

Dressed excitations, thermodynamics and relaxation in the 1D XXZ Heisenberg model

A. Pavlis^{1,2}, X. Zotos^{1,2,3}

¹*ITCP and CCQCN, Department of Physics, University of Crete, 70013, Heraklion, Greece*

²*Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, 71110 Heraklion, Greece and*

³*Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research, 01069 Dresden, Germany*

In this note, we discuss the low and high temperature contribution of Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) dressed excitations in the thermodynamics and energy-magnetization relaxation within the Generalized Hydrodynamics approach in the linear response regime. In particular, we show how the temperature dependent dispersions of the excitations reproduce well known behavior of the specific heat, magnetic susceptibility, spin and energy Drude weights. In this context, we derive a further formulation of the Drude weights from the finite wavevector relaxation. Furthermore, we contrast the TBA description of thermodynamics and dynamics in terms of a multitude of string excitations to that in terms of a single quasi-particle in low energy effective theories.

I. INTRODUCTION

The framework for studying the thermodynamic properties of the one dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg model, in the easy-plane antiferromagnetic regime, was first set in a seminal paper by Takahashi and Suzuki (TS) [1] along the line of the Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) proposed by Yang and Yang [2].

The characteristic of the TS formulation was the introduction of an intricate structure of allowed "string excitations" depending on the value of the anisotropy parameter. This structure was originally attributed to the physical requirement of normalizability of the corresponding Bethe ansatz wavefunctions [3, 4] and more recently given a group theoretic interpretation [5]. The specific heat and magnetic susceptibility were mostly obtained by a numerical evaluation of the TBA nonlinear integral equations.

In this work, aiming at a physical picture of the (thermo-) dynamics, we look at the low energy dispersions of the underlying string excitations where we find that they are simple expressions in terms of dressed momenta which however are temperature dependent. By reformulating the expressions of the specific heat and magnetic susceptibility we point out that, in contrast to field theoretic approaches, completely different string excitations correspondingly contribute.

On the relaxation functions, a very interesting recent extension of TBA was proposed for space-time dependent densities under the name of Generalized Hydrodynamics approach [6, 7] (GHD). By this novel method the spin and thermal Drude weights [8–11] were recovered as asymptotic states of a quench from an initial thermal/magnetization step [12]. Here, using the GHD approach in the linear approximation, we analyze the relaxation of wavevector- q dependent thermal/magnetization profiles. As a byproduct we obtain the Drude weights as integrals over frequency of the wavevector- q relaxation spectral function, of course closely related to linear response conductivities.

This study provides theoretical background to present and future experiments in 1D quantum magnets [13], e.g. "dynamic heat transport" [14] and "transient grating spectroscopy" experiments [15], that probe the relaxation of magnetization/thermal density profiles.

II. TBA FORMULATION

The XXZ anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian for a chain of N sites with periodic boundary conditions is given by,

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N J(S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z) - h S_i^z, \quad (1)$$

where S_i^a are spin-1/2 operators and $S_{N+1}^a = S_1^a$. The region $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$ is commonly parametrized by $\Delta = \cos \theta$ and J is taken as the unit of energy. In the following we will closely follow the formulation and notation by TS [1] (see Appendix A).

In the thermodynamic limit, for the simplest case of $\theta = \pi/\nu$, the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations are grouped into a set of strings of order $l_j = j$ and parity $\zeta_j = +1$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1$ and one $l_\nu = +1$, $\zeta_\nu = -1$. More generally the anisotropy parameter θ is expressed as a continued fraction expansion (TS) $\theta = \frac{\pi}{\nu_1 + 1/(\nu_2 + 1/(\nu_3 + \dots))}$. The densities of excitations $\rho_j(\lambda)$ and holes $\rho_j^h(\lambda)$ (λ is the rapidity of the excitations) are given by,

$$a_j = \sigma_j(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) + \sum_k T_{jk} \circ \rho_k$$

$$a_j(\lambda) = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \frac{v_j \sin(n_j \theta)}{\cosh(\theta \lambda) - v_j \cos(n_j \theta)} \quad (2)$$

with $a \circ b(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(\lambda - \mu) b(\mu) d\mu$ and T_{jk} the phase shifts given by TS (Appendix A). The sum over k is constrained over the allowed strings, depending on the value of the anisotropy Δ and $\sigma_j = \zeta_j$. Minimizing the free energy, the standard Bethe ansatz equations for the temperature dependent effective dispersions ϵ_j at temperature T (inverse temperature $\beta = 1/k_B T$), are obtained,

$$\epsilon_j = \epsilon_j^{(0)} + h l_j + T \sum_k \sigma_k T_{jk} \circ \ln(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})$$

$$\epsilon_j^{(0)} = -A a_j, \quad A = 2\pi \frac{J \sin \theta}{\theta}, \quad \beta \epsilon_j = \ln \rho_j^h / \rho_j. \quad (3)$$

As the bare momentum of a particle (flipped spin from the ferromagnetic state) is given by,

$$p_1^{(0)} = +i \ln \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda + i)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda - i)}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial p_1^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}, \quad (5)$$

we define "bare" $p_j^{(0)}$ and "dressed" p_j momenta [6],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda} &= 2\pi \sigma_j (\rho_j + \rho_j^h) = 2\pi \sigma_j r_j, & \frac{\partial p_j^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} &= 2\pi a_j, \\ r_j &= \rho_j + \rho_j^h \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and rewrite eq.(2) as,

$$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{d\lambda} - \sum_k \sigma_k T_{jk} \circ n_k \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial \mu}, \quad (7)$$

with $n_k = \rho_k / (\rho_k + \rho_k^h)$.

Similarly, as the bare particle energies are $\epsilon_j^{(0)} = -Aa_j$ we define dressed energies $E_j = -A\sigma_j(\rho_j + \rho_j^h)$ so that eq.(2) becomes,

$$E_j = \epsilon_j^{(0)} - \sum_k \sigma_k T_{jk} \circ n_k E_k. \quad (8)$$

Notice that the eigenvalues of conserved quantities are obtained by [16]:

$$q_m = \left(-J \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \right)^m \frac{\partial^m p^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda^m}, \quad (9)$$

where $q_0 = p_1^{(0)}$ is the momentum, $q_1 = \epsilon_1^{(0)}$ the energy, $q_2 = j_1^{(0)}$ the energy current eigenvalues.

