

Exact bosonization in arbitrary dimensions

Yu-An Chen^{*}

^{*}California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

January 21, 2021

Abstract

We extend the previous results of exact bosonization, mapping from fermionic operators to Pauli matrices, in 2d and 3d to arbitrary dimensions. This bosonization map gives a duality between any fermionic system in arbitrary n spatial dimensions and a new class of $(n - 1)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theories in n dimensions with a modified Gauss's law. This map preserves locality and has an explicit dependence on the second Stiefel-Whitney class and a choice of spin structure on the spatial manifold. A new formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes on lattices is derived. In the Euclidean path integral, this exact bosonization map is equivalent to introducing a topological "Steenrod square" term to the spacetime action.

1 Introduction and Summary

It is well known that every fermionic lattice system in 1d is dual to a lattice system of spins with a \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry (and vice versa). The duality is kinematic (independent of a particular Hamiltonian) and arises from the Jordan-Wigner transformation. Recently it has been shown that any fermionic lattice system in 2d is dual to a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory with an unusual Gauss's law [1]. The fermion can be identified with the flux excitation of the gauge theory, which is described by the "Chern-Simons-like" term $i\pi \int A \cup \delta A$ in the spacetime action. The 2d duality is also kinematic. This approach has been generalized to 3d [2]. Every fermionic lattice system in 3d is dual to a \mathbb{Z}_2

2-form gauge theory with an unusual Gauss's law. Here "2-form gauge theory" means that the \mathbb{Z}_2 variables live on faces (2-simplices), while the parameters of the gauge symmetry live on edges (1-simplices). 2-form gauge theories in 3+1D have local flux excitations, and the unusual Gauss's law ensures that these excitations are fermions. This Gauss's law can be described by the "Steenrod square" topological action $i\pi \int B \cup B + B \cup_1 \delta B$. The form of the modified Gauss's law was first observed in [3]: a bosonization of fermionic systems in n dimensions must have a global $(n-1)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry with a particular 't Hooft anomaly. The standard Gauss's law leads to a trivial 't Hooft anomaly, so bosonization requires us to modify it in a particular way.

In this paper, we extend these results to arbitrary n dimensions. We show that every fermionic lattice system in n -dimension is dual to a \mathbb{Z}_2 $(n-1)$ -form gauge theory with a modified Gauss's law. Our bosonization map is kinematic and local in the same sense as the Jordan-Wigner map¹: every local observable on the fermionic side, including the Hamiltonian density, is mapped to a local gauge-invariant observable on the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory side. In the Euclidean picture, we show explicitly that our bosonization map is equivalent to introducing the topological term in the action:

$$S_{\text{top}} = i\pi \int_Y (A_{n-1} \cup_{n-3} A_{n-1} + A_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \delta A_{n-1}), \quad (1)$$

where A_{n-1} is a $(n-1)$ -form gauge field, a $(n-1)$ -cochain $A_{n-1} \in C^{n-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and Y is $(n+1)$ -dimensional spacetime manifold. When A_{n-1} is closed, i.e., $\delta A = 0$, this term reduces to the Steenrod square operator [4]. This "Steenrod square" term appears in the Lagrangian of fermionic symmetry-protected-topological (SPT) phases [5] and it is indirectly argued that this term plays the role of statistical transmutation, which makes the theory fermionic [6, 7]. Our approach provides an explicit Hamiltonian picture and the bosonization/fermionization procedure is exact, which gives the direct construction for supercohomology fermionic SPT phases. The quantum circuit for the supercohomology SPT ground state and its commuting projector Hamiltonian are derived explicitly in Ref. [8]. All supercohomology fermionic SPT

¹We only consider the locality preserving map here. Although Jordan-Wigner transformation can map a single fermionic operator into spins, it contains a string operator, which is highly nonlocal. Our bosonization map and Jordan-Wigner transformation both preserve the locality of observables in fermionic systems.

phases in arbitrary dimensions can be constructed from the bosonization map presented in this paper.

There are already several proposals for an analog of the Jordan-Wigner map in arbitrary dimensions [9, 10, 11, 12]. Our construction is most similar to that of Bravyi and Kitaev [9]. One advantage of our construction is that we can clearly identify the kind of n -dimensional bosonic systems that are dual to fermionic systems: they possess global $(n-1)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry with a specific 't Hooft anomaly, as proposed in [3]. It is also manifest in our approach that the bosonization map depends on a choice of spin structure.

2 Chains, Cochains, and Higher Cup Products

In this section, we introduce the mathematical tools used in this paper. Our notations and conventions are also described. We will always work with an arbitrary triangulation of a closed simply-connected n -dimensional manifold M_n equipped with a branching structure (orientations on edges without forming a loop in any triangle)². The vertices, edges, faces, and tetrahedra are denoted v, e, f, t , respectively. The general d -simplex is denoted as Δ_d . We can label the vertices of Δ_d as $0, 1, 2, \dots, d$ such that the directions of edges are from the small number to the larger number. We denote this d -simplex as $\Delta_d = \langle 01 \dots d \rangle$. Its boundaries are $(d-1)$ -simplices $\langle 0, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, d \rangle$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, where \hat{i} means i is omitted. A formal sum of d -simplices modulo 2 forms an element of the chain $C_d(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

For every v , we define its dual 0-cochain \mathbf{v} , which takes value 1 on v , and 0 otherwise, i.e. $\mathbf{v}(v') = \delta_{v,v'}$. Similarly, \mathbf{e} is an 1-cochain $\mathbf{e}(e') = \delta_{e,e'}$, and so forth, i.e., $\mathbf{\Delta}_d$ being a d -cochain $\mathbf{\Delta}_d(\Delta'_d) = \delta_{\Delta_d, \Delta'_d}$. All dual cochains will be denoted in bold. A d -cochain $\mathbf{c}_d \in C^d(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ can be identified as a \mathbb{Z}_2 field living on each d -simplex Δ_d , with the value $\mathbf{c}_d(\Delta_d)$. An evaluation of a cochain \mathbf{c} on a chain c' is the sum of \mathbf{c} evaluated on simplices in c' , which is denoted $\int_{c'} \mathbf{c} = \sum_{\Delta \in c'} \mathbf{c}(\Delta)$. When the integration range is not written, \mathbf{c} is assumed to be the top dimension and $\int \mathbf{c} \equiv \int_{M_n} \mathbf{c}$.

²A direct construction of branching structure is to arbitrarily assign different real numbers on all vertices. For each edge, the arrow is pointed from the smaller number to the larger number.

