

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION FOR THE SET OF POINTS CONNECTED WITH THE GENERALIZED JARNÍK-BESICOVITCH SET

AYREENA BAKHTAWAR

ABSTRACT. In this article we aim to investigate the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points $x \in [0, 1)$ such that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_{n+1}(x)a_{n+2}(x) \cdots a_{n+r}(x) \geq e^{\tau(x)(h(x)+\cdots+h(T^{n-1}(x)))}$$

holds for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where h and τ are positive continuous functions, T is the Gauss map and $a_n(x)$ denote the n th partial quotient of x in its continued fraction expansion. By appropriate choices of r , $\tau(x)$ and $h(x)$ we obtain the classical Jarník-Besicovitch Theorem as well as more recent results by Wang–Wu–Xu, Wang–Wu, Huang–Wu–Xu and Hussain–Kleinbock–Wadleigh–Wang.

1. INTRODUCTION

From Dirichlet’s theorem (1842) it is well known that for any irrational $x \in [0, 1)$ there exist infinitely many pairs $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Statement (1.1) is important as it provides the rate of approximation that works for all $x \in [0, 1)$. Thus the area of interest is to investigate the sets of irrational numbers satisfying (1.1) but with functions decreasing faster than one over the denominator squared. The primary metric result in this connection is due to Khintchine [10] who investigated that for a decreasing function ϕ , the inequality

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \phi(q) \quad (1.2)$$

has infinitely many solutions for almost all (respectively almost no) $x \in [0, 1)$ if and only if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q\phi(q)$ diverges (respectively converges). He used the tool of continued fractions to show this.

The metrical aspect of the theory of continued fractions has been very well studied due to its close connections with Diophantine approximation. This theory can be viewed as arising from the Gauss map $T : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ which is defined as

$$T(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of any real number. Thus $T(x)$ represents the fractional part of $\frac{1}{x}$.

Also note that for any $x \in [0, 1)$, its unique continued fraction expansion is given as:

$$x = \cfrac{1}{a_1(x) + \cfrac{1}{a_2(x) + \cfrac{1}{a_3(x) + \ddots}}} \quad (1.3)$$

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, Jarník–Besicovitch theorem, continued fractions
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* primary 11K50; secondary 11J70, 11J83, 28A78.

The research of A. Bakhtawar is supported by La Trobe University postgraduate research award.

where for each $n \geq 1$, $a_n(x)$ are known as the partial quotients of x such that $a_1(x) = \lfloor \frac{1}{x} \rfloor$ and $a_n(x) = \lfloor \frac{1}{T^{n-1}(x)} \rfloor$ for $n \geq 2$. Therefore (1.3) can also be represented as

$$x = [a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), \dots, a_n(x) + T^n(x)] =: [a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), \dots].$$

Note that Khintchine's result is a Lebesgue measure criterion for the set of points satisfying equation (1.2) and thus it gives no further information about the sizes of null sets. For this reason the Hausdorff dimension and measure are appropriate tools as they help to distinguish between null sets. The starting point to answer this problem is Jarník–Besicovitch theorem [4, 9] which gives the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$J(\tau) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\tau} \text{ for i.m. } (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Here and throughout 'i.m.' stands for 'infinitely many'.

Recall that for any irrational $x \in [0, 1)$, the irrationality exponent of x is defined as

$$\vartheta(x) := \sup\{\tau : x \in J(\tau)\}.$$

From equation (1.1), it is known that $\vartheta(x) \geq 2$ for any irrational $x \in [0, 1)$. Moreover for any $\tau \geq 2$, Jarník–Besicovitch theorem [4, 9] states that

$$\dim_{\text{H}}\{x \in [0, 1) : \vartheta(x) \geq \tau\} = \dim_{\text{H}}\{x \in [0, 1) : \vartheta(x) = \tau\} = \frac{2}{\tau}.$$

Observe that the exponent τ in the above sets is constant. Barral and Seuret [3] generalized Jarník–Besicovitch theorem by considering the set of points for which the irrationality exponent is not fixed in advance but it may vary with x in a continuous way. More precisely, Barral and Seuret [3] showed that for a continuous function $\tau(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\text{H}}\{x \in [0, 1) : \vartheta(x) \geq \tau(x)\} &= \dim_{\text{H}}\{x \in [0, 1) : \vartheta(x) = \tau(x)\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\min\{\tau(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}}. \end{aligned}$$

They called such a set the localised Jarník–Besicovitch set. The result of Barral and Seuret was further generalised to the settings of continued fractions by Wang *et al.* in [21]. To refer their result, we first restate the Jarník–Besicovitch set (1.4) in terms of growth rate of partial quotients,

$$J(\tau) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq e^{((\tau-2)/2)S_n(\log |T'(x)|)} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that in terms of entries of continued fractions the Jarník–Besicovitch set, as given in equation (1.5), contains the approximating function that involves the ergodic sum

$$S_n(\log |T'(x)|) = \log |T'(x)| + \dots + \log |T'(T^{n-1}(x))|$$

and this sum is growing fast as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore having the approximating function in terms of the ergodic sum and the fact that partial quotients of any real number $x \in [0, 1)$ completely determines its Diophantine properties, the Jarník–Besicovitch set (and its related variations which we will see in this article) in terms of the growth rate of partial quotients gives us better approximation results. In fact, Wang *et al.* [21] introduced the generalized version of the set (1.5) as,

$$J(\tau, h) = \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \geq e^{\tau(x)S_n h(x)} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where $h(x)$, $\tau(x)$ are positive continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ and $S_n h(x)$ represents the ergodic sum ' $h(x) + \dots + h(T^{n-1}(x))$.' They called such points the localised (τ, h) approximable points. Further, they proved the Hausdorff dimension of $J(\tau, h)$ to be

$$s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(1)} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \text{P}(T, -s\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\},$$

where $\tau_{\min} = \min\{\tau(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$ and P denotes the pressure function defined below in Section 3.

In this article we introduce the set of points $x \in [0, 1)$ for which the product of an arbitrary block of consecutive partial quotients, in their continued fraction expansion, are growing. In fact we determine the size of such a set in terms of Hausdorff dimension. Motivation for considering the growth of product of consecutive partial quotients arose from the work of Kleinbock and Wadleigh [12] where they considered improvements to Dirichlet's theorem. We refer the reader to [1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 13] for comprehensive metric theory associated with the set of points improving Dirichlet's theorem.

We prove the following main result of this article. Note that the tempered distortion property is defined in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be positive continuous functions with h satisfying the tempered distortion property. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ define the set*

$$\mathcal{R}_r(\tau) := \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+r}(x) \geq e^{\tau(x)S_n h(x)} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau) = s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : P(T, -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\},$$

where $\tau_{\min} = \min\{\tau(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$ and $g_r(s)$ is given by the following recursive formula

$$g_1(s) = s, \quad g_r(s) = \frac{sg_{r-1}(s)}{1 - s + g_{r-1}(s)} \text{ for } r \geq 2.$$

Theorem 1.1 is more general as for different $\tau(x)$ and $h(x)$ it implies various classical results as we now see.

- When $r = 1$, $\tau(x) = \text{constant}$ and $h(x) = \log |T'|$, then we obtain the classical Jarník-Besicovitch theorem [4, 9].

Corollary 1.2. *For any $\tau \geq 2$,*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} J(\tau) = \frac{2}{\tau}.$$

- When $r = 1$, we obtain the result by Wang *et al.* [21].