With these definitions the mean value of the total energy is written as,

$$E = \sum_j \int d\lambda \rho_j(\lambda) \epsilon_j^{(0)}(\lambda) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j \epsilon_j^{(0)} \quad (10)$$

or, by using eqs.(2,8) and a procedure named "dressing" [6],

$$E = \sum_j \int d\lambda \rho_j(\lambda) \epsilon_j^{(0)}(\lambda) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} n_j E_j. \quad (11)$$

As the energy current is also a conserved quantity, we can further define the mean value of energy current as,

$$\begin{aligned} J_E &= \sum_j \int d\lambda \rho_j j_j^{\epsilon^{(0)}} = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j j_j^{\epsilon^{(0)}}, \\ j_j^{\epsilon^{(0)}} &= \left(\frac{-A}{2\pi} \right) \frac{\partial \epsilon_j^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

or as in eq.(11),

$$J_E = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} n_j j_j^{\epsilon}, \quad j_j^{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{-A}{2\pi} \right) \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (13)$$

By taking the derivative of eq.(3) with respect to the rapidity λ , we obtain an equation for the energy currents with the same structure as eqs.(2,8).

$$j_j^\epsilon = j_j^{\epsilon(0)} - \sum_k \sigma_k T_{jk} \circ n_k j_k^\epsilon. \quad (14)$$

At this point, it is instructive to introduce an effective velocity of the excitations by [6, 7, 17],

$$v_j = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_j(\rho_j + \rho_j^h)} \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial p_j} \quad (15)$$

and rewrite eq.(13) in the physical form,

$$J_E = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} n_j (v_j E_j). \quad (16)$$

III. THERMODYNAMICS IN THE LOW AND HIGH TEMPERATURE LIMIT

Having presented the basic formalism, we proceed to the low(high) temperature analysis of the dressed excitations and their contribution to thermodynamic quantities of the system in study, in particular the specific heat and magnetic susceptibility. Let us mention, that in this section all calculations are for zero magnetic field ($h = 0$).

Let us begin with the free energy density $f(T)$ [1, 11]

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -T \sum_j \sigma_j \int d\lambda a_j \ln(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_j}) \\ &= -T \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} \ln(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_j}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Consequently, we obtain the mean energy density $\epsilon(T)$,

$$\epsilon(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (f/T) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} n_j E_j, \quad (18)$$

and by evaluating the equilibrium responses, $\left. \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta, h}$, $\left. \frac{\partial n_j}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta, h}$ (Appendix D), the specific heat $c(T)$,

$$c = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T} = \beta^2 \sum_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j^2. \quad (19)$$

Similarly, we obtain the mean magnetization density $m(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial h} = \sum_j \sigma_j \int d\lambda a_j n_j Q_j \\ &= \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} n_j Q_j, \quad Q_j = \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial h}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$,

$$\chi = \left. \frac{\partial m}{\partial h} \right|_{h \rightarrow 0} = \beta \sum_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) Q_j^2. \quad (21)$$

The "charges", $Q_j = \partial\epsilon_j/\partial h$ also satisfy,

$$Q_j = Q_j^{(0)} - \sum_k \sigma_k T_{jk} \circ n_k Q_k, \quad Q_j^{(0)} = l_j. \quad (22)$$

At low (zero) temperatures (Appendix B) the dispersion relations ϵ_j are relatively simple functions of the dressed momenta p_j , which are of the order of temperature T . At $T = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= -v \sin p_1, \quad 0 \leq p_1 < \pi \\ \epsilon_j &= 0, \quad j > 1, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $v = J(\pi/2) \sin\theta/\theta$ is the spinon velocity. ϵ_1 is the dispersion of 1-string excitations (holes in the "magnon" Fermi sea) and is the same as the dispersion of spinons, the elementary excitations of the model, [18] but with only one branch.

At $T \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta/\pi = \nu$, the string excitation thermal energy dispersions ϵ_j form a non-overlapping sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &\simeq Tg(p_1) - v \sin p_1, \quad 0 \leq p_1 \leq \pi \\ \epsilon_j &\simeq T \ln(j^2 - 1) + v|p_j|, \quad j = 2, \dots, \nu - 2, \\ |p_j| &\leq p_j^{max}, \quad p_j^{max} = \frac{T}{v} \ln\left(\frac{(j+1)^2 - 1}{j^2 - 1}\right), \\ \epsilon_{\nu-1} &\simeq T \ln(\nu - 2) + v|p_{\nu-1}|, \\ |p_{\nu-1}| &< p_{\nu-1}^{max}, \quad p_{\nu-1}^{max} = \frac{T}{v} \ln\left(\frac{\nu - 1}{\nu - 2}\right), \\ \epsilon_\nu &= -\epsilon_{\nu-1}, \quad p_\nu^{max} = p_{\nu-1}^{max}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Note that $\ln 2 \leq g(p_1) \leq \ln 3$, with $g(0) = g(\pi) = \ln 3$ and $g(\pi/2) \simeq \ln 2$ (Appendix B). Additionally the ϵ_j do not overlap as $\epsilon_j(\pm p_j^{max}) = \epsilon_{j+1}(0)$ (the momenta are shifted by $\pm p_j^{max}$ so that the p_j are symmetric about zero). At $T \rightarrow +\infty$ (Appendix C),

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_j &\simeq T \ln((j+1)^2 - 1), \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ |p_j| &\leq p_j^{max}, \quad p_j^{max} = \pi \frac{j+1}{(j+1)^2 - 1} \\ \epsilon_{\nu-1} &\simeq T \ln(\nu - 1), \quad p_{\nu-1}^{max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and thus the top of the dispersions, $\epsilon_j(p_j^{max})/T$, are temperature independent as they coincide with the $T \rightarrow 0$ ones. The momentum space is increasing with T at low temperatures, reaching a constant value at high temperatures. It is also interesting to observe that a quasi-particle dispersion of the form, $f(p) = T \ln g - \epsilon_p$ can be interpreted as a dispersion of holes, with degeneracy g ,

$$n_h = 1 - n = \frac{g}{g + e^{\beta\epsilon_p}}. \quad (26)$$

Concerning the excitation energies E_j , at $T = 0$, $E_1 = \epsilon_1$ and $E_j = 0$, $j > 1$. At low T , we find numerically that they do not have an accurate simple form, $E_1 \sim -v|\sin p_1|$ and E_j , $j > 1$ are of $O(T)$,

$$E_j \sim -T \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi p_j}{p_j^{max}}\right) \right|, \quad j = \nu - 1, \nu, \quad |p_j| \leq p_j^{max}, \quad (27)$$

where p_j^{max} are the same as for the ϵ_j .

At this point it is interesting to compare the commonly used "spinon" description of the specific heat as obtained from a Luttinger liquid or bosonization theory to the TBA description. In the spinon description, the elementary excitations with dispersion $\epsilon = v|\sin p|$, $-\pi < p \leq \pi$ contribute at low energies to the specific heat by a 4-fold linear dispersion $\epsilon \sim vp$, $c_{spinon} \simeq \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{1}{\beta v}$. On the other hand the TBA 1-string excitations with dispersion $\epsilon_1(p_1) \simeq T \ln 3 - v \sin p_1$ eq.(24) and 2-fold linear spectrum at low energies, give

$$c_{TBA}^{(1)} \simeq \beta^2 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dp_1}{2\pi} \frac{(vp_1)^2}{4 \cosh^2 \frac{\beta(T \ln 3 - vp_1)}{2}} \simeq 1.234 \frac{1}{\beta v} \quad (28)$$

instead of $\pi/3 \simeq 1.047$. In this calculation we used the $T = 0$ dispersion E_1 which overestimates $c_{TBA}^{(1)}$ as at low temperatures $|E_1| < v \sin p_1$. A complete, accurate numerical evaluation of eq.(19) of course reproduces the factor $\pi/3$ and also indicates that the contribution of higher order strings is minimal. As $E_j, j > 1$ is $O(T)$ we find from eqs.(19,24) that $c_{TBA}^{(j)} \sim \frac{1}{\beta v} \frac{1}{j^3}$. Note that this attribution of the low- T specific heat to a single branch of 1-string excitations is not in agreement with the discussion in [19].

