

POISSON STABLE SOLUTIONS FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH LÉVY NOISE

XIN LIU AND ZHENXIN LIU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we use a unified framework to study Poisson stable (including stationary, periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic, almost automorphic, Birkhoff recurrent, almost recurrent in the sense of Bebutov, Levitan almost periodic, pseudo-periodic, pseudo-recurrent and Poisson stable) solutions for semilinear stochastic differential equations driven by infinite dimensional Lévy noise with large jumps. Under suitable conditions on drift, diffusion and jump coefficients, we prove that there exist solutions which inherit the Poisson stability of coefficients. Further we show that these solutions are globally asymptotically stable in square-mean sense. Finally, we illustrate our theoretical results by several examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of Poisson stability was first introduced by Poincaré in his famous work [32] in the late 19th century. He pointed out that in all bounded Hamilton systems the orbits of aperiodic solutions are stable in the sense of Poisson. Poisson stable motions in dynamical systems generally include stationary, periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic [8, 9, 10, 5], almost automorphic [6, 7, 43, 41], Birkhoff recurrent [4], Levitan almost periodic [25, 26], almost recurrent [3, 36], pseudo-periodic [11], pseudo-recurrent [35, 38] etc. Note that in the literature on topological dynamics, Poisson stable motions are sometimes called recurrent motions, especially in discrete situations. It is well-known that recurrence is one of central topics of both dynamical systems and probability theory, which describes the asymptotic behavior and complexity of dynamical systems and Markov processes. By Poincaré recurrence theorem and Birkhoff recurrence theorem, recurrence exists extensively in dynamical systems. On the other hand, it is known that (positive) recurrence is essentially equivalent to the existence of invariant (probability) measures for Markov processes.

As mentioned above, recurrence has been extensively studied for deterministic dynamical systems. Now let us recall some studies on recurrent solutions for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) which are closely relevant to our present work. There are many works on recurrent solutions to the continuous SDE based on Gaussian noise, such as Khasminskii [23], Zhao and Zheng [45] for periodicity (see also Chen et al [14] and Ji et al [22] for the study of periodicity in the framework of Fokker-Planck equations), Halanay [21], Morozan and Tudor [30], Da Prato and Tudor [17], Arnold and Tudor [2], Liu and Wang [29], Li et al [27] for almost periodicity, Fu and Liu [20], Chen and Lin [15] for almost automorphy, and Cheban and Liu [13], Cheng and Liu [16] for general recurrence, among others.

At the same time, similar researches for SDEs based on semimartingales with jumps have been underway, but it turns out that results are not simply parallel to those continuous SDEs. When referring to discontinuous and fluctuation cases, we usually consider Lévy processes with jumps since the analysis to Lévy processes is much simpler and they can also provide

Date: September 30, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H10, 60G51, 37B20, 34C25, 34C27.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic differential equation; Lévy noise; Periodic solution; Quasi-periodic solution; Almost periodic solution; Levitan almost periodic solution; Almost automorphic solution; Birkhoff recurrent solution; Poisson stable solution; Asymptotic stability.

valuable information. Lévy processes that a class of càdlàg processes possess stationary and independent increments, including Brownian motions and Poisson processes as special cases, form an important subclass of both semimartingales and Markov processes. It is because Lévy processes keep independent increment property of Brownian motions but not strictly request continuity of orbits, permitting break points exist in time-continuous financial, biological models etc, Lévy models with jumps can better depict the phenomena in these fields. In fact, it is fair to say that SDEs with jumps driven by Lévy processes are quite useful and they have been applied in mathematical finance, biology and other areas. Here we just mention some works directly related to ours, without any claim of completeness. The monographs Sato [33], Applebaum [1] and Peszat and Zabczyk [31] respectively introduced the theory of Lévy processes, the theory of SDEs driven by finite dimensional Lévy processes and the infinite dimensional case systematically. Wang and Liu [44] and Liu and Sun [28] respectively proved the existence of almost periodic and almost automorphic solutions to SDEs perturbed by Lévy noise.