Corollary 1.3.

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \geq e^{\tau(x)S_n h(x)} \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(1)}.$$

- When $r = 1$, $\tau(x) = 1$ and $h(x) = \log B$, we obtain the result by Wang and Wu [20].

Corollary 1.4. *For any $B > 1$,*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \geq B^n \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = s_B^{(1)}$$

where

$$s_B^{(1)} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : P(T, -s \log B - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

- When $\tau(x) = 1$ and $h(x) = \log B$, we obtain the result by Huang *et al.* [7].

Corollary 1.5. *For any $B > 1$,*

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+r}(x) \geq B^n \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = s_B^{(r)},$$

where

$$s_B^{(r)} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : P(T, -g_r(s) \log B - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

- When $r = 2$, $\tau(x) = \text{constant}$ and $h(x) = \log |T'|$, we obtain the result by Hussain *et al.* [8].

Corollary 1.6.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x)a_{n+2}(x) \geq q_{n+1}^{\tau+2}(x) \text{ for i.m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ = \frac{2}{2 + \tau}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we gather some fundamental properties of continued fractions of real numbers and recommend [10, 14] to the reader for further details.

For any vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the *basic cylinder* of order n as

$$I_n = I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \{x \in [0, 1) : a_1(x) = a_1, \dots, a_n(x) = a_n\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then*

(i)

$$I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{p_n}{q_n}, \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} \right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right] & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where the numerator $p_n = p_n(x)$ and the denominator $q_n = q_n(x)$ of the n th convergent of x are obtained from the following recursive relation

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-1} &= 1, \quad p_0 = 0, \quad p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1}, \\ q_{-1} &= 0, \quad q_0 = 1, \quad q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Also, $p_{n-1}q_n - p_nq_{n-1} = (-1)^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

(ii) The length of $I_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2q_n^2} \leq |I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})} \leq \frac{1}{q_n^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

(iii) $q_n \geq 2^{(n-1)/2}$ and for any $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}) &\geq q_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot q_k(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}), \\ q_{n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}) &\leq 2q_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot q_k(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

(iv)

$$\frac{1}{(a_{n+1} + 2)q_n^2} < \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_{n+1} + T^{n+1}(x)q_n)} < \frac{1}{a_{n+1}q_n^2},$$

and the derivative of T^n is given by

$$(T^n)'(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(xq_{n-1} - p_{n-1})^2}.$$

Further,

$$q_n^2(x) \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |T'(T^k(x))| \leq 4q_n^2(x). \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.1) note that for any $n \geq 1$, p_n and q_n are determined by a_1, \dots, a_n . Thus, we can write p_n as $p_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and q_n as $q_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Just to avoid confusion, we can also use the notion a_n and q_n in place of $a_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$, respectively.

The next theorem, known as Legendre's theorem, connects one dimensional Diophantine approximation with continued fractions.

Legendre Theorem. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2} \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)},$$

for some $n \geq 1$.

According to Legendre's theorem if an irrational x is well approximated by a rational $\frac{p}{q}$, then this rational must be a convergent of x . Thus in order to find good rational approximates to an irrational number we only need to focus on its convergents. Note that, from (iv) of Proposition 2.1, a real number x is well approximated by its convergent $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ if its $(n + 1)$ th partial quotient is sufficiently large.

3. THE PRESSURE FUNCTION AND $s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)}$

In this section, we recall the definition of pressure function and collect some of its basic properties which will be useful for proving the main result of this article. For thorough results on pressure functions in infinite conformal iterated function systems, we refer the reader to [16, 17, 18, 19]. However, for our purposes, we use the Mauldin and Urbański [17] form of pressure function applicable to the geometry of continued fractions.

Consider a finite or infinite subset \mathcal{B} of the set of natural numbers and define

$$Z_{\mathcal{B}} = \{x \in [0, 1) : a_n(x) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}.$$

Then $(Z_{\mathcal{B}}, T)$ is a subsystem of $([0, 1), T)$ where T represents the Gauss map. Let $\psi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then the pressure function $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi)$ with respect to potential ψ and restricted to the system $(Z_{\mathcal{B}}, T)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}} \sup_{x \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}} e^{S_n \psi([a_1, \dots, a_n + x])}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $S_n \psi(x)$ denotes the ergodic sum $\psi(x) + \dots + \psi(T^{n-1}(x))$. For $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{N}$ we denote $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{N}}(T, \psi)$ by $\mathbf{P}(T, \psi)$.

The n th variation of a function ψ , denoted by $\text{Var}_n(\psi)$, is defined as

$$\text{Var}_n(\psi) := \sup_{x, z : I_n(x) = I_n(z)} |\psi(x) - \psi(z)|,$$

where $I_n(x)$ represents the basic cylinder of order n that contains x in the continued fraction expansion, that is $I_n(x) = I_n(a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$ (for the definition of cylinder, see Section 2).

A function ψ is said to satisfy the *tempered distortion property* if

$$\text{Var}_1(\psi) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_n(\psi) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Throughout the article, we consider $\psi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be a function satisfying the tempered distortion property. The existence of the limit in the definition of the pressure function is guaranteed by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. [15, Proposition 2.4] *The limit defining $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi)$ exists. Furthermore if $\psi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying tempered distortion property then the value of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi)$ remains unchanged even without taking supremum over $x \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (3.1). The independence of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi)$ on the supremum over $x \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ follows from the fact that*

$$|S_n \psi([a_1, \dots, a_n + x_1]) - S_n \psi([a_1, \dots, a_n + x_2])| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}_j(\psi) = o(n),$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Thus if we want to take any point z from the basic cylinder $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, we can always take it as $z = \frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$.

The next result by Hanus *et al.* [6] shows that when the Gauss system $([0, 1), T)$ is approximated by $(Z_{\mathcal{B}}, T)$ then in the system of continued fractions the pressure function has a continuity property.

Proposition 3.2. [6] *Let $\psi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (3.2). Then*

$$P(T, \psi) = \sup\{P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For every $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0$, let

$$f_{n, \mathcal{B}}(s) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{e^{g_r(s)\tau_{\min} S_n h(z)} q_n^{2s}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $z \in I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $g_r(s)$ is defined by the formula

$$g_1(s) = s \text{ and } g_r(s) = \frac{sg_{r-1}(s)}{1 - s + g_{r-1}(s)} \text{ for all } r \geq 2. \quad (3.4)$$

It can be easily checked that for any $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ we have $g_{r+1}(s) \leq g_r(s)$, for all $r \geq 1$.

From now onwards we consider a particular potential

$$\psi_s(x) := -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'(x)|.$$

From the definition of pressure function (3.1) and from (2.4), (3.3) and (3.4)

$$P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{e^{g_r(s)\tau_{\min} S_n h(z)} q_n^{2s}}.$$

Define

$$s_{n, \mathcal{B}}^{(r)} = \inf \{s \geq 0 : f_{n, \mathcal{B}}(s) \leq 1\},$$

and let

$$s_{\mathcal{B}}^{(r)} = \inf \{s \geq 0 : P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'(x)|) \leq 0\},$$

$$s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)} = \inf \{s \geq 0 : P(T, -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'(x)|) \leq 0\}.$$

When \mathcal{B} is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , then $s_{n, \mathcal{B}}^{(r)}$ and $s_{\mathcal{B}}^{(r)}$ are the unique solutions to $f_{n, \mathcal{B}}(s) = 1$ and $P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}h - s \log |T'(x)|) = 0$, respectively (for details see [20]). If $\mathcal{B} = \{1, \dots, M\}$ for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, write $s_{n, M}^{(r)}$ for $s_{n, \mathcal{B}}^{(r)}$ and $s_M^{(r)}$ for $s_{\mathcal{B}}^{(r)}$.