The boundary operator is denoted by ∂ . For an n -simplex Δ_n , $\partial\Delta_n$ consists of all boundary $(n-1)$ -simplices of Δ_n :

$$\partial(\langle 0, 1, 2, \dots, d \rangle) = \sum_{i=0}^d \langle 0, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, d \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The coboundary operator is denoted by δ (not to be confused with the Kronecker delta previously). On a 0-cochain \mathbf{v} , $\delta\mathbf{v}$ is an 1-cochain acting on edges, and is 1 if ∂e contains v and 0 otherwise:

$$\delta\mathbf{v}(e) = \mathbf{v}(\partial e) = \delta_{v, \partial e}. \quad (3)$$

It is similar for simplices in any dimension. For any d -cochain $\mathbf{c} \in C^d(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, its coboundary $\delta\mathbf{c} \in C^{d+1}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ acting on a $(d+1)$ -simplex $\Delta_{d+1} = \langle 0, 1, \dots, d+1 \rangle$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathbf{c}(\Delta_{d+1}) &\equiv \mathbf{c}(\partial\Delta_{d+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{c}(\langle 0, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, d+1 \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The cup product \cup of a p -cochain α_p and a q -cochain β_q is a $(p+q)$ -cochain defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_p \cup \beta_q](\langle 0, 1, \dots, p+q \rangle) &= \alpha_p(\langle 01 \dots p \rangle) \beta_q(\langle p, p+1, \dots, p+q \rangle) \\ &= \alpha_p(\langle 0 \sim p \rangle) \beta_q(\langle p \sim p+q \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $i \sim j$ represents the integers from i to j , i.e. $i, i+1, \dots, j$. The definition of the (higher) cup product \cup_1 [3, 4] is

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_p \cup_1 \beta_q](\langle 0, \dots, p+q-1 \rangle) \\ = \sum_{i_0=0}^{p+q-1} \alpha_p(\langle 0 \sim i_0, i_0+q \sim p+q-1 \rangle) \beta_q(\langle i_0 \sim i_0+q \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and the next cup product \cup_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_p \cup_2 \beta_q](\langle 0, \dots, p+q-2 \rangle) \\ = \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 \leq p+q-2} \alpha_p(\langle 0 \sim i_0, i_1 \sim p+i_1-i_0-1 \rangle) \\ \cdot \beta_q(\langle i_0 \sim i_1, p+i_1-i_0-1 \sim p+q-2 \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The general higher cup product can be expressed as

$$[\alpha_p \cup_a \beta_q](0, 1, \dots, p+q-a) = \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq p+q-a} \alpha_p(\langle 0 \sim i_0, i_1 \sim i_2, i_3 \sim i_4, \dots \rangle) \times \beta_q(\langle i_0 \sim i_1, i_2 \sim i_3, \dots \rangle), \quad (8)$$

where $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_a\}$ are chosen such that the arguments of α_p and β_q contain $p+1$ and $q+1$ numbers separately. For example, we have

$$\alpha_2 \cup_1 \beta_1(\langle 012 \rangle) = \alpha_2(\langle 012 \rangle)\beta_1(\langle 01 \rangle) + \alpha_2(\langle 012 \rangle)\beta_1(\langle 12 \rangle), \quad (9)$$

since the allowed choices are only $(i_0, i_1) = (0, 1)$ and $(i_0, i_1) = (1, 2)$. Another example is




Figure 1: (Color online) A branching structure on a tetrahedron. The orientation of each face is determined by the right-hand rule. We defined this as the “+” tetrahedron, the directions of faces $\langle 012 \rangle$ and $\langle 023 \rangle$ are inward (blue) while the directions of faces $\langle 123 \rangle$ and $\langle 013 \rangle$ are outward (red). The directions of faces are reversed in the “-” tetrahedron (mirror image of this tetrahedron) [2].

$$\alpha_2 \cup_1 \beta_2(\langle 0123 \rangle) = \alpha_2(\langle 023 \rangle)\beta_2(\langle 012 \rangle) + \alpha_2(\langle 013 \rangle)\beta_2(\langle 123 \rangle), \quad (10)$$

where the choices of (i_0, i_1) are $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 3)$. Notice that faces $\langle 023 \rangle$ and $\langle 012 \rangle$ are inward, while faces $\langle 013 \rangle$ and $\langle 123 \rangle$ are outward in Fig. 1. Therefore, the \cup_1 product of two 2-cochains acting on a tetrahedron is the sum of the products of 2-cochains acting faces with the same orientation (either both inward or both outward). In Section 4.1, this property can be generalized to higher dimensions: the \cup_{n-2} of two $(n-1)$ -cochains acting on a n -simplex is the sum of the

product of $(n - 1)$ -cochains acting on its boundary $(n - 1)$ -simplices with the same orientation. This geometry interpretation of higher cup product is crucial since it is further shown that this property coincides with the commutation relations of fermionic hopping operators. The fermionic statistic is captured by higher cup products and this makes it convenient to derive the topological action for fermionic theories. Although not directly used in this paper, a higher cup product of arbitrary cochains has a nice geometrical interpretation [13]: the higher cup product measures the intersection between dual cells and thickened, shifted version of other dual cells, where the thickening and shifting are determined by the vector frame field. For example, the simplest cup product formula

$$\alpha_1 \cup \beta_1(\langle 012 \rangle) = \alpha_1(\langle 01 \rangle) \beta_1(\langle 12 \rangle), \quad (11)$$

can be viewed as the intersection point in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: (Color online) In this figure, α_1 is represented by blue segments, dual (attached) to edges $\langle 01 \rangle$, $\langle 12 \rangle$, and $\langle 02 \rangle$ separately. Similarly, β_1 is represented by green segments with a shifting. The red point is the intersection between the blue segment dual to edge $\langle 01 \rangle$ and the green segment dual to edge $\langle 12 \rangle$. Therefore, we can read that the cup product of α_1 and β_1 acting on this triangle $\langle 012 \rangle$ is $\alpha_1(\langle 01 \rangle) \beta_1(\langle 12 \rangle)$.

It should be emphasized that the cup products satisfy the recursive property:

$$\alpha \cup_a \beta + \beta \cup_a \alpha = \alpha \cup_{a+1} \delta \beta + \delta \alpha \cup_{a+1} \beta + \delta(\alpha \cup_{a+1} \beta), \quad (12)$$

which can be interpreted as that the non-commutative property of the \cup_a product is equal to the failure of the product rule of the coboundary operation δ on the \cup_{a+1} product.

Finally, $\Delta_n^1 \supset \Delta_{n'}^2$, or $\Delta_{n'}^2 \subset \Delta_n^1$ means that the simplex Δ_n^1 contains $\Delta_{n'}^2$, as a subsimplex. A general rule of thumb is that the subset symbol always points to one higher dimension.

