

UNIVERSALITY OF PERSISTENCE DIAGRAMS AND THE BOTTLENECK AND WASSERSTEIN DISTANCES

PETER BUBENIK AND ALEX ELCHESEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that persistence diagrams with the p -Wasserstein distance form the universal p -subadditive commutative monoid on an underlying metric space with a distinguished subset. This result applies to persistence diagrams, barcodes, and to multiparameter persistence modules. In addition, the 1-Wasserstein distance satisfies Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality.

1. INTRODUCTION

In computational settings persistent homology produces a persistence module that is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of interval modules. The barcode and persistence diagram summarize this collection of intervals [CZCG04b, CSEH07]. Distances between these summaries include the barcode metric based on the dissimilarity distance between intervals [CZCG04b, CZCG04a] and the bottleneck and Wasserstein distances for persistence diagrams based on the supremum-norm distance in the plane [CSEH07, CSEHM10]. More generally, one may have persistence modules indexed by some poset that are isomorphic to finite direct sums of indecomposable modules belonging to some set. Examples include two-parameter persistence modules isomorphic to a finite direct sum of block modules [BL18, CO20] and multi-parameter persistence modules isomorphic to a finite direct sum of rectangle modules [Bje16].

We study distances in a setting that includes all of these examples. We start with a metric pair (X, d, A) (Definition 3.1). For persistence diagrams, the relevant metric pair is $(\mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2, d, \Delta)$ or $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\leq}^2, d, \Delta)$, where d is the metric obtained from one of the q -norms on \mathbb{R}^2 (Example 3.2), and for barcodes it is $(\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}), d, \emptyset)$, where $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of intervals in \mathbb{R} and d is either the length of the symmetric difference or the Hausdorff distance (Example 3.4). Given such a metric pair, we construct a free commutative monoid $(D(X, A), +, 0)$ of *persistence diagrams* on (X, A) (Definition 2.2) together with a family of *Wasserstein distances* W_p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ (Definition 4.12). For persistence diagrams and barcodes we recover the metrics mentioned above (Example 4.15).

Our constructions are universal and satisfy the following universal properties.

Proposition 1.1. *Given a metric pair (X, d, A) , $(D(X, A), W_p, +, 0)$ is a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid (Definition 4.1) and the canonical inclusion $i : (X, d, A) \rightarrow (D(X, A), W_p, 0)$ is 1-Lipschitz.*

Theorem 1.2. *Given a metric pair (X, d, A) , the p -Wasserstein distance W_p is the largest p -subadditive metric ρ on $D(X, A)$ compatible with d .*

For a more precise statement of this result see Theorem 5.1 and Definition 3.12. The theorem above is a direct consequence of the following universal property.

Theorem 1.3. *Given a metric pair (X, d, A) , $(D(X, A), W_p, +, 0)$ is the universal p -subadditive commutative metric monoid obtained from (X, d, A) . That is, given any p -subadditive commutative metric monoid*

$(\mathbb{N}, \rho, +, 0)$ and 1-Lipschitz map $\varphi : (X, d, A) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \rho, 0)$, there is a unique 1-Lipschitz monoid homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : (D(X, A), W_p, +, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \rho, +, 0)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}i = \varphi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (X, d, A) & \xrightarrow{i} & (D(X, A), W_p, 0) & & (X, d, A) & \xrightarrow{i} & (D(X, A), W_p, 0) \\
 & \searrow \varphi & & \downarrow \exists! \tilde{\varphi} & & \searrow \varphi & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\
 & & (\mathbb{N}, \rho, 0) & & & & (\mathbb{N}, \rho, 0)
 \end{array}$$

We now restate this universal property more concisely.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ denote the category of metric pairs and 1-Lipschitz maps and let $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ denote the category of p -subadditive commutative metric monoids and 1-Lipschitz monoid homomorphisms (Definition 4.1). The forgetful functor $\bar{U} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ has a left adjoint \bar{D}_p such that $\bar{D}_p(X, A) = (D(X, A), W_p, +, 0)$.

The following related result is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.5. For each $p \in [1, \infty]$ there is a symmetric monoidal category \mathbf{Met}_*^p (Definitions 3.10 and 4.5 and Corollary 4.10) for which $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ is the category of commutative monoids internal to \mathbf{Met}_*^p .

As a corollary to these results obtain Converse Stability Theorems (Section 5.2). When $p = 1$, the Wasserstein distance satisfies Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality (Section 5.3).

1.1. Related work. Several other metrics arising in applied topology have been shown to satisfy universal properties. Lesnick proved a universality result for the interleaving distance [Les15] and Lesnick and Blumberg introduced the homotopy interleaving distance and proved a universality result for it [BL17]. Bauer, Landi, and Mémoli proved a universality result for the Reeb graph edit distance [BLM20]. Also compare our results with the earlier work of d’Amico, Frosini, and Landi [dFL10]. A notion of Wasserstein distance between generalized persistence modules was recently developed by Bubenik, Scott, and Stanley for which a universality result analogous to ours was proven [BSS18].

The Wasserstein distances between persistence diagrams has been studied extensively by Divol and Lacombe [DL21]. There they relate the Wasserstein distance between persistence diagrams to the classical Wasserstein distance on probability measures. Among other things, this allows for a version of Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality to be recovered for persistence diagrams.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Metric spaces. In order to include various distances arising in persistent homology, we will use a less restrictive notion of metric space than is standard. Such relaxed metrics are referred to as extended pseudometrics in the literature, but we will refer to them as metrics for brevity.

Definition 2.1. A *metric space* consists of (X, d) where X is a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a function satisfying $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ (point triviality), $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (symmetry), and $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (triangle inequality). Given metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) a *metric map* is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_X(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Given a set X , a metric space (Y, d) , and a function $g : X \rightarrow Y$, the *pullback of d along g* , denoted g^*d , is the metric on X defined by $g^*d(x, x') := d(g(x), g(x'))$.

2.2. Monoids. A *commutative monoid* $M = (M, +, 0)$ is a set M together with an associative commutative binary operation $+$: $M \times M \rightarrow M$ for which there exists an element $0 \in M$ satisfying $m + 0 = m$ for all $m \in M$, called the *identity* element. A *monoid homomorphism* between commutative monoids $M = (M, +_M, 0_M)$ and $N = (N, +_N, 0_N)$ is a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(a +_M b) = f(a) +_N f(b)$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $f(0_M) = 0_N$. A subset $P \subset M$ is a *submonoid* if it contains 0 and $+$ restricts to a binary operation on P .

Given a set X , the *free commutative monoid on X* , denoted $D(X)$, is the set of all *formal sums* of elements of X , with the monoid operations being given by addition of formal sums. That is, $D(X)$ is the set of all functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with finite support and with the monoid operation given by the pointwise addition of functions. Formal sums are also called finite multisets. For $x \in X$, let $1_x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be given by $1_x(x) = 1$ and $1_x(y) = 0$ for all other $y \in X$. As is customary, we denote 1_x by x . With this convention, we may write any formal sum $\alpha \in D(X)$ as $\alpha = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$, where $n \geq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. We define the *canonical inclusion* $\iota : X \rightarrow D(X)$ by $\iota(x) = x$.

