

# LOOP SPACES AS HILBERT-HARTOGS MANIFOLDS

M. ANAKKAR, S. IVASHKOVICH

ABSTRACT. We prove that generalized loop spaces of Hartogs manifolds are Hilbert-Hartogs. We prove also that Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds possess a better extension properties that it is postulated in their definition. Finally, we give a list of examples of Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of results	1
2. Hilbert-Hartogs Manifolds	3
3. Loop spaces of Hartogs manifolds are Hilbert-Hartogs	5
References	9

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

**1.1. Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds.** Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional complex manifold,  $q$  a natural number. We say that  $X$  is  $q$ -Hartogs if every holomorphic mapping of a  $q$ -concave Hartogs figure

$$H_q^1(r) := (\Delta^q \times \Delta(r)) \cup (A_{1-r,1}^q \times \Delta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{q+1} \quad (1.1)$$

with values in  $X$  extends to the unit polydisk  $\Delta^{q+1}$ . Here  $\Delta^q(r)$  is a polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^q$  of radius  $r > 0$  and  $A_{r_1,r_2}^q = \Delta^q(r_2) \setminus \bar{\Delta}^q(r_1)$  is a ring domain,  $r_2 > r_1$ . Analogously to the finite dimensional case we say that a complex Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifold (or simply Hilbert-Hartogs when  $q$  is clear from the context) if every holomorphic mapping  $f : H_q^1(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of the  $q$ -concave Hartogs figure with values in  $\mathcal{X}$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\tilde{f} : \Delta^{q+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of the unit polydisk to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Hilbert manifolds in this paper are modeled over  $\ell^2$ . The latter stands for the space of the square integrable sequences of complex numbers with its natural scalar product  $(z, w) = \sum_k z_k \bar{w}_k$  and the norm  $\|z\|^2 = \sum_k |z_k|^2$ . In addition our manifolds, both finite and infinite dimensional, are supposed to be second countable. First of all let us remark that Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds possess much stronger extension properties than postulated in their definition.

**Definition 1.** A  $q$ -concave Hartogs figure in  $\ell^2$  is the following open set

$$H_q^\infty(r) := (\Delta^q \times B^\infty(r)) \cup (A_{1-r,1}^q \times B^\infty), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $0 < r < 1$ .

---

*Date:* March 18, 2022.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary - 32D15, Secondary - 46G20, 46T25.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert manifold, analytic disk, analytic continuation, loop space.

\* Supported by the Labex Cempi ANR-11-LABX-0007-01.

Here  $B^\infty(r)$  stands for the ball in  $\mathbb{I}^2$  of radius  $r > 0$  centered at origin. When writing  $D \times \mathcal{B}$ , where  $D$  is a subset in  $\mathbb{C}^q$  with coordinates  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_q)$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subset in  $\mathbb{I}^2$  with coordinates  $w = (w_1, \dots)$  we always mean the obvious subset in  $\mathbb{I}^2$  with coordinates  $(z, w) = (z_1, \dots, z_q, w_1, \dots)$ , namely  $D \times \mathcal{B} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : z \in D, w \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifold. Then every holomorphic mapping  $f : H_q^\infty(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  extends to a holomorphic mapping  $\tilde{f} : \Delta^q \times B^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .*

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following statement. A domain  $\mathcal{D}$  in a complex Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{X}$  with the boundary of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is called  $q$ -pseudoconcave at its boundary point  $p$  if the complex Hessian of a local defining  $\mathcal{D}$  function at  $p$  has at least  $q$  negative eigenvalues when restricted to  $T_p^c \partial \mathcal{D}$ . Here by saying that  $\rho$  defines  $\mathcal{D}$  at  $p \in \partial \mathcal{D}$  we mean that for some neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $p$  one has  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{U} = \{x : \rho(x) < 0\}$  (and of course  $\nabla \rho|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$  never vanishes).

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a domain in a complex Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{X}$  which is  $q$ -pseudoconcave at a boundary point  $p$ . Then every holomorphic map  $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  to a  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{Y}$  extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of  $p$ .*

The proof of this corollary follows from Theorem 1 by appropriately placing the Hartogs figure  $H_q^\infty(r)$  near  $p \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**1.2. Loop spaces as Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds.** Our main goal in this paper is to show that one finds Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds more often than one could expect. In order to provide such examples we concentrate our attention in section 3 on loop spaces of complex manifolds. Fix a smooth real compact manifold  $S$ , with or without boundary, and a finite dimensional complex manifold  $X$ . Then the manifold  $\mathcal{W}_{S,X}^{k,2} := W^{k,2}(S, X)$  of Sobolev  $W^{k,2}$ -maps carries a natural structure of a complex Hilbert manifold, see [L1] or, section 3. Here  $k \geq n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} S$  to ensure that mappings from this space are continuous. The manifold  $\mathcal{W}_{S,X}^{k,2}$  is usually called a *generalized loops space* of  $X$ . We prove the following statement.