From Proposition 3.2 and since the potential ψ_s satisfies the tempered distortion property we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *For any integer $r \geq 1$,*

$$s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)} = \sup\{s_{\mathcal{B}}^{(r)} : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Furthermore, the dimensional term $s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)}$ is continuous with respect to ψ_s , that is,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf\{s \geq 0 : P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi_s + \epsilon) \leq 0\} = \inf\{s \geq 0 : P_{\mathcal{B}}(T, \psi_s) \leq 0\}. \quad (3.5)$$

From the definition of pressure function and by Corollary 3.3 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n, M}^{(r)} = s_M^{(r)}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n, \mathbb{N}}^{(r)} = s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)}, \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} s_M^{(r)} = s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)} \text{ and } |s_{n, M}^{(r)} - s_M^{(r)}| \leq \epsilon.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into two main parts:

- (i) the upper estimate for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$ and
- (ii) the lower estimate for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1: the upper estimate. Upper estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of any set are usually easy to obtain as they involve a natural covering argument. Thus for the upper bound we will find a natural covering for the set $\mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$. To do this, recall that h is assumed to be a positive continuous function satisfying tempered distortion property. Consequently,

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}_j(h) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus for any fixed $\lambda > 0$ there exist $N(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N(\lambda)$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}_j(h) \leq n\lambda$. Therefore, for any $x, z \in [0, 1)$ with $I_n(x) = I_n(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n h(x) - S_n h(z)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(T^j x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(T^j z) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |h(T^j x) - h(T^j z)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Var}_{n-j}(h) \leq n\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}_r(\tau) \subset \mathcal{C}_r(\tau)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_r(\tau) := \{x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+r}(x) \geq e^{\tau \min S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \text{ i. m. } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and $z \in I_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Thus for the upper estimate of $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$ it is sufficient to calculate the upper estimate for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_r(\tau)$ that is first we will show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_r(\tau) \leq \inf\{s \geq 0 : \mathbb{P}(T, -g_r(s) \tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}, \quad (4.1)$$

by induction on r .

For $r = 1$, the result is proved by Wang *et al.* in [21].

Suppose that (4.1) is true for $r = k$. We need to show that (4.1) holds for $r = k + 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(\tau) \subseteq & \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x) \geq e^{\tau \min S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \text{ i. m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x) \leq e^{\tau \min S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}, \\ a_{n+k+1}(x) \geq \frac{e^{\tau \min S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x)} \text{ i. m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for any $1 < \gamma \leq e$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(\tau) \subseteq & \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x) \geq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \text{ i. m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ \cup & \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \begin{aligned} & 1 \leq a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x) \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}, \\ & a_{n+k+1}(x) \geq \frac{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x)} \text{ i. m. } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \right\} \\ & =: \mathcal{A}(\tau) \cup \mathcal{B}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(\tau) \leq \inf_{1 < \gamma \leq e} \max\{\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{A}(\tau), \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{B}(\tau)\}.$$

By using induction hypothesis and since $\gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \leq e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{A}(\tau) \leq t_{\gamma}^k := \inf\{s \geq 0 : \mathbb{P}(T, -g_k(s) \tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) \log \gamma - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

For the upper bound of $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ we proceed by finding a natural covering for this set. In terms of lim sup nature of the set $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau) &= \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \begin{aligned} & 1 \leq a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x) \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}, \\ & a_{n+k+1}(x) \geq \frac{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1}(x) \cdots a_{n+k}(x)} \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &=: \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^*(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for each $n \geq N$, the cover for $\mathcal{B}^*(\tau)$ will serve as a natural cover for $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{B}^*(\tau) \subseteq \bigcup_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{1 \leq a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k} \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}} J_{n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k})$$

where

$$J_{n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k}) = \bigcup_{a_{n+k+1} \geq \frac{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}}} I_{n+k+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k+1}).$$

By using equation (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k})| &= \sum_{a_{n+k+1} \geq \frac{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}}} |I_{n+k+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k+1})| \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{\frac{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}}{a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}} q_{n+k}^2(a_1, \dots, a_{n+k})} \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} (a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}) q_n^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Fixing $\delta > 0$ and taking the $(s + \delta)$ -volume of the cover of $\mathcal{B}^*(\tau)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{1 \leq a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k} \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}} & \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} (a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}) q_n^2(z)} \right)^{s+\delta} \\ & \leq \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{1 \leq a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k} \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} (a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+k}) q_n^2(z)} \right)^s e^{-\delta \tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\asymp \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(\log \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)})^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \gamma^{(1-s)\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} q_n^2(z)} \right)^s e^{-\delta \tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \\
&\leq \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(\log e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)})^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \gamma^{(1-s)\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} q_n^2(z)} \right)^s e^{-\delta \tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \\
&\leq \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma^{(1-s)\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} q_n^2(z)} \right)^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the $(s + \delta)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{s+\delta}(\mathcal{B}(\tau)) &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{1 \leq a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+k} \leq \gamma^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)}} \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} (a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+k}) q_n^2(z)} \right)^{s+\delta} \\
&\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma^{(1-s)\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\tau_{\min} S_n(h-\lambda)(z)} q_n^2(z)} \right)^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{B}(\tau) \leq u_{\gamma}^{k+1}$$

where u_{γ}^{k+1} is defined as

$$\inf \{s \geq 0 : P(T, (1-s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) \log \gamma - s\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(\tau) \leq \inf_{1 < \gamma \leq e} \max\{t_{\gamma}^k, u_{\gamma}^{k+1}\}.$$

As the pressure function $P(T, \psi_s)$ is increasing with respect to the potential ψ_s we know t_{γ}^k is increasing and u_{γ}^{k+1} is decreasing with respect to γ . Therefore the infimum is obtained at the value γ where

$$-g_k(s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) \log \gamma - s \log |T'|$$

is equal to

$$(1-s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) \log \gamma - s\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) - s \log |T'|.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^{(1-s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda)} e^{-s\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda)} = \gamma^{-g_k(s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda)} \\
&\iff -\frac{s}{(1-s) + g_k(s)} = -\log \gamma \\
&\iff -g_{k+1}(s) = -g_k(s) \log \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(\tau) \leq \inf \{s \geq 0 : P(T, -g_{k+1}(s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

Consequently, for any $r \geq 1$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau) \leq \inf \{s \geq 0 : P(T, -g_r(s)\tau_{\min}(h-\lambda) - s \log |T'|) \leq 0\}.$$

By equation (3.5) of Corollary 3.3 and letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we obtained the desired result.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1: the lower estimate. For the lower estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$ we will adapt the similar method as in [7]. We first construct the Cantor subset \mathcal{E}_∞ which sits inside the set $\mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$ then we distribute the measure $\mu > 0$ on \mathcal{E}_∞ and obtain the Holder exponent. Lastly, we apply the mass distribution principle [5].

