

Meanders, zero numbers and the
cell structure of Sturm global attractors

– Dedicated to the memory of Pavol Brunovský –

Carlos Rocha
Instituto Superior Técnico
Avenida Rovisco Pais, 1049–001 Lisboa, PORTUGAL
crocha@math.ist.utl.pt
<http://www.math.ist.utl.pt/cam/>

Bernold Fiedler
Institut für Mathematik
Freie Universität Berlin
Arnimallee 7, D–14195 Berlin, GERMANY
fiedler@math.fu-berlin.de
<http://dynamics.mi.fu-berlin.de>

version of July 5, 2021

Abstract

We study global attractors $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$ of semiflows generated by semilinear partial parabolic differential equations of the form $u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x)$, $0 < x < 1$, satisfying Neumann boundary conditions. The equilibria $v \in \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of the semiflow are the stationary solutions of the PDE, hence they are solutions of the corresponding second order ODE boundary value problem. Assuming hyperbolicity of all equilibria, the dynamic decomposition of \mathcal{A} into unstable manifolds of equilibria provides a geometric and topological characterization of Sturm global attractors \mathcal{A} as finite regular signed CW-complexes, the Sturm complexes, with cells given by the unstable manifolds of equilibria. Concurrently, the permutation $\sigma = \sigma_f$ derived from the ODE boundary value problem by ordering the equilibria according to their values at the boundaries $x = 0, 1$, respectively, completely determines the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} . Equivalently, we use a planar curve, the meander $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_f$, associated to the the ODE boundary value problem by shooting.

In the previous paper [FR20], we set up to determine the boundary neighbors of any specific unstable equilibrium \mathcal{O} , based exclusively on the information on the corresponding signed hemisphere complex. In addition, a certain minimax property of the boundary neighbors was established. In the signed hemisphere decomposition of the cell boundary of \mathcal{O} , this property identifies the equilibria which are closest to, or most distant from, \mathcal{O} at the boundaries $x = 0, 1$, in each hemisphere.

The main objective of the present paper is to derive this minimax property directly from the Sturm permutation σ , or equivalently from the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} , based on the Sturm nodal properties of the solutions of the ODE boundary value problem. This minimax result simplifies the task of identifying the equilibria on the cell boundary of each unstable equilibrium, directly from the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} . We emphasize the local aspect of this result by an example for which the identification of the equilibria is obtained from the knowledge of only a segment of the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} .

1 Introduction

For quite a long time we have been pursuing the study of global attractors of scalar semilinear parabolic equations in one space dimension. Under appropriate dissipativeness conditions on the nonlinearity $f \in C^2$, equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

with suitable boundary conditions, generate global dissipative semiflows on a Sobolev space $X \subset C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. The global attractor $\mathcal{A}_f \subset X$ of the semiflow, i.e. the maximal compact invariant subset, is called *Sturm global attractor*. In the present paper we consider the specific case of Neumann boundary conditions $u_x = 0$ at $x = 0, 1$, for which the generated semiflow is gradient-like. We refer to [He81, Pa83, Ta79] for a general background, to [Ha88, Te88, La91, BV92, Ra02] for a contextual introduction to global attractors, and to [FR14, FR15], and the citations there, for a general introduction to Sturm global attractors. See also [BF88, BF89, Fi94] for earlier results strongly influenced by Palo Brunovský.

The stationary solutions $u(t, x) = v(x)$ of (1.1) satisfy the second order Neumann boundary value problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{xx} + f(x, v, v_x) &= 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ v_x &= 0, \quad x = 0 \text{ or } 1, \end{aligned}$$

which provides the link to the name of Sturm associated to the global attractor $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$. Each stationary solution of (1.1) corresponds to an equilibrium $v \in \mathcal{E}$ of the semiflow in X and is a compact invariant subset, therefore an element of the global attractor \mathcal{A} . Under the generic assumption of *hyperbolicity* of all equilibria $v \in \mathcal{E}$ the set of equilibria is finite,

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{E} = \{v_j : j = 1, \dots, N\},$$

with N odd due to dissipativeness. Hyperbolicity of the equilibrium $v \in \mathcal{E}$ is achieved if $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the linearization of (1.1) at v . Then, the gradient-like property of the semiflow provides a dynamic decomposition of the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} into unstable manifolds of equilibria,

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N} W^u(v_j).$$

This decomposition suggests a geometric and topological characterization of the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} as a finite *CW*-complex $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_f$,

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N} c_j,$$

with cell interiors $c_j = W^u(v_j)$. Although this idea fails, in general gradient settings, it works well in our Sturm setting (1.1). In fact we obtain the regular *Thom-Smale complex* of the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} , or the *Sturm complex*, [FR14, FR18a].

Concurrently, the permutation $\sigma = \sigma_f \in S_N$ derived from the boundary value problem (1.2) by ordering successively the N equilibria according to their values at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, completely determines the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} up to C^0 orbit equivalence, [FR00]. If we let $h_0, h_1 : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ denote the two boundary orders of the equilibria,

$$(1.6) \quad h_\iota(1) < h_\iota(2) < \dots < h_\iota(N) \quad \text{at} \quad x = \iota \in \{0, 1\},$$

then the *Sturm permutation*

$$(1.7) \quad \sigma := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$$

provides a combinatorial description of the Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} determining exactly which equilibria $v_j, v_k \in \mathcal{E}$ are connected by heteroclinic connecting orbits, $v_j \rightsquigarrow v_k$. For this combinatorial description of Sturm global attractors we refer to [FR91, FR96]. Here we just mention the central role of the nodal properties of the solutions of (1.1). If $0 \leq z(\varphi) \leq \infty$ denotes the number of strict sign changes (the *zero number*) of $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\varphi \not\equiv 0$, then

$$(1.8) \quad t \longmapsto z(u^1(t, \cdot) - u^2(t, \cdot))$$

is finite and nonincreasing for $t > 0$, for any two distinct solutions u^1, u^2 of (1.1), and drops strictly whenever multiple zeros $u^1 = u^2, u_x^1 = u_x^2$ occur at any t_0, x_0 . We refer to [An88] for details and to [Ma82, FR96, FR99, FR00, Ro91, Ga04] for many aspects of nonlinear Sturm theory. For convenience, in the following we also use the signed notation

$$(1.9) \quad z(\varphi) = z_\pm$$

to indicate that φ has z strict sign changes and the index \pm to indicate that $\pm\varphi(0) > 0$.

The solution of the second order boundary value problem (1.2) by ODE shooting in phase space (v, v_x) produces a meander characterization of the Sturm permutation. Existence of solutions for $x \in [0, 1]$ is ensured here by assuming a sublinear growth of f , without loss of generality for \mathcal{A} due to its compactness. Let $v = v(x, a)$ abbreviate the solution starting from $v = a, v_x = 0$ at $x = 0$. Then, if we consider the initial set of Neumann conditions $\{(a, 0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (at $x = 0$) we obtain as image at $x = 1$ a planar regular non-selfintersecting curve $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_f := \{(v(1, a), v_x(1, a)) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ which transversely intersects the Neumann horizontal axis at N points corresponding to the end values of the equilibria $v_j \in \mathcal{E}$. Such a

curve is called a *meander* and its existence shows that the Sturm permutation is a *meander permutation*. We refer to [FR99] for details, and to [Ka17, D&al19] for many other aspects of meander permutations. The first important outcome of the meander characterization is that the ODE Sturm permutation $\sigma = \sigma_f$ determines the PDE *Morse indices* $i(v_j) = \dim W^u(v_j)$ of the equilibria $v_j \in \mathcal{E}, j = 1, \dots, N$, and the number of zeros of their differences $z(v_k - v_j), 1 \leq j < k \leq N$. We review these results in sections 2 and 5 below. For now it suffices to recall that, for odd N , a permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ is a Sturm permutation if and only if it is a *dissipative, Morse, meander permutation*, [FR99]. Dissipative here means that $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\sigma(N) = N$ are fixed, and Morse means that the Morse indices computed from σ are all non-negative, $i(v_j) \geq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ denote an unstable equilibrium with $i(\mathcal{O}) = n$, and let $\mathcal{E}_\pm^k(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{E}$ denote the equilibrium subsets

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_\pm^k(\mathcal{O}) := \{w \in \mathcal{E} : z(w - \mathcal{O}) = k_\pm \text{ and } \mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow w\}.$$

The four *boundary neighbors* $w_\pm^\iota = w_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O})$ of \mathcal{O} ,

$$(1.11) \quad w_\pm^\iota := h_\iota(h_\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \pm 1) \quad , \quad \iota \in \{0, 1\},$$

whenever defined, are the predecessors and successors of \mathcal{O} , along the boundary orders h_ι at $x = \iota \in \{0, 1\}$. By Lemma 5.2 below, any boundary neighbor w of \mathcal{O} , separately, either possesses Morse index $i(w) = n - 1$ or else Morse index $i(w) = n + 1$. In [FR20], the identification of the boundary neighbors of $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ with

$$(1.12) \quad i(w_\pm^\iota) = i(\mathcal{O}) - 1 = n - 1,$$

was obtained from the signed zero numbers (1.9) of the differences $\varphi = w - \mathcal{O}$ for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_\pm^k(\mathcal{O})$, using the zero number decay property (1.8). Quite surprisingly it turned out that the closest equilibrium to \mathcal{O} at the boundary $x = \iota$ is also the most distant to \mathcal{O} in the opposite boundary $x = 1 - \iota$. We call this feature the *minimax property*.