Motivated by the work of Cheban and Liu [13] on Poisson stable solutions of SDEs with Gaussian noise, we intend to apply comparable (strongly comparable) methods of B. A. Shcherbakov to investigate Poisson stable solutions of SDEs based on Lévy noise with large jumps in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) = & (AY(t) + f(t, Y(t)))dt + g(t, Y(t))dW(t) \\ & + \int_{|x|v < 1} F(t, Y(t-), x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|v \geq 1} G(t, Y(t-), x)N(dt, dx), \end{aligned}$$

where the operator A generates an exponentially stable semigroup and f, g, F, G are Poisson stable functions in t . We wonder whether or not there exist Poisson stable solutions for the above SDE, since intuitively large jumps may destroy the Poisson stability of solutions. For this interesting question, we elaborate in this paper that under some conditions on coefficients f, g, F, G , there always exists a unique bounded solution which have the same character of recurrence as coefficients in distribution sense and the solution is globally asymptotically stable. Besides we emphasize the fact that the Poisson stability of solutions is determined by the weakest Poisson stability of coefficients f, g, F, G . It coincides with our cognition and we show this point by several examples.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 begins with definitions of Poisson stable functions, Lévy processes and their basic properties. We simply review Lévy-Itô decomposition and B. A. Shcherbakov's comparable (strongly comparable) methods by character of recurrence. In Section 3, we prove (Theorem 3.3) that under some suitable conditions, there exist bounded solutions, taking on the Poisson stability of coefficients in distribution sense, for linear SDEs with Lévy noise. In Section 4, we prove the semilinear SDE case and it is the main result of this paper (Theorem 4.6). In Section 5, we discuss the asymptotic stability of Poisson stable solutions. In Section 6, we illustrate the application of our theoretical results by some examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The space $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$. Let (\mathcal{Y}, ρ) be a complete metric space. Denote by $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ the space of all continuous functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ equipped with the metric

$$d(\varphi, \psi) := \sup_{k > 0} \min \{ \max_{|t| \leq k} \rho(\varphi(t), \psi(t)), k^{-1} \}.$$

Note that $(C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y}), d)$ is a complete metric space. It is known that for any $\varphi, \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$, $d(\varphi, \psi) = \varepsilon$ if and only if

$$\max_{|t| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}} \rho(\varphi(t), \psi(t)) = \varepsilon$$

(see, e.g. [38, ChI], [40, 42]).

Remark 2.1. 1. The metric d generates the compact-open topology on $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$.

2. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $d(\varphi_n, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) For each $k > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \rho(\varphi_n(t), \varphi(t)) = 0$.
- (iii) There exists a sequence $l_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq l_n} \rho(\varphi_n(t), \varphi(t)) = 0$.

2.2. Poisson stable functions. Let us recollect the types of Poisson stable functions to be studied in this paper and the relations among them. We recommend the reader to [34, 38, 40, 42] for further details.

Definition 2.2. For given $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$, φ^h denotes the h -translation of φ , i.e. $\varphi^h(t) := \varphi(h+t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $H(\varphi)$ denotes the hull of φ , which is the set of all the limits of φ^{h_n} in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$, i.e. $H(\varphi) := \{\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y}) : \psi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{h_n} \text{ for some sequence } \{h_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}\}$.

Remark 2.3. It is well-known that the mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ defined by $\pi(h, \varphi) = \varphi^h$ is a dynamical system, i.e. $\pi(0, \varphi) = \varphi$, $\pi(h_1 + h_2, \varphi) = \pi(h_2, \pi(h_1, \varphi))$ and the mapping π is continuous (see, e.g. [12, 34]). In particular, the mapping π restricted to $\mathbb{R} \times H(\varphi)$ is a dynamical system.

Definition 2.4. A number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be ε -shift for $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ if $d(\varphi^\tau, \varphi) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.5. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *Poisson stable in the positive (respectively, negative) direction* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $l > 0$ there exists $\tau > l$ (respectively, $\tau < -l$) such that $d(\varphi^\tau, \varphi) < \varepsilon$. The function φ is called *Poisson stable* provided it is Poisson stable in both directions.

Definition 2.6. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *stationary* (respectively, τ -periodic) if $\varphi(t) = \varphi(0)$ (respectively, $\varphi(t + \tau) = \varphi(t)$) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.7. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *quasi-periodic with the spectrum of frequencies* $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$ if the following conditions are fulfilled.