**Theorem 2.** *A generalized loop space of a  $q$ -Hartogs complex manifold is a  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifold.*

This provides us a lot of interesting examples of infinite dimensional Hilbert-Hartogs manifolds. For example let  $S = \mathbb{S}^1$  be the circle and  $X$  a connected Riemann surface. Remark that  $X$  is 1-Hartogs if and only if  $X$  is different from the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (see section 3 for details). Therefore all loop spaces  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{S}^1, X}^{1,2}$  for  $X \neq \mathbb{P}^1$  are Hilbert-Hartogs. Another example is obtained by letting  $G$  be a complex Lie group. Then by [ASY]  $G$  is Hartogs. Therefore the loop space, which is classically denoted as  $LG$ , is also Hartogs (1-Hartogs to be precise). From the results of [Iv1, Iv2, IS], which determine Hartogs manifolds of complex dimensions 2 and 3 we get the following statement.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension 2 (resp. of dimension 3). Then:*

- i) *either  $X$  contains a 2-dimensional spherical shell (resp. a 3-dimensional shell), or a rational curve (resp. a uniruled complex surface),*
- iii) *or, every generalized loop space  $\mathcal{W}_{S,X}^{k,2}$  is 1-Hartogs (resp. 2-Hartogs).*

$X$  can contain both shells and rational curves. Both these objects are obstructions to holomorphic extension. But if  $X$  doesn't contain neither shells no rational curves then  $X$  is Hartogs (1-Hartogs if  $\dim X = 2$  and 2-Hartogs if  $\dim X = 3$ ). It might be interesting to think about  $X$  from this Corollary as being (an unknown) surface of class  $VII_0^+$  or as  $\mathbb{S}^6$  (provided it exists as a complex manifold), see subsection 3.3 for more details and explanations.

*Acknowledgment.* The Authors would like to express their gratitude to our colleague Léa Blanc-Centi for useful discussions around the results of this paper. We would like also to thank the Referee of this paper who pointed to us a serious gap in its first version.

## 2. HILBERT-HARTOGS MANIFOLDS

**2.1. 1-complete neighborhoods.** In this subsection we recall and make a bit more precise the results from [AZ]. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{I}^2$ . Let furthermore  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *We say that  $u$  is strictly plurisubharmonic if for every  $z \in \mathcal{U}$*

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}(z) v_k \bar{v}_l \geq c(z) \|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{I}^2,$$

with  $c(z)$  strictly positive and continuous on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a complex Hilbert manifold modeled over  $\mathbb{I}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an open subset in  $\mathcal{X}$ . A function  $u : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called strictly plurisubharmonic if for every coordinate chart  $\varphi_j : \mathcal{V}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_j \subset \mathbb{I}^2$  the composition  $u \circ \varphi_j^{-1}$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ .

By a closed coordinate ball we shall mean a closed subset  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that there exists a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{V}_j, \varphi_j, \mathcal{U}_j)$  with

- 1)  $\bar{B}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ ;
- 2)  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \varphi_j^{-1}(\bar{B}^\infty)$ , where  $\bar{B}^\infty$  is the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{I}^2$ .

In this situation  $\mathcal{B} := \varphi_j^{-1}(B^\infty)$  will be called an open coordinate ball, or simply a coordinate ball. Here  $B^\infty$  is the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{I}^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a *connected* compact in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *By a 1-complete neighborhood of  $\mathcal{K}$  we understand an open set  $\mathcal{V} \supset \mathcal{K}$  such that:*

- i)  $\mathcal{V}$  is contained in a finite union of open coordinate balls centered at points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{V}_j \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{V}_j = \varphi_j^{-1}(B^\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_j^{-1}(0) = k_j \in \mathcal{K};$$

- ii)  $\mathcal{V}$  possesses a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $u : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, t_0)$ , i.e.,

- for every  $t < t_0$  one has that  $\overline{u^{-1}([0, t])} \subset \mathcal{V}$ ,
- for all  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_0$  one has that  $u^{-1}([0, t_1]) \subset u^{-1}([0, t_2])$ ,
- $\mathcal{V} = u^{-1}([0, t_0])$  and  $u^{-1}(0)$  is a single point in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

All closures are taken in the topology of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We shall need the following result from [AZ]. By an analytic  $q$ -disk in a complex Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{X}$  we understand an image  $\varphi(\bar{D})$  of the closure of a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^q$  under a holomorphic imbedding  $\varphi : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , which is defined in a neighborhood of  $\bar{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be an imbedded analytic  $q$ -disk in a second countable complex Hilbert manifold modeled over  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $\varphi(\bar{D})$  admits a fundamental system of 1-complete neighborhoods.*