To evaluate the magnetic susceptibility, we first note that in the case of zero magnetic field ($h = 0$) the charges Q_j are temperature independent [12, 20, 21] and the evaluation of (22) is particularly simple giving $Q_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$ and $Q_{\nu-1} = -Q_\nu = +\nu/2$ (Appendix E). Using the relation (21) for the magnetic susceptibility and eq.(24) we obtain for $T \rightarrow 0$,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\pi v} K, \quad K = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - 1/\nu}, \quad (29)$$

where K is the Luttinger liquid parameter. In the high temperature limit, $\beta \rightarrow 0$, from eq.(25), $\chi = \frac{\beta}{4}$. The fact that the $l_\nu = +1$ excitations with parity $\zeta_\nu = -1$ account for the magnetic susceptibility is not surprising, as they physically correspond to a uniform change of the S^z component of the magnetization by ± 1 [22] and detected e.g. in ESR experiments [23].

The calculation of these two thermodynamic quantities poses a basic question on the relation between a description in terms of a multitude of TBA string excitations and one in terms of a single quasi-particle with linear dispersion in low energy effective theories. We would have assumed that the TBA string excitations dispersions are linear in the dressed momenta so that the single effective quasi-particle represents in some sense a resummation of their contributions. This is indeed the case for the thermal energies ϵ_j but not for the dressed energies E_j which are given by more complex relations. Thus, although the exact numerical TBA calculation and the effective theories give the same result at low temperatures, their relation remains not well understood. Closing this section, we note that for $\theta/\pi = \nu_1 + 1/\nu_2$ (see Appendix B, C), relations similar to eqs.(24,25) give the same low/high temperature asymptotic specific heat and magnetic susceptibility.

IV. ENERGY - MAGNETIZATION RELAXATION

In the GHD approach, the occupations n_j depend on space and time following the continuity equation [6, 7],

$$\frac{\partial n_j(x, t)}{\partial t} + v_j(x, t) \frac{\partial n_j(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (30)$$

This is conjectured to be valid in the long wavelength - time limit (hereafter, the dependence of quantities in space-time will be explicitly denoted by (x, t) , otherwise they will refer to an equilibrium state at a temperature $k_B T = 1/\beta$ and magnetic field h). Notice that this relation follows by making local the conservation of energy for every excitation mode [6],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J_E}{\partial x} &= 0 \\ \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_j E_j + \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j^{(0)}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n_j (v_j E_j) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (v_j \rho_j) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

the original form of the GHD equation.

Most of the studies have considered a quench scenario, namely two regions at different temperatures/magnetic fields initially separated by a wall. Here, with view to future experiments on quantum magnets [15], we want to study the energy/ magnetization relaxation, starting from an initial condition where a small sinusoidal field of wavevector- q , $\delta\beta(x) = \delta\beta_q e^{iqx}$ or $\delta h(x) = \delta h_q e^{iqx}$ is applied to the system, resulting in a response with the same wavevector- q (the discussion here is closely related to one in the context of the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas [24]). In the following, we will explicitly denote quantities depending on space-time, otherwise equilibrium ones are implied. We will first consider a temperature perturbation $\delta\beta_q e^{iqx}$ around the equilibrium state at inverse temperature β and magnetic field h ,

$n_j(x, t) = n_j + \delta n_j(x, t) = n_j + \delta n_j(t) \delta \beta_q e^{iqx}$. Substituting in eq.(30) we obtain,

$$\delta n_j(x, t) = \left. \frac{\partial n_j}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta, h} \delta \beta_q e^{iq(x-v_j t)} \quad (32)$$

The space-time dependence of the energy eq.(10) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, t) &= \sum_j \int d\lambda r_j(x, t) n_j(x, t) \epsilon_j^{(0)} \\ &= \sum_j \int d\lambda (r_j + \delta r_j(x, t)) (n_j + \delta n_j(x, t)) \epsilon_j^{(0)} \\ E(x, t) &= E + \delta E(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and after linearization (see Appendix D),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E(x, t) &\simeq \sum_j \int d\lambda (\delta r_j(x, t) n_j + r_j \delta n_j(x, t)) \epsilon_j^{(0)}, \\ \frac{\delta E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} &\simeq \sum_j \int d\lambda \left(\left. \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta, h} n_j + r_j \left. \frac{\partial n_j}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta, h} \right) \epsilon_j^{(0)} e^{iq(x-v_j t)}, \\ \frac{\delta E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} &\simeq - \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j^2 e^{iq(x-v_j t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Taking a Fourier transform, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt e^{i\omega t} \frac{\delta E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} &\simeq \\ &- \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j) e^{iqx} \\ &= -S_{EE}(q, \omega) e^{iqx}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$S_{EE}(q, \omega) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j) \quad (36)$$

is the energy structure factor in the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit within GHD. In this limit, $S_{EE}(q, \omega)$ is related to the specific heat $c(T)$, $c = \beta^2 \int d\omega S_{EE}(q, \omega)$.

In a similar analysis for the energy current J_E we find that,

$$\frac{\delta J_E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} \simeq - \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j j_j^\epsilon e^{iq(x-v_j t)}. \quad (37)$$

At this point it is interesting to observe that the derivative with respect to time of $\delta J_E(x, t)/\delta \beta_q$ satisfies a continuity equation in q -space,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta J_E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} &\simeq iq \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) E_j j_j^\epsilon v_j e^{iq(x-v_j t)} \\ &\simeq iq \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (j_j^\epsilon)^2 e^{iq(x-v_j t)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta J_E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} - iq \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (j_j^\epsilon)^2 e^{iq(x-v_j t)} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

with "current" related to the thermal Drude weight D_{th} [11],

$$\begin{aligned} D_{th} &= \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (j_j^\epsilon)^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (v_j E_j)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

As in the case of the energy, we obtain the energy current structure factor,

$$S_{J_E J_E}(q, \omega) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (j_j^\epsilon)^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j), \quad (40)$$

which reduces to a δ -function as $q \rightarrow 0$ with weight D_{th} . In the low temperature limit $v_j \rightarrow v$ and the thermal Drude weight $D_{th} = \frac{v^2}{2}c$. Additionally, taking the second time derivative to (34) we obtain:

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{\delta E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} \right|_{x, t=0} \simeq q^2 D_{th}. \quad (41)$$

The above relation is interpreted as ballistic energy transport, where the thermal Drude weight D_{th} can be seen as the inverse of an effective mass, $m_{th} \sim \frac{1}{D_{th}}$.