- (i) The numbers $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$ are rationally independent.
- (ii) There exists a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\Phi(t_1 + 2\pi, t_2 + 2\pi, \dots, t_m + 2\pi) = \Phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ for all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- (iii) $\varphi(t) = \Phi(\nu_1 t, \nu_2 t, \dots, \nu_m t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. A number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is called ε -almost period of the function φ if $\rho(\varphi(t + \tau), \varphi(t)) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \varepsilon)$ the set of ε -almost periods of φ .

Definition 2.9. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is said to be *almost periodic* if the set of ε -almost periods of φ is *relatively dense* for each $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $l = l(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \varepsilon) \cap [a, a + l] \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.10. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is said to be *pseudo-periodic in the positive (respectively, negative) direction* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $l > 0$ there exists a ε -almost period $\tau > l$ (respectively, $\tau < -l$) of the function φ . The function φ is called *pseudo-periodic* if it is pseudo-periodic in both directions.

Definition 2.11. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *pseudo-recurrent* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $k \geq l$ such that for any $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we can find a number $\tau \in [l, k]$ satisfying

$$\sup_{|t| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}} \rho(\varphi(t + \tau_0 + \tau), \varphi(t + \tau_0)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Remark 2.12. The inclusion relations among the above recurrent functions are stationary \Rightarrow periodic \Rightarrow quasi-periodic \Rightarrow almost periodic \Rightarrow pseudo-periodic \Rightarrow pseudo-recurrent \Rightarrow Poisson stable, where \Rightarrow means implying.

Definition 2.13. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *almost automorphic* if and only if for any sequence $\{t'_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ there are a subsequence $\{t_n\}$ and some function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\varphi(t + t_n) \rightarrow \psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(t - t_n) \rightarrow \varphi(t)$$

uniformly in t on every compact subset from \mathbb{R} .

In what follows, we denote (\mathcal{X}, γ) as a complete metric space.

Definition 2.14. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *Levitan almost periodic* if there exists an almost periodic function $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $d(\varphi^\tau, \varphi) < \varepsilon$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\psi, \delta)$.

Definition 2.15. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *almost recurrent (in the sense of Bebutov)* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{\tau : d(\varphi^\tau, \varphi) < \varepsilon\}$ is relatively dense.

Definition 2.16. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *Lagrange stable* if $\{\varphi^h : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Definition 2.17. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called *Birkhoff recurrent* if it is almost recurrent and Lagrange stable.

Remark 2.18. ([37, 38, 40, 42])

- (i) The inclusion relations among the above recurrence notions are almost periodicity \Rightarrow almost automorphy \Rightarrow Levitan almost periodicity \Rightarrow almost recurrence \Rightarrow Poisson stability.
- (ii) Every almost automorphic function is Birkhoff recurrent and every Birkhoff recurrent function is pseudo-recurrent, but the inverse statement is not true in general.

Finally, we remark that a Lagrange stable function is not necessary Poisson stable, but all other types of functions introduced above are Poisson stable.

2.3. Shcherbakov's comparability method by character of recurrence. Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$. Denote by \mathfrak{N}_φ (respectively, \mathfrak{M}_φ) the family of all sequences $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi^{t_n} \rightarrow \varphi$ (respectively, $\{\varphi^{t_n}\}$ converges) in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We write \mathfrak{N}_φ^u (respectively, \mathfrak{M}_φ^u) to mean the family of sequences $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{N}_\varphi$ (respectively, $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$) such that φ^{t_n} converges to φ (respectively, $\{\varphi^{t_n}\}$ converges) uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.19. A function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ is said to be *comparable (by character of recurrence)* with $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$ if $\mathfrak{N}_\psi \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\varphi$; φ is said to be *strongly comparable (by character of recurrence)* with ψ if $\mathfrak{M}_\psi \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$.

