

NONUNIFORM SAMPLING AND APPROXIMATION IN SOBOLEV SPACE FROM THE PERTURBATION OF FRAMELET SYSTEM

YOUFA LI, DEGUANG HAN, SHOUZHI YANG, AND GANJI HUANG

ABSTRACT. The Sobolev space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\varsigma > d/2$, is an important function space that has many applications in various areas of research. Attributed to the inertia of a measurement instrument, it is desirable in sampling theory to recover a function by its nonuniform sampling. In the present paper, based on dual framelet systems for the Sobolev space pair $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where $d/2 < s < \varsigma$, we investigate the problem of constructing the approximations to all the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by nonuniform sampling. We first establish the convergence rate of the framelet series in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and then construct the framelet approximation operator that acts on the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We examine the stability property for the framelet approximation operator with respect to the perturbations of shift parameters, and obtain an estimate bound for the perturbation error. Our result shows that under the condition $d/2 < s < \varsigma$, the approximation operator is robust to shift perturbations. Motivated by some recent work on nonuniform sampling and approximation in Sobolev space (e.g., [20]), we don't require the perturbation sequence to be in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Our results allow us to establish the approximation for every function in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by nonuniform sampling. In particular, the approximation error is robust to the jittering of the samples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sampling is a fundamental tool for the conversion between an analogue signal and its digital form (A/D). The most classical sampling theory is the Whittaker-Kotelnikov-Shannon (WKS) sampling theorem [47], which states that a bandlimited signal can be perfectly reconstructed if it is sampled at a rate greater than its Nyquist frequency. The WKS sampling theorem holds only for bandlimited signals. In order to extend the sampling theorem to non-bandlimited signals, researchers have established various sampling theorems for many other function spaces. Such examples include the sampling theory for shift-invariant subspaces (c.f.[1, 2, 3, 13, 32, 33, 52, 53, 54]),

Date: October 14, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42C40; 65T60; 94A20.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev space, framelet series, truncation error, perturbation error, nonuniform sampling and approximation.

Youfa Li is partially supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos: 61961003, 61561006, 11501132), Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (Nos: 2018JJA110110, 2016GXNSFAA380049) and the talent project of Education Department of Guangxi Government for Young-Middle-Aged backbone teachers. Deguang Han is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1712602.

reproducing kernel subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (c.f.[9, 21, 29, 45]) and subspaces from the generalized sinc functions (c.f.[8]).

1.1. The goal of applicable scope and sampling flexibility. For any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the Sobolev space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma d\xi < \infty \right\},$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ is the Fourier transform of f . For $\varsigma > d/2$, by the similar analysis in [44, Chapter 9.1] one can check that the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are continuous. From now on it is assumed that $\varsigma > d/2$. The function theory in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is important for many problems. Among others these include the boundedness of the Fourier multiplier operator [7, 19], viscous shallow water system [42, 57], PDE or ODE [24, 46], and signal analysis [44]. Moreover, it is easy to check that many important function spaces such as the bandlimited function space [47], shift-invariant subspace [1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 22, 51] (in which the generator is continuous) are contained in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some appropriate $\varsigma > d/2$. However, in general it not easy to determine whether a function in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to a desired subspace or not. Therefore it is practically useful to establish some recovery methods for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Besides the aspect of *applicable scope*, the samples we acquire may also well be jittered and thus usually nonuniform [49, 50, 51]. Therefore the goal of this paper is to establish a sampling theory for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This will allow us to construct the approximations to *all* the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which admit *nonuniform* sampling points. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been examined in the literature. Our goal will be achieved in Theorem 4.1 by the theory of dual framelets in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, with $d/2 < s < \varsigma$, which was introduced by Han and Shen [22]. In what follows we introduce some necessary terminologies for framelets in Sobolev spaces. More details can be found in [22] and Han's continuing work [25, 26, 28] on dual framelets in distribution spaces.

1.2. Preliminary terminologies for dual framelets in dual Sobolev spaces.

By (1.1), $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma d\xi, \quad \forall f, g \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\overline{\widehat{g}}$ is the complex conjugate. Naturally, the deduced norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma d\xi \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It is easy to check that the functional $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-\varsigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} d\xi, \quad \forall f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d), g \in H^{-\varsigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

can be bounded by $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{H^{\varsigma_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{H^{-\varsigma_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Clearly, $H^{\varsigma_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \supseteq H^{\varsigma_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\varsigma_1 \leq \varsigma_2$. Moreover, $H^0(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and correspondingly $\|\cdot\|_{H^0(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. For any two functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, define their bracket product $[f, g]_\mu$ by

$$(1.3) \quad [f, g]_\mu(\xi) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\xi + 2k\pi) \overline{g(\xi + 2k\pi)} (1 + \|\xi + 2k\pi\|_2^\mu),$$

whenever the above series converge. Readers can refer to Han's method [23, 28] for estimating the bracket product.

A $d \times d$ integer matrix M is referred to as a dilation matrix if all its eigenvalues are strictly larger than 1 in modulus. Throughout this paper, we are interested in the case that M is isotropic. Specifically, M is similar to $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$ with

$$|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \dots = |\lambda_d| = m := |\det M|^{1/d}.$$

Suppose that $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an M -refinable function given via the M -refinement equation

$$(1.4) \quad \widehat{\phi}(M^T \cdot) = \widehat{a}(\cdot) \widehat{\phi}(\cdot),$$

where $\widehat{a}(\cdot) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a[k] e^{ik \cdot}$ is referred to as the mask symbol of ϕ , and $\{\psi^\ell\}_{\ell=1}^L$ is a set of wavelet functions defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \widehat{\psi^\ell}(M^T \cdot) = \widehat{b}^\ell(\cdot) \widehat{\phi}(\cdot),$$

where the $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic trigonometric polynomial $\widehat{b}^\ell(\cdot)$ is the mask symbol of ψ^ℓ . Now a wavelet system $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L) := \{\phi_{0,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \cup \{\psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ell = 1, \dots, L\},$$

where $\phi_{0,k} = \phi(\cdot - k)$, $\psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} = m^{j(d/2-s)} \psi^\ell(M^j \cdot - k)$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for every $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(1.7) \quad C_1 \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \phi_{0,k} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}|^2 \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$$

then we say that $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L)$ is an M -framelet system in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If there exists another M -framelet system $X^{-s}(\widetilde{\phi}; \widetilde{\psi}^1, \dots, \widetilde{\psi}^L)$ in $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(1.8) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \phi_{0,k}, g \rangle \langle f, \widetilde{\phi}_{0,k} \rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s}, g \rangle \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell,-s} \rangle,$$

then we say that $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{\psi}^1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}^L)$ form a pair of dual M -framelet systems in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For any function $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows from (1.8) that

$$(1.9) \quad f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_{0,k} \rangle \phi_{0,k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell,-s} \rangle \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s}.$$

Note 1.1. (i) The framelets in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ must have at least one vanishing moment such that the framelet series converge unconditionally (c.f. [11, 16, 22]). However when $s > 0$, the vanishing moment of ψ^ℓ is not necessary for the convergence of the series in (1.9), $\ell = 1, \dots, L$. This is the most significant difference between the framelets in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and those in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For more details about the conditions for the convergence of framelet series in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, readers can refer to [22, 28]. (ii) Our goal is to construct the approximations to all the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($\varsigma > d/2$). The construction scheme is sketched as follows. We first choose $d/2 < s < \varsigma$, and design special dual framelet systems $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{\psi}^1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}^L)$ in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Recall that the target $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we shall use (1.9) to establish the approximation to f . The reason for $\varsigma > s$ is postponed to Remark 2.1.

1.3. Main results and structure of the present paper. The main results of the present paper are stated in Theorem 2.2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1. As assumed in Note 1.1 (ii), the target $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{\psi}^1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}^L)$ are the dual framelet systems in $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ where $d/2 < s < \varsigma$. It will be clear in Theorem 4.1 that the truncation version $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f$ of the series in (1.9) with respect to the scale j , defined by

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{S}_\phi^N f := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_{0,k} \rangle \phi_{0,k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell,-s} \rangle \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s},$$

is crucial for establishing the sampling and approximation. Naturally, the first problem is how to estimate the approximation error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s}$ for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where I is the identity operator. The answer to this problem will be given in Theorem 2.2. It should be noted that the estimation of the approximation error established in this paper holds for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In [39], the error estimation was established for a class of target functions. For one-dimensional case, the error was estimated in the sense of Sobolev seminorm by [28, Corollary 4.7.3]. Theorem 2.2 is not the trivial generalization of [39] and [28, Corollary 4.7.3]. More details of comparison will be given in Comparison 2.1.

