

# REGULARITY OF THE CENTERED FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION ON RADIAL FUNCTIONS

DAVID BELTRAN AND JOSÉ MADRID

ABSTRACT. We study regularity properties of the centered fractional maximal function  $M_\beta$ . More precisely, we prove that the map  $f \mapsto |\nabla M_\beta f|$  is bounded and continuous from  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the endpoint case  $q = d/(d - \beta)$  if  $f$  is a radial function. For  $d = 1$ , the radially assumption can be removed. This corresponds to the counterparts of known results for the non-centered fractional maximal function. The main new idea consists in relating the centered and non-centered fractional maximal function at the derivative level.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $0 \leq \beta < d$ , the centered fractional Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M_\beta$  is defined by

$$M_\beta f(x) := \sup_{r \geq 0} \frac{r^\beta}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| \, dy$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The non-centered version of  $M_\beta$ , denoted by  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ , is defined by taking the supremum over all balls containing  $x$ . The non-fractional case  $\beta = 0$  corresponds to the classical maximal function and is denoted by  $M = M_0$  and  $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{M}_0$ .

Questions regarding the regularity of maximal functions started with the work of Kinnunen [11], who showed that if  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $Mf \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$|\nabla Mf(x)| \leq M(|\nabla f|)(x)$$

almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The fractional case was first studied by Kinnunen and Saksman [12], who noticed that Kinnunen's result extends to  $0 < \beta < d$ , with  $M_\beta f \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\beta}{d}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , and moreover, showed that if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $1 < p < d$  and  $1 \leq \beta < d/p$ , then

$$|\nabla M_\beta f(x)| \leq CM_{\beta-1}f(x) \tag{1.1}$$

almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The continuity of the map  $f \mapsto M_\beta f$  from  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  was established by Luiro in [14] for  $\beta = 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , although it immediately generalises to the case  $0 < \beta < d$ ; of course the sublinearity of  $M_\beta$  ceases to hold at the derivative level, so the continuity of this map on Sobolev spaces does not immediately follow from its boundedness. It is noted that these results continue to work for the non-centered counterparts  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ . This is strongly

---

*Date:* November 24, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25, 46E35.

*Key words and phrases.* Centered maximal function, Sobolev spaces, Boundedness, Continuity.

attached to the case  $p > 1$ , as the mentioned results rely on the Lebesgue space boundedness of the aforementioned maximal functions.

In the case  $p = 1$ , one cannot expect  $M_\beta f \in W^{1, \frac{d}{d-\beta}}$  if  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for any  $0 \leq \beta < d$ , as  $M_\beta$  fails to be bounded at the level of Lebesgue spaces. However, one may still ask whether  $M_\beta f$  is weakly differentiable and whether the map  $f \mapsto |\nabla M_\beta f|$  is bounded and continuous from  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^{\frac{d}{d-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This question was originally posed for  $\beta = 0$ , is commonly referred to as the  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -problem, and it is well known that its study significantly differs from the centered to the non-centered case. In this paper, we concern ourselves with  $\beta > 0$  and the centered fractional maximal function; for  $\beta = 0$ , see [21, 1] and [7] for boundedness and continuity results for  $\widetilde{M}$  if  $d = 1$ , [13] for boundedness for  $M$  if  $d = 1$ , and [15] for boundedness for  $\widetilde{M}$  over radial functions if  $d > 1$ .

In the strictly fractional case, it was noted by Carneiro and the second author [6] that the interesting endpoint case  $p = 1$  corresponds to the range  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Indeed, if  $1 \leq \beta < d$ , (1.1) and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality immediately yield, together with the bounds of  $M_{\beta-1}$ , that

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f\|_q \leq C \|M_{\beta-1} f\|_q \leq C \|f\|_{\frac{d}{d-1}} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_1$$

holds for  $q = \frac{d}{d-\beta}$ . This settles the boundedness of the map  $f \mapsto |\nabla M_\beta f|$  from  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if  $1 < \beta < d$ . The continuity of this map between such function spaces was recently established by the authors in [2]. In this situation, the same analysis continues to work for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ .

If  $0 < \beta < 1$ , the corresponding boundedness result for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  was established by Carneiro and the second author [6] if  $d = 1$ , whilst its continuity at the derivative level was later shown by the second author [17]. Our first result is a counterpart for the centered case.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $q = 1/(1 - \beta)$ . If  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere and*

$$\|(M_\beta f)'\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C = 2^{-3\beta-2+\frac{4}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{2(1-\beta)^2}{\beta}}$ . Moreover, the map  $f \mapsto |(M_\beta f)'|$  is continuous from  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ .

The continuity statement in the above theorem was already established by the authors in [2, Theorem 1.3] under the boundedness hypothesis. The new contribution is therefore the almost everywhere differentiability of  $M_\beta f$  and the bound (1.2). It turns out that special features of  $\beta > 0$  and  $d = 1$  allow to analyse  $M_\beta$  in a similar way to  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ , using some of the arguments introduced by Luiro and the second author [16] in the higher-dimensional radial problem.

Our main result is in higher dimensions. More precisely, it was shown by Luiro and the second author [16] that if  $0 < \beta < 1$ , the bound  $\|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f\|_{\frac{d}{d-\beta}} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_1$  holds if  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a radial function. The continuity of this map was established by the authors in [2]. Here, we prove the corresponding results for  $M_\beta$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ . If  $f \in W_{\text{rad}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere and there exists a constant  $C = C(d, \beta) > 0$  such that*

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, the map  $f \mapsto |\nabla M_\beta f|$  is continuous from  $W_{\text{rad}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

In contrast to Theorem 1.1, we require a more refined analysis of the operator  $M_\beta$  than that performed for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  in [16]. A key new fundamental idea consists in establishing a relation between  $M_\beta$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  at the derivative level. Whilst  $M_\beta f(x) \leq \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \leq 2^{d-\beta} M_\beta f(x)$ , this comparability ceases to hold for the derivatives. However, we are able to establish an inequality allowing to control  $\nabla M_\beta f(x)$  by  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)$  and some additional terms (see Lemma 4.5). This inequality is one of the main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.2, and allows to exploit non-centered techniques. We believe this is the first time that such a connection between centered and non-centered maximal functions has been made in the study of regularity questions. Whilst our relation is only valid for  $\beta > 0$ , we hope that this new perspective could also be useful to better understand regularity properties for  $M$ .

It is noted that the main result in Theorem 1.2 is the endpoint Sobolev bound (1.3). The continuity statement can be deduced via a similar scheme to the one used by the authors [2] in the non-centered case, together with a new idea recently introduced by González-Riquelme [8]. Interestingly, he obtained endpoint Sobolev results for a version of  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f$  defined on the sphere  $S^{d-1}$  if  $f$  is polar; see also [5] for similar results when  $\beta = 0$ .

