

EXISTENCE AND DISTRIBUTIONAL CHAOS OF POINTS THAT ARE RECURRENT BUT NOT BANACH RECURRENT

YIFAN JIANG AND XUETING TIAN

ABSTRACT. It is well-known that recurrence is typical from the probabilistic perspective in the study of dynamical systems by Poincaré recurrence theorem. According to the 'recurrent frequency' (i.e., the probability of finding the orbit of an initial point entering in its neighborhood), many different levels of recurrent points are found such as periodic points, almost periodic points, weakly almost periodic points, quasi-weakly almost periodic points and Banach recurrent points. From the probabilistic perspective, recurrent points between Banach recurrence and general recurrence carry totally zero measure naturally. In strong contrast, in this paper we will not only show the existence on six refined levels of recurrent points between Banach recurrence and general recurrence but also show that these levels carry a strong topological complexity. On one hand, each new level of recurrent points is dense in the whole space. On the other hand, from the perspective of distributional chaos, we will prove that these levels all contain uncountable DC1-scrambled subsets. These six recurrent levels of asymptotic behavior are discovered firstly in the literature of dynamical systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In classical study of dynamical systems, one fundamental problem is to consider the asymptotic behavior of the orbits. One of such important concepts is recurrence. Recurrent points such as periodic points, minimal points are typical objects to be studied. It is known that the set of recurrent points has full measure for any invariant measure under f by Poincaré recurrence theorem and the set of minimal points is not empty [11, 26]. A fundamental question in dynamical systems is to search the existence of periodic points. For systems with Bowen specification property (such as topological mixing subshifts of finite type and topological mixing uniformly hyperbolic systems), the set of periodic points is dense in the whole space [22]. Further, many people pay attention to more refinements of recurrent points according to the 'recurrent frequency' such as almost periodic points (which naturally exist in any dynamical system since a point is almost periodic if it belongs to a minimal set), weakly almost periodic points, quasi-weakly almost periodic points and Banach recurrent points [12, 30]. In [25, 14, 6] the authors considered various Banach recurrence and showed many different recurrent levels carry strong dynamical complexity from the perspective of topological entropy and distributional chaos.

In present paper we aim to study the existence and complexity of points that are recurrent but not Banach recurrent. Throughout this paper, let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. Such (X, f) is called a dynamical system. For any $x \in X$, the orbit of x is $\{f^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, denoted by $orb(x, f)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_\varepsilon(x)$ denotes the open ball with radius ε centered at x . Let $U, V \subseteq X$ be two nonempty open subsets and $x \in X$. Define sets of visiting time

$$N(U, V) := \{n \geq 1 \mid U \cap f^{-n}(V) \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, U) := \{n \geq 1 \mid f^n(x) \in U\}.$$

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\bar{d}(S) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}|}{n}, \quad \underline{d}(S) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}|}{n}$$

$$B^*(S) := \limsup_{|I| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S \cap I|}{|I|}, \quad B_*(S) := \liminf_{|I| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S \cap I|}{|I|},$$

here $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is taken from finite continuous integer intervals and $|Y|$ denotes the cardinality of the set Y . These four concepts are called *upper density* and *lower density* of S , *Banach upper density* and *Banach lower density* of S , respectively, which are basic and have played important roles in the field of dynamical systems, ergodic theory and number theory, etc..

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B10; 37B20; 37D45.

Key words and phrases. Recurrence; Symbolic System; Distributional Chaos.

Y. Jiang is supported by Fudan project FDURP (Fudan's Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program), and National University Student Innovation Program. X. Tian is the corresponding author and supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 11671093).

1.1. Recurrence. We will first introduce six levels of recurrent points in order of their asymptotic behaviors, c.f. [14, 12, 26, 30].

Definition 1.1. A point $x \in X$ is **regular**, if $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$ converge to an ergodic measure μ_x and $\mu_x(U) > 0$ for any open set U containing x ;

A point $x \in X$ is **weakly almost periodic**, if $N(x, B_\varepsilon(x))$ has positive lower density for any $\varepsilon > 0$;

A point $x \in X$ is **quasi-weakly almost periodic**, if $N(x, B_\varepsilon(x))$ has positive upper density for any $\varepsilon > 0$;

A point $x \in X$ is **Banach recurrent**, if $N(x, B_\varepsilon(x))$ has positive Banach upper density for any $\varepsilon > 0$;

A point $x \in X$ is **recurrent**, if $N(x, B_\varepsilon(x)) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$;

A point $x \in X$ is **non-wandering**, if $N(B_\varepsilon(x), B_\varepsilon(x)) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

The set of points with above asymptotic behaviors are denoted by $R(X)$, $W(X)$, $QW(X)$, $BR(X)$, $Rec(X)$, $\Omega(X)$ respectively. Since $R(X)$ has totally full measure [16, Theorem 2.5 on Page 120], the above six recurrent levels all carry full measure for any invariant measure. From the topological perspective it is known that any set with totally full measure characterizes the dynamical complexity of the whole space, e.g. carrying full topological entropy (i.e., having entropy as same as the whole space) by [5] so that the above six sets all have full topological entropy. A natural question is how about the complexity or chaotic degree in the gap-sets between $R(X)$, $W(X)$, $QW(X)$, $BR(X)$, $Rec(X)$, $\Omega(X)$. It obvious that all of the gap-sets are invariant sets with totally zero measure from the probabilistic perspective. However, they may have strong topological complexity. For example, the sets of $(W \setminus R)$, $(QW \setminus W)$, $(BR \setminus QW)$ and $(\Omega \setminus Rec)$ have same topological entropy as the system itself and display strong distributional chaos [25, 14, 6, 10] for a large class of systems including all transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms. However, the existence and topological complexity characterization of $(Rec \setminus BR)$ is still unknown.