We next turn to the perturbation of $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f$. It will be clear in (3.6) and (4.4) that the sampling nonuniformity is substantially derived from the perturbation of shift parameter k of the system $\{\tilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subseteq H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\tilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s} = m^{N(d/2+s)} \tilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot$

$-k$). Thus, in order to construct the approximation by nonuniform sampling, we need to estimate the perturbation error of $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi, \underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2}$, where $\underline{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is the perturbation sequence of the shift sequence $\{k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. Theorem 3.1 establishes such an error estimation. Motivated by Hamm's recent work [20] on the nonuniform sampling-based approximation, the perturbation sequence in the present paper is not required to sit in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ (the square summable sequence space). Since the perturbation sequence is not necessarily in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, the error can not be estimated by the brute force estimation but by using some crucial techniques in Subsection 3.1. More details about the techniques will be summarized in Subsection 5.3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we shall establish an error estimation of $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\varsigma > s > d/2$. The perturbation error $\|f - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N f\|_{L^2}$ will be estimated in Section 3 (Theorem 3.1), where $\mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N f$ is the perturbation version of $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f$ with $\underline{\varepsilon}$ being the the shift-perturbation sequence mentioned previously. In Section 4 (Theorem 4.1) we will present a main application of our two main results in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.1. Specifically, by using a pair of dual framelets for $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, we are able to construct the nonuniform sampling-based approximation to any function in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Our main approximation results in Theorem 2.2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, and the estimation techniques are not trivial generalizations of the results available in the literature. In Section 5, we make detailed comparisons between the main results and the estimation techniques of this paper with the existing ones in the literature. Two simulation examples are presented in Section 6 to demonstrate the approximation efficiency.

2. FRAMELET APPROXIMATION SYSTEM IN SOBOLEV SPACE

In Lemma 2.1 we will estimate the convergence rate of the coefficient sequence $\{\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell, -s} \rangle\}_{j,k}$ in (1.9) with respect to the scale j . Based on Lemma 2.1 we will establish an estimation for $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ in Theorem 2.2, where $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\varsigma > s$. The following notations and definitions are needed for our discussion.

For any $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define $x^\alpha := \prod_{k=1}^d x_k^{\alpha_k}$. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its α th partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} f$ is defined as

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} f = \frac{\partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}} f.$$

We say that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has $\kappa + 1$ ($\in \mathbb{N}$) vanishing moments if

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \widehat{f}(0) = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $\|\alpha\|_1 \leq \kappa$. The characteristic function of the set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by χ_E . Motivated by [28, Theorem 4.6.5], we establish the convergence rate of the wavelet series in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\tilde{\phi} \in H^{-\varsigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is M -refinable such that $\|[\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}]_{-\varsigma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \infty$, where $\varsigma > 0$ and $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]$. Moreover, $\tilde{\phi}$ belongs to $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $0 < s < \varsigma$. A wavelet function $\tilde{\psi}$ given by $\tilde{\psi}(M^T \cdot) = \tilde{b}(\cdot)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)$ has $\kappa + 1$ vanishing moments, where \tilde{b} is a $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic trigonometric polynomial, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\kappa + 1 > \varsigma$. That is, there exists $g_1 > 0$ such that $|\tilde{\psi}(\xi)| \leq g_1 \|\xi\|_2^{\kappa+1}$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Then there exists a positive constant $H_{\tilde{\psi}}(\varsigma, s)$ such that for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{-s} \rangle|^2 \leq H_{\tilde{\psi}}(\varsigma, s) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 m^{-2N(\varsigma-s)}.$$

Proof. Through the direct calculation we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{-s} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{m^{jd}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{(-\pi, \pi]^d} m^{2js} |\widehat{f}((M^T)^j \cdot), \widehat{\psi}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{m^{jd}}{2^{d-1}\pi^d} \int_{(-\pi, \pi]^d} m^{2js} |\widehat{f}((M^T)^j \xi) \widehat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ \frac{m^{jd}}{2^{d-1}\pi^d} \int_{(-\pi, \pi]^d} m^{2js} \left| \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}((M^T)^j(\xi + 2\pi k)) \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\xi + 2\pi k)} \right|^2 d\xi \\ &= I_{1,j} + I_{2,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is used in the inequality,

$$I_{1,j} := \frac{1}{2^{d-1}\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} m^{2js} |\widehat{\psi}((M^{-T})^j \xi)|^2 \chi_{\Lambda_j}(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$I_{2,j} := \frac{\|[\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\psi}]_{-\varsigma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{2^{d-1}\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} m^{2js} (1 + \|(M^T)^{-j} \xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Lambda_j}(\xi) d\xi$$

with $\Lambda_j := (M^T)^j \mathbb{T}^d$.

Define

$$B_{1,N}(\xi) := (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m^{2js} |\widehat{\psi}((M^{-T})^j \xi)|^2 \chi_{\Lambda_j}(\xi),$$

and

$$B_{2,N}(\xi) := (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m^{2js} (1 + \|(M^T)^{-j} \xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Lambda_j}(\xi).$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{-s} \rangle|^2 \leq 2 \max\{1, \|[\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\psi}]_{-\varsigma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}\} \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \max\{\|B_{1,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \|B_{2,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\}.$$

In what follows we estimate $\|B_{1,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and $\|B_{2,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Clearly if $\xi \in \Lambda_j$ then $\|\xi\|_2 \leq \sqrt{d}\pi m^j$. Consequently, if $0 < \|\xi\|_2 \leq \sqrt{d}\pi m^N$ then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{1,N}(\xi) &= (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m^{2js} |\widehat{\psi}((M^{-T})^j \xi)|^2 \chi_{\Lambda_j}(\xi) \\ &\leq g_1^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \|\xi\|_2^{2(\kappa+1)} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)j} \\ &\leq g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-\varsigma)} \frac{m^{-2(\varsigma-s)N}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\kappa + 1 \geq \varsigma > s$ in the last inequality. Next we estimate $B_{1,N}(\xi)$ when $\|\xi\|_2 > \sqrt{d}\pi m^N$. By the above analysis, if $\xi \in \Lambda_j$ then $j \geq J_\xi := \max\{0, \lceil \log_m \frac{\|\xi\|_2}{\sqrt{d}\pi} \rceil\}$, where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer that is larger than x . Therefore, whenever $\|\xi\|_2 > \sqrt{d}\pi m^N$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{1,N}(\xi) &= (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} m^{2js} |\widehat{\psi}((M^{-T})^j \xi)|^2 \chi_{\Lambda_j}(\xi) \\ &= (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=J_\xi}^{\infty} m^{2js} |\widehat{\psi}((M^{-T})^j \xi)|^2 \chi_{\Lambda_j}(\xi) \\ &\leq g_1^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \|\xi\|_2^{2(\kappa+1)} \sum_{j=J_\xi}^{\infty} m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)j} \\ &\leq g_1^2 \|\xi\|_2^{2(\kappa+1-\varsigma)} \sum_{j=J_\xi}^{\infty} m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)j} \\ &= g_1^2 \|\xi\|_2^{-2\varsigma} \|\xi\|_2^{2(\kappa+1)} \frac{m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)J_\xi}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}} \\ &\leq g_1^2 \|\xi\|_2^{-2\varsigma} \|\xi\|_2^{2(\kappa+1)} \frac{m^{-2(\kappa+1-s) \log_m \frac{\|\xi\|_2}{\sqrt{d}\pi}}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}} \\ &= \frac{g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-s)}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}} \|\xi\|_2^{-2(\varsigma-s)} \\ &\leq \frac{g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-s)}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}} (\sqrt{d}\pi m^N)^{-2(\varsigma-s)} \\ &= g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-\varsigma)} \frac{m^{-2(\varsigma-s)N}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $J_\xi \geq N$ is used in the first inequality. Then it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$(2.6) \quad \|B_{1,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-\varsigma)}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}} m^{-2(\varsigma-s)N}.$$