Proposition 4.1 (Mass Distribution Principle). *Let \mathcal{U} be a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^d and μ be a Borel measure with $\mu(\mathcal{U}) > 0$. Suppose that, for some $s > 0$ there exist a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $x \in [0, 1)$*

$$\mu(B(x, d)) \leq cd^s,$$

where $B(x, d)$ denotes an open ball centred at x and radius d . Then

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{U} \geq s.$$

Cantor subset:

Fix $\frac{1}{2} < s < s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)}$ and chose $1 \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_{r-2} \leq e$ in a way such that

$$\log \gamma_i = \frac{g_r(s)(1-s)^i}{s^{i+1}} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq r-2. \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover we have the following lemma which we will prove by induction on r .

Lemma 4.2. *For any $r \geq 1$,*

$$g_r(s) = \frac{s^r(2s-1)}{s^r - (1-s)^r} \quad (4.3)$$

satisfies the recursive relation defined in (3.4).

Proof. When $r = 1$, it is clear from (3.4) that

$$g_1(s) = s = \frac{s(2s-1)}{s - (1-s)}.$$

Suppose (4.3) is true for $r = k$, then for $r = k+1$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k+1}(s) &= \frac{sg_k(s)}{1-s+g_k(s)} \text{ by (3.4)} \\ &= \frac{s \frac{s^k(2s-1)}{s^k - (1-s)^k}}{1-s + \frac{s^k(2s-1)}{s^k - (1-s)^k}} \text{ (by induction hypothesis)} \\ &= \frac{s^{k+1}(2s-1)}{s^k - (1-s)^k - s^{k+1} + s(1-s)^k + (2s-1)s^k} \\ &= \frac{s^{k+1}(2s-1)}{s^{k+1} - (1-s)^k(1-s)} = \frac{s^{k+1}(2s-1)}{s^{k+1} - (s-1)^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (4.3) is true for $r = k+1$. □

Thus by using (4.2) and (4.3) it is easy to check that the following equality holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \gamma_0^{-s} &= \log(\gamma_0^{1-s}(\gamma_0\gamma_1)^{-s}) = \dots = \log((\gamma_0 \dots \gamma_{r-3})^{1-s}(\gamma_0 \dots \gamma_{r-2})^{-s}) \\ &= \log(\gamma_0 \dots \gamma_{r-2})^{1-s} - s = -g_r(s). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Further, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix an irrational z_0 and an integer t_0 such that for any $z \in I_n(z_0)$ with $n \geq t_0$ we have

$$\tau(z) \leq \min\{\tau_{\min}(1+\epsilon), \tau_{\min} + \epsilon\}.$$

Next define two integer sequences $\{t_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and $\{m_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ recursively where $\{m_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is defined to be a largely sparse integer sequence tending to infinity. For each $j \geq 1$, define $t_j = t_0 + j$ and set $n_j = (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j + m_j + 1$.

Now we construct the Cantor subset \mathcal{E}_∞ level by level. We start by defining the zero level.

Level 0. Let $n_0 + (r-1) \geq t_2$. Define

$$\nu^{(0,r-1)} = (a_1(z_0), a_2(z_0), \dots, a_{n_0+(r-1)}(z_0)).$$

Then the zero level \mathcal{E}_0 of the Cantor set \mathcal{E}_∞ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{F}_0 = \{I_{n_0+(r-1)}(\nu^{(0,r-1)})\}.$$

Level 1. Note that $n_1 = (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 + m_1 + 1$. Let us define the collection of basic cylinders of order $n_1 - 1$:

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{I_{n_1-1}(\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{t_1}, b_1^{(1)}, \dots, b_{m_1}^{(1)}) : 1 \leq b_1^{(1)}, \dots, b_{m_1}^{(1)} \leq M\}.$$

For each $I_{n_1-1}(w^{(1)} = (\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{t_1}, b_1^{(1)}, \dots, b_{m_1}^{(1)})) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ define the collection of sub-cylinders of order n_1 :

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,0}(w^{(1)}) := \{I_{n_1}(\nu^{(1,0)}) = I_{n_1}(w^{(1)}, a_{n_1}) : \gamma_o^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)} \leq a_{n_1} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)}\} \quad (4.5)$$

where $z_1 \in I_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}(\nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{t_1}, b_1^{(1)}, \dots, b_{m_1}^{(1)})$.

Let $I_{n_1} = I_{n_1}(\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{t_1}, b_1^{(1)}, \dots, b_{m_1}^{(1)}, a_{n_1}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,0}(w^{(1)})$. The choice of z_1 indicates that for any $x \in I_{n_1}$ the continued fraction representations of z_1 and x share prefixes up to t_1 th partial quotients. Hence $\tau(z_1)$ is close to $\tau(x)$ by the continuity of τ . Further, it can be easily checked that $S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1) \sim S_{n_1-1}h(x)$, (here ' \sim ' denotes the asymptotic equality of two functions). Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1) \sim \tau(x)S_{n_1-1}h(x) \\ \implies & \tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1) \log \gamma_0 \sim \tau(x)S_{n_1-1}h(x) \log \gamma_0 \\ \implies & \log \gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)} \sim \log \gamma_0^{\tau(x)S_{n_1-1}h(x)} \\ \implies & \log a_{n_1} \sim \log \gamma_0^{\tau(x)S_{n_1-1}h(x)} \text{ from (4.5) .} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a_{n_1}(x) \sim \gamma_o^{\tau(x)S_{n_1-1}h(x)}$.

Next for each $I_{n_1}(\nu^{(1,0)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,0}(w^{(1)})$ define

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,1}(\nu^{(1,0)}) := \{I_{n_1+1}(\nu^{(1,1)}) = I_{n_1+1}(\nu^{(1,0)}, a_{n_1+1}) : \gamma_1^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)} \leq a_{n_1+1} < 2\gamma_1^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)}\}.$$

Continuing in this way for each $I_{n_1+(r-3)}(\nu^{(1,r-3)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,r-3}(\nu^{(1,r-4)})$ collect a family of sub-cylinder of order $n_1+(r-2)$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,r-2}(\nu^{(1,r-3)}) := \{I_{n_1+(r-2)}(\nu^{(1,r-2)}) = I_{n_1+(r-2)}(\nu^{(1,r-3)}, a_{n_1+(r-2)}) : \gamma_{r-2}^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)} \leq a_{n_1+(r-2)} < 2\gamma_{r-2}^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)}\}.$$

Further for each $I_{n_1+(r-2)}(\nu^{(1,r-2)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,r-2}(\nu^{(1,r-3)})$ collect a family of sub-cylinders of order $n_1+(r-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1,r-1}(\nu^{(1,r-2)}) &:= \{I_{n_1+(r-1)}(\nu^{(1,r-1)}) = I_{n_1+(r-1)}(\nu^{(1,r-2)}, a_{n_1+(r-1)}) : \\ &\quad \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)} \leq a_{n_1+(r-1)} \\ &\quad \left. < 2 \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_1)S_{n_1-(n_0+(r-1))-1}h(z_1)}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the first level of the Cantor set \mathcal{E}_∞ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1,r-1} &= \{I_{n_1+(r-1)}(\nu^{(1,r-1)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,r-1}(\nu^{(1,r-2)}) : \\ &\quad I_{n_1+i}(\nu^{(1,i)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,i}(\nu^{(1,i-1)}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-2; \\ &\quad I_{n_1}(\nu^{(1,0)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{1,0}(w^{(1)}); I_{n_1-1}(w^{(1)}) \in \mathcal{F}_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Level j .