Further interesting results concerning regularity of fractional maximal functions have been obtained recently; we refer the interested reader to [18] for the boundedness of fractional maximal functions on domains (see also [10]), to [3] for results on lacunary and smooth variants of  $M_\beta$ , as well as fractional spherical maximal functions, and to [20] for Poincaré inequalities for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ .

*Structure of the paper.* Section 2 is devoted to the representation of the derivative of the maximal function and its almost everywhere differentiability. In Section 3, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented. Section 4 contains preliminary results that will feature in the proof of the main theorem, and in particular the key relation between  $M_\beta$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  at the derivative level. The boundedness part of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 5, whilst the continuity part is presented in Section 6.

*Acknowledgements.* The second author would like to thank the Analysis group of the UW-Madison for the hospitality during his visit in September 2019, where part of this research was conducted.

## 2. THE DERIVATIVE OF THE FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION

We start introducing some notation. The value of the Lebesgue exponent  $q$  will always be  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ . Given a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$  and  $E^c := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$  its complementary set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $cE$  the concentric set to  $E$  dilated by  $c$ . The integral average of  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  over  $E$  is denoted by  $f_E = \int_E f$ . The notation  $A \lesssim B$  is used if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $A \leq CB$ , and similarly  $A \gtrsim B$  and  $A \sim B$ . The volume of the  $d$ -dimensional unit ball is denoted by  $\omega_d$  and the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the sphere  $S^{d-1}$  is denoted by  $\sigma_d$ . The weak derivative of  $f$  is denoted by  $\nabla f$ .

Fix  $0 \leq \beta < d$ . Given a function  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define the families of *good balls* for  $f$  at  $x$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_x^\beta(f) = \mathcal{B}_x^\beta := \left\{ B(x, r) : M_\beta f(x) = r^\beta \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta(f) = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta := \left\{ B(z, r) : x \in \bar{B}(z, r), \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) = r^\beta \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{B}_x^\beta(f) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta(f) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}_x^\beta(f)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta(f)$  are compact sets. The families of *good radii* are denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_x^\beta$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_x^\beta$  respectively. Note that, as a consequence of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem,  $0 \notin \mathcal{R}_x^\beta$  and  $0 \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_x^\beta$ .

We start recalling some useful facts observed for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  in [16], and establish the analogues for  $M_\beta$ . First, note the following result, which follows by a simple change of variables.

**Proposition 2.1** (Proposition 2.1 [16]). *Let  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and let  $\{L_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of affine maps  $L_i(y) = a_i y + b_i$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\{h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence such that  $h_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_i(y) - y}{h_i} = g(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i^\beta - 1}{h_i} = \gamma,$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $B$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B_i := L_i(B)$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_i} \left( r_i^\beta \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \, dy - r^\beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy \right) \\ &= r^\beta \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \cdot g(y) \, dy + \gamma r^\beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  denotes the radius of  $B$  and  $r_i$  the radius of  $B_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The above proposition can be used to obtain the following lemma (the non-centered statement corresponds to [16, Lemma 2.2]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $L_h(y) = a_h y + b_h$ ,  $h \in [-\delta, \delta]$ , be affine mappings such that*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_h(y) - y}{h} = g(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{a_h^\beta - 1}{h} = \gamma.$$

Assume that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  and  $x = L_h(x)$ . Then

$$0 = r^\beta \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \cdot g(y) \, dy + \gamma M_\beta f(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $r$  denotes the radius of  $B$ . Moreover, if  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$  and  $x \in L_h(\widetilde{B})$ , (2.2) holds for  $\widetilde{B}$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r_h$  denote the radius of  $L_h(B)$ . By Proposition 2.1 the right hand side of (2.2) equals to

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left( r_h^\beta \int_{L_h(B)} |f(y)| \, dy - r^\beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy \right) =: \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} s_h.$$

Since  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  and  $x$  is also the center of the ball  $L_h(B)$  for all  $h$ , it follows that  $s_h \leq 0$  for all  $h$ . Since  $h$  can take positive and negative values, the existing limit must be equal to zero. The corresponding result in the non-centered situation follows similarly.  $\square$

This implies as a consequence the following corollary, which will play a crucial rôle in relating  $M_\beta$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  at the derivative level.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B = B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ . Then*

$$r^\beta \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \cdot x \, dy = r^\beta \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \cdot y \, dy + \beta M_\beta f(x)$$

and the same holds for  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ .

*Proof.* Given  $\delta > 0$ , define  $L_h(y) = y + h(y - x)$  for  $h \in [-\delta, \delta]$ . The desired identity follows from Lemma 2.2 after noting that  $g(y) = y - x$ ,  $\gamma = \beta$  and that if  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ , then  $x = L_h(x)$  is the center of  $L_h(B)$  and if  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$ , then  $x = L_h(x) \in L_h(\widetilde{B})$ .  $\square$

Our next goal is to show that  $M_\beta$  is differentiable almost everywhere. To this end, it is useful to introduce the following object. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define the truncated fractional maximal function as

$$M_\beta^\varepsilon f(x) := \sup_{r \geq \varepsilon} r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} |f|.$$

The following observation follows from a straightforward adaption to the fractional setting of the arguments in Hajlasz–Maly [9, Lemma 8].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $0 \leq \beta < d$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,*

$$|M_\beta^\varepsilon f(x) - M_\beta^\varepsilon f(y)| \leq \frac{d - \beta}{\varepsilon} |x - y| (M_\beta^\varepsilon f(x) + M_\beta^\varepsilon f(y)) \leq \frac{2(d - \beta)}{\omega_d \varepsilon^{d+1-\beta}} \|f\|_1 |x - y|.$$

Consequently,  $M_\beta^\varepsilon$  is Lipschitz continuous, and in particular differentiable almost everywhere.

The following lemma is essentially contained in Kinnunen [11]; details are provided for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $0 < \beta < d$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a continuous function, then  $M_\beta f$  is also continuous.*

*Proof.* Given  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $f_h(x) := f(x + h)$ . Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $r_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} |f - f_h| \leq \frac{\|f - f_h\|_1}{\omega_d r^{d-\beta}} \leq \frac{2\|f\|_1}{\omega_d r^{d-\beta}} < \varepsilon$$

for every  $r > r_\varepsilon$ . On the other hand, if  $0 < r \leq r_\varepsilon$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} r^\beta \int_B |f - f_h| &\leq \frac{1}{\omega_d} \|f_h - f\|_{L^{q'}(B)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega_d} \|f_h - f\|_1^{1/q'} \sup_{y \in B(x, r_\varepsilon)} |f(y) - f_h(y)|^{(q'-1)/q'} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega_d} 2^{1/q'} \|f\|_1^{1/q'} \varepsilon^{(q'-1)/q'} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $|h| < \delta$ , where  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ . Then, by sublinearity,  $|M_\beta f(x) - (M_\beta f)_h(x)| \leq M_\beta(f - f_h)(x) \leq \tilde{\epsilon}$  for every  $|h| < \delta$ . Therefore  $M_\beta f$  is continuous at  $x$ .  $\square$