We next estimate $\|B_{2,N}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Denote the sphere $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\xi\|_2 \leq r\}$ by $\mathbb{S}_d(r)$. Clearly $(M^T)^j \mathbb{T}^d \supseteq \mathbb{S}_d(m^j \pi)$. As previously we can prove that $\xi \notin \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (M^T)^j \mathbb{T}^d$ if $j \geq \widehat{J}_\xi$, where $\widehat{J}_\xi := \max\{0, \lceil \log_m \frac{\|\xi\|_2}{\pi} \rceil\}$. Consequently, $B_{2,N}(\xi) = 0$ when $\|\xi\|_2 <$

πm^N . When $\|\xi\|_2 \geq \pi m^N$, $|B_{2,N}(\xi)|$ is estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad B_{2,N}(\xi) &= (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\widehat{J}_\xi - 1} m^{2js} (1 + \|(M^T)^{-j}\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Lambda_j}(\xi) \\
&\leq 2^\varsigma (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\widehat{J}_\xi - 1} m^{2js} (1 + m^{-2j\varsigma} \|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}) \\
&= \frac{2^\varsigma}{\|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}} \frac{m^{2Ns} m^{2s(\widehat{J}_\xi - N)}}{m^{2s} - 1} + 2^\varsigma \frac{\|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}}{(1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma} \sum_{j=N}^{\widehat{J}_\xi - 1} m^{-2j(\varsigma - s)} \\
&\leq \frac{2^\varsigma}{\|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}} \frac{m^{2s\widehat{J}_\xi}}{m^{2s} - 1} + 2^{\varsigma+1} \frac{\|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}}{(1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^\varsigma} \frac{m^{-2N(\varsigma - s)}}{1 - m^{-2(\varsigma - s)}} \\
&\leq 2^\varsigma \frac{1}{\|\xi\|_2^{2\varsigma}} \frac{m^{2s\widehat{J}_\xi}}{m^{2s} - 1} + 2^{\varsigma+1} \frac{m^{-2N(\varsigma - s)}}{1 - m^{-2(\varsigma - s)}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2^\varsigma m^{2s}}{\pi^{2\varsigma}} \frac{1}{m^{2s} - 1} + \frac{2^{\varsigma+1}}{1 - m^{-2(\varsigma - s)}} \right) m^{-2N(\varsigma - s)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $(1 + |x|^2)^\varsigma \leq (2 \max\{1, |x|^2\})^\varsigma \leq 2^\varsigma (1 + |x|^{2\varsigma})$ and $\widehat{J}_\xi \leq 1 + \log_m \frac{\|\xi\|_2}{\pi}$ in the first and last inequalities, respectively. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\widehat{\psi}}(\varsigma, s) &:= 2 \max \left\{ 1, \|\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\psi}\|_{-\varsigma} \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{g_1^2 (\sqrt{d}\pi)^{2(\kappa+1-\varsigma)}}{1 - m^{-2(\kappa+1-s)}}, \left(\frac{2^\varsigma m^{2s}}{\pi^{2\varsigma}} \frac{1}{m^{2s} - 1} + \frac{2^{\varsigma+1}}{1 - m^{-2(\varsigma-s)}} \right) \right\} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the proof can be concluded by (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7). \square

Remark 2.1. The condition $\varsigma - s > 0$ guarantees that the series in (2.5) and (2.7) converge.

Based on Lemma 2.1, we estimate the approximation error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ in the following theorem, where \mathcal{S}_ϕ^N is defined in (1.10).

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\widetilde{\phi}; \widetilde{\psi}^1, \widetilde{\psi}^2, \dots, \widetilde{\psi}^L)$ form a pair of dual M -framelet systems for $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, $\phi \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^\ell$ has $\kappa + 1$ vanishing moments, where $0 < s < \varsigma < \kappa + 1$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Then there exists a positive constant $C(s, \varsigma)$ such that for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(2.8) \quad \|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(s, \varsigma) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N(\varsigma-s)/2}.$$

Proof. Suppose that ψ^ℓ and $\widetilde{\psi}^\ell$ are defined by $\widehat{\psi}^\ell(M^T \cdot) = \widehat{b}^\ell(\cdot) \widehat{\phi}(\cdot)$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}^\ell(M^T \cdot) = \widehat{b}^\ell(\cdot) \widehat{\widetilde{\phi}}(\cdot)$, respectively. Denote by $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times L)$ the space of square summable sequences supported on $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times L$. Let $P : H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times L)$

be the analysis operator of $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^L)$. That is, for any $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the mapping Pg is defined as

$$Pg := \left\{ \langle g, \phi_{0,n} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \langle g, \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ell = 1, \dots, L \right\}.$$

By (1.7), P is a bounded operator from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times L)$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \|Pg\|_2 \leq \|P\| \|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

By the isomorphic map $\theta_s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\theta_s g}(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi)(1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^s, \forall g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

it is easy to prove that (1.7) holds if and only if

$$(2.10) \quad C_1 \|\widetilde{g}\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle \widetilde{g}, \phi_{0,k} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle \widetilde{g}, \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} \rangle|^2 \leq C_2 \|\widetilde{g}\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$$

for any $\widetilde{g} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By (2.10) and [40, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|P\| \leq h(s, \varsigma),$$

where

$$h(s, \varsigma) = (L \|\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} [1 + \frac{m^d}{(2\pi)^d} (\frac{m^{2(\varsigma+s)} 2^s}{m^{2(\varsigma-s)} - 1} + \frac{2^s}{1 - m^{-2s}}) \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq L} \{\|\widehat{b}^\ell\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}\}])^{1/2}.$$

Next we compute P^* , the adjoint operator of P . For any $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times L)$ such that its the elements are c_k and $c_{j,k,\ell,-s}$, we have

$$\langle P^*c, g \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \langle c, Pg \rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \langle g, \phi_{0,k} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{j,k,\ell,-s} \langle g, \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Therefore,

$$P^*c = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \phi_{0,k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{j,k,\ell,-s} \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s}.$$

From $\|P^*\| = \|P\|$, we arrive at

$$(2.12) \quad \|P^*(c)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|P\| \|c\|_{\ell^2}.$$

For any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows from (2.12), (2.11) and (2.1) that

$$(2.13) \quad \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell,-s} \rangle \psi_{j,k}^{\ell,s} \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|P\| \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{j,k}^{\ell,-s} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq h(s, \varsigma) \sqrt{H(\varsigma, s)} m^{-N(\varsigma-s)/2} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $H(\varsigma, s) := \sum_{\ell=1}^L H_{\widetilde{\psi}_\ell}(\varsigma, s)$ with $H_{\widetilde{\psi}_\ell}(\varsigma, s)$ being given by Lemma 2.1 (2.1). Now we define

$$C(s, \varsigma) := h(s, \varsigma) \sqrt{H(\varsigma, s)}$$

to conclude the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. For a target function $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the approximation error $O(m^{-N(\varsigma-s)/2})$ in (2.8) holds provided that f also lies in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\varsigma > s$. As mentioned in Note 1.1 (ii), our aim in this paper is to construct the approximation to all the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $\varsigma > d/2$. In fact, the above requirement $\varsigma > s$ will not bring any negative impact on our aim. To make this point, we sketch the procedures for constructing the approximation in Theorem 2.2. For any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we choose $d/2 < s < \varsigma$ and construct a pair of dual M -framelet systems $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{\psi}^1, \tilde{\psi}^2, \dots, \tilde{\psi}^L)$ of $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then f can be approximated by $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f$ in (2.8).

Comparison 2.1. (i) There are some bounds for the error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{L^2}$ in the literature such as in [36, 39]. When f belongs to the Schwartz class $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the above error was estimated in [36, Theorem 16]. For the target f satisfying

$$(2.14) \quad |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \|\xi\|_2)^{-\frac{d-\alpha}{2}} \text{ for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \alpha > 0,$$

the error was estimated in [39]. Clearly there are many functions sitting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, there are also many functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ not satisfying (2.14). For example, the following class of the generalized sinc function (c.f. [8, 41]), given by the Fourier transform

$$(2.15) \quad \widehat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\lambda_n} \chi_{[n, n+\epsilon_n]}(\xi),$$

where $\lambda_n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon_n < \min\{e^{-2\lambda_n}, 1\}$, does not satisfy (2.14) for any α and C_0 . Contrary to [36, 39], Theorem 2.2 holds for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\varsigma > 0$. Therefore, Theorem 2.2 is not the trivial generalization of [36, 39]. (ii) For the case of $d = 1$, the Sobolev seminorm $|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{R})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{2s} d\xi\right)^{1/2}$ was estimated in [28, Corollary 4.7.3]. Clearly, $|\cdot|_{W_2^s} \leq 2\pi \|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ but the two norms are not equivalent. Therefore for $d = 1$, Theorem 2.2 is not the trivial generalization of [28, Corollary 4.7.3].