Similarly to the energy, the mean value of the magnetization Q is given by,

$$Q = \sum_j \int d\lambda \rho_j(\lambda) Q_j^{(0)} = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j Q_j^{(0)} \quad (42)$$

and applying a space-time dependent magnetic field $\delta h(x) = \delta h_q e^{iqx}$ we obtain a relaxation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta Q(x, t)}{\delta h_q} &\simeq -\beta \sum_j \int d\lambda r_j n_j (1 - n_j) Q_j^2 e^{iq(x - v_j t)} \\ &\simeq -\beta \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) Q_j^2 e^{iq(x - v_j t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Finally, taking a Fourier transform, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt e^{i\omega t} \frac{\delta Q(x, t)}{\delta h_q} &\simeq \\ -\beta \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) Q_j^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j) e^{iqx} & \\ = -\beta S(q, \omega) e^{iqx}, & \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

with $\beta S(q, \omega) e^{iqx}$ the magnetization relaxation function [26]. $S(q, \omega)$, the $q \rightarrow 0$ spin structure factor within GHD is given by,

$$S(q, \omega) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) Q_j^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j). \quad (45)$$

Again, in this limit, $S(q, \omega)$ is related to the magnetic susceptibility χ , $\chi(T) = \beta \int d\omega S(q, \omega)$. Also, at $T \rightarrow 0$, $S(q, \omega) = \frac{K}{\beta v} \delta(\omega - v|q|)$, with βv an effective thermal length, in contrast to the free boson model [25], where $S(q, \omega) = K|q| \delta(\omega - v|q|)$. The spin current however is not a conserved quantity in the XXZ Heisenberg model, it has been conjectured though within GHD and recently rigorously proven [9] that its mean value is given by,

$$J_S = \sum_j \int d\lambda \rho_j(v_j Q_j^{(0)}) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (v_j Q_j^{(0)}). \quad (46)$$

A similar analysis as for the energy current leads to,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta J_S(x, t)}{\delta h_q} - iq \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (v_j Q_j)^2 e^{iq(x - v_j t)} = 0, \quad (47)$$

with "current" related to the spin Drude weight D_s [8],

$$D_s = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (v_j Q_j)^2. \quad (48)$$

Moreover, the corresponding spin current structure factor is

$$S_{J_S J_S}(q, \omega) = \sum_j \sigma_j \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} n_j (1 - n_j) (v_j Q_j)^2 \delta(\omega - qv_j). \quad (49)$$

In the zero temperature limit, using eq.(24), D_s is easily evaluated giving the known $T = 0$ result [27],

$$D_s = \chi \frac{v^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} vK. \quad (50)$$

The high temperature limit is particularly interesting, since (48) implies a "fractal" behavior [9, 12, 28, 29] as a function of the anisotropy Δ . In this limit (Appendix C), for $\Delta = \cos \pi / (\nu_1 + 1/\nu_2) = \cos(\pi m/l)$, $l = 1 + \nu_1 \nu_2$, $m = \nu_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1} &= -\epsilon_{\nu_1 + \nu_2} = T \ln \frac{l - \nu_1}{\nu_1} \\ Q_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1} &= -Q_{\nu_1 + \nu_2} = \frac{l}{2} \\ p_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1}^{max} &= p_{\nu_1 + \nu_2}^{max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{(l - \nu_1)\nu_1} \\ v_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1} &= -v_{\nu_1 + \nu_2} = \alpha \sin(\xi p_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1}) \\ \alpha &= \frac{\sin \pi m/l}{\sin \pi/l}, \quad \xi = \frac{2\nu_1(l - \nu_1)}{l}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_s &= \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\nu_1}{l}\right) \left(\frac{\nu_1}{l}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1}^{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \sigma_j \int_{-p_j^{max}}^{+p_j^{max}} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} v_j^2 \right) \\ D_s &= \chi \frac{\bar{v}^2}{2} = \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\pi m}{l})}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{l})} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{2\pi}{l}}{\frac{2\pi}{l}}\right), \\ \chi &= \beta/4, \quad \bar{v}^2 = \int_{-1}^{+1} dt \alpha^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{l}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

which traces the singular "fractal" behavior of D_s to the velocities of the $\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1, \nu_1 + \nu_2$ excitations in contrast to the regular behavior of χ .

In analogy to (41), a similar relation can be derived

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{\delta Q(x, t)}{\delta h_q} \right|_{x, t=0} \simeq q^2 D_s \quad (53)$$

In conclusion, in low energy effective theories one quasi-particle with linear dispersion and effective velocity [25, 30] accounts for both the specific heat, magnetic susceptibility and dynamic structure factors. In contrast, in the ν TBA string excitations, the 1-strings mostly contribute to the specific heat, while the $\nu - 1, \nu$ to the magnetic susceptibility and corresponding dynamic structure factors. As all string excitations have the same characteristic velocity at low energies, effective field theories seem as a re-summation of the string contributions, but further work is necessary to reconcile the two pictures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Grant HE3439/13 and the Onassis foundation. A.P. acknowledges helpful discussions with N. Papanicolaou, P. Lambropoulos and C. Psaroudaki. X.Z. acknowledges fruitful discussions with H. Tsunetsugu, B. Doyon, A. Klümper, P. van Loosdrecht, C. Hess, A. Chernyshev, the hospitality of the Institute for Solid State Physics - U. Tokyo and the University of Irvine.

V. APPENDIX

A. Appendix: Takahashi-Suzuki formulation

Following the TS formulation the pseudomomenta k_α characterizing the Bethe ansatz wavefunctions are expressed in terms of the rapidities λ_α ,

$$\cot\left(\frac{k_\alpha}{2}\right) = \cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \tanh\left(\frac{\theta\lambda_\alpha}{2}\right). \quad (54)$$

For M down spins and $N - M$ up spins the energy E and momentum K are given by:

$$E = J \sum_{\alpha=1}^M (\cos k_\alpha - \Delta), \quad K = \sum_{\alpha=1}^M k_\alpha. \quad (55)$$

Imposing periodic boundary conditions on the Bethe ansatz wavefunctions the following relations on the allowed values of the rapidities are obtained,

$$\left\{ \frac{\sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta(\lambda_\alpha + i)}{\sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta(\lambda_\alpha - i)} \right\}^N = \prod_{\beta=1}^M \left\{ \frac{\sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta + 2i)}{\sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta - 2i)} \right\}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, M. \quad (56)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the solutions of equations (56) are grouped into strings of order $l_j, j = 1, \dots, \nu$ and parity $\zeta_j = +1$ or -1 . In the case $\theta = \pi/\nu$ the allowed strings are of order $l_j = j$, for $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1$ and parity $\zeta_j = +1$ of the form,

$$\lambda_{\alpha,+}^{l,k} = \lambda_\alpha^l + (l + 1 - 2k)i + O(e^{-\delta N}); \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l, \quad (57)$$

and strings of order $l_\nu = 1$ and parity $\zeta_\nu = -1$,

$$\lambda_{\alpha,-} = \lambda_\alpha + i\nu + O(e^{-\delta N}), \quad \delta > 0. \quad (58)$$