3 Review of Boson-Fermion Duality in (2+1)D and (3+1)D

We begin by reviewing the duality between fermions and \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory in both two spatial dimensions [1] and three spatial dimensions [2]. On each face f of the 2-manifold M_2 , we place a single pair of fermionic creation-annihilation operators c_f, c_f^\dagger , or equivalently a pair of Majorana fermions:

$$\gamma_f = c_f + c_f^\dagger, \quad \gamma'_f = \frac{c_f - c_f^\dagger}{i} \quad (13)$$

The algebra of Majorana fermions is

$$\{\gamma_f, \gamma_{f'}\} = \{\gamma'_f, \gamma'_{f'}\} = 2\delta_{f,f'}, \quad \{\gamma_f, \gamma'_{f'}\} = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $\{A, B\} = AB - BA$ is the anti-commutator. The even fermionic algebra consists of local observables with a trivial fermionic parity (i.e. $P_F = \prod_f (-1)^{c_f^\dagger c_f} = 1$). It is generated by the on-site fermion parity,

$$P_f = -i\gamma_f \gamma'_f,$$

and the fermionic hopping operator on every edge e ,

$$S_e = i\gamma_{L(e)} \gamma'_{R(e)},$$

where $L(e)$ and $R(e)$ are faces to the left and right of e , with respect to the branching structure of e . The commutation relation of hopping operators can be expressed as:

$$S_e S_{e'} = (-1)^{f_{e \cup e' + e' \cup e}} S_e S_{e'}, \quad (15)$$

where the sign from the commutation occurs only when the arrows on the two edges follow head to tail and are on the same triangle, i.e., edges $\{e, e'\}$ being $\{\langle 01 \rangle, \langle 12 \rangle\}$ of a triangle $\langle 012 \rangle$. In general, for any 1-cochains λ and λ' ,

$$S_{\lambda+\lambda'} \equiv (-1)^{f_{\lambda \cup \lambda'}} S_{\lambda'} S_{\lambda}. \quad (16)$$

In other words, S_λ is the product of S_e over $\{e|\lambda(e) = 1\}$ and the sign in front is consistent with the commutation relations. If we consider the product of fermionic hopping operators on edges around a vertex v , the Majorana operators cancel out up to some P_f terms. The two generators P_f and S_e satisfy the following constraint at each vertex v [1]:

$$(-1)^{\int w_2} S_{\delta v} \prod_f P_f^{f \cup v + v \cup f} = 1 \quad (17)$$

where $w_2 \in C_0(M_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the 0-chain which is Poincaré dual to the second Stiefel-Whitney cohomology class $\mathbf{w}_2(M_2)$. The explicit expression of w_2 is given in Appendix A. We require M_2 to be a spin manifold, i.e., the second Stiefel-Whitney class is exact: $w_2 = \partial E$ for some $E \in C_1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The 1-chain E is a choice of the spin structure. The nonexactness of the second Stiefel-Whitney class is the obstruction to determine this 1-chain E , which prevents us from defining a self-consistent bosonization map, which dualizes the even sector of fermionic Hilbert space to a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory.

The bosonic dual of this system involves \mathbb{Z}_2 -valued spins on the edges of the triangulation. The bosonic algebra are generated by Pauli matrix on edges:

$$X_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Y_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Z_e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

For every face f , we define the flux operator:

$$W_f = \prod_{e \subset f} Z_e, \quad (19)$$

and for every edge e we define a unitary operator U_e which squares to 1:

$$U_e = X_e \left(\prod_{e'} Z_{e'}^{f_{e' \cup e}} \right) \quad (20)$$

where X_e, Z_e are Pauli matrices acting on a spin at the edge e . It has been shown in [1] that the sets $\{U_e, W_f\}$ and $\{S_e, P_f\}$ satisfy the same commutation relations. The boson-fermion duality map defined

on the manifold M_2 is:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_f &= \prod_{e \subset f} Z_e \longleftrightarrow P_f = -i\gamma_f \gamma'_f, \\
U_e &= X_e \left(\prod_{e'} Z_{e'}^{f_{e'} \cup e} \right) \longleftrightarrow (-1)^{f_E} S_e = (-1)^{f_E} i\gamma_{L(e)} \gamma'_{R(e)}, \\
G_v &= \prod_{e \supset v} X_e \left(\prod_{e'} Z_{e'}^{f_{e'} \cup v} \right) \longleftrightarrow (-1)^{f_{w_2}} S_{\delta v} \prod_f P_f^{f \cup v} = 1, \\
\prod_f W_f &= 1 \longleftrightarrow \prod_f P_f
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the 0-chain $w_2 \in C_0(M_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the chain representation of 2nd Stiefel-Whitney class and the 1-chain $E \in C_1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denotes a choice of spin structure ($\partial E = w_2$). For the consistency of this duality map, we need to impose the gauge constraints on bosonic side $\prod_{e \supset v} X_e \left(\prod_{e'} Z_{e'}^{f_{e'} \cup v} \right) = 1$. The gauge invariant subspace in the bosonic Hilbert space is dual to the fermionic system with total fermion parity $\prod_f P_f = 1$.

The 3d boson-fermion duality defined on a 3d manifold M_3 can be done in a similar way [2]. The only difference is that the fermions γ_t, γ'_t are at the center of tetrahedra t and Pauli operators X_f, Z_f live on faces f . In three spatial dimensions, any fermionic system can be mapped to a 2-form \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory on the 3d lattice. The duality dictionary becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_t &= \prod_{f \subset t} Z_f \longleftrightarrow P_t = -i\gamma_t \gamma'_t, \\
U_f &= X_f \left(\prod_{f'} Z_{f'}^{f' \cup f} \right) \longleftrightarrow (-1)^{f_E} S_f = (-1)^{f_E} i\gamma_{L(f)} \gamma'_{R(f)}, \\
G_e &= \prod_{f \supset e} X_f \left(\prod_{f'} Z_{f'}^{f' \cup e} \right) \longleftrightarrow (-1)^{f_{w_2}} S_{\delta e} \prod_t P_t^{e \cup t} = 1, \\
\prod_t W_t &= 1 \longleftrightarrow \prod_t P_t
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where the 1-chain $w_2 \in C_1(M_3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the chain representative of the second Stiefel-Whitney class, and the 2-chain $E \in C_2(M_3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a choice of spin structure ($\partial E = w_2$).

