;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_4 = - \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k},k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{2\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_2 f \right] \\
& = -y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing the above computations, we get the tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$ . Now we turn to the second tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$ . Since  $z^\top = (0, 0, 1)$ , it is obvious to see that only the drift term of the tensor  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$  remains, where we denote

$$\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left( z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) (z^\top \nabla)_1 f.$$

By taking  $b = -aa^\top \nabla V$ , we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) & = - \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla f)_i - b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla f)_1 \right] \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& \quad - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = \mathcal{J}_1^z + \mathcal{J}_2^z + \mathcal{J}_3^z + \mathcal{J}_4^z.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, it is not hard to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_2^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0; \\
\mathcal{J}_4^z & = - \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{1\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero term has the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_3^z & = \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
& = \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{1\hat{k}}^\top a_{1k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f + z_{1\hat{i}}^\top a_{2\hat{k}}^\top a_{2k'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla)_1 f \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\hat{i}, k'=1}^3 \left[ z_{1\hat{i}}^T a_{1k'}^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} |(a^T \nabla)_1 f|^2 + z_{1\hat{i}}^T a_{2k'}^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{k'}} (a^T \nabla)_2 f (a^T \nabla)_1 f \right] \\
&= \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial z} \right) |(a^T \nabla)_1 f|^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} (a^T \nabla)_2 f (a^T \nabla)_1 f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since matrix  $z^T$  is a constant matrix and matrix  $a^T$  contains only variable  $y$ , it is easy to observe that

$$\Re_{\pi}(\nabla f, \nabla f) = 0.$$

■

## 4 Lyapunov analysis in Sub-Riemannian Density manifold

In this section, we illustrate the motivation in this paper. This is to design a matrix condition, whose smallest eigenvalue characterizes the convergence rate of degenerate SDE.

The outline of this section is given below. Consider a density space over the sub-Riemannian manifold. The finite dimensional sub-Riemannian structure introduces the density space the *infinite dimensional sub-Riemannian structure*. We name it the *sub-Riemannian density manifold* (SDM). We provide the geometric calculations in SDM. We study the Fokker-Planck equation as the sub-Riemannian gradient flow in SDM. We derive the equivalence relation between second order calculus of relative entropy in SDM and generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus.

### 4.1 Sub-Riemannian Density manifold

Given a finite dimensional sub-Riemannian manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \tau, g_{\tau})$  with  $g_{\tau} = (aa^T)^{\dagger}$ , consider the probability density space

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) = \left\{ \rho(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) : \int \rho(x) dx = 1, \quad \rho(x) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Consider the tangent space at  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ :

$$T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) = \left\{ \sigma(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) : \int \sigma(x) dx = 0 \right\}.$$

We introduce the sub-Riemannian structure in probability density space  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ .



**Definition 4.1** (sub-Riemannian Wasserstein metric tensor). *The  $L^2$  sub-Riemannian-Wasserstein metric  $g_\rho^{W_a}: T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \times T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by*

$$g_\rho^{W_a}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \int \left( \sigma_1(x), (-\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger \sigma_2(x) \right) dx.$$

Here  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and  $(\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger: T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  is the pseudo-inverse of the sub-elliptic operator

$$\Delta_\rho^a = \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla).$$

For some special choices of  $a$  as studied in [39] or  $aa^\top$  forming a positive definite matrix, then  $\Delta_\rho^a$  is an elliptic operator. In this case,  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}), g^{W_a})$  still forms a Riemannian density manifold. In general, given a sub-Riemannian manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, (aa^\top)^\dagger)$ ,  $\Delta_\rho^a$  is only a sub-elliptic operator. Thus  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}), g^{W_a})$  forms an infinite-dimensional sub-Riemannian manifold.

We next present the sub-Riemannian calculus in  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}), g^{W_a})$ , including both geodesics and Hessian operator in tangent bundle. Consider an identification map:

$$V: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow T_\rho\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}), \quad V_\Phi = -\Delta_\rho^a \Phi = -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi).$$

Here  $\Phi \in T_\pi\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) / \sim$ . This  $T_\pi\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  is the cotangent space in SDM and  $\sim$  represents a constant shift relation. Thus

$$g_\rho^{W_a}(V_{\Phi_1}, V_{\Phi_2}) = \int \Gamma_1(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \rho(x) dx.$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\rho^{W_a}(V_{\Phi_1}, V_{\Phi_2}) &= \int V_{\Phi_1} (-\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger V_{\Phi_2} dx \\ &= \int \Phi_1 (-\Delta_\rho^a) (-\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger (-\Delta_\rho^a) \Phi_2 dx \\ &= \int (\Phi_1, -\Delta_\rho^a \Phi_2) dx \\ &= \int \Phi_1 (-\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi_2)) dx \\ &= \int (a^\top \nabla \Phi_1, a^\top \nabla \Phi_2) \rho dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where the second equality holds by  $(-\Delta_\rho^a)(-\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger(-\Delta_\rho^a) = -\Delta_\rho^a$  and the last equality holds by the integration by parts.

We next derive several basic geometric calculations in SDM.

**Proposition 4.2** (Geodesics in SDM). *The sub-Riemannian geodesics in cotangent bundle forms*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho_t a a^\top \nabla \Phi_t) = 0 \\ \partial_t \Phi_t + \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \Phi_t, a^\top \nabla \Phi_t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

**Proof** We consider the Lagrangian formulation of geodesics in density. Here the minimization of the geometric action functional forms

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_t, \partial_t \rho_t) = \int_0^1 \int \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t \rho_t, (-\Delta_{\rho_t}^a)^\dagger \partial_t \rho_t) dx dt,$$

where  $\rho_t = \rho(t, x)$  is a density path with fixed boundary points  $\rho_0, \rho_1$ . Then the Euler-Lagrange equation in density space forms

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta_{\partial_t \rho_t} \mathcal{L}(\rho_t, \partial_t \rho_t) = \delta_{\rho_t} \mathcal{L}(\rho_t, \partial_t \rho_t),$$

where  $\delta_{\partial_t \rho_t}$  is the  $L^2$  first variation w.r.t.  $\partial_t \rho_t$  and  $\delta_{\rho_t}$  is the  $L^2$  first variation w.r.t.  $\rho_t$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left( (-\Delta_{\rho_t}^a)^\dagger \partial_t \rho_t \right) &= \delta_\rho \int \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_t \rho, (-\Delta_{\rho_t}^a)^\dagger \partial_t \rho_t \right) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( a^\top \nabla (-\Delta_{\rho_t}^a)^\dagger \partial_t \rho_t, a^\top \nabla (-\Delta_{\rho_t}^a)^\dagger \partial_t \rho_t \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where the last equality uses the following fact

$$\partial_t \left( \Delta_{\rho_t}^a \right)^\dagger = - \left( \Delta_{\rho_t}^a \right)^\dagger \cdot \Delta_{\partial_t \rho_t}^a \cdot \left( \Delta_{\rho_t}^a \right)^\dagger,$$

Denote  $\partial_t \rho_t = -\Delta_{\rho_t}^a \Phi_t$ , then the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.26) forms the sub-Riemannian geodesics flow (4.25). In other words,

$$\partial_t \Phi + \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \Phi, a^\top \nabla \Phi) = 0.$$

**Proposition 4.3** (Gradient and Hessian operators in SDM). *Given a functional  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the gradient operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $(\mathcal{P}, g^{W_a})$  satisfies*

$$\text{grad}_{W_a} \mathcal{F}(\rho) = -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho)).$$

*And the Hessian operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $(\mathcal{P}, g^{W_a})$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hess}_{W_a} \mathcal{F}(V_\Phi, V_\Phi) \\ &= \int \int (a(y)^\top \nabla_y) (a(x)^\top \nabla_x) \delta^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)(x, y) \left( a(x)^\top \nabla_x \Phi(x), a(y)^\top \nabla_y \Phi(y) \right) \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \\ & \quad + \int \text{Hess}_a \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho)(\Phi, \Phi) \rho dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Hess}_a \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho)(\Phi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2\Gamma_1(\Gamma_1(\delta \mathcal{F}, \Phi), \Phi) - \Gamma_1(\Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi), \delta \mathcal{F}) \right\}.$$

**Proof** We first derive the sub-Riemannian gradient operator. We recall the identification map by  $-\Delta_\rho^a \Phi = -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi)$ . Hence the gradient operator in SDM satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad}_{W_a} \mathcal{F}(\rho) &= \left( (-\Delta_\rho^a)^\dagger \right)^\dagger \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(x)} \mathcal{F}(\rho) \\ &= -\Delta_\rho^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(x)} \mathcal{F}(\rho) \\ &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(x)} \mathcal{F}(\rho)). \end{aligned}$$

And the Hessian operator in SDM satisfies

$$\text{Hess}_{W_a} \mathcal{F}(\rho)(V_\Phi, V_\Phi) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{F}(\rho_t)|_{t=0},$$

where  $(\rho_t, \Phi_t)$  satisfies the geodesics equation (4.25) with  $\rho_0 = \rho$ ,  $\Phi_0 = \Phi$ . Notice the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(\rho_t)|_{t=0} &= \int \partial_t \rho_t \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho) dx|_{t=0} \\ &= \int (-\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi)) \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho) dx \\ &= \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho), a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{F}(\rho_t)|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho_t), a^\top \nabla \Phi_t) \rho_t dx|_{t=0} \\ &= \int \int \delta^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)(x, y) \partial_t \rho_t(x) \partial_t \rho_t(y) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho_t), a^\top \nabla \partial_t \Phi_t) \rho_t dx \\ &\quad + \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho_t), a^\top \nabla \partial_t \Phi_t) \partial_t \rho_t dx|_{t=0} \\ &= \int \int \delta^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)(x, y) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi)(x) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi)(y) dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho), a^\top \nabla \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi)) \rho dx \\ &\quad + \int \Gamma_1(\Phi, \delta \mathcal{F}(\rho)) \left( -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \right) dx \\ &= \int \int (a(y)^\top \nabla_y) (a(x)^\top \nabla_x) \delta^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)(x, y) \left( a(x)^\top \nabla_x \Phi(x), a(y)^\top \nabla_y \Phi(y) \right) \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ 2\Gamma_1(\Gamma_1(\delta \mathcal{F}, \Phi), \Phi) - \Gamma_1(\Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi), \delta \mathcal{F}) \right\} \rho dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where the last equality holds by the integration by parts formula.

We next show the equivalence relation between Hessian of relative entropy in SDM and classical Gamma two operator. We first demonstrate the relation among  $L^*$ ,  $\Delta_a$  and gradient operator of entropy. In particular, we show that the Fokker-Planck equation is a sub-Riemannian gradient flow in SDM. Denote the KL divergence as

$$D(\rho) = D_{\text{KL}}(\rho\|\pi) = \int \rho(x) \log \frac{\rho(x)}{\pi(x)} dx. \quad (4.28)$$

**Proposition 4.4** (Gradient flow). *The negative gradient operator in  $(\mathcal{P}, g^{W_a})$  forms*

$$-\text{grad}_{W_a} D(\rho) = L^* \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}).$$

*In addition, the sub-Riemannian gradient flow of  $D(\rho)$  in  $(\mathcal{P}, g^{W_a})$  forms the Fokker-Planck equation*

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}). \quad (4.29)$$

**Proof** We first derive the sub-Riemannian gradient operator of entropy and relative entropy. Notice

$$\delta_{\rho(x)} D(\rho) = \log \rho(x) + 1 - \log \pi(x).$$

Thus

$$\text{grad}_{W_a} D(\rho) = -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \log \rho) + \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \log \pi),$$

where  $\rho \nabla \log \rho = \rho \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} = \nabla \rho$ . Following the gradient flow formulation

$$\frac{\partial \rho_t}{\partial t} = -\text{grad}_{W_a} D(\rho_t) = L^* \rho_t,$$

we finish the derivation of (4.29). ■

We next demonstrate that the Hessian of relative entropy (KL divergence) is equivalent to the classical Bakry-Émery calculus.