Theorem 2.20. ([38, ChII], [39], [13]) *Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$, $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $\mathfrak{M}_\psi \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$ implies $\mathfrak{N}_\psi \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\varphi$, and hence strong comparability implies comparability.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^u \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^u$ implies $\mathfrak{N}_\psi^u \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\varphi^u$.
- (iii) Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ be comparable with $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$. If the function ψ is stationary (respectively, τ -periodic, Levitan almost periodic, almost recurrent, Poisson stable), then so is φ .
- (iv) Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ be strongly comparable with $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$. If the function ψ is quasi-periodic with the spectrum of frequencies $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$ (respectively, almost periodic, almost automorphic, Birkhoff recurrent, Lagrange stable), then so is φ .
- (v) Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Y})$ be strongly comparable with $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$ and ψ be Lagrange stable. If ψ is pseudo-periodic (respectively, pseudo-recurrent), then so is φ .

2.4. Lévy process. Throughout the paper, we fix a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and assume that $(\mathbb{H}, |\cdot|)$ and $(U, |\cdot|_U)$ are real separable Hilbert spaces. Denote by $(L(U, \mathbb{H}), \|\cdot\|_{L(U, \mathbb{H})})$ the space of all bounded linear operators from U to \mathbb{H} . The Lévy processes we consider are U -valued. We now review the definition of Lévy processes and the important Lévy-Itô decomposition theorem; for more details, see [1, 24].

Definition 2.21. A U -valued stochastic process $L = (L(t), t \geq 0)$ is called *Lévy process* if it has the following three properties:

- (i) $L(0) = 0$ almost surely.
- (ii) L has stationary and independent increments, i.e. the law of $L(t+h) - L(t)$ does not depend on t and for all $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \infty$, random variables $L(t_1)$, $L(t_2) - L(t_1), \dots, L(t_n) - L(t_{n-1})$ are independent.
- (iii) L is *stochastically continuous*. i.e. for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $s > 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \mathbf{P}(|L(t) - L(s)|_U > \epsilon) = 0.$$

Since a Lévy process L is càdlàg, the associated *jump process* $\Delta L = (\Delta L(t), t \geq 0)$ is given by $\Delta L(t) = L(t) - L(t-)$. For any Borel set B in $U - \{0\}$, define the random counting measure

$$N(t, B)(\omega) := \#\{0 \leq s \leq t : \Delta L(s)(\omega) \in B\} = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \chi_B(\Delta L(s)(\omega)),$$

where χ_B is the indicator function of B . We call $\nu(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}(N(1, \cdot))$ the *intensity measure* of L . We say that a Borel set B in $U - \{0\}$ is *bounded below* if $0 \notin \overline{B}$, the closure of B . If B is bounded below, then $N(t, B) < \infty$ holds almost surely for all $t \geq 0$. For given B , $(N(t, B), t \geq 0)$ obeys Poisson distribution with intensity $\nu(B)$, so $(N(t, B), t \geq 0)$ is a Poisson process and N is called *Poisson random measure*. For each $t \geq 0$ and B bounded below, we define the *compensated Poisson random measure* by

$$\tilde{N}(t, B) = N(t, B) - t\nu(B).$$

Proposition 2.22 (Lévy-Itô decomposition). *A U -valued Lévy process L can be represented as*

$$(2.1) \quad L(t) = at + W(t) + \int_{|x|_U < 1} x \tilde{N}(t, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} x N(t, dx),$$

where $a \in U$ and W is a U -valued \mathcal{Q} -Wiener process. N is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (U - \{0\})$ with intensity ν , which is independent of W . Here the intensity measure ν satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \int_U (|x|_U^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$$

and \tilde{N} is the compensated Poisson random measure of N .

As for \mathcal{Q} -Winner processes and the stochastic integral based on them, the monograph [18] provides a thorough description. Assume that L_1 and L_2 are two independent, identically distributed Lévy processes with decompositions as in Proposition 2.22 and let

$$L(t) = \begin{cases} L_1(t), & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ -L_2(-t), & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then L is a two-sided Lévy process. In this paper, we consider two-sided Lévy process L which is defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ and suppose that the covariance operator \mathcal{Q} of W is of trace class, i.e. $\text{Tr} \mathcal{Q} < \infty$.