Restricting our attention to the equilibria in $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, we let $\underline{v}_\pm^\iota, \bar{v}_\pm^\iota, \iota \in \{0, 1\}$, denote the *minimax equilibria* defined by

$$(1.13) \quad \underline{v}_\pm^\iota := \text{the equilibrium } v \in \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ closest to } \mathcal{O} \text{ at } x = \iota,$$

$$(1.14) \quad \bar{v}_\pm^\iota := \text{the equilibrium } v \in \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ most distant from } \mathcal{O} \text{ at } x = \iota.$$

For example, let us restrict attention to those boundary neighbors $w = w_\pm^\iota$ of \mathcal{O} defined in (1.11) which satisfy $i(w) = n - 1$, if any. In section 2 we show that $w \in \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$. In fact we

prove, much more precisely:

$$(1.15) \quad w_-^0 = \underline{v}_-^0;$$

$$(1.16) \quad w_+^0 = \underline{v}_+^0;$$

$$(1.17) \quad w_-^1 = \begin{cases} \underline{v}_+^1, & \text{for even } n; \\ \underline{v}_-^1, & \text{for odd } n; \end{cases}$$

$$(1.18) \quad w_+^1 = \begin{cases} \underline{v}_-^1, & \text{for even } n; \\ \underline{v}_+^1, & \text{for odd } n. \end{cases}$$

The surprising *minimax property* now asserts the equality of the equilibria defined by (1.13) and (1.14):

$$(1.19) \quad \underline{v}_\pm^\iota = \bar{v}_\pm^{1-\iota}.$$

This provides equivalent expressions for the meander neighbors w of \mathcal{O} in terms of the most distant equilibria $\bar{v}_\pm^{1-\iota}$, rather than the closest neighbors \underline{v}_\pm^ι in (1.15)–(1.18).

Evidently, the minimax property also simplifies the task of identifying the equilibria in $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, especially when viewed directly in the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} . For example, it is interesting to check our results against the influential original description of all heteroclinic targets $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow v$, for nonlinearities $f = f(u)$. See [BF88, BF89], Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 (where \mathcal{O} was called v and v was called w). Obtained under Dirichlet conditions, those results have to be adapted slightly to reveal a minimax theorem for the ordering of equilibria by boundary derivatives v_x , in the target set Ω_3 , rather than by boundary values v . See [FRW12] for a more recent discussion of the Neumann case.

The main purpose of our present paper is to prove the minimax property, Theorem 1.1, based solely on plane meander arguments of ODE type. We believe that, by its simplicity, this more elementary approach further elucidates the structure of global Sturm attractors, and is therefore of independent interest.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\underline{v}_\pm^\iota, \bar{v}_\pm^\iota \in \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ denote the minimax equilibria of \mathcal{O} defined in (1.13)–(1.14). If any of the w_\pm^ι of the unstable equilibrium \mathcal{O} , as defined by (1.11), is more stable than \mathcal{O} , i.e. if $i(w_\pm^\iota) = n - 1$ as in (1.12), then, for the associated \underline{v}_\pm^ι of that sign \pm and that ι , in (1.13)–(1.18), the minimax property (1.19) holds.*

The minimax property (1.19) was also obtained in Theorem 4.3 of [FR20] – regardless of the particular Morse indices $i(w_\pm^\iota)$ of the immediate h_ι -neighbors w_\pm^ι of \mathcal{O} . Moreover, the

minimax property was proved for all minimax equilibria in the equilibrium sets $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O})$, $0 \leq k < n = i(\mathcal{O})$, not just for $k = n - 1$.

The proof, however, was based on the *signed hemisphere complex* $\Sigma_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O})$ associated to any Sturm global attractor \mathcal{A} . The hemispheres arise from the decompositions of the k -dimensional sphere boundaries $\Sigma^k = \partial W^{k+1}(\mathcal{O})$ of the $(k + 1)$ -dimensional fast unstable manifolds $W^{k+1}(\mathcal{O})$ by the $(k - 1)$ -sphere boundary of the k -dimensional faster unstable manifold $W^k(\mathcal{O})$. A crucial bridge to the current meander-based definition (1.10) of $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O})$ was then the observation

$$(1.20) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{E} \cap \Sigma_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O}).$$

Finally, the minimax equilibria were identified recursively, from just the incidence geometry of the hemisphere cells of adjacent dimensions. This allowed us to determine the minimax equilibria, and thereby the boundary neighbors w of \mathcal{O} in case $i(w) = n - 1$, from purely geometric properties of the given signed hemisphere complex $\Sigma_{\pm}^k(\mathcal{O})$.

Our results therefore gain broader relevance as a step within a much more ambitious program: the complete geometric characterization of all those signed regular cell complexes which arise as signed Thom-Smale complexes in the Sturm PDE setting. Limited to mere 3-ball global attractors \mathcal{A} , we initiated this program in [FR18a]–[FR18c]. Even with the step presented here and in the companion paper [FR20], we are still far from that goal, in general.

The missing steps would involve the following. Of course, we first have to show that the (still elusive) characterizing geometric properties of the initial formal signed regular cell complex, are satisfied by all Sturm complexes. Given an abstract cell complex, prescribed according to the rules of the geometric characterization, we formally call the barycenters of the abstract cells “equilibria”. The cell dimensions are the “Morse indices” of the barycenter equilibria. The rules of Theorem 1.1 then formally determine predecessors and successors of all “equilibria”, recursively.

Such characterizing rules have only been obtained, successfully, in low dimensional cases of

$$(1.21) \quad \dim \mathcal{A} := \max\{\dim W^u(v_j), 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

For the planar case see [FR09a, FR08, FR09b]; for three-dimensional balls see [FR18a, FR18b, FR18c].

The results in [FR20] determine the boundary neighbors (1.11) of any unstable equilibrium, based on the geometry of a signed hemisphere complex, exclusively. Starting from the “equilibria” of maximal “Morse index”, i.e. from the barycenters of cells with maximal dimension, this recursively determines two formal “boundary orders” h_{ι} of all equilibria, separately for each $\iota = 0, 1$. The two formal “total orders” h_{ι} , in turn, determine a formal

permutation σ , as in (1.6), (1.7). The elusive geometric characterization rules, however, would have to guarantee, a priori, that the two resulting objects h_ι define total orders, i.e. Hamiltonian paths, among the equilibria \mathcal{E} . Moreover, the formal permutation $\sigma := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$ has to turn out as a Sturm permutation, in particular a meander. In a final step, it remains to show that the resulting signed Thom-Smale complex, associated to the Sturm permutation σ , coincides with the prescribed original cell complex. In particular, the barycenter “equilibria” become equilibria, their cells are their unstable manifolds, the “Morse indices” become actual Morse indices, i.e. unstable dimensions, and the formal total “boundary” orders h_ι become the orders of the equilibria at the respective boundaries $x = \iota = 0, 1$. We repeat that this program has only been completed for planar and 3-ball Sturm attractors, so far. For further illustration in the present context we refer to the discussion section of [FR20].

In the above program of a geometric characterization of all Sturmian signed hemisphere complexes, our present paper pursues a complementary approach. Here we characterize boundary neighbors by our minimax theorem 1.1, based on meander arguments only. We expect the insights gained here to play an essential role in our attempt to bridge the gap between the meander description and the geometric cell complex description of Sturm global attractors, eventually. Another advantage of our present approach is the relatively elementary ODE level required.

In the next section 2 we recall notation and essential results necessary to deal with the Morse indices of equilibria and the zero numbers of their differences, directly from the meander \mathcal{M} or its meander permutation. In section 3 we prove the main result, Theorem 1.1. To emphasize a local aspect of our global result, in section 4 we show an example for which the identification of the equilibria in $\mathcal{E}_+^{i(0)-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is obtained from the knowledge of only a section of the meander \mathcal{M} . We finish with an appendix, section 5, where we review the nonlinear Sturm-Liouville property in our meander setting, and another appendix, section 6, where we describe the double cone suspension of Sturm global attractors used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. This paper is dedicated to the memory of our longtime friend, colleague, and coauthor Palo Brunovský in deep admiration and gratitude. We owe much of our quest to his pioniering curiosity and his friendly, sharing, and noble spirit. Extended mutually delightful hospitality by the authors has gratefully been enjoyed. CR expresses also gratitude to his family, friends and longtime coauthor in appreciation of their support and patience during a recent and specially hard time. This work was partially supported by DFG/Germany through SFB 910 project A4, and by FCT/Portugal through projects UID/MAT/04459/2019 and UIDB/04459/2020.




Figure 2.1: A meander \mathcal{M} in canonical form. The intersection points are labeled along the meander. Along the horizontal axis the labeling corresponds to the permutation $\sigma = \{1\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 3\ 2\ 7\}$.

2 Meanders and Crossing numbers

A *meander* is a C^1 -smooth planar embedding of the (oriented) real line which crosses a positively oriented horizontal line at finitely many points with transverse intersections, [Ar88]. We consider meanders that run from Southwest to Northeast asymptotically, hence the number N of crossing points is odd. A meander for which all intersections with the base line are vertical, and all arcs joining intersection points are semicircles, is said to be in *canonical form* (see Figure 2.1 for an example).

In the following, we label the intersection points along the meander. The labeling obtained by reading along the horizontal axis corresponds to a permutation $\sigma \in S_N$. Any permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ associated to a meander is then called a *meander permutation*. We recall that a permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ is called *dissipative* if it fixes the end points, i.e. $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\sigma(N) = N$. The final ingredient has to do with the winding of the unit tangent vector of the meander. Solely based on the permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ we inductively define the Morse numbers i_j of the intersection points labeled $j = 1, \dots, N$ by

$$(2.1) \quad i_1 = 0, \quad i_{j+1} = i_j + (-1)^{j+1} \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(j+1) - \sigma^{-1}(j)) .$$

With the meander in canonical form, these numbers count the clockwise half-windings of the unit tangent vector of the meander, from the initial intersection point $j = 1$ to the intersection point $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We say that $\sigma \in S_N$ is a *Morse permutation* if all its Morse numbers are non-negative, that is, $i_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

A dissipative, Morse, meander permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ is called a *Sturm permutation*, and a meander with an associated Sturm permutation is called a *Sturm meander*. As it follows from [FR99, Theorem 1.2], any Sturm permutation is realizable by a boundary value problem (1.2) with dissipative nonlinearity f , and the corresponding Sturm meander is equivalent to

the associated ODE shooting meander in phase space $(u, u_x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, since global Sturm attractors \mathcal{A}_{f_1} and \mathcal{A}_{f_2} with the same Sturm permutation $\sigma_{f_1} = \sigma_{f_2}$ are C^0 orbit equivalent (see [FR00]), from here on we assume all meanders are in canonical form.