**Proposition 4.5** (Hessian of Entropy and Bakry-Émery calculus). *Given  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ , then*

$$\text{Hess}_{W_a} D(\rho)(V_\Phi, V_\Phi) = \int \Gamma_2(\Phi, \Phi) \rho(x) dx.$$

**Proof** We first derive the Hessian of  $D(\rho)$  in SDM. Notice the fact  $\delta^2 D(\rho)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\rho} \delta_{x=y}$ . For simplicity, we denote  $\delta^2 D(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)}$ . By using (4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_{W_a} D(\rho)(V_\Phi, V_\Phi) &= \int \delta^2 D(\rho)(x) \left( \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \right)^2 dx & (a) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int (a^\top \nabla \delta D(\rho), a^\top \nabla \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi)) \rho dx & (b) \\ &\quad + \int \Gamma_1(\Phi, \delta D(\rho)) \left( -\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \right) dx. & (c) \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

We next rewrite (4.30) into iterative Gamma calculus. We first show

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) + (c) &= \int \left( \delta^2 \mathbf{D}(\rho) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) - \Gamma_1(\Phi, \delta \mathbf{D}(\rho)) \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) - (a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla \Phi) \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int \left( \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \rho, a a^\top \nabla \Phi) + \nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla \Phi) - (a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla \Phi) \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int \left( (\nabla \log \rho, a a^\top \nabla \Phi) + \nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\nabla \log \rho, a a^\top \nabla \Phi) + (a a^\top \nabla \log \pi, \nabla \Phi) \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int \left( (\nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla \Phi) + (a a^\top \nabla \log \pi, \nabla \Phi)) \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int L \Phi \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \Phi) dx \\
&= - \int \Gamma_1(L \Phi, \Phi) \rho dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality uses the fact that  $\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} = \nabla \log \rho$ , while the last equality follows the integration by parts.

We second show

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) &= - \frac{1}{2} \int (a^\top \nabla \delta \mathbf{D}(\rho), a^\top \nabla \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi)) \rho dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta \mathbf{D}(\rho)) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi) L^* \rho dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int L \Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi) \rho dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality applies the fact that  $L^* \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta \mathbf{D})$ , while the last inequality uses the dual relation between Kolomogrov operators  $L$  and  $L^*$  in  $L^2(\rho)$ , i.e.

$$\int f(x) L^* \rho(t, x) dx = \int L f(x) \rho(t, x) dx, \quad \text{for any } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}).$$

Combining the equality of (a), (b), (c), we prove the result.

**Remark 4.6.** *We remark that the above formulations in term of  $aa^\top$  hold for both Riemannian and sub-Riemannian density manifolds. Here the major difference is that whether matrix function  $a$  is full rank or degenerate. In this sense, all formulas derived in this subsection recover the classical Bakry-Emery calculus. However, the classical Hessian operator of entropy is not enough to study the convergence behavior of degenerate diffusion processes. Shortly, we use a modified Lyapunov functional and derive a tensor for the gradient flow in SDM. It provides the convergence rate of the degenerate diffusion process.*

## 4.2 Gamma z calculus via second order calculus of relative entropy in SDM

In this subsection, we introduce the motivation of our new Gamma z calculus from SDM viewpoint. Consider the SDM gradient flow (4.29)

$$\partial_t \rho_t = \Delta_{\rho_t}^a \delta D(\rho_t).$$

When  $a$  is degenerate matrix, the classical relative Fisher information  $I_a$  may not be the Lyapunov functional. In other words, along the gradient flow, it is possible that  $\frac{d}{dt} I_a(\rho_t) \geq 0$ .

To handle this issue, a new Lyapunov function is considered. It is to add a new direction  $z$  into the relative Fisher information functional. Denote  $\Delta_\rho^z = \nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \nabla)$  and  $I_z(\rho) = \int (\delta D, (-\Delta_\rho^z) \delta D) dx$ . Construct

$$I_{a,z}(\rho) := I_a(\rho) + I_z(\rho) = \int (\delta D, (-\Delta_\rho^a - \Delta_\rho^z) \delta D) dx.$$

We next prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.7.**

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_{a,z}(\rho_t) = -2 \int (\Gamma_2(\delta D, \delta D) + \tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\delta D, \delta D)) \rho_t dx,$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\Phi, \Phi) := \frac{1}{2} L(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi)) - \Gamma_1(L_z \Phi, \Phi), \quad (4.31)$$

with the notation  $\Delta_z = \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla)$  and  $L_z = \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla) + (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla)$ .

**Proof** For simplicity of notation, we denote  $\rho = \rho_t$ . Notice the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_{a,z}(\rho) = \frac{d}{dt} I_a(\rho) + \frac{d}{dt} I_z(\rho).$$

From Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} I_a(\rho) &= -2 \text{Hess}_{g_a} D(V_{\delta D}, V_{\delta D}) \\ &= -2 \int \Gamma_2(\delta D, \delta D) \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

We only need to show the following claim.

**Claim:**

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_z(\rho) = -2 \int \tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\delta D, \delta D) \rho dx.$$

**Proof** [Proof of Claim] The proof is similar to the ones in Proposition 4.5. We need to take care of  $z$  direction. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}I_z(\rho) &= 2 \int \delta^2 D \left( (-\Delta_\rho^z \delta D), \partial_t \rho \right) dx + \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \partial_t \rho dx \\ &= 2 \int \delta^2 D \left( (-\Delta_\rho^z \delta D), \Delta_\rho^a \delta D \right) dx + \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) (\Delta_\rho^a \delta D) dx \\ &= -2 \int \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) \nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \nabla \delta D) dx \quad (I) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) dx \quad (II)$$

We next estimate (I), (II) separately. For (I), we notice the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \nabla \delta D) &= (\nabla \log \rho, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \\ &= (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \\ &= (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= -2 \int \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) \nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \nabla \delta D) dx \\ &= -2 \int \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) \left( (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \right) dx \\ &= -2 \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) L^* \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) \left( (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \right) dx \\ &= -2 \int L(\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \rho dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) + \nabla \cdot (z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \right), a a^\top \nabla \delta D \right\} \rho dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by integration by parts.

For (II), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (II) &= \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \nabla \delta D) dx \\ &= \int (\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) L^* \rho dx \\ &= \int L(\nabla \delta D, z z^\top \nabla \delta D) \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (I) and (II), we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\Phi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} L(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi)) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_z \Phi, \Phi) - \Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(\log \pi, \Phi), \Phi).$$

Using the notation  $L_z = \Delta_z + (\nabla \log \pi, z z^\top \nabla)$ , we finish the proof.

We next prove that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2^z$  and  $\Gamma_2^{z, \pi}$  in Definition 2.1 agrees each other in the weak form along the gradient flow.

**Proposition 4.8.** Denote  $\Phi = \delta\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ , then

$$\int \tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\Phi, \Phi)\rho dx = \int \Gamma_2^{z,\pi}(\Phi, \Phi)\rho dx.$$

**Proof** To prove the proposition, we rewrite  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2^z$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}_2^z(\Phi, \Phi) &= \frac{1}{2}L(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi)) - \Gamma_1(L_z\Phi, \Phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}L(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi)) - \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi) \\ &\quad + \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi) - \Gamma_1(L_z\Phi, \Phi).\end{aligned}$$

Here we need to prove the following equality.

**Claim:**

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \left\{ \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi) - \Gamma_1(L_z\Phi, \Phi) \right\} \rho dx \\ &= \int \rho \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \nabla \cdot \left( zz^\top \pi (\nabla \Phi, \nabla(aa^\top \nabla \Phi)) \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \nabla \cdot \left( aa^\top \pi (\nabla \Phi, \nabla(zz^\top \nabla \Phi)) \right) \right\} dx.\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** [Proof of Claim] For simplicity of notation, let

$$L^*\rho = \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) = \nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi})$$

and

$$L_z^*\rho = \nabla \cdot (zz^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) = \nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}).$$

The following property is also used in the proof. For any smooth test function  $f$  and  $\Phi = \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}$ , then

$$\int L_z^*\rho f dx = - \int \Gamma_1^z(f, \Phi)\rho dx, \quad \int L^*\rho f dx = - \int \Gamma_1(f, \Phi)\rho dx.$$

Notice  $\Phi = \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi)\rho dx \\ &= \int (\nabla(\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \Phi)) - (A, \nabla \Phi), zz^\top \nabla \Phi)\rho dx \\ &= \int (\nabla(\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \Phi)), zz^\top \nabla \Phi)\rho dx - \int (\nabla(A, \nabla \Phi), zz^\top \nabla \Phi)\rho dx.\end{aligned}\tag{a1} \tag{a2}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}(a1) &= \int (\nabla(\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \Phi)), zz^\top \nabla \Phi)\rho dx \\ &= - \int \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \Phi) \nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \nabla \Phi) dx \\ &= - \int \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) dx \\ &= - \int \nabla \cdot (\frac{1}{\rho} aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) dx\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int \left\{ (\nabla \frac{1}{\rho}, aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \right\} \nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) dx \\
&= - \int (\nabla \frac{1}{\rho}, aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) L_z^* \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= \int \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\nabla \rho, aa^\top \pi \nabla \frac{\rho}{\pi}) L_z^* \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= \int (\nabla \log \rho, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) L_z^* \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= \int (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) L_z^* \rho dx \\
&\quad + \int (\nabla \log \pi, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) L_z^* \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= - \int \left( \nabla (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}), z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \rho dx \\
&\quad - \int \Gamma_1^z((\nabla \log \pi, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}), \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= - \int \left( (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla (aa^\top) \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}), z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \pi dx \\
&\quad - \int 2 \nabla^2 \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \left( aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \rho dx \\
&\quad - \int \Gamma_1^z((\nabla \log \pi, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}), \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx \\
&= \int \nabla \cdot \left( z z^\top \pi \left( (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla (aa^\top) \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \rho dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int 2 \nabla^2 \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \left( aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \rho dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int \Gamma_1^z((\nabla \log \pi, aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}), \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \rho dx - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
(a2) &= - \int (\nabla(A, \nabla \Phi), z z^\top \nabla \Phi) \rho dx \\
&= \int \left( \nabla (\nabla \log \pi, aa^\top \nabla \Phi), z z^\top \nabla \Phi \right) \rho dx \\
&= \int \Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi), \Phi) \rho dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi) \rho dx &= (a1) + (a2) \\
&= \int \nabla \cdot \left( z z^\top \pi \left( (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla (aa^\top) \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \rho dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int 2 \nabla^2 \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \left( aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \rho dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by switching  $a$  and  $z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Gamma_1(L_z \Phi, \Phi) \rho dx &= \int \nabla \cdot \left( a a^\top \pi \left( (\nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, \nabla(z z^\top) \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}) \right) \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \rho dx \\ &\quad - \int 2 \nabla^2 \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \left( a a^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}, z z^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} \right) \rho dx \\ &\quad - \int \frac{1}{\rho} L^* \rho L_z^* \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above derivation, we finish the proof.