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{j-1,r-1}$, i.e., the $(j-1)$ th level has been constructed. The set $\mathcal{E}_{j-1,r-1}$ consist of cylinders all of which are of order $n_{j-1}+(r-1)$. Recall that $n_j = (n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j+m_j+1$. For each basic cylinder $I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j-1,r-1}$ define the collections of sub-cylinders of order n_j-1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_j(I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)})) &= \{I_{n_j-1}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)}}) : \\ &\quad 1 \leq b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)} \leq M\} \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \bigcup_{I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)} \in \mathcal{E}_{j-1,r-1}} \mathcal{F}_j(I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)})).$$

Following the same process as for **Level 1**, for each $I_{n_j-1}(w^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{F}_j$ define the collection of sub-cylinders:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{j,0}(w^{(j)}) &:= \{I_{n_j}(\nu^{(j,0)}) = I_{n_j}(w^{(j)}, a_{n_j}) : \\ &\quad \frac{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}{\gamma_0} \leq a_{n_j} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $z_j \in I_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)}})$.

Next for each $I_{n_j}(\nu^{(j,0)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,0}(w^{(j)})$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{j,1}(\nu^{(j,0)}) &:= \{I_{n_j+1}(\nu^{(j,1)}) = I_{n_j+1}(\nu^{(j,0)}, a_{n_j+1}) : \\ &\quad \frac{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}{\gamma_1} \leq a_{n_j+1} < 2\gamma_1^{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for each $I_{n_j+i-1}(\nu^{(j,i-1)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,i-1}(\nu^{(j,i-2)})$ with $2 \leq i \leq r-2$ we collect a family of the sub-cylinders of order n_j+i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{j,i}(\nu^{(j,i-1)}) &:= \{I_{n_j+i}(\nu^{(j,i)}) = I_{n_j+i}(\nu^{(j,i-1)}, a_{n_j+i}) : \\ &\quad \frac{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}{\gamma_i} \leq a_{n_j+i} < 2\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{n_j-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))-1}h(z_j)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way for each $I_{n_j+r-2}(\nu^{(j,r-2)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,r-2}(\nu^{(j,r-3)})$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{j,r-1}(\nu^{(j,r-2)}) &:= \{I_{n_j+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j,r-1)}) = I_{n_j+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j,r-2)}, a_{n_j+(r-1)}) : \\ &\quad \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j) S_{n_j - (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) - 1} h(z_j)} \\ &\quad \leq a_{n_j+(r-1)} < 2 \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j) S_{n_j - (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) - 1} h(z_j)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the j th level of the Cantor set \mathcal{E}_∞ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{j,r-1} &= \{I_{n_j+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j,r-1)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,r-1}(\nu^{(j,r-2)}) : \\ &\quad I_{n_j+i}(\nu^{(j,i)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,i}(\nu^{(j,i-1)}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-2; \\ &\quad I_{n_j}(\nu^{(j,0)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,0}(w^{(j)}); I_{n_{j-1}}(w^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{F}_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Cantor set is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_\infty = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{I_{n_j+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j,r-1)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{j,r-1}} I_{n_j+(r-1)}(\nu^{(j,r-1)}).$$

By the same arguments as discussed earlier in defining Level 1, that is, by the continuity of τ and since z_j and x share common prefixes up to t_j th partial quotients, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau(z_j) = \tau(x) \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_j - (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) - 1} h(z_j)}{S_{n_{j-1}} h(x)} = 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore \mathcal{E}_∞ is contained in $\mathcal{R}_r(\tau)$, as for showing this it is sufficient to show that (4.6) holds.

In order to better understand the structure of \mathcal{E}_∞ we will utilize the idea of symbolic space. If the continued fraction expansion of a point $x \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$ is represented by $[\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots]$ then the sequence $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots)$ is known as *admissible sequence* and $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ is called an *admissible block* for any $n \geq 1$. If ν is an admissible block only then $I_n(\nu) \cap \mathcal{E}_\infty \neq \emptyset$, and such basic cylinders $I_n(\nu)$ are known as admissible cylinders.

For any $n \geq 1$, denote by ' \mathcal{D}_n ' the set of strings defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \text{ is an admissible block}\}.$$

We will define \mathcal{D}_n for different cases, according to the limitations on the partial quotients defined in the construction of \mathcal{E}_∞ .

Let $l_1 = n_1 - (n_0 + (r-1)) - 1 = t_1 + m_1$.

(1a) When $1 \leq n \leq (n_0 + (r-1))$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu^{(0,r-1)} = (a_1(z_0), a_2(z_0), \dots, a_{n_0+(r-1)}(z_0))\}.$$

(1b) When $(n_0 + (r-1)) < n \leq (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{(\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{n-(n_0+(r-1))})\}.$$

(1c) When $(n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 < n < n_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n &= \{\nu = (\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)}|_{t_1}, \nu_{(n_0+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_n) : \\ &\quad 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 < u \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

(1d) When $n = n_1$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)})|_{t_1, \nu_{(n_0+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_1-1}, \nu_{n_1}} : \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 < u < n_1\},$$

where $z_1 \in I_{l_1(\nu^{(0,r-1)})|_{t_1, \nu_{(n_0+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_1-1}}}$.

(1e) When $n = n_1 + i$ where $1 \leq i \leq r-2$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)})|_{t_1, \nu_{(n_0+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_1+i}} : \\ \gamma_i^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1+i} < 2\gamma_i^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 < u < n_1\}.$$

(1f) When $n = n_1 + (r-1)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(0,r-1)}, \nu^{(0,r-1)})|_{t_1, \nu_{(n_0+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_1}} : \\ \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1+(r-1)} \\ < 2\left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)}, \\ \gamma_i^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1+i} < 2\gamma_i^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}f(z_1)} \leq \nu_{n_1} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_1)S_{l_1}h(z_1)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_0 + (r-1)) + t_1 < u < n_1\}.$$

Next we define \mathcal{D}_n inductively. For this we suppose that $\mathcal{D}_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}$ has been given and for each $j \geq 1$, write $l_j = n_j - (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) - 1 = t_j + m_j$.

(2a) When $(n_{j-1} + (r-1)) < n \leq (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)})|_{n-(n_{j-1}+(r-1))}\}.$$

(2b) When $(n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < n < n_j$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)})|_{t_j, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j+1}, \dots, \nu_n} : \\ \nu^{(j-1,r-1)} \in \mathcal{D}_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}, \\ 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < u \leq n\}.$$

(2c) When $n = n_j$,

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{\nu = (\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)})|_{t_j, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_j}} : \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < u < n_j\}.$$

where $z_j \in I_{l_j(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)})|_{t_j, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_1+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_{j-1}}}$.

(2d) When $n = n_j + i$ where $1 \leq i \leq r - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n = \{ \nu = (\nu^{(j-1, r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1, r-1)}|_{t_j}, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_j+i}) : \\ \gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j+i} < 2\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < u < n_j \}. \end{aligned}$$

(2e) When $n = n_j + (r - 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n = \{ \nu = (\nu^{(j-1, r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1, r-1)}|_{t_j}, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_j}) : \\ \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j+(r-1)} \\ < 2 \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}, \\ \gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j+i} < 2\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \\ \gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j} < 2\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq \nu_u \leq M, \text{ for } (n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < u < n_j \}. \end{aligned}$$

Fundamental cylinders: For each $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we define a refinement J_n of I_n as the union of its sub-cylinders with nonempty intersection with \mathcal{E}_∞ .