An equivalence relation \sim on a commutative monoid M is called a *congruence* if $a \sim b$ and $c \sim d$ implies $a + c \sim b + d$. If \sim is a congruence then there is a well-defined commutative monoid structure on the set of equivalence classes M/\sim given by $[a] + [b] := [a + b]$. Let M be a commutative monoid and $P \subseteq M$ any submonoid. Define a relation \sim on M by

$$a \sim b \iff \exists x, y \in P \text{ such that } a + x = b + y.$$

Then \sim is a congruence and we denote the commutative monoid M/\sim by M/P and refer to it as the *quotient of M by P* .

A *set pair* is a pair (X, A) where X is a set and A is a nonempty subset of X . A map of pairs $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(A) \subset B$. A *pointed set* is a pair $(X, \{x_0\})$, which is denoted (X, x_0) . Given pointed sets (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) , a *pointed function* $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Definition 2.2. Given a pair (X, A) , let $D(X, A)$ denote the quotient monoid $D(X)/D(A)$. We call $D(X, A)$ the commutative monoid of *persistence diagrams* on (X, A) . Note that $D(X)/D(A) \cong D(X \setminus A)$. Given a map of pairs $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, there is an induced monoid homomorphism $f_* : D(X, A) \rightarrow D(Y, B)$ given by $f_*(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n)$. Note that this also defines a pointed function $f_* : (D(X, A), 0) \rightarrow (D(Y, B), 0)$.

2.3. p -norms. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $p < \infty$, the *p -norm of \mathbf{x}* is defined by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p)^{1/p}$ and for $p = \infty$, it is defined by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |x_k|$. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, $\mathbf{y} = (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n})$, and $\mathbf{z} = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+n})$, $\|(\|\mathbf{x}\|_p, \|\mathbf{y}\|_p)\|_p = \|\mathbf{z}\|_p$. By the *ℓ^p -distance on \mathbb{R}^n* we mean the metric induced by the p -norm, i.e., $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_p$. The fact that each $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm relies on the Minkowski inequality: for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p + \|\mathbf{y}\|_p$. The p -norms are related as follows, which shows in particular that the p -norms are decreasing in p : for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ we have $\|\mathbf{x}\|_q \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_q$, and these inequalities are attained. Here we adopt the convention $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of extended real numbers $[-\infty, +\infty]$. The ℓ^p -distance on \mathbb{R}^n extends to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$, with the understanding that it may take a value of ∞ .

2.4. Universal properties and adjunctions. Consider a functor $U : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ between categories \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{C} and let $X \in \mathbf{C}$. An object $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ satisfies a *universal property with universal element* $i : X \rightarrow UY \in \mathbf{C}$ if for every object $Z \in \mathbf{D}$ and morphism $f : X \rightarrow UZ$ in \mathbf{C} there is a unique morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{D} such that $Ug \circ i = f$.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & UY \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow U \\ & & UZ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \vdots \exists! g \\ \downarrow \\ Z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & UY \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow U \\ & & UZ \end{array}$$

For example, consider the forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{CMon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ from the category of commutative monoids to the category of sets and let $X \in \mathbf{Set}$. Then the free commutative monoid $D(X) \in \mathbf{CMon}$ satisfies a universal property with universal element the canonical inclusion $i : X \rightarrow UD(X) \in \mathbf{Set}$.

A more succinct way of stating (2.1) is that (Y, i) is the initial object of the category $X \downarrow \mathbf{C}$. Furthermore, $X \downarrow \mathbf{C}$ is the category of elements of the functor $\mathbf{C}(X, U-) : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. Another way

of stating (2.1) is that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{U}-) \cong \mathbf{D}(Y, -)$ defined by $i \in \mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{U}Y)$. That is, $\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{U}-)$ is represented by Y via i .

Lemma 2.3 ([Rie17, Lemma 4.6.1]). *A functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ admits a left adjoint if and only if for each object X in \mathbf{C} the category $X \downarrow \mathbf{D}$ has an initial object.*

If there exists a left adjoint F , then the initial object is (FX, η_X) , where $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}FX$ is a component of the unit. In the other direction, for each object X in \mathbf{C} , write the initial object as (FX, η_X) , where $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}FX$. Then this defines a function F from the objects of \mathbf{C} to the objects of \mathbf{D} . This extends to a functor F by sending morphisms $h : X \rightarrow W$ to the unique map $Fh : FX \rightarrow FW$ in \mathbf{D} in (2.1) obtained from $i = \eta_X$ and $f = \eta_W \circ h$.

For example, the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathbf{CMon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a left adjoint $D : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}$, the free commutative monoid functor.

A special case of (2.1) has $\mathcal{U} : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ being the inclusion of a full subcategory.

Definition 2.4. *A reflective subcategory of a category \mathbf{C} is a full subcategory \mathbf{D} of \mathbf{C} such that the inclusion $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has a left adjoint.*

3. METRIC PAIRS AND POINTED METRIC SPACES

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ denote the category whose objects are of the form (X, d, A) , where (X, d) is a metric space with A a nonempty subset of X and whose morphisms $f : (X, d, A) \rightarrow (Y, d', B)$ are metric maps $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, d')$ such that $f(A) \subset B$. (X, d, A) is called a *metric pair*.

Example 3.2. Consider the metric space (\mathbb{R}^2, d) where d is the metric induced by the q -norm, where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq y\}$ and similarly define subsets \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^2 and $\mathbb{R}_{=}^2$ where the latter is also denoted Δ . Then we have metric pairs $(\mathbb{R}^2, d, \mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2)$ and $(\mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2, d, \Delta)$. Similarly, we have the metric pair $(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2}, d, \Delta)$.

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbf{Met}_* denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ whose objects are of the form $(X, d, \{x_0\})$, which we denote (X, d, x_0) . We call x_0 the *basepoint* and call (X, d, x_0) a *pointed metric space*. A morphism $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0)$ is called a *pointed metric map*.

Example 3.4. Let $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of intervals in \mathbb{R} with $d(I, J)$ equal to the length (i.e. Lebesgue measure) of the symmetric difference $(I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)$. Then $(\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}), d, \emptyset)$ is a pointed metric space. We may also equip $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R})$ with the Hausdorff distance d_H to obtain the pointed metric space $(\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}), d_H, \emptyset)$.

Definition 3.5. Given a metric pair (X, d, A) consider the quotient set $X/A = (X \setminus A) \amalg \{A\}$. Let $\bar{d} : X/A \times X/A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the induced metric. That is, $\bar{d}(A, A) = 0$, for $x \in X \setminus A$, $\bar{d}(x, A) = \bar{d}(A, x) = d(x, A)$, where $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$, and for $x, y \in X \setminus A$, $\bar{d}(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), d(x, A) + d(y, A))$. Given a morphism $f : (X, d_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y, B)$, let $\bar{f} : X/A \rightarrow Y/B$ be the induced map. That is, $\bar{f}(A) = B$, and for $x \in X \setminus A$, $\bar{f}(x) = B$ if $f(x) \in B$ and otherwise $\bar{f}(x) = f(x)$.