Remark 2.23. It follows from (2.2) that $\int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \nu(dx) < \infty$. For convenience, we set hereafter

$$b := \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \nu(dx).$$

Remark 2.24. Note that the stochastic process $\tilde{L} = (\tilde{L}(t), t \in \mathbb{R})$ given by $\tilde{L}(t) := L(t+s) - L(s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is also a two-sided Lévy process which shares the same law as L . In particular, when $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the similar conclusion holds for one-sided Lévy processes.

2.5. Stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise. Consider the following SDE driven by Lévy noise

$$(2.3) \quad dY(t) = (AY(t) + f(t, Y(t)))dt + g(t, Y(t))dL(t),$$

where A is an infinitesimal generator which generates a \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{H} ; $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow L(U, \mathbb{H})$; L is a U -valued Lévy process. When the Lévy process L is p -integrable ($p \geq 1$), i.e. $\mathbb{E}|L(t)|^p < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, L has the following form of Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$L(t) = at + W(t) + \int_U x \tilde{N}(t, dx).$$

Then, we only need to consider the SDE of the form

$$dY(t) = (AY(t) + f(t, Y(t)))dt + g(t, Y(t))dW(t) + \int_U F(t, Y(t-), x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx).$$

In this paper, we do not assume that the Lévy process L is p -integrable. Then by Lévy-Itô decomposition (2.1), equation (2.3) reads

$$(2.4) \quad dY(t) = (AY(t) + f(t, Y(t)))dt + g(t, Y(t))dW(t) \\ + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F(t, Y(t-), x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G(t, Y(t-), x) N(dt, dx),$$

where F and G are \mathbb{H} -valued. It allows us to study large jumps with considerable probability. We set $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{L(u) : u \leq t\}$.

Definition 2.25. An \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process $\{Y(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called a *mild solution* of (2.4) if it satisfies the corresponding stochastic integral equation

$$(2.5) \quad Y(t) = T(t-r)Y(r) + \int_r^t T(t-s)f(s, Y(s))ds + \int_r^t T(t-s)g(s, Y(s))dW(s) \\ + \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-s)F(s, Y(s-), x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx) \\ + \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-s)G(s, Y(s-), x) N(ds, dx),$$

for all $t \geq r$ and each $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.6. Compatible (strong compatible) solutions in distribution. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$ be the space of all Borel probability measures on \mathbb{H} endowed with the β metric:

$$\beta(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\mu - \int f d\nu \right| : \|f\|_{BL} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Here f varies in the space of bounded Lipschitz continuous real-valued functions on \mathbb{H} with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BL} = Lip(f) + \|f\|_\infty,$$

where

$$Lip(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}, \quad \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} |f(x)|.$$

A sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$ is said to *weakly converge* to μ if $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$, where $C_b(\mathbb{H})$ is the space of all bounded continuous real-valued functions on \mathbb{H} . As we know that $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H}), \beta)$ is a separable complete metric space and that a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ weakly converges to μ if and only if $\beta(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. See [19, §11.3] for β metric and related properties.

Definition 2.26. A sequence of random variables $\{x_n\}$ is said to *converge in distribution* to the random variable x if the corresponding laws $\{\mu_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ weakly converge to the law μ of x , i.e. $\beta(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.27. Let $\{\varphi(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a mild solution of (2.4). Then φ is called *compatible* (respectively, *strongly compatible*) *in distribution* if $\mathfrak{N}_{(f,g,F,G)} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}_\varphi$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{(f,g,F,G)} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\varphi$), where $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}_\varphi$ (respectively, $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\varphi$) means the set of all sequences $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the sequence $\{\varphi(\cdot + t_n)\}$ converges to $\varphi(\cdot)$ (respectively, $\{\varphi(\cdot + t_n)\}$ converges) in distribution uniformly on any compact interval.

2.7. The function space $BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$.

Definition 2.28. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called *continuous at $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly w.r.t. $y \in Q$* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|t - t_0| < \delta$ implies $\sup_{y \in Q} \gamma(f(t, y), f(t_0, y)) < \varepsilon$. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called *continuous on \mathbb{R} uniformly w.r.t. $y \in Q$* if it is continuous at every point $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly w.r.t. $y \in Q$.

Remark 2.29. Note that if Q is a compact subset of \mathcal{Y} and $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a continuous function, then f is continuous on \mathbb{R} uniformly w.r.t. $y \in Q$.