(3a) For $(n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) + t_j < n < n_j + 1$, define

$$J_n(\nu) = \bigcup_{1 \leq \nu_{n+1} \leq M} I_{n+1}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, \nu_{n+1}). \quad (4.7)$$

(3b) For $n = n_j - 1$, define

$$J_{n_j-1}(\nu) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j) \\ \gamma_0 \leq \nu_{n_j} < 2\gamma_0}} I_{n_j}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n_j-1}, \nu_{n_j}), \quad (4.8)$$

where $z_j \in I_{l_j}(\nu^{(j-1, r-1)}|_{t_j}, \nu_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j+1}, \dots, \nu_{n_j-1})$.

(3c) For $n = n_j + i - 1$ with $1 \leq i \leq r - 2$, define

$$J_{n_j+i-1}(\nu) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j) \\ \gamma_i \leq \nu_{n_j+i} \leq 2\gamma_i}} I_{n_j+i}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n_j+i}). \quad (4.9)$$

(3d) For $n = n_j + (r - 2)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n_j+(r-2)}(\nu) \\ = \bigcup_{\left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \leq \nu_{n_j+(r-1)} \leq 2 \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} I_{n_j+(r-1)}(w), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $w = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n_j+(r-1)})$.

(3e) If $n_j + (r - 1) \leq n \leq (n_j + (r - 1)) + t_{j+1}$, then by construction of \mathcal{E}_∞ ,

$$J_n(\nu) = I_{n_j+(r-1)+t_{j+1}}(\nu^{(j, r-1)}, \nu^{(j, r-1)}|_{t_{j+1}}). \quad (4.11)$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{E}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\nu \in D_n} J_n(\nu).$$

4.2.1. *Lengths of fundamental cylinders.* In the following subsection we will estimate the lengths of the fundamental cylinders defined above.

Let the continued fraction representation for any $x \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$ be

$$[\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j}, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)}, a_{n_j}, \dots, a_{n_j+(r-1)}, \dots].$$

I. If $n = (n_j + (r - 1)) + t_{j+1}$, then by using (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_{j+1}}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j}, \\ & \quad b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)}, a_{n_j}, \dots, a_{n_j+(r-1)}, \nu^{(j,r-1)}|_{t_{j+1}}) \\ & \leq 2^{3r+2} q_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}, \nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j}) \cdot q_{m_j}(b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)}) \\ & \quad \cdot e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \cdot q_{t_{j+1}}(\nu^{(j,r-1)}|_{t_{j+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Next from (iii) of Proposition 2.1 and by the choice of m_j ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_{j+1}}(x) & \leq q_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j}(x) \cdot (q_{l_j}(z_j)e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)})^{1+\epsilon} \\ & \leq \prod_{k=1}^j (q_{l_k}(z_k)e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{1+\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $z_k \in I_{l_k}(\nu^{(k-1,r-1)}|_{t_k}, b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_{m_k}^{(k)})$ for all $1 \leq k \leq j$.

II. If $(n_j + (r - 1)) \leq n < (n_j + (r - 1)) + t_{j+1}$, then

$$q_n(x) \leq q_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_{j+1}}(x) \leq \prod_{k=1}^j (q_{l_k}(z_k) \cdot e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{1+\epsilon}.$$

III. When $(n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) + t_j \leq n \leq n_j - 1$, represent $n - (n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) - t_j$ by l , then

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(x) & \leq 2q_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j}(x) \cdot q_l(b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_l^{(j)}) \\ & \leq \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_{l_k}(z_k)e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{1+\epsilon} \cdot q_l(b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_l^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the lengths of fundamental cylinders for different cases (4.7)–(4.11) defined above.

I. If $(n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) \leq n \leq (n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) + t_j$, then from (2.2), (4.11) and (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n(x)| & = |I_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2q_{(n_{j-1}+(r-1))+t_j}^2(x)} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_{l_k}(z_k) \cdot e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{-2(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

II. If $(n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) + t_j < n < n_j - 1$ and $l = n - (n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) - t_j - 1$, then from (2.2) and (4.7),

$$|J_n(x)| \geq \frac{1}{6q_n^2(x)} \geq \frac{1}{6} \prod_{k=1}^j (q_{l_k}(z_k) \cdot e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{-2(1+\epsilon)} \cdot q_l^{-2}(b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_l^{(j)}).$$

III. If $n = n_j - 1$, then following the similar steps as for **I** and using (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{n_j-1}(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{6\nu_{n_j}(x)q_{n_j-1}^2(x)} \geq \frac{1}{6\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}q_{n_j-1}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{24\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}q_{l_j}^2(x)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_{l_k}(z_k) \cdot e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{-2(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

IV. If $n = n_j + i - 1$ where $1 \leq i \leq r - 2$ then from (4.9) and following the similar steps as for **I**,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{n_j+i-1}(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{6\nu_{n_j+i}(x)q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x)} \geq \frac{1}{6\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6 \cdot 4^i \gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} (\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j-1}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6 \cdot 4^i \gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} (\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_{l_k}(z_k) e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{-2(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

V. If $n = n_j + (r - 2)$, then from (4.10)

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{n_j+(r-2)}(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{6\nu_{n_j+(r-1)}(x)q_{n_j+(r-2)}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6 \cdot 4^{r-1} (e\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j-1}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6 \cdot 4^r (e\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (q_{l_k}(z_k) e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)})^{-2(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.2. Supporting measure.

We will define a probability measure supported on the set \mathcal{E}_∞ .

Define $s_j := s_{(t_j, m_j), M}^{(r)}$ to be the solution of

$$\sum_{\substack{a_1 = \nu_1^{(j-1, r-1)}, \dots, a_{t_j} = \nu_{t_j}^{(j-1, r-1)} \\ 1 \leq b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)} \leq M}} \frac{1}{e^{g_r(s)\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^{2s}(z_j)} = 1$$

where $z_j \in I_{l_j}(\nu^{(j-1, r-1)}|_{t_j}, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)})$ and the sequences $\{t_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and $\{m_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are defined previously.

Consequently from (4.4),

$$\sum_{\substack{a_1=\nu_1^{(j-1,r-1)}, \dots, a_{t_j}=\nu_{t_j}^{(j-1,r-1)} \\ 1 \leq b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)} \leq M}} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^s = 1, \quad (4.13)$$

where $z_j \in I_{l_j}(\nu^{(j-1,r-1)}|_{t_j}, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)})$.

Equality (4.13) induces a measure μ on basic cylinder of order $t_j + m_j$ if we consider

$$\mu(I_{n_j+t_j}(a_1, \dots, a_{t_j}, b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)})) = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_j},$$

for each $a_1 = \nu_1^{(j-1,r-1)}, \dots, a_{t_j} = \nu_{t_j}^{(j-1,r-1)}, 1 \leq b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_{m_j}^{(j)} \leq M$.

We will start by assuming that the measure of $I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$ has been defined as

$$\mu(I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(x)) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_k} \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)}} \right),$$

where $z_k \in I_{l_k}(\nu^{(k-1,r-1)}|_{t_k}, b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_{m_k}^{(k)})$ for all $1 \leq k \leq j-1$.