Lemma 3.6. *If (X, d, A) is a metric pair then $(X/A, \bar{d}, A)$ is a pointed metric space.*

Proof. We need to show that \bar{d} is a metric. Point triviality and symmetry follow from the definition. It remains to prove the triangle inequality. There are three nontrivial cases. For the first case, let $x, z \in X \setminus A$. Then $\bar{d}(x, A) = d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \leq \inf_{y \in A} \{d(x, z) + d(z, y)\} = d(x, z) + d(z, A) = d(x, z) + \bar{d}(z, A)$ and $d(x, A) \leq d(x, A) + d(z, A) + \bar{d}(z, A)$. Thus $\bar{d}(x, A) \leq \bar{d}(x, z) + \bar{d}(z, A)$.

For the second case, let $x, y \in X \setminus A$. Then $\bar{d}(x, y) = \min(d(x, y), d(x, A) + d(A, y)) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, y) = \bar{d}(x, A) + \bar{d}(A, y)$.

For the third case, let $x, y, z \in X \setminus A$. We want to show that $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq \bar{d}(x, z) + \bar{d}(z, y) = \min(d(x, z), d(x, A) + d(A, z)) + \min(d(z, y), d(z, A) + d(A, y))$. The right hand side four possible values. First, $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$. Second, $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, A) + d(A, y)$. Third, $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, y) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, z) + d(z, y)$. Fourth, $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, y) \leq d(x, A) + d(A, z) + d(z, A) + d(A, y)$. Therefore $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq \bar{d}(x, z) + \bar{d}(z, y)$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Given a morphism $f : (X, d_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y, B)$ of metric pairs, the induced map $\bar{f} : (X/A, \bar{d}_X, A) \rightarrow (Y/B, \bar{d}_Y, B)$ is a pointed metric map.*

Proof. We will prove that \bar{f} is a metric map. Let $x \in X \setminus A$. First we show that $d_Y(f(x), B) \leq d_X(x, A)$. Indeed, $d_Y(f(x), B) = \inf_{y \in B} d_Y(f(x), y) \leq \inf_{x' \in A} d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \inf_{x' \in A} d_X(x, x') = d_X(x, A)$. Then $\bar{d}_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(A)) = \bar{d}_Y(f(x), B) = d_Y(f(x), B) \leq d_X(x, A) = \bar{d}_X(x, A)$. Next let $x, x' \in X \setminus A$. Then $\bar{d}_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) = \bar{d}_Y(f(x), f(x')) = \min(d_Y(f(x), f(x')), d_Y(f(x), B) + d_Y(B, f(x')))) \leq \min(d_X(x, x'), d_X(x, A) + d_X(A, x')) = \bar{d}_X(x, x')$. \square

Definition 3.8. Let $Q : \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*$ be the functor that sends a metric pair (X, d, A) to the pointed metric space $(X/A, \bar{d}, A)$ and that sends $f : (X, d_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y, B)$ to $\bar{f} : (X/A, \bar{d}_X, A) \rightarrow (Y/B, \bar{d}_Y, B)$.

Theorem 3.9. *\mathbf{Met}_* is a reflective subcategory of $\mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ with left adjoint Q .*

Proof. Let $(X, d_X, A) \in \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ and $f : (X, d_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y, y_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ where $y_0 \in Y$.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, d_X, A) & \xrightarrow{r} & (X/A, \bar{d}_X, A) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \bar{f} \\ & & (Y, d_Y, y_0) \end{array}$$

Define the reflector $r : (X, d_X, A) \rightarrow (X/A, \bar{d}_X, A)$ to be given by $r(x) = A$ if $x \in A$ and otherwise $r(x) = x$. Let us show that r is a metric map. Let $x, x' \in X$. If $x, x' \notin A$ then $\bar{d}_X(rx, rx') = \bar{d}_X(x, x') = \min(d_X(x, x'), d_X(x, A) + d_X(A, x')) \leq d_X(x, x')$. If $x \notin A$ and $x' \in A$ then $\bar{d}_X(rx, rx') = \bar{d}_X(x, A) = d_X(x, A) \leq d_X(x, x')$.

By the commutativity of (3.1), we are forced to define $\bar{f}(A) = y_0$ and $\bar{f}(x) = f(x)$ if $x \notin A$. It remains to show that \bar{f} is a metric map. If $x \in X \setminus A$ then $d_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(A)) = d_Y(f(x), y_0) = d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_X(x, x')$ for all $x' \in A$. Thus $d_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(A)) \leq d_X(x, A) = \bar{d}_X(x, A)$. If $x, x' \in X \setminus A$ then $d_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) = d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_X(x, x')$. Furthermore $d_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) = d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_Y(f(x), y_0) + d_Y(y_0, f(x')) \leq d_X(x, A) + d_X(A, x')$. Therefore $d_Y(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) \leq \bar{d}_X(x, x')$. \square

Definition 3.10. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $p \in [1, \infty]$. We say that the metric d satisfies the p -strengthened triangle inequality with respect to x_0 if $d(x, y) \leq \| (d(x, x_0), d(x_0, y)) \|_p$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let \mathbf{Met}_*^p denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Met}_* consisting of those objects (X, d, x_0) for which (X, d) satisfies the p -strengthened triangle inequality with respect to x_0 .

Note that the 1-strengthened triangle inequality is just the triangle inequality. So $\mathbf{Met}_*^1 = \mathbf{Met}_*$. Also, for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, \mathbf{Met}_*^q is a full subcategory of \mathbf{Met}_*^p .

Example 3.11. Let $*$ denote the singleton set. Also let $*$ denote the pointed metric space $(*, 0, *)$. Then for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $*$ $\in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. In fact, it is the initial and terminal object in \mathbf{Met}_*^p .

Definition 3.12. Let (X, d, A) be a metric pair and let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Define $d_p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$d_p(x, y) := \min(d(x, y), \| (d(x, A), d(A, y)) \|_p).$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If (X, d, A) is a metric pair then so is (X, d_p, A) .*

Proof. Point triviality and symmetry follow from the definition. To show the triangle inequality, let $x, y, z \in X$. We want to show that

$$\min(d(x, y), \|(d(x, A), d(A, y))\|_p) \leq \min(d(x, z), \|(d(x, A), d(A, z))\|_p) + \min(d(z, y), \|(d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p).$$

The right hand side has four possible values. First, $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$. Second,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d(x, A), d(A, y))\|_p &\leq \|(d(x, z) + d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p \\ &= \|(d(x, z), 0) + (d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p \leq d(x, z) + \|(d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Third,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d(x, A), d(A, y))\|_p &\leq \|(d(x, A), d(A, z) + d(z, y))\|_p \\ &= \|(d(x, A), d(A, z)) + (0, d(z, y))\|_p \leq \|(d(x, A), d(A, z))\|_p + d(z, y). \end{aligned}$$

Fourth,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d(x, A), d(A, y))\|_p &\leq \|(d(x, A), d(A, z), d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p \\ &= \left\| \left(\|(d(x, A), d(A, z))\|_p, \|(d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p \right) \right\|_p \\ &\leq \|(d(x, A), d(A, z))\|_p + \|(d(z, A), d(A, y))\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from these inequalities. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0)$ is a pointed metric map, then so is $f : (X, d_p, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d'_p, y_0)$.*

Proof. Let $x, x' \in X$. Then $d'_p(f(x), f(x')) = \min(d'(f(x), f(x')), \|(d'(f(x), y_0), d'(y_0, f(x')))\|_p) = \min(d'(f(x), f(x')), \|(d'(f(x), f(x_0)), d'(f(x_0), f(x')))\|_p) \leq \min(d(x, x'), \|(d(x, x_0), d(x_0, x'))\|_p) = d_p(x, x')$. \square

Definition 3.15. Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Let $S_{p,q} : \mathbf{Met}_*^p \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*^q$ be the functor that sends (X, d, x_0) to (X, d_q, x_0) and $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0)$ to $f : (X, d_q, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d'_q, y_0)$. We will also denote $S_{1,p}$ by S_p .