Multiplying the terms in equation (56) corresponding to different members of a string and taking the logarithm we obtain the relations,

$$N t_j(\lambda_\alpha^j) = 2\pi I_\alpha^j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=1}^{M_k} \Theta_{jk}(\lambda_\alpha^j - \lambda_\beta^k), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, M_j, \quad (59)$$

where I_α^j are integers (or half-integers) and M_k is the number of strings of type k ,

$$t_j(\lambda) = f(\lambda; l_j, \zeta_j), \quad (60)$$

$$\Theta_{jk}(\lambda) = f(\lambda; |l_j - l_k|, \zeta_j \zeta_k) + f(\lambda; l_j + l_k, \zeta_j \zeta_k) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\min(l_j, l_k) - 1} f(\lambda; |l_j - l_k| + 2i, \zeta_j \zeta_k), \quad (61)$$

$$f(\lambda; l, \zeta) = 2\zeta \tan^{-1} \left[\cot\left(\frac{l\theta}{2}\right) \zeta \tanh\left(\frac{\theta\lambda}{2}\right) \right], \quad (62)$$

and

$$T_{jk}(\lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\Theta_{jk}(\lambda)}{d\lambda}, \quad \alpha_j(\lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{dt_j(\lambda)}{d\lambda}. \quad (63)$$

Additionally, we mention that in the more general case of $\pi/\theta = \nu_1 + 1/\nu_2$ ($\nu_2 > 1$), with a total number of $\nu_1 + \nu_2$ string species, we have:

$$l_j = \begin{cases} j & 1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 - 1 \\ 1 + (j - \nu_1)\nu_1 & \nu_1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1, \\ \nu_1 & j = \nu_1 + \nu_2, \end{cases} \quad \zeta_j = \exp i\pi \left[\frac{l_j - 1}{p_0} \right] \quad \zeta_{\nu_1} = -1. \quad (64)$$

$$\sigma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 - 1, j = \nu_1 + \nu_2 \\ -1 & \nu_1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1 \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

For later use, the more general, $\nu_2 > 1$, case of eq.(3) is presented in the form of a recursive relation, which at $h = 0$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_0}) &= -\frac{2\pi\beta J \sin\theta}{\theta} \delta(\lambda), \\ \beta\epsilon_j &= s_1 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{j-1}}) + s_1 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{j+1}}) \quad , \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu_1 - 2, j \neq \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2 \\ \beta\epsilon_{\nu_1-1} &= s_1 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1-2}}) + d_1 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1-1}}) + s_2 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1}}) \quad , \quad \nu_1, \nu_2 \geq 2 \\ \beta\epsilon_j &= (1 - 2\delta_{\nu_1, j})s_2 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{j-1}}) + s_2 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{j+1}}) \quad , \quad j = \nu_1, \dots, \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 3, \\ \beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-2} &= (1 - 2\delta_{\nu_2, 2})s_2 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-3}}) + s_2 \circ \ln(1 + 2e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}} + e^{2\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}) \\ \beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} &= s_2 \circ \ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-2}}), \\ \beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2} &= -\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2} = -\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \quad (67)$$

where s_i, d_i , $i = 1, 2$ are given by (TS) with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s_i d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_i d\lambda = \frac{1}{2}[1]$.

B. Appendix: Dynamics in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit

First consider the $T = 0$ case. We can easily see that

$$E_1 = \epsilon_1, \quad E_{j>1} = 0 \quad (68)$$

$$n_1 = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_1}} = 1, \quad (69)$$

where we have used that $\epsilon_1 < 0$.

Therefore, using eqs.(6,7) and the Fourier transform we obtain :

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial p_1^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} - T_{11} \circ \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda}(\omega) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda}(\omega) = \frac{\partial p_1^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}(\omega) - T_{11}(\omega) \cdot \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda}(\omega). \quad (70)$$

Additionally we have that:

$$\frac{\partial p_1^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}(\omega) = 2\pi a_1(\omega), \quad 1 + T_{11}(\omega) = 2 \cosh(\omega) \cdot a_1(\omega) \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\omega)} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\pi/2}{\cosh(\pi\lambda/2)} \quad (72)$$

$$p_1(\lambda) = \tan^{-1}\left(\sinh \frac{\pi\lambda}{2}\right), \quad -\pi/2 \leq p_1(\lambda) \leq +\pi/2 \quad (73)$$

Hence,

$$E_1 = \left(-J \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}\right) \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \lambda} = -v \cdot \sin p_1, \quad v = J \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}, \quad 0 \leq p_1 \leq \pi \quad (74)$$

$$v_1 = \frac{\partial \epsilon_1 / \partial \lambda}{\partial p_1 / \partial \lambda} = -v \cdot \cos p_1, \quad 0 \leq p_1 \leq \pi. \quad (75)$$

Therefore, we obtain that at zero temperature, $\epsilon_1 = E_1$ is a spinon excitation as presented in eq.(23).

Let us continue with the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit. In this case in order to have a consistent set of equations we need to include terms of $\mathcal{O}(T)$ order. In fact the spinon excitation acquires a part which comes from the scattering with higher string species. Therefore the ϵ_1 excitation can be written as

$$\epsilon_1(\lambda) = -\frac{v}{\cosh\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{2}\right)} + g(\lambda)T. \quad (76)$$

The exact calculation of $g(\lambda)$ is difficult, but we can instead calculate the asymptotic and $\lambda = 0$ values of $g(\lambda)$

If we assume that all physical quantities of interest reach a fixed value at the limit, $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$ then the convolution $A \circ B(\lambda)|_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty}$, where $A(\lambda)$ vanishes rapidly at infinity can be written as:

$$A \circ B(\lambda)|_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} = B_{\pm\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(\mu) d\mu, \quad (77)$$

where $B_{\pm\infty} \equiv \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} B(\mu)$.

Therefore we can transform a set of non-linear integral equations such as eqs.(3,67) into a set of algebraic equations.

As a simple example let us consider the simplest non-trivial case, $\nu = 3$

Using eqs.(3,67) and the fact that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_{ij}(\mu) d\mu = \frac{1}{\pi} \Theta_{ij}(\infty)$ we obtain:

$$g_\infty = -\frac{1}{3}g_\infty + \frac{1}{3} \ln(1 + e^{g_\infty}) + \frac{2}{3} \ln 2 + \frac{2}{3} \ln(1 + \cosh \beta\epsilon_2), \quad (78)$$

$$\beta\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + e^{g_\infty}). \quad (79)$$

Substituting $g_\infty = \ln \alpha$, $\alpha \neq 1$ we obtain the following equation:

$$w^3 - 6w^2 + 9w - 4 = 0, \quad (80)$$

where we have defined that $w \equiv \alpha + 1$.