These two lemmas can be combined to show the a.e. differentiability if  $d = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $d = 1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . For any  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere. Moreover, if  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $B = B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ , one has*

$$(M_\beta f)'(x) = r^\beta \int_B |f|'(y) \, dy. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* As  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ , the function  $f$  is continuous, and by Lemma 2.5  $M_\beta f$  is also continuous. Let  $I_n = [n, n + 1]$  and write  $\mathbb{R} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n$ . For each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the continuity of  $M_\beta f$  ensures that there exists  $C_n > 0$  such that  $M_\beta f(y) \geq C_n > 0$  for all  $y \in I_n$ . In particular, one has

$$r_y^\beta \|f\|_\infty \geq M_\beta f(y) \geq C_n$$

for all  $y \in I_n$ , where  $r_y$  is a good radius for  $y$ . This implies that  $M_\beta f(y) = M_\beta^{\varepsilon_n} f(y)$  for all  $y \in I_n$ , where  $\varepsilon_n = (C_n/\|f\|_\infty)^{1/\beta}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.4 one has that  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $I_n$ . As the countable union of sets of measure zero is a set of measure zero, one concludes that  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For the second part, let  $B = B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  and  $B_h := B(x+h, r)$ . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{M_\beta f(x+h) - M_\beta f(x)}{h} &\geq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^\beta \int_{B_h} |f(y)| \, dy - r^\beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy}{h} \\ &= r^\beta \int_B |f|'(y) \, dy \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^\beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy - r^\beta \int_{B-h} |f(y)| \, dy}{h} \\ &\geq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{M_\beta f(x) - M_\beta f(x-h)}{h}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

The a.e. differentiability and the representation (2.3) will play an important rôle in establishing the endpoint Sobolev bound (1.2). This is in contrast with the analogous result for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  in [6], in which the bound  $\|(\widetilde{M}_\beta f)'\|_q \lesssim \|f'\|_1$  was obtained without using the version of (2.3) for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ . In fact, a slightly stronger result concerning the  $q$ -variation of  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f$  was proved in [6], which via a classical result of Riesz allowed to deduce that  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f$  is absolutely continuous if  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $d > 1$ , it is possible to adapt the proof of Lemma 2.6 if the function  $f$  is radial. To this end, define the auxiliary operator

$$M_\beta^I f(x) := \sup_{r \leq |x|/4} r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy.$$

This operator was introduced by Luiro [15] in the non-centered  $\beta = 0$  case, and will essentially behave as a one-dimensional maximal function when acting over radial functions; see the end of Section 4 for further discussion.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $d > 1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . For any radial function  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable almost everywhere.*

*Moreover, let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and assume  $M_\beta f$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f$  are differentiable at  $x$ .*

*i) If  $B = B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ , then*

$$\nabla M_\beta f(x) = r^\beta \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \, dy, \quad (2.4)$$

*and the same holds for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$  and  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$ .*

*ii) If  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ , then*

$$\int_B |f(y)| \, dy = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_B \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (y - x) \, dy, \quad (2.5)$$

*and the same holds for  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$ .*

*iii) If  $f$  is radial,  $x \neq 0$  and  $\nabla M_\beta f(x) \neq 0$ , then*

$$\frac{\nabla M_\beta f(x)}{|\nabla M_\beta f(x)|} = \frac{\pm x}{|x|}.$$

*iv) If  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \neq 0$ , then  $x \in \partial \widetilde{B}$  for any  $\widetilde{B} = B(z, r) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$  and*

$$\frac{\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)}{|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)|} = \frac{z - x}{|z - x|}.$$

*Proof.* We first prove that  $M_\beta f$  is a.e. differentiable. To this end, let  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ , where  $A_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^n \leq |x| \leq 2^{n+1}\}$ . It suffices to show that  $M_\beta f$  is a.e. differentiable on each  $A_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one may write  $A_n = I_n \cup I_n^c$ , where  $I_n := \{x \in A_n : M_\beta f(x) = M_\beta^I f(x)\}$ . On  $I_n$ , by definition of  $M_\beta^I$ , one has  $M_\beta f(x) = M_\beta^I f(x) = M_\beta^I (f \chi_{5/4 A_n})(x)$ . As  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is radial,  $f$  is continuous on any annulus and therefore  $M_\beta^I$  is continuous on  $A_n$ . One can then argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 to show that  $M_\beta f$  is a.e. differentiable on  $I_n$ . On  $I_n^c$ , one has  $M_\beta f(x) = M_\beta^{2^n - 2} f(x)$ , which by Lemma 2.4 is a.e. differentiable on  $I_n^c$ , concluding the argument.

Assume next that  $M_\beta f(x)$  is differentiable at  $x$ . Item i) follows analogously to Lemma 2.6, whilst item ii) is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.3. Item iii) just follows from the radially assumption on  $f$ . Finally, item iv) was established in [16, Lemma 2.4].  $\square$

### 3. THE CASE $d = 1$

In this section, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is provided. It is noted that we have already established the a.e. differentiability of  $M_\beta f$  in Lemma 2.6. Moreover, the continuity result in the statement follows from [2, Theorem 1.3] once the inequality (1.2) is established.

We turn then into establishing (1.2). A key observation is the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $0 < \beta < d$  and  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be such that there exist  $B_1 := B(x_1, r_1) \in \mathcal{B}_{x_1}^\beta$  and  $B_2 := B(x_2, r_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{x_2}^\beta$  with  $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $C^{-1}|f|_{B_1} \leq |f|_{B_2} \leq C|f|_{B_1}$  for some finite constant  $C > 0$ . Then*

$$1 \leq \frac{\max\{r_1, r_2\}}{\min\{r_1, r_2\}} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{d-\beta}{d}}.$$

*Proof.* We assume without loss of generality that  $r_1 \geq r_2$ . This implies that  $B(x_1, r_1) \subseteq B(x_2, 3r_1)$ . In particular, as  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{x_2}^\beta$ , one trivially has that

$$M_\beta f(x_2) = r_2^\beta |f|_{B_2} \geq (3r_1)^\beta |f|_{B(x_2, 3r_1)}.$$

This and the fact that  $B(x_1, r_1) \subseteq B(x_2, 3r_1)$ , readily imply

$$|f|_{B_2} \geq 3^\beta \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^\beta |f|_{B(x_2, 3r_1)} \geq 3^{\beta-d} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^\beta |f|_{B(x_1, r_1)} \geq \frac{1}{C} 3^{\beta-d} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^\beta |f|_{B_2}.$$