Theorem 3.16. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. \mathbf{Met}_*^q is a reflective subcategory of \mathbf{Met}_*^p with left adjoint $S_{p,q}$. As a special case, \mathbf{Met}_*^q is a reflective subcategory of \mathbf{Met}_* with left adjoint S_p .*

Proof. Let $(X, d, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$, $(Y, d', y_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^q$ and $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$.

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, d, x_0) & \xrightarrow{r} & (X, d_q, x_0) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \bar{f} \\ & & (Y, d', y_0) \end{array}$$

Define the reflector $r : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (X, d_q, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ to be given by the identity map. It is a metric map since for all $x, x' \in X$, $d_q(x, x') \leq d(x, x')$. By the commutativity of (3.2), we are forced to define $\bar{f} = f$. It remains to show that \bar{f} is a metric map. Let $x, x' \in X$. We have $d'(f(x), f(x')) \leq d(x, x')$ and, since $(Y, d', y_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^q$,

$$\begin{aligned} d'(f(x), f(x')) &\leq \|(d'(f(x), y_0), d'(y_0, f(x')))\|_q \\ &= \|(d'(f(x), f(x_0)), d'(f(x_0), f(x')))\|_q \leq \|(d(x, x_0), d(x_0, x'))\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d'(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(x')) \leq d_q(x, x')$. \square

4. COMMUTATIVE METRIC MONOIDS AND WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE

4.1. Commutative metric monoids.

Definition 4.1. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. A p -subadditive commutative metric monoid is a tuple $(M, d, +, 0)$ where $(M, d, 0)$ is a pointed metric space and $(M, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid such that for all $a, b, a', b' \in M$,

$$d(a + b, a' + b') \leq \| (d(a, a'), d(b, b')) \|_p.$$

A morphism of p -subadditive commutative metric monoids $f : (M, d, +, 0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, +, 0)$ is a pointed metric map $f : (M, d, 0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, 0)$ such that $f : (M, +, 0) \rightarrow (N, +, 0)$ is a monoid homomorphism. Call such a map a *metric monoid homomorphism*. Let $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ denote the category of p -subadditive commutative metric monoids and metric monoid homomorphisms.

Lemma 4.2. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $(M, d, +, 0)$ be a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid. Then for $n \geq 0$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in M$,

$$d(a_1 + \dots + a_n, b_1 + \dots + b_n) \leq \| (d(a_i, b_i))_{i=1}^n \|_p.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n .

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1}, b_1 + \dots + b_{n+1}) &\leq \| (d(a_1 + \dots + a_n, b_1 + \dots + b_n), d(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1})) \|_p \\ &\leq \| (\| (d(a_i, b_i))_{i=1}^n \|_p, d(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1})) \|_p = \| (d(a_i, b_i))_{i=1}^{n+1} \|_p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.3. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $(M, d, +, 0)$ be a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid. Then for $n \geq 0$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in M$,

$$d(a_1 + \dots + a_n, b_1 + \dots + b_n) \leq \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \| (d(a_i, b_{\sigma(i)}))_{i=1}^n \|_p,$$

where Σ_n denotes the symmetric group on n symbols.

Lemma 4.4. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $(M, d, +, 0)$ be a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid. Then $(M, d, 0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. Furthermore if $f : (M, d, +, 0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, +, 0)$ is a morphism of commutative metric monoids then $f : (M, d, 0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, 0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. That is, there is a forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*^p$.

Proof. For the first statement, we show that $(M, d, 0)$ satisfies the p -strengthened triangle inequality at 0. Let $a, b \in M$. Then $d(a, b) = d(a + 0, 0 + b) \leq \| (d(a, 0), d(0, b)) \|_p$. The second statement follows directly from the definitions. \square

4.2. Symmetric monoidal categories.

Definition 4.5. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Given pointed metric spaces (X, d_X, x_0) and (Y, d_Y, y_0) , define $d_X \times_p d_Y : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$(d_X \times_p d_Y)((x, y), (x', y')) = \| (d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')) \|_p.$$

Let $X \times_p Y$ denote the tuple $(X \times Y, d_X \times_p d_Y, (x_0, y_0))$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If $X = (X, d_X, x_0)$ and $Y = (Y, d_Y, y_0)$ are pointed metric spaces then so is $X \times_p Y$.

Proof. We show that $d_X \times_p d_Y$ is a metric for $X \times Y$. Point triviality and symmetry follow from the corresponding properties for d_X and d_Y . It remains to prove the triangle inequality. For all $(x, y), (x', y'), (x'', y'') \in X \times Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \| (d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')) \|_p &\leq \| (d_X(x, x'') + d_X(x'', x'), d_Y(y, y'') + d_Y(y'', y')) \|_p \\ &= \| (d_X(x, x''), d_Y(y, y'')) + (d_X(x'', x'), d_Y(y'', y')) \|_p \\ &\leq \| (d_X(x, x''), d_Y(y, y'')) \|_p + \| (d_X(x'', x'), d_Y(y'', y')) \|_p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.7. *A p -subadditive commutative metric monoid is a tuple $(M, d, +, 0)$ where $(M, d, 0)$ is a pointed metric space and $(M, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid such that $+$: $M \times_p M \rightarrow M$ is a metric map.*

Proof. Consider $(M, d, +, 0)$ where $(M, d, 0)$ is a pointed metric space and $(M, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid. $+$: $M \times_p M \rightarrow M$ is a metric map if and only if for all $(a, b), (a', b') \in M \times M$, $d(a + b, a' + b') \leq \|d(a, a'), d(b, b')\|_p$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If $X = (X, d_X, x_0), Y = (Y, d_Y, y_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ then $X \times_p Y \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$.*