In this paper our first main result is to show that for symbolic dynamics the gap-set $(Rec \setminus BR)$ is dense in the whole space and carries distributional chaos of type 1.

Theorem A. *Given $k \geq 2$, let (Σ_k, σ) be the k -th symbolic dynamical system equipped with the shift operator. Then the gap-set $(Rec \setminus BR)$ is dense in Σ_k and contains an uncountable DC-1 scrambled subset.*

1.2. Statistical ω -limit Set. The ω -limit set of x is the set of all limit points of $orb(x, f)$, denoted by $\omega_f(x)$ or $\omega(x, f)$. Motivated by these ideas using density to describe the probability of one orbit entering one set, several new concepts were introduced in [10] to describe the place where one general orbit can go and then give many refined characterization of general orbits.

Definition 1.2. For $x \in X$ and $\xi = \bar{d}, \underline{d}, B^*, B_*$, a point $y \in X$ is called x - ξ -accessible, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $N(x, B_\varepsilon(y))$ has positive density w. r. t. ξ . Let

$$\omega_\xi(x) := \{y \in X \mid y \text{ is } x\text{-}\xi\text{-accessible}\}.$$

For convenience, it is called ξ - ω -limit set of x or ξ -center of x .

With these definitions, one can immediately note that

$$\omega_{B_*}(x) \subseteq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subseteq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subseteq \omega_{B^*}(x) \subseteq \omega_f(x).$$

For any $x \in X$, if $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \emptyset$, then we know that x satisfies one and only one of following twelve cases:

Case (1) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) = \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (1') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) = \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$;

Case (2) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) = \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (2') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) = \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$;

Case (3) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (3') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$;

Case (4) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (4') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) = \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$;

Case (5) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (5') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$;

Case (6) : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) = \omega_f(x)$;

Case (6') : $\omega_{B_*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\underline{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{\bar{d}}(x) \subsetneq \omega_{B^*}(x) \subsetneq \omega_f(x)$.

There are twelve cases rather than sixteen because $\omega_{\underline{d}}(x)$ must be a nonempty set naturally (see [10]). Note that the recurrent points satisfying one of Cases (1)-(6) should have positive Banach upper density so that the set of $(Rec \setminus BR)$ can only contain Cases (1')-(6'). Now we give a more refined result of Theorem A.

Theorem B. *Given $k \geq 2$, let (Σ_k, σ) be the k -th symbolic dynamical system equipped with the shift operator. Then $\{x \in Rec \setminus BR \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i')}\}$ is dense in Σ_k and contains an uncountable DC-1 scrambled subset $S_{i'}$ ($i=1-6$).*

Remark that the topological entropy on the set of $(Rec \setminus BR)$ is still an open problem, since the technique used in present paper is a construction by induction which is not enough to estimate the entropy.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic Notations and Facts. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote all the space of all probability measures supported on X . The set of all invariant measures is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(X, f)$. By S_μ we denote the support of the measure μ . By $V(x, f)$ we denote all the limit points of the set $\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)} \right\}$.

Definition 2.1. We call a subset of X measure center if it is the smallest invariant closed subset of X with full measure under any invariant measure. We denote the measure center of (X, f) by $C(X, f)$.

Lemma 2.1. [10] *For any $x \in X$ we have $\omega_{\underline{d}}(x) = \bigcap_{\mu \in V(x, f)} S_\mu$ and $\omega_{\overline{d}}(x) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu \in V(x, f)} S_\mu}$. Furthermore, let $\Lambda = \omega_f(x)$, we have $\omega_{B_*}(x) = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f)} S_\mu$ and $\omega_{B^*}(x) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f)} S_\mu} = C(\Lambda, f)$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $x \in X$ and let $\Lambda = \omega_f(x)$, then we have $C(\Lambda, f)$ equals to the closure of the set $\{y \in \Lambda \mid \underline{d}(N(y, B_\varepsilon(y))) > 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $y \in \Lambda$ satisfies $\underline{d}(N(y, B_\varepsilon(y))) > 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{f^i(y)} = \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f).$$

Then, we have for any $\delta > 0$

$$\mu(B_\delta(y)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{f^i(y)}(B_\delta(y)) \geq \underline{d}(N(y, B_\delta(y))) > 0,$$

which implies $y \in S_\mu \subseteq C(\Lambda, f)$ by Lemma 2.2.

On the other hand, we know the set of weakly almost periodic points in Λ has totoally full measure. Hence, we have $\mu(\{y \in \Lambda \mid \underline{d}(N(y, B_\varepsilon(y))) > 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0\}) = 1$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f)$. This implies for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f)$, S_μ is contained in the closure of $\{y \in \Lambda \mid \underline{d}(N(y, B_\varepsilon(y))) > 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0\}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 we have $C(\Lambda, f)$ is contained in the closure of $\{y \in \Lambda \mid \underline{d}(y, B_\varepsilon(y)) > 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0\}$. \square

Definition 2.2. We say a point $x \in X$ is transitive if $\omega(x, f) = X$ and we denotes all the transitive points in X by $Trans(X)$. We say a dynamical system (X, f) is transitive if for every pair non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$, there exists a positive integer n such that $f^n(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. We say a dynamical system (X, f) is topological mixing if there exists a positive integer N such that $f^n(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \geq N$.