Then we conclude that

$$1 \leq \frac{r_1}{r_2} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{d-\beta}{\beta}}$$

where the lower bound simply follows as  $r_1 \geq r_2$ .  $\square$

The above proposition provides a comparability among good radii for intersecting good balls with comparable non-fractional average. Consequently, this provides a uniform lower bound for all  $r_x \in \mathcal{R}_x^\beta$  over  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\cap B(x, r_x) \neq \emptyset$ . Note that this does no longer work for  $\beta = 0$ . Interestingly, the availability of such a lower bound when  $\beta > 0$  will allow us to carry a similar analysis to that performed for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta^f$  in the higher dimensional, radial case in [16]. In particular, Lemma 2.10 in [16] adapts as follows, which will allow to exploit Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $B_x = B(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and*

$$E_x := \{z \in B_x : \frac{1}{2}|f|_{B_x} \leq |f(z)| \leq 2|f|_{B_x}\}.$$

*Then*

$$|(M_\beta f)'(x)| \leq 4r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} |f'(z)| \chi_{E_x}(z) dz$$

*whenever  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Assume without loss of generality that  $(M_\beta f)'(x) > 0$ , and let  $z = x + r_x$ . Then, by (2.3), integration by parts and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (M_\beta f)'(x) &= r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} |f'(y)| \frac{(z-x)}{r_x} dy \\ &= \frac{r_x^\beta}{r_x} \left[ \int_{B_x} |f'(y)|(z-y) dy + \int_{B_x} |f'(y)|(y-x) dy \right] \\ &= \frac{r_x^\beta}{r_x} \left[ -|f(x-r_x)| + \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy - \beta \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$|f|_{B_x} - |f(x-r_x)| \leq 2 \int_{B_x} |f'(z)| \chi_{E_x}(z) dz.$$

As  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f$  is continuous. In particular, we can choose  $z_0 \in B_x$  such that  $f(z_0) = |f|_{B_x}$ . It is immediate from (3.1) that  $f(z_0) \geq f(x-r_x)$ . We will analyse two different situation according to the relative size of  $f(x-r_x)$  and  $f(z_0)/2$ . Note that  $z_0 \in E_x$ .



*Case 1:*  $f(x - r_x) \geq f(z_0)/2$ . In this case we have that  $x - r_x \in E_x$ . By the continuity of  $f$  there exist  $z_1, z'_0 \in B_x$  such that  $f(z_1) = f(x - r_x)$  and  $f(z'_0) = f(z_0)$  with  $[z_1, z'_0] \subseteq E_x$  (or  $[z'_0, z_1] \subseteq E_x$ ). Then

$$f(z_0) - f(x - r_x) = f(z'_0) - f(z_1) \leq \int_{B_x} |f'(z)| \chi_{E_x}(z) dz.$$

*Case 2:*  $f(x - r_x) < f(z_0)/2$ . By the continuity of  $f$  there exists  $z_2, z'_0 \in [x - r_x, z_0]$  such that  $f(z_2) = f(z_0)/2$ ,  $f(z'_0) = f(z_0)$  and  $[z_2, z'_0] \subseteq E_x$ . Then

$$f(z_0) - f(x - r_x) \leq f(z_0) = 2(f(z'_0) - f(z_2)) \leq 2 \int_{z_2}^{z'_0} |f'(z)| \chi_{E_x}(z) dz.$$

Combining both cases one obtains the desired result.  $\square$

We can then proceed to the proof of (1.2), and therefore of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Lemma 2.6,  $M_\beta f$  is differentiable except on a set of measure zero. Thus, it suffices to show the bound (1.2) on the set

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : M_\beta f \text{ is differentiable at } x \text{ and } (M_\beta f)'(x) \neq 0\}.$$

For each  $x \in \Omega$ , fix  $B_x := B(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  such that  $r_x$  is the smallest possible radius.

By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 3.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |(M_\beta f)'(x)|^q dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left| r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} |f'(y)| dy \right|^q dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{r_x^{q\beta}}{2^{q-1} r_x^{q-1}} \left| \int_{B_x} |f'(y)| dy \right|^{q-1} \left| \int_{B_x} |f'(y)| dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{q-1}} \|f'\|_1^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{B_x} |f'(y)| dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{4}{2^{q-1}} \|f'\|_1^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(y)| \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{\chi_{B_x}(y) \chi_{E_x}(y)}{|B_x|} dx \right) dy \end{aligned}$$

using that  $q\beta = q - 1$  and where  $E_x$  is the set defined in Lemma 3.2.

We analyse the inner integral for a fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We may assume that there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\chi_{B_{x_1}}(y) \chi_{E_{x_1}}(y) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{B_{x_2}}(y) \chi_{E_{x_2}}(y) \neq 0,$$

as otherwise the inner integral vanishes. In particular, this implies that  $B_{x_1} \cap B_{x_2} \neq \emptyset$  and, by definition of  $E_{x_1}$  and  $E_{x_2}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} |f|_{B_{x_1}} \leq |f(y)| \leq 2 |f|_{B_{x_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} |f|_{B_{x_2}} \leq |f(y)| \leq 2 |f|_{B_{x_2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{4} |f|_{B_{x_1}} \leq |f|_{B_{x_2}} \leq 4 |f|_{B_{x_1}},$$

and by Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$4^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{-\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \leq \frac{r_{x_1}}{r_{x_2}} \leq 4^{\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}.$$

This means that there exists  $x_0 \in \Omega_y := \{x \in \Omega : \chi_{B_x}(y) \chi_{E_x}(y) \neq 0\}$  such that

$$|x - y| \leq r_x \leq 4^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (3^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}) r_{x_0}$$

and

$$|B_x| = 2r_x \geq 2(4^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{-\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}})r_{x_0} = 4^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{-\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} |B_{x_0}|$$

for all  $x \in \Omega_y$ . Finally, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\chi_{B_x}(y)\chi_{E_x}(y)}{|B_x|} dx &\leq 4^{\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \int_{B(y, 4^{\frac{1}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} r_{x_0})} \frac{dx}{|B_{x_0}|} \\ &\leq 4^{\frac{2}{\beta}} 3^{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Altogether,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(M_{\beta}f)'(x)|^q dx &\leq \frac{4}{2^{q-1}} \|f'\|_1^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(y)| \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{\chi_{B_x}(y)\chi_{E_x}(y)}{|B_x|} dx \right) dy \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} 4^{\frac{2}{\beta}+1} 3^{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{\beta}} \|f'\|_1^q, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. RELATION BETWEEN $\nabla M_{\beta}f$ AND $\nabla \widetilde{M}_{\beta}f$

The goal of this section is to establish an inequality that relates  $\nabla M_{\beta}f$  with its non-centered counterpart  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_{\beta}f$ . This inequality, which is shown in Lemma 4.5, may be seen as the analogue at the derivative level of the trivial bound  $M_{\beta}f \leq \widetilde{M}_{\beta}f$ , and it is the first time that such a relation has been obtained at the derivative level. In particular, it will allow us to use some of the techniques in [16] to deal with the term  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_{\beta}f$ , although additional difficulties will arise.

To show the upcoming Lemma 4.5 we will need several auxiliary results. Some of them were already observed for  $\widetilde{M}_{\beta}$  in [16], whilst the ones concerning  $M_{\beta}$  are new.