4 Exact bosonization in n dimensions

From the 2d and 3d formulae (21) and (22), it is very natural to conjecture the n -dimensional boson-fermion duality. Consider a spin manifold M_n in spatial n dimensions. The fermions live at the center n -simplices, i.e. $\gamma_{\Delta_n}, \gamma'_{\Delta_n}$ for each Δ_n . The Pauli matrices live on $(n-1)$ -simplices, i.e. $X_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ and $Z_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ for each Δ_{n-1} . The n -dimensional boson-fermion duality should be:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\Delta_n} &\equiv \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \subset \Delta_n} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}} \longleftrightarrow P_t = -i\gamma_{\Delta_n} \gamma'_{\Delta_n}, \\
U_{\Delta_{n-1}} &\equiv X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{\int \Delta_{n-1}' \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}} \right) \\
&\longleftrightarrow (-1)^{\int_E \Delta_{n-1}} S_{\Delta_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\int_E \Delta_{n-1}} i \gamma_{L(\Delta_{n-1})} \gamma'_{R(\Delta_{n-1})}, \\
G_{\Delta_{n-2}} &\equiv \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{\int \delta \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}'} \right) \\
&\longleftrightarrow (-1)^{\int w_2 \Delta_{n-2}} S_{\delta \Delta_{n-2}} \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^{\int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}} = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\prod_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n} = 1 \longleftrightarrow \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}$$

where $w_2 \in C_{n-2}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the chain representative of the second Stiefel-Whitney class, $E \in C_{n-1}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denotes a choice of spin structure ($\partial E = w_2$), and for general $(n-1)$ -cochain λ_{n-1} and λ'_{n-1} , the product of S operators is defined as

$$S_{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda'_{n-1}} \equiv (-1)^{\int \lambda_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \lambda'_{n-1}} S_{\lambda'_{n-1}} S_{\lambda_{n-1}}. \tag{24}$$

This n -dimensional boson-fermion duality (23) is the main theorem of this paper, which will be proved by the end of this section.

4.1 Commutation relations

Consider an n -simplex $\Delta_n = \langle 012 \dots n \rangle$. Its boundary contains all $(n-1)$ -simplex $(\partial \Delta_n)^i = \langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots n \rangle$ where \hat{i} means the vertex i is

omitted. We define the orientation of $(\partial\Delta_n)^i$ as $O((\partial\Delta_n)^i) = (-1)^i$. For “+”-oriented Δ_n , if $O((\partial\Delta_n)^i) = 1$, the boundary $(\partial\Delta_n)^i$ is outward, and if $O((\partial\Delta_n)^i) = -1$, the boundary $(\partial\Delta_n)^i$ is inward. For “-”-oriented Δ_n , the inward and outward boundaries are opposite. $S_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ and $S_{\Delta'_{n-1}}$ anti-commute only when Δ_{n-1} and Δ'_{n-1} are both inward or both outward boundaries of some n -simplex, i.e. $\Delta_{n-1}, \Delta'_{n-1} \in \partial\Delta_n$. We are going to prove that this is equivalent to

$$S_{\Delta_{n-1}} S_{\Delta'_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\int \Delta_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta'_{n-1} + \Delta'_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}} S_{\Delta'_{n-1}} S_{\Delta_{n-1}}. \quad (25)$$

From the definition of the higher cup product (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Delta_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta'_{n-1}](0, 1, \dots, n) \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2} \leq n} \Delta_{n-1}(0 \sim i_0, i_1 \sim i_2, i_3 \sim i_4, \dots) \Delta'_{n-1}(i_0 \sim i_1, i_2 \sim i_3, \dots) \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq n | j_1, j_2 \in \text{even}} \Delta_{n-1}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j}_2 \dots n \rangle) \Delta'_{n-1}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j}_1 \dots n \rangle) \\ & + \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq n | k_1, k_2 \in \text{odd}} \Delta_{n-1}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{k}_1 \dots n \rangle) \Delta'_{n-1}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{k}_2 \dots n \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The \cup_{n-2} only contains the product of boundaries Δ_{n-1}^i with the same orientation (inward or outward) and each pair of $\Delta_{n-1}^i, \Delta'_{n-1}^i$ with the same orientation appears exactly once. Therefore, the \cup_{n-2} expression in (25) captures the commutation relations of fermionic hopping operators $S_{\Delta_{n-1}}$. It is easy to check that bosonic operators $U_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ satisfy the same commutation relations:

$$U_{\Delta_{n-1}} U_{\Delta'_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\int \Delta_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta'_{n-1} + \Delta'_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}} U_{\Delta'_{n-1}} U_{\Delta_{n-1}}. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, $\{S_{\Delta_{n-1}}, P_{\Delta_n}\}$ and $\{U_{\Delta_{n-1}}, W_{\Delta_n}\}$ in (23) have the same commutation relations.

4.2 Gauge constraints

In this section, we will derive the constraints on fermionic operators:

$$(-1)^{\int w_2 \Delta_{n-2}} S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}} \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^{\int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}} = 1. \quad (28)$$

This follows directly from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *The Majorana operators in $S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}}$ cancel out with Majorana operators in $\prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^{\int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}}$.*

Lemma 2. *The sign difference of $S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}}$ and the product of on-site fermion parities $\prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^{\int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}}$ is $(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^d \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i}$ where we order $(n-1)$ -simplices $\{\Delta_{n-1} | \Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}\}$ counterclockwise as $\Delta_{n-1}^1, \Delta_{n-1}^2, \dots, \Delta_{n-1}^{d-1}, \Delta_{n-1}^d \equiv \Delta_{n-1}^0$, as shown in Fig. 4. This sign is a chain representative of the second Stiefel-Whitney class:*

$$-(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^d \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i} = (-1)^{\int_{w_2} \Delta_{n-2}}. \quad (29)$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let us denote $\Delta_n = \langle 01 \dots n \rangle$ formed by Δ_{n-2} and two $(n-1)$ -simplex Δ_{n-1}^L and Δ_{n-1}^R , shown in Fig. 3(a). We know that $S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}}$ contains $\gamma_{\Delta_n} \gamma'_{\Delta_n}$ if and only if $\Delta_{n-1}^L, \Delta_{n-1}^R$ are one inward boundary and one outward boundary of n -simplex Δ_n , as indicated in Fig. 3(b) and (c).