The proof of 2.1 follows the main lines of the first part of Royden's proof from [Ro]. After trivializing the tubular neighborhood of  $\varphi(\bar{D})$  to a sufficiently high degree one considers for every  $0 < \lambda < 1$  a function  $\varphi_\lambda(u, v) = \theta(u) + \lambda^{-1}\|v\|^2$ . Here  $u$  is a coordinate "along"  $\varphi(D)$  and  $\theta$  is a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function of  $\bar{D} = \{\theta(u) \leq 1\}$ ,  $v$  is a "normal" to  $\varphi(D)$  coordinate. The coordinates  $u$  and  $v$  are not holomorphic but only smooth ones obtained by patching the local holomorphic coordinates. Using a sufficient degree of triviality of the tubular neighborhood one proves that these  $\varphi_\lambda$  are strictly plurisubharmonic. And then one defines 1-complete neighborhoods of  $\varphi(\bar{D})$  as follows:  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \{\varphi_\lambda(u, v) < 1 + \lambda\}$ .

**2.2. Infinite dimensional Hartogs figures.** Now we shall prove Theorem 1 from the Introduction. For a unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^q$  orthogonal to  $\mathbb{C}^q$  set  $L_v := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_q, v\}$ . Here we identify  $\mathbb{C}^q$  with the subspace  $\mathbb{C}^q$  of  $\mathbb{C}^q$  generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_q$ . Note that  $L_v \cap H_q^\infty(r) = H_q^1(r)$  and therefore given a holomorphic mapping  $f : H_q^\infty(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  its restriction to  $L_v \cap H_q^\infty(r)$  holomorphically extends to  $L_v \cap (\Delta^q \times B^\infty)$ . We conclude that for every line  $\langle v \rangle \perp \mathbb{C}^q$  the restriction  $f|_{L_v}$  holomorphically extends to  $L_v \cap (\Delta^q \times B^\infty)$ , giving us an extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  to  $\Delta^q \times B^\infty$ . This extension is correctly defined because for unit vectors  $v \neq w$  orthogonal to  $\mathbb{C}^q$  the spaces  $L_v$  and  $L_w$  intersect only along  $\mathbb{C}^q \times \{0\}$ .

Let us prove the continuity of  $\tilde{f}$ . Consider a sequence  $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Delta^q \times B^\infty$ . Write it as  $Z_n = (z^n, w^n)$  with  $z^n \in \Delta^q$  and  $w^n \in B^\infty$ . Suppose that  $Z_n$  converges to  $Z_0 = (z^0, w^0) \in \Delta^q \times B^\infty$ . Take  $R$  such that  $1 - r < R < 1$  with  $\|z^n\|, \|w^n\| < R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as well as  $\|z^0\|, \|w^0\| < R$ . Let  $\varphi_n : \bar{\Delta}^{q+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be an analytic  $(q+1)$ -disk defined by  $\varphi_n(z, \eta) = \tilde{f}(Rz, \eta w^n)$ . Theorem 2.1 gives a 1-complete neighborhood  $V$  of the graph of  $\varphi_0(z, \eta) = \tilde{f}(Rz, \eta w^0)$  over  $\bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$ . For  $w^n$  close enough to  $w^0$  the graph of  $\varphi_n$  over  $L_{w^n} \cap H_q^\infty(r)$  is contained in  $V$  because  $L_{w^n} \cap H_q^\infty(r) \subset H_q^\infty(r)$  where  $\tilde{f}$  is holomorphic. By the maximum principle for subharmonic functions the graph of  $\varphi_n$  over the whole polydisk  $\bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$  is contained in the neighborhood  $V$  for  $n$  big enough. This implies that  $\varphi_n$  converge uniformly to  $\varphi_0$  on  $\bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$ . Indeed, since  $\varphi_0$  is uniformly continuous we can find for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(\varphi_0(x), \varphi_0(y)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y \in \bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$  such that  $\|x - y\| < \delta$ . Here  $d$  is a Riemannian metric compatible with the topology on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\delta < \varepsilon$ . For  $n \gg N(\delta)$  there exists for every  $x \in \bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$  an  $y_n \in \bar{\Delta}^{q+1}$  such that  $\text{dist}((x, \varphi_n(x)), (y_n, \varphi_0(y_n))) < \delta$ , here  $\text{dist}$  is the product distance on  $\Delta^{q+1} \times \mathcal{X}$ . But then  $\|x - y_n\| < \delta$  and therefore  $d(\varphi_0(x), \varphi_0(y_n)) < \varepsilon$ . As the result we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}((x, \varphi_n(x)), (x, \varphi_0(x))) &< \text{dist}((x, \varphi_n(x)), (y_n, \varphi_0(y_n))) + \\ &+ \text{dist}((y_n, \varphi_0(y_n)), (x, \varphi_0(x))) < \delta + \delta + \varepsilon < 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\tilde{f}(z^0, w^0) = \varphi_0\left(\frac{z^0}{R}, 1\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n\left(\frac{z^n}{R}, 1\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(z^n, w^n)$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous.