**Remark 4.9.** *From the proof, we can show the following identity: Denote  $\Phi = \delta D$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \left( \Gamma_1^z(L\Phi, \Phi) - \Gamma_1(L_z \Phi, \Phi) \right) \rho dx \\ &= \int \left( \Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi), \Phi) - \Gamma_1^z(\Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi), \Phi) \right) \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

*So it is clear that if the commutative assumption  $\Gamma_1(\Gamma_1^z(\Phi, \Phi), \Phi) = \Gamma_1^z(\Gamma_1(\Phi, \Phi), \Phi)$  holds, the above quantity equals zero. In this case,*

$$\int \Gamma_2^{z, \pi}(\Phi, \Phi) \rho dx = \int \Gamma_2^z(\Phi, \Phi) \rho dx.$$

*This means that under the commutative assumption, the generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus agrees with the classical one [17] in the weak sense.*

With the generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus, we are ready to prove the convergence properties and functional inequalities for degenerate drift diffusion processes.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Suppose  $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2^{z, \pi} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z)$  with  $\kappa > 0$ . Denote  $\rho_t$  as the solution of sub-Riemannian gradient flow (4.29), then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( I_a(\rho_t) + I_z(\rho_t) \right) \leq -2\kappa \left( I_a(\rho_t) + I_z(\rho_t) \right).$$

*In addition, the  $z$ -log-Sobolev inequalities holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \rho \log \frac{\rho}{\pi} dx \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa} \mathbf{I}_{a,z}(\rho),$$

*for any smooth density function  $\rho$ .*

*Finally,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx \leq \sqrt{2D(\rho_0)} e^{-\kappa t},$$

**Proof** Here the proof is very similar to the one in previous section. Again, consider the sub-Riemannian gradient flow in SDM.

$$\partial_t \rho_t = -\text{grad}_{W_a} D(\rho_t).$$

We know that the log-Sobolev inequality relates to the ratio of  $\frac{d}{dt}D(\rho_t)$  and  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}D(\rho_t)$ .

If we can not estimate a ratio  $\kappa > 0$ , such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}I_a(\rho_t) \leq -2\kappa I_a(\rho_t),$$

We construct the other Lyapunov function

$$I_{a,z}(\rho) = I_a(\rho) + I_z(\rho).$$

Thus along the SDM gradient flow (4.29), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}I_{a,z}(\rho_t) = -2 \int \left( \Gamma_2(\delta D, \delta D) + \Gamma_2^{z,\pi}(\delta D, \delta D) \right) \rho_t dx.$$

If  $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2^{z,\pi} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z)$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt}I_{a,z}(\rho_t) \leq -2\kappa I_{a,z}(\rho_t).$$

The convergence result follows directly from the Gronwall's equality.

We next prove the  $z$ -log-Sobolev inequality. Since

$$-\frac{d}{dt}D(\rho_t) = I_a(\rho_t) \leq I_{a,z}(\rho_t),$$

then (4.2) implies the fact that, denote  $\rho_0 = \rho$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} -I_{a,z}(\rho) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt}I_{a,z}(\rho_t) dt \\ &\leq -2\kappa \int_0^\infty I_{a,z}(\rho_t) dt = -2\kappa \int_0^\infty \left( I_a(\rho_t) + I_z(\rho_t) \right) dt \\ &\leq -2\kappa \int_0^\infty I_a(\rho_t) dt \\ &= -2\kappa \int_0^\infty \left( -\frac{d}{dt}D(\rho_t) \right) dt \\ &= -2\kappa D(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I_{a,z}(\rho) \geq 2\kappa D(\rho)$ . Hence we prove all results by the fact that  $\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z)$

implies  $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2^{z,\pi} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z)$ . In a word, the generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus implies

the  $z$ -log-Sobolev equality (zLSI):

$$\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}I_{a,z}(\rho_t) \leq -2\kappa I_{a,z}(\rho_t) \Rightarrow \text{zLSI}.$$

We last prove the exponential convergence in  $L^1$  distance. Notice that

$$D_{\text{KL}}(\rho_t \|\pi) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{a,z}(\rho_t \|\pi) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{I}_{a,z}(\rho_0 \|\pi).$$

We apply an inequality between KL divergence and  $L_1$  distance. In other words,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\rho(t, x) - \pi(x)| dx \leq \sqrt{2D_{\text{KL}}(\rho \|\pi)}.$$

This finishes the proof.

**Remark 4.11.** *It is worth mentioning with our derivation of Gamma  $z$  calculus is not a direct Hessian operator of entropy in SDM. In fact, it combines both the second order calculus in SDM and the property of  $L^2$  Hessian operator of entropy. See similar relations in mean-field Bakry-Émery calculus [42].*

## 5 Generalized Gamma $z$ calculus

In this section, we introduce the generalized Gamma  $z$  calculus. For any smooth functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the diffusion operator associated with SDE (2.2) is denoted as

$$Lf = \Delta_a f - A\nabla f + b\nabla f,$$

where we denote  $A = a \otimes \nabla a$  and

$$\Delta_a f = \nabla \cdot (aa^T \nabla f).$$

When  $b = 0$ , we denote the diffusion operator as

$$\tilde{L}f = \Delta_a f - a \otimes \nabla a \nabla f.$$

We first define the Carré de Champ operator  $\Gamma_1$  associated with the above second order diffusion operators. It is easy to check that  $\Delta_a, \tilde{L}, L$  share the same  $\Gamma_1$ ,

$$\Gamma_1(f, g) = \langle a^T \nabla f, a^T \nabla g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \quad (5.32)$$

Similarly, we introduce the  $\Gamma_1^z$  operator in the direction of  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$  below,

$$\Gamma_1^z = \langle z^T \nabla f, z^T \nabla g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \quad (5.33)$$

Next, we define the iterative  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2^z$  for operator  $L$  ( $\tilde{L}$  resp.) below,

$$\Gamma_{2,L}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2}L\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(Lf, f). \quad (5.34)$$

$$\Gamma_{2,L}^z(f, f) = \frac{1}{2}L\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(Lf, f). \quad (5.35)$$

**Definition 5.1.** We define generalized Gamma  $z$  for operator  $L$  below,

$$\Gamma_{2,L}^{z,\pi}(f, f) = \Gamma_{2,L}^z(f, f) + \mathbf{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) - \mathbf{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}f, f), \quad (5.36)$$

For matrix  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n+m)}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+m)}$ , we denote the divergence operator as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) &= \frac{\nabla \cdot (zz^\top \pi \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))}{\pi}, \\ \mathbf{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}f, f) &= \frac{\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \pi \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(f, f))}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(aa^\top) \nabla f \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(f, f) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(zz^\top) \nabla f \rangle.$$

Here we denote  $\pi$  as the invariant distribution associated with the operator  $L$ .

**Remark 5.2.** In particular, we have the following local coordinates representation.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla f, \nabla(aa^\top) \nabla f \rangle &= \langle \nabla f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (aa^\top) \nabla f \rangle_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} = 2 \langle a^\top \nabla f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \\ &= \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right)_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m}, \\ \langle \nabla f, \nabla(zz^\top) \nabla f \rangle &= \left( 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right)_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

We first present the following key lemmas.

**Lemma 5.3.**

$$\mathbf{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) - \mathbf{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}f, f) = \mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X,$$

where  $X, G$  are defined in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}^\pi$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Lemma 5.4.**

$$\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) = X^\top Q^\top QX + 2D^\top QX + 2C^\top X + D^\top D + \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where  $Q, X, C, D$  are introduced in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Lemma 5.5.**

$$\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) = X^\top P^\top PX + 2E^\top PX + 2F^\top X + E^\top E + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

where  $P, X, F, E$  are introduced in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}_z$  is defined in Definition 1.

We then have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.** [*z-Bochner's formula*] For smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , assume that Assumption 2.3 holds, then

$$\Gamma_{2,L}(f, f) + \Gamma_{2,L}^{z,\pi}(f, f) = \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z}f\|^2 + \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{H}\text{ess}_{a,z}f\|^2 &= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q[X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P[X + \Lambda_2] \\ \mathfrak{R}(\nabla f, \nabla f) &= -\Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P\Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{R}_{ab}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_{zb}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f). \end{aligned}$$

All the terms are defined in Notation 2.2 and Definition 1.

**Proof** By Definition 5.1 and formula (5.34), (5.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_{2,L}(f, f) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{2,L}^{z,\pi}(f, f) \\ &= \Gamma_{2,L}(f, f) + \Gamma_{2,L}^z(f, f) + \mathbf{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) - \mathbf{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}f, f). \end{aligned}$$

We compute the above terms explicitly in the following four steps.

**Step 1:**

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2,L}(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2}(L\Gamma_{1,L}(f, f) - 2\Gamma_{1,L}(Lf, f)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta_a\Gamma_1(f, f) - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\Gamma_1(f, f) + \frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1(f, f) \\ &\quad - \Gamma_1((\Delta_a - A\nabla + b\nabla)f, f) \\ &= \Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}(f, f) + [\frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(b\nabla f, f)]. \end{aligned}$$

The term  $\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}(f, f)$  follows from Lemma 5.4. We are left for the other two terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (\langle a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + b_{\hat{k}} a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}} \partial x_i}) (a^\top \nabla f)_i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Gamma_1(b\nabla f, f) &= -\langle a^\top \nabla(b\nabla f), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} (a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} + a_{i\hat{i}}^\top b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (a^\top \nabla f)_i. \end{aligned}$$

**Step 2:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{2,L}^z(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2}(L\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - 2\Gamma_1^z(Lf, f)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Delta_a\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\Gamma_1^z(f, f) + \frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1^z(f, f) \\
&\quad - \Gamma_1^z((\Delta_a - A\nabla + b\nabla)f, f) \\
&= \Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) + \left[\frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(b\nabla f, f)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The term  $\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f)$  follows from Lemma 5.5. We are left to compute the last two terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}b\nabla\Gamma_1^z(f, f) &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (\langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \\
&= \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^m (b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial z_{\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} + b_{\hat{k}} z_{\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}} \partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (z^\top \nabla f)_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Gamma_1^z(b\nabla f, f) &= -\langle z^\top \nabla(b\nabla f), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} (z_{\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial b_{\hat{k}}}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} + z_{\hat{i}}^\top b_{\hat{k}} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}) (z^\top \nabla f)_i.
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 3:** Following Lemma 5.3, which will be proved shortly next section, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)} f, f) - \operatorname{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)} f, f) = \mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X,$$

where  $X, G$  are defined in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}^\pi$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Step 4:** Combining the above terms  $\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}(f, f)$  in Lemma 5.4,  $\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f)$  in Lemma 5.5, and  $\mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}(f, f) + \Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) + \mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X \\
&= X^\top P^\top P X + 2E^\top P X + 2F^\top X + E^\top E \\
&\quad + X^\top Q^\top Q X + 2D^\top Q X + 2C^\top X + D^\top D + 2G^\top X \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\
&= X^\top [P^\top P + Q^\top Q] X + 2[G^\top + F^\top + C^\top] X + 2[E^\top P + D^\top Q] X + D^\top D + E^\top E \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f).
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that Assumption 2.3 is satisfied, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}(f, f) + \Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) + \mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X \\
&= [X + \Lambda_1]^\top Q^\top Q [X + \Lambda_1] + [X + \Lambda_2]^\top P^\top P [X + \Lambda_2] \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathfrak{R}^\pi(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Lambda_1^\top Q^\top Q \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2^\top P^\top P \Lambda_2 + D^\top D + E^\top E.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the drift terms from **Step 1** and **Step 2**, we get  $\mathfrak{R}_{ab}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{zb}$ , which finishes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## 5.1 Proof of Lemma 5.3

**Lemma 5.7.**

$$\operatorname{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) - \operatorname{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}f, f) = \mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f) + 2G^\top X, \quad (5.38)$$

where  $X, G$  are defined in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}^\pi$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Proof** For the first term in the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) \\ = & \frac{\nabla \cdot (zz^\top \pi \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))}{\pi} \\ = & \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m z_{k'k} \left( \pi \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right) \right] \\ = & \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{k'k} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right) + z_{k'k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \log \pi \left[ z_{k'k} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right] \\ = & \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{kk'}^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right) + z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m (z^\top \nabla \log \pi)_k \left[ \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{k}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(f, f)_{\hat{k}}$  is defined in (5.37). Plugging in (5.37), we further get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}f, f) \\ = & \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{kk'}^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}'\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}'\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m (z^\top \nabla \log \pi)_k \left[ \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}'\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_1^z \\
&+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left( z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_2^z \\
&+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} (z^\top \nabla \log \pi)_k \left[ z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_3^z \\
&= \mathcal{S}_1^z + \mathcal{S}_2^z + \mathcal{S}_3^z. \tag{5.39}
\end{aligned}$$