Let \mathcal{M} denote a Sturm meander intersecting the horizontal axis. Let the intersection points be labeled by $j = 1, \dots, N$, enumerated along the meander. For any Sturm realization (1.2) of \mathcal{M} , we label the set of equilibria $\mathcal{E} = \{v_j, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ such that $v_j = h_0(j)$. Then their Morse indices are given by the Morse numbers, $i(v_j) = i_j$. The interpretation of Figure 2.1 as a shooting diagram and the ordering (1.6) of equilibria at $x = \iota = 1$ then imply that $h_1(k)$ is the k -th equilibrium, enumerated left to right, along the horizontal axis. Suppose $h_1(k) = v_j$. Then, $h_1(k) = v_j = h_0(j)$, i.e. the positional index k of v_j along the horizontal axis is given by the inverse Sturm permutation

$$(2.2) \quad k = \sigma^{-1}(j);$$

see (1.7). Consequently, in terms of the h_1 -boundary order we obtain $v_j = h_1(\sigma^{-1}(j))$.

For any given Sturm meander \mathcal{M} in canonical form let $j < k$ and ℓ denote labels along h_0 , i.e. along the meander \mathcal{M} , of three meander intersections with the horizontal axis; see Figure 2.2. Suppose the oriented meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j,k}$ of \mathcal{M} , from j to k , intersects the vertical line through ℓ transversely. (We ignore any crossing at ℓ itself, in case $j \leq \ell \leq k$.) We count clockwise crossings with respect to ℓ as $+1$, and counter-clockwise crossings as -1 . Then we define the *crossing number*

$$c = c(j, k; \ell)$$

of $\mathcal{M}_{j,k}$ with respect to ℓ as the total number of signed crossings, along the meander segment of \mathcal{M} from j to k . In other words, $c(j, k; \ell)$ counts the total number of net clockwise half windings of the meander \mathcal{M} from j to k , around ℓ . For $j = k$ we naturally define $c(j, j; \ell) := 0$.

For nontransverse crossings with the vertical line through ℓ , e.g. during homotopies of meanders not in canonical form, the definition can be extended by transverse approximations. For details see [FR99], and our comment in the Appendix section 5.

For $j > k$, i.e. if we follow the meander \mathcal{M} in reverse orientation, we define

$$(2.3) \quad c(j, k; \ell) := -c(k, j; \ell).$$

Our definition of the half winding numbers $c(j, k; \ell)$ with respect to ℓ implies the additivity property

$$(2.4) \quad c(j_1, j_2; \ell) + c(j_2, j_3; \ell) = c(j_1, j_3; \ell),$$




Figure 2.2: The crossing number $c(j, k; \ell)$ counts the crossings between the meander segment of meander \mathcal{M} from j to k and the vertical line through ℓ , clockwise with respect to ℓ . Crossings at ℓ are not counted. Here $c(j, k; \ell) = 1$. Note, $c(j, k; j) = c(j, k; k) = 0$.

for all choices of j_1, j_2, j_3 , and all ℓ .

The zero number $z(v_k - v_j)$ of the difference between any pair of distinct equilibria of any realization (1.2) of the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} can be obtained directly from the crossing numbers $c(j, k; \ell)$. Indeed, from [Ro91, Proposition 3] it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad z(v_k - v_j) = \begin{cases} i(v_j) + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ i(v_j) - 1 + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here $Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1}$ denotes the quadrant with respect to j of the arc segment of \mathcal{M} strictly between the intersection points j and $j + 1$. This is a nonlinear extension of the Sturm-Liouville property of solutions, which we review and prove in the Appendix section 5.

From (2.5) we recursively obtain the zero numbers $z_{jk} := z(v_k - v_j)$ in terms of the meander permutation σ . In fact, additivity (2.4) implies

$$(2.6) \quad z_{jk+1} - z_{jk} = c(j, k+1; j) - c(j, k; j) = c(k, k+1; j),$$

for all $1 \leq j < k \leq N$. In terms of the permutation σ we have

$$(2.7) \quad c(k, k+1; j) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k+1} [\text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(k+1) - \sigma^{-1}(j)) - \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(k) - \sigma^{-1}(j))].$$

Moreover, in view of dissipativeness of f and the parabolic comparison principle, we obtain $v_1(x) < v_j(x) < v_N(x)$ for all $1 < j < N$ and all $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Hence, we define $z_{1j} = z_{jN} := 0$

for all $1 < j < N$ and the zero numbers z_{jk} for all $1 \leq j < k \leq N$ are obtained from the decreasing recursion (see for example [FR96])

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{1j} &= z_{jN} := 0 \\ z_{jk} &= z_{jk+1} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^k [\text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(k+1) - \sigma^{-1}(j)) - \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(k) - \sigma^{-1}(j))] . \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that Morse indices $i(v_j)$ and zero numbers z_{jk} provide all the information necessary to establish the existence of heteroclinic orbit connections between pairs of equilibria $v_j \rightsquigarrow v_k$. Such results derive from the zero number dropping argument mentioned in the Introduction, section 1, in relation with (1.8); see [FR96]. Here we recall the following notion of equilibria adjacency first introduced in [Wo02]. Two equilibria v_j and v_k are said to be *z-adjacent* if there does not exist any other equilibrium w with $w(0)$ strictly between $v_j(0)$ and $v_k(0)$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad z(w - v_j) = z(v_k - w) = z(v_k - v_j) .$$

Then v_j and v_k are heteroclinically connected, $v_j \rightsquigarrow v_k$, if and only if $i(v_j) > i(v_k)$ and v_j and v_k are *z-adjacent*; see [Wo02, Theorem 2.1] and also [FR18b, Appendix 7]. If, due to an equilibrium w , the equilibria v_j and v_k are not *z-adjacent*, we say that w satisfying (2.9) *blocks* heteroclinic connections between v_j and v_k .

We now turn to the proof of our claims (1.15)–(1.18).

Lemma 2.1 *Let $w = w_{\pm}^{\iota}$ denote a boundary neighbor of \mathcal{O} , defined in (1.11), satisfying $i(w) = n - 1$. Then, $w \in \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$. More specifically, w is the minimax equilibrium identified by (1.15)–(1.18).*

Proof: Indeed, if $\iota = 0$, (2.5) implies $z(w - \mathcal{O}) = n - 1$. The same result also holds for the case of $\iota = 1$ by invoking the involution $\tau : x \mapsto 1 - x$. Moreover $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow w$, because such heteroclinic orbits are not blocked: see (2.9) and [Wo02]. This proves our claim $w \in \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$. Since w is a meander neighbor of \mathcal{O} , keeping track of $\text{sign}(w_{\pm}^{\iota} - \mathcal{O})$ at the appropriate boundary $x = \iota = 0, 1$ for even/odd n , we obtain (1.15)–(1.18). \square

Finally we recall a result appearing in [FRW12, Lemma 1] (see also [FR18b]) which relates the parity of the Morse indices $i(v_j)$ and the direction of the vertical crossing of the horizontal axis at j by the meander \mathcal{M} . Since it is used several times in our proof we highlight this result in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $j = h_0^{-1}(v_j)$ denote the h_0 -label of the j -th equilibrium $v_j \in \mathcal{E}$ along the meander \mathcal{M} in canonical form. Then, the labels $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and the Morse indices $i(v_j)$ have the opposite even/odd parity. Similarly, if the Morse index $i(v_j)$ is even/odd then the meander \mathcal{M} crosses the horizontal line at j vertically in the upwards/downwards direction, respectively.*

Proof: For $j = 1$ the first Morse index $i(v_1) = 0$ is even and the first crossing of the meander \mathcal{M} with the horizontal axis at 1 is vertical in the upwards direction. Afterwards, recursion (2.1) asserts that the even/odd parity of the Morse index $i(v_j) = i_j$ at the crossings j alternates, along the meander \mathcal{M} . Therefore, simple induction on j along \mathcal{M} proves the lemma. Similarly, the Jordan curve \mathcal{M} crosses the horizontal axis in the upwards and downwards direction, alternately. \square

3 The minimax property

We now prove our main result Theorem 1.1. Let again $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ denote the reference unstable equilibrium with $i(\mathcal{O}) = n \geq 1$, and let $w_{\pm}^{\iota}, \iota \in \{0, 1\}$, denote its four h_{ι} -boundary neighbors given by (1.11).

In the Appendix section 6 we present *suspensions* of the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} . Any suspension increases all the Morse indices of the equilibria by one, changes their even/odd parity, and adds two new equilibria to \mathcal{E} . This operation corresponds to a double cone suspension of the global attractor \mathcal{A} by the addition of the new equilibria, but preserves the geometric structure of the previous global attractor. In particular, by Lemma 6.2, the minimax property is preserved by this suspension. Therefore, without loss of generality, in the following we assume n to be odd.

To outline our proof we remark that (1.19) in Theorem 1.1 consists of four different cases according to the four choices of the sign \pm and the $\iota \in 0, 1$. We first consider the case of \underline{v}_+^1 , i.e. we choose the sign $+$ and consider the boundary $x = \iota = 1$.

We assume that $i(w_+^1) = n - 1$. Since n is assumed odd, according to (1.15)–(1.18) we have $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$. Moreover, $i(\underline{v}_+^1) = i(w_+^1) = n - 1$ by assumption, and $n - 1$ is even. Then it remains to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \underline{v}_+^1 = \bar{v}_+^0.$$

To prove this we use the z -adjacency notion (2.9) to show that the equilibrium \underline{v}_+^1 blocks heteroclinic connections from \mathcal{O} to any equilibrium $w \in \mathcal{E}$ with $z(w - \mathcal{O}) = (n - 1)_+$ further

away from \mathcal{O} , at $x = 0$, than \underline{v}_+^1 . By (1.14) this will prove (3.1). We conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the remaining three cases of (1.15) by applications of the trivial attractor equivalences generated by the involutions

$$(3.2) \quad \tau : x \mapsto 1 - x, \quad \kappa : u \mapsto -u.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case: $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1$, n odd.