3. APPROXIMATION BY THE SHIFT-PERTURBED SYSTEM IN $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ WHEN THE PERTURBATION SEQUENCE IS NOT NECESSARILY IN $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

The complete set of representatives of distinctive cosets of the quotient group $[(M^T)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d]/\mathbb{Z}^d$ is denoted by $\Gamma_{M^T} := \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{m^d-1}\}$ with $\gamma_0 = 0$. Recall that the mixed extension principle (MEP) is an efficient algorithm (c.f.[16, 17, 22]) for designing dual framelet systems. In this section, we will use MEP to design the dual framelet systems $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^L)$ and $X^{-s}(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{\psi}^1, \tilde{\psi}^2, \dots, \tilde{\psi}^L)$ where $s > d/2$. By such systems, we will construct the approximations to the functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$

where $\varsigma > s > d/2$. Since the dual systems are derived from MEP, the mask symbols $\{\widehat{b}^1, \dots, \widehat{b}^L\}$ of $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\}$, and $\{\widetilde{b}^1, \dots, \widetilde{b}^L\}$ of $\{\widetilde{\psi}^1, \dots, \widetilde{\psi}^L\}$ satisfy

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \widetilde{b}^\ell \widehat{b}^\ell + \widetilde{a} \widehat{a} = 1, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^L \widetilde{b}^\ell(\cdot + \gamma_j) \widehat{b}^\ell(\cdot) + \widetilde{a}(\cdot + \gamma_j) \widehat{a}(\cdot + \gamma_j) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, m^d - 1\}, \end{cases}$$

where \widehat{a} and \widetilde{a} are the mask symbols of ϕ and $\widetilde{\phi}$, respectively. It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_\phi^N f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s} \rangle \phi_{N,k}^s,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_{N,k}^s := m^{N(d/2-s)} \phi(M^N \cdot -k) \text{ and } \widetilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s} := m^{N(d/2+s)} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k).$$

That is, \mathcal{S}_ϕ^N can be reexpressed by the system $\{\widetilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s}, \phi_{N,k}^s\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. By (2.8), when the scale N is sufficiently large, f can be well approximated by using the inner products $\langle f, \widetilde{\phi}_{N,k}^{-s} \rangle, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. In what follows we introduce the perturbed version of $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N f$. Motivated by Hamm [20], suppose that the perturbation sequence $\underline{\varepsilon} := \{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\varepsilon_k - \lambda\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} < \infty$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha > 0$. Clearly, if $\lambda \neq 0$ then $\underline{\varepsilon}$ does not lie in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \left\{ \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : \|\{x_k\}\|_{\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|x_k\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Now define the perturbed version $\mathcal{S}_{\phi;\underline{\varepsilon}}^N$ of $\mathcal{S}_\phi^N : H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\phi;\underline{\varepsilon}}^N f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, m^{N(d/2+s)} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle \phi_{N,k}^s, \quad \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Our main task in the present section is to establish the approximation error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi;\underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2}$ for any function $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\varsigma > s > d/2$. The main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\varsigma > d/2$ and $\phi \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is M -refinable. Choose $d/2 < s < \varsigma$ and construct an M -refinable function $\widetilde{\phi} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, suppose that the sequence $\underline{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ satisfies in (3.4) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < \alpha < \min\{2s - d, 2\}$, and $N \geq \frac{2s+2-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \log_m d$ is arbitrary. Then there exists a constant $C_3(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(3.7) \quad \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi;\underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2} \leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{L^2} + C_3(s, \varsigma, d, \alpha) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N\zeta} [\|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max}],$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad \zeta = \min \left\{ 1, \varsigma - s, \left(\frac{4s + (\alpha - 2)d}{2s - \alpha + 2} + d \right) / 2 \right\},$$

and $\|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max} = \max\{\|\{\varepsilon_k - \lambda\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \|\{\varepsilon_k - \lambda\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^{\alpha/2}\}$.

Proof. The proof is given in Subsection 3.2. \square

3.1. Auxiliary results for proving Theorem 3.1. In this subsection we present some auxiliary results which will be helpful for proving Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let $J \geq \log_m d$ and $s > d/2$. Then

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \|j\|_2^{-2s} \leq \widehat{C}(s, d) m^{-J(2s-d)},$$

where

$$\widehat{C}(s, d) := 2^{d-1} d^{s-d} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2s-d} + 1 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{n} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2s-l} + \frac{1}{2s-1} + 1 \right].$$

Proof. The proof is given in the Appendix section. \square

As mentioned previously the perturbation sequence $\underline{\varepsilon}$ satisfying (3.4) does not necessarily sit in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. When it sits in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, we establish the approximation error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N) f\|_{L^2}$ in the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that both $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widetilde{\phi} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are M -refinable, where $s > d/2$ and $\|\widetilde{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty$. Moreover, suppose that $\underline{\varepsilon} := \{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ lies in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $0 < \alpha < \min\{2s-d, 2\}$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_2(s, \alpha, d)$ such that for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $N \geq \frac{2s+2-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \log_m d$,

$$(3.10) \quad \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N) f\|_{L^2} \leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N) f\|_{L^2} + C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\max} m^{-N(\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2},$$

where

$$(3.11) \quad \|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\max} := \max\{\|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^{\alpha/2}\}.$$

Proof. We only need to prove that

$$(3.12) \quad \|(\mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N) f\|_{L^2} \leq C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\max} m^{-N(\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2}.$$

The above inequality will be proved in subsection 7.2. \square

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that both $\phi \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widetilde{\phi} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are M -refinable, where $\varsigma > s > d/2$. Moreover, a sequence $\underline{\eta} \in \ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $0 < \alpha < \min\{2s-d, 2\}$. Then there exists a constant $\widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) > 0$ such that for any $N \geq \frac{2s+2-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \log_m d$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(3.13) \quad \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\eta}}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \leq \widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N\vartheta(s, \varsigma, \alpha, \varsigma)} \|\lambda\|_2^\varsigma,$$

where $\vartheta(s, \varsigma, \alpha, \zeta) := \zeta + \min \{(\varsigma - s)/2, (\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2\}$ with ζ defined in (3.8).

Proof. We first estimate $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\eta}}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2}$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\eta}}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} + \|(\mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\eta}}^N - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|\underline{\eta}\|_{\max} m^{-N(\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2} \|f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)},
\end{aligned}$$

where first and second inequalities are derived from the triangle inequality and Lemma 3.3 (3.12), respectively.

Invoking (2.8), we get

$$(3.15) \quad \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \leq C(s, \varsigma) m^{-N(\varsigma-s)/2} \|f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \|f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 |1 - e^{i(M^T)^{-N}\lambda \cdot \xi}|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^s d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 4 |\sin((M^T)^{-N}\lambda \cdot \xi/2)|^{2\zeta} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^s d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left[\frac{2^{2-2\zeta} \|(M^T)^{-N}\lambda\|_2^{2\zeta}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\xi\|_2^{2\zeta} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^s d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq 2^{1-\zeta} m^{-N\zeta} \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and third inequalities are derived from $\zeta \leq 1$ and $\zeta \leq \varsigma - s$, respectively. Now by (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\eta}}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \left(C(s, \varsigma) m^{-N(\varsigma-s)/2} + C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|\underline{\eta}\|_{\max} m^{-N(\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2} \right) 2^{1-\zeta} m^{-N\zeta} \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \leq 2^{1-\zeta} \max \{C(s, \varsigma), C_2(s, \alpha, d)\} \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N\vartheta(s, \varsigma, \alpha, \zeta)} \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) := 2^{1-\zeta} \max \{C(s, \varsigma), C_2(s, \alpha, d)\}$$