By further expanding  $\mathcal{S}_2^z$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_2^z &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} \left( z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right. \\
&\quad + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{i'}} \\
&\quad \left. + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i'}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{div}_a^\pi (\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)} f, f) \\
&= \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{l=1}^n \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{ll'}^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{l}} \right) + a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} \left( \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top (\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{l}} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla \log \pi)_l \left[ \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} (a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(f, f))_{\hat{l}} \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{l', \hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{ll'}^\top a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_1^a \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{l', \hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} \left( a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right) \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_2^a \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} (a^\top \nabla \log \pi)_l \left[ a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right] \cdots \mathcal{S}_3^a \\
&= \mathcal{S}_1^a + \mathcal{S}_2^a + \mathcal{S}_3^a, \tag{5.40}
\end{aligned}$$

where we also get

$$\mathcal{S}_2^a = 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{l', \hat{l}, \hat{j}, j'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} \left( a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{j'j'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j'}} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{l', \hat{l}, \hat{j}, \hat{j}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} \right. \\
&\quad + a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{j}'}} \\
&\quad \left. + a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the terms above, we have

$$\mathbf{div}_z^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)} f, f) - \mathbf{div}_a^\pi(\Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)} f, f) = \mathcal{S}_1^z + \mathcal{S}_2^z + \mathcal{S}_3^z - (\mathcal{S}_1^a + \mathcal{S}_2^a + \mathcal{S}_3^a).$$

By direct computations, we separate the above terms into two groups based on “ $\partial f \partial f$ ” and “ $\partial^2 f \partial f$ ”. We denote  $\mathfrak{R}^\pi(f, f)$  as the sum of all “ $\partial f \partial f$ ” terms and denote  $2G^\top X$  as the sum of all “ $\partial^2 f \partial f$ ” terms. Switching indices for the terms in  $2G^\top X$  to match  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{j}}}$ , we get the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&2G^\top X \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k', \hat{k}, \hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} + z_{kk'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_{\hat{i}'}} \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{l', \hat{l}, \hat{j}, \hat{j}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{l'l'}^\top a_{ll}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{l'} \partial x_{\hat{j}'}} \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j', \hat{j}, \hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{jj'}^\top z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{j'} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} + z_{jj'}^\top z_{j\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{j'} \partial x_{\hat{i}'}} \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{j}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{ii'}^\top a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{ii'}^\top a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{\hat{j}'}} \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j', \hat{j}, \hat{i}, \hat{i}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ z_{j\hat{j}}^\top z_{j\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} + z_{j\hat{j}}^\top z_{j\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}} \partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{j}'=1}^{n+m} \left[ a_{ii}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{ii}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{j}=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{j}}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{j', \hat{j}, \hat{i}', \hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \left[ \left( z_{j\hat{j}}^\top z_{j\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} + z_{j\hat{j}}^\top z_{j\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j'}} a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}'}} a_{ii}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \left( a_{ii}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} + a_{ii}^\top a_{ii'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}'}} z_{\hat{j}\hat{j}'}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{j}}} \right) \right] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from the quantities we obtain previously, the second equality follows from switching “ $k$ ” to “ $j$ ” and “ $l$ ” to “ $i$ ”, the third equality follows from switching between “ $i'$ ” and “ $\hat{i}'$ ”, “ $j$ ” and “ $\hat{j}$ ”. Thus the proof is completed.  $\blacksquare$

## 5.2 Proof of Lemma 5.4

From now on, we keep the following notation:  $a^\top \nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f$ . Furthermore, we fix the notation for  $a, a^\top$  with relation  $a_{i\hat{i}} = a_{i\hat{i}}^\top$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\hat{i} = 1, \dots, n+m$ . Here we denote  $a_{i\hat{i}}^\top := (a^\top)_{i\hat{i}}$ . Recall that, we define

$$\Gamma_{2, \tilde{L}}(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{L} \Gamma_1(f, f) - 2 \Gamma_1(\tilde{L} f, f) \right).$$

Next, we are ready to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.8.**

$$\Gamma_{2, \tilde{L}}(f, f) = X^\top Q^\top Q X + 2D^\top Q X + 2C^\top X + D^\top D + \mathfrak{R}_a(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where  $Q, X, C, D$  are introduced in Notation 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Proof** We plug in the operator  $\tilde{L}$  to our definition for  $\Gamma_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2, \tilde{L}}(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \frac{1}{2} A \nabla \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1((\Delta_a - A \nabla) f, f) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) - \frac{1}{2} A \nabla \Gamma_1(f, f) + \Gamma_1(A \nabla f, f). \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the last two terms of the above equation. With  $A = a \otimes \nabla a$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} A \nabla \Gamma_1(f, f) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \langle a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= -\sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle A_{\hat{k}} (\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a^\top) \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle A_{\hat{k}} a^\top (\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \nabla f), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(A \nabla f, f) &= \langle a^\top \nabla \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \langle a^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \langle a^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \nabla A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \mathbf{J}_3 + \mathbf{J}_4. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$\mathbf{J}_2 + \mathbf{J}_3 = 0.$$

We now expand  $\mathbf{J}_1$  and  $\mathbf{J}_4$  into local coordinates,

$$\mathbf{J}_1 = -\sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{l', \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{k'l} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{ll'} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right), \quad (5.41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J}_4 &= \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} a_{ll'}^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{\hat{k}, k'=1}^{n+m} a_{ll'}^\top \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{\hat{k}, k'=1}^{n+m} a_{ll'}^\top a_{\hat{k}k} \left( \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \right) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right). \quad (5.42)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.9 which will be proved shortly below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \langle \mathbf{B}_{n \times n} a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{B}_{n \times n} a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k', j'=1}^{n+m} a_{lj'}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_{j'}} a_{ik} (a_{kk'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} f) \right).$$

Thus, combining with (5.41) and (5.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{2, \tilde{L}}(f, f) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) + \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_4 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla [(a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

where the last term follows from Lemma 5.10 below. The proof is thus completed.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 5.9.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) \quad (5.43) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla [(a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad - \langle \mathbf{B}_{n \times n} a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \mathbf{B}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the local representation for  $\mathbf{B}_{n \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_0$  are given as follows. For  $l, k = 1, \dots, n$ , we denote

$$\mathbf{B}_{lk} = \sum_{j'=1}^{n+m} a_{lj'}^\top \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_{j'}} a_{ik} = \sum_{j'=1}^{n+m} a_{lj'}^\top \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_{j'}} a_{ki}^\top, \quad (5.44)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{ll'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right).$$

We introduce the following notation (convention) that, for any function  $F$

$$(a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla F) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla F)_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}, i'=1}^{n+m} (a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (a_{i i'}^\top \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i'}}). \quad (5.45)$$

**Proof** [Proof of Lemma 5.9] By our definition above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) &= \nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla \langle a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \\ &= \nabla \cdot (aF) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i\hat{i}k} F_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i\hat{i}k} F_k + a_{i\hat{i}k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} F_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i\hat{i}k} F_k \right) + a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f)^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$\begin{aligned} F &= a^\top \nabla \langle a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= a^\top \nabla \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \right)_{k=1, \dots, n} \\ &= (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)^\top. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i\hat{i}k} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \right) \right) \\ &\quad + a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f)^2) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{i\hat{i}k} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (a^\top \nabla f)^2 \right) \right) \\ &\quad + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f)^2) \\ &= \nabla a \circ (a^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f)^2) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f)^2). \quad (5.46) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the following quantity.

$$\Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) = \langle a^\top \nabla (\nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla f) &= \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) = \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \\
&= \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \\
&= \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f) \\
&= \nabla a \circ (a^\top \nabla f) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f).
\end{aligned}$$

We continue with our computation as below,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \langle a^\top \nabla \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f) \right], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= \langle a^\top \nabla \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad + \langle a^\top \nabla((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= \langle a^\top \nabla (\nabla a \cdot (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \langle a^\top \nabla((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= \langle (a^\top \nabla \nabla a \cdot (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \langle (\nabla a \cdot (a^\top \nabla(a^\top \nabla f))), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad + \langle a^\top \nabla((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \tag{5.47}
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, combining (5.46) and (5.47) we further get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} f \right)^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \langle a^\top \nabla \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} f \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \left( \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} f \right) \cdots \mathbf{I} \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{l}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{l}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{l}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \cdots \mathbf{II}.
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} a_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
= & - \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} a_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} x_{l'}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right) \\
= & - \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} a_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} a_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} x_{l'}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we eventually get the the following step

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1(f, f) - \Gamma_1(\Delta_a f, f) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& - \langle \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sum_{j'=1}^{n+m} a_{lj'}^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i x_{j'}} a_{ik} (a^\top \nabla f)_k, a^\top \nabla f \rangle \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \langle B_{n \times n} a^\top \nabla f, a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^n (a^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}, l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) - a_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is completed.  $\blacksquare$

Below, we further investigate the extra term explicitly in the above Lemma 5.9.

**Lemma 5.10.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle a^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
= & X^\top Q^\top QX + 2D^\top QX + 2C^\top X + D^\top D \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i'i'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i'i'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \tag{5.48}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that matrix  $Q$  and vectors  $X$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  are defined in Notation 2.2.

**Proof** We expand the two terms in lemma 5.10. The first term reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |a^\top \nabla f|^2)) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_i |(a^\top \nabla)_k f|^2 \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (a^\top \nabla)_i \langle (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_k f, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_k f, (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{T}_1 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) f, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

And the second term reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle a^\top \nabla [(a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)], a^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle (a^\top \nabla)_k [(a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_i f], (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \\
& = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) [(a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) f], (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we expand  $\mathbf{R}_1$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2$  completely and get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_1 & = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
& = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^1 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^2 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top (\frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^3 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i' \hat{i}}^\top) ((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} a_{\hat{i} \hat{k}}^\top) a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^5 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1^6.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_2 & = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) [(a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}})(a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}] \rangle, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \\
& = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^1 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^2 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^3 = \mathbf{R}_1^4 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^4 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^5 \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \cdots \mathbf{R}_2^6 = \mathbf{R}_1^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Our next step is to complete squares for all the above terms. Look at the term  $\mathbf{T}_1$  first.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_1 & = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} + \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} + \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \\
& = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \mathbf{T}_{1a} \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \mathbf{T}_{1b} \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \mathbf{T}_{1c} \\
& + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \mathbf{T}_{1d}.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms  $\mathbf{T}_{1b} = \mathbf{T}_{1c}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_1^3 = \mathbf{R}_1^5$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2^5 = \mathbf{R}_2^4$  play the role of crossing terms inside the complete squares. In particular, for convenience, we change the index inside the sum of  $\mathbf{R}_1^3$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2^5$ , switching  $i', \hat{i}$  for  $\mathbf{R}_1^3$  and switching  $i', \hat{k}$  for  $\mathbf{R}_2^5$ . Then we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathbf{R}_1^3 &= 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left\langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i',l=1}^{n+m} \left( a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) a_{kl}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l} \right) \\
-2\mathbf{R}_2^5 &= -2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left\langle a_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \right\rangle \\
&= -2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i',l=1}^{n+m} \left( a_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) a_{kl}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} = \gamma_{ik}. \quad (5.49)$$

The above equality (5.49) can be represented in the following matrix form

$$Q_{n^2 \times (n+m)^2} X_{(n+m)^2 \times 1} = (\gamma_{11}, \dots, \gamma_{ik}, \dots, \gamma_{nn})_{n^2 \times 1}^\top,$$

where  $Q$  and  $X$  are defined in (2.9) and (2.16). Now, we can represent term  $\mathbf{T}_{1a}$  as  $\sum_{i,k=1}^n \gamma_{ik}^2 = \gamma^\top \gamma = (QX)^\top QX = X^\top Q^\top QX$ . Next we want to represent  $\mathbf{R}_1^3$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2^5$  in the following form in terms of vector  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\mathbf{R}_1^3 - 2\mathbf{R}_2^5 \\
&= 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left( \left\langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \right\rangle \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i',\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left\langle a_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left[ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^{n+m} \left( \left\langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right), (a^\top \nabla)_k f \right\rangle - \left\langle a_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right\rangle \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) \\
&= 2C^\top X,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is defined in (2.11). Similarly, we can represent  $\mathbf{T}_{1b} = \mathbf{T}_{1c}$  by  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_{1b} = \mathbf{T}_{1c} &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i},\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \\
&= D^\top QX,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $D$  is defined in (2.2). Summaring over the above terms, we have the following quadratic form:

$$\mathbf{T}_1 + 2\mathbf{R}_1^3 - 2\mathbf{R}_2^5 = X^\top Q^\top QX + 2D^\top QX + 2C^\top X + D^\top D. \quad (5.50)$$

Taking into account the fact that  $\mathbf{R}_1^6 - \mathbf{R}_2^6 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{R}_1^4 - \mathbf{R}_2^3 = 0$ , we have

$$\mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{T}_1 + 2\mathbf{R}_1^3 - 2\mathbf{R}_2^5 + \mathbf{R}_1^1 + \mathbf{R}_1^2 - \mathbf{R}_2^1 - \mathbf{R}_2^2,$$

which completes the proof. ■

### 5.3 Proof of Lemma 5.5

**Lemma 5.11.**

$$\Gamma_{2,\tilde{L}}^z(f, f) = X^\top P^\top PX + 2E^\top PX + 2F^\top X + E^\top E + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f). \quad (5.51)$$

where  $\mathfrak{R}_z$  is defined in Definition 1.