The next proposition was established in [16, Proposition 2.5], and will also be useful in our case.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r > 0$ , then*

$$\oint_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (x-y) dy = d \left[ \oint_{B(x,r)} |f| - \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} |f| \right].$$

However, we will also need the following variant, which is more suitable in the study of the centered fractional maximal function.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $z \in \partial B(x,r)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (z-y) dy &= d \left[ \oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy - \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{r^2} \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)|(z-x) \cdot (y-x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Gauss divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (z - y) \, dy \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{\partial |f|}{\partial y_i}(y) (z_i - y_i) \, dy \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z_i - y_i) \frac{(y_i - x_i)}{|y - x|} \, dy + \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right) \\
 &= - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|y_i - x_i|^2}{|y - x|} \, dy \\
 &\quad + \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - x_i) \frac{(y_i - x_i)}{|y - x|} \, dy + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \\
 &= d \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| |y - x| \, dy \\
 &\quad + \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z - x) \cdot \frac{(y - x)}{|y - x|} \, dy \\
 &= d \left[ \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy - \frac{r}{d} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z - x) \cdot (y - x) \, dy \\
 &= d \left[ \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy - \frac{r^d \omega_d}{r^{d-1} \sigma_d} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{dr^d \omega_d}{r^2 r^{d-1} \sigma_d} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z - x) \cdot (y - x) \, dy \\
 &= d \left[ \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy - |B(x,r)| \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{d|B(x,r)|}{r^2} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z - x) \cdot (y - x) \, dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

The result follows dividing by  $|B(x,r)|$ .  $\square$

Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 can be combined to yield the following.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $0 < \beta < d$ . If  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $B(x,r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  and  $z \in \partial B(x,r)$ , then*

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (z - y) \, dy \leq \frac{d^2}{\beta} \left[ \int_{B(x,r)} |f| - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f| \right].$$

*Proof.* Note that by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\frac{d}{r^2} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| (z - x) \cdot (y - x) \, dy \leq d \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy.$$

Therefore, Proposition 4.2, (2.5) and Proposition 4.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (z-y) \, dy &\leq d \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \\ &= \frac{d}{\beta} \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (x-y) \, dy \\ &= \frac{d^2}{\beta} \left[ \int_{B(x,r)} |f| - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f| \right], \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $0 < \beta < d$ . Suppose that  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is radial, and let  $B = B(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then*

$$\left| \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \, dy \right| \leq \frac{d^2}{\beta r} \left[ (1 - \beta^2/d^2) \int_B |f(y)| \, dy - \int_{\partial B} |f(y)| \, dy \right].$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B = B(x, r)$  and  $z \in \partial B(x, r)$  such that  $z = x \pm r \frac{x}{|x|}$ , where the sign is chosen according to the direction of  $\nabla M_\beta f(x)$ . By (2.5) and Corollary 4.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \, dy \right| &= \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \left( \frac{z-x}{r} \right) \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left[ \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (z-y) \, dy + \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (y-x) \, dy \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left[ \int_B \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (z-y) \, dy - \beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{d^2}{\beta} \left[ \int_B |f| - \int_{\partial B} |f| \right] - \beta \int_B |f(y)| \, dy \right] \\ &= \frac{d^2}{\beta r} \left[ (1 - \beta^2/d^2) \int_B |f(y)| \, dy - \int_{\partial B} |f(y)| \, dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The following two lemmas contain the key estimates for the proof of the main theorem. Of these, the next one is the novel one, as it includes the aforementioned relation between  $M_\beta f$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f$  at the derivative level.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $0 < \beta < d$ . Suppose that  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is radial and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$ ,  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*i) If  $\nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x \leq 0$ , then*

$$\left| \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla|f|(y) \, dy \right| \leq \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla|f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} \, dy.$$

*ii) If  $\nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x > 0$ , then*

$$|\nabla M_\beta f(x)| \leq r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy - \widetilde{r}^\beta \int_{\widetilde{B}} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy + \nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|},$$

where  $r$  and  $\widetilde{r}$  denote the radii of  $B$  and  $\widetilde{B}$  respectively.

*Proof.* If  $|\nabla M_\beta f(x)| = 0$ , the claim is trivial. If  $\nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x < 0$ , iii) in Lemma 2.7 yields that

$$\frac{\int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \, dy}{\left| \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \, dy \right|} = \frac{-x}{|x|},$$

and by ii) in Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \, dy \right| &= \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{-x}{|x|} \, dy \\ &= \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{-y}{|x|} \, dy - \frac{\beta}{|x|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x > 0$ , we cannot proceed as before as the term  $\frac{\beta}{|x|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy$  is actively contributing. Instead, we control it by the non-centered maximal function  $\widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)$ . More precisely, as  $M_\beta f(x) \leq \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)$ , an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.3 is

$$|\nabla M_\beta f(x)| \leq r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy + \frac{\beta}{|x|} \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x).$$

Let  $\widetilde{B} = B(z, \widetilde{r}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$ . By Corollary 2.3 for  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ ,

$$\beta \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) = \widetilde{r}^\beta \int_{\widetilde{B}} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot (x - y) \, dy,$$

so altogether,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla M_\beta f(x)| &\leq r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy + \widetilde{r}^\beta \int_{\widetilde{B}} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \, dy \\ &\quad - \widetilde{r}^\beta \int_{\widetilde{B}} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy \\ &= r^\beta \int_{B(x,r)} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy - \widetilde{r}^\beta \int_{\widetilde{B}} \nabla |f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} \, dy \\ &\quad + \nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

The above lemma will be used when  $M_\beta f(x) \neq M_\beta^I f(x)$ . When those two maximal functions are equal, we use instead the following lemma, which is a minor modification of its non-centered counterpart [16, Lemma 2.10].

**Lemma 4.6.** *Suppose that  $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is radial,  $0 < \beta < d$ ,  $B_x = B(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $r_x \leq \frac{|x|}{4}$ , and*

$$E_x := \{z \in 2B_x : \frac{1}{2}|f|_{B_x} \leq |f(z)| \leq 2|f|_{B_x}\}.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) \, dy \right| \leq C(d, \beta) \int_{2B_x} |\nabla f(z)| \chi_{E_x}(z) \, dz.$$

Note that this lemma is a radial higher-dimensional counterpart of Lemma 3.2 under the additional assumption  $r_x \leq |x|/4$ . This condition, together with Proposition 4.7, reduces the proof of the lemma to the one-dimensional case, provided the integration by parts argument is replaced by the estimate in Lemma 4.4. Proposition 4.7 is an elementary observation, but nevertheless crucial in order to extend the one-dimensional analysis to that of  $M_\beta^I$  over radial functions.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose that  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies  $f(x) = F(|x|)$ ,  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $B := B(z, r) \subset B(0, 2|z|) \setminus B(0, \frac{1}{2}|z|)$ , and  $a := |z| - r$ ,  $b := |z| + r$ . Then it holds that*

$$\int_{[a,b]} F(t) dt \leq C(d) \int_{B(z, 2r)} f(y) dy.$$

## 5. BOUNDEDNESS FOR $d > 1$

This section is devoted to the proof of the estimate (1.3). We will examine the different possible cases that arise depending on: the size of good radii  $r_x$  relative to  $|x|$ , the direction of  $\nabla M_\beta f(x)$  and the size of auxiliary good radii  $\tilde{r}_x$  for the non-centered  $\tilde{M}_\beta f(x)$ . A sketch of the proof is provided in Figure 1 for the reader's convenience.