2.2. Distributional Chaos. We will give a short review on distributional chaos which was introduced in [21, 19]. Given a compact dynamical system (X, f) , for any positive integer n , points $x, y \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$\Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \left| \{i \mid d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < t, 0 \leq i < n\} \right|,$$

Let Φ_{xy} and Φ_{xy}^* be the following functions:

$$\Phi_{xy}(t) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t), \quad \Phi_{xy}^*(t) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t).$$

Both functions Φ_{xy} and Φ_{xy}^* are nondecreasing, $\Phi_{xy}(t) = \Phi_{xy}^*(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and $\Phi_{xy}(t) = \Phi_{xy}^*(t) = 1$ for $t > \text{diam } X$.

Definition 2.3. A pair of points $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called distributionally chaotic of type 1 if

$$\Phi_{xy}(s) = 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \text{ and } \Phi_{xy}^*(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

A set containing at least two points is called a distributionally chaos scrambled set of type 1 (DC1-scrambled, for short) if any pair of its distinct points is distributionally chaos of type 1.

2.3. Symbolic Dynamics. Given a positive integer k , let $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ be a finite set of symbols. Let $\Sigma_k = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Sigma$ and define the metric on Σ_k as

$$d(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(x_n, y_n)}{2^n}, \text{ for any } x = (x_1 x_2 \dots), y = (y_1 y_2 \dots) \in \Sigma_k.$$

We call $\sigma : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ a shift operator if

$$\sigma(x) = (x_2 x_3 \dots), \text{ for any } x = (x_1 x_2 \dots) \in \Sigma_k.$$

By (Σ_k, σ) we denote the k -th symbolic dynamical system.

Definition 2.4. A is called a finite word in Σ_k if and only if $A = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ where $0 \leq a_i \leq k-1$ and by $|A|$ we denote the length of A . For any finite word $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \in \Sigma_k$, let $[x_1 x_2 \dots x_n]$ denotes all the points in Σ_k which have a common prefix $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)$. For any two finite words $A = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ and $B = (b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)$, by AB we denote $AB = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)$. For any two finite words $A = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ and $B = (b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)$, A is contained in B if and only if there exists t such that $a_i = b_{t+i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and we denote it by $A \subset B$. We say a finite word A is contained in a subshift (Π, σ) if and only if there exists $x \in \Pi$ such that the first $|A|$ symbols of x is the same as A and we denote it by $A \subset \Pi$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF MAP T FROM A SUBSHIFT TO THE FULL SHIFT

Assume (Π, σ) is a proper subshift of (Σ_k, σ) . In this section we construct an injective map $T : \Pi \rightarrow \Sigma_k$, which will be an important ingredient of the existence and density of Case (i') for $i=1-6$. We will show $Image(T) \subseteq Rec \setminus BR$, and, moreover, T maps any point satisfying Case (i) in a proper subshift (Π, σ) to a point satisfying Case (i') in (Σ_k, σ) . Meanwhile this map keeps many properties unchanged in the view of invariant measure, Birkhoff ergodic average and distributional chaos. Combined with the former work in [6, Theorem 1.6], we can show the existence and topological complexity of Case (i') for $i=1-6$.

Definition 3.1. Assume (Π, σ) is a proper subshift of (Σ_k, σ) . For any $y \in \Pi$ we use Y_n to denote the first n symbols of y . The set of all finite words in Π is countable, so it can be enumerated as $\{C_1, C_2 \dots\}$. Let $B_n = C_n Y_n \dots Y_n$, where Y_n repeats $|A_n|^2$ times. Define an injective map $T : \Pi \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ as $T(y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, where $A_{n+1} = A_n B_n A_n$ and A_1 is a finite word in Σ_k but not contained in Π . Meanwhile, we require $|C_n| = o(|A_n|)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This construction is mainly inspired by the constructions in [18, 13].

3.1. The image of T . We will show that the image of T is contained in $Rec \setminus BR$ first.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $y \in \Pi$, we have $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \subseteq \Pi \subsetneq \omega_{\sigma}(T(y))$. Particularly, we have $T(y) \in Rec(\Sigma_k) \setminus BR(\Sigma_k)$.*

Proof. We prove $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \subseteq \Pi$ by contradiction. Assume $z \in \omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \setminus \Pi \neq \emptyset$. Further, by Lemma 2.2 we may assume $\underline{d}(N(z, B_{\varepsilon}(z))) > 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Without loss of generality, we denote z by $z = (z_1 z_2 z_3 \dots)$. Let $Z_n = (z_1 z_2 \dots z_n)$ be the first n symbols of z . Since $\Pi \subsetneq \Sigma_k$, there exists N such that Z_N is not contained in Π . By S we denote all the finite words in Σ_k with length N , i.e., $S = \{A \subset \Sigma_k \mid |A| = N\}$. Here we define an index $\#_S A$ for every finite word $A \subset \Sigma_k$ as

$$\#_S A := |\{i \mid (a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+N-1}) \notin S\}|.$$