**Proof** The proof follows directly from Lemma 5.12 and Lemma 5.13. ■

**Lemma 5.12.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\tilde{L}\Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(\tilde{L}f, f) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof Step 1:** We first define  $\Gamma_1^z = \langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ , we have

$$\tilde{L}\Gamma_1^z(f, f) = \Delta_p \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - A \nabla \Gamma_1^z(f, f), \quad \Gamma_1^z(\tilde{L}f, f) = \Gamma_1^z(\Delta_p f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(A \nabla f, f).$$

By our definition above, we directly get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_a \Gamma_1^z(f, f) &= \nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla \langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}) = \nabla \cdot (a F^z) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{\hat{i}k} F_k^z \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} F_k^z + a_{\hat{i}k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} F_k^z \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{\hat{i}k} F_k^z \right) + a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla (z^\top \nabla f)^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
F^z &= a^\top \nabla \langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} = a^\top \nabla \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{\hat{i}l}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{\hat{i}l}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \right)_{k=1, \dots, n} = (F_1^z, F_2^z, \dots, F_n^z)^\top.
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_a \Gamma_1^z(f, f) \\
&= \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{\hat{i}l}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \right) \right) + a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla (z^\top \nabla f)^2) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} (z^\top \nabla f)^2 \right) \right) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla (z^\top \nabla f)^2) \\
&= \nabla a \circ (a^\top \nabla (z^\top \nabla f)^2) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla (z^\top \nabla f)^2). \tag{5.52}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the following quantity.

$$\Gamma_1^z(\Delta_a f, f) = \langle z^\top \nabla (\nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

From Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\nabla \cdot (a a^\top \nabla f) = \nabla a \circ (a^\top \nabla f) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f).$$

We continue with our computation as below,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_1^z(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \langle z^\top \nabla \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f) + (a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f) \right], z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \langle z^\top \nabla \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} (a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f) \right], z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \langle z^\top \nabla (\nabla a \cdot (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \langle (z^\top \nabla \nabla a \cdot (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle (\nabla a \cdot (z^\top \nabla (a^\top \nabla f))), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad + \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}. \tag{5.53}
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, combining (5.52) and (5.53) we further get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{\hat{i}l}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right)^2 \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$



Subtracting the above two terms, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{II} &= - \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} x_{l'}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} z_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we eventually end up with the following formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Delta_a \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(\Delta_a f, f) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'l'}^\top \left[ \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} x_{l'}} a_{ik} \left( a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right) \right] \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^m \left( \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} z_{l\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} f \right) \sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} a_{ik} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} z_{l'l'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 2:** Computation of  $-\frac{1}{2} A \nabla \Gamma_1^z(f, f) + \Gamma_1^z(A \nabla f, f)$ . Now we compute the last two terms of the above equation, with  $A = a \otimes \nabla a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2} A \nabla \Gamma_1^z(f, f) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \langle z^\top \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= - \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle A_{\hat{k}} (\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} z^\top) \nabla f, z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} - \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle A_{\hat{k}} z^\top (\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \nabla f), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1^z(A \nabla f, f) &= \langle z^\top \nabla \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \langle z^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle z^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \nabla A_{\hat{k}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} f \right), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_3 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see  $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_2 + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_3 = 0$ . We now expand  $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_4$  into local coordinates,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_1 = - \sum_{l=1}^m (z^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{l', \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}} a_{k'l} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} z_{ll'} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}} f \right), \quad (5.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_4 &= \sum_{l=1}^m (z^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'}^\top \left( \sum_{\hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^{n+m} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^m (z^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{\hat{k}, k'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'}^\top \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} a_{\hat{k}k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^m (z^\top \nabla f)_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l'=1}^{n+m} \sum_{\hat{k}, k'=1}^{n+m} z_{l'}^\top a_{\hat{k}k} \left( \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l'}}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k'}}} a_{k'k} \right) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}} f \right). \quad (5.55)
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the above two steps, we thus get

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{L} \Gamma_1^z(f, f) - \Gamma_1^z(\tilde{L}f, f) = \frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

■

**Lemma 5.13.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) - \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= X^\top P^\top P X + 2E^\top P X + 2F^\top X + E^\top E + \mathfrak{R}_z(\nabla f, \nabla f).
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We expand the two terms in lemma 5.13 .

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} (a^\top \nabla \circ (a^\top \nabla |z^\top \nabla f|^2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_i |(z^\top \nabla)_k f|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (a^\top \nabla)_i \langle (a^\top \nabla)_i (z^\top \nabla)_k f, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \langle (a^\top \nabla)_i (z^\top \nabla)_k f, (a^\top \nabla)_i (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \langle (a_{i i'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{i i}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) (z_{k \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) f, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1. \\
&\quad \langle z^\top \nabla ((a^\top \nabla) \circ (a^\top \nabla f)), z^\top \nabla f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \langle (z^\top \nabla)_k [(a^\top \nabla)_i (a^\top \nabla)_i f], (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \langle (z_{k \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) [(a_{i i'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{i i}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) f], (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we expand  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2$  completely and get the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i i'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{i i}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) (z_{k \hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) f, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i i'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial a_{i \hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k \hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^1
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^2 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top) \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \right) z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^4 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^5 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^6 \\
\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle (z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}) [(a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}}) (a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}})], (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^1 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^2 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^3 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^4 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^4 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{k\hat{k}}^\top a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^6 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^6
\end{aligned}$$

Our next step is to complete squares for all the above terms. We look at term  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1$  first.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} + \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} + \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1b} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i'i'z_{kk'}^\top}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1c} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i', k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i'i'}^\top \frac{\partial z_{kk'}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1d}.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1b} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1c}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^5$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^4$  plays the role of crossing terms inside the complete squares. In particular, for convenience, we change the index inside the sum of  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5$ , switch  $i', \hat{i}$  for  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3$  and switch  $i', \hat{k}$  for  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5$ , then we get following.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i', l=1}^{n+m} \left( a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) z_{kl}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l} \right) \\
-2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \\
&= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \sum_{i', l=1}^{n+m} \left( z_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right) z_{kl}^\top \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top z_{k\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}} \partial x_{\hat{k}}} = \omega_{ik}. \quad (5.56)$$

The above equality (5.56) can be represented in the following matrix form

$$P_{(n*m) \times (n+m)^2} X_{(n+m)^2 \times 1} = (\omega_{11}, \dots, \omega_{ik}, \dots, \omega_{nm})_{(n*m) \times 1}^\top$$

where  $P$  and  $X$  are defined in (2.10) and (2.16). Now, we can represent term  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1a}$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_{ik}^2 = \omega^\top \omega = (PX)^\top PX = X^\top P^\top PX$ . Next we want to represent  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5$  in the following form in terms of vector  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 \\
&= 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'i'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i', \hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \langle z_{ki'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} \left[ \sum_{i,k=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^{n+m} \left( \langle a_{i\hat{i}}^\top a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top \left( \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}} \right), (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle - \langle z_{k\hat{i}'}^\top a_{i\hat{k}}^\top \frac{\partial a_{i\hat{i}}^\top}{\partial x_{i'}}, (z^\top \nabla)_k f \rangle \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}} \right) \\
&= 2F^\top X,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $F$  is defined in (2.13). Similarly, we can represent  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1b} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1c}$  by  $X$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1b} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1c} = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{\hat{i}, \hat{k}=1}^{n+m} a_{i\hat{i}}^\top \frac{\partial z_{k\hat{k}}^\top}{\partial x_{\hat{i}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\hat{k}}}, \sum_{i',k'=1}^{n+m} a_{i'\hat{i}'}^\top z_{k\hat{k}'}^\top \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{i'} \partial x_{k'}} \right\rangle = E^\top P X$$

where  $E$  is defined in (2.14). We thus have the following form,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 + 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 = X^\top P^\top P X + 2E^\top P X + 2F^\top X + E^\top E$$

Taking into account the fact that  $\mathbf{R}_1^6 - \mathbf{R}_2^6 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{R}_1^4 - \mathbf{R}_2^3 = 0$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2 = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1 + 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^3 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^5 + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^2 - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^1 - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_2^2,$$

which completes the proof. ■

## 6 Further discussions on other inequalities

In this section, we apply the generalized Gamma calculus to study the entropic inequality for the semi-group  $P_t$  associated with the drift-diffusion process. With a little abuse of notations, we denote the generator of the semi-group  $P_t$  as  $\frac{1}{2}L$  instead of  $L$  and we denote  $X_t$  as the corresponding diffusion process.

**Definition 6.1.** *We define the semigroup  $P_t = e^{\frac{1}{2}tL}$ , where  $L$  is invariant w.r.t the invariant measure  $d\mu = \pi(x)dx$ . We denote  $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_t))$ , and*

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} f(y) p(t, x, y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} f(y) \rho(t, x, y) dy,$$

where the infinitesimal generator of this process  $X_t$  is  $\frac{1}{2}L$  and we denote  $\rho(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  as the product of the transition kernel  $p(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  and the volume measure  $\pi$ .

**Remark 6.2.** *Following the standard treatment as in [17][Section 5], whenever we consider differentiating operation on  $P_t f$ , we shall always consider  $P_t f_\varepsilon$  first with  $f_\varepsilon = f + \varepsilon$ , for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Then we take the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Throughout this section, we will directly use  $P_t f$  instead of  $P_t f_\varepsilon$  for convenience.*

**Remark 6.3.** *In the standard sub-Riemannian setting, the semi-groups is in general defined with respect to the invariant measure  $d\mu(y)$ . In this paper, we formulate the semi-group and the transition kernel with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $dy$ .*

Following the framework in [17], we also need the following assumption which is necessary to rigorously justify computations on functionals of the heat semigroup.

**Assumption 6.4.** *The semigroup  $P_t$  is stochastically complete that is, for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  and for any  $T > 0$  and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  with compact support, we assume that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Gamma(P_t f)\|_\infty + \|\Gamma_1^z(P_t f)\|_\infty < +\infty. \quad (6.57)$$

We believe that the above Assumption 6.4 should follow from the the assumption  $\mathfrak{R} \geq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z)$  if we assume the appropriate lower bound  $\kappa$ . We leave this for further studies. Related gradient estimates are presented in order below. For the infinitesimal generator  $\frac{1}{2}L$  associated with linear semi-group  $P_t$ , we have the following property.