Hence, the approved solution is $w = 4$. Additionally, due to symmetry we get the following result :

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} g(\lambda) = \ln 3. \quad (81)$$

Also, we find that :

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_2 = T \ln 2. \quad (82)$$

The above results can also be derived in closed form, for the more general case $\pi/\theta = \nu_1 + 1/\nu_2$, $\nu_2 > 1$. To this end, we take the asymptotic limit to eq.(67), and using eq.(77) we reduce the system into a difference equation for $\beta\epsilon_j$. Actually the difference equation, and of course the solution, is the same as the one we obtain to $\mathcal{O}(T)$ order by taking the high temperature limit $T \rightarrow \infty$. The physical explanation for this coincidence is that in the high temperature limit, the system excites large values of the rapidity λ , i.e. $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and thus to dominant order the two limits are equivalent. Therefore, the asymptotic solutions for the ϵ_j excitations are given by[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_j &= T \ln \left((j+1)^2 - 1 \right) \quad , \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_{\nu-1} &= T \ln(\nu - 1) \quad , \quad \epsilon_\nu = -\epsilon_{\nu-1}\end{aligned}\tag{83}$$

in the case $\nu_1 = \nu - 1$, $\nu_2 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_j &= T \ln \left((j+1)^2 - 1 \right) \quad , \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu_1 - 1 \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_j &= T \ln \left(\left(\frac{1 + (j - \nu_1)\nu_1 + \nu_1}{\nu_1} \right)^2 - 1 \right) \quad , \quad j = \nu_1, \dots, \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2 \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1} &= T \ln \left(\frac{\nu_1 \nu_2 - \nu_1 + 1}{\nu_1} \right) \quad , \quad \epsilon_{\nu_1 + \nu_2} = -\epsilon_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1}\end{aligned}\tag{84}$$

when $\nu_2 > 1$.

Let us follow the same procedure in order to calculate the $g(0)$ value which is also important for the low temperature dynamics of the excitations. This time however, our result will clearly no longer be exact, but due to the fact that at $T \rightarrow 0$ the quantities $\ln(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_j})$ are slowly varying around $\lambda = 0$ and the rapidly vanishing form of the functions s_i, d_i we expect this to be a valid approximation

Similarly with the previous case let us consider the simplest case, $\nu = 3$.

Since $\epsilon_1(0) = -v + g(0)T$, eq.(67) gives that $\beta\epsilon_2 \simeq 0$, when $T \rightarrow 0$. Additionally, eq.(3) gives

$$\frac{4}{3}g(0) = \frac{2}{3} \ln 2 + \frac{2}{3} \ln(1 + \cosh(\beta\epsilon_2))\tag{85}$$

which yields the solution $g(0) = \ln 2$. Similarly we can find a closed form that holds for all $\pi/\theta = \nu > 3$. Nevertheless, note that with increasing ν the numerical value will slightly differ from our analytical approximation. Using the same procedure that we used in the asymptotic case in order to arrive at a difference equation and the fact that this time $\epsilon_1(0) = -v + g(0)T$ and $e^{\beta\epsilon_1(0)} \simeq 0$, at $T \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that the $\lambda = 0$ values of the ϵ_j excitations are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1(0) &\simeq -v + T \ln 2 \\ \epsilon_j(0) &= T \ln \left(j^2 - 1 \right) \quad , \quad j = 2, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ \epsilon_{\nu-1}(0) &= T \ln(\nu - 2) \quad , \quad \epsilon_\nu = -\epsilon_{\nu-1},\end{aligned}\tag{86}$$

Note that a similar but more complicated relation can be constructed for the fractal case ($\nu_2 > 1$).

Next let us consider the ϵ_j excitations as functions of p_j . To this end, we start from the definition of the excitation velocities:

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial p_j}(\lambda) = v_j(\lambda)\tag{87}$$

In order to continue we assume that v_j , $j = 2, \dots, \nu$ converge very quickly to their asymptotic values. This is plausible since $s_1(\lambda)$ vanishes rapidly, i.e. for $|\lambda| > \delta$, $s_1(\lambda)$ can be considered negligible, with $\delta > 0$. The above is also justified by numerical calculations. In addition using eqs.(7,14) we find that $|v_j| = v$, $j = 2, \dots, \nu$, for $|\lambda| > \delta_j$, where the numerical values of δ_j are close to δ . Moreover from equations (3,7,14) we notice that $\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda}$ is an antisymmetric function, while $\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda}$ and ϵ_j are symmetric functions. Therefore for rapidities $|\lambda| > \delta_j$ we obtain that:

$$\left| \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda} \right| = v \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda}.\tag{88}$$

Since ϵ_j is a symmetric function we obtain that:

$$\epsilon_j = v|p_j - p_j(0)| + \Delta_j \quad , \quad j \geq 2,\tag{89}$$

At this point it is important to mention that eq.(89) is not true for a small interval around $p_j(0)$, due to the fact that eq.(88) does not hold. This is expected since ϵ_j are everywhere differentiable. Nevertheless, it is supported by the numerics that $p_j(|\lambda| < \delta_j)$ is approximately constant, and thus this interval is indeed a narrow one around $p_j(0)$.

Substituting eqs.(83,86) into eq.(89) it yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_j &\simeq T \ln(j^2 - 1) + v|p_j|, \quad j = 2, \dots, \nu - 2, \\ |p_j| &\leq p_j^{max}, \quad p_j^{max} = \frac{T}{v} \ln \left(\frac{(j+1)^2 - 1}{j^2 - 1} \right), \\ \epsilon_{\nu-1} &= T \ln(\nu - 2) + v|p_{\nu-1}|, \\ |p_{\nu-1}| &\leq p_{\nu-1}^{max}, \quad p_{\nu-1}^{max} \simeq \frac{T}{v} \ln \left(\frac{\nu - 1}{\nu - 2} \right), \\ \epsilon_\nu &= -\epsilon_{\nu-1},\end{aligned}\tag{90}$$

where p_j is shifted such that ϵ_j are symmetric about zero and $p_j^{max} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |p_j(\lambda)| = |p_j(0)|$.