Since $\underline{d}(N(z, B_{\varepsilon}(z))) > 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#_S Z_n}{n} \geq \underline{d}(N(z, B_{1/2^{N+1}}(z))) > 0.$$

On the other hand, since $z \in \omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \subseteq \omega_{\sigma}(T(y))$, we may assume A_{n_i} is contained in Z_n and let n_i be the largest possible indice. According to the construction of T , we also have Z_n must be contained in $A_{n_i} B_{n_i} A_{n_i} B_{m_i} A_{n_i} B_{n_i} A_{n_i} = A_{n_i+1} B_{m_i} A_{n_i+1}$ for some $m_i \geq n_i$. Notice that for any finite word $C \subset B_n$ we have $\#_S C \leq \frac{N}{n} |C|$. Hence, combined with $m |A_m|^2 \leq |B_m|$ for any m , we have

$$\frac{\#_S Z_n}{n} \leq 4 \frac{\#_S A_{n_i}}{n} + \frac{N}{n_i} \leq 4 \left(\frac{2|A_{n_i-1}| + \#_S B_{n_i-1}}{|B_{n_i-1}|} \right) + \frac{N}{n_i} \leq 8 \frac{|A_{n_i-1}|}{|B_{n_i-1}|} + 2 \frac{N}{n_i-1} \leq \frac{8+2N}{n_i-1}.$$

This implies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#sZ_n}{n} = 0$ which is contrary to (3.1).

Since $T(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n B_n A_n$, we have

$$d(T(y), \sigma^{|A_n|+|B_n|}(T(y))) \leq 2^{-|A_n|},$$

which implies $T(y)$ is recurrent, i.e., $T(y) \in \omega_\sigma(T(y))$. Notice that A_1 is not contained in Π , we have $T(y) \notin \Pi$. Hence, we have $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \subsetneq \omega_\sigma(T(y))$ and $T(y) \in \text{Rec}(\Pi) \setminus \text{BR}(\Pi)$. \square

Remark 3.1. Assume $\Pi = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Pi, \sigma)} S_\mu}$, we have $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) = \Pi$. Since $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) \subseteq \Pi$, from Lemma 2.1 we know $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, \sigma)} S_\mu} = \overline{\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Pi, \sigma)} S_\mu} = \Pi$, where $\Lambda = \omega_\sigma(T(y))$.

Remark 3.2. Assume Π have two distinct periodic orbits, we have $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) = \emptyset$. From Lemma 2.1, we know $\omega_{B^*}(T(y)) = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, \sigma)} S_\mu \subseteq \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Pi, \sigma)} S_\mu = \emptyset$, where $\Lambda = \omega_\sigma(T(y))$.

3.2. Empirical measures under T . Now we consider the ergodic average generated by y and $T(y)$ for $y \in \Pi$.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $y \in \Pi$, we have $V(T(y), \sigma) = V(y, \sigma)$.*

Proof. By $C(\Sigma_k)$ we denote all continuous functions on Σ_k . Then $(C(\Sigma_k), \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space equipped with uniform norm. Assume $\{F_n\}$ is a dense countable subset of $C(\Sigma_k)$, then there exists a metric on $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_k)$ defined as

$$d(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\mu - \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\nu \right|}{2^l \|F_l\|}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\|F_n\| = 1$. The continuous module of F is defined as

$$s(F, t) := \sup_{d(x, y) \leq t} |F(x) - F(y)|.$$

Let $x = T(y)$, $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\sigma^i(x)}$ and $\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\sigma^i(y)}$.

Firstly, we want to show $V(y, \sigma) \subseteq V(x, \sigma)$. It suffices to prove for any $\nu_n \in V(y, \sigma)$ exists $\mu_m \in V(x, \sigma)$ such that $d(\nu_n, \mu_m) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $m = |A_n| + |B_n|$ and let $F \in C(\Sigma_k)$, $\|F\| = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\mu_m - \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\nu_n \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} F(\sigma^i(x)) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{|A_n|+|C_n|-1} F(\sigma^i(x)) \right| \quad (\text{A}) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} F(\sigma^i(x)) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \quad (\text{B}) \end{aligned}$$

For (A) we have

$$(\text{A}) \leq \frac{|A_n| + |C_n|}{m} \leq \frac{2}{|A_n|}.$$

Let $j + |A_n| + |C_n| \equiv i \pmod{n}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, for (B) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{B}) &= \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} [F(\sigma^i(x)) - F(\sigma^j(y))] + \left(\frac{|A_n|^2}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} [F(\sigma^i(x)) - F(\sigma^j(y))] \right| + \left| \left(\frac{|A_n|^2}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|A_n|^2}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F, 2^{-i}) + \left| \frac{n|A_n|^2}{m} - 1 \right| \quad (\text{since } |\sigma^i(x) - \sigma^j(y)| \leq 2^{-n+1+j}) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|A_n|} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F, 2^{-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $F \in C(\Sigma_k)$ and $\|F\| = 1$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\mu_m - \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\nu_n \right| \leq \frac{4}{|A_n|} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F, 2^{-i}).$$