FIGURE 1. Scheme of the proof depending on the different cases. The notation  $\approx$  means that the analysis is similar to that performed in such cases.

Let's turn into the proof. By Lemma 2.7,  $M_\beta f$  and  $\tilde{M}_\beta f$  are differentiable except on a set of measure zero. Thus, it suffices to show the bound (1.3) on the set

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : M_\beta f \text{ and } \tilde{M}_\beta f \text{ are differentiable at } x \text{ and } \nabla M_\beta f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

For each  $x \in \Omega$ , fix  $B_x := B(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  such that  $r_x$  is the smallest possible good radius. Define the sets

$$\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega : r_x \leq \frac{|x|}{4}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 := \{x \in \Omega : r_x > \frac{|x|}{4}\}.$$

Then we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla M_\beta f(x)|^q dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left| r_x^\beta \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right|^q dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{r_x^{q\beta}}{(\omega_d)^{q-1} r_x^{d(q-1)}} \left| \int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right|^{q-1} \left| \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx \\
 &\lesssim \|\nabla f\|_1^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \left| \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx \\
 &= \|\nabla f\|_1^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega_i} \left| \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact  $q\beta = d(q-1)$ . It suffices to show that

$$\int_{\Omega_i} \left| \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

In the case of  $\Omega_1$ , the bound follows using Lemma 4.6 and the same scheme as in the proof of the one-dimensional case given in Section 3. This case is then analogous to its non-centered counterpart, already established in [16]. The details are omitted.

The case of  $\Omega_2$  is where the analysis substantially differs from that of  $\widetilde{M}_\beta$ . We consider two further subcases. Define

$$\Omega_2^+ := \{x \in \Omega_2 : \nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x > 0\}$$

and

$$\Omega_2^- := \{x \in \Omega_2 : \nabla M_\beta f(x) \cdot x \leq 0\}.$$

The case  $\Omega_2^-$ : By i) in Lemma 4.5 one has

$$\int_{\Omega_2^-} \left| \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx \leq \int_{\Omega_2^-} \rlap{-}\int_{B_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx.$$

Note that  $|x| < 4r_x$  and  $|y| \leq |x| + 2r_x \leq 6r_x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega_2^-} \frac{1}{|B_x|} \int_{B_x \cap \{|y| > |x|\}} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_2^-} \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{B_x \cap \{|y| > |x|\}} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{1}{|y|^{d-1}} dy dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla |f|(y)|}{|y|^{d-1}} \int_0^{|y|} \frac{1}{|x|} dx dy \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(y)| dy
 \end{aligned}$$

simply by Fubini and integrating in the  $x$ -variable. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_2^-} \frac{1}{|B_x|} \int_{B_x \cap \{|y| \leq |x|\}} |\nabla|f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Omega_2^-} \int_{B_x \cap \{|y| \leq |x|\}} |\nabla|f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|^{d+1}} dy dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla|f|(y)||y| \int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

which follows again by Fubini and integration in  $x$ . Putting the above estimates together one has that

$$\int_{\Omega_2^-} \left| \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) dy \right| dx \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_1,$$

as desired.

The case  $\Omega_2^+$ : By ii) in Lemma 4.5, we have that

$$|\nabla M_\beta f(x)| \leq r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy - \tilde{r}_x^\beta \int_{\tilde{B}_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\tilde{B}_x = B(z, \tilde{r}_x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x^\beta$  is chosen so that  $\tilde{r}_x$  is the smallest possible good radius. We will argue differently depending on the size of  $\tilde{r}_x$ .

If  $\tilde{r}_x \leq \frac{|x|}{4}$ , one may use item iv) in Lemma 2.7 in the above estimate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla M_\beta f(x)| \\ & \leq r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \tilde{r}_x^\beta \int_{\tilde{B}_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{(z-y) + (x-z)}{|x|} dy \\ & = r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \frac{\tilde{r}_x^\beta}{|x|} \int_{\tilde{B}_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (z-y) dy + \nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot \frac{x-z}{|x|} \\ & = r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \frac{\tilde{r}_x^\beta}{|x|} \int_{\tilde{B}_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot (z-y) dy - \frac{|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)| \tilde{r}_x}{|x|} \\ & = r_x^\beta \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \frac{d \tilde{r}_x^\beta}{|x|} \left[ \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |f| - \int_{\partial \tilde{B}_x} |f| \right] - \frac{|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)| \tilde{r}_x}{|x|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 4.1. Diving the above inequality by  $r_x^\beta$  and dropping the last term one has,

$$\left| \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|x|} dy + \left( \frac{\tilde{r}_x}{r_x} \right)^\beta \frac{d}{|x|} \left[ \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |f| - \int_{\partial \tilde{B}_x} |f| \right].$$

As  $\tilde{r}_x \leq |x|/4$ , one has  $(\tilde{r}_x/r_x) \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_2^+} \left| \int_{B_x} \nabla|f|(y) dy \right| dx \leq \int_{\Omega_2^+} \int_{B_x} |\nabla|f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \\ & \quad + d \int_{\Omega_2^+} \frac{1}{|x|} \left[ \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |f(y)| dy - \int_{\partial \tilde{B}_x} |f(y)| dy \right] dx \\ & = I + d II. \end{aligned}$$



Then, to estimate  $I$  we can proceed as in the estimate for  $\Omega_2^-$  and to estimate  $II$  we can proceed as in the estimate for  $\Omega_1$  through Lemma 4.6, bounding  $1/|x| \leq 1/|\tilde{r}|$ . This yields the desired bound on  $\Omega_2^+$  when  $\tilde{r}_x \leq |x|/4$ .

Finally, we assume  $\tilde{r}_x > \frac{|x|}{4}$ . Consider first the case  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) = 0$ . Dividing (5.1) by  $r_x^\beta$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: \nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)=0\}} \left| \int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega_2^+} \int_{B_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx + \int_{\Omega_2^+} \frac{\tilde{r}_x^\beta}{r_x^\beta} \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

The first term can be bounded by  $\|\nabla f\|_1$  as in  $\Omega_2^-$ . For the second term, note that if  $y \in \tilde{B}_x$ ,  $|y| \leq 2\tilde{r}_x + |x| \leq 6\tilde{r}_x$ . This is the same situation as in the first term, except that we have the additional term  $(\tilde{r}_x/r_x)$ , which cannot be ensured to be less than 1. However, it can essentially be treated in the same way using Fubini's theorem and the bounds  $r_x \geq |x|/4$ ,  $\tilde{r}_x \geq |x|/4$  and  $\tilde{r}_x \geq |y|/6$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2^+} \frac{\tilde{r}_x^\beta}{r_x^\beta} \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla |f|(y)| |y| \int_{\{|x| \geq |y|\}} \frac{1}{|x|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla |f|(y)|}{|y|^{d-1-\beta}} \int_{\{|x| \leq |y|\}} \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\beta}} dx dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Assume next  $|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)| \neq 0$ . By iv) in Lemma 2.7 one has that  $x \in \partial \tilde{B}_x$ , and moreover, by radially and the non-centeredness it follows that  $z = c_x x$  with either  $c_x < 1$  or  $c_x > 1$ .