Now let us prove that this extension is Gâteaux differentiable. Take again some  $Z_0 = (z^0, w^0) \in \Delta^q \times B^\infty$  and fix some direction  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $l = \{Z_0 + tv : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$  be the line through  $Z_0$  in the direction  $v$ . Set  $L := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_q, v_2\}$ . Remark that  $L$  contains  $\mathbb{C}^q$  and  $l$ . Note also that

$$L \cap H_q^\infty(r) = H_q^2(r) := (\Delta^q \times B^2(r)) \cup (A_{1-r,1}^q \times B^2) \subset \mathbb{C}^{q+2} \quad (2.1)$$

is a Hartogs figure of bidimension  $(q, 2)$ . The restriction  $g := \tilde{f}|_{\Delta^q \times B^2}$  is continuous and holomorphic on  $H_q^2(r)$ . Holomorphicity of  $g$  on the whole  $\Delta^q \times B^2$  is a local question and follows from the classical Hartogs separate analyticity theorem. Therefore  $\tilde{f}$  is holomorphic on  $\Delta^q \times B^2$ . In particular it is differentiable in the direction of  $v$  at  $Z_0$ , i.e., is Gâteaux differentiable. Every continuous Gâteaux differentiable map is holomorphic, see Theorem 8.7 in [Mu], therefore Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** One can also consider the following version of an infinite dimensional Hartogs figure:

$$H_\infty^\infty(r) = (B^\infty \times B^\infty(r)) \cup ((B^\infty \setminus \bar{B}^\infty(1-r)) \times B^\infty). \quad (2.2)$$

Analogously to the proof of theorem above one can prove the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Holomorphic maps from  $H_\infty^\infty(r)$  to  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifolds extend to  $B^\infty \times B^\infty$  for any value of  $q \geq 1$ .*

Indeed, let  $f : H_\infty^\infty(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Choose vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{q-1}$  in  $l^2$  and let  $l_{q-1}^2$  denote their linear span. For any  $v \in (l_{q-1}^2)^\perp$ , one has:

$$(\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{q-1}, v\} \times l^2) \cap H_\infty^\infty(r) = H_q^\infty(r). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, by Theorem 1  $f$  extends along  $L_v$  for all  $v \in (l_{q-1}^2)^\perp$  to  $\tilde{f} : B^\infty \times B^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . The proof of continuity and Gateaux differentiability is the same as above.

### 3. LOOP SPACES OF HARTOGS MANIFOLDS ARE HILBERT-HARTOGS

**3.1. Loop spaces of complex manifolds.** Fix a compact, connected,  $n$ -dimensional smooth real manifold  $S$  with or without boundary and let us following [L1] describe the natural complex Hilbert structure on the Sobolev manifold  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$  of  $W^{k,2}$ -maps of  $S$  to a finite dimensional complex manifold  $X$ . To speak about Sobolev spaces it is convenient to suppose that  $X$  is smoothly imbedded to some  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by Whitney Imbedding Theorem, see ex. [Mi]. If  $X$  is not compact, we suppose that this imbedding is proper. For the following basic facts about Sobolev spaces we refer to [T].

i)  $f \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff (1 + \|\xi\|)^k \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Moreover this correspondence is an isometry by the Plancherel identity. One defines then for any positive real number  $s$  the Sobolev-Slobodetskii space  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : (1 + \|\xi\|)^s \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ .

ii) If  $s \geq \frac{n}{2} + \alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  then  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and this inclusion is a compact operator. In particular  $W^{n,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

iii) If  $s > \frac{n}{2} + k$  for a positive integer  $k$ , then  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

iv) If  $0 \leq s < \frac{n}{2}$  then  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

From (ii) one easily derives that if  $f, g \in W^{n,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $fg \in W^{n,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This enables one to define correctly a  $W^{k,2}$ -vector bundle over an  $n$ -dimensional real manifold provided  $k \geq n$ . By that we mean that the transition functions of the bundle are in  $W^{k,2}$ . Condition  $k \geq n$  will be always assumed from now on. Take now  $f \in W^{k,2}(S, X)$ . Note that by (ii) such  $f$  is Hölder continuous. Consider the pullback  $f^*TX$  as a complex Sobolev bundle over  $S$ . A neighborhood  $V_f$  of the zero section of  $f^*TX \rightarrow S$  is an open set of the complex

Hilbert space  $W^{k,2}(S, f^*TX)$  of Sobolev sections of the pullback bundle. This  $V_f$  can be naturally identified with a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$ , thus providing a structure of complex Hilbert manifold on  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$ ; see [F] and Lemma 2.1 in [L1] for more details on this construction.