C. Appendix: Dynamics in the $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit

First, we consider the non-fractal case, $\nu_2 = 1$. To begin with, in Appendix B we discussed that the dominant term of the high temperature behavior of ϵ_j coincides with the asymptotic limit, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, given by (83). The first correction to this behavior can be found by following the method applied in Appendix C by Takahashi and Suzuki[1]. Hence, using eq.(67) the first order correction, $\mathcal{O}(1)$, for zero magnetic field $h = 0$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_j^{(1)}(\lambda) &= -\frac{A}{2(j+1)}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_j})[(j+2)\alpha_j(\lambda) - j\alpha_{j+2}(\lambda)] \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ \epsilon_{\nu-1}^{(1)}(\lambda) &= -\frac{A}{2}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu-1}})\alpha_{\nu-1}(\lambda), \quad \epsilon_\nu^{(1)} = -\epsilon_{\nu-1}^{(1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{91}$$

Consequently, the dominant contribution of $\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda}$ is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \epsilon_j^{(1)}}{\partial \lambda},\tag{92}$$

A careful examination of eq.(7) and eq.(14) shows that the set of integral equations corresponding to $\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda}$ are essentially the same with the substitution of the driving term, $-A \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \lambda}$ to $2\pi\alpha_j$. Hence, the dominant term of $\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda}$ will be given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\pi}{(j+1)}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_j})[(j+2)\alpha_j - j\alpha_{j+2}] \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ \frac{\partial p_{\nu-1}}{\partial \lambda} &= \pi(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu-1}})\alpha_{\nu-1}, \quad \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\partial p_{\nu-1}}{\partial \lambda}\end{aligned}\tag{93}$$

Therefore using (63) the momentum p_j is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}p_j(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2(j+1)}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}) \left[(j+2) \left(f(\lambda; l_j, \zeta_j) + f_j^\infty \right) - j \left(f(\lambda; l_{j+2}, \zeta_{j+2}) + f_{j+2}^\infty \right) \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ p_{\nu-1}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu-1}}) \left[f(\lambda; l_\nu, \zeta_\nu) + f_{\nu-1}^\infty \right], \quad p_\nu = -p_{\nu-1}\end{aligned}\tag{94}$$

where $f(\lambda; l_j, \zeta_j)$ is given by (62) and $f_j^\infty = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda; l_j, \zeta_j)$.

$p_j^{max} = |p_j(0)|$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}p_j^{max} &= \pi \frac{j+1}{(j+1)^2 - 1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2 \\ p_{\nu-1}^{max} &= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\nu - 1}, \quad p_\nu^{max} = p_{\nu-1}^{max}\end{aligned}\tag{95}$$

The $\nu - 1$ excitation velocity is given by:

$$v_{\nu-1} = -\frac{A}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \alpha_{\nu-1}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\nu-1}}. \quad (96)$$

Let us rewrite $\theta\lambda$ in terms of the momentum $p_{\nu-1}$

$$\theta\lambda = 2 \operatorname{atanh} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\nu-1\pi}{2\nu}\right) \cdot \tan\left(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu} p_{\nu-1}\right) \right), \quad (97)$$

where we have shifted the momenta $p_{\nu-1}$ by $p_{\nu-1}^{max}$.

If $p_{\nu-1} \simeq 0$ we obtain that

$$\theta\lambda \simeq 2 \frac{\nu-1}{\nu} \tan\left(\frac{\nu-1\pi}{2\nu}\right) p_{\nu-1}. \quad (98)$$

Therefore in this limit

$$v_{\nu-1} \simeq \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \theta\lambda = \tan \frac{\pi}{2\nu} \cdot \theta\lambda \quad (99)$$

Substituting eq.(98) into eq.(99) we obtain

$$v_{\nu-1} \simeq 2 \frac{\nu-1}{\nu} p_{\nu-1}. \quad (100)$$

On the other hand, asymptotically $|v_{\nu-1}| = \sin \theta$. Therefore, a suitable function that satisfies both regions is

$$v_{\nu-1} = \sin\left(2 \frac{\nu-1}{\nu} p_{\nu-1}\right) \quad (101)$$

By directly plotting $v_j(\lambda)$ as a function of $p_{\nu-1}(\lambda)$ we find that $v_{\nu-1}$ is indeed described by the above form.

Next we move to the $\nu_2 > 1$ case. Initially, let us mention that the dominant term of the excitation energies ϵ_j is given by eq.(84). In this case we prove that the excitation velocity $v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}$ appears to have a fractal behavior consistent with the findings for the spin Drude weight D_s at high temperatures. A simple generalization of the previous case shows that $\frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda}$ and momentum $\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{A}{2(n_j+1)}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}) \left[(n_j+2) \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \lambda} - n_j \frac{\partial \alpha_{j+2}}{\partial \lambda} \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu_1 - 1 \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon_j}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{A}{2\nu_1(n_j+\nu_1)}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}) \left[(n_j+2\nu_1) \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \lambda} - n_j \frac{\partial \alpha_{j+2}}{\partial \lambda} \right], \quad j = \nu_1, \dots, \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2 \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{A}{2\nu_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}) \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}{\partial \lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\pi}{(n_j+1)}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}) \left[(n_j+2)\alpha_j - n_j\alpha_{j+2} \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu_1 - 1 \\ \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\pi}{\nu_1(n_j+\nu_1)}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}) \left[(n_j+2\nu_1)\alpha_j - n_j\alpha_{j+2} \right], \quad j = \nu_1, \dots, \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2 \\ \frac{\partial p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\pi}{\nu_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}})\alpha_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}, \quad \frac{\partial p_{\nu_1+\nu_2}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\partial p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}{\partial \lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

where n_j are given by eq.(64)

Furthermore a careful calculation shows that $p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}^{max}$ is

$$p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}^{max} = \frac{1}{2\nu_1} (1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}}) |f_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}^\infty| = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + \nu_1\nu_2 - \nu_1)\nu_1}. \quad (104)$$

Note that we have used the fact that $\zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} = (-1)^{\nu_2}$.

Performing a linear approximation the velocity and momentum can be written as:

$$v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} = \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \frac{\theta}{\nu_2}} \theta \lambda \quad (105)$$

$$p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \simeq \frac{1}{\xi} \zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \cot \left(\frac{1 + (\nu_2 - 1)\nu_1}{2} \theta \right)^{\zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}} \theta \lambda, \quad (106)$$

where we have substituted eq.(84) into eq.(96) and $\xi = 2\nu_1 \frac{(1+\nu_1\nu_2-\nu_1)}{1+\nu_1\nu_2}$.