Proof. We show that $d_X \times_p d_Y$ satisfies the p -strengthened triangle inequality with respect to (x_0, y_0) . For all $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y'))\|_p &\leq \left\| \left(\|d_X(x, x_0), d_X(x_0, x')\|_p, \|d_Y(y, y_0), d_Y(y_0, y')\|_p \right) \right\|_p \\ &= \|(d_X(x, x_0), d_X(x_0, x'), d_Y(y, y_0), d_Y(y_0, y'))\|_p \\ &= \left\| \left(\|d_X(x, x_0), d_Y(y, y_0)\|_p, \|d_X(x_0, x'), d_Y(y_0, y')\|_p \right) \right\|_p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.9. *For each $p \in [1, \infty]$, $(\mathbf{Met}_*, \times_p, *)$ is a symmetric monoidal category.*

Proof. For the associator, consider $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Met}_*$ and $x, x' \in X, y, y' \in Y$ and $z, z' \in Z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((d_X \times_p d_Y) \times_p d_Z)((x, y), z), ((x', y'), z) &= \|((d_X \times_p d_Y)((x, y), (x', y')), d_Z(z, z'))\|_p \\ &= \left\| \left(\|d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')\|_p, d_Z(z, z') \right) \right\|_p = \|d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y'), d_Z(z, z')\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

For the left unitor consider $X \in \mathbf{Met}_*$ and $x, x' \in X$. Then $\|(d_X(x, x'), 0)\|_p = d_X(x, x')$. With these computations in hand, the rest of the axioms are easy to check. \square

Corollary 4.10. *For each $p \in [1, \infty]$, $(\mathbf{Met}_*^p, \times_p, *)$ is a symmetric monoidal category, which we denote by \mathbf{Met}_*^p .*

Let $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*, \times_p, *)$ and $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ denote the categories of commutative monoids internal to the symmetric monoidal categories $(\mathbf{Met}_*, \times_p, *)$ and \mathbf{Met}_*^p , respectively. The following proposition shows that this notation is consistent with Definition 4.1 and that these categories are in fact the same.

Proposition 4.11. *A commutative monoid in the symmetric monoidal category \mathbf{Met}_*^p is a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid and a morphism of commutative monoids in \mathbf{Met}_*^p is a morphism of p -subadditive commutative metric monoids. Moreover, $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*, \times_p, *) = \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$.*

Proof. A commutative monoid in the symmetric monoidal category \mathbf{Met}_*^p is a pointed metric space $(M, d, m_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ together with a binary operation $+$: $M \times M \rightarrow M$ that is associative, commutative, and for which m_0 is a unit, such that $+$: $M \times_p M \rightarrow M \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. That is, $+$ is p -subadditive. Thus a commutative monoid in \mathbf{Met}_*^p is a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid.

A morphism $f : (M, d, +_M, m_0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, +_N, n_0)$ of commutative monoids in \mathbf{Met}_*^p is a morphism $f : (M, d, m_0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, n_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ such that for all $a, b \in M$, $f(a +_M b) = f(a) +_N f(b)$ and $f(m_0) = n_0$. That is, $f : (M, d, m_0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, n_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*$ such that $f : (M, +_M, m_0) \rightarrow (N, +_N, n_0)$ is a monoid homomorphism.

To see that $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*, \times_p, *) = \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$, note that it suffices to require that $(M, d, m_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*$, since the unit condition and p -subadditivity implies that for $a, b \in M$, $d(a, b) = d(a + m_0, m_0 + b) \leq \|d(a, m_0), d(m_0, b)\|_p$. \square

4.3. Wasserstein distance. Given a set pair (X, A) , recall that $D(X, A) = D(X)/D(A)$. As a special case, for a pointed set (X, x_0) , $D(X, x_0) = D(X)/D(x_0)$.

Definition 4.12. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Given a metric pair (X, d, A) define $W_p[d, A] : D(X, A) \times D(X, A) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$W_p[d, A](x_1 + \cdots + x_m, x'_1 + \cdots + x'_n) = \inf \left\| \left(d(x_k, x'_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p,$$

where the infimum is taken over $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}, x'_{n+1}, \dots, x'_{n+m} \in A$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}$, where Σ_{m+n} denotes the symmetric group on $m+n$ symbols.

One may check that Definition 4.12 may be restated as follows.

Lemma 4.13.

$$W_p[d, A](x_1 + \cdots + x_m, x'_1 + \cdots + x'_n) = \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| \left(d(x_k, x'_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p,$$

where $x_{m+1} = \cdots = x_{m+n} = A = x'_{n+1} = \cdots = x'_{n+m}$ and $d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a)$.

For brevity, we will sometimes denote $W_p[d, A]$ by W_p .

Remark 4.14. Note that $D(X, A) \cong D(X \setminus A) \cong D(X/A, A)$. Explicitly, we have monoid isomorphisms $\varphi : D(X \setminus A) \rightarrow D(X, A)$ and $\psi : D(X \setminus A) \rightarrow D(X/A, A)$ given by $x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mapsto x_1 + \cdots + x_n + D(A)$ and $x_1 + \cdots + x_n \mapsto [x_1] + \cdots + [x_n] + D(A)$, respectively. By Lemma 4.24 below, we have that $(D(X, A), W_p[d, A], +, 0)$ and $(D(X \setminus A, A), W_p[\bar{d}_p, A], +, 0)$ are isometrically isomorphic, and so we may pass between the settings of metric pairs and pointed metric spaces whenever convenient.

Example 4.15. For the metric pair $(\mathbb{R}_{\leq}^2, d, \Delta)$ or $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\leq}^2, d, \Delta)$ (Example 3.2) and $p \in [1, \infty]$, $W_p[d, \Delta]$ is the p -Wasserstein distance on persistence diagrams. For the metric pair $(\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}), d, \emptyset)$ and d the length of the symmetric difference (Example 3.4), $W_1[d, \emptyset]$ is the barcode metric.

Lemma 4.16. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If (X, d, x_0) is a pointed metric space then $(D(X, x_0), W_p, 0)$ is a pointed metric space.

Proof. Point triviality and symmetry follow from the definition. To prove the triangle inequality, let $\alpha = x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \beta = x'_1 + \cdots + x'_m, \gamma = x''_1 + \cdots + x''_p$, be elements of $D(X, x_0)$. Let $r = n + m + p$ and let $x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_r = x'_{m+1} = \cdots = x'_r = x''_{p+1} = \cdots = x''_r = x_0$. Let $\sigma, \tau \in S_r$ be permutations realizing $W_p(\alpha, \gamma), W_p(\gamma, \beta)$, respectively. Let $\pi = \tau \circ \sigma \in S_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_p(\alpha, \beta) &\leq \left\| \left(d(x_k, x'_{\pi(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p \\ &\leq \left\| \left(d(x_k, x''_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r + \left(d(x''_{\sigma(k)}, x'_{\pi(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p \\ &\leq \left\| \left(d(x_k, x''_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p + \left\| \left(d(x''_{\sigma(k)}, x'_{\pi(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p \\ &= \left\| \left(d(x_k, x''_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p + \left\| \left(d(x''_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(\ell))}, x'_{\pi(\sigma^{-1}(\ell))}) \right)_{\ell=1}^r \right\|_p \\ &= \left\| \left(d(x_k, x''_{\sigma(k)}) \right)_{k=1}^r \right\|_p + \left\| \left(d(x''_{\ell}, x'_{\tau(\ell)}) \right)_{\ell=1}^r \right\|_p = W_p(\alpha, \gamma) + W_p(\gamma, \beta). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.17. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. If (X, d, x_0) is a pointed metric space then $(D(X, x_0), W_p, +, 0)$ is a p -subadditive commutative metric monoid and hence is an object in $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in D(X, x_0)$, where $\alpha = x_1 + \cdots + x_m, \beta = x_{m+n+1} + \cdots + x_{m+n+p}, \gamma = x'_1 + \cdots + x'_n, \delta = x'_{m+n+1} + \cdots + x'_{m+n+q}$. We want to show that $W_p(\alpha + \beta, \gamma + \delta) \leq \left\| \left(W_p(\alpha, \gamma), W_p(\beta, \delta) \right) \right\|_p$. Let $x_{m+1} = \cdots = x_{m+n} = x_{m+n+p+1} = \cdots = x_{m+n+p+q} = x'_{n+1} = \cdots = x'_{m+n} = x'_{m+n+q+1} =$