to conclude the proof of (3.13). \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Parseval identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad & \langle f, m^{Nd/2} \tilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k + \lambda) - m^{Nd/2} \tilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle \\
&= \frac{m^{-Nd/2}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) (1 - e^{i(M^T)^{-N}\lambda \cdot \xi}) \overline{\widehat{\phi}((M^T)^{-N}\xi)} e^{i(M^T)^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k - \lambda) \cdot \xi} d\xi \\
&= \langle f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda), m^{Nd/2} \tilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k + \lambda) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.18) and the triangle inequality, the error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_2$ is estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N)f\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N)f\|_{L^2} + \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} + \|f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda)\|_{L^2} \\
&= I_1 + I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N)f\|_{L^2}, \quad I_2 = \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda}^N)(f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda))\|_{L^2} + \|f - f(\cdot + M^{-N}\lambda)\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda \in \ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 (3.13) and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad I_2 &\leq \widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N\vartheta(s, \varsigma, \alpha, \zeta)} \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + 2^{1-\zeta} \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta m^{-N\zeta} \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&= (\widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) + 2^{1-\zeta}) \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta m^{-N\zeta} \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 (3.10) and $\zeta \leq (\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2} + d)/2$, we have

$$(3.21) \quad I_1 \leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)f\|_{L^2} + C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max} m^{-N\zeta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Now it follows from (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad & \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq I_1 + I_2 \\
&\leq \|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi}^N)f\|_{L^2} + [(\widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) + 2^{1-\zeta}) \|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + C_2(s, \alpha, d) \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max}] m^{-N\zeta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$C_3(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) := \max \{ (\widetilde{C}_2(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) + 2^{1-\zeta}), C_2(s, \alpha, d) \}$$

to conclude the proof.

4. APPROXIMATIONS TO FUNCTIONS IN SOBOLEV SPACES BY NONUNIFORM SAMPLING

This section starts with the definition of the sum rule of a refinable function. Let the M -refinable function $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be defined via the M -refinement equation: $\widehat{\phi}(M^T \cdot) = \widehat{a}(\cdot) \widehat{\phi}(\cdot)$. We say that ϕ has $\kappa + 1$ sum rules if $\widehat{a}(\xi + \gamma_j) = O(\|\xi\|_2)$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0$, where any $\gamma_j \in [(M^T)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d]/\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $j \neq 0$ is as in (3.1). For the relationship

between the sum rule of ϕ and the approximation order of the shift-invariant space generated from ϕ , readers can refer to [28].

With the help of Theorem 3.1 we establish the approximation in the following theorem, which states that any function in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (where $\varsigma > d/2$) can be stably reconstructed by its nonuniform sampling.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $\phi \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is M -refinable and has $\kappa + 1$ sum rules where $d/2 < \varsigma < \kappa + 1$. Moreover, the perturbation sequence $\underline{\varepsilon}$ is as in (3.4) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < \alpha < \min\{2s - d, 2\}$ where $d/2 < s < \varsigma$, and $N \geq \frac{2s+2-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \log_m d$ is arbitrary. Then there exists a positive constant $C_0(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d)$ such that for any $f \in H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(M^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k))\phi(M^N \cdot -k)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C_0(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left[m^{-(\varsigma-s)N} + m^{-N\zeta} (\|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where ζ is defined in (3.8), and as in Theorem 3.1, $\|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max} = \max\{\|\{\varepsilon_k - \lambda\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \|\{\varepsilon_k - \lambda\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^{\alpha/2}\}$.

Proof. Define a distribution Δ on \mathbb{R}^d by

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) := \delta(x_1) \otimes \delta(x_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \delta(x_d),$$

where δ is the delta distribution on \mathbb{R} , and \otimes is the tensor product. It follows from $\widehat{\delta} \equiv 1$ that $\Delta \in H^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is M -refinable for any $\mu > d/2$. Since ϕ has $\kappa + 1$ sum rules, by MEP [40, Algorithm 4.1] we can design a pair of dual M -framelet systems $X^s(\phi; \psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^{m^d})$ and $X^{-s}(\Delta; \widetilde{\psi}^1, \widetilde{\psi}^2, \dots, \widetilde{\psi}^{m^d})$ such that $\widetilde{\psi}^1, \widetilde{\psi}^2, \dots$, and $\widetilde{\psi}^{m^d}$ have $\kappa + 1$ vanishing moments. By the sampling property of δ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \langle f, \Delta \rangle = f(0).$$

Combining (3.2) and (4.3), the operators \mathcal{S}_ϕ^N and $\mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N$ defined in (1.10) and (3.6) can be expressed by

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_\phi^N f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(M^{-N}k)\phi(M^N \cdot -k), \quad \mathcal{S}_{\phi; \underline{\varepsilon}}^N f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(M^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k))\phi(M^N \cdot -k).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 (2.8) and Theorem 3.1 (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(M^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k))\phi(M^N \cdot -k)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left[C(s, \varsigma) m^{-(\varsigma-s)N} + C_3(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} m^{-N\zeta} (\|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max}) \right] \\ & \leq C_0(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) \|f\|_{H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left[m^{-(\varsigma-s)N} + m^{-N\zeta} (\|\lambda\|_2^\zeta + \|\underline{\varepsilon} - \lambda\|_{\max}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d) := \max\{C(s, \varsigma), C_3(s, \varsigma, \alpha, d)\}$. \square

Remark 4.1. Suppose that the perturbation sequence $\underline{\varepsilon}^{(N)} = \{\underline{\varepsilon}_k^{(N)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ at the scale N satisfies $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\underline{\varepsilon}_k^{(N)} - \lambda^{(N)}\|_2^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} < \infty$ for $\lambda^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If

$$(4.5) \quad \|\lambda^{(N)}\|_2^\zeta + \|\underline{\varepsilon}^{(N)} - \lambda^{(N)}\|_{\max} = o(m^{N\zeta}),$$

then it follows from Theorem 4.1 (4.1) that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(M^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k)) \phi(M^N \cdot -k) = f$.

5. COMPARISON WITH PRIOR WORK: THE SCOPE OF APPLICATION, SAMPLING FLEXIBILITY AND ESTIMATION TECHNIQUES

The sampling-based approximation in Theorem 4.1 (4.1) enjoys the two required properties: (i) scope of application: the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\varsigma > d/2$; (ii) flexibility of sampling: the approximation is conducted by the nonuniform sampling $\{f(M^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k))\}$, where the sequence $\underline{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_k\}$ just need to satisfy (3.4) and is not necessary in $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

There are some papers addressing the approximation in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s > d/2$, for example, see [1, 5, 6, 14, 15, 18, 20, 27, 30, 31, 35, 39, 48, 56] and the references therein. In this section, we will make comparisons between the results in the present paper and the ones in the literature on the aspects of scope of application, flexibility of sampling and estimation techniques.

5.1. Comparison on the applicable scope. There are many approximations to smooth functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($\varsigma > d/2$) in the literature such as [18, 27, 30, 31, 35, 20, 5]. These approximations are derived from shift-invariant spaces, and they only hold for smooth functions, but not for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Clearly, there are many functions, including $\phi(x_1, x_2) := B_2(x_1)B_2(x_2)$ in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that are not smooth, where $B_2 := \chi_{(0,1]} \star \chi_{(0,1]}$ is the cardinal B-spline of order 2. More precisely, it follows from Han [22] that $\phi(x_1, x_2)$ is in $H^\mu(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < \mu < 3/2$. In [39], we established the approximation to the function f that satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \|\xi\|_2)^{-\frac{d-\alpha}{2}} \text{ for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By Comparison 2.1, however, there are many functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that do not satisfy (5.1). That is, the above approximations do not hold for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Instead the approximation in Theorem 4.1 holds for the entire space $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

5.2. Comparison on the flexibility of sampling. There exist many approximations for Sobolev spaces available in the literature (e.g. [6, 48, 39]). But the sampling points used for these approximations are uniform. K. Hamm et.al [5, 20] recently constructed the approximation to the univariate functions in $H^\varsigma(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^m(\mathbb{R})$ by nonuniform sampling. More precisely, the nonuniform samples are the values $\{f(hx_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that the approximation error is $O(h^n)$, where the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly increasing such that $\{e^{ix_k x}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ constitutes a Riesz base for $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$. By [4, 20, 43, 55], a necessary condition for a sequence $\{x\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a Riesz-type sequence is that there exist constants $0 < q \leq Q < \infty$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad q \leq x_{k+1} - x_k \leq Q.$$

A classical sufficient condition for (5.2) is Kadec's 1/4-Theorem ([34]), which states that if $|x_k - k| \leq 1/4$ then $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz-type sequence. Instead our approximation in (4.1) is conducted by the nonuniform samples $\{f(m^{-N}(k + \varepsilon_k))\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (for the case of dimension $d = 1$, the dilation matrix M degenerates to m). Note that the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} := \{k + \varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ just need to satisfy (3.4). Clearly many sequences satisfying (3.4) are Riesz-type ones such as $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{k + a_0 + \frac{1}{25+k^2}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 < a_0 < 1/5$. However there are also many sequences which satisfy (3.4) but are not Riesz-type ones such as $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{k + \lambda + \theta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\|\{\theta_k\}_k\|_\infty < (1 + \lambda - Q)/2$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_k^2 < \infty$, and $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{k + \lambda + \theta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\|\{\theta_k\}_k\|_\infty < \min\{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}, \frac{q-1-\lambda}{2}\}$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_k^2 < \infty$, where Q and q are as in (5.2). That is, the choice of sampling sequences in the paper is quite different from those in [5, 20].