Another way to understand this complex structure on  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$  is to describe what are analytic disks in  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $D$  and  $X$  be finite dimensional complex manifolds and let  $S$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact real manifold with boundary. A mapping  $F : D \times S \rightarrow X$  represents a holomorphic map from  $D$  to  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$  (denoted by the same letter  $F$ ) if and only if the following holds:*

- i) for every  $s \in S$  the map  $F(\cdot, s) : D \rightarrow X$  is holomorphic;
- ii) for every  $z \in D$  one has  $F(z, \cdot) \in W^{k,2}(S, X)$  and this correspondence  $D \ni z \rightarrow F(z, \cdot) \in W^{k,2}(S, X)$  is continuous with respect to the Sobolev topology on  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$  (and the standard topology on  $D$ ).

For the proof we refer to [L1]. Now let us prove the Theorem 2 from the Introduction.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $F : H_q^1(r) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(S, X)$  be a holomorphic map. We represent it as a map  $F : H_q^1(r) \times S \rightarrow X$  enjoying properties (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.1 above. From the fact that  $X$  is  $q$ -Hartogs we get that for every fixed  $s \in S$  the mapping  $F(\cdot, s) : H_q^1(r) \rightarrow X$  extends holomorphically to  $F(\cdot, s) : \Delta^{q+1} \rightarrow X$  and we get a mapping  $F : \Delta^{q+1} \times S \rightarrow X$ . We need to prove that for every fixed  $z \in \Delta^{q+1}$  one has that  $F(z, \cdot) \in W^{k,2}(S, X)$  and that this correspondence is continuous.

Fix some  $z_0 \in \Delta^{q+1}$  and some  $s_0 \in S$ . Take  $R < 1$  such that  $z_0 \in \Delta_R^{q+1}$ . Let  $g_{s_0}$  be the map to the graph  $\Gamma_{F(*, s_0)}$  of  $F(*, s_0)$ , i.e.,  $g_{s_0}$  is defined by

$$g_{s_0} : \Delta^{q+1} \ni z \longrightarrow (z, F(z, s_0)) \in \Delta^{q+1} \times X. \quad (3.1)$$

By Royden's Lemma for  $R < 1$  there exists a holomorphic embedding  $G : \Delta_R^{q+1} \times \Delta_R^m \rightarrow \Delta^{q+1} \times X$  such that  $G(*, 0) = g_{s_0}$ , where  $m = \dim(X)$ . Then  $V = G(\Delta_R^{q+1} \times \Delta_R^m)$  contains  $\Gamma_{F(*, s_0)}$  over  $\Delta_R^{q+1}$ . Since on  $H_q^1(r)$  the map  $z \mapsto g_*(z) \in W^{k,2}(S, X)$  is continuous, there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for  $s \in B(s_0, \epsilon)$  the graph  $\Gamma(*, s)$  over  $H_q^1(r)$  is contained in  $V$ . Therefore by the Hartogs theorem for holomorphic functions the graph  $\Gamma(*, s)$  over  $\Delta_R^{q+1}$  is contained in  $V$  as well. By the maximum principle one has for  $z \in \Delta_R^{q+1}$  and  $s \in B(s_0, \epsilon)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G^{-1}(g_s(z))\| &\leq \max_{z \in \partial \Delta_R^q \times \partial \Delta_R} \|G^{-1}(g_s(z))\| \leq \\ &\leq C \max_{z \in \partial \Delta_R^q \times \partial \Delta_R} \|G^{-1}(g_*(z))\|_{W^{k,2}(B(s_0, \epsilon), \mathbb{C}^{m+q+1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here  $C$  is the constant from the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem. The mapping

$$z \mapsto G^{-1}(z, F(z, *))$$

is continuous in a neighborhood of  $\partial \Delta_R^q \times \partial \Delta_R \subset H_q^1(r)$ . Set

$$\psi_{(z)}(s) = \pi_2 \circ G^{-1}(z, F(z, s)) \in W^{k,2}(B(s_0, \epsilon), \mathbb{C}^m),$$

where  $\pi_2 : \Delta_R^{q+1} \times \Delta_R^m \rightarrow \Delta_R^m$  is the natural projection and consider its Fourier transform  $\hat{\psi}$ . From the inequality (3.2) we see that there exists a constant, namely