Case 1: $n_{j-1} + (r-1) < n \leq n_{j-1} + (r-1) + t_j$. As the basic cylinder of order $n_{j-1} + (r-1)$ contains only one sub-cylinder of order n with a nonempty intersection with \mathcal{E}_∞ , therefore

$$\mu(I_n(x)) = \mu(I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(x)).$$

Case 2: $n = n_j - 1$. Let

$$\mu(I_{n_j-1}(x)) = \mu(I_{n_{j-1}+(r-1)}(x)) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_j}.$$

Next we uniformly distribute the measure of $I_{n_{j-1}}(x)$ on its sub-cylinders.

Case 3: $n = n_j + i - 1$, where $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(I_{n_j+i-1}(x)) &= \mu(I_{n_j+i-2}(x)) \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_{i-1}^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\ &= \mu(I_{n_{j-1}}(x)) \cdot \frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4: $n = n_j + (r-1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(I_{n_j+r-1}(x)) &= \left(\frac{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}{e} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \mu(I_{n_j+(r-2)}(x)) \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}{e} \right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\ &\quad \cdot \mu(I_{n_j+(r-2)}(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \mu(I_{n_{j-1}}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The measure of other basic cylinders of order less than $n_j - 1$ is followed by the consistency property that a measure should satisfy.

For any $n_{j-1} + (r-1) + t_j < n \leq n_j - 1$, let

$$\mu(I_n(x)) = \sum_{I_{n_j-1}(x) \subset I_n(x)} \mu(I_{n_j-1}(x)).$$

4.2.3. The Hölder exponent of the measure μ .

In this part we will compare the measure of fundamental cylinders with their lengths.

Case 1: $n = n_j - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(J_{n_j-1}(x)) &= \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_k} \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_j} \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{s_k \tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^{2s_k}(z_k)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon} \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2s_k \tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^{2s_k}(z_k)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq 24 |J_{n_j-1}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 3.4, we have $|s_j - s_M^{(r)}| \leq 3\epsilon$ which further implies that $s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon \leq s_j$. In (4.14) we have used the fact that since $1 \leq \gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2} \leq e$ and $\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \geq \left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}} \right)^s$ for any $0 < s < 1$, we have $e\gamma_0^s \geq e^{2s}$. Therefore it is also true for s_k .

Case 2: $n = n_j + i - 1$, where $1 \leq i \leq r - 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(J_{n_j+i-1}(x)) &= \mu(I_{n_j-1}(x)) \cdot \frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \cdot \frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(\gamma_0(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^2 \gamma_i)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \quad (4.15) \\
& \leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
& \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
& \leq 6.4^{i+1} |J_{n_j+i-1}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
& \leq 6.4^{r-3} |J_{n_j+i-1}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.15) is obtained by using the fact that $\frac{1}{\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0 (\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^2 \gamma_i} \right)^s$ for any $0 < s < 1$.

Case 3: $n = n_j + r - 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(J_{n_j+r-2}(x)) &= \mu(J_{n_j-1}(x)) \cdot \frac{1}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\
&\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(e\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \right)^{s_j} \\
&\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(e\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\leq 6.4^r |J_{n_j+r-2}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4: $n_j + (r - 1) \leq n \leq n_j + t_{j+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(J_n(x)) &= \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_k} \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)}} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{l_j}^2(z_j)} \right)^{s_j} \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^j \left(\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_0^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_k} \frac{1}{e^{\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \prod_{k=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{e_0^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_k} \\
&\leq \prod_{k=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{e_0^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon} \\
&\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{e_0^{2\tau(z_k)S_{l_k}h(z_k)} q_{l_k}^2(z_k)} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \leq 2|J_n(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.4. Gap estimation.

Let $x \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$. In this section we estimate the gap between $J_n(x)$ and its adjacent fundamental cylinder $J_n(x')$ of the same order n . Assume that $a_i(x) = a_i(x')$ for all $1 \leq i < n$. These gaps are helpful for estimating the measure on general balls. Also as $J_n(x)$ and $J_n(x')$ are adjacent, we have $|a_n(x) - a_n(x')| = 1$.

Let the left and the right gap between $J_n(x)$ and its adjacent fundamental cylinder at each side be represented by $g_n^L(x)$ and $g_n^R(x)$ respectively.

Denote by $g_n^{L,R}(x)$ the minimum distance between $J_n(x)$ and its adjacent cylinder of the same order n , that is,

$$g_n^{L,R}(x) = \min\{g_n^L(x), g_n^R(x)\}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that n is even and estimate $g_n^R(x)$ only, since if n is odd then for $g_n^L(x)$ we can carry out the estimation in almost the same way.

Gap I. When $(n_{j-1} + (r-1)) + t_j < n < n_j - 1$ for all $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n^R(x) &\geq \sum_{a_{n+1} > M} |I_{n+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + 1, a_{n+1})| \\
&= \frac{(M+1)(p_n + p_{n-1}) + p_{n-1}}{(M+1)(q_n + q_{n-1}) + q_{n-1}} - \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{((M+1)(q_n + q_{n-1}) + q_{n-1})(q_n + q_{n-1})} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{3Mq_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{3M}|I_n(x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Gap II. When $n = n_j + i - 1$ where $0 \leq i \leq r - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n^R(x) &\geq \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} - \frac{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} p_n + p_{n-1}}{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_n + q_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} - 1}{\left(\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_n + q_{n-1}\right)(q_n + q_{n-1})} \geq \frac{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} - 1}{4\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_n^2} \\
&\geq \frac{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{8\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{8}|I_n(x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Gap III. When $n = n_j + r - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^R(x) &\geq \frac{\left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} - 1}{\left(\left(\frac{e}{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2}}\right)^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_n + q_{n-1}\right) (q_n + q_{n-1})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8q_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{8}|I_n(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Gap IV. If $(n_j + (r - 1)) \leq n \leq (n_j + (r - 1)) + t_{j+1}$ then note that $J_n(x)$ is a small part of $I_{n_j+(r-1)}(x)$ as $I_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_{j+1}}(x) \subset I_{(n_j+(r-1))+2}(x)$. Therefore, the right gap is larger than the distance between the right endpoints of $J_n(x)$ and that of $I_{n_j+(r-1)}(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^R(x) &\geq |I_{(n_j+(r-1))+2}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2q_{(n_j+(r-1))+2}^2(x)} \geq \frac{1}{32a_1^2 a_2^2 q_{n_j+(r-1)}^2(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{32a_1^2 a_2^2 q_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_j}^2(x)} \geq \frac{1}{32a_1^2 a_2^2} |I_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_j}(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{32a_1^2 a_2^2} |J_{(n_j+(r-1))+t_j}(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where a_1 represents $a_{(n_j+(r-1))+1}(x)$ and a_2 represents $a_{(n_j+(r-1))+2}(x)$.

4.2.5. The measure μ on general ball $B(x, d)$.

We now estimate the measure μ on any ball $B(x, d)$ with radius d and centred at x . Fix $x \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$. There exists a unique sequence $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots)$ such that for each $n \geq 1$, $x \in J_n(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ where $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $g_{n+1}^R(x) \leq d < g_n^R(x)$. Clearly, $B(x, d)$ can intersect only one fundamental cylinder of order n , i.e., $J_n(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$.