Denote by $BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ possessing the following properties:

- (i) f are continuous in t uniformly w.r.t. y on every bounded subset $Q \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$.
- (ii) f are bounded on every bounded subset from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}$.

Let $f, g \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ and $\{Q_n\}$ be a sequence of bounded subsets from \mathcal{Y} such that $Q_n \subset Q_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Q_n$. We equip the function space $BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$

with the metric

$$(2.6) \quad d_{BUC}(f, g) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)},$$

where $d_n(f, g) := \sup_{|t| \leq n, y \in Q_n} \gamma(f(t, y), g(t, y))$. Then $(BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}), d_{BUC})$ is a complete metric space and $d_{BUC}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $f_n(t, y) \rightarrow f(t, y)$ uniformly w.r.t. (t, y) on every bounded subset from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}$. For given $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the *translation of f by f^τ* , i.e. $f^\tau(t, y) := f(t + \tau, y)$ for $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}$, and the *hull of f* by $H(f) := \overline{\{f^\tau : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ with the closure being taken under the metric d_{BUC} given by (2.6). Note that the mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ defined by $\pi(\tau, f) := f^\tau$ is a dynamical system, i.e. $\pi(0, f) = f$, $\pi(\tau_1 + \tau_2, f) = \pi(\tau_2, \pi(\tau_1, f))$ and the mapping π is continuous. See [12, §1.1] or [34] for details.

We use $BC(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ to denote the set of all continuous and bounded on every bounded subset $Q \subset \mathcal{Y}$ functions $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and let

$$d_{BC}(f, g) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)}$$

for any $f, g \in BC(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$, where $d_n(f, g) := \sup_{y \in Q_n} \gamma(f(y), g(y))$ with Q_n the same as in (2.6).

Then $(BC(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}), d_{BC})$ is a complete metric space.

Let now $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ and $\mathfrak{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow BC(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ a mapping defined by equality $\mathfrak{f}(t) := f(t, \cdot)$.

Remark 2.30. It is not hard to check that:

- (i) $\mathfrak{M}_f = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ for any $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{M}_f^u = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{f}}^u$ for any $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$.

Here \mathfrak{M}_f is the set of all sequences $\{t_n\}$ such that f^{t_n} converges in the space $BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ and \mathfrak{M}_f^u is the set of all sequences $\{t_n\}$ such that f^{t_n} converges in the space $BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ uniformly w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. LINEAR EQUATIONS

Let \mathfrak{B} be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Denote by $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B})$ the Banach space of all continuous and bounded mappings $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ endowed with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} := \sup\{\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathfrak{B}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Remark 3.1. If $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B})$, then for any $\tilde{f} \in H(f)$ we have $\|\tilde{f}(t)\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $H(f)$ means the hull of f defined in Definition 2.2.

We define for $p \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}) := \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}) = \left\{ Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \mathbb{E}|Y|^p = \int_{\Omega} |Y|^p d\mathbf{P} < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |Y|^p d\mathbf{P} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that $(\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})})$ is a Banach space. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})) &:= \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})) \\ &= \left\{ Y : \Omega \rightarrow L(U, \mathbb{H}) \mid \mathbb{E}\|Y\|_{L(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|Y\|_{L(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\mathbf{P} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and define a norm by

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H}))} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \|Y\|_{L(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\mathbf{P} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that $(L(U, \mathbb{H}), \|\cdot\|_{L(U, \mathbb{H})})$ and $(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H}))})$ are Banach spaces. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H}) &:= \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega \times U, \mathcal{P}_U, \mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H}) \\ &= \left\{ Y : \Omega \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \int_{\Omega \times U} |Y|^2 d\mathbf{P}_{\nu} = \int_U \mathbb{E}|Y|^2 \nu(dx) < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P}_U is the product σ -algebra on $\Omega \times U$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\nu} = \mathbf{P} \otimes \nu$. For $Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H})$, let

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H})} := \left(\int_U \mathbb{E}|Y|^2 \nu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H})$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_{\nu}; \mathbb{H})}$.