$$M_{s_0} = \max_{z \in \partial \Delta_R^q \times \partial \Delta_R} \|(1 + \|\cdot\|)^k \hat{\psi}_{(z)}(\cdot)\|_{L^2(B(s_0, \epsilon), \mathbb{C}^m)},$$

such that for all  $z \in \Delta^{q+1}$  one has

$$\|(1 + \|\cdot\|)^k \hat{\psi}_{(z)}(\cdot)\|_{L^2(B(s_0, \epsilon), \mathbb{C}^m)} \leq M_{s_0}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $S$  is compact one can cover it by a finite number of balls  $\{B(s_0, \epsilon)\}_{s_0 \in J}$  and by taking the maximum  $M = \max_{s_0 \in J} M_{s_0}$  one obtains the inequality

$$\|(1 + \|\cdot\|)^k \hat{\psi}_{(z)}(\cdot)\|_{L^2(S, \mathbb{C}^m)} \leq M. \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore for all  $z \in \Delta_R^{q+1}$  the mapping  $\psi_{(z)}$  is in  $W^{k,2}(S, \mathbb{C}^n)$  and consequently the map  $F(z, \cdot)$  is in  $W^{k,2}(S, X)$ . Now let us see that the correspondence  $z \mapsto F(z, \cdot)$  is continuous in the variable  $z$  in Sobolev topology. Indeed, the map  $z \mapsto (1 + \|\cdot\|)^k \hat{\psi}_{(z)}(\cdot)$  is a holomorphic Hilbert space valued mapping that satisfies the maximum modulus principle, i.e., in particular it will continuously depend on  $z$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The following statement gives us one more example of pairs of open sets  $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \hat{\mathcal{U}}$  in a Hilbert manifold such that holomorphic mappings extend from  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ ; the previous one was  $H_q^\infty(r) \subsetneq \Delta^q \times B^\infty$  of Theorem 1. It shows that  $\hat{\mathcal{U}} := W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$  is the ‘‘envelope of holomorphy’’ of  $\mathcal{U} := W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r))$ . Here  $H_q^n(r) := (\Delta^q \times \Delta^n(r)) \cup (A_{1-r,1}^q \times \Delta^n)$  stands for the  $q$ -concave Hartogs figure in  $\mathbb{C}^{q+n}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a  $q$ -Hartogs Hilbert manifold. Then every holomorphic map  $F : W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\tilde{F} : W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f = (f^q, f^n) : S \rightarrow \Delta^q \times \Delta^n$  be an element of  $W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$ . Consider the analytic  $q$ -disk in  $W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$  defined by

$$\varphi : (z, s) \in \Delta^q \times S \rightarrow (h_{f^q(s)}(z), f^n(s)), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $h_a$  is the following automorphism of  $\Delta^q$  interchanging  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_q)$  and 0

$$h_a(z) = \left( \frac{a_1 - z_1}{1 - \bar{a}_1 z_1}, \dots, \frac{a_q - z_q}{1 - \bar{a}_q z_q} \right).$$

Clearly  $\Phi = \varphi(\bar{\Delta}^q)$  is an analytic disk in  $W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$  possessing the following properties:

- $\varphi(0, s)$  is our loop  $f$ .
- For  $z \in \partial\Delta^q$  one has that  $\varphi(z, \cdot)(S) \subset A_{1-r,1}^q \times \Delta^n$ , therefore  $\partial\Phi \subset W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r))$ .

Consider the  $(q+1)$ -disk in  $W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$  defined by

$$\varphi_t(z, s) := \varphi(z, t, s) = (h_{f^q(s)}(z), t f^n(s)), \quad |t| < 1.$$

Then

- $\varphi_0 \subset \Delta^q \times \{0\}$ .
- $\varphi_1 = \varphi$ .
- For all  $t \in \Delta$  one has that  $\partial\Phi_t \subset W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r))$ .

Therefore for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough the Hartogs figure

$$H_q^1(\varepsilon) := \{\|z\| < 1, |t| < \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - \varepsilon < \|z\| < 1 + \varepsilon, |t| < 1\}$$

is mapped by  $\varphi$  to  $W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r))$ .