**Proposition 6.5.** *For all smooth function  $f$ , we have*

- $P_0 = Id$ ;
- For all functions  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ , the map  $t \mapsto P_t f$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $L^2(d\mu)$ ;
- For all  $s, t \geq 0$ , one has  $P_t \circ P_s = P_{t+s}$ ;
- $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f(x) = \frac{1}{2}L(P_t f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}P_t(Lf)(x)$ .

Next, we present the entropic inequality under the Assumption 2.3. We follow closely the framework introduced in [17] and define the following two functionals,

$$\phi_a(x, t) = P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1(\log P_{T-t} f)(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_z(x, t) = P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1^z(\log P_{T-t} f)(x).$$

**Lemma 6.6.** *We have the following relation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}L\phi_a + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_a &= (P_{T-t} f)(x) \Gamma_2(\log P_{T-t} f, \log P_{T-t} f)(x), & (6.58) \\ \frac{1}{2}L\phi_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_z &= (P_{T-t} f)(x) \Gamma_2^z(\log P_{T-t} f, \log P_{T-t} f)(x) \\ &\quad + (P_{T-t} f)(x) \Gamma_1(\log P_{T-t} f, \Gamma_1^z(P_{T-t} f, P_{T-t} f))(x) \\ &\quad - (P_{T-t} f)(x) \Gamma_1^z(\log P_{T-t} f, \Gamma_1(P_{T-t} f, P_{T-t} f))(x). & (6.59) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Denote  $g(t, x) = P_{T-t} f(x) = \int \rho(t, x, \tilde{x}) f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$ , we have the following relation

$$L(\log g) = -\frac{\Gamma_1(g, g)}{(g)^2} - 2\frac{\partial_t g}{g}.$$

By direct computation, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_a &= \partial_t g \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) + 2g \langle a^\top \nabla \log g, a^\top \nabla \left(\frac{\partial_t g}{g}\right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}Lg \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) - g \Gamma_1(\log g, L \log g) - g \Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g)), \\ \frac{1}{2}L\phi_a &= \frac{1}{2}Lg \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) + \frac{1}{2}g L \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) + \Gamma_1(g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have  $\Gamma_1(g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g)) = g\Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g))$ , thus (6.59) is proved.

Similarly, we obtain the following for  $\phi_z$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \phi_z &= \partial_t g \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g) + 2g \langle z^\top \nabla \log g, z^\top \nabla \left( \frac{\partial_t g}{g} \right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} Lg \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g) - g \Gamma_1^z(\log g, L \log g) - g \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g)), \\ \frac{1}{2} L \phi_z &= \frac{1}{2} Lg \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g) + \frac{1}{2} g L \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g) + \Gamma_1(g, \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g)).\end{aligned}$$

The proof then follows. ■

Now, we are ready to present the following important lemma which prepares us to prove the new entropy inequality without the assumption:

$$\Gamma_1(\log P_{T-t} f, \Gamma_1^z(P_{T-t} f, P_{T-t} f))(x) = \Gamma_1^z(\log P_{T-t} f, \Gamma_1(P_{T-t} f, P_{T-t} f))(x).$$

**Lemma 6.7.** *For any  $0 < s < T$ , we denote  $\rho(s, x, y) = p(s, x, y)\pi(y)$  as the transition kernel of diffusion process  $X_s^x$  starting at  $x$  defined in Definition 6.1, the following equality is satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E}[g \Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g)) - g \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g))] \\ &= \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho(s, x, y) z z^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(\log g(s, y), \log g(s, y)))}{\rho(s, x, y)} g(s, y) \rho(s, x, y) dy \\ & \quad - \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho(s, x, y) a a^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(\log g(s, y), \log g(s, y)))}{\rho(s, x, y)} g(s, y) \rho(s, x, y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Here we denote  $g(s, y) = P_{T-s} f(y) = \int \rho(s, y, \tilde{y}) f(\tilde{y}) d\tilde{y}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E}[g \Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(s, X_s) \Gamma_1(\log g(s, X_s), \Gamma_1^z(\log g(s, X_s), \log g(s, X_s)))] \\ &= \int g(s, y) \Gamma_1(\log g(s, y), \Gamma_1^z(\log g(s, y), \log g(s, y))) \rho(s, x, y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We first expand in the following integral form.

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E}[g \Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g)) - g \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g))] \\ &= \int g(s, y) \Gamma_1(\log g(s, y), \Gamma_1^z(\log g(s, y), \log g(s, y))) \rho(s, x, y) dy \\ & \quad - \int g(s, y) \Gamma_1^z(\log g(s, y), \Gamma_1(\log g(s, y), \log g(s, y))) \rho(s, x, y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

We skip  $x, y, s$  for simplicity. Take  $\log g = h$ ,

**Claim 1:**

$$\begin{aligned}& \int \Gamma_1(h, \Gamma_1^z(h, h)) \rho g dy - \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Gamma_1(h, h)) \rho g dy \\ &= \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Delta_a h) \rho g dy - \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \frac{\Delta_a g}{g}) \rho g dy - \int \Gamma_1(h, \Delta_z h) \rho g dy + \int \Gamma_1(h, \frac{\Delta_z g}{g}) \rho g dy.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that we denote  $\Delta_a = \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla)$  and  $\Delta_z = \nabla \cdot (zz^\top \nabla)$ . Use the following identity

$$\Delta_a h = \frac{\Delta_a g}{g} - \frac{\Gamma_1(g, g)}{g^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_z h = \frac{\Delta_z g}{g} - \frac{\Gamma_1^z(g, g)}{g^2}.$$

We then get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Delta_a h) \rho g dy &= \int \Gamma_1^z \left( h, \frac{\Delta_a g}{g} - \frac{\Gamma_1(g, g)}{g^2} \right) \rho g dy \\ &= - \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Gamma_1(h, h)) \rho g dy + \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \frac{\Delta_a g}{g}) \rho g dy. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the other equality is satisfied.

**Claim 2:**

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Delta_a h) \rho g dy - \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \frac{\Delta_a g}{g}) \rho g dy - \int \Gamma_1(h, \Delta_z h) \rho g dy + \int \Gamma_1(h, \frac{\Delta_z g}{g}) \rho g dy \\ &= \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} g \rho dy - \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} g \rho dy. \end{aligned}$$

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Gamma_1^z(h, \frac{\Delta_a g}{g}) \rho g dy &= \int \langle zz^\top \nabla h, \nabla(\frac{\Delta_a g}{g}) \rangle \rho g dy = - \int \nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \nabla g) \frac{\Delta_a g}{g} dy \\ &= - \int \frac{\rho}{g} \Delta_a g \Delta_z g dy - \int \langle \nabla \rho, zz^\top \nabla g \rangle \frac{\Delta_a g}{g} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one gets

$$\int \Gamma_1(h, \frac{\Delta_z g}{g}) \rho g dy = - \int \frac{\rho}{g} \Delta_a g \Delta_z g dy - \int \langle \nabla \rho, aa^\top \nabla g \rangle \frac{\Delta_z g}{g} dy.$$

For the next term, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \Gamma_1^z(h, \Delta_a h) \rho g dy \\ &= \int \langle \nabla(\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla h)), zz^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy = - \int [\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla h)] [\nabla \cdot (\rho g zz^\top \nabla h)] dy \\ &= - \int [\nabla \cdot (aa^\top \frac{1}{g} \nabla g)] \nabla \cdot (\rho g zz^\top \nabla g) dy = - \int \left( \langle \nabla \frac{1}{g}, aa^\top \nabla g \rangle + \frac{1}{g} \Delta_a g \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho g zz^\top \nabla g) dy \\ &= \int \langle \frac{1}{g^2} \nabla g, aa^\top \nabla g \rangle (\nabla \cdot (\rho g zz^\top \nabla g)) dy - \int \frac{1}{g} \Delta_a g (\nabla \cdot (\rho g zz^\top \nabla g)) dy \\ &= -2 \int \nabla^2 h \langle aa^\top \nabla h, zz^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy - \int \langle \langle \nabla h, \nabla(aa^\top \nabla h) \rangle, zz^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy \\ &\quad - \int \frac{1}{g} \Delta_a g \langle \nabla \rho, zz^\top \nabla g \rangle dy - \int \frac{\rho}{g} \Delta_a g \Delta_z g dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from integration by parts for the first term and direct expansion of the divergence for the second term. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Gamma_1(h, \Delta_z h) \rho g dy &= -2 \int \nabla^2 h \langle zz^\top \nabla h, aa^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy - \int \langle \langle \nabla h, \nabla(zz^\top \nabla h) \rangle, aa^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy \\ &\quad - \int \frac{1}{g} \Delta_z g \langle \nabla \rho, aa^\top \nabla g \rangle dy - \int \frac{\rho}{g} \Delta_a g \Delta_z g dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that by integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int \langle \langle \nabla h, \nabla(aa^\top) \nabla h \rangle, zz^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy + \int \langle \langle \nabla h, \nabla(zz^\top) \nabla h \rangle, aa^\top \nabla h \rangle \rho g dy \\ = & \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho zz^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} g \rho dy - \int \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} g \rho dy. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above formulas, the proof is completed.  $\blacksquare$

With the above Lemma in hand, we are ready to prove the following entropic inequality. We first define the following energy form

$$\Phi_a(x, t) = P_t(P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1(\log P_{T-t} f))(x), \quad \Phi_z(x, t) = P_t(P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1^z(\log P_{T-t} f))(x).$$

Recall that, we define

$$\phi_a(x, t) = P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1(\log P_{T-t} f)(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_z(x, t) = P_{T-t} f \Gamma_1^z(\log P_{T-t} f)(x).$$

**Theorem 6.8.** *Denote  $\phi = \phi_a + \phi_z$ , if the following condition is satisfied*

$$\mathfrak{R} \succeq \kappa(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1^z),$$

we then conclude

$$P_T(\phi(\cdot, T))(x) \geq \phi(x, 0) + \int_0^T \kappa_s(\Phi_a(x, s) + \Phi_z(x, s)) ds, \quad (6.60)$$

where  $\kappa_s$  depends on the estimate of transition kernel  $\nabla \log \rho(s, \cdot, \cdot)$  associated with semi-group  $P_s$  (see Definition 6.1).