For the product of P_{Δ_n} , we simplify the integral as

$$\int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} = \int \delta \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-1} \Delta_n, \quad (30)$$

where we have used the property $\delta(\alpha \cup_{n-1} \beta) = \delta\alpha \cup_{n-1} \beta + \alpha \cup_{n-1} \delta\beta + \alpha \cup_{n-2} \beta + \beta \cup_{n-2} \alpha$ and $\delta\Delta_n = 0$ (since n is the top dimension). The integral (30) has only the contribution from $\Delta_n = \langle 01 \dots n \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} \\ &= [(\Delta_{n-1}^L + \Delta_{n-1}^R) \cup_{n-1} \Delta_n](\langle 01 \dots n \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1} \leq n} (\Delta_{n-1}^L + \Delta_{n-1}^R)(0 \sim i_0, i_1 \sim i_2, i_3 \sim i_4, \dots) \Delta_n(i_0 \sim i_1, i_2 \sim i_3, \dots) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n | j \in \text{odd}} (\Delta_{n-1}^L + \Delta_{n-1}^R)(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle) \Delta_n(\langle 01 \dots n \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n | j \in \text{odd}} (\Delta_{n-1}^L + \Delta_{n-1}^R)(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which is 1 if and only if $\Delta_{n-1}^L, \Delta_{n-1}^R$ are one inward boundary and one outward boundary of the n -simplex Δ_n . This shows that product of P_{Δ_n} contain $P_{\Delta_n} \sim \gamma_{\Delta_n} \gamma'_{\Delta_n}$ if and only if $\Delta_{n-1}^L, \Delta_{n-1}^R$ are one inward boundary and one outward boundary of the n -simplex Δ_n . This cancels out with $S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}}$ exactly. \square




Figure 3: (Color online) (a) The n -simplex Δ_n is formed by the $(n-1)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} and two $(n-1)$ -simplices Δ_{n-1}^L and Δ_{n-1}^R . (b) The product of $S_{\Delta_{n-2}}$ is $(i\gamma_b\gamma'_a)(i\gamma_c\gamma'_b) = (i\gamma_c\gamma'_a)(-i\gamma_b\gamma'_b) = (i\gamma_c\gamma'_a)P_b$. (c) The product of $S_{\Delta_{n-2}}$ is $(i\gamma_a\gamma'_b)(i\gamma_b\gamma'_c) = (i\gamma_a\gamma'_c)(-i\gamma_b\gamma'_b) = (i\gamma_a\gamma'_c)P_b$. (d) The product of $S_{\Delta_{n-2}}$ is $(i\gamma_a\gamma'_b)(i\gamma_c\gamma'_b)(i\gamma_c\gamma'_d) = i\gamma_a\gamma'_d$. (e) The product of $S_{\Delta_{n-2}}$ is $(i\gamma_b\gamma'_a)(i\gamma_b\gamma'_c)(i\gamma_d\gamma'_c) = i\gamma_d\gamma'_a$.

Proof of Lemma 2. We compare the signs between

$$S_{\delta\Delta_{n-2}} = (-1)^{\sum_{\Delta_{n-1} < \Delta'_{n-1} | \Delta_{n-1}, \Delta'_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} \Delta_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta'_{n-1}} \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} S_{\Delta_{n-1}} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} \quad (33)$$

where we have used the definition of $S_{\lambda_{n-1}}$ in (24). As shown in Fig. 4,

$$S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} \cdots S_{\Delta_{n-1}^2} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} = S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} \prod_{\Delta_n \neq a,b} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}. \quad (34)$$

We can check that

$$S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} = -(-1)^f \Delta_{n-1}^1 \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^d + \Delta_{n-1}^d \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^1 \prod_{\Delta_n = a,b} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}, \quad (35)$$




Figure 4: (Color online) By the operations defined in Fig. 3, we can simplify the product $S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} \cdots S_{\Delta_{n-1}^2} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} = S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} \prod_{\Delta_n \neq a, b} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}$.

since $S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1}$ is 1 (or $-P_a P_b$) if $\Delta_{n-1}^1, \Delta_{n-1}^d$ are both inward or outward (or one inward and one outward) in $\Delta_n = a$. Therefore,

$$S_{\Delta_{n-1}^d} \cdots S_{\Delta_{n-1}^2} S_{\Delta_{n-1}^1} = -(-1)^f \Delta_{n-1}^1 \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^d + \Delta_{n-1}^d \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^1 \cdot \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2}. \quad (36)$$

Together with (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\delta \Delta_{n-2}} \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} \\ &= (-1)^f \Delta_{n-1}^1 \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^d + \sum_{i=2}^d \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i \left(-(-1)^f \Delta_{n-1}^1 \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^d + \Delta_{n-1}^d \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^1 \right) \\ &= -(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^d} \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

From the definition of \cup_{n-2} product (26),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\Delta_n} \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i(\Delta_n) \\ &= \sum_{\text{"-"}\text{-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle} \sum_{j_1 < j_2 | j_1, j_2 \in \text{even}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j}_1 \dots \hat{j}_2 \dots n \rangle) \\ &+ \sum_{\text{"+"}\text{-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 | k_1, k_2 \in \text{odd}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{k}_1 \dots \hat{k}_2 \dots n \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the relation between Δ_{n-2} , Δ_{n-1}^i , and Δ_n is shown in Fig. 4. The distinct orientations of “-”-oriented Δ_n and “+”-oriented Δ_n in the summation come from the fact that j_1, j_2 and k_1, k_2 in (26) have opposite orders. Eq. (38) is related to w_2 by the following lemma 3, which is proved in appendix A. Therefore, we derive

$$-(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^d} \int \Delta_{n-1}^{i-1} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}^i = (-1)^{\int w_2} \Delta_{n-2}. \quad (39)$$

Lemma 3. *In n -dimension manifold with triangulation and branching structure, the homology class of w_2 can be represented by a $(n-2)$ -chain $w_2 \in C_{n-2}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$:*

$$w_2 = \sum_{\Delta_{n-2}} c(\Delta_{n-2}) \Delta_{n-2} \quad (40)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(\Delta_{n-2}) = & 1 + \sum_{\substack{\text{“-”-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle \\ j_1 < j_2 | j_1, j_2 \in \text{even}}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j}_1 \dots \hat{j}_2 \dots n \rangle) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{\text{“+”-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle \\ k_1 < k_2 | k_1, k_2 \in \text{odd}}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{k}_1 \dots \hat{k}_2 \dots n \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

□

We can modify the sign of $S_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ as

$$S_{\Delta_{n-1}}^E \equiv (-1)^{\int E} \Delta_{n-1} S_{\Delta_{n-1}} \quad (42)$$

where $E \in C_{n-1}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a choice of spin structure satisfying $\partial E = w_2$. In these modified operators, the constraint on the fermionic operator becomes

$$S_{\delta \Delta_{n-2}}^E \prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} = 1, \quad (43)$$

which is mapped to

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\Delta_{n-2}} &= U_{\delta \Delta_{n-2}} \prod_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n}^f \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_n + \Delta_n \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-2} \\ &= \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^f \delta \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}' \right), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $U_{\lambda_{n-1}}$ is defined by

$$U_{\lambda_{n-1}+\lambda'_{n-1}} \equiv (-1)^{f_{\lambda_{n-1}\cup_{n-2}\lambda'_{n-1}}} U_{\lambda'_{n-1}} U_{\lambda_{n-1}}, \quad (45)$$

and it can be calculated directly from $U_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ defined in (23):

$$U_{\lambda_{n-1}} \equiv \prod_{\Delta_{n-1}|\lambda(\Delta_{n-1})=1} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{f_{\Delta_{n-1}'\cup_{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}} \right). \quad (46)$$

We need to impose this gauge constraint $G_{\Delta_{n-2}} = 1$ on bosonic operators for every $(n-2)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} .