Therefore, for any $N \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\nu_n, \mu_m) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\mu_m - \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\nu_n \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N 2^{-l} \left[\frac{4}{|A_n|} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F_l, 2^{-i}) \right] + \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-l+1} \right\} \\ &\leq 2^{-N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d(\nu_n, \mu_m) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Secondly, we want to show $V(x, \sigma) \subseteq V(y, \sigma)$. It suffices to prove for any μ_m exists ν_n such that $d(\nu_n, \mu_m) = o(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We can choose n such that $|A_n| \leq m < |A_{n+1}|$. Let $\lambda = \frac{|A_n|}{m}$, then $\mu_m = \lambda \underline{\mu} + (1 - \lambda) \bar{\mu}$, where $\underline{\mu} = \mu|_{A_n}$ and $\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{m - |A_n|} \sum_{i=|A_n|}^{m-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$. As we do in the first part, we can obtain a similar estimate $d(\underline{\mu}, \nu_{n-1}) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $d(\underline{\mu}, \nu_{n-1}) = o(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Combined with the fact that $d(\nu_{n-1}, \nu_n) = o(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, finally we have $d(\nu_n, \underline{\mu}) = o(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we estimate $d(\nu_n, \bar{\mu})$. Let $j + |A_n| + |C_n| \equiv i \pmod{n}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\bar{\mu} - \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\nu_n \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{m - |A_n|} \sum_{i=|A_n|}^{|A_n|+|C_n|-1} F(\sigma^i(x)) - \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} \frac{F(\sigma^i(x)) - F(\sigma^j(y))}{m - |A_n|} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[\sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} \frac{F(\sigma^j(y))}{m - |A_n|} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|C_n|}{m - |A_n|} + \left| \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} \frac{F(\sigma^i(x)) - F(\sigma^j(y))}{m - |A_n|} \right| \text{ (A)} + \left| \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} \frac{F(\sigma^j(y))}{m - |A_n|} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \text{ (B)} \end{aligned}$$

where (A) and (B) are equal to 0 if $m \leq |A_n| + |C_n|$. For (A) we have

$$(A) \leq \sum_{i=|A_n|+|C_n|}^{m-1} \frac{s(F, 2^{j-n})}{m - |A_n|} \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F, 2^{-i}).$$

For (B) we have

$$(B) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \left(\left[\frac{m - |A_n| - |C_n|}{n(m - |A_n|)} \right] - \frac{1}{n} \right) F(\sigma^i(y)) \right| \leq \frac{|C_n|}{m - |A_n|}.$$

Hence, for any $F \in C(\Sigma_k)$ and $\|F\| = 1$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\bar{\mu} - \int_{\Sigma_k} F d\nu_n \right| \leq \frac{2|C_n|}{m - |A_n|} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F, 2^{-i}).$$

Therefore, for any $N \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda) d(\nu_n, \bar{\mu}) \\
 &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\nu_n - \int_{\Sigma_k} F_l d\bar{\mu} \right| \\
 &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{|A_n|}{m} \right) \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N 2^{-l} \left[\frac{2|C_n|}{m - |A_n|} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F_l, 2^{-i}) \right] + \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-l+1} \right\} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{2|C_n|}{m} + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(F_l, 2^{-i}) + 2^{-N+1} \right] \\
 &\leq 2^{-N+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $N \rightarrow \infty$, $(1 - \lambda)d(\nu_n, \bar{\mu}) = o(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which implies

$$d(\nu_n, \mu_m) \leq \lambda d(\nu_n, \underline{\mu}) + (1 - \lambda)d(\nu_n, \bar{\mu}) = o(1)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. □

Remark 3.3. From the Proposition above and Lemma 2.1, we have $\omega_{\xi}(T(y)) = \omega_{\xi}(y)$ for any $y \in \Pi$ and $\xi = \bar{d}, \underline{d}$.

3.3. DC1 pair under T . From the perspective of distributional chaos, we show that T maps a DC1 pair to a DC1 pair.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume $y, \tilde{y} \in \Pi$. Suppose y and \tilde{y} are DC1-scrambled, then $T(y)$ and $T(\tilde{y})$ are DC1-scrambled.*

Proof. Let x and \tilde{x} equal to $T(y)$ and $T(\tilde{y})$ respectively. It suffices to prove that for any positive integer t , $\left| \Phi_{x\tilde{x}}^{|A_{n+1}|}(2^{-t}) - \Phi_{y\tilde{y}}^n(2^{-t}) \right| = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $j + |A_n| + |C_n| \equiv i \pmod{n}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - t$, then we have

$$|\sigma^i(x) - \sigma^i(\tilde{x})| < 2^{-t} \iff |\sigma^j(y) - \sigma^j(\tilde{y})| < 2^{-t} \text{ for any } |A_n| + |C_n| \leq i < |A_n| + |B_n|.$$

Let $N = \left| \{i \mid |\sigma^i(y) - \sigma^i(\tilde{y})| < 2^{-t}, 0 \leq i < n\} \right|$. Then we have

$$|A_n|^2(N - t) \leq \left| \{i \mid |\sigma^i(x) - \sigma^i(\tilde{x})| < 2^{-t}, |A_n| + |C_n| \leq i < |A_n| + |B_n|\} \right| \leq |A_n|^2(N + t),$$

which implies

$$|A_n|^2(N - t) \leq \left| \{i \mid |\sigma^i(x) - \sigma^i(\tilde{x})| < 2^{-t}, 0 \leq i < |A_{n+1}|\} \right| \leq 3|A_n| + |A_n|^2(N + t).$$

Hence, we have $\left| \Phi_{x\tilde{x}}^{|A_{n+1}|}(2^{-t}) - \Phi_{y\tilde{y}}^n(2^{-t}) \right| = O\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

4. CONSTRUCTION OF A CLASS OF SUBSHIFTS Π_L THAT CONTAINS ALL PERIODIC POINTS WITH PERIOD LARGE OR EQUAL TO 2

In this section, we introduce a special mixing subshift of finite type.