5.3. Comparison on the estimation techniques. As mentioned in subsections 5.1 and 5.2, the approximation in the present paper is different from that in [39] on the aspects of the applicable scope and sampling flexibility. Besides the two aspects we next compare the estimation techniques of the present paper with that used in [39].

(i) The estimate of $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|$. The error $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_\phi^N)f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ given in [39, Theorem 3.2] depends on the pointwise decay of $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ as mentioned in (5.1). However, it is very difficult to exactly find out the pointwise decay of $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ from the samples of f . Instead, our estimation in Lemma 2.1 just relays on the global convergence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^s d\xi < \infty$, and the approximation established in Theorem 2.2 holds for all the functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) The error estimate of $\|(I - \mathcal{S}_{\phi;\underline{\varepsilon}}^N)f\|_{L^2}$ established in Theorem 3.1 is based on Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. The approximations in the two lemmas were not established in [39].

6. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

In this section numerical simulations are conducted to check the efficiency of the approximation formula in Theorem 4.1 (4.1). The perturbation sequence $\underline{\varepsilon}$ in (4.1) is denoted by

$$(6.1) \quad \underline{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_k := \theta_k + \lambda\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d},$$

where θ_k is random.

6.1. One-dimensional case. Let $\phi = B_3$, the cardinal B-spline of order 3 defined by $B_3 := \chi_{(0,1]} \star \chi_{(0,1]} \star \chi_{(0,1]}$. Moreover, let the target function

$$(6.2) \quad f(x) := \frac{\sin x}{x} + \frac{1}{3}B_2(x-2) - \frac{1}{6}\cos((x-3)^2)B_2(x-3) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\cos((x-4)^2)B_2(x-4) - \frac{1}{2}\cos((x-5)^2)B_2(x-5).$$




FIGURE 6.1. One-dimensional case: the maximum relative reconstruction error vs scale N .

By $\widehat{B}_2(\xi) = (\frac{1-e^{-i\xi}}{i\xi})^2$, it is easy to check that $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ where $1/2 < s < 3/2$. Our aim of this subsection is to use Theorem 4.1 (4.1) to approximate f on $[-100, 100]$. Let λ in (6.1) be 1 and the i.i.d random variables $\{\theta_k\}$ obey the standard Gaussian distribution $\mathbf{N}(0, 1)$. Then

$$(6.3) \quad f \approx \sum_{k=-100 \times 2^N}^{100 \times 2^N} f(2^{-N}(k+1+\theta_k)) \phi(2^N \cdot -k).$$

The approximation error of (6.3) is defined as

$$(6.4) \quad \text{error}_N = \left[\sum_{i \in \Lambda} \left(f(x_i) - \sum_{k=-100 \times 2^N}^{100 \times 2^N} f(2^{-N}(k+1+\theta_k)) \phi(2^N x_i - k) \right)^2 / \sum_{j \in \Lambda} |f(x_j)|^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\{x_i\}_{i \in \Lambda} = \{-100 + 0.01i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 20000\}$. For each scale $N \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$, the approximation scheme in (6.3) is conducted for 500 trials, and the maximum of the 500 errors is recorded in Figure 6.1. It is witnessed in Figure 6.1 that the series in (6.3) converges to f on $[-100, 100]$ as N tends to ∞ .

6.2. Two-dimensional case. Let $\phi(x_1, x_2) := B_3(x_1)B_3(x_2)$ and the target function

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1}{(50 + x_1^2)(20 + x_2^2)} + B_2(x_1)B_2(x_2).$$




FIGURE 6.2. Two-dimensional case: the maximum relative reconstruction error vs scale N .

Clearly, ϕ is $2I_2$ -refinable. By [22] it is easy to check that $\phi \in H^\mu(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $f \in H^\nu(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $1 < \mu < 5/2$ and $1 < \nu < 3/2$. Let λ in (6.1) be 0.5 and the i.i.d random variables $\{\theta_k\}$ obey the standard Gaussian distribution. Then by Theorem 4.1 (4.1), we can approximate f on $[-2, 2]^2$ via

$$(6.5) \quad f \approx \sum_{k_1=-2^{N+1}}^{2^{N+1}} \sum_{k_2=-2^{N+1}}^{2^{N+1}} f(2^{-N}(k + 0.5 + \theta_k))\phi(2^N \cdot -k),$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2)$. The relative reconstruction error is defined as

$$(6.6) \quad \text{error}_N = \left[\sum_{i \in \Lambda} |f(x_i) - \sum_{k_1=-2^{N+1}}^{2^{N+1}} \sum_{k_2=-2^{N+1}}^{2^{N+1}} f(2^{-N}(k + 0.5 + \theta_k))\phi(2^N x_i - k)|^2 / \sum_{j \in \Lambda} |f(x_j)|^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\{x_i\}_{i \in \Lambda} = \{\frac{2}{250}\ell : \ell = -250, \dots, 250\} \times \{\frac{2}{250}\ell' : \ell' = -250, \dots, 250\}$ with \times being the Cartesian product. For each scale $N \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$, the approximation scheme in (6.5) is conducted for 500 trials, and the maximum error of the 500 errors is recorded in Figure 6.2. It is witnessed in Figure 6.2 that the series in (6.6) converges to f as N tends to ∞ .

7. APPENDIX

7.1. Proof of Lemma 3.2. We first establish the upper bound of $\sum_{\|j\|_1 \geq m^J} \|j\|_1^{-2s}$, and then prove (3.9) by the norm equivalence in \mathbb{R}^d . For $\|j\|_1 \geq m^J$, it is clear that there exists at least a component of j such that it is not smaller than m^J/d . Then

$$(7.1) \quad \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|j\|_1 \geq m^J\} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^d \{j = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d) : |j_k| \geq m^J/d, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \neq k\}.$$

By (7.1), we have

$$(7.2) \quad \sum_{\|j\|_1 \geq m^J} \|j\|_1^{-2s} \leq d \left[\sum_{|j_1| \geq \lceil m^J/d \rceil} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{j_d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(|j_1| + |j_2| + \dots + |j_d|)^{2s}} \right],$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer that is larger than x .