$x'_{m+n+p+q} = x_0$. Given $\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_{p+q}$, let $\sigma * \tau \in \Sigma_{m+n+p+q}$ be defined by $\sigma * \tau(i) := \sigma(i)$ if $i \leq m+n$ and $\sigma * \tau(i) := \tau(i)$ otherwise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(W_p(\alpha, \gamma), W_p(\beta, \delta))\|_p &= \left\| \left(\min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (d(x_i, x'_{\sigma(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p, \min_{\tau \in \Sigma_{p+q}} \left\| (d(x_{m+n+i}, x'_{m+n+\tau(i)})) \right\|_p \right) \right\|_p \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \min_{\tau \in \Sigma_{p+q}} \left\| (d(x_i, x'_{\sigma * \tau(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n+p+q} \right\|_p \\ &\geq \min_{\pi \in \Sigma_{m+n+p+q}} \left\| (d(x_i, x'_{\pi(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n+p+q} \right\|_p = W_p(\alpha + \beta, \gamma + \delta). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.18. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $(X, d, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. Then the inclusion map $i : (X, d, x_0) \hookrightarrow (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0], 0)$ is an isometry.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. $W_p[d, x_0](x, y) = \min(d(x, y), \|(d(x, x_0), d(x_0, y))\|_p) = d(x, y)$. \square

Lemma 4.19. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Given a pointed metric map $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0)$, the induced map $f_* : D(X, x_0) \rightarrow D(Y, y_0)$ is a morphism if p -subadditive commutative metric monoids $f_* : (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0], +, 0) \rightarrow (D(Y, y_0), W_p[d', y_0], +, 0)$.*

Proof. By Definition 4.1, we need to show that $f_* : (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0]) \rightarrow (D(Y, y_0), W_p[d', y_0])$ is a metric map and that $f_* : (D(X, x_0), +, 0) \rightarrow (D(Y, y_0), +, 0)$ is a monoid homomorphism. The latter is true by the definition of f_* (Definition 2.2). Let $x_1 + \dots + x_m, x'_1 + \dots + x'_n \in D(X, x_0)$. Let $x_{m+1} = \dots = x_{m+n} = x_0 = x'_{n+1} = \dots = x'_{n+m}$ and thus $f(x_{m+1}) = \dots = f(x_{m+n}) = y_0 = f(x'_{n+1}) = \dots = f(x'_{n+m})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_p[d', y_0](f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m), f(x'_1) + \dots + f(x'_n)) &= \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (d'(f(x_i), f(x'_{\sigma(i)})))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p \\ &\leq \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (d(x_i, x'_{\sigma(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p = W_p[d, x_0](x_1 + \dots + x_m, x'_1 + \dots + x'_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.20. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $D_p : \mathbf{Met}_*^p \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ be the functor given by sending (X, d, x_0) to $(D(X, x_0), W_p, +, 0)$ and $f : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, d', y_0)$ to $f_* : (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0], +, 0) \rightarrow (D(Y, y_0), W_p[d', y_0], +, 0)$.

Theorem 4.21. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. The forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ has left adjoint D_p .*

Proof. Let $(X, d, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$, $(N, \rho, +, 0) \in \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ and $\varphi : (X, d, x_0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, 0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, d, x_0) & \xrightarrow{i} & (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0], 0) & & (D(X, x_0), W_p[d, x_0], +, 0) \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \downarrow \exists! \tilde{\varphi} \\ & & (N, \rho, 0) & & (N, \rho, +, 0) \end{array}$$

By the commutativity of the left hand side of (4.1), we have that for all $x \in X$, $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(i(x)) = \varphi(x)$. For $\tilde{\varphi}$ to be a monoid homomorphism, we have $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = \varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n)$. Thus, if $\tilde{\varphi}$ exists it is unique. It remains to show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a metric map.

Let $\alpha = x_1 + \dots + x_m \in D(X, x_0)$, $\beta = x'_1 + \dots + x'_n \in D(X, x_0)$ and let $x_{m+1} = \dots = x_{m+n} = x_0 = x'_{n+1} = \dots = x'_{n+m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha), \tilde{\varphi}(\beta)) &= \rho(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_{m+n}), \varphi(x'_1) + \dots + \varphi(x'_{n+m})) \\ &\leq \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (\rho(\varphi(x_i), \varphi(x'_i)))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p \leq \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (d(x_i, x'_i))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p = W_p[d, x_0](\alpha, \beta). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.22. Let $\bar{\mathcal{U}} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ be the functor given by sending $(M, d, +, 0)$ to $(M, d, \{0\})$ and $f : (M, d, +, 0) \rightarrow (N, \rho, +, 0)$ to $f : (M, d, \{0\}) \rightarrow (N, \rho, \{0\})$.

Definition 4.23. Let $\overline{D}_p : \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ be the functor given by sending (X, d, A) to $(D(X, A), W_p[d, A], +, 0)$ and $f : (X, d, A) \rightarrow (Y, d', B)$ to $f_* : (D(X, A), W_p[d, A], +, 0) \rightarrow (D(Y, B), W_p[d', B], +, 0)$.

Recall the functors $Q : \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*$ of Definition 3.8 and $S_p : \mathbf{Met}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_*^p$ of Definition 3.15.