**Remark 6.9.** *Based on Theorem 5.6, we can also prove the above theorem for operator  $\tilde{L}$  with drift term involved. Since the proof is similar, we skip the proof here.*

**Proof** Take  $\phi = \phi_a + \phi_z$ . Let  $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$  be the diffusion Markov process with semigroup  $P_t$ . (Similar proofs are referred to [17][Proposition 4.5]) Let smooth function  $u : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that for every  $T > 0$ ,  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_\infty < \infty$  and  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\frac{1}{2} Lu(t, \cdot) + \partial_t u(t, \cdot)\|_\infty < \infty$ . We have for every  $t > 0$ ,

$$u(t, X_t^x) = u(0, x) + \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} Lu + \partial_s u \right)(s, X_s^x) ds + M_t,$$

where  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a local martingale. Let  $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an increasing sequence of stopping times such that almost surely  $T_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(M_{t \wedge T_n})_{t \geq 0}$  is a martingale. We get

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t \wedge T_n, X_{t \wedge T_n}^x)] = u(0, x) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge T_n} \left( \frac{1}{2} Lu + \partial_s u \right)(s, X_s^x) ds \right].$$

By using the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, X_t^x)] = u(0, x) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2}Lu + \partial_s u\right)(s, X_s^x) ds\right].$$

Applying the above equality to  $\phi(t, X_t^x)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\phi(t, X_t^x)] &= \phi(0, x) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x) ds\right] \\ &= \phi(0, x) + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now look at the term  $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right]$  with  $g(s, x) = (P_{T-s}f)(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x)] = \int \rho(x, y, s) f(y) dy$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right] &= \mathbb{E}[g\Gamma_2(\log g, \log g) + g\Gamma_2^z(\log g, \log g)] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[g\Gamma_1(\log g, \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g)) - g\Gamma_1^z(\log g, \Gamma_1(\log g, \log g))]. \end{aligned}$$

By using the above Lemma 6.7, let  $h = \log g$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right] \\ &= \int g\rho \left( \Gamma_2(h, h) + \Gamma_2^z(h, h) + \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho z z^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(aa^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} - \frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho a a^\top \Gamma_{\nabla(zz^\top)}(h, h))}{\rho} \right) dy \\ &= \int g\rho \left( \Gamma_2(h, h) + \tilde{\Gamma}_2^{z, \rho}(h, h) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 5.6 here with  $\pi = \rho(s, \cdot, \cdot)$  as the transition kernel function, we get a time dependent version of Theorem 5.6. Assuming that the following bound is satisfied where the bound  $\kappa_s$  depends on kernel  $\rho(s, \cdot, \cdot)$ ,

$$\Re(\nabla f, \nabla f) \geq \kappa_s(\Gamma_1(f, f) + \Gamma_1^z(f, f)).$$

We then conclude with the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right] &\geq \int \rho(s, x, y) g \kappa_s(\Gamma_1(h, h)(y) + \Gamma_1^z(h, h)(y)) dy \\ &= \int p(s, x, y) g \kappa_s(\Gamma_1(h, h)(y) + \Gamma_1^z(h, h)(y)) \pi(y) dy \\ &\geq P_s(\kappa_s g(\Gamma_1(\log g, \log g) + \Gamma_1^z(\log g, \log g))). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging into the time integral  $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}L\phi + \partial_s \phi\right)(s, X_s^x)\right] ds$ , the proof follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 6.10.** We prove the entropic inequality Theorem 6.8 in this section without the the assumption:  $\Gamma_1(f, \Gamma_1^z(f, f)) = \Gamma_1^z(f, \Gamma_1(f, f))$ . A similar entropic inequality under the assumption  $\Gamma_1(f, \Gamma_1^z(f, f)) = \Gamma_1^z(f, \Gamma_1(f, f))$  is first proved in [17][Proposition 4.5]



and [Theorem 5.2]. With this new inequality Theorem 6.8 in hand, similar gradient estimates and other inequalities from [17] follow. We leave them for future studies. The Proposition 4.5 in [17] is based on a point-wise estimate given the commutative assumption of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1^z$ . We remove the commutative assumption and our estimate is in a weak form, which is presented in the above Lemma 6.7.

## References

- [1] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, and U. Boscain. On the Hausdorff volume in sub-riemannian geometry. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 43(3-4):355–388, 2012.
- [2] A. Agrachev and P. Lee. Optimal transportation under nonholonomic constraints. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 361(11):6019–6047, 2009.
- [3] Ané, Cécile and Blachère, Sébastien and Chafaï, Djalil and Fougères, Pierre and Gentil, Ivan and Malrieu, Florent and Roberto, Cyril and Scheffer, Grégory. Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques, Société mathématique de France Paris
- [4] A. Arnold, A. Einav, and T. Wöhrer. On the rates of decay to equilibrium in degenerate and defective Fokker-Planck equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 264(11):6843 – 6872, 2018.
- [5] A. Arnold and J. Erb. Sharp entropy decay for hypocoercive and non-symmetric Fokker-Planck equations with linear drift. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.5425, 2014.
- [6] D. Bakry. Ricci curvature and dimension for diffusion semigroups. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, pages 21–31, 1990.
- [7] D. Bakry and M. Émery. Diffusions hypercontractives. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XIX 1983/84*, pages 177–206. Springer, 1985.
- [8] D. Bakry, M. Ledoux, et al. A logarithmic sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 22(2):683–702, 2006.
- [9] D. Barilari and L. Rizzi. A formula for popp’s volume in sub-riemannian geometry. *Analysis and Geometry in Metric Spaces*, 1:42–57, 2013.
- [10] F. Baudoin. Sub-laplacians and hypoelliptic operators on totally geodesic Riemannian foliations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.3268, 2014.
- [11] F. Baudoin. Wasserstein contraction properties for hypoelliptic diffusions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.04177, 2016.

- [12] F. Baudoin. Bakry–Émery meet villani. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 273(7):2275 – 2291, 2017.
- [13] F. Baudoin and M. Bonnefont. Log-sobolev inequalities for subelliptic operators satisfying a generalized curvature dimension inequality. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(6): 2646–2676, 2012.
- [14] F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, and N. Garofalo. A sub-Riemannian curvature-dimension inequality, volume doubling property and the Poincaré inequality. *Mathematische Annalen*, 358(3-4):833–860, 2014.
- [15] F. Baudoin and Q. Feng. Log-sobolev inequalities on the horizontal path space of a totally geodesic foliation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.08180*, 2015.
- [16] F. Baudoin, Q. Feng, and M. Gordina. Integration by parts and quasi-invariance for the horizontal Wiener measure on foliated compact manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 2019.
- [17] F. Baudoin and N. Garofalo. Curvature-dimension inequalities and Ricci lower bounds for sub-riemannian manifolds with transverse symmetries. *Journal of the EMS, Vol. 19, Issue 1*, 2017.
- [18] F. Baudoin and M. Cecil. The subelliptic heat kernel on the three-dimensional solvable lie groups. *Forum Mathematicum*, 27(4):2051–2086, 2015.
- [19] F. Baudoin, M. Gordina, and D. P. Herzog. Gamma calculus beyond Villani and explicit convergence estimates for langevin dynamics with singular potentials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.03092*, 2019.
- [20] F. Baudoin, E. Grong, K. Kuwada, and A. Thalmaier. Sub-Laplacian comparison theorems on totally geodesic Riemannian foliations. *arXiv:1706.08489 [math]*, 2017.
- [21] F. Baudoin and J. Wang. Curvature dimension inequalities and subelliptic heat kernel gradient bounds on contact manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.3778*, 2012.
- [22] F. Baudoin and M. Cecil. The subelliptic heat kernel on the three-dimensional solvable Lie groups. *Forum Mathematicum, (4), 27, 2051-2086*, 2015.
- [23] D.-C. Chang and Y. Li. Subriemannian geodesics in the Grushin plane. *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 22(3):800–826, 2012.

- [24] N. Eldredge, M. Gordina, and L. Saloff-Coste. Left-invariant geometries on  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  are uniformly doubling. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 28(5):1321–1367, 2018.
- [25] K. D. Elworthy. *Stochastic differential equations on manifolds*, volume 70. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [26] Q. Feng. Harnack inequalities on totally geodesic foliations with transverse Ricci flow. *arXiv:1712.02275 [math]*, 2017.
- [27] Q. Feng and W. Li. Sub-Riemannian Ricci curvature via generalized gamma  $z$  calculus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.01863*, 2020.
- [28] Q. Feng and W. Li. Hypoelliptic entropy dissipation for stochastic differential equations, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.00544*, 2021.
- [29] A. Figalli and L. Rifford. Mass transportation on sub-riemannian manifolds. *Geometric and functional analysis*, 20(1):124–159, 2010.
- [30] M. Gordina and T. Laetsch. Sub-laplacians on sub-riemannian manifolds. *Potential Analysis*, 44(4):811–837, 2016.
- [31] M. Gordina and T. Laetsch. A convergence to brownian motion on sub-riemannian manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(9):6263–6278, 2017.
- [32] E. Grong and A. Thalmaier. Curvature-dimension inequalities on sub-riemannian manifolds obtained from riemannian foliations: part i. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 282(1-2):99–130, Sep 2015.
- [33] E. Grong and A. Thalmaier. Curvature-dimension inequalities on sub-riemannian manifolds obtained from riemannian foliations: part ii. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 282(1-2):131–164, Sep 2015.
- [34] V. V. Grushin. On a class of hypoelliptic operators. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 125(3):456–473, 1970.
- [35] W. Hebisch and B. Zegarlinski. Coercive inequalities on metric measure spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(3): 814–851, 2010.
- [36] J. Inglis and I. Papageorgiou. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for infinite dimensional Hörmander type generators on the Heisenberg group. *Potential Analysis*, 31(1): 79–102, 2009.

- [37] N. Juillet. Diffusion by optimal transport in Heisenberg groups. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 50(3-4):693–721, 2014.
- [38] A. Jüngel. *Entropy methods for diffusive partial differential equations*. Springer, 2016.
- [39] B. Khesin and P. Lee. A nonholonomic Moser theorem and optimal transport. *Journal of Symplectic Geometry*, 7(4):381–414, 2009.
- [40] J. D. Lafferty. The Density Manifold and Configuration Space Quantization. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 305(2):699–741, 1988.
- [41] W. Li. Geometry of probability simplex via optimal transport. *arXiv:1803.06360 [math]*, 2018.
- [42] W. Li. Diffusion Hypercontractivity via Generalized Density Manifold. *arXiv:1907.12546 [cs, math]*, 2019.
- [43] J. Lott and C. Villani. Ricci Curvature for Metric-Measure Spaces via Optimal Transport. *Annals of Mathematics*, 169(3):903–991, 2009.
- [44] P. Malliavin. *Stochastic analysis*, volume 313 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [45] P. A. Markowich, C. Villani. On The Trend To Equilibrium For The Fokker-Planck Equation: An Interplay Between Physics And Functional Analysis. *Physics and Functional Analysis*, Matematica Contemporanea, 1999.
- [46] F. Otto. The geometry of dissipative evolution equations the porous medium equation. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 26(1-2):101–174, 2001.
- [47] F. Otto and C. Villani. Generalization of an Inequality by Talagrand and Links with the Logarithmic Sobolev Inequality. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 173(2):361–400, 2000.
- [48] L. Rizzi. A counterexample to gluing theorems for mcp metric measure spaces. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 50(5):781–790, 2018.
- [49] K.-T. Sturm. On the Geometry of Metric Measure Spaces. *Acta Mathematica*, 196(1):65–131, 2006.
- [50] F.-Y. WANG. Logarithmic sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 109(3): 417–424, 1997.

## A Appendix: Degenerate SDEs and Sub-Riemannian manifold

In appendix, we briefly illustrate the formulation of degenerate diffusion process and sub-Riemannian geometry.

For a smooth connected  $n + m$  dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$ , we denote  $T\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  as the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  and denote  $\tau$  as a sub-bundle of  $T\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$ . The sub-Riemannian structure associated with the sub-bundle  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  is denoted as  $(\tau, g_\tau)$ , where  $g_\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the metric associated with the sub-bundle  $\tau$ . In particular, if we take distribution  $\tau$  to be the horizontal sub-bundle, denoted as  $\mathcal{H}$ , of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  (see [17, 10] for more details), then we denote the sub-Riemannian structure as  $(\mathbb{M}^{n+m}, \mathcal{H}, g_{\mathcal{H}})$ . In this paper, we will not distinguish distribution  $\tau$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  and call it horizontal sub-bundle. We will assume that the horizontal distribution  $\mathcal{H}$  is bracket generating (with any steps). And the distribution  $\mathcal{H}$  has dimension  $n$ .