Definition 4.1. For any $L \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{B} = \{(0 \cdots 0), (1 \cdots 1), \dots, (k-1 \cdots k-1)\}$, where $(i \cdots i)$ has length L for any $0 \leq i < k$. We define a subshift of finite type $\Pi_L \subseteq \Sigma_k$ as

$$\Pi_L = \{x = (x_1 x_2 \cdots) \in \Sigma_k \mid (x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \cdots x_{i+L}) \notin \mathcal{B} \text{ for any } i \geq 0\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *For any $L \geq 3$, we have (Π_L, σ) is topological mixing. Moreover, (Π_L, σ) satisfies the specification property.*

Proof. Assume U, V are two non-empty open sets with $U \cap \Pi_L \neq \emptyset, V \cap \Pi_L \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $[x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n]$ denotes all the points have the same prefix $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)$. We may assume $[a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n] \subseteq U, [b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n] \subseteq V$ for some large n and $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n), (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n)$ are contained in Π_L . For any $m \geq 3$, we construct a finite word $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m)$ as follows. Since $k \geq 2$, we can select c_1, c_m such that $c_1 \neq a_n$ and $c_m \neq b_1$. Since $L \geq 3$, we can let any consecutive L symbols in $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m)$ are not totoally the same. Hence, we show that there exists $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m) \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}^m$ such that $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n)$ is contained in $\square \Pi_L$ for any $m \geq 3$. This implies for any $m \geq 3$, we have $\sigma^{n+m}(U) \cap V \cap \Pi_L \neq \emptyset$, i.e., Π_L is topological mixing. From [8, Proposition 21.2] we know every subshift of finite type which is topological mixing satisfies the specification property. Hence, Π_L satisfies the specification property. □

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \Sigma_k$ are periodic but not fixed. Then there exists $L \geq 3$ such that $x_i \in \Pi_L$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume $p_i \geq 2$ is the period of x_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $L \geq \max\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have x_i cannot contain consecutive p_i symbols which are totally the same, otherwise x_i will be a fixed point of Σ_k . Hence, by the definition of Π_L , we have $x_i \in \Pi_L$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Lemma 5.1. *Assume $L \geq 3$, we have (Π_L, σ) satisfies $\{x \in \text{Rec}(\Pi_L) \cap \text{Trans}(\Pi_L) \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i)}\}$ contains an uncountable DC1-scrambled subset for $i=1-6$.*

Proof. For the Case (2)-(6), it suffices to show that (Π_L, σ) satisfies the specification property and is not uniquely ergodic from [6, Theorem 1.6]. Since (Π_L, σ) has two different periodic orbits, it is not uniquely ergodic. By Proposition 4.1, we also have (Π_L, σ) satisfies the specification property.

For the Case (1), from [6, Theorem 6.1, Remark 6.2] it suffices to show that for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Pi_L, \sigma)$ the set $\{x \in \Pi \mid V(x, \sigma) = \mu\}$ has a distal pair, i.e., $\{x \in \Pi_L \mid V(x, \sigma) = \mu\}$ contains two points p, q such that $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(\sigma^i(p), \sigma^i(q)) > 0$. Notice the fact that (Π_L, σ) does not contain any fix point which implies x and $\sigma(x)$ is always a distal pair. Hence, Case (1) also holds in (Π_L, σ) . \square

Remark 5.1. [6, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 6.1] Furthermore, if φ is a continuous function on Π_L and $I_\varphi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ then for any $a \in \text{Int}(L_\varphi(\sigma))$, the recurrent level set of $\{x \in \text{Rec} \cap \text{Trans} \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i)}\}$ contains an uncountable DC1-scrambled subset in $I_\varphi(\sigma)$, $R_\varphi(a)$ and R_φ , respectively, $i=1-6$. Here $I_\varphi(\sigma)$, $R_\varphi(a)$ and R_φ denote φ -regular set, φ -level set and φ -regular set which will be defined in the last part of this paper.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume $y \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L)$, we have $\omega_\xi(T(y)) = \omega_\xi(y)$ for $\xi = \bar{d}, \underline{d}, B^*, B_*$. Moreover, we have $\omega_\sigma(y) \subsetneq \omega_\sigma(T(y))$.*

Proof. For $\xi = \bar{d}, \underline{d}$, we have $\omega_\xi(T(y)) = \omega_\xi(y)$ by Remark 3.3. Since periodic points are dense in Π_L and $y \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L)$, we have Π_L satisfies $\Pi_L = \overline{\cup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Pi_L, \sigma)} S_\mu} = \omega_{B^*}(y)$. Also, we notice that Π_L contains more than two distinct period orbit. Hence, for $\xi = B^*, B_*$, we have $\omega_\xi(T(y)) = \omega_\xi(y)$ by Remark 3.1 and Remark 3.2. Furthermore, since $y \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L)$, we have $\omega_\sigma(y) = \Pi_L \subsetneq \omega_\sigma(T(y))$ by Proposition 3.1. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Assume $y \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L)$, if y satisfies Case (i) in Π_L , then we have $T(y)$ satisfies Case (i') in Σ_k for $i=1-6$.*