Fix an equilibrium \mathcal{O} such that $n := i(\mathcal{O}) \geq 1$ is odd. Let $j_0 := h_0^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ denote the h_0 -label of the equilibrium $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ along the meander \mathcal{M} . Consequently, the h_0 -label of the $x = 0$ boundary successor w_+^0 of \mathcal{O} , i.e. of $w_+^0 := h_0(h_0^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) + 1)$, is given by $j_0 + 1 = h_0^{-1}(w_+^0) = h_0^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) + 1$. Also, we let $j_1 := h_0^{-1}(w_+^1)$ denote the h_0 -label of the $x = 1$ boundary successor w_+^1 of \mathcal{O} , i.e. of $w_+^1 := h_1(h_1^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) + 1)$. Hence $j_1 = h_0^{-1}(w_+^1) = \sigma(h_1^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) + 1)$. By definition, the intersection points labeled j_0 and j_1 are h_1 -neighbors along the horizontal axis, in this order. Since n is odd, the meander \mathcal{M} is oriented downwards at the intersection point corresponding to \mathcal{O} , by Lemma 2.2. Similarly, \mathcal{M} is oriented upwards at the intersection point corresponding to w_+^1 .

Our assumption $i(w_+^1) = n - 1$ implies $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, by (1.13), (1.18). Therefore (1.10) implies

$$(3.3) \quad z_{j_0 j_1} := z(w_+^1 - \mathcal{O}) = z(\underline{v}_+^1 - \mathcal{O}) = n - 1,$$

which is even. Hence, $\underline{v}_+^1(x) = w_+^1(x) > \mathcal{O}(x)$ at both boundaries $x = \iota \in \{0, 1\}$. See Figure 3.1 for the illustration of these notations for meanders \mathcal{M} with $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ and odd n in the alternative cases $i(w_+^0) = n \pm 1$ arising from $i(\mathcal{O}) = n$ and (1.11).

Let \mathcal{J} denote the union of the meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j_0 j_1}$, oriented from j_0 to j_1 , with the short segment of the horizontal axis from j_1 back to the adjacent intersection j_0 . Since \mathcal{M} is a meander and j_0, j_1 are horizontally adjacent, the closed oriented curve \mathcal{J} is a planar Jordan curve. Moreover, as the Morse indices count the clockwise half-windings of the unit tangent vector along the canonical meander \mathcal{M} (see comment to (2.1)), the assumption $i(\underline{v}_+^1) = i(w_+^1) = i(\mathcal{O}) - 1$ implies that \mathcal{J} performs a full counter-clockwise winding, that is \mathcal{J} is positively (i.e. left, counterclockwise) oriented. This shows that the (dark) open semicircular disk \mathcal{J}_0 in the lower half-plane, with diameter given by the horizontal axis from j_0 to j_1 , is interior to \mathcal{J} . See again Figure 3.1. In addition, the unbounded continuing segment of the meander \mathcal{M} which starts at j_1 and runs to the Northeast (eventually) asymptotically (see the meander definition in the beginning of section 2), is exterior to \mathcal{J} .

We now prepare to show claim (3.1) by contradiction. The strategy here is to suppose, contrary to (3.1), that

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{v}_+^0 \neq \underline{v}_+^1.$$




Figure 3.1: Illustrations of the arc segment $\mathcal{M}_{j_0 j_0+1}$ of a meander \mathcal{M} with $i(\mathcal{O}) = n$ odd and $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ under the alternative assumptions: a) $i(w_+^0) = n - 1$, and b) $i(w_+^0) = n + 1$. The union of the meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j_0 j_1}$, from j_0 to j_1 , with the section of the horizontal axis from j_1 back to j_0 is a planar Jordan curve \mathcal{J} . The dark open semicircular disk \mathcal{J}_0 between the points labeled j_0 and j_1 is in the interior of the Jordan curve \mathcal{J} .

In step 1 we then prove

$$(3.5) \quad z_{j_0 j_2} := z(\bar{v}_+^0 - \mathcal{O}) = n - 1.$$

Step 2 will establish that

$$(3.6) \quad z_{j_1 j_2} := z(\bar{v}_+^0 - \underline{v}_+^1) = n - 1.$$

In view of (3.3) this will imply that equilibria \mathcal{O} and \bar{v}_+^0 cannot be z -adjacent, because \underline{v}_+^1 will block $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow \bar{v}_+^0$; see (2.9). This provides a contradiction to definition (1.10), (1.14) of \bar{v}_+^0 . These three steps will prove claim (3.1), for odd $n = i(\mathcal{O})$ and $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1$ with $i(w_+^1) = n - 1$.

Let us initiate this indirect strategy, therefore, by assuming that the h_0 -maximal element \bar{v}_+^0 of $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, most distant from \mathcal{O} at $x = 0$, differs from the h_1 -minimal element \underline{v}_+^1 in $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, closest to \mathcal{O} at $x = 1$, i.e. (3.4).

Step 1: Proof of (3.5)

Let j_2 denote the h_0 -label of the h_0 -maximal equilibrium $\bar{v}_+^0 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ most distant from \mathcal{O} at $x = 0$, i.e. $v_{j_2} := \bar{v}_+^0 = h_0(j_2)$. Since \bar{v}_+^0 is h_0 -maximal in $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, by (1.13), and we just assumed $\bar{v}_+^0 \neq \underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, we have $j_2 > j_1$. Definition (1.10) of $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ implies (3.5) as claimed by our strategy. Moreover, since n is assumed odd, $n - 1$ is even and $v_{j_2} > \mathcal{O}$ at the boundary $x = 1$. See Figure 3.2.




Figure 3.2: Illustrations of arc segments \mathcal{M}_{j_1, j_1+1} of a meander \mathcal{M} with $i(\mathcal{O}) = n$ odd and $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ under the alternative assumptions: a) QM_{j_1, j_1+1} is odd, and b) QM_{j_1, j_1+1} is even. The meander segment \mathcal{M}_{j_1, j_2} starting at the point j_1 is in the exterior of \mathcal{J} and cannot cross the horizontal axis between j_0 and j_1 . In case a) we obtain $c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_1) = c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_0)$, and in case b) we obtain $c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_1) = c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_0) + 1$.

Step 2: Proof of (3.6)

We first recall that (3.3) and (3.5) assert $z_{j_0, j_1} = n - 1 = z_{j_0, j_2}$. Invoking (2.5) with $j = j_0$ and $k = j_1, j_2$ we obtain equality of the crossing numbers

$$(3.7) \quad c(j_0, j_1; j_0) = c(j_0, j_2; j_0).$$

Indeed j_1 and j_2 both occur after j_0 on the meander \mathcal{M} , and therefore refer to the same even/odd quadrant of \mathcal{M}_{j_0, j_0+1} . Since $c(j_0, j_2; j_0) = c(j_0, j_1; j_0) + c(j_1, j_2; j_0)$, by the additivity property (2.4), this shows

$$(3.8) \quad c(j_1, j_2; j_0) = 0.$$

Next, since the intersection points j_0 and j_1 are h_1 -adjacent neighbors along the horizontal axis, any semicircular arc segment of the meander segment \mathcal{M}_{j_1+1, j_2} which crosses the vertical line at j_1 also crosses the vertical line of j_0 , in the same upper or lower half-plane and in the same direction. The total contribution of \mathcal{M}_{j_1+1, j_2} to those crossing numbers therefore satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad c(j_1 + 1, j_2; j_0) = c(j_1 + 1, j_2; j_1).$$

For the first segment $\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1}$ we consider the alternative cases of even/odd $Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1}$ and obtain

$$(3.10) \quad c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_1) = \begin{cases} c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_0), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_0) + 1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, the crossing number definition implies (see Figure 3.2):

$$(3.11) \quad c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_1) = 0, \quad c(j_1, j_1 + 1; j_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ -1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

From (3.9), (3.10), by additivity (2.4) and using (3.8) we have

$$(3.12) \quad c(j_1, j_2; j_1) = \begin{cases} c(j_1, j_2; j_0) = 0, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ c(j_1, j_2; j_0) + 1 = 1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (2.5), and since $i(\underline{v}_+^1) = i(w_+^1) = n - 1$ by assumption, from (3.12) we conclude

$$(3.13) \quad z_{j_1 j_2} = \begin{cases} i(\underline{v}_+^1) + c(j_1, j_2; j_1) = n - 1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ i(\underline{v}_+^1) - 1 + c(j_1, j_2; j_1) = n - 1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j_1 j_1+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This proves claim (3.6).

Step 3: Proof of blocking

To reach a contradiction to our indirect assumption (3.4), we now show that the equilibrium $w := \underline{v}_+^1$ blocks the heteroclinic connection $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow \bar{v}_+^0 \in \mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, by blocking property (2.9) and contrary to definition (1.10) of $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$. Indeed, relations (3.3) for $w_+^1 = \underline{v}_+^1$, (3.5), and (3.6) assert

$$(3.14) \quad z(\underline{v}_+^1 - \mathcal{O}) = n - 1, \quad z(\bar{v}_+^0 - \mathcal{O}) = n - 1, \quad z(\bar{v}_+^0 - \underline{v}_+^1) = n - 1,$$

respectively. Moreover, the ordering $j_0 < j_1 < j_2$ corresponds to the ordering of the equilibria $\mathcal{O} = h_0(j_0)$, $\underline{v}_+^1 = h_0(j_1)$, $\bar{v}_+^0 = h_0(j_2)$ at the h_0 -boundary:

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{O} < \underline{v}_+^1 < \bar{v}_+^0 \quad \text{at } x = 0.$$

Therefore, (2.9) and (3.14) show that the equilibrium \underline{v}_+^1 blocks heteroclinic connections $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow \bar{v}_+^0$. This contradiction to the definition of \bar{v}_+^0 in (1.14), (1.10), proves claim (3.1) for $\underline{v}_+^1 = w_+^1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 in the remaining three cases.

By the trivial equivalence $\tau : x \mapsto 1 - x$ we obtain a Sturm global attractor $\tau\mathcal{A}$ with the equilibria $\tau\underline{v}_+^\iota, \tau\bar{v}_+^\iota, \iota \in \{0, 1\}$, now referring to $\tau\mathcal{O}$. Specifically,

$$(3.16) \quad \tau\underline{v}_+^{1-\iota} = \underline{v}_+^\iota ; \quad \tau\bar{v}_+^{1-\iota} = \bar{v}_+^\iota ; \quad \iota \in \{0, 1\} .$$

Then, if the appropriate $i(\tau w_+^\iota) = n - 1$, by (3.1) we have that $\tau\underline{v}_+^1 = \tau\bar{v}_+^0$. This shows that, (3.16) implies

$$(3.17) \quad \underline{v}_+^0 = \bar{v}_+^1 ,$$

which settles the remaining case with sign $+$.