We also need to impose the even total parity constraint for fermions

$$\prod_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n} = 1 \quad (47)$$

since it is mapped to the bosonic operator $\prod_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n} = 1$. After imposing the gauge constraints, the n -dimensional boson-fermion duality (23) is completed.

5 Modified Gauss's law and Euclidean action

5.1 Gauss's law as boundary anomaly

First, we consider the standard $(n-1)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory on the n -dimensional manifold M_n :

$$H^0 = -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n} \quad (48)$$

with the gauge constraint (Gauss's law)

$$G_{\Delta_{n-2}}^0 = \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} = 1. \quad (49)$$

It is well-known that its Euclidean theory is $(n+1)$ -dimensional Ising model (with a certain choice of J_1 and J_2) [14]:

$$S_{\text{Ising}}(A_{n-1}) = -J \sum_{\Delta_n \subset Y} |\delta A_{n-1}(\Delta_n)| \quad (50)$$

where $A \in C^{n-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a $(n-1)$ -cochain on the spacetime manifold Y , $|\delta A| = 0, 1$ gives $\delta A \pmod{2}$, and J depends on J_1 and J_2 . In this case, S_{Ising} is invariant under the gauge transformation $A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1} + \delta \Lambda_{n-2}$ for arbitrary $(n-2)$ -cochain $\Lambda_{n-2} \in C^{n-2}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Therefore, S_{Ising} has no boundary anomaly under the standard Gauss's law.

Now, we propose a new class of \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory:

$$H = -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} U_{\Delta_{n-1}} - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n} \quad (51)$$

with the modified Gauss's law (gauge constraints) at $(n-2)$ -simplices

$$G_{\Delta_{n-2}} = \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{f \delta \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}'} \right) = 1. \quad (52)$$

This model describes a free fermion system, since it is dual to

$$\begin{aligned} H_f &= -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} (-1)^{f_E \Delta_{n-1}} i \gamma_{L(\Delta_{n-1})} \gamma'_{R(\Delta_{n-1})} - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} (-i \gamma_{\Delta_n} \gamma'_{\Delta_n}) \\ &= -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} S_{\Delta_{n-1}}^E - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The modified Gauss's law (52) on a $(n-2)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} , or equivalently on the dual $(n-2)$ -cochain Δ_{n-2} , can be generalized to an arbitrary $(n-2)$ -cochain $\lambda_{n-2} = \sum_i \Delta_{n-2}^i$, the Gauss's law is

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = G_{\lambda_{n-2}} &= \prod_i G_{\Delta_{n-2}^i} \\ &= \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \in \delta \lambda_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \right) \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{f \delta \lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}'} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot (-1)^{f \lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-4} \lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-3} \delta \lambda_{n-2}} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the sign comes from anti-commutation of X and Z on the same simplex. This can be proved by induction:

1. We first check for $\lambda_{n-2} = \Delta_{n-2}$, where λ_{n-2} contains a single $(n-2)$ -simplex. We have $\Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-4} \Delta_{n-2} + \Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-3} \delta \Delta_{n-2} = 0$ by the definition of higher cup products since the vertices in (8) cannot match. For example, Δ_{n-2} acts only nontrivial on a $(n-2)$ -simplex with $(n-1)$ vertices, while $\Delta_{n-2} \cup_{n-4} \Delta_{n-2}$ has the input of $(n+1)$ vertices, which has 2 extra vertices at least. Δ_{n-2} vanishes when it acts on any simplex with the extra vertices. The gauge constraint reduces the original form (52).

2. It is straightforward to check $G_{\lambda_{n-2}}G_{\lambda'_{n-2}} = G_{\lambda_{n-2}+\lambda'_{n-2}}$, using the recursive property of cup products:

$$\alpha \cup_a \beta + \beta \cup_a \alpha = \alpha \cup_{a+1} \delta\beta + \delta\alpha \cup_{a+1} \beta + \delta(\alpha \cup_{a+1} \beta). \quad (55)$$

Consider now the following $(n-1)$ -form gauge theory defined on a general triangulated $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold Y :

$$S(A_{n-1}) = - \sum_{\Delta_n \subset Y} |\delta A_{n-1}(\Delta_n)| + i\pi \int_Y (A_{n-1} \cup_{n-3} A_{n-1} + A_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \delta A_{n-1}). \quad (56)$$

where $A_{n-1} \in C^{n-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and the gauge symmetry acts by $A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1} + \delta\Lambda_{n-2}$ for $\Lambda_{n-2} \in C^{n-2}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The second term is the generalized "Steenrod square" defined in Ref. [5]. The action is gauge-invariant up to a boundary term:

$$\begin{aligned} & S(A_{n-1} + \delta\Lambda_{n-2}) - S(A_{n-1}) \\ &= i\pi \int_{\partial Y} (\Lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-4} \Lambda_{n-2} + \Lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-3} \delta\Lambda_{n-2} + \delta\Lambda_{n-2} \cup_{n-2} A_{n-1}) \\ &= i\pi \int_{\partial Y} (\Lambda \cup_{n-4} \Lambda + \Lambda \cup_{n-3} \delta\Lambda + \delta\Lambda \cup_{n-2} A) \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where we have omitted the subscript of A_{n-1} and Λ_{n-2} for simplicity. This boundary term determines the Gauss's law for the wave-function $\Psi(A)$ on the spatial slice $M = \partial Y$:

$$\Psi(A + \delta\Lambda) = (-1)^{\omega(\Lambda, A)} \Psi(A) \quad (58)$$

where $\omega(\Lambda, A) = \int_M (\Lambda \cup_{n-4} \Lambda + \Lambda \cup_{n-3} \delta\Lambda + \delta\Lambda \cup_{n-2} A)$. The Gauss's law is the same as the gauge constraint (54) if we identify $Z_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ as $(-1)^{A_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1})}$ and $X_{\Delta_{n-1}}$ acts as the transformation $A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}$. The modified Gauss's law (52) represents the boundary anomaly of topological action (56) as we claimed.