Note that the sum in the right-hand side of (7.2) has nothing to do with the signs of the components of j . Then

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j_1| \geq \lceil m^J/d \rceil} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdots \sum_{j_d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(|j_1| + |j_2| + \dots + |j_d|)^{2s}} \\ & \leq 2^{d-1} \sum_{|j_1| \geq \lceil m^J/d \rceil} \sum_{j_2 \geq 0} \cdots \sum_{j_d \geq 0} \frac{1}{(|j_1| + |j_2| + \dots + |j_d|)^{2s}} \\ & \leq 2^{d-1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{n} I_{1,n} + I_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{0}{n} = 0$ with $n > 0$, and

$$I_{1,n} = \sum_{|j_1| \geq \lceil m^J/d \rceil} \sum_{j_2 \geq 1} \cdots \sum_{j_{2+n-1} \geq 1} \frac{1}{(|j_1| + |j_2| + \dots + |j_{2+n-1}|)^{2s}}, \quad I_2 = \sum_{j_1 = \lceil m^J/d \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s}}.$$

For any $a > 0$, $N \geq 1$ and $\iota > 1$, it is easy to check that

$$(7.4) \quad \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a+n)^\iota} \leq \int_{N-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a+x)^\iota} dx = \frac{1}{\iota-1} \frac{1}{(a+N-1)^{\iota-1}}.$$

Applying (7.4) with $N = 1$, we obtain

$$(7.5) \quad I_{1,n} \leq \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2s-l} \sum_{j_1 = \lceil m^J/d \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s-n}} \leq \sum_{j_1 = \lceil m^J/d \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s-d+1}} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2s-l}$$

Using (7.4) again, we have

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{j_1=\lceil m^J/d \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s-d+1}} = \sum_{j_1=\lceil m^J/d \rceil+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s-d+1}} + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s-d+1}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s-d}} \left(\frac{1}{2s-d} + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{j_1=\lceil m^J/d \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_1^{2s}} \leq \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s-1}} \frac{1}{2s-1} + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s}}.$$

Combining (7.2), (7.3), (7.5), (7.6) and (7.7), we have

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{\|j\|_1 \geq m^J} \|j\|_1^{-2s}$$

$$\leq 2^{d-1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{n} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2s-l} \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s-d}} \left(\frac{1}{2s-d} + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil} \right) + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s-1}} \frac{1}{2s-1} + \frac{1}{\lceil m^J/d \rceil^{2s}} \right]$$

$$\leq 2^{d-1} d^{2s-d} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{n} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{2s-l} \left(\frac{1}{2s-d} + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2s-1} + 1 \right] m^{-J(2s-d)}.$$

It follows from $\|j\|_2 \leq \|j\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d}\|j\|_2$ that

$$(7.9) \quad \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|j\|_2 \geq m^J\} \subseteq \{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|j\|_1 \geq m^J\}.$$

Then

$$(7.10) \quad \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \|j\|_2^{-2s} \leq \sum_{\|j\|_1 \geq m^J} \|j\|_2^{-2s} \leq d^{-s} \sum_{\|j\|_1 \geq m^J} \|j\|_1^{-2s},$$

where the first and second inequalities are derived from (7.9) and $\|j\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d}\|j\|_2$, respectively. Now by (7.8) and (7.10), the proof of (3.9) can be concluded.

7.2. **Proof of Lemma 3.3** (3.12). By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.11) \quad & \left| \langle f, m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k) - m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widetilde{\phi}((M^T)^{-N}\xi)} e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}k \cdot \xi} (1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot \xi}) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{s/2} \overline{\widetilde{\phi}((M^T)^{-N}\xi)} (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-s/2} e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}k \cdot \xi} (1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot \xi}) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^d} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \|\xi\|_2^2)^{-s} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot \xi}|^2 d\xi \\
&= \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^d} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 (I_1(J) + I_2(J)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is derived from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $I_1(J) = \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)}|^2 d\xi$, and $I_2(J) = \sum_{\|j\|_2 < m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)}|^2 d\xi$ with $J(> 0)$ to be optimally selected. The two quantities $I_1(J)$ and $I_2(J)$ are estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.12) \quad I_1(J) &= \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)}|^2 d\xi \\
&= 4 \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} \left| \sin((M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)/2) \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} \left| \sin((M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)/2) \right|^\alpha d\xi \\
&\leq 4 \|(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} \|(\xi + 2j\pi)/2\|_2^\alpha d\xi \\
&\leq 4 \|(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha \pi^\alpha \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} (\sqrt{d} + \|j\|_2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} d\xi \\
&\leq 4 \|(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha \pi^\alpha (2\pi)^d \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} (\sqrt{d} + \|j\|_2)^\alpha [1 + (2\pi)^2(\|j\|_2 - \sqrt{d})^2]^{-s} \\
&\leq 4 \|(M^T)^{-N}\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha \pi^\alpha (2\pi)^{d-2s} 2^{2s+\alpha} \sum_{\|j\|_2 \geq m^J} \|j\|_2^{-2(s-\alpha/2)} \\
&\leq 4\pi^{d-2s+\alpha} 2^{d+\alpha} \widehat{C}(s, d) \|\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha m^{-[J(2s-\alpha-d)+N\alpha]} \\
&= \widehat{C}(s, d, \alpha) \|\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha m^{-[J(2s-\alpha-d)+N\alpha]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{C}(s, d, \alpha) = 4\pi^{d-2s+\alpha}2^{d+\alpha}\widehat{C}(s, d)$, the second and last inequalities are derived from $\alpha \leq 2$ and Lemma 3.2 (3.9), respectively. The quantity I_2 is estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.13) \quad I_2(J) &\leq \sum_{\|j\|_2 < m^J} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 + \|\xi + 2j\pi\|_2^2)^{-s} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N} \varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)}|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq (2\pi)^d \sum_{\|j\|_2 < m^J} \max_{\xi \in [0, 2\pi]^d} |1 - e^{\mathbf{i}(M^T)^{-N} \varepsilon_k \cdot (\xi + 2j\pi)}|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \|\varepsilon_k\|_2^2 (2\pi)^{2d+2} m^{-2N+(2+d)J}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $I_1(J) = O(m^{-[J(2s-\alpha-d)+N\alpha]})$ and $I_2(J) = O(m^{-2N+(2+d)J})$. Therefore,

$$(7.14) \quad I_1(J) + I_2(J) = O(m^{-\min\{J(2s-\alpha-d)+N\alpha, 2N-(2+d)J\}}).$$

It is easy to check that if choosing $J = \frac{2-\alpha}{2s+2-\alpha}N$, then the convergence rate in (7.14) is optimal. Incidentally, Lemma 3.2 requires that that $m^J \geq d$. Therefore, by $N \geq \frac{2s+2-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \log_m d$ the choice for $J = \frac{2-\alpha}{2s+2-\alpha}N$ is feasible. Now for this choice, we have

$$(7.15) \quad I_1(J) + I_2(J) = O(m^{-N \frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2}}).$$

Combining (7.11), (7.12), (7.13) and (7.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.16) \quad &|\langle f, m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k) - m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \left(\widetilde{C}(s, d, \alpha) \|\varepsilon_k\|_2^\alpha + 4(2\pi)^{2d} \|\varepsilon_k\|_2^2 \right) m^{-N \frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2}} \\
&\leq \frac{m^{-Nd}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) C_3(s, \alpha, d) m^{-N \frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2}}}^2 \|\underline{\varepsilon}\|_{\max}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_3(s, \alpha, d) = \widetilde{C}(s, d, \alpha) + 4(2\pi)^{2d}.$$

On the other hand, for any sequence $\{C_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.17) \quad \|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} C_k \phi(\cdot - k)\|_2^2 &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} C_k e^{\mathbf{i}k \cdot \xi} \right|^2 |\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} C_k e^{\mathbf{i}k\xi} \right|^2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\phi}(\xi + 2\ell\pi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \|[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}]_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |C_k|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the bracket product $||[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}]_0||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ is defined in (1.3). Then it follows from (7.17) and (7.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.18) \quad & ||(\mathcal{S}_\phi^N - \mathcal{S}_{\phi;\varepsilon}^N)f||_2^2 \\
&= ||\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, m^{N(d/2+s)} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k) \rangle \phi_{N,k}^s - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, m^{N(d/2-s)} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle \phi_{N,k}^s ||_2^2 \\
&= ||\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k) - m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle \phi_{N,k} ||_2^2 \\
&\leq ||[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}]_0||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k) - m^{Nd/2} \widetilde{\phi}(M^N \cdot -k - \varepsilon_k) \rangle|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} ||\widehat{\phi}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 ||[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}]_0||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} C_3(s, \alpha, d) ||\underline{\varepsilon}||_{\max}^2 m^{-N[\frac{4s+(\alpha-2)d}{2s-\alpha+2}+d]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{N,k} = m^{Nd/2} \phi(M^N \cdot -k)$, and $||\underline{\varepsilon}||_{\max}$ is defined in (3.11). Now we choose

$$(7.19) \quad C_2(s, \alpha, d) := ||\widehat{\phi}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sqrt{\frac{||[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\phi}]_0||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} C_3(s, \alpha, d)}$$

to conclude the proof of (3.12).