For the equilibria in $\mathcal{E}_-^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$, if we assume that $i(w_-^\iota) = n - 1$ for the corresponding boundary predecessors w_-^ι with $\iota \in \{0, 1\}$, the remaining two cases for the sign $-$ follow by the trivial equivalence $\kappa : u \mapsto -u$.

For the convenience of the reader we include a table of the action of the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by the commuting involutions $\langle \tau, \kappa \rangle$ on the equilibria \underline{v}_\pm^ι .

\mathcal{O}	$\tau\mathcal{O}$	$\kappa\mathcal{O}$	$\tau\kappa\mathcal{O}$
$\underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O})$	$\underline{v}_\pm^{1-\iota}(\tau\mathcal{O})$	$\underline{v}_\mp^\iota(\kappa\mathcal{O})$	$\underline{v}_\mp^{1-\iota}(\tau\kappa\mathcal{O})$

This completes the proof of our main Theorem 1.1. \square

4 Discussion and Example

As pointed out in the Introduction, section 1, the minimax property (1.19) simplifies the task of identifying the equilibria $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ of (1.10) directly from the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} .

To emphasize the “local” aspect of our global result, we next show an example for which the identification of the equilibria in $\mathcal{E}_+^{i(\mathcal{O})-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is obtained from the knowledge of only a segment




Figure 4.1: Illustration of the meander section $\mathcal{M}_{j_0 j_0+11}$ corresponding to the partial Sturm permutation $\sigma = \{1 \dots j_0+11 \ j_0+10 \ j_0+3 \ j_0+2 \ j_0+1 \ j_0+4 \ j_0+9 \ j_0+8 \ j_0+5 \ j_0+6 \ j_0+7 \ j_0 \dots N\}$, where the reference unstable equilibrium $\mathcal{O} = h_0(j_0)$ has even Morse index $n := i(\mathcal{O}) = 2$ and odd $i(v_+^1) = i(w_-^1) = i(w_+^0) = i(\mathcal{O}) - 1 = n - 1 = 1$. The equilibria with Morse index $i = 0$ are indicated by black dots and the equilibria with Morse index $i = 2$ are indicated by white dots. Equilibria with Morse index $i = 1$ are indicated by simple intersections. The five intersections at $j_0 + \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ in gray squares indicate the target set $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O})$ defined in (1.10).

of the meander \mathcal{M} . In fact, we will only prescribe a segment of the Sturm permutation σ . We assume that our reference equilibrium $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ has even unstable dimension $n := i(\mathcal{O}) = 2$. As before, $j_0 = h_0^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ denotes the h_0 -label of \mathcal{O} along the meander. We consider a Sturm permutation according to the following template

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma = \{1 \dots j_0+11 \ j_0+10 \ j_0+3 \ j_0+2 \ j_0+1 \ j_0+4 \ j_0+9 \ j_0+8 \ j_0+5 \ j_0+6 \ j_0+7 \ j_0 \dots N\}.$$

This corresponds to a meander section $\mathcal{M}_{j_0 j_0+11}$ which we illustrate in Figure 4.1. Note the orientation of the meander \mathcal{M} due to the assumption of even n and Lemma 2.2. Our objective is to identify the set of equilibria $\mathcal{E}_+^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O})$ from the partial “local” information (4.1) on σ .

By (1.11) the boundary neighbors $w_+^0 = w_+^0(\mathcal{O})$ and $w_-^1 = w_-^1(\mathcal{O})$ are given by

$$(4.2) \quad w_+^0 = h_0(h_0^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) + 1) = h_0(j_0 + 1); \quad w_-^1 = h_1(h_1^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) - 1) = h_0(j_0 + 7).$$

Indeed, $w_-^1 = h_0(j_0 + 7)$ since w_-^1 is the left h_1 -neighbor of \mathcal{O} . The recursion (2.1) for the Morse indices i_j applied to σ in (4.1), implies $i(w_-^1) = i(w_+^0) = i(\mathcal{O}) - 1 = n - 1 = 1$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1, (1.19), together with (1.16), (1.17) yields

$$(4.3) \quad w_+^0 = \underline{v}_+^0 = \bar{v}_+^1; \quad w_-^1 = \underline{v}_+^1 = \bar{v}_+^0.$$

Equation (4.3) implies that the set $\mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O})$ of (1.10) is strictly contained in the set of equilibria v_j with values at the boundary $x = 0$ in the interval

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{O}(0) < w_+^0(0) = \underline{v}_+^0(0) = v_{j_0+1}(0) \leq v_j(0) \leq v_{j_0+7}(0) = \bar{v}_+^0(0) = w_-^1(0),$$

that is, the set of all equilibria $v_j = h_0(j)$ with $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq j_0 + 7$. Equation (4.3) also implies that $\mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O})$ is strictly contained in the set of equilibria with values at the boundary $x = 1$ in the interval

$$(4.5) \quad w_+^0(1) = \bar{v}_+^1(1) = v_{j_0+1}(1) \leq v_j(1) \leq v_{j_0+7}(1) = \underline{v}_+^1(1) = w_-^1(1) < \mathcal{O}(1).$$

We now claim that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O}) =: \{v_{j_0+k} : k \in K\}, \quad \text{with } K = \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$$

Here the index set K is defined by the left equality.

Equation (4.4) implies $K \subseteq \{1, \dots, 7\}$. Equation (4.5) implies $K \subseteq \{1, 4, 9, 8, 5, 6, 7\}$. By intersection, this proves $K \subseteq \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

We have to show, conversely, that $K \supseteq \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. The zero number formula (2.5), with $j = j_0$, $v_j = \mathcal{O}$, and v_{j_0+k} replacing v_k there, implies $z(v_{j_0+k} - \mathcal{O}) = 1_+$, for any $k \in \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. Therefore it only remains to prove

$$(4.7) \quad k \in \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \implies \mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+k}.$$

To prove claim (4.7), we recall that $v_j \rightsquigarrow v_k$ are heteroclinically connected, if and only if $i(v_j) > i(v_k)$ and v_j and v_k are z -adjacent; see (2.9). The following Morse indices $i(v_j) = i_j$ are easily determined from (2.1): we have $i(v_{j_0+k}) = 0$, for $k \in \{4, 6\}$, and $i(v_{j_0+k}) = 1$, for $k \in \{1, 5, 7\}$.

Two equilibria v_j and v_{j+1} , i.e. h_0 -boundary neighbors, are automatically z -adjacent. In fact, (2.1) implies $|i(v_j) - i(v_{j+1})| = 1$ and there is no third equilibrium w with $w(0)$ strictly between $v_j(0)$ and $v_{j+1}(0)$. In particular $\mathcal{O} = v_{j_0} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+1} = w_+^0$. The same assertion holds for two equilibria $v_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}$ and $v_{\sigma^{-1}(j+1)}$, i.e. h_1 -boundary neighbors at $x = 1$, by the trivial equivalence $\tau : x \mapsto 1 - x$. In particular $\mathcal{O} = v_{j_0} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+7} = w_-^1$. This takes care of the cases $k \in \{1, 7\}$.

From (4.1) (see also the template Figure 4.1), we immediately verify the h_0 -boundary z -adjacency of the equilibria v_{j_0+7}, v_{j_0+6} , and the h_1 -boundary z -adjacency of the equilibria v_{j_0+1}, v_{j_0+4} . Hence, we obtain $w_-^1 = v_{j_0+7} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+6}$ and $w_+^0 = v_{j_0+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+4}$. By heteroclinic transitivity, this takes care of claim (4.7) for $k \in \{4, 6\}$.




Figure 4.2: *Sketch of the heteroclinic connections in the global attractor corresponding to the partial Sturm permutation σ . To abbreviate the equilibrium labels we let $j_0 = 0$. The labels corresponding to \mathcal{O} , w_+^0 and w_-^1 are 0, 1 and 7, respectively. The shaded area corresponds to the subset of $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ composed of all heteroclinic connections $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow w$ with $z(w - \mathcal{O}) = 2_+$. In $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ there are exactly two heteroclinic connections $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow w$ with $z(w - \mathcal{O}) = 0_\pm$ which select the unique polar equilibria $\mathbf{N} = \Sigma_-^0(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathbf{S} = \Sigma_+^0(\mathcal{O})$; see [FR18b]. These polar equilibria are not labeled by the partial Sturm permutation σ . According to the dashed template in Figure 4.1, one possibility is $\mathbf{N} = -2$ and $\mathbf{S} = 12$.*

For the final equilibrium v_{j_0+k} with $k = 5$, we consider all potentially $z = 1$ blocking equilibria w with $w(1)$ strictly between $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $v_{j_0+5}(1)$. By template (4.1), there are exactly two such candidate equilibria: $w = v_{j_0+6}$ and $w = v_{j_0+7}$. Now, using the bottom row of (2.5) with $j = j_0 + 5, k = j_0 + 6, j_0 + 7$, we obtain the zero numbers

$$(4.8) \quad z_{j_0+6, j_0+5} = z(v_{j_0+5} - v_{j_0+6}) = 0, \quad z_{j_0+7, j_0+5} = z(v_{j_0+5} - v_{j_0+7}) = 0.$$

This shows that neither $w = v_{j_0+6}$, nor $w = v_{j_0+7}$, can block the $z = 1$ heteroclinic connection $\mathcal{O} \rightsquigarrow v_{j_0+5}$, at $x = 1$, and we conclude that also $v_{j_0+5} \in \mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O})$ by z -adjacency. This completes the proof of our claims (4.7) and (4.6).

In Figure 4.2 we sketch all the single-orbit heteroclinic connections obtained from the partial Sturm permutation σ . In particular, the set $\mathcal{E}_+^1(\mathcal{O})$ is illustrated with its chain of heteroclinic connections between the boundary neighbors w_+^0 and w_-^1 .