In the following subsection, we derive the Euclidean action of the modified \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory (51) explicitly, which is analogous to (56).

5.2 Euclidean path integral of lattice gauge theories

Start with the Hamiltonian of modified \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} U_{\Delta_{n-1}} - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} W_{\Delta_n} \\
&= -J_1 \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{f_{\Delta_{n-1}'} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}} \right) - J_2 \sum_{\Delta_n} \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \subset \Delta_n} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

with gauge constraints

$$G_{\Delta_{n-2}} = \prod_{\Delta_{n-1} \supset \Delta_{n-2}} X_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-1}'} Z_{\Delta_{n-1}'}^{f_{\Delta_{n-1}'} \cup_{n-2} \Delta_{n-1}'} \right) = 1. \tag{60}$$

The partition function is:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \text{Tr} T^M \tag{61}$$

where we use Trotter-Suzuki decomposition in imaginary time direction and T is the transfer matrix defined as

$$T = \left(\prod_{\Delta_{n-2}} \delta_{G_{\Delta_{n-2},1}} \right) e^{-\delta\tau H}. \tag{62}$$

The first factor arises from the gauge constraints on the Hilbert space. The spacetime manifold consists of many time slices labelled by layers $\{i\}$. In the i th layer, we insert a complete basis (in Pauli matrix $Z_{\Delta_{n-1}}$): $b_{n-1}^i \in C^{n-1}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (a \mathbb{Z}_2 field on each Δ_{n-1} of the spatial manifold M_n such that $Z_{\Delta_{n-1}} = (-1)^{b_{n-1}^i(\Delta_{n-1})}$). The transfer matrix T between the i th layer and the $(i+1)$ th layer contains gauge constraints on every spatial $(n-2)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} :

$$\delta_{G_{\Delta_{n-2},1}} = \frac{1 + G_{\Delta_{n-2}}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}=0,1} (G_{\Delta_{n-2}})^{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}} \tag{63}$$

where we introduce the Lagrangian multiplier $a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} \in C^{n-2}(M_n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (a \mathbb{Z}_2 field living on each Δ_{n-2} of the spatial manifold M_n). Notice that $a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}$ defined between two time slices lives on the spatial

$(n - 2)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} , which can be interpreted as the spacetime $(n - 1)$ -simplex between the two layers. From the similar calculation in Ref. [2], we have

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\{\{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}\}, \{b_{n-1}^i\}\}} \exp([S_{\text{Ising}} + S_{\text{top}}](\{\{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}\}, \{b_{n-1}^i\}\})) \quad (64)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\text{Ising}}(\{\{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}\}, \{b_{n-1}^i\}\}) \\ = & \sum_i \left(-J_s \sum_{\Delta_n} |\delta b_{n-1}^i(\Delta_n)| - J_\tau \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} | [b_{n-1}^i + b_{n-1}^{i+1} + \delta a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}] (\Delta_{n-1}) | \right) \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\text{top}}(\{\{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}\}, \{b_{n-1}^i\}\}) \\ = & i\pi \sum_i \int_{M_n} a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} \cup_{n-4} a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} + a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} \cup_{n-3} \delta a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} \\ & + \delta a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} \cup_{n-2} b_{n-1}^{i+1} + b_{n-1}^i \cup_{n-2} (b_{n-1}^i + b_{n-1}^{i+1} + \delta a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Here J_s, J_τ are constants depending on $J_1, J_2, \delta\tau$ in the original Hamiltonian and we assume $J_s = J_\tau = J$ for simplicity. $|\cdots|$ gives the argument's parity 0 or 1. The gauge transformations act as

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1}^i & \rightarrow b_{n-1}^i + \delta\lambda^i, \\ a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} & \rightarrow a_{n-2}^{i+1/2} + \delta\mu^i + \lambda^i + \lambda^{i+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where λ^i are arbitrary $(n - 2)$ -cochains and μ^i are arbitrary $(n - 3)$ -cochains.

If we interpret $a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}$ as spacetime $(n - 1)$ -cochains, we can rewrite

$$\{\{a_{n-2}^{i+1/2}\}, \{b_{n-1}^i\}\} \rightarrow A_{n-1} \in C^{n-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad (68)$$

which is a \mathbb{Z}_2 field living on $(n - 1)$ -simplices in spacetime manifold Y . It is natural to write S_{Ising} in (65) as

$$S_{\text{Ising}} = - \sum_{\Delta_n \subset Y} |\delta A_{n-1}(\Delta_n)|. \quad (69)$$

The spacetime manifold $Y = M_n \times [-\infty, 0]$ (spatial and temporal parts) is not a triangulation, since we only triangularize the spatial manifold M_n under the discretized time. The (higher) cup products are not well-defined in Y . However, we can still write an expression

$$S_{\text{top}} = i\pi \int_{Y'} (A_{n-1} \cup_{n-3} A_{n-1} + A_{n-1} \cup_{n-2} \delta A_{n-1}). \quad (70)$$

in $(n+1)$ -dimensional triangulation Y' such that Y' is a refinement of Y . We can check that (66) and (70) produce the same boundary term under gauge transformations.

6 Conclusions

We have extended the the exact bosonization (21) in 2d and (22) in 3d to arbitrary dimensions. The dictionary for n -dimensional boson-fermion duality is given in (23). This bosonization is a duality between any fermionic system in arbitrary n spatial dimensions and $(n-1)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theories in n dimensions with gauge constraints (the modified Gauss's law). This map preserves locality: every local even fermionic observable is mapped to a local gauge-invariant bosonic operator. The formula has an explicit dependence on the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the manifold, and a choice of spin structure is needed. As a side product, we discover a new formula (29) for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes on lattices. In the Euclidean picture, we have shown that the Euclidean path integral of the n -dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory with modified Gauss's law is the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Ising model with an additional topological Steenrod square (56) term.

Acknowledgement

Y.C. thanks Po-Shen Hsin and his advisor Prof. Anton Kapustin for many very helpful discussions. Y.C. also thanks Tyler Ellison and Nathanan Tantisadasakarn for their useful feedback. This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under Award Number de-sc0011632. Anton Kapustin was also supported by the Simons Investigator Award.