Lemma 4.24. *The functors $\overline{D}_p : \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ and $D_p S_p Q : \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $(X, d, A) \in \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$. Then $\overline{D}_p(X, d, A) = (D(X, A), W_p[d, A], +, 0)$ and $D_p S_p Q(X, d, A) = (D(X/A, A), W_p[\overline{d}_p, A], +, 0)$. Recall (see Remark 4.14) that we have monoid isomorphisms $\varphi = \varphi_X : D(X \setminus A) \rightarrow D(X, A)$ and $\psi = \psi_X : D(X \setminus A) \rightarrow D(X/A, A)$. Let $\eta = \eta_X : D(X, A) \rightarrow D(X/A, A)$ be the composite monoid isomorphism $\psi_X \varphi_X^{-1}$. We will show that η_X is an isometry. Let $x_1 + \dots + x_m, x'_1 + \dots + x'_n \in D(X \setminus A)$. Denote these elements by α and α' , respectively. Let $x_{m+1} = \dots = x_{m+n} = x'_{n+1} = \dots = x'_{n+m} = A$. By Lemma 4.13,

$$W_p[d, A](\varphi\alpha, \varphi\alpha') = \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (d(x_i, x_{\sigma(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p.$$

On the other hand,

$$W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\psi\alpha, \psi\alpha') = \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n}} \left\| (\overline{d}_p(x_i, x_{\sigma(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p.$$

Note that by definition of \overline{d}_p , $W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\psi\alpha, \psi\alpha') \leq W_p[d, A](\varphi\alpha, \varphi\alpha')$. On the other hand, if $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma_{m+n}$ is a permutation such that $W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\psi\alpha, \psi\alpha') = \left\| (\overline{d}_p(x_i, x_{\sigma_0(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p$, then we can obtain a new permutation $\sigma'_0 \in \Sigma_{m+n}$ with $\left\| (d(x_i, x_{\sigma'_0(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p = \left\| (\overline{d}_p(x_i, x_{\sigma_0(i)}))_{i=1}^{m+n} \right\|_p$. Thus $W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\psi\alpha, \psi\alpha') = W_p[d, A](\varphi\alpha, \varphi\alpha')$. Then

$$W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\eta\alpha, \eta\alpha') = W_p[\overline{d}_p, A](\psi\varphi^{-1}\alpha, \psi\varphi^{-1}\alpha') = W_p[d, A](\varphi\varphi^{-1}\alpha, \varphi\varphi^{-1}\alpha') = W_p[d, A](\alpha, \alpha').$$

Thus we have an isomorphism $\eta_X : \overline{D}_p(X, d, A) \rightarrow D_p S_p Q(X, d, A)$.

To see that these isomorphisms are natural, let $f : (X, d, A) \rightarrow (Y, d', B)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$. The map $\overline{D}_p f = f_* : D(X, A) \rightarrow D(Y, B)$ is given by $x_1 + \dots + x_n + D(A) \mapsto f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n) + D(B)$, while the map $D_p S_p Q f : D(X/A, A) \rightarrow D(Y/B, B)$ is given by $x_1 + \dots + x_n + D(A) \mapsto f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n) + D(B)$. Thus $D_p S_p Q f \eta_X = \eta_Y \overline{D}_p f$ and hence the maps η_X assemble into a natural isomorphism $\eta : \overline{D}_p \Rightarrow D_p S_p Q$. \square

Theorem 4.25. *The forgetful functor $\overline{U} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$ has left adjoint \overline{D}_p .*

Proof. Since \overline{U} is given by the composition $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p) \xrightarrow{U} \mathbf{Met}_*^p \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Met}_* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Met}_{\text{pairs}}$, by Theorems 3.9, 3.16, and 4.21, it has left adjoint the composite $D_p S_p Q$. The composite $D_p S_p Q$ is given by the mapping $(X, d, A) \mapsto (X/A, \overline{d}, A) \mapsto (X/A, \overline{d}_p, A) \mapsto (D(X/A, A), W_p[\overline{d}_p, A], +, 0)$. By Lemma 4.24, $\overline{D}_p \cong D_p S_p Q$ and hence \overline{D}_p is, up to natural isomorphism, the left adjoint of \overline{U} . \square

5. APPLICATIONS

5.1. Maximality of the Wasserstein distances. The following theorem shows that W_p is the largest p -additive metric extending the underlying metric.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let (X, d, A) be a metric pair. Then $W_p[d, A]$ is the largest p -subadditive metric ρ on $D(X, A)$ satisfying $i^*\rho = d_p$.*

Proof. Suppose that ρ is a p -subadditive metric on $D(X, A)$ with $i^*\rho = d_p$. Then $(D(X, A), \rho, +, 0) \in \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*^p)$ and $i : (X, d, A) \hookrightarrow (D(X, A), \rho, +, 0)$ is 1-Lipschitz. By Theorem 4.25, there is a unique 1-Lipschitz map $\tilde{i} : (D(X, A), W_p[d, A], 0) \rightarrow (D(X, A), \rho, 0)$, and hence $\rho \leq W_p$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let $(X, d, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*^p$. Then $W_p[d, x_0]$ is the largest p -subadditive metric ρ on $D(X, x_0)$ satisfying $i^*\rho = d$.*

5.2. Converse stability. We show that certain converse stability theorems follow from our results. First, a completely formal (or “soft” [BdSS15]) converse stability result.

Theorem 5.3 (Abstract Converse Stability). *Fix $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let (X, x_0) be a pointed set and let ρ be a p -subadditive metric on $D(X, x_0)$. Then $\rho \leq W_p[i^*\rho, x_0]$.*

Proof. Let $d = i^*\rho$ and consider the pointed metric space (X, d, x_0) . Since ρ is p -subadditive by assumption and $i^*\rho = d$ by definition, the result immediately follows from Corollary 5.2. \square

Example 5.4 (Converse Algebraic Stability). (See [Les15] for a version of this result that applies to all pointwise finite dimensional persistence modules.) Let $\mathbf{Vect}(K)_{\text{Fin}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the monoid of isomorphism classes of persistence modules which decompose as a finite direct sum of interval modules. We can identify $\mathbf{Vect}(K)_{\text{Fin}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $D(\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}), \emptyset)$ via the map that sends a direct sum of interval modules to the corresponding formal sum of intervals. Equip $\mathbf{Vect}(K)_{\text{Fin}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ with the interleaving distance d_I [CCSG⁺09, Les15, BS14]. Note that d_I is ∞ -subadditive. Indeed, if (φ, ψ) is an ϵ -interleaving between M and N and (φ', ψ') is an η -interleaving between M' and N' , then $(\varphi \oplus \varphi', \psi \oplus \psi')$ is a $\max(\epsilon, \eta)$ -interleaving between $M \oplus M'$ and $N \oplus N'$. Note that the interleaving distance for interval modules, is $(d_H)_{\infty}$, the ∞ -strengthening of the Hausdorff distance with respect to \emptyset . That is, $i^*d_I = (d_H)_{\infty}$, and hence by Theorem 5.3, $d_I \leq W_{\infty}[(d_H)_{\infty}, \emptyset] = W_{\infty}[d_H, \emptyset]$.

For a second version of this result, introduce an equivalence relation on $\mathbf{Vect}(K)_{\text{Fin}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ given by $M \sim N$ if $d_I(M, N) = 0$. Then we can identify $\mathbf{Vect}(K)_{\text{Fin}}^{\mathbb{R}} / \sim$ with $D(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\leq}^2, \Delta)$ via the map that sends a direct sum of interval modules to the corresponding persistence diagram. By Theorem 5.3, $d_I \leq W_{\infty}[d, \Delta]$, where d is the ℓ^{∞} -distance. The distance $W_{\infty}[d, \Delta]$ is the *bottleneck distance*. Note that this distance restricted to the images of interval modules is d_{∞} , the ∞ -strengthening of the ℓ^{∞} -distance.