Proof. This is a direct result from Lemma 5.2. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Notice that, in our construction of T , A_1 can be chosen as any finite word which is not contained in Π_L . For any open set $U \subseteq \Sigma_k$, there exists $A_1 = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ such that $[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n] \subseteq U$ and A_1 is not contained in Π_L . From Lemma 5.1, there exists $y \in \Pi_L$ such that $y \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L)$ and y satisfies Case (i) for $i=1-6$. From Lemma 5.3, we have $T(y)$ satisfies Case (i') and is contained in U by the choice of A_1 for $i=1-6$. Hence, we show that $\{x \in \text{Rec} \setminus BR \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i')}\}$ is dense in Σ_k for $i=1-6$.

By Lemma 5.1, we have an uncountable DC1-scrambled set S_i in $\{x \in \text{Trans}(\Pi_L) \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i)}\}$ for $i=1-6$. By Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 3.3, we have $S_{i'} = T(S_i)$ is an uncountable DC1-scrambled set and contained in $\{x \in \text{Rec} \setminus BR \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i')}\}$ for $i=1-6$ which completes the proof of Theorem B.

6. MULTIFRACTAL ANALYSIS IN $\text{Rec} \setminus BR$

We firstly review the irregular set and the regular set [17, 2, 9, 7, 23, 24, 20, 16] of a dynamical system (X, f) respect to a continuous function φ on X . For a continuous function φ on X , define the φ -irregular set as

$$I_\varphi(f) := \left\{ x \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(x)) \text{ diverges} \right\}.$$

Denote

$$L_\varphi(f) := \left[\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \varphi d\mu, \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \varphi d\mu \right]$$

and

$$\text{Int}(L_\varphi(f)) := \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \varphi d\mu, \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \varphi d\mu \right).$$

For any $a \in L_\varphi(f)$, define the level set as

$$R_\varphi(a) := \left\{ x \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(x)) = a \right\}.$$

Denote $R_\varphi = \bigcup_{a \in L_\varphi(f)} R_\varphi(a)$, called the regular points for φ .

From the perspective of multifractal analysis, we state a stronger version of Theorem B.

Theorem C. *Given $k \geq 2$, let (Σ_k, σ) be the k -th symbolic dynamical system equipped with shift operator. Suppose that φ is a continuous function on Σ_k and $I_\varphi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$, then for any $a \in \text{Int}(L_\varphi(\sigma))$, the recurrent level set of $\{x \in \text{Rec} \setminus BR \mid x \text{ satisfies Case}(i')\}$ contains an uncountable DC1-scrambled subset in $I_\varphi(\sigma)$, $R_\varphi(a)$ and R_φ , respectively, $i=1-6$.*

Since we have Remark 5.1, it suffices to prove Theorem C if the regular set and the irregular set are invariant under T .

Proposition 6.1. *For any continuous function φ on Σ_k and $a \in \text{Int}(L_\varphi(\sigma))$, we have T maps $I_\varphi(\sigma) \cap \Pi_L$ into $I_\varphi(\sigma)$ and maps $R_\varphi(a) \cap \Pi_L$ into $R_\varphi(a)$.*

Proof. We use the same notations as Proposition 3.2. Firstly, assume $y \in I_\varphi(\sigma) \cap \Pi_L$ and $x = T(y)$. By Proposition 3.2, for any increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$, we have an increasing sequence $\{m_k\}$ satisfies $d(\nu_{n_k}, \mu_{m_k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) - \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\nu_{n_k} - \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_{m_k} \right| = 0.$$

Let $n_k = k$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) - \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) \right] \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)), \\ & \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) - \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) \right] \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $x = T(y) \in I_\varphi(\sigma)$.

On the other hand, assume $y \in R_\varphi(a) \cap \Pi_L$ and $x = T(y)$. By Proposition 3.2, for any increasing sequence $\{m_k\}$, we have an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ satisfies $d(\mu_{m_k}, \nu_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) - \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_{m_k} - \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\nu_{n_k} \right| = 0.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) - \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) \right] \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) = a, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) - \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) \right] \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(y)) = a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\sigma^i(x)) = a$, which means $x = T(y) \in R_\varphi(a)$. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Firstly, we prove the irregular points part. Since $I_\varphi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$, there exists $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_k, \sigma)$ such that $\int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_1 \neq \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_2$. By [8, Proposition 21.8], we may assume μ_1 and μ_2 are periodic measure, i.e., S_{μ_i} is the orbit of some periodic point. Moreover, we may assume μ_1 and μ_2 are not atomic. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, there exists $L \geq 3$ such that $S_{\mu_i} \subseteq \Pi_L$ for $i=1, 2$. This implies $I_\varphi(\sigma|_{\Pi_L}) = I_\varphi(\sigma) \cap \Pi_L \neq \emptyset$, since Π_L satisfies specification property. By Remark 5.1, we have an uncountable DC1-scrambled S_i contained in $I_\varphi(\sigma) \cap \{x \in \text{Rec}(\Pi_L) \cap \text{Trans}(\Pi_L) \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i)}\}$. By Lemma 5.3, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 6.1, we have $S_{i'} = T(S_i)$ is the uncountable DC1-scrambled subset we need for $i=1-6$.

