

secml: Secure and Explainable Machine Learning in Python

Maura Pintor^{a,b}, Luca Demetrio^{a,b}, Angelo Sotgiu^{a,b}, Marco Melis^a, Ambra Demontis^a, Battista Biggio^{a,b}

^a*DIEE, University of Cagliari, Via Marengo, Cagliari (IT)*
^b*Pluribus One, Via Vincenzo Bellini, 9, Cagliari (IT)*

Abstract

We present `secml`, an open-source Python library for secure and explainable machine learning. It implements the most popular attacks against machine learning, including test-time evasion attacks to generate adversarial examples against deep neural networks and training-time poisoning attacks against support vector machines and many other algorithms. These attacks enable evaluating the security of learning algorithms and the corresponding defenses under both white-box and black-box threat models. To this end, `secml` provides built-in functions to compute security evaluation curves, showing how quickly classification performance decreases against increasing adversarial perturbations of the input data. `secml` also includes explainability methods to help understand why adversarial attacks succeed against a given model, by visualizing the most influential features and training prototypes contributing to each decision. It is distributed under the Apache License 2.0 and hosted at <https://github.com/pralab/secml>.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Security, Adversarial Attacks, Explainability, Python3

1. Introduction

Machine learning is vulnerable to well-crafted attacks. At test time, the attacker can stage evasion attacks (i.e., adversarial examples) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], sponge examples [6], model stealing [7], and membership inference [8] attacks to violate system integrity, availability, or even its confidentiality. Similarly, at training time, the attacker may target either system integrity or availability via poisoning [9, 10] and backdoor attacks [11]. The most studied attacks, namely evasion and poisoning, firstly explored by Biggio et al. [9, 2], are formalized as constrained optimization problems, solved through gradient-based or gradient-free algorithms [10, 12], depending on whether the attacker has white- or black-box access to the target system. Many libraries implement the former, however, they do not allow developers to assess machine learning models' security easily. Hence, we present `secml`, an open-source Python library that serves as a complete tool for evaluating and assessing the performance and robustness of machine-learning models. To this end, `secml` implements: (i) a methodology for the empirical security evaluation of machine-learning algorithms under different evasion and poisoning attack scenarios; and (ii) explainable methods to help understand why and how these attacks are successful. With respect to other popular libraries that implement attacks almost solely

against Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) [13, 14, 15], `secml` also implements training-time poisoning attacks and computationally-efficient test-time evasion attacks against many different algorithms, including support vector machines (SVMs) and random forests (RFs). It also incorporates both the feature-based and prototype-based explanation methods proposed by [16, 17, 18].

2. Software Description

`secml` has a modular architecture oriented to code reuse. We have defined abstract interfaces for all components, including loss functions, regularizers, optimizers, classifiers, and attacks. By separating the definition of the optimization problem from the algorithm used to solve it, one can easily define novel attacks or classifiers (in terms of constrained optimization problems) and then use different optimizers to obtain a solution. This is a great advantage with respect to other libraries like *CleverHans* [13], *Foolbox* [14, 19], and *ART* [15] as, we can switch from white-box to black-box attacks by just changing the optimizer (from a gradient-based to a gradient-free solver), without re-defining the entire optimization problem.

`secml` integrates different components via well-designed wrapper classes. We integrate several attack implementations from *CleverHans* and *Foolbox*, by ex-




Figure 1: Features available in `secml`. On the left, the supported threat models; on the right, the structure of the packages, and the supported strategies.

tending them to also track the values of the loss function and the intermediate points optimized during the attack iterations, as well as the number of function and gradient evaluations. This is useful to debug and compare different attacks, e.g., by checking their convergence to a local optimum and properly tuning their hyperparameters (e.g., step size and number of iterations). `secml` supports DNNs via a dedicated `PyTorch` wrapper, which can be extended to include other popular deep-learning frameworks, like `TensorFlow` and `Keras`, and it natively supports `scikit-learn` classifiers as well. This allows us to run attacks that are implemented in `CleverHans` and `Foolbox` to universally run on both `PyTorch` and `scikit-learn` models.