A A formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes

In this section, we prove Lemma 3, Eq. (41). First, let us recall the theorem proved in [15]. Let s be a p -simplex, say $s = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_p \rangle$. Let k be another simplex which has s as a face; i.e., $s \subset k$ (s may be equal to k). Let

$$\begin{aligned} B_{-1} &= \text{set of vertices of } k \text{ less than } v_0, \\ B_0 &= \text{set of vertices of } k \text{ between } v_0 \text{ and } v_1, \\ B_m &= \text{set of vertices of } k \text{ between } v_m \text{ and } v_{m+1}, \\ B_p &= \text{set of vertices of } k \text{ greater than } v_p. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

We say that s is regular in k , if $\#(B_m) = 0$ for every odd m . Let $\partial_p(k)$ denote the mod 2 chain which consists of all p -dimensional simplices s in k so that s is regular in k . For example, $\langle 012 \rangle$ and $\langle 023 \rangle$ are regular in $\langle 0123 \rangle$ and therefore $\partial_2(\langle 0123 \rangle) = \langle 012 \rangle + \langle 023 \rangle$. The theorem is [15]:

Theorem 1. $\sum_{k|\dim k \geq (n-2)} \partial_{n-2}(k)$ is a $(n-2)$ -chain which represents w_2 .

In particular, for any n' -simplex $\Delta_{n'} = \langle 0 \dots n' \rangle$, all $(n' - 1)$ -simplices regular in $\Delta_{n'}$ are

$$\langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots n \rangle \quad \forall i \in \text{odd} \tag{72}$$

and all $(n' - 2)$ -simplices regular in $\Delta_{n'}$ are

$$\langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle \quad \forall i \in \text{odd}, j \in \text{even}, i < j. \tag{73}$$

We now use this theorem to prove lemma 3.

Proof of Lemma 3. For every $(n-2)$ -simplex Δ_{n-2} , it is regular in itself. This contributes the 1 in the coefficient of $c(\Delta_{n-2})$ in (41).

For every $(n-1)$ -simplex Δ_{n-1} , it is a boundary of two n -simplices Δ_n^L and Δ_n^R , with Δ_{n-1} being an outward boundary of Δ_n^L and an inward boundary of Δ_n^R . We define that Δ_{n-1} belongs to Δ_n^R and the summation of $\dim k = n-1$, n in theorem 1 can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\Delta_{n-1}} \partial_{n-2}(\Delta_{n-1}) + \sum_{\Delta_n} \partial_{n-2}(\Delta_n) \\ &= \sum_{\Delta_n} \left[\partial_{n-2}(\Delta_n) + \sum_{\Delta_{n-1} \in \Delta_n | \Delta_{n-1} \text{ is inward}} \partial_{n-2}(\Delta_{n-1}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

If $\Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle$ is “+”-oriented, the terms in the summation is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots n \rangle) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n | i \in \text{odd}} \partial_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots n \rangle) \\
= & \sum_{i,j | i < j, i \in \text{odd}, j \in \text{even}} \langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle \\
& + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n | i \in \text{odd}} \left(\sum_{j < i | j \in \text{odd}} \langle 0 \dots \hat{j} \dots \hat{i} \dots n \rangle + \sum_{j > i | j \in \text{even}} \langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle \right) \\
= & \sum_{i,j | i < j, i \in \text{odd}, j \in \text{odd}} \langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where we have used the definition of regular simplex defined above. Similarly, we can derive that if $\Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle$ is “-”-oriented, the term is

$$\sum_{i,j | i < j, i \in \text{even}, j \in \text{even}} \langle 0 \dots \hat{i} \dots \hat{j} \dots n \rangle. \tag{76}$$

Combining (75) and (76) with the 1 from $\dim k = n - 2$ in theorem 1, we have

$$w_2 = \sum_{\Delta_{n-2}} c(\Delta_{n-2}) \Delta_{n-2} \tag{77}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\Delta_{n-2}) = & 1 + \sum_{\text{“-”-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle} \sum_{j_1 < j_2 | j_1, j_2 \in \text{even}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{j}_1 \dots \hat{j}_2 \dots n \rangle) \\
& + \sum_{\text{“+”-oriented } \Delta_n = \langle 0 \dots n \rangle} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 | k_1, k_2 \in \text{odd}} \Delta_{n-2}(\langle 0 \dots \hat{k}_1 \dots \hat{k}_2 \dots n \rangle).
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

□

References

- [1] Yu-An Chen, Anton Kapustin, and Djordje Radicevic. Exact bosonization in two spatial dimensions and a new class of lattice gauge theories. *Annals of Physics*, 393:234 – 253, 2018.

- [2] Yu-An Chen and Anton Kapustin. Bosonization in three spatial dimensions and a 2-form gauge theory. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1807.07081, Jul 2018.
- [3] Davide Gaiotto and Anton Kapustin. Spin tqfts and fermionic phases of matter. *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, 31(28n29):1645044, 2016.
- [4] N. E. Steenrod. Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings. *Annals of Mathematics*, 48(2):290–320, 1947.
- [5] Tian Lan, Chenchang Zhu, and Xiao-Gang Wen. Fermion decoration construction of symmetry protected trivial orders for fermion systems with any symmetries G_f and in any dimensions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1809.01112, Sep 2018.
- [6] Anton Kapustin and Ryan Thorngren. Fermionic spt phases in higher dimensions and bosonization. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017(10):80, 2017.
- [7] Xiao-Gang Wen. Exactly soluble local bosonic cocycle models, statistical transmutation, and simplest time-reversal symmetric topological orders in 3+1 dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 95:205142, May 2017.
- [8] Yu-An Chen, Tyler D Ellison, and Nathanan Tantivasadakarn. Disentangling supercohomology symmetry-protected topological phases in three spatial dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.05652*, 2020.
- [9] Sergey B. Bravyi and Alexei Yu. Kitaev. Fermionic quantum computation. *Annals of Physics*, 298(1):210 – 226, 2002.
- [10] R. C. Ball. Fermions without fermion fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95:176407, Oct 2005.
- [11] F Verstraete and J I Cirac. Mapping local hamiltonians of fermions to local hamiltonians of spins. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2005(09):P09012–P09012, sep 2005.
- [12] Tom Banks. Fermi/pauli duality in arbitrary dimension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10453*, 2019.
- [13] Sri Tata. Geometrically interpreting higher cup products, and application to combinatorial pin structures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.10170*, 2020.

- [14] John B. Kogut. An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 51:659–713, Oct 1979.
- [15] Richard Z. Goldstein and Edward C. Turner. A formula for stiefel-whitney homology classes. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 58(1):339–339, jan 1976.