We can now thicken it to an infinite dimensional Hartogs figure  $H_q^\infty(\varepsilon)$  by multiplying it with  $B^\infty(\varepsilon)$ , where  $B^\infty(\varepsilon)$  is a ball in  $W^{k,2}(S, \mathbb{C}^{q+n})$ . Decreasing  $\varepsilon > 0$  if necessary, we can achieve that the map

$$\tilde{\varphi} : (z, s, f_2) \rightarrow \varphi(z, t, s) + f_2(s)$$

will send  $H_q^\infty(\varepsilon)$  to  $W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r))$ . Applying Theorem 1 we extend  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to  $\Delta^n \times B^\infty$  and therefore  $F$  is extended to a neighborhood of  $\varphi$ . Finally, since  $H_q^n(r)$  and  $\Delta^q \times \Delta^n$  are contractible the manifold  $W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n)$  is simply connected for any  $S$ . This ensures that our extensions give a single valued holomorphic extension of  $F$ . □

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $X$  is a  $q$ -Hartogs complex manifold then every holomorphic mapping  $F : W^{k,2}(S, H_q^n(r)) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(S, X)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping  $\hat{F} : W^{k,2}(S, \Delta^q \times \Delta^n) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(S, X)$ .*

This readily follows from Theorem 3.1 applied to  $q$ -Hartogs by Theorem 2 Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{X} = W^{k,2}(S, X)$ .

**3.3. Loop spaces of compact complex manifolds are “almost Hartogs”.** In this subsection we shall explain how to determine Hartogs manifolds among compact complex manifolds and their loop spaces. Let us start from dimension one.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Every connected Riemann surface except for the Riemann sphere is 1-Hartogs.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a connected Riemann surface. If  $X$  is not compact then it is Stein, see [Na]. But every Stein manifold  $X$  is 1-Hartogs for the following reason. Since  $X$  can be realized as a closed submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  every holomorphic map  $F : H_1^1(r) \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  is given by holomorphic functions which are extendable to  $\Delta^2$  by the classical Hartogs theorem. This gives the extension of  $F$ .

Now let  $X$  be compact. If  $X \neq \mathbb{P}^1$  then its universal cover is either the disk  $\Delta$  or the complex line  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $H_1^1(r)$  and  $\Delta^2$  are both simply connected the extension of  $F : H_1^1(r) \rightarrow X$  is equivalent to the extension of its lift  $\tilde{F} : H_1^1(r) \rightarrow \tilde{X} \equiv \Delta$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . And the latter is just a holomorphic function.

Finally, if  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  then  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \ni (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow [z_1 : z_2]$  gives an example of a non-extendable map. □

Therefore Theorem 2 implies the following

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected Riemann surface different from the Riemann sphere. Then the loop space  $\mathcal{W}_{S^1, X}^{1,2}$  is a 1-Hartogs Hilbert manifold.*

In [Iv2] we introduced the class  $\mathcal{G}_q$  of  $q$ -disk convex complex manifolds having a strictly positive  $dd^c$ -closed  $(q, q)$ -form. The sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_q\}_{q=1}^\infty$  is rather exhaustive:  $\mathcal{G}_q$  contains all compact manifolds of dimension  $q+1$ , see subsection 1.5 in [Iv2] for more details.

We think that the following statement should be true:

**Conjecture.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold from the class  $\mathcal{G}_q$ . Then*

- i) *either  $X$  contains a  $(q+1)$ -dimensional spherical shell, or  $X$  contains an uniruled compact subvariety of dimension  $q$ ;*
- ii) *or,  $X$  is  $q$ -Hartogs.*



**Remark 3.1. a)** This was proved in [Iv2] for  $q = 1$  (in fact this particular statement was proved already in [Iv1]), and in [IS] for  $q = 2$ . In the latter paper we proved almost the assertion stated above (for all values of  $q$ ), but for holomorphic mappings with zero-dimensional fibers, see Proposition 12 there. The main difficulty in proving the general case of this conjecture is that the product  $\Delta^q \times X$  doesn't admit a positive  $dd^c$ -closed  $(q, q)$ -form in general if even  $X$  does. This produces difficulties in estimating the areas of graphs of holomorphic maps from  $\Delta^q$  to  $X$ . These estimates play crucial role in the proofs in [Iv1, Iv2] and [IS].

**2.** For  $q = 1$  the item (i) means just that  $X$  contains a shell or a rational curve. For  $q = 2$  we need to add few explanations to [IS]. We proved there that a meromorphic map from  $H_2^1(r)$  to such  $X$  meromorphically extends to  $\Delta^3 \setminus S$ , where  $S$  is a complete pluripolar set of Hausdorff dimension zero. If  $S \neq \emptyset$  then  $X$  contains a spherical shell of dimension 3. Otherwise  $S$  is empty. If our map  $f$  was in addition holomorphic on  $H_2^1(r)$  then the set  $I_{\tilde{f}}$  of points of indeterminacy of the extension  $\tilde{f}$  can only be discrete and then it is clear that for every  $a \in I_{\tilde{f}}$  its full image  $\tilde{f}[a]$  contains an uniruled analytic set of dimension two.