Main packages. The library supports different threat models (Fig. 1a), and it is organized in different packages (Fig. 1b). The `adv` package implements different adversarial attacks and provides the functionalities to perform security evaluations. It includes target and untargeted evasion attacks provided by `CleverHans` and `Foolbox` as well as our implementations of evasion and poisoning attacks [10]. These attacks target the feature space of a model, regardless of its domain of application (e.g. attacking Android malware detection¹). Hence, developers can encode ad-hoc manipulations that correspond to perturbations in the input space of a targeted domain (e.g. Windows programs [20]), and then use the algorithms included inside `secml` to optimize the attack. All the included attacks are listed in Fig. 1b.

The `ml` package imports classifiers from `scikit-learn` and DNNs from `PyTorch`. We have extended `scikit-learn` classifiers with the gradients required to run eva-

sion and poisoning attacks, which have been implemented analytically. Our library also supports chaining different modules (e.g., scalers and classifiers) and can automatically compute the corresponding end-to-end gradient via the chain rule. The explanation package implements the feature- and prototype-based explanation methods [16, 17, 18]. The `optim` package provides an implementation of the projected gradient descent (PGD) algorithm, and a more efficient version of it that runs a bisection search along the gradient direction (PGD-LS) to reduce the number of gradient evaluations [21]. Finally, `data` provides data loaders for popular datasets, integrating those provided by `scikit-learn` and `PyTorch`; `array` provides a higher-level interface for both dense (`numpy`) and sparse (`scipy`) arrays, enabling the efficient execution of attacks on sparse data representations; `figure` implements some advanced plotting functions based on `matplotlib` (e.g., to visualize and debug attacks); and `utils` provides functionalities for logging and parallel code execution. We also provide a model zoo, available on GitHub as well,² that contains pre-trained models to rapidly test newly implemented attacks and utilities.

We recap the main functionalities of `secml` in Table 1, where we also compare it with other relevant libraries. Notably, our library is the sole that provides attack loss inspection plots to choose the appropriate attacks' hyperparameters, and security evaluation plots, to ease the complexity of assessing the robustness of machine learning models. Moreover, the features offered by `secml` are not only related to attacking machine learning models, but they are gathered to elevate `secml` as a complete tool for attacking, inspecting, and

¹<https://github.com/pralab/secml/blob/master/tutorials/13-Android-Malware-Detection.ipynb>

²<https://github.com/pralab/secml-zoo>

	DL frameworks support	scikit-learn support	Built-in attack algorithms	Wraps adversarial frameworks	Dense / Sparse data support	Security evaluation plots	Attack loss inspection plots	Loss separated from Optimizer	Explainability	Model zoo	Comprehensive tutorials
secml	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Foolbox	✓	x	✓	x	x	~	x	x	x	✓	~
ART	✓	✓	✓	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	✓
CleverHans	✓	x	✓	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

Table 1: Comparison of secml and the other adversarial machine learning libraries. We show whether the library offer full (✓), partial (~) or no (x) support of a particular feature.

assessing the performances of machine learning models.

Testing and documentation. We have run extensive tests on macOS X, Ubuntu 16.04, Debian 9 and 10, through the GitHub Actions infrastructure,³ with also experimental support on Windows 10 platforms. The user documentation is available at <https://secml.readthedocs.io/en/v0.15/>, along with a basic developer guide detailing how to extend the ml package with other classifiers and deep-learning frameworks. The complete set of unit tests is available in our repository. A comprehensive view of the functionalities available in secml is included in tutorials available as Jupyter notebooks.

3. Impact

We now offer two examples extracted from secml to showcase its impact: evasion attacks against DNNs, and a poisoning attack against an SVM.

Evasion attacks. We show here how to use secml to run different evasion attacks against ResNet-18, a DNN pretrained on ImageNet, available from *torchvision*. This example demonstrates how secml enables running *CleverHans* attacks (implemented in *TensorFlow*) against *PyTorch* models. Our goal is perturbing the image of a race car to be misclassified as a tiger, using the ℓ_2 -norm targeted Carlini-Wagner (CW) attack (from *CleverHans*), the ℓ_2 PGD attack implemented in secml, and PGD-patch, where the attacker can only change the pixels corresponding to the license plate [22].