Therefore using (106) and (105) we conclude that:

$$v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} = \zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \frac{\theta}{\nu_2}} \tan \left(\frac{1 + (\nu_2 - 1)\nu_1}{2} \theta \right)^{\zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}} \xi p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \quad (107)$$

One can easily prove that:

$$\zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \frac{\theta}{m}} \tan \left(\frac{l - \nu_1}{2} \theta \right)^{\zeta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}} = -\frac{\sin m\pi/l}{\sin \pi/l}, \quad (108)$$

where $m = \nu_2$ and $l = 1 + \nu_1\nu_2$.

$$v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \simeq -\frac{\sin m\pi/l}{\sin \pi/l} \xi p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}, \quad (109)$$

which proves the fractal behavior of the velocity. Moreover, asymptotically $|v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}| = \sin m\pi/l$. Plotting $v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}$ as a function of $p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1}$ reveals that it can be described as

$$v_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} = -\frac{\sin m\pi/l}{\sin \pi/l} \sin \xi p_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} \quad (110)$$

D. Appendix: Proof of relation (34)

To arrive at eq.(34), it is convenient to use a matrix notation for the integral over rapidity λ , sum over string index j and employ standard manipulations [6, 24], using the convention that $[a]$ is a vector column, $tr[A] = \sum_j \int d\lambda A_j(\lambda)$ and $[T][A] = \sum_k (T_{jk} \circ A_k)$

$$\frac{\delta E(x, t)}{\delta \beta_q} = tr \left\{ [\epsilon^{(0)} e^{iq(x-vt)}] \left[\frac{\partial r}{\partial \beta} n \right] + [\epsilon^{(0)} e^{iq(x-vt)}] \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial \beta} r \right] \right\}, \quad (111)$$

solve eq.(2) for $[n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \beta}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} [r] &= [\lambda a] - [\lambda T][nr] \\ [n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \beta}] &= -[\lambda n T] \left([n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \beta}] + [r \frac{\partial n}{\partial \beta}] \right) \\ [n \frac{\partial r}{\partial \beta}] &= -[I + \lambda n T]^{-1} [\lambda n T] \left[r \frac{\partial n}{\partial \beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

solve eq.(8),

$$[E] = [I + \lambda n T]^{-1} [\epsilon^{(0)}] \quad (113)$$

and from eq.(3) and eq.(8) we find that $\partial(\beta\epsilon_j)/\partial\beta = E_j$.

E. Appendix: Charges Q_j

We can readily show this in the simple case $\theta = \pi/\nu$ by using the recursion relations of TS for the phase shifts T_{jk} , and rewriting eq.(22) as ($Q_0 = n_0 = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j &= s_1 * Q_{j-1}(1 - n_{j-1}) + s_1 * Q_{j+1}(1 - n_{j+1}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\nu-2,j} s_1 * Q_\nu n_\nu, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \nu - 2 \\ Q_{\nu-1} &= \frac{\nu}{2} + s_1 * Q_{\nu-2}(1 - n_{\nu-2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{\nu-1} - Q_\nu = \nu. \tag{114}$$

For $h = 0$, $n_{\nu-1} + n_\nu = 1$ from (3), so we can eliminate the Q_j, n_j , $j = \nu - 1, \nu$ from (114) obtaining a homogeneous system of equations for Q_j, n_j , $j = 1, \nu - 2$ with solution $Q_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$. An algebraic approach of this result was given in [9, 12]. When the magnetic field is nonzero ($h \neq 0$) the Q_j 's are in general functions of the rapidity λ .

For completeness, we also study the case $\pi/\theta = \nu_1 + 1/\nu_2$ ($\nu_2 > 1$)

Using that σ_j is positive in the region $1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 - 1, j = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, the recursion relations eq.(22) take the form,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j &= s_1 * Q_{j-1}(1 - n_{j-1}) + s_1 * Q_{j+1}(1 - n_{j+1}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 - 2 \\ Q_{\nu_1-1} &= s_1 * Q_{\nu_1-2}(1 - n_{\nu_1-2}) + d_1 * Q_{\nu_1-1}(1 - n_{\nu_1-1}) \\ &\quad - s_2 * Q_{\nu_1}(1 - n_{\nu_1}) \\ Q_j &= s_2 * Q_{j-1}(1 - n_{j-1}) + s_2 * Q_{j+1}(1 - n_{j+1}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\nu_1+\nu_2-2,j} s_2 * Q_{\nu_1+\nu_2} n_{\nu_1+\nu_2}, \quad \nu_1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2 \\ Q_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} &= -\frac{1 + \nu_1 \nu_2}{2} + s_2 * Q_{\nu_1+\nu_2-2}(1 - n_{\nu_1+\nu_2-2}), \quad j = \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1 \\ Q_{\nu_1+\nu_2} - Q_{\nu_1+\nu_2-1} &= 1 + \nu_1 \nu_2. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Similarly as before, in the zero field case ($h = 0$) the solution of eq.(115) is $Q_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2$

-
- [1] Takahashi M and Suzuki M 1972 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **48**
Takahashi 2005 M *Thermodynamics of One-dimensional Solvable Models* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 2187;
- [2] Yang C N and Yang C P 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 1115.
- [3] Fowler M and Zotos X 1981 *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 2634.
- [4] Hida K 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **84A**, 338.
- [5] Ilievski E, Quinn E, De Nardis J, and Brockmann 2016 *M J. Stat. Mech.* 063101.
- [6] Castro - Alvaredo O A, Doyon B and Yoshimura T 2016 *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041065.
- [7] Bertini B, Collura M, De Nardis J and Fagotti M 2016 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 207201.
- [8] Zotos X 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1764.
- [9] Urichuk A, Oez Y, Klümper A, Sirker J 2019 *SciPost Physics* **6** 005.
- [10] Klümper A and Sakai K 2002 *J. Phys. A* **35**, 2173.
- [11] Zotos 2017 X *J.Stat. Mech.* 103101.
- [12] Ilievski E and De Nardis J 2017 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** 020602.
- [13] Hess C 2007 *Eur. Ph. J. Special Topics* **151**, 73; 2018 arXiv:cond-mat/1805.01746.
- [14] Montagnese M, Otter M, Zotos X et al. 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 147206.
- [15] Yang L, Koralek J D, Orenstein J, Tibbetts D R, Reno J L and Lilly M P 2012 *Nature Phys.* **8**, 153.
- [16] Korepin V E, Bogoliubov N M and Izergin A G 1993, "Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions", (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [17] Fujimoto S and Kawakami N 1998 *J. Phys. A.* **31** 465.
- [18] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **85A**, 375.
- [19] Hatsugai Y, Kohmoto M, Koma T and Wu Y-S 1996 *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 5358.
- [20] Sakai K, and Klümper A 2005 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl.* **74**, 196.
- [21] Klümper A and Sakai K 2019, arXiv:1904.11253
- [22] Ovchinnikov A A 2013 *Phys. Lett. A* **377**, 3067.
- [23] Psaroudaki C, Herbrych J, Karadamoglou J, Prelovšek P, Zotos X and Papanikolaou N 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 224418.
- [24] Doyon B and Spohn H 2017 *SciPost Physics* **3** 039.
- [25] Pereira R G, Sirker J, Caux J-S, Hagemans R, Maillet J M, White S R and Affleck I 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 257202.
- [26] Kubo R and Tomita K 1954 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **9**, 888.
- [27] Shastry B S and Sutherland B 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 243.
- [28] Prosen T 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 217206; Prosen T and Ilievski E 2013 *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **111** 057203.
- [29] Pereira R G, Pasquier V, Sirker J and Affleck I 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* P09037.

[30] Sirker J 2012 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, **26**, 1244009.