Remark 3.2. If the operator $\mathcal{Q} \in L(U)$, the space of bounded linear operators from U to U , is nonnegative, symmetric and $\text{Tr}\mathcal{Q} < \infty$, then $L\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L_2(U, \mathbb{H})$ for all $L \in L(U, \mathbb{H})$, where the space $L_2(U, \mathbb{H})$ is a separable Hilbert space that consists of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U to \mathbb{H} with inner product $\langle A, B \rangle_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \langle Ae_k, Be_k \rangle$ and $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ an orthonormal basis of U . For $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, we have $g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L_2(U, \mathbb{H}))$ and denote $\|g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L_2(U, \mathbb{H}))} = \left(\mathbb{E} \|g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In this section we consider the following linear SDE perturbed by Lévy noise

$$(3.1) \quad dY(t) = (AY(t) + f(t))dt + g(t)dW(t) + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F(t, x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G(t, x)N(dt, dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where A is an infinitesimal generator which generates a \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{H} and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, $F, G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_\nu; \mathbb{H})$. Here W and N are the Lévy-Itô decomposition components of the two-sided Lévy process L as in Section 2.4.

Theorem 3.3. *Consider (3.1). Assume that A generates a dissipative \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \|T(t)\| \leq Ke^{-\omega t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

with $K > 0$, $\omega > 0$; $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})))$, and $F, G \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. Suppose that W and N are the same as in Section 2.4. Then (3.1) has a unique mild solution $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ and this unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution is strongly compatible in distribution. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{M}_{(f, g, F, G)}^u \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^u$, where \mathfrak{M}_φ^u is the set of all sequences $\{t_n\}$ such that the sequence $\{\varphi(t + t_n)\}$ converges in distribution uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Step 1. There exists a unique mild solution $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$. By (2.5) and (3.2), if φ is \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded, then it is a mild solution of (3.1) if and only if it is given by the following form

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(t) = & \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)g(\tau)dW(\tau) \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau)F(\tau, x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, x)N(d\tau, dx). \end{aligned}$$

First we prove the uniqueness of the \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution. If $\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ is another solution of (3.1), then $\varphi(t) - \psi(t)$ is the solution of the equation $dY(t) = AY(t)dt$. Since (3.2) holds, this equation has only zero solution in $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$. Therefore $\varphi = \psi$.

Now we prove that φ given by (3.3) is \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded, i.e. $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} < \infty$. According to (3.3),

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(t)|^2 \leq & 4\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau \right|^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)g(\tau)dW(\tau) \right|^2 \\ & + 4\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau)F(\tau, x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 \\ & + 4\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, x)N(d\tau, dx) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of (3.4), by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t K e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} |f(\tau)| d\tau \right)^2 \\
&\leq K^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} d\tau \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} |f(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} |f(\tau)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, using Itô's isometry property we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau) g(\tau) dW(\tau) \right|^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{E} \|T(t-\tau) g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^t K^2 e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \|g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{K^2}{2\omega} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the third term, by properties of integrals for Poisson random measures we gain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau) F(\tau, x) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E} |T(t-\tau) F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} K^2 e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} |F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{K^2}{2\omega} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E} |F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth term, by properties of integrals for Poisson random measures and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau) G(\tau, x) N(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau) G(\tau, x) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 + 2\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau) G(\tau, x) \nu(dx) d\tau \right|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} K^2 e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} |G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} K^2 e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \nu(dx) d\tau \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} |G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\
&\leq \left(\frac{K^2}{\omega} + \frac{2K^2 b}{\omega^2} \right) \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E} |G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.4)-(3.8), we have for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{E} |\varphi(t)|^2 \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4 \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} |f(\tau)|^2 + 2\omega \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 + 2\omega \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E} |F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right)$$

$$+ (4\omega + 8b) \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx),$$

and hence

$$(3.10) \quad \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4\|f\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega \|g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega \|F\|_\infty^2 + (4\omega + 8b)\|G\|_\infty^2 \right).$$