Case I: $(n_{j-1} + (r - 1)) + t_j < n < n_j - 1$, for all $j \geq 1$ or $n = n_j + t_{j+1}$. Since in this case $1 \leq a_n(x) \leq M$ and $|J_n(x)| \leq 1/q_n^2$ thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B(x, d)) &\leq \mu(J_n(x)) \leq c|J_n(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{q_n^2}\right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq c4M^2 \left(\frac{1}{q_{n+1}^2}\right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq c8M^2 |I_{n+1}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq c48M^3 (g_{n+1}^R(x))^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq cc_0^3 d^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II: $n = n_j + i - 1$ where $0 \leq i \leq r - 2$. Since

$$\frac{1}{8\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x)} \leq |I_{n_j+i}(x)| \leq 8g_{n_j+i}^R(x) \leq 8d$$

implies

$$1 \leq 64d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x),$$

the number of fundamental cylinders of order $n_j + i$ contained in $J_{n_j+i-1}(x)$ that the ball $B(x, d)$ intersects is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2d}{|I_{n_j+i}(x)|} + 2 &\leq 16d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x) + 2^7 d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} \\ &= c_0 d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(B(x, d)) \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \mu(J_{n_j+i-1}(x)), c_0 d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x) \mu(J_{n_j+i}(x)) \right\} \\ &\leq \mu(J_{n_j+i-1}(x)) \min \left\{ 1, c_0 d\gamma_i^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x) \frac{1}{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \right\} \\ &\leq 6 \cdot 4^{i+1} |J_{n_j+i-1}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \min \left\{ 1, c_0 d\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x) \right\} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x)} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \left(c_0 d\gamma_i^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+i-1}^2(x) \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq cc_0 d^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\min\{a, b\} \leq a^{1-s}b^s$ for any $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

Case III: $n = n_j + r - 2$. As

$$\frac{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{8e^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+r-2}^2(x)} \leq |I_{n_j+r-1}(x)| \leq 8g_{n_j+r-1}^R(x) \leq 8d,$$

the number of fundamental cylinders of order $n_j + r - 1$ contained in cylinder $J_{n_j+r-2}(x)$ that the ball $B(x, d)$ intersects is at most

$$\frac{2d}{|I_{n_j+r-1}(x)|} + 2 \leq c_0 d \frac{e^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} q_{n_j+r-1}^2(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(B(x, d)) \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \mu(J_{n_j+r-2}(x)), c_0 d \frac{e^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} q_{n_j+r-2}^2(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mu(J_{n_j+r-1}(x)) \right\} \\ &\leq \mu(J_{n_j+r-2}(x)) \\ &\quad \min \left\{ 1, c_0 d \frac{e^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{2\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} q_{n_j+r-2}^2(x) \frac{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} \right\} \\ &\leq 6 \cdot 4^r |J_{n_j+r-2}(x)|^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \min \left\{ 1, c_0 d \frac{e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}} q_{n_j+r-2}^2(x) \right\} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)}}{e^{\tau(z_j)S_{l_j}h(z_j)} q_{n_j+r-2}^2} \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)}-3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left(c_0 d \frac{e^{\tau(z_j) S_{l_j} h(z_j)}}{(\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{r-2})^{\tau(z_j) S_{l_j} h(z_j)}} q_{n_j+r-2}^2(x) \right)^{\frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \\ & \leq c c_0 d \frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

By combing all the above cases and using the mass distribution principle, we conclude that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{E}_\infty \geq s_0 = \frac{s_M^{(r)} - 3\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have $s_0 \rightarrow s_M^{(r)}$. Further letting $M \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{R}_r(\tau) \geq s_{\mathbb{N}}^{(r)}.$$

This completes the proof for the lower bound of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Prof. Brian A. Davey and Dr. Mumtaz Hussain for useful comments and discussion on this problem. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for meticulous reading of the paper and for making many helpful suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bakhtawar, P. Bos and M. Hussain, ‘The sets of Dirichlet non-improvable numbers versus well-approximable numbers’, *Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems*, to appear. Published online (27 June 2019).
- [2] A. Bakhtawar, P. Bos and M. Hussain, ‘Hausdorff dimension of an exceptional set in the theory of continued fractions’, *Nonlinearity*, 33(6) (2020), 2615–2640.
- [3] J. Barral and S. Seuret, ‘A localized Jarník–Besicovitch theorem’, *Adv. Math.*, 226(4) (2011), 3191–3215.
- [4] A. S. Besicovitch, ‘Sets of Fractional Dimensions (IV): On Rational Approximation to Real Numbers’, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 9(2) (1934), 126–131.
- [5] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, 3rd edn, (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014).
- [6] P. Hanus, R. D. Mauldin, and M. Urbański, ‘Thermodynamic formalism and multifractal analysis of conformal infinite iterated function systems’, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96(1-2) (2002), 27–98.
- [7] L. Huang, J. Wu, and J. Xu, ‘Metric properties of the product of consecutive partial quotients in continued fractions’, *Israel J. Math.*, 238 (2020), 901–943.
- [8] M. Hussain, D. Kleinbock, N. Wadleigh, and B.-W. Wang, ‘Hausdorff measure of sets of Dirichlet non-improvable numbers’, *Mathematika*, 64(2) (2018), 502–518.
- [9] V. Jarník, ‘Über die simultanen diophantischen approximationen’, *Math. Z.*, 33 (1931), 505–543.
- [10] A. Y. Khintchine, *Continued fractions*, (P. Noordhoff, Ltd., Groningen, 1963), translated by Peter Wynn.
- [11] T. Kim and W. Kim, ‘Hausdorff measure of sets of Dirichlet non-improvable affine forms’, Preprint, 2020, arXiv:2006.05727v2 [math.DS].
- [12] D. Kleinbock and N. Wadleigh, ‘A zero-one law for improvements to Dirichlet’s theorem’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(5) (2018), 1833–1844.
- [13] D. Kleinbock and N. Wadleigh, ‘An inhomogeneous Dirichlet theorem via shrinking targets’, *Compos. Math.*, 155(7) (2019), 1402–1423.
- [14] S. Kristensen, ‘Metric Diophantine approximation—from continued fractions to fractals’, in *Diophantine Analysis: Course Notes from a Summer School* (eds. J. Steuding), (Birkhäuser, Cham, 2016), Ch. 2, 61–127.
- [15] B. Li, B.-W. Wang, J. Wu, and J. Xu, ‘The shrinking target problem in the dynamical system of continued fractions’, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 108(1) (2014), 159–186.
- [16] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, ‘Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systems’, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 73(1) (1996), 105–154.
- [17] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, ‘Conformal iterated function systems with applications to the geometry of continued fractions’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(12) (1999), 4995–5025.
- [18] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, *Graph Directed Markov Systems: Geometry and Dynamics of Limit Sets*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 148 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003).
- [19] P. Walters. *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79 (Springer, New York, USA, 1982).

- [20] B.-W. Wang and J. Wu, 'Hausdorff dimension of certain sets arising in continued fraction expansions', *Adv. Math.*, 218(5) (2008), 1319–1339.
- [21] B.-W. Wang, J. Wu, and J. Xu, 'A generalization of the Jarník–Besicovitch theorem by continued fractions', *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 36(4) (2016), 1278–1306.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LA TROBE UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 199, BENDIGO 3552, AUSTRALIA.

Email address: A Bakhtawar: a.bakhtawar@latrobe.edu.au