Figure 4.2 displays all the single-orbit heteroclinic connections as obtained from σ , by checking z -adjacency directly from the recurrence (2.8). For completion we include next the partial zero number matrix corresponding to the Sturm permutation segment σ , completed on the diagonal by the Morse indices of the equilibria:

$$(4.9) \quad [z_{jk}]_{j,k=j_0,\dots,j_0+11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5 Appendix: Nonlinear Sturm-Liouville property

In this Appendix we review and prove the nonlinear Sturm-Liouville property (NSL for short) in our meander setting. Let $v_j = h_0(j)$, $v_k = h_0(k)$ denote two equilibria with $j < k$. Then, the NSL property corresponds to the relation (2.5) between zero numbers, Morse indices and crossing numbers, which we now repeat for convenience. The claim is that the zero number $z_{jk} := z(v_k - v_j)$ is given by ([Ro91, Proposition 3])

$$(5.1) \quad z(v_k - v_j) = \begin{cases} i(v_j) + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1} \text{ is odd ;} \\ i(v_j) - 1 + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1} \text{ is even ,} \end{cases}$$

Here $Q\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1}$ denotes the quadrant with respect to j of the arc segment of \mathcal{M} between the intersection points j and $j + 1$.

As in section 2, $c(j, k; j)$ denotes the net signed clockwise crossings of the oriented meander segment \mathcal{M}_{jk} from equilibrium crossing j to k through the vertical line of j , ignoring that first crossing. See (2.3), (2.4).

Our proof of the NSL property (5.1) is based on zeros and winding numbers associated to

the solutions $v = v(x, a)$ of the initial value second order ODE problem

$$(5.2) \quad 0 = v_{xx} + f(x, v, v_x) \quad , \quad v(0, a) = a, \quad v_x(0, a) = 0.$$

The equilibrium boundary value problem (1.2) is related to this ODE by the shooting condition $v_x(x, a) = 0$ at the right boundary $x = 1$. Let $a_j := v_j(0)$, $a_k := v_k(0)$ denote the initial values at $x = 0$ of the equilibria $v_j, v_k \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e.

$$(5.3) \quad v(\cdot, a_j) = v_j(\cdot), \quad v(\cdot, a_k) = v_k(\cdot).$$

Then the segment \mathcal{M}_{jk} of the meander \mathcal{M} , from the intersection point j to the intersection point k , is given by the planar curve

$$(5.4) \quad a \mapsto (v(1, a), v_x(1, a)) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad a_j \leq a \leq a_k$$

of boundary values at the shooting boundary $x = 1$. Note how the shooting condition $v_x(1, a) = 0$ is actually satisfied, precisely, at the equilibrium intersections of the meander \mathcal{M} with the horizontal axis $v_x = 0$ in the (v, v_x) -plane.

For $a_j < a \leq a_k$, let

$$(5.5) \quad w = w(x, a) := (v(x, a) - v_j(x))/(a - a_j)$$

denote the scaled difference between the two solutions v, v_j of (5.2). Note that $w = w(x, a)$ solves a linear second order ODE initial value problem

$$(5.6) \quad 0 = w_{xx} + q_1(x, a)w_x + q_0(x, a)w, \quad w(0, a) = 1, \quad w_x(0, a) = 0.$$

Indeed, the coefficients q_0, q_1 depend on v, v_j and are given explicitly as

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} q_0(x, a) &= \int_0^1 \partial_u f(x, r(x, a, \mu), r_x(x, a, \mu)) \, d\mu, \\ q_1(x, a) &= \int_0^1 \partial_p f(x, r(x, a, \mu), r_x(x, a, \mu)) \, d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

with $r(x, a, \mu) = \mu v(x, a) + (1 - \mu)v_j(x)$, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

To extend the above construction (5.5)–(5.7), down to $a = a_j$, let

$$(5.8) \quad q_0(x, a_j) := \partial_u f(x, v_j(x), v_{jx}(x)), \quad q_1(x, a_j) := \partial_p f(x, v_j(x), v_{jx}(x)).$$

Then $f \in C^1$ implies continuity of q_0, q_1 , and therefore continuity of $w, w_x \in C^1$, in the closed rectangle

$$(5.9) \quad (x, a) \in \mathcal{R} := [0, 1] \times [a_j, a_k].$$




Figure 5.1: *Illustration of the rectangle $\mathcal{R} := [0, 1] \times [a_j, a_k]$. On each side of \mathcal{R} is indicated the total winding of ϑ along the corresponding side. On the top side, the two values correspond to the alternative: $\mathcal{M}_{j,j+1}$ is in an odd/even quadrant with respect to j .*

The initial condition $w(0, a) = 1$ of the linear equation (5.6) implies $(w(x, a), w_x(x, a)) \neq (0, 0)$ on \mathcal{R} . We can therefore introduce (clockwise!) polar coordinates, according to the Prüfer transformation

$$(5.10) \quad w = \rho \cos \vartheta, \quad w_x = -\rho \sin \vartheta$$

and obtain

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_x &= -\rho (q_1(x, a) \sin^2 \vartheta + (1 - q_0(x, a)) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta) \\ \vartheta_x &= \sin^2 \vartheta - q_1(x, a) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta + q_0(x, a) \cos^2 \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Note how the initial conditions $w(0, a) = 1$, $w_x(0, a) = 0$ imply $\rho = 1$, $\vartheta = 0$ at $x = 0$. The first equation of (5.11) then implies $\rho > 0$ on the rectangle \mathcal{R} . In particular all zeros of $x \mapsto w(x, a)$ are simple, for any fixed a . The second equation implies $\vartheta_x > 0$ for $\cos \vartheta = 0$, i.e. whenever $w = 0$, alias $\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}\pi \pmod{\pi}$. Hence the total number of zeros of the solutions $x \mapsto w = w(x, a)$ relates to the winding of $x \mapsto \vartheta = \vartheta(x, a)$.

We can now outline the remaining proof of the NSL property (5.1) as follows. We first note that the winding number of

$$(5.12) \quad \vartheta \pmod{2\pi} : \quad \partial\mathcal{R} \rightarrow S^1$$

is zero, along the boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$ of the rectangle \mathcal{R} in (5.9). Indeed, the map extends to all of \mathcal{R} , continuously, and hence is contractible, i.e. of winding number zero. In Lemma 5.1 we relate the winding along the right boundary $a = a_k$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ of \mathcal{R} to the zero number $z(v_k - v_j)$ in claim (5.1). In Lemma 5.2 we relate the winding along the left boundary $a = a_j$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ of \mathcal{R} to the Morse index $i(v_j)$ in claim (5.1). In Lemma 5.3 we relate the winding along the upper boundary $x = 1$, $a_j \leq a \leq a_k$ of \mathcal{R} to the crossing number $c(j, k; j)$ in claim (5.1). Since $\vartheta = 0$ is constant along the lower boundary $x = 0$, $a_j \leq a \leq a_k$, and since the total winding number is zero, this reduces the proof of the NSL property (5.1) to the three Lemmata 5.1 – 5.3.

Lemma 5.1 *The zero number $z(v_k - v_j)$ is given by*

$$(5.13) \quad z(v_k - v_j) = z(w(\cdot, a_k)) = \vartheta(1, a_k)/\pi.$$

Proof: Fix $a = a_k$. We recall that all zeros of $w(\cdot, a_k)$ are simple. They correspond to clockwise crossings of (w, w_x) through the vertical w_x -axis or, equivalently, to simple zeros of $\vartheta - \frac{1}{2}\pi \pmod{\pi}$ with positive slope $\vartheta_x > 0$. The Neumann boundary condition $v_{kx} = v_{jx} = 0$ at $x = 1$ implies $w_x = 0$ and hence $\vartheta \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ there. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.2 *The Morse index $i(v_j)$, i.e. the unstable dimension of the equilibrium v_j , satisfies*

$$(5.14) \quad i(v_j) = \lfloor \vartheta(1, a_j)/\pi \rfloor + 1.$$

Here $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer valued floor function.

Proof: See for example [Ro85, Theorem 2].

Comparing (5.2) with (5.5), (5.6), (5.8), we first note that $w = v_a$ is the partial derivative of $v = v(\cdot, a)$ with respect to a , at $a = a_j$. In particular, $(w(1, a_j), w_x(1, a_j))$ is the tangent of the meander segment \mathcal{M}_{j+1} at j , at (clockwise) angle $\vartheta(1, a_j) \pmod{2\pi}$ from the horizontal axis; see (5.10). Since the equilibrium v_j is assumed to be hyperbolic, $\vartheta(1, a_j) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$. In particular the meander crosses the horizontal axis transversely at the intersection j . More precisely, the (clockwise) tangent angle $\vartheta(1, a_j)$ has to point above the horizontal axis, for odd j (alias even $i(v_j)$), and below for even j (alias odd $i(v_j)$), alternatingly:

$$(5.15) \quad \vartheta(1, a_j) \pmod{2\pi} \in \begin{cases} (0, \pi), & \text{for odd } i(v_j); \\ (\pi, 2\pi), & \text{for even } i(v_j). \end{cases}$$

Next, consider the simple eigenvalues λ_m , i.e.

$$(5.16) \quad \lambda_0 > \cdots > \lambda_{i(v_j)-1} > 0 > \lambda_{i(v_j)} > \cdots ,$$

of the linearization

$$(5.17) \quad \lambda \tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_{xx} + q_1(x, a_j) \tilde{v}_x + q_0(x, a_j) \tilde{v}, \quad \tilde{v}(0) = 1, \quad \tilde{v}_x(0) = \tilde{v}_x(1) = 0$$

at v_j . By classical Sturm-Liouville theory, e.g. as in [CL72], the eigenfunction $\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_m$ of $\lambda = \lambda_m$ possesses m simple zeros. Moreover, w solves (5.17) with $\lambda = 0$, but violates the Neumann boundary condition at $x = 1$. Therefore Sturm-Liouville comparison with (5.16) implies

$$(5.18) \quad i(v_j) - 1 \leq z(w) \leq i(v_j) .$$

Translating zeros of w to zeros of $\vartheta - \frac{1}{2}\pi \pmod{\pi}$, as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad (i(v_j) - 1)\pi < \vartheta(1, a_j) - \pi/2 < (i(v_j) + 1)\pi .$$

Combined with (5.15), this implies

$$(5.20) \quad (i(v_j) - 1)\pi < \vartheta(1, a_j) < i(v_j)\pi$$

and proves claim (5.14) of the lemma. \square

We recall the definition of the signed clockwise counts $c(j, k; j)$ of meander crossings, from section 2.