We run all the attacks for 50 iterations, we set the confidence parameter of the CW attack $\kappa = 10^6$ to generate




Figure 2: Adversarial images (CW, PGD, and PGD-patch) representing a race car misclassified as a tiger. For PGD-patch, we also report explanations via integrated gradients.

high-confidence misclassifications, and $c = 0.4$, yielding an ℓ_2 perturbation size $\epsilon = 1.87$. We bound PGD to create an adversarial image with the same perturbation size. For PGD-patch, we do not bound the perturbation size for the pixels that can be modified.

The resulting adversarial images are shown in Fig. 2. For PGD-patch, we also highlight the most relevant pixels used by the DNN to classify this image as a tiger, using the *integrated gradients* explanation method. The most relevant pixels are found around the perturbed region containing the license plate, unveiling the presence

³<https://docs.github.com/en/actions/automating-builds-and-tests>




Figure 3: Attack optimization. *Left*: loss minimization; *Right*: confidence of source class (*race car*, dashed lines) vs confidence of target class (*tiger*, solid lines), across iterations.

of potential adversarial manipulations.

We also visualize the performances of the attack in Fig. 3. The leftmost plot shows how the attack losses (scaled linearly in $[0, 1]$ to enable comparison) iteration-wise, while the rightmost plot shows how the confidence assigned to class *race car* (dashed line) decreases in favor of the confidence assigned to class *tiger* (solid line) for each attack, across different iterations. By inspecting them, we can understand if these attacks have been correctly configured. For instance, by looking at the loss curves on the left, we can understand if the attacks reached convergence or not, thus facilitating tuning of either the step size or the number of iterations. Also, by looking at the plot on the right, it is clear that all the attacks are successful since the confidence of the target class exceeds the score of the original one.

Poisoning attacks. We also show the effect of a poisoning attack provided by `secml` applied to an SVM classifier implemented in `scikit-learn`. The experimental setting and code are available in one of our tutorials on GitHub⁴. Results of the successful attack are represented in Fig. 4., highlighting the flexibility of `secml` in applying different strategies to third-party models as well, without the need of customizing them on a particular framework.

⁴<https://github.com/pralab/secml/blob/master/tutorials/05-Poisoning.ipynb>

4. Conclusions and Future Work

The `secml` project was born more than five years ago and we open-sourced it in August 2019. Thanks to an emerging community of users and developers from our GitHub repository, we firmly believe that `secml` will soon become a reference tool to evaluate the security of machine-learning algorithms. We are constantly working to enrich it with new functionalities, by adding novel defenses, wrappers for other third-party libraries, and more pretrained models to the `secml` zoo.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partly supported by the PRIN 2017 project `RexLearn`, funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (grant no. 2017TWNMH2); by the project `ALOHA`, under the EU’s H2020 programme (grant no. 780788); and by the project `TESTABLE` (grant no. 101019206), under the EU’s H2020 research and innovation programme.

References

- [1] L. Huang, A. D. Joseph, B. Nelson, B. Rubinstein, and J. D. Tygar. Adversarial machine learning. In *4th ACM Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Security (AISec 2011)*, pages 43–57, Chicago, IL, USA, 2011.
- [2] B. Biggio, I. Corona, D. Maiorca, B. Nelson, N. Šrđić, P. Laskov, G. Giacinto, and F. Roli. Evasion attacks against machine learning at test time. In Hendrik Blockeel, Kristian Kersting, Siegfried Nijssen, and Filip Železný, editors, *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Part III*, volume 8190 of *LNCS*, pages 387–402. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [3] Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. Intriguing properties of neural networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2014.
- [4] Nicolas Papernot, Patrick McDaniel, Somesh Jha, Matt Fredrikson, Z. Berkay Celik, and Ananthram Swami. The limitations of deep learning in adversarial settings. In *Proc. 1st IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy*, pages 372–387. IEEE, 2016.
- [5] Nicholas Carlini and David A. Wagner. Towards evaluating the robustness of neural networks. In *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, pages 39–57. IEEE Computer Society, 2017.
- [6] Ilija Shumailov, Yiren Zhao, Daniel Bates, Nicolas Papernot, Robert Mullins, and Ross Anderson. Sponge examples: Energy-latency attacks on neural networks. In *2021 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy (EuroS&P)*, pages 212–231. IEEE, 2021.
- [7] Florian Tramèr, Fan Zhang, Ari Juels, Michael K Reiter, and Thomas Ristenpart. Stealing machine learning models via prediction APIs. In *25th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 16)*, pages 601–618, 2016.
- [8] Reza Shokri, Marco Stronati, Congzheng Song, and Vitaly Shmatikov. Membership inference attacks against machine learning models. In *2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*, pages 3–18. IEEE, 2017.