Example 5.5 (Converse Algebraic Stability Theorem for generalized persistence modules). Consider generalized persistence modules $M : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. If \mathbf{P} is equipped with certain additional structure, such as a *subadditive projection on translations* or a *superadditive family of translations*, then $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{P}}$ can be equipped with an interleaving distance d_I [BdSS15]. As in Example 5.4, the interleaving distance d_I is ∞ -subadditive. Let Ind be a set of indecomposable generalized persistence modules in $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{P}}$ with basepoint the zero module 0 . Then we have the set of generalized barcodes $D(\text{Ind}, 0)$. There is a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of generalized persistence modules in $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{P}}$ that are isomorphic to a finite direct sum of elements of Ind to $D(\text{Ind}, 0)$, which sends direct sums to formal sums. By Theorem 5.3, $d_I \leq W_{\infty}[d_I, 0]$, where the latter is also called the bottleneck distance [Bje16].

5.3. Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality. Theorem 1.3 can be used to derive, in the case of persistence diagrams, one direction of Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality.

Let $(X, d, x_0) \in \mathbf{Met}_*$ and consider the commutative metric monoid $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, +, 0)$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the metric induced by absolute value. The inequality $|(a+b) - (c+d)| \leq |a-c| + |b-d|$ implies that $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, +, 0) \in \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Met}_*)$. Let $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz map. Define $k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $k(x) = h(x) - h(x_0)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $k(x_0) = 0$ and $|k(x) - k(y)| = |h(x) - h(x_0) - (h(y) - h(x_0))| = |h(x) - h(y)| \leq d(x, y)$ so that k is a pointed metric map. By Theorem 1.3, there is a unique morphism of commutative metric monoids $\tilde{k} : (D(X, x_0), W_1, +, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, +, 0)$ such that $\tilde{k} \circ i = k$. Explicitly, \tilde{k} is given by $\sum_i c_i \mapsto \sum_i k(c_i)$. Then for $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j$ with $m \geq n$, we have $|\tilde{k}(\alpha) - \tilde{k}(\beta)| = \left| \tilde{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) - \tilde{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n k(a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^m k(b_j) \right| =$

$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n h(a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^m h(b_j) + (m-n)h(x_0) \right|$. Since \tilde{k} is 1-Lipschitz, we have the following inequality: $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n h(a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^m h(b_j) + (m-n)h(x_0) \right| \leq W_1(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j)$. Therefore

$$(5.1) \quad \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n h(a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^m h(b_j) + (m-n)h(x_0) \mid h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1\text{-Lipschitz} \right\} \leq W_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n k(a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^m k(b_j) \mid k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, k(x_0) = 0, 1\text{-Lipschitz} \right\} \leq W_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \right).$$

This inequality is in fact an equality, a result known as Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality. To see this, let $\alpha = a_1 + \dots + a_n, \beta = b_1 + \dots + b_m \in D(X, x_0)$ and consider the classical 1-Wasserstein distance $w_1(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{a_i} + (r-n)\delta_{x_0}, \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{b_i} + (r-m)\delta_{x_0})$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure at x and $r = m + n$. It is known that for sums of Dirac measures the computation of w_1 is equivalent to the *linear assignment problem*. In other words, letting $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{a_i} + (r-n)\delta_{x_0}$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{b_i} + (r-m)\delta_{x_0}$, we have

$$w_1(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = \min_{\sigma \in S_{n+m}} \left\| (d(a_i, b_{\sigma(i)})_{i=1}^{n+m}) \right\|_1 = W_1[d, x_0](\alpha, \beta),$$

where $a_{n+1} = \dots = a_{n+m} = b_{m+1} = \dots = b_{n+m} = x_0$. It follows from Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality for measures [Vil03] that

$$(5.2) \quad \sup \left\{ \int_X h d(\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta}) \mid h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1\text{-Lipschitz} \right\} = w_1(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = W_1[d, x_0](\alpha, \beta).$$

Since the left-hand side of (5.2) is precisely the left-hand side of (5.1), we obtain the desired equality.

For a direct proof of Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality in this setting using linear programming see [BE20, Appendix C].

REFERENCES

- [BdSS15] Peter Bubenik, Vin de Silva, and Jonathan Scott. Metrics for generalized persistence modules. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 15(6):1501–1531, 2015.
- [BE20] Peter Bubenik and Alex Elchesen. Universality of persistence diagrams and the bottleneck and wasserstein distances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02563v2*, 12 2020.
- [Bje16] Håvard Bakke Bjerkevik. Stability of higher-dimensional interval decomposable persistence modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02086*, 2016.
- [BL17] Andrew J Blumberg and Michael Lesnick. Universality of the homotopy interleaving distance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01690*, 2017.
- [BL18] Magnus Bakke Botnan and Michael Lesnick. Algebraic stability of zigzag persistence modules. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(6):3133–3204, 2018.
- [BLM20] Ulrich Bauer, Claudia Landi, and Facundo Mémoli. The reeb graph edit distance is universal. *Found. Comput. Math.*, pages 1–24, 2020.
- [BS14] Peter Bubenik and Jonathan A. Scott. Categorification of persistent homology. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 51(3):600–627, 2014.
- [BSS18] Peter Bubenik, Jonathan Scott, and Donald Stanley. An algebraic wasserstein distance for generalized persistence modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.09654*, 2018.
- [CCSG⁺09] Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, Marc Glisse, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Steve Y. Oudot. Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams. *Proceedings of the 25th annual symposium on Computational geometry - SCG '09*, 2009.
- [CO20] Jérémy Cochoy and Steve Oudot. Decomposition of exact pfd persistence bimodules. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 63(2):255–293, 2020.
- [CSEH07] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, and John Harer. Stability of persistence diagrams. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 37(1):103–120, 2007.

- [CSEHM10] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, John Harer, and Yuriy Mileyko. Lipschitz functions have L_p -stable persistence. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 10(2):127–139, 2010.
- [CZCG04a] Gunnar Carlsson, Afra Zomorodian, Anne Collins, and Leonidas Guibas. Persistence barcodes for shapes. In *SGP '04: Proceedings of the 2004 Eurographics/ACM SIGGRAPH symposium on Geometry processing*, pages 124–135, New York, NY, USA, 2004. ACM Press.
- [CZCG04b] Anne Collins, Afra Zomorodian, Gunnar Carlsson, and Leonidas J. Guibas. A barcode shape descriptor for curve point cloud data. *Computers & Graphics*, 28(6):881 – 894, 2004.
- [dFL10] Michele d’Amico, Patrizio Frosini, and Claudia Landi. Natural pseudo-distance and optimal matching between reduced size functions. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 109(2):527–554, 2010.
- [DL21] Vincent Divol and Théo Lacombe. Understanding the topology and the geometry of the space of persistence diagrams via optimal partial transport. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 5(1):1–53, 2021.
- [Les15] Michael Lesnick. The theory of the interleaving distance on multidimensional persistence modules. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 15(3):613–650, 2015.
- [Rie17] E. Riehl. *Category Theory in Context*. Aurora: Dover Modern Math Originals. Dover Publications, 2017.
- [Vil03] Cédric Villani. *Topics in optimal transportation*, volume 58 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.