Lemma 5.3 *The clockwise increase*

$$(5.21) \quad \lfloor (\vartheta(1, a_k) - \vartheta(1, a_j)) / \pi \rfloor = \vartheta(1, a_k) / \pi - \lfloor \vartheta(1, a_j) / \pi \rfloor$$

of the angle $\vartheta(1, a)$ from $a = a_j$ to $a = a_k$ is given by

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{cases} c(j, k; j) + 1, & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j+1} \text{ is odd ;} \\ c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j+1} \text{ is even .} \end{cases}$$

Proof: At $a = a_k$ we recall $\vartheta(1, a) / \pi \in \mathbb{N}_0$ from (5.13). This proves claim (5.21). It remains to prove claim (5.22).

From the proof of Lemma 5.2 we recall that $\vartheta(1, a_j) \pmod{2\pi}$ is the (clockwise) tangent angle of the meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j, j+1}$ at j with the horizontal axis; see (5.10). For general $a_j < a \leq a_k$, the angle $\vartheta(1, a)$ tracks the secant between j and $(w(1, a), w_x(1, a)) \in \mathcal{M}_{j, k}$.

By definition, neither the clockwise crossing count $c(j, k; j)$, nor the clockwise angular increase $\lfloor (\vartheta(1, a_k) - \vartheta(1, a_j)) / \pi \rfloor$ depend on homotopies of the Jordan meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j, k}$, as long as the initial tangent angle $\vartheta(1, a_j)$ and the final secant $\vartheta(1, a_k)$ remain fixed. Therefore we may assume all crossings of the angle $\vartheta(1, a) \pmod{\pi}$ through the levels $\pi/2$ to be transverse, and finite in number, for $a \in (a_j, a_k]$.

The two cases (5.22) at $a = a_j$ arise as follows. The even/odd parity of j at the up- or down-crossing j of the meander \mathcal{M} determines whether the initial (clockwise) tangent $\vartheta(1, a_j)$ points down or up; see (5.15). The precise direction, however, and the even/odd quadrant on that side of the horizontal axis, remain undetermined. Suppose we artificially twist an initial tangent $\vartheta(1, a_j)$ from an odd quadrant at j to the even quadrant on the same side of the horizontal axis. We do not require this twist to be realized by specific nonlinearities $f = f(x, v, v_x)$ in (5.2); we just compensate our twist, locally, by a homotopy of the initial meander segment $\mathcal{M}_{j, j+1}$ near j . Then our twist of the initial tangent contributes one additional clockwise crossing to the crossing count $c(j, k; j)$. Since this modification leaves (5.22) invariant, we may assume the initial tangent $\vartheta(1, a_j)$ to be in an odd quadrant, without loss of generality, i.e.

$$(5.23) \quad \lfloor \vartheta(1, a_j) / \pi \rfloor + \frac{1}{2} < \vartheta(1, a_j) / \pi < \lfloor \vartheta(1, a_j) / \pi \rfloor + 1.$$

Therefore (5.21), (5.23) ensure that $\lfloor (\vartheta(1, a_k) - \vartheta(1, a_j)) / \pi \rfloor - 1$ counts the net clockwise crossings of the angle $\vartheta(1, a) \pmod{\pi}$ through the levels $\pi/2$, as a increases from $a = a_j$ to $a = a_k$. This coincides with the clockwise crossing count $c(j, k; j)$, by definition, and proves the lemma. \square

Contractibility of the winding map (5.12) allows us to identify the values of ϑ in lemmata 5.1 – 5.3 as real numbers, not just mod 2π . The lemmata therefore combine to show the NSL property (5.1) as follows. We first express $z_{j, k} = \vartheta(1, a_k) / \pi$ in (5.13) of Lemma 5.1 via (5.21) of Lemma 5.3. We then substitute the two floor function expressions in (5.21) by (5.22) and (5.14), respectively. This proves our original claim (5.1). We may therefore evaluate $\vartheta(1, a_k)$ in (5.13) via summation of (5.11) and (5.21), to complete the proof of the NSL property (5.1).




Figure 6.1: *Canonical form of the suspension $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of the meander \mathcal{M} corresponding to the permutation $\sigma = \{1\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 3\ 2\ 7\}$. The meander segment $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{17}$ corresponds to a 180° rotation of the segment \mathcal{M}_{17} . The suspended meander $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponds to the permutation $\tilde{\sigma} = \{0\ 7\ 2\ 3\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 1\ 8\}$.*

6 Appendix: Meander suspensions

The *double cone suspension* $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of a global attractor \mathcal{A} is the topological quotient of $\mathcal{A} \times [0, 1]$ obtained by identifying $\mathcal{A} \times 0$ and $\mathcal{A} \times 1$ to distinct disjoint points, called *cone points*. The unstable suspension of global attractors is an efficient tool for the study of their geometric properties (see for example [FR00]), and has also been considered in the related setting of meanders (see [Ka17]). In this Appendix we define the corresponding suspension of a meander \mathcal{M} and review some results encoded in the boundary value orderings of the equilibria in their Sturm global attractors.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the *suspension* of the meander \mathcal{M} obtained by rotating the segment \mathcal{M}_{1N} by 180° and adding two extreme intersection points, labeled by $j = 0$, left, and $j = N + 1$, right, and two maximal outermost arcs. See Figure 6.1 for an illustration.

As we show in Lemma 6.1 below, the suspension $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of a Sturm meander is again Sturm and the corresponding global attractor $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is connection equivalent to a double cone unstable suspension of the global attractor \mathcal{A} . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the set of equilibria of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{h}_0, \tilde{h}_1 : \{0, \dots, N + 1\} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ their boundary orders as obtained from the suspension $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Due to the rotation of the meander segment \mathcal{M}_{1N} , the correspondence $h_0(j) = v_j \mapsto \tilde{v}_j := \tilde{h}_0(j)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, preserves the h_0 -order and reverses the h_1 . Moreover, as we will show, the first equilibrium $\tilde{v}_0 := \tilde{h}_0(0)$ and the last equilibrium $\tilde{v}_{N+1} := \tilde{h}_0(N + 1)$ constitute the cone points of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the meander suspension of the Sturm meander \mathcal{M} . Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is*

a Sturm meander. Moreover, the Morse indices of the equilibria in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and their zero number relations satisfy

$$(6.1) \quad i(\tilde{v}_0) = i(\tilde{v}_{N+1}) = 0, \quad i(\tilde{v}_j) = i(v_j) + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq N,$$

$$(6.2) \quad z(\tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_k) = z(v_j - v_k) + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j < k \leq N,$$

$$(6.3) \quad z(\tilde{v}_0 - \tilde{v}_j) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq N + 1, \quad z(\tilde{v}_k - \tilde{v}_{N+1}) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

Proof: Let $\sigma := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$ and $\tilde{\sigma} := \tilde{h}_0^{-1} \circ \tilde{h}_1$ denote the permutations corresponding to the Sturm meanders \mathcal{M} and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively. Let $\tilde{\sigma}'$ denote the restriction of $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. By our definition of meander suspension, the meander segment $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1N}$ corresponds to a 180° rotation of \mathcal{M}_{1N} . This implies that $\tilde{\sigma}' = \kappa\sigma$, where κ is the reversal involution. Therefore, we have

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(N+1) = N+1, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(j) = \sigma(N+1-j) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq N,$$

$$(6.5) \quad \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(j) = \sigma^{-1}\kappa(j) = N+1 - \sigma^{-1}(j) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

To show that $\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{N+2}$ is a Sturm permutation, we compute the corresponding Morse numbers $\tilde{i}_j, j = 0, \dots, N+1$, using the recursion (2.1) in this setting:

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{i}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{i}_{j+1} = \tilde{i}_j + (-1)^j \text{sign}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(j+1) - \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(j)) \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

In terms of the permutation σ , by (6.5), this recursion becomes

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{i}_{j+1} = \tilde{i}_j + (-1)^j \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}(j) - \sigma^{-1}(j+1)) \text{ for } 1 \leq j < N.$$

A comparison between (6.7) and (2.1) then shows that both recursions, \tilde{i}_j and i_j , have the same step increments,

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{i}_{j+1} - \tilde{i}_j = i_{j+1} - i_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j < N.$$

Now, these recursions start at $j = 1$ with $i_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{i}_1 = 1$, by (6.6). Therefore, (6.8) shows that $\tilde{i}_j = i_j + 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. It follows that $\tilde{i}_N = 1$, and (6.6) again implies $\tilde{i}_{N+1} = 0$. This shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a Sturm meander and proves (6.1).

Next, to prove the zero number relations (6.2)–(6.3) we invoke (2.5), alias the nonlinear Sturm-Liouville property (5.1) in the appendix section 5.

Let $c = c(j, k; \ell)$ and $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(j, k; \ell)$ denote the crossing numbers of \mathcal{M}_{1N} and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0N+1}$, respectively. We first note that the suspension does not affect the meander orientation. In fact, both meander segments \mathcal{M}_{1N} and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1N}$ are oriented by the increasing labels along h_0 and \tilde{h}_0 , respectively. Since \mathcal{M}_{1N} and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1N}$ are equal up to rotation, all the crossing numbers are preserved by the meander suspension, i.e. we have

$$(6.9) \quad \tilde{c}(j, k; \ell) = c(j, k; \ell) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j, k, \ell \leq N.$$

The zero number relations for the equilibria $\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_N \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are then obtained directly from (2.5). For all $0 \leq j < k \leq N + 1$, we have

$$(6.10) \quad z(\tilde{v}_k - \tilde{v}_j) = \begin{cases} i(\tilde{v}_j) + \tilde{c}(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{j+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ i(\tilde{v}_j) - 1 + \tilde{c}(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{j+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, for $1 \leq j < N$ the quadrants $Q\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{j+1}$ and $Q\mathcal{M}_{j+1}$ have the same even/odd parity. Indeed, the parity of the quadrants is not affected by the 180° rotation. Then, by (6.1) and (6.9), from (6.10) we obtain for all $1 \leq j < k \leq N$

$$(6.11) \quad z(\tilde{v}_k - \tilde{v}_j) = \begin{cases} i(v_j) + 1 + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j+1} \text{ is odd;} \\ i(v_j) + c(j, k; j), & \text{if } Q\mathcal{M}_{j+1} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, comparing (6.11) with (2.5) for the equilibria $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathcal{E}$, we obtain (6.2).