Similarly, for the regular points, we will use Remark 5.1 again. Assume $a \in \text{Int}(L_\varphi(\sigma))$, then we have $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_k, \sigma)$ such that $\int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_1 < a < \int_{\Sigma_k} \varphi d\mu_2$. As before, we may assume μ_1 and μ_2 are periodic measure. Hence, there exists $L \geq 3$ such that $S_{\mu_i} \subseteq \Pi_L$ which implies $a \in \text{Int}(L_\varphi(\sigma|_{\Pi_L}))$. By Remark 5.1, we have an uncountable DC1-scrambled S_i contained in $R_\varphi(a) \cap \{x \in \text{Rec}(\Pi_L) \cap \text{Trans}(\Pi_L) \mid x \text{ satisfies Case (i)}\}$. This implies $S_{i'} = T(S_i)$ is the uncountable DC1-scrambled subset we need for $i=1-6$. \square

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge Prof. Xiaoyi Wang for providing some references to us.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Barreira, *Dimension and recurrence in hyperbolic dynamics*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 272, Birkhäuser, 2008.
- [2] L. Barreira and J. Schmeling, *Sets of "non-typical" points have full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension*, Israel J. Math. 116 (2000), 29-70.
- [3] L. Barreira and B. Saussol, *Variational principles and mixed multifractal spectra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) 3919-3944.
- [4] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. (revised ed.), vol. IX, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1966).
- [5] R. Bowen, *Topological entropy for noncompact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 184 (1973), 125-136.
- [6] A. Chen, X. Tian, *Distributional chaos in multifractal analysis, recurrence and transitivity*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems, to appear (<https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2019.57>).
- [7] V. Climenhaga, *Topological pressure of simultaneous level sets*, Nonlinearity, 2013, 26: 241-268.
- [8] M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic Theory on the Compact Space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 527.
- [9] Dong Y, Oprcha P, Tian X. *On the irregular points for systems with the shadowing property*. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. , 2018, 38(6), 2108-2131.
- [10] Y. Dong, X. Tian, *Different Statistical Future of Dynamical Orbits over Expanding or Hyperbolic Systems (I): Empty Syndetic Center*, arXiv:1701.01910v2.
- [11] Furstenberg H. *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*. Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [12] W. He, J. Yin and Z. Zhou, *On quasi-weakly almost periodic points*, Science China Mathematics, 2013, 56(3):597-606.
- [13] W. He, Z. Zhou, *A topologically mixing system whose measure center is a singleton*, (Chinese) Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 45 (2002), no. 5, 929-934.
- [14] Y. Huang, X. Tian and X. Wang, *Transitively-Saturated Property, Banach recurrence and Lyapunov Regularity*, Nonlinearity, 32 (7), 2019, 2721-2757.
- [15] Y. Huang, X. Wang, *Recurrence of transitive points in dynamical systems with the specification property*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 2018, 1879-1891.
- [16] J. C. Oxtoby, *Ergodic sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 58, 116-136 (1952) of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1997.
- [17] Ya. Pesin and B. Pitskel', *Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets*, Functional Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 307-318.
- [18] K. E. Petersen, *A Topologically Strongly Mixing Symbolic Minimal Set*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 148, No. 2 (Apr. 1970), pp. 603-612.
- [19] P. Oprcha, M. Stefankova, *Specification Property and Distributional Chaos Almost Everywhere*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 136, No. 11 (Nov. 2008), pp. 3931-3940
- [20] D. Ruelle, *Historic behavior in smooth dynamical systems*, Global Analysis of Dynamical Systems (H. W. Broer, B. Krauskopf, and G. Vegter, eds.), Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing, 2001.

- [21] Schweizer B, Smítal J. *Measures of Chaos and a Spectral Decomposition of Dynamical Systems on the Interval*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1994, 344(2):737-754.
- [22] K. Sigmund. *On dynamical systems with the specification property*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974). 285-299.
- [23] F. Takens and E. Verbitskiy, *On the variational principle for the topological entropy of certain non-compact sets*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 23 (2003), no. 1, 317-348.
- [24] D. J. Thompson, *Irregular sets, the β -transformation and the almost specification property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. , **364** (2012), 5395-5414.
- [25] X. Tian, *Different Asymptotic Behaviour versus Same Dynamical Complexity: Recurrence & (Ir)Regularity*, Advances in Mathematics, vol. 288, 2016, 464-526.
- [26] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [27] Yan Q, Yin J D, Wang T. *A Note on Quasi-weakly Almost Periodic Point*, Acta Mathematica Sinica English, 2015, 31(4):637-646.
- [28] Z. Zhou, *Weakly almost periodic point and measure centre*, Science in China (Ser.A), 36 (1993)142-153.
- [29] Z. Zhou, *Measure center and minimal center of attraction*, Chin Sci Bull, 1993, 38: 542-545.
- [30] Z. Zhou and W. He, *Level of the orbit's topological structure and topological semi-conjugacy*, Science in China Ser A, 1995, 38(8):897-907.

(Y. Jiang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: yfjiang16@fudan.edu.cn

(X. Tian) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: xuetingtian@fudan.edu.cn

URL: <http://homepage.fudan.edu.cn/xuetingtian>