If  $c_x < 1$ , as a consequence of iv) in Lemma 2.7, we have that  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot x < 0$ . Thus, that term can be dropped in (5.1) and the same analysis as in  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) = 0$  yields the estimate - in fact, the situation is even simpler as  $\tilde{B}_x \subseteq B(0, |x|)$ .

If  $c_x > 1$ , the term  $\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot x$  is actively contributing, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x > 1\}} \left| \int_{B_x} \nabla |f|(y) dy \right| dx & \lesssim \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x > 1\}} \int_{B_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x < 1\}} \frac{\tilde{r}_x^\beta}{r_x^\beta} \int_{\tilde{B}_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| \frac{|y|}{|x|} dy dx \\ & \quad + \int_{E_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x > 1\}} \frac{\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot x}{|x| r_x^\beta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the first two terms as in the case  $|\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x)| = 0$ . The third term also follows with a similar argument, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x > 1\}} \frac{\nabla \widetilde{M}_\beta f(x) \cdot x}{|x| r_x^\beta} dx &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_2^+ \cap \{x: c_x > 1\}} \frac{1}{r_x^\beta \widetilde{r}_x^{d-\beta}} \int_{\widetilde{B}_x} |\nabla |f|(y)| dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\widetilde{B}_x \cap \{y: |y| \geq |x|\}} \frac{1}{|x|^\beta} \frac{|\nabla |f|(y)|}{|y|^{d-\beta}} dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla |f|(y)|}{|y|^{d-\beta}} \int_{\{|x| \leq |y|\}} \frac{1}{|x|^\beta} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla |f|(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired bound when  $\widetilde{r}_x > |x|/4$  and concludes the proof of the endpoint Sobolev bound (1.3).

## 6. CONTINUITY FOR $d > 1$

In order to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2, it remains to show that the map  $f \mapsto |\nabla M_\beta f|$  is continuous from  $W_{\text{rad}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . As mentioned in the Introduction, the proof follows the strategy used by the authors [2] in the non-centered case, together with a new idea recently introduced by González-Riquelme [8] that allows to obtain smallness of  $\nabla M_\beta f_j$  inside a small ball around the origin. In what follows we put this strategy in action; see also [8, Theorem 25] for a similar approach.

For any radial function  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and any sequence of radial functions  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we want to show that

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^{d/(d-\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.1)$$

We first review some auxiliary results that were established in the previous work by the authors [2].

**6.1. Preliminaries.** Given  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the associated families of good balls, defined in (2.1), are simply denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_x^\beta$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{x,j}^\beta$  respectively. The families of good radii are denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_x^\beta$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{x,j}^\beta$ .

**6.1.1. A Brézis–Lieb type reduction.** The classical Brézis–Lieb lemma [4] reduces the proof of (6.1) to showing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla M_\beta f_j|^{\frac{d}{d-\beta}} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla M_\beta f|^{\frac{d}{d-\beta}} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

provided the almost everywhere convergence

$$\nabla M_\beta f_j(x) \rightarrow \nabla M_\beta f(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (6.2)$$

holds.

6.1.2. *Almost everywhere convergence of the derivatives.* The a.e. convergence (6.2) was established in [2] provided the representation of the derivative (2.4) holds.

**Lemma 6.1** (Lemma 2.4 [2]). *Let  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a radial function and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a sequence of radial functions such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

$$M_\beta f_j(x) \rightarrow M_\beta f(x) \quad \text{a.e. as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\nabla M_\beta f_j(x) \rightarrow \nabla M_\beta f(x) \quad \text{a.e. as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\nabla M_\beta^I f_j(x) \rightarrow \nabla M_\beta^I f(x) \quad \text{a.e. as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

6.1.3. *A functional analytic convergence lemma.* In view of the representation of the derivative of  $M_\beta$  in (2.4), it is useful to note that convergence of  $f_j$  to  $f$  in  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies the convergence of their modulus. A proof of this functional analytic fact can be founded in [2].

**Lemma 6.2** (Lemma 2.3 [2]). *Let  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\||f_j| - |f|\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .*

6.1.4. *A classical convergence result.* Finally, the following classical variant of the dominated convergence theorem will be also used in establishing (6.1).

**Theorem 6.3** (Generalised dominated convergence theorem). *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of functions on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that*

- i)  $|f_j(x)| \leq |g_j(x)|$  a.e.,
- ii)  $f_j(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $g_j(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  a.e. as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- iii)  $\|g_j - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ .

Then  $\|f_j - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ .

This follows as a consequence of Fatou's lemma; see for instance [19, Chapter 4, Theorem 19].

**6.2. Proof of the continuity in Theorem 1.2.** As it was shown by the authors in [2], it suffices to show that the convergence (6.1) holds in any large compact set  $K$ . This is thanks to the following.

**Proposition 6.4** (Proposition 4.10 [2]). *Let  $0 < \beta < d$ ,  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a compact set  $K$  and  $j_\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q((3K)^c)} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $j \geq j_\varepsilon$ , where  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ .

Our goal then is to establish the following.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be radial functions such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for any compact set  $K = \bar{B}(0, b)$ ,*

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q(K)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ .

Note that the convergence  $\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$  trivially follows combining the above propositions.

In order to prove Proposition 6.5, write  $K = B(0, a) \cup A(a, b)$ , where  $A(a, b)$  denotes the annulus  $A(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : a \leq |x| \leq b\}$ . We study the convergence on each region independently.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be radial functions such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for any  $0 < a < b < \infty$ ,*

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q(A(a,b))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $f_0 = f$ , and let  $E_j$  be the set of measure zero for which Lemma 2.7 fails for  $f_j$ . The set  $E := \cup_{j \geq 0} E_j$  continues to have measure zero. Moreover, let  $F$  denote the set of measure zero for which Lemma 6.1 fails. Note that for all  $x \in A(a, b) \setminus E \cup F$ ,

$$|\nabla M_\beta f_j(x)| \leq |\nabla M_\beta^I f_j(x)| + \frac{1}{\omega_d(a/4)^{d-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla |f_j|(y)| \, dy.$$

Clearly,  $M_\beta f(x) = M_\beta^I f(x)$  if  $r_x \in \mathcal{R}_x^\beta$  is such that  $r_x \leq |x|/4$ , whilst if  $r_x \geq |x|/4 \geq a/4$  the inequality simply follows from Lemma 2.7. In [2, Remark 4.9] it was shown that  $\|\nabla M_\beta^I f_j - \nabla M_\beta^I f\|_{L^q(A(a,b))} \rightarrow 0$ , and by Lemma 6.1, one has  $\nabla M_\beta^I f_j(x) \rightarrow \nabla M_\beta^I f(x)$ . Moreover, note that Lemma 6.2 implies

$$\frac{1}{\omega_d(a/4)^{d-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla |f_j|(y)| \, dy \rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega_d(a/4)^{d-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla |f|(y)| \, dy$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, the norm convergence also follows in  $A(a, b)$  because this is a compact set. Therefore, the result follows from the a.e. convergence  $\nabla M_\beta f_j \rightarrow \nabla M_\beta f$  ensured by Lemma 6.1 and the Generalised dominated convergence theorem (Theorem 6.3).  $\square$

We next show that there exists a small neighbourhood around the origin for which there is convergence. To this end, we use an idea recently introduced by González-Riquelme in [8].