Finally, to prove (6.3) we invoke again (2.5) for the suspended meander $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, alias (6.10). By the definition of meander suspension, the quadrant $Q\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{01}$ of the first arc segment of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is odd. Moreover, $i(\tilde{v}_0) = 0$ for the extremal equilibrium \tilde{v}_0 , and the crossing numbers of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0k}$ with respect to $\ell = 0$ satisfy

$$(6.12) \quad \tilde{c}(0, k; 0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq N + 1.$$

Therefore, (6.10) with $j = 0$ implies

$$(6.13) \quad z(\tilde{v}_k - \tilde{v}_0) = i(\tilde{v}_0) + \tilde{c}(0, k; 0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq N + 1.$$

Similarly, for the extremal equilibrium \tilde{v}_{N+1} , we obtain

$$(6.14) \quad z(\tilde{v}_{N+1} - \tilde{v}_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

This proves (6.3), and completes the lemma. \square

Let $\mathcal{E}^* := \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \{\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_{N+1}\}$ and let \mathcal{H}^* denote the set of heteroclinic orbits between the equilibria in \mathcal{E}^* , i.e. the connecting orbits $v \rightsquigarrow w$ with $v, w \in \mathcal{E}^*$. Let $\mathcal{A}^* \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the subset of all equilibria in \mathcal{E}^* and all their connecting orbits,

$$(6.15) \quad \mathcal{A}^* := \mathcal{E}^* \cup \mathcal{H}^* .$$

Then, \mathcal{A}^* is a flow invariant subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ which, by the transversality of stable and unstable manifolds, is the union of invariant submanifolds of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

By our previous Lemma 6.1, the suspension has the effect of increasing all Morse indices by one, as asserted by (6.1). Moreover, the uniform increase by one of all the zero number relations between the equilibria, displayed by (6.2), shows that the suspension preserves the heteroclinic structure of the global attractor. Hence, the suspension correspondence $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}^*$ determined by $v_j \mapsto \tilde{v}_j, j = 1, \dots, N$, implies the connection equivalence between the global attractor \mathcal{A} and the subset \mathcal{A}^* , i.e. the corresponding oriented connection graphs are isomorphic,

$$(6.16) \quad \mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}^* .$$

The zero number relations (6.3) then concern the orbits contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \mathcal{A}^*$. In particular, for each $\tilde{v}_j \in \mathcal{A}^*$, (6.3) and the parabolic comparison principle imply that the one-dimensional fast unstable manifold $W^1(\tilde{v}_j)$ is the union of \tilde{v}_j itself, with two heteroclinic orbits: one connecting to \tilde{v}_0 , $\tilde{v}_j \rightsquigarrow \tilde{v}_0$, and the other connecting to \tilde{v}_{N+1} , $\tilde{v}_j \rightsquigarrow \tilde{v}_{N+1}$. Therefore, \mathcal{A}^* stands unstably suspended between the two extremal equilibria, \tilde{v}_0 and \tilde{v}_{N+1} , introduced by the suspension. See Figure 6.2 for an illustration.

The next Lemma 6.2 addresses the behavior of the minimax property under the meander suspension.

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \{\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_{N+1}\}$ denote the equilibrium corresponding to any unstable $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}$ by the suspension mapping $v_j \mapsto \tilde{v}_j, j = 1, \dots, N$. Then we have the following correspondences between neighbors and minimax equilibria:*

$$(6.17) \quad \mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\pm^n(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) , \quad \underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) , \quad \bar{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \bar{v}_\pm^\iota(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) , \quad \iota \in \{0, 1\} .$$

Proof: The suspended equilibria correspondence $\mathcal{E}_\pm^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\pm^n(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$, follows directly from (6.3) of Lemma 6.1 and the connection equivalence $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}^*$, since the meander suspension




Figure 6.2: *Illustration of the unstable suspension of the global attractor \mathcal{A} . The cones are topologically glued at their bases where they share an invariant subset \mathcal{A}^* which is connection equivalent to the global attractor \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}^* \sim \mathcal{A}$. The cone points $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ correspond to the extremal equilibria \tilde{v}_0 and \tilde{v}_{N+1} .*

preserves the h_0 -order. The same arguments prove the correspondences $\underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ and $\bar{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \bar{v}_\pm^\iota(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ for $\iota \in \{0, 1\}$, and complete the proof of (6.17) and the lemma. \square

In conclusion, this lemma shows that the Morse index $n = i(\mathcal{O})$ can indeed be assumed odd, without any loss, in our proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, if the minimax property $\underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\mathcal{O}) = \bar{v}_\pm^{1-\iota}(\mathcal{O})$ holds for odd Morse index $i(\mathcal{O})$, Lemma 6.2 asserts that the minimax property $\underline{v}_\pm^\iota(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \bar{v}_\pm^{1-\iota}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ holds for even Morse index $i(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = i(\mathcal{O}) + 1$.

As a final observation we mention that the 180° rotation introduced in our definition of meander suspension is not necessary. In fact, Lemma 6.1 and also Lemma 6.2 conveniently adapted, hold as well for a meander suspension defined without the rotation of the meander segment \mathcal{M}_{1N} .

References

- [An88] S. Angenent. The zero set of a solution of a parabolic equation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **390** (1988), 79–96.

- [Ar88] V. I. Arnold. A branched covering $CP^2 \rightarrow S^4$, hyperbolicity and projective topology. *Siberian Math. J.*, **29** (1988), 717–726.
- [BV92] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik. *Attractors of Evolution Equations*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [BF88] P. Brunovský and B. Fiedler. Connecting orbits in scalar reaction diffusion equations. *Dynamics Reported* **1** (1988), 57–89.
- [BF89] P. Brunovský and B. Fiedler. Connecting orbits in scalar reaction diffusion equations II: The complete solution. *J. Differential Eqs.*, **81** (1989), 107–135.
- [CL72] E.A. Coddington and N. Levinson. *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. McGraw-Hill, New York 1974.
- [D&al19] V. Delecroix, É. Goujard, P. Zograf, and A. Zorich. Enumeration of meanders and Masur-Veech volumes. [arXiv:1705.05190v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05190v2); Forum of Mathematics, Pi **8** (2020), 80pp; doi: 10.1017/fmp.2020.2
- [Fi94] B. Fiedler. Global attractors of one-dimensional parabolic equations: Sixteen examples. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publ.* **4** (1994), 67–92.
- [FR96] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Heteroclinic orbits of semilinear parabolic equations. *J. Differential Eqs.*, **125** (1996), 239–281.
- [FR99] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Realization of meander permutations by boundary value problems. *J. Differential Eqs.*, **156** (1999), 282–308.
- [FR00] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Orbit equivalence of global attractors of semilinear parabolic differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **352** (2000), 257–284.
- [FR08] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Connectivity and design of planar global attractors of Sturm type. II: Connection graphs. *J. Differential Eqs.*, **244** (2008), 1255–1286.
- [FR09a] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Connectivity and design of planar global attractors of Sturm type. I: Orientations and Hamiltonian paths. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **635** (2009), 71–96.
- [FR09b] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Connectivity and design of planar global attractors of Sturm type. III: Small and Platonic examples. *J. Dynam. Differential Eqs.*, **22** (2010), 121–162.

- [FR14] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Nonlinear Sturm global attractors: unstable manifold decompositions as regular CW-complexes. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **34** (2014), no. 12, 5099—5122.
- [FR15] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Schoenflies spheres as boundaries of bounded unstable manifolds in gradient Sturm systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **27** (2015), no. 3-4, 597—626.
- [FR18a] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Sturm 3-ball global attractors 1: Thom-Smale complexes and meanders. *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.*, **12** (2018), 18–67.
- [FR18b] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Sturm 3-ball global attractors 2: Design of Thom-Smale complexes. *J. Dynam. Differential Eqs.*, **31** (2019), 1549–1590.
- [FR18c] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Sturm 3-ball global attractors 3: Examples of Thom-Smale complexes. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **38** (2018), no. 7, 3479–3545.
- [FR20] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Boundary orders and geometry of the signed Thom-Smale complex for Sturm global attractors. arxiv: 1811.04206; *J. Dynam. Differential Eqs.* (2020), 32pp.; doi: 10.1007/s10884-020-09836-5
- [FRW12] B. Fiedler, C. Rocha, M. Wolfrum: A permutation characterization of Sturm global attractors of Hamilton type. *J. Differential Eqs.* **252** (2012), 588–623.
- [FR91] G. Fusco and C. Rocha. A permutation related to the dynamics of a scalar parabolic PDE. *J. Differential Eqs.*, **91** (1991), 75–94.
- [Ga04] V. A. Galaktionov. *Geometric Sturmian Theory of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations and Applications*. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, 2004.
- [Ha88] J. K. Hale. *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*. Math. Surv. **25**. AMS Publications, Providence, 1988.
- [He81] D. Henry. *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lect. Notes Math. **804**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [Ka17] A. Karnauhova. *Meanders – Sturm Global Attractors, Seaweed Lie Algebras and Classical Yang-Baxter Equation*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2017.
- [La91] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya. *Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.

- [Ma82] H. Matano. Nonincrease of the lap-number of a solution for a one-dimensional semi-linear parabolic equation. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA.*, **29** (1982), 401–441.
- [Pa83] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [Ra02] G. Raugel. Global attractors in partial differential equations. *Handbook of Dynamical Systems*, **2** (2002), 885–982.
- [Ro85] C. Rocha. Generic properties of equilibria of reaction-diffusion equations with variable diffusion. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **101** (1985), 385–405.
- [Ro91] C. Rocha. Properties of the attractor of a scalar parabolic PDE. *J. Dynam. Differential Eqs.*, **3** (1991), 575–591.
- [Ta79] H. Tanabe. *Equations of Evolution*. Pitman, Boston, 1979.
- [Te88] R. Temam. *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [Wo02] M. Wolfrum. A sequence of order relations: encoding heteroclinic connections in scalar parabolic PDE. *J. Differential Eqs.* **183** (2002), no. 1, 56–78.