**3. Proof of Corollary 2 from the Introduction.** If  $X$  is not as in (i) or (ii) then  $X$  is 1-Hartogs if  $\dim X = 2$  (resp. 2-Hartogs if  $\dim X = 3$ ). Theorem 2 gives that the corresponding loop spaces are Hilbert-Hartogs as claimed.

**4.** Let us make few remarks about a possible interest in Corollary 2. The main problem in classifying surfaces of Kodaira class  $VII_0$  with second Betti number  $> 0$  is to prove that they contain rational curves. Concerning spherical shells, if such  $X$  contains such a shell it is a deformation of a blown-up Hopf surface. Therefore the 2-dimensional part of Corollary 2 specifies that if  $X$  has no rational curves and no shells (*i.e.*, is “totally unknown”) then one can say a good thing about it: it is 1-Hartogs itself as well as all its loop spaces. An analogous thing can be said about a complex structure on  $\mathbb{S}^6$  (if it exists).

**Remark 3.2.** Finally let us discuss compact manifolds of dimension  $q$ . Note that they belong to  $\mathcal{G}_q$ . Indeed, take any volume form on such  $X$ . It has bidegree  $(q, q)$  and is vacuously  $dd^c$ -closed. It was proved by F. Chazal in [Ch] that every compact manifolds of dimension  $q$  is *meromorphically*  $q$ -Hartogs in the sense that meromorphic maps with values in such  $X$  extend from  $H_q^1(r)$  to  $\Delta^{q+1}$ . Suppose there exists a holomorphic map  $f : H_q^1(r) \rightarrow X$  which extend to  $\Delta^{q+1}$  only meromorphically. By the same reasoning as in the previous remark we get a uniruled subspace of  $X$  of dimension  $q-1$ . On the other hand if every  $f$  extends holomorphically then this  $X$  is  $q$ -Hartogs and so are its generalized loop spaces.

## REFERENCES

- [ASY] ADACHI K., SUZUKI M., YOSIDA M.: *Continuation of holomorphic mappings with values in a complex Lie group.* Pacific J. Math. **47**, 1-4 (1973).
- [AZ] ANAKKAR M., ZAGORODNUK A.: *On Hilbert-Hartogs Manifolds.* arXiv: 1112.2504 (2019), to appear in Compl. Var. & Ell. Eq. DOI:10.1080/17476933.2019.1687458 .
- [Bh] BEHNKE H.: *Zur Theorie der analytischen Funktionen mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen. Der Kontinuitätssatz und die Regulärkonvexität.* Math. Ann. **113**, 392-397 (1937).
- [Bu] BUNGART L.: *On analytic fibre bundles I. Holomorphic fibre bundles with infinite dimensional fibers.* Topology **7**, no: 1, 55-68, (1968).

- [Ch] CHAZAL F.: *Un théorème de prolongement d'application méromorphes*. Math. Ann. **320**, no: 2, 285-297 (2001).
- [F] FORSTNERIC F.: *Manifolds of holomorphic mappings from strongly pseudoconvex domains*. Asian. J. Math. **11**, no. 1, 113-126 (2007).
- [Iv1] IVASHKOVICH S.: *Spherical shells as obstructions for the extension of holomorphic mappings*. J. Geom. Anal. **2**, 231-371 (1992).
- [Iv2] IVASHKOVICH S.: *Extension properties of meromorphic mappings with values in non-Kähler manifolds*. Annals of Math. **160**, 795-837 (2004).
- [IS] IVASHKOVICH S., SHIFFMAN B.: *Compact singularities of meromorphic mappings between complex 3-dimensional manifolds*. Math. Res. Let. **7**, 695-708 (2000).
- [L1] LEMPert L.: *Holomorphic functions on (generalized) Loop spaces*. Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **104A**, no:1, 35-46 (2004).
- [L2] LEMPert L.: *Analytic continuation in mapping spaces*. Pure Appl. Math. Q. **6**, no: 4, 1051-1080 (2010).
- [Mi] MILNOR J.: *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem*. Princeton University Press (1965).
- [Mu] MUJICA J.: *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*. Math. Studies **120**, North-Holland (1985).
- [Na] NARASIMHAN R.: *Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds*. North-Holland, (1968).
- [Ro] ROYDEN H.: *The extension of regular holomorphic maps*. Proc. AMS **43**, no: 2, 306-310 (1974).
- [T] TAYLOR M.: *Partial differential equations I. Basic theory. Second edition*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, **115**. Springer, New York, xxii+654 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4419-7054-1, 35-01 (2011).

\* UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE-1, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, FRANCE.  
E-mail address: ivachkov@math.univ-lille1.fr , mohammed.anakkar@univ-lille.fr