Figure 4: Poisoning attacks against an SVM implemented with *scikit-learn*. The poisoning data (denoted with \star in the right plot) induce the model to learn a worse decision boundary.

- [9] Battista Biggio, Blaine Nelson, and Pavel Laskov. Poisoning attacks against support vector machines. In John Langford and Joelle Pineau, editors, *29th Int'l Conf. on Machine Learning*, pages 1807–1814. Omnipress, 2012.
- [10] B. Biggio and F. Roli. Wild patterns: Ten years after the rise of adversarial machine learning. *Pattern Recognition*, 84:317–331, 2018.
- [11] Tianyu Gu, Kang Liu, Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, and Siddharth Garg. Badnets: Evaluating backdooring attacks on deep neural networks. *IEEE Access*, 7:47230–47244, 2019.
- [12] Anthony D. Joseph, Blaine Nelson, Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein, and J.D. Tygar. *Adversarial Machine Learning*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [13] Nicolas Papernot, Fartash Faghri, Nicholas Carlini, Ian Goodfellow, Reuben Feinman, Alexey Kurakin, Cihang Xie, Yash Sharma, Tom Brown, Aurko Roy, Alexander Matyasko, Vahid Behzadan, Karen Hambardzumyan, Zhishuai Zhang, Yi-Lin Juang, Zhi Li, Ryan Sheatsley, Abhibhav Garg, Jonathan Uesato, Willi Gierke, Yinpeng Dong, David Berthelot, Paul Hendricks, Jonas Rauber, and Rujun Long. Technical report on the cleverhans v2.1.0 adversarial examples library. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.00768*, 2018.
- [14] Jonas Rauber, Wieland Brendel, and Matthias Bethge. Foolbox: A Python toolbox to benchmark the robustness of machine learning models. In *Reliable Machine Learning in the Wild Workshop, 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2017.
- [15] Maria-Irina Nicolae, Mathieu Sinn, Minh Ngoc Tran, Beat Buesser, Ambrish Rawat, Martin Wistuba, Valentina Zantedeschi, Nathalie Baracaldo, Bryant Chen, Heiko Ludwig, et al. Adversarial robustness toolbox v1. 0.0. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.01069*, 2018.
- [16] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. “Why should I trust you?”: Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In *22nd ACM SIGKDD Int'l Conf. Knowl. Disc. Data Mining, KDD '16*, pages 1135–1144, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.
- [17] Mukund Sundararajan, Ankur Taly, and Qiqi Yan. Axiomatic attribution for deep networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 3319–3328. PMLR, 2017.
- [18] Pang Wei Koh and Percy Liang. Understanding black-box predictions via influence functions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1885–1894. PMLR, 2017.
- [19] Jonas Rauber, Roland Zimmermann, Matthias Bethge, and Wieland Brendel. Foolbox native: Fast adversarial attacks to benchmark the robustness of machine learning models in pytorch, tensorflow, and jax. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(53):2607, 2020.
- [20] Luca Demetrio and Battista Biggio. Secml-malware: Pentesting windows malware classifiers with adversarial EXEmpleS in Python. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.12848*, 2021.
- [21] Ambra Demontis, Marco Melis, Maura Pintor, Matthew Jagielski, Battista Biggio, Alina Oprea, Cristina Nita-Rotaru, and Fabio Roli. Why do adversarial attacks transfer? Explaining transferability of evasion and poisoning attacks. In *28th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 19)*. USENIX Association, 2019.
- [22] Marco Melis, Ambra Demontis, Battista Biggio, Gavin Brown, Giorgio Fumera, and Fabio Roli. Is deep learning safe for robot vision? Adversarial examples against the iCub humanoid. In *ICCVW Vision in Practice on Autonomous Robots (ViPAR)*, pages 751–759. IEEE, 2017.