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions which improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aldroubi, K. Gröchenig, Nonuniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces, *SIAM Rev.*, 43, 585-620, 2001.
- [2] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun, W.S. Tang, Convolution, average sampling, and a Calderon resolution of the identity for shift-invariant spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 22, 215-244, 2005.
- [3] A. Aldroubi, I. Krishtal, Robustness of sampling and reconstruction and Beurling-Landau-type theorems for shift-invariant spaces, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 20, 250-260, 2006.
- [4] B.A. Bailey, An asymptotic equivalence between two frame perturbation theorems, in: *M. Neamtu, L. Schumaker (Eds.), Proceedings of Approximation Theory XIII: San Antonio*, Springer, 125(1-7), 2010.
- [5] J. Bouchot, K. Hamm, Stability and robustness of RBF interpolation, *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing*, 16, 37-53, 2017.
- [6] P.L. Butzer, G. Schmeisser, R.L. Stens, The classical and approximate sampling theorems and their equivalence for entire functions of exponential type, *J. Approx. Theory*, 36, 143-157, 2014.
- [7] J. Chen, G. Lu, Hörmander type theorems for multi-linear and multi-parameter Fourier multiplier operators with limited smoothness, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 101, 98-112, 2014.
- [8] Q. Chen, T. Qian, Y. Li, Shannon-type sampling for multivariate non-bandlimited signals, *Science China · Mathematics*, 56, 1915-1943, 2013.
- [9] C. Cheng, Y. Jiang, Q. Sun, Sampling and Galerkin reconstruction in reproducing kernel spaces, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 41, 638-659, 2016.
- [10] C. K. Chui, An Introduction to Wavelets, *Academic Press*, 1992.
- [11] I. Daubechies, Ten Lectures on Wavelets. *CBMS-NSF Series in Applied Mathematics*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

- [12] C. de Boor, K. Höllig, S. Riemenschneider, Box splines, *Springer-Verlag*, 1993.
- [13] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, Approximation from shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Springer, 314, 787-806, 1994.
- [14] R. DeVore, B. Jawerth, V. Popov, Compression of wavelet decompositions, *Amer. J. Math.*, 114, 737-785, 1992.
- [15] R. DeVore, A. Ron, Approximation using scattered shifts of a multivariate function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362, 6205-6229, 2010.
- [16] M. Ehler, On multivariate compactly supported Bi-frames, *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 13, 511-532, 2007.
- [17] M. Ehler, K. Koch, The construction of multiwavelet Bi-frames and applications to variational image denoising, *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut Inf. Process.*, 8, 431-455, 2010.
- [18] Antonio G. García, G. Pérez-Villalón, Multivariate generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces and its approximation properties, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 355, 397-413, 2009.
- [19] L. Grafakos, A. Miyachi, N. Tomita, On multilinear Fourier multipliers of limited smoothness, *Canad. J. Math.*, 65, 299-330, 2013.
- [20] K. Hamm, Approximation rates for interpolation of Sobolev functions via Gaussians and allied functions, *J. Approx. Theory*, 189, 101-122, 2015.
- [21] K. Hamm, J. Ledford, Regular families of kernels for nonlinear approximation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 475, 1317-1740, 2019.
- [22] B. Han, Z. Shen, Dual wavelet frames and Riesz bases in Sobolev spaces, *Constr. Approx.*, 29, 369-406, 2009.
- [23] B. Han, Computing the smoothness exponent of a symmetric multivariate refinable function, *SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl.*, 24, 693-714, 2003.
- [24] B. Han, M. Michelle, Derivative-orthogonal Riesz wavelets in Sobolev spaces with applications to differential equations, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.12.001>.
- [25] B. Han, Pairs of frequency-based nonhomogeneous dual wavelet frames in the distribution space, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 29, 330-353, 2010.
- [26] B. Han, Nonhomogeneous wavelet systems in high dimensions, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 32, 169-196, 2012.
- [27] B. Han, Dual multiwavelet frames with high balancing order and compact fast frame transform, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 26, 14-42, 2009.
- [28] B. Han, Framelets and wavelets: Algorithms, analysis, and applications, *Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017. xxxiii +724 pp.
- [29] T. Hangelbroek, A. Ron, Nonlinear approximation using Gaussian kernels, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259, 203-219, 2010.
- [30] R. Jia, Approximation by quasi-projection operators in Besov spaces, *J. Approx. Theory*, 162, 186-200, 2010.
- [31] R. Jia, Approximation with scaled shift-invariant spaces by means of quasi-projection operators, *J. Approx. Theory*, 131, 30-46, 2004.
- [32] M. J. Johnson, On the approximation order of principal shift-invariant subspaces of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *J. Approx. Theory*, 91, 279-319, 1997.
- [33] M. J. Johnson, Scattered data interpolation from principal shift-invariant spaces, *J. Approx. Theory*, 113, 172-188, 2001.
- [34] M. Kadeč, The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 155, 1243-1254, 1964.
- [35] A. Krivoshein, M. Skopina, Multivariate sampling-type approximation, *Anal. Appl.*, 15, 521-542, 2017.

- [36] A. Krivoshein, M. Skopina, Approximation by frame-like wavelet systems, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 31(3), 410-428, 2011.
- [37] G. Kyriazis, P. Petrushev, New bases for Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354, 749-776, 2002.
- [38] Y. Li, S. Yang, Multiwavelet sampling theorem in Sobolev spaces, *Science China · Mathematics*, 53, 3197-3214, 2010.
- [39] Y. Li, Sampling approximation by framelets in Sobolev space and its application in modifying interpolating error, *J. Approx. Theory*, 175, 43-63, 2013.
- [40] Y. Li, S. Yang, D. Yuan, Bessel multiwavelet sequences and dual multiframelets in Sobolev spaces, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 38, 491-529, 2013.
- [41] Y. Liu, K. Kou, I. Ho, New sampling formulae for non-bandlimited signals associated with linear canonical transform and nonlinear Fourier atoms, *Signal Process.*, 90, 933-945, 2010.
- [42] Y. Liu, Z. Yin, Global existence and well-posedness of the 2D viscous shallow water system in Sobolev spaces with low regularity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 438, 14-28, 2016.
- [43] Y. Lyubarskii, W.R. Madych, The recovery of irregularly sampled band limited functions via tempered splines, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 125, 201-222, 1994.
- [44] M. Stéphane, A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing, *Elsevier Inc.*, 2009.
- [45] M. Nashed, Q. Sun, Sampling and reconstruction of signals in a reproducing kernel subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(7), 2422-2452, 2010.
- [46] R. Schaback, A computational tool for comparing all linear PDE solvers Error-optimal methods are meshless, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 41, 333-355, 2015.
- [47] C. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, 27, 379-423, 1948.
- [48] M. Skopina, Band-limited scaling and wavelet expansions, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 179, 94-111, 2014.
- [49] Z. Song, W. Sun, X. Zhou, Z. Hou, An average sampling theorem for bandlimited stochastic processes, *IEEE T. Inform. Theory*, 53(12), 4798-4800, 2007.
- [50] Z. Song, B. Liu, Y. Pang, C. Hou, An improved Nyquist-Shannon irregular sampling theorem from local averages, *IEEE T. Inform. Theory*, 58(9), 6093 - 6100, 2012.
- [51] Q. Sun, Nonuniform average sampling and reconstruction of signals with finite rate of innovation, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 38, 1389-1422, 2006.
- [52] W. Sun, X. Zhou, Reconstruction of band-limited functions from local averages, *Constr. Approx.*, 18, 205-222, 2002.
- [53] W. Sun, X. Zhou, Characterization of local sampling sequences for spline subspaces, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 30, 153-175, 2009.
- [54] W. Sun, Local sampling theorems for spaces generated by splines with arbitrary knots, *Math. Comp.*, 78, 225-239, 2009.
- [55] W. Sun, X. Zhou, On the stability of multivariate trigonometric systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235, 159-167, 1999.
- [56] M. Unser, Sampling-50 years after Shannon, *Proc. IEEE*, 88, 569-587, 2000.
- [57] W. Wang, C. Xu, The Cauchy problem for viscous shallow water equations, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 21, 1-24, 2005.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY, NANNING,
CHINA

E-mail address: youfalee@hotmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, ORLANDO, FL 32816

E-mail address: deguang.han@ucf.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHANTOU, SHANTOU, CHINA

E-mail address: szyang@stu.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY, NANING,
CHINA

E-mail address: gjhuang@gxu.edu.cn