For a vector field  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and a general matrix  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+m) \times n}$ , we denote  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  with each  $a_i, i = 1 \dots, n$ , as a  $n + m$ -dimensional column vector. For any Stratonovich SDE,

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_t) \circ dB_t^i, \quad (1.61)$$

where  $(B_t^1, B_t^2, \dots, B_t^n)$  is a  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $a_i$  has local coordinates  $a_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} a_{ii}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . We consider (1.61) as the SDE associated with a given sub-Riemannian structure, which is defined through the Lie algebra spanned by the driving vector fields of the SDE  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . In general, we assume that  $\mathcal{H} := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  is of rank  $n$  and satisfies the bracket generating condition (or Hörmander condition). To be precise, for any  $x \in \mathbb{M}^{n+m}$ , the Lie brackets of  $\{a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_n(x)\}$  spans the whole tangent space at  $x$  with dimension  $n + m$ . We define the manifold  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  as the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , where the diffusion process  $X_t$  lives on. This spaces is described as the triple  $(\mathbb{M}^{n+m}, \mathcal{H}, g_{\mathcal{H}})$ , and we denote  $\mathcal{H}$  as the  $n$ -dimensional horizontal distribution of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  generated by the vector fields  $\{a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_n(x)\}$ . In this paper, we consider the case where the generator of the diffusion process (1.61) coincides with the horizontal Laplacian operator (or sub-Laplacian operator) associated with the sub-Riemannian structure  $(\mathbb{M}^{n+m}, \mathcal{H}, g_{\mathcal{H}})$ . Furthermore, we assume that there exists symmetric and invariant volume measure associated with the horizontal Laplacian operator. The Stratonovich SDE (1.61) without

drift ( $dt$ ) term could be treated as a special case, where the horizontal Laplacian can be presented as the sum of squares of the horizontal vector fields in  $\mathcal{H}$ . In particular, we consider the precise metric defined through the diffusion matrix  $a$ , which could be seen as an analogue for non-degenerate SDEs on Riemannian manifolds. The problem is that the rank of  $aa^\top$  is  $n$ , thus the  $(n+m) \times (n+m)$  matrix  $aa^\top$  is degenerate and cannot serve as a metric. We thus introduce the following metric, which is to formulate this sub-Riemannian structure in Euclidean space.

**Definition A.1.** Consider an orthonormal basis  $c = \{c_{n+1}(x), \dots, c_{n+m}(x)\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , such that  $a_i^\top c_j = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$ . We define a metric  $g = (aa^\top + cc^\top)^{-1} = (aa^\top)^\dagger + cc^\top$ , and metric on the horizontal sub-bundle  $g_\tau = (aa^\top)^\dagger$ , the pseudo-inverse of matrix  $aa^\top$ , on manifold  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$ .

The above definition is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma A.2.** The metric is  $g = (aa^\top + cc^\top)^{-1} = (aa^\top)^\dagger + cc^\top$ .

**Proof** For rank  $n$  matrix  $aa^\top$ , we denote its eigenvalue decomposition and the corresponding pseudo-inverse  $(aa^\top)^\dagger$  as

$$aa^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i V_i^\top, \quad (aa^\top)^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} V_i V_i^\top.$$

Thus we have  $aa^\top + cc^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i V_i^\top + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} c_j c_j^\top$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} aa^\top + cc^\top &= (V_1, \dots, V_n, c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{n+m}) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_n & \\ & \mathbf{I}_m \end{pmatrix} (V_1, \dots, V_n, c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{n+m})^\top, \\ (aa^\top + cc^\top)^{-1} &= (V_1, \dots, V_n, c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{n+m}) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_n^{-1} & \\ & \mathbf{I}_m \end{pmatrix} (V_1, \dots, V_n, c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{n+m})^\top, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote  $\Lambda_n = \mathbf{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  as the diagonal matrix for eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ 's and  $\mathbf{I}_m$  as the  $m$ -dimensional identity matrix. Thus the proof follows directly with

$$(aa^\top + cc^\top)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} V_i V_i^\top + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} c_j c_j^\top = (aa^\top)^\dagger + cc^\top. \quad \blacksquare$$

With the new metric introduced above, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma A.3.** The vectors  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  are orthonormal basis under the metric  $g = (aa^\top)^\dagger + cc^\top$ .

**Proof** We just need to prove for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with each  $(a_i)_{(n+m) \times 1}$ , we have

$$a^\top g a = a^\top (aa^\top)^\dagger a = \mathbf{Id}_{n \times n}.$$

Notice  $a_i^\top c_j = 0$ , then we only need to prove  $a^\top (aa^\top)^\dagger a = \mathbf{Id}_{n \times n}$ . Let us denote  $a^\top (aa^\top)^\dagger a = B$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} aa^\top (aa^\top)^\dagger aa^\top &= aBa^\top \\ aa^\top &= aBa^\top \\ a^\top aa^\top a &= a^\top aBa^\top a \\ (a^\top a)^{-1} a^\top aa^\top a (a^\top a)^{-1} &= B \\ \mathbf{Id}_{n \times n} &= B, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the property of pseudo-inverse matrix and the last step follows from the fact that  $a^\top a$  is a non-degenerate  $n \times n$  matrix, hence invertible. The proof then follows directly.  $\blacksquare$

We are now ready to introduce the following definition.

**Definition A.4.** Define  $(\mathbb{M}^{n+m}, \tau, g_\tau)$  as the sub-Riemannian structure associated with the degenerate SDE (1.61), where  $g_\tau = (aa^\top)^\dagger$  denotes the horizontal metric, i.e. metric  $g$  restricts on the horizontal bundle  $\tau$ . And we denote  $\nabla^R$  as the Levi-Civita connection on  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  associated with our metric  $g = (aa^\top)^\dagger + cc^\top$ , and let  $P^\tau \nabla^R$  as the projection of the connection on the horizontal distribution  $\tau$ . In particular, in our framework, we have  $P^\tau \nabla^R f = aa^\top \nabla f$ , for any function  $f : \mathbb{M}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Where  $\nabla$  is the Euclidean gradient in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

**Remark A.5.** In Lemma A.3, we show that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  are orthonormal basis for horizontal distribution  $\tau$  under our metric  $g$ . In particular, we have

$$aa^\top \nabla f = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} f = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i f) a_i \in \tau,$$

which gives the local representation of  $P^\tau \nabla^R f$ .

To demonstrate the definition clearly, we give the following example. On the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^1$ , we know that  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{2}x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ ,  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{1}{2}x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ ,  $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$  forms an orthonormal basis for the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{H}^1$ . In particular,  $X$  and  $Y$  generate

the horizontal distribution  $\tau$ . If we start with the following SDE

$$dW_t = X \circ dB_t^1 + Y \circ dB_t^2, \quad (1.62)$$

then we know  $W_t = (B_t^1, B_t^2, \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t B_s^1 dB_s^2 - B_s^2 dB_s^1)$ , which is the horizontal Brownian motion on the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^1$ . The generator of the horizontal Brownian motion and the sub-Laplaican operator are the same which is given by  $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = X^2 + Y^2$ , and the volume measure associated with  $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the Lebesgue measure on the Heisenberg group with volume element equals 1. Then  $W_t$  is a diffusion process in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In terms of our general sub-Riemannian structure introduced above, we can define

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{x_2}{2} & \frac{x_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = (a_1, a_2) = (X, Y), \quad c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{x_1^2}{4} + \frac{x_2^2}{4} + 1}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_2}{2} \\ -\frac{x_1}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$g_{\mathbb{H}^1, \tau} = (aa^T)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{x_2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{x_1}{2} \\ -\frac{x_2}{2} & \frac{x_1}{2} & \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{4} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger, \quad g_{\mathbb{H}^1} = (aa^T)^\dagger + cc^T.$$

In particular, the horizontal gradient is given by

$$aa^T \nabla f = \begin{pmatrix} Xf \\ Yf \\ -\frac{x_2}{2}Xf + \frac{x_1}{2}Yf \end{pmatrix} = Xf \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{x_2}{2} \end{pmatrix} + Yf \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{x_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = (Xf)X + (Yf)Y.$$

Thus the sub-Riemannian structure associated with Stratonovitch SDE (1.62) is just  $(\mathbb{H}^1, \tau, g_{\mathbb{H}^1, \tau})$ , where  $g_{\mathbb{H}^1, \tau}$  is the restriction of metric  $g_{\mathbb{H}^1}$  on the horizontal sub-bundle  $\tau$ . Different from the standard construction of Brownian motion on a given Riemannian (sub-Riemannian) manifold by Ells-Elworthy-Malliavin [25, 44], we can directly define our diffusion on the manifold  $\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$  by (1.61) without doing projection from the orthonormal frame bundles. It is because that the new metric  $g = (aa^T)^\dagger + cc^T$ , and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  are globally defined orthonormal basis of the (horizontal) sub-bundle on the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{M}^{n+m}$ . Essentially, we first define (1.61) in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , and then introduce the associated sub-Riemannian structure.

**Remark A.6.** *Comparing to the definition of horizontal Brownian motion introduced in [16], the sub-Riemannian structure comes first with a totally geodesic Riemannian foliation structure, and then the SDE (1.62) is defined on the given totally geodesic*



*Riemannian foliation.* In the current setting, we directly define the degenerate diffusion process by a first given matrix  $a$ , then we define the sub-Riemannian structure by introducing the new metric  $(aa^T)^\dagger + cc^T$ .

## A.1 Proof of Gradient flow assumption

In this subsection, we demonstrate that equation (2.3) is in fact a Fokker-Planck equation of SDE (1.61).

**Lemma A.7.** *Consider the drift diffusion process*

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ dB_t^i,$$

Suppose that  $b$ ,  $a$ ,  $\pi$  satisfy

$$a \otimes \nabla a - b = -aa^T \nabla \log \pi.$$

Then the Fokker-Planck equation of  $X_t$  satisfies

$$\partial_t \rho(t, x) = \nabla \cdot \left( \rho(t, x) a(x) a(x)^T \nabla \log \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\pi(x)} \right).$$

**Proof** For Stratonovich SDE (1.61), the corresponding Itô SDE is

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i dB_t^i + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i + b \right) dt.$$

Thus the Fokker-Planck equation (Kolmogorov forward equation) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho(t, x) &= \nabla \cdot (\nabla (aa^T \rho)) - \nabla \cdot \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i + b \right) \rho \right) \\ &= \nabla \cdot (aa^T \nabla \rho) + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \nabla (aa^T) - \rho \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i - b \rho \right) \\ &= \nabla \cdot (aa^T \nabla \rho) + \nabla \cdot (\rho (a \otimes \nabla a - b)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{a_i} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^{n+m} \nabla_{(a_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})} (a_{il} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^{n+m} a_{ik} \frac{\partial a_{il}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}.$$

Namely, we have

$$\partial_t \rho(t, x) = \nabla \cdot (aa^T \nabla \rho) + \nabla \cdot (\rho (a \otimes \nabla a - b)). \quad (1.63)$$

Plugging in the relation  $a \otimes \nabla a - b = -aa^\top \nabla \log \pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \rho(t, x) &= \nabla \cdot (aa^\top \nabla \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \nabla \log \pi) \\
&= \nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \nabla \log \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \nabla \log \pi) \\
&= \nabla \cdot (\rho aa^\top \nabla \log \frac{\rho}{\pi}).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.64}$$

Here we use the fact that

$$\rho \nabla \log \rho = \nabla \rho.$$

This finishes the proof.

**Example 1.** *The Lie group  $SU(2)$  is a compact connected Lie group, diffeomorphic to the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . Following the construction of the left-invariant vector fields in [30, Section 6.2], we change the coordinates in terms of coordinate system  $(\theta, \phi, \psi)$ . We get new left-invariant vector fields on  $SU(2)$ , with*

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \cos \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \cos \theta \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \\
Y &= -\sin \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \cos \theta \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \\
Z &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $a = (a_1, a_2) = (X, Y)$  in the new coordinate system. We define the metric  $g = (aa^\top)^\dagger$ . Here  $X, Y$  are orthonormal basis for the horizontal bundle generated by  $X, Y$  under metric  $(aa^\top)^\dagger$ . According to [30, Lemma 6.4], the invariant measure on  $SU(2)$  has the form of  $\mu = \sin(\theta)d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge d\psi$ . It is easy to check that the above Lemma is satisfied for  $b = 0$ ,  $\pi = \sin(\theta)$ , and

$$aa^\top \nabla \log \pi = -a \otimes \nabla a = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi & -\sin \psi \\ \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} & \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \\ -\cos \theta \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} & -\cos \theta \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$