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  radial functions such that  $\|f_j - f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\delta > 0$  and  $j_\epsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\|\nabla M_\beta f_j - \nabla M_\beta f\|_{L^q(B(0,\delta))} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_\epsilon,$$

where  $q = d/(d - \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\lambda > 0$  to be chosen later. Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta := \delta_{\epsilon, \lambda} > 0$  and  $j_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(0, (\lambda+1)\delta)} |\nabla f| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B(0, (\lambda+1)\delta)} |\nabla f_j| < \epsilon$$

for every  $j \geq j_\epsilon$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the sets

$$E_1^j := \{x \in B(0, \delta) : \exists r_{x,j} \in \mathcal{R}_{x,j}^\beta \text{ with } r_{x,j} \geq \lambda\delta\},$$

and

$$E_2^j := \{x \in B(0, \delta) : \exists r_{x,j} \in \mathcal{R}_{x,j}^\beta \text{ with } r_{x,j} < \lambda\delta\};$$

the sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are defined similarly with respect to the function  $f$ .

On the one hand, in  $E_1^j$ , the lower bound on the radius yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,\delta)\cap E_1^j} |\nabla M_\beta f_j(x)|^q dx &\leq \|\nabla f_j\|_1^{q-1} \int_{B(0,\delta)\cap E_1^j} \frac{1}{\omega_d(\lambda\delta)^d} \int_{B_{x,j}} |\nabla f_j(y)| dy dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \|\nabla f_j\|_1^q \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^d} \|\nabla f\|_1^q \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j \geq j_\epsilon$ , and the same holds in  $B(0, \delta) \cap E_1$ .

On the other hand, in  $E_2^j$ , the bound (1.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,\delta)\cap E_2^j} |\nabla M_\beta f_j(x)|^q dx &\leq \int_{B(0,\delta)} |\nabla M_\beta(f_j \chi_{B(0,(\lambda+1)\delta)})(x)|^q dx \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla f_j\|_{L^1(B(0,(1+\lambda)\delta))}^q \\ &\leq \epsilon^q \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j \geq j_\epsilon$ , and the same holds in  $B(0, \delta) \cap E_2$ .

It then suffices to choose  $\lambda > 0$  large enough so that  $\|\nabla f\|_1/\lambda^{d/q} < \epsilon$ . □

It is clear that Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7 can be combined to obtain Proposition 6.5 and concluding then (6.1), which is the desired continuity result.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Aldaz and J. Pérez Lázaro, *Functions of bounded variation, the derivative of the one dimensional maximal function, and applications to inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 5, 2443–2461. MR 2276629
- [2] David Beltran and José Madrid, *Endpoint Sobolev continuity of the fractional maximal function in higher dimensions*, To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not., IMRN*, [arxiv.org/abs/1906.00496](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00496) (2019).
- [3] David Beltran, João Pedro Ramos, and Olli Saari, *Regularity of fractional maximal functions through Fourier multipliers*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), no. 6, 1875–1892. MR 3912794
- [4] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. MR 0482275
- [5] Emanuel Carneiro and Cristian González-Riquelme, *Gradient bounds for radial maximal functions*, [arxiv.org/abs/1906.01487](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.01487) (2019).
- [6] Emanuel Carneiro and José Madrid, *Derivative bounds for fractional maximal functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 6, 4063–4092. MR 3624402
- [7] Emanuel Carneiro, José Madrid, and Lillian B. Pierce, *Endpoint Sobolev and BV continuity for maximal operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **273** (2017), no. 10, 3262–3294. MR 3695894
- [8] Cristian González-Riquelme, *Sobolev regularity of polar fractional maximal functions*, [arxiv.org/abs/1910.05590](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.05590) (2019).
- [9] Piotr Hajłasz and Jan Malý, *On approximate differentiability of the maximal function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 1, 165–174. MR 2550181
- [10] Toni Heikkinen, Juha Kinnunen, Janne Korvenpää, and Heli Tuominen, *Regularity of the local fractional maximal function*, Arkiv för Matematik **53** (2015), no. 1, 127–154.
- [11] Juha Kinnunen, *The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of a Sobolev function*, Israel J. Math. **100** (1997), 117–124. MR 1469106
- [12] Juha Kinnunen and Eero Saksman, *Regularity of the fractional maximal function*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 4, 529–535. MR 1979008
- [13] Ondřej Kurka, *On the variation of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **40** (2015), no. 1, 109–133. MR 3310075

- [14] Hannes Luiro, *Continuity of the maximal operator in Sobolev spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 1, 243–251. MR 2280193
- [15] ———, *The variation of the maximal function of a radial function*, Ark. Mat. **56** (2018), no. 1, 147–161. MR 3800463
- [16] Hannes Luiro and José Madrid, *The variation of the fractional maximal function of a radial function*, To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, [arxiv.org/abs/1710.07233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.07233) (2017).
- [17] José Madrid, *Endpoint Sobolev and BV continuity for maximal operators, II*, To appear in *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* [arxiv.org/abs/1710.03546](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.03546) (2017).
- [18] João P. G. Ramos, Olli Saari, and Julian Weigt, *Weak differentiability for fractional maximal functions of general  $L^p$  functions on domains*, [arxiv.org/abs/1909.04375](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04375) (2019).
- [19] H.L. Royden and P. Fitzpatrick, *Real analysis*, Prentice Hall, 2010.
- [20] Olli Saari, *Poincaré inequalities for the maximal function*, To appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*., [arxiv.org/abs/1605.05176](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.05176) (2016).
- [21] Hitoshi Tanaka, *A remark on the derivative of the one-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), no. 2, 253–258. MR 1898539

DAVID BELTRAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI, 53706, USA.

*E-mail address:* [dbeltran@math.wisc.edu](mailto:dbeltran@math.wisc.edu)

JOSÉ MADRID: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES (UCLA), PORTOLA PLAZA 520, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, 90095, USA

*E-mail address:* [jmadrid@math.ucla.edu](mailto:jmadrid@math.ucla.edu)