Next we prove that φ is \mathcal{L}^2 -continuous. To verify this, we can weaken the condition of $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ to a \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup, not necessarily dissipative. In other words, there exist constants $M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\delta t}$ holds for all $t \geq 0$. Since φ is a \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution of (3.1), i.e. it satisfies (2.5), then similar to (3.5)-(3.8) it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Itô's isometry property and properties of integrals for Poisson random measures that for $t \geq r$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|\varphi(t) - \varphi(r)|^2 \\ & \leq 5\mathbb{E}|T(t-r)\varphi(r) - \varphi(r)|^2 + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_r^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau \right|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_r^t T(t-\tau)g(\tau)dW(\tau) \right|^2 + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau)F(\tau, x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) + \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, x)\nu(dx)d\tau \right|^2 \\ & \leq 5\mathbb{E}|T(t-r)\varphi(r) - \varphi(r)|^2 + 5M^2e^{2\delta(t-r)} \int_r^t 1d\tau \cdot \int_r^t \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2d\tau \\ & \quad + 5M^2e^{2\delta(t-r)} \int_r^t \mathbb{E}\|g(\tau)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2d\tau + 5M^2e^{2\delta(t-r)} \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(\tau, x)|^2\nu(dx)d\tau \\ & \quad + 5M^2e^{2\delta(t-r)} \left[2 \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2\nu(dx)d\tau \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} 1\nu(dx)d\tau \cdot \int_r^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2\nu(dx)d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup, so $|T(t-r)x - x| \rightarrow 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ as $t \rightarrow r^+$. Since $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\delta t}$, $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|T(t-r)\varphi(r) - \varphi(r)|^2 & = \mathbb{E}|(T(t-r) - Id)\varphi(r)|^2 \\ & \leq 2(\|T(t-r)\|^2 + 1)\mathbb{E}|\varphi(r)|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that $\mathbb{E}|T(t-r)Y(r) - Y(r)|^2 \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow r^+$. For $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 < \infty;$$

and analogically

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\|g(\tau)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(\tau, x)|^2\nu(dx) < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Hence $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(t) - \varphi(r)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow r^+$. Using same arguments, we get $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(t) - \varphi(r)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow r^-$. So φ is \mathcal{L}^2 -continuous.

Step 2. The unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution is strongly compatible in distribution.

Let $l > k > 0$ and $t \in [-k, k]$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{-l}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{-\infty}^{-l} e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\omega} \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 + \frac{1}{\omega} e^{-\omega(l-k)} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.11), from (3.5)-(3.8) we respectively have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 \leq \max_{|t| \leq k} \frac{K^2}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 + \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} e^{-\omega(l-k)} \|f\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau) g(\tau) dW(\tau) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \max_{|t| \leq k} K^2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E} \|g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{K^2}{2\omega} \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \mathbb{E} \|g(\tau) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 + \frac{K^2}{2\omega} e^{-2\omega(l-k)} \|g \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau) F(\tau, x) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \max_{|t| \leq k} K^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{K^2}{2\omega} \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \frac{K^2}{2\omega} e^{-2\omega(l-k)} \|F\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau) G(\tau, x) N(d\tau, dx) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \max_{|t| \leq k} 2K^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\ & \quad + \max_{|t| \leq k} 2K^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \nu(dx) d\tau \cdot \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\ & \leq \left(\frac{K^2}{\omega} + \frac{2K^2 b}{\omega^2} \right) \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \left(\frac{K^2}{\omega} e^{-2\omega(l-k)} + \frac{2K^2 b}{\omega^2} e^{-\omega(l-k)} \right) \|G\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.4) and (3.12)-(3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(t)|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{2K^2}{\omega^2} \left(2 \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \mathbb{E}|f(\tau)|^2 + \omega \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \mathbb{E}\|g(\tau)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \omega \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) + (2\omega + 4b) \max_{|\tau| \leq l} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{2K^2}{\omega^2} \left(2e^{-\omega(l-k)} \|f\|_\infty^2 + \omega e^{-2\omega(l-k)} \|g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 + \omega e^{-2\omega(l-k)} \|F\|_\infty^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(2\omega e^{-2\omega(l-k)} + 4be^{-\omega(l-k)} \right) \|G\|_\infty^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_{(f, g, F, G)}$. Then there exists $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \in H(f, g, F, G)$ such that for given $k > 0$

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|f(t + t_n) - \tilde{f}(t)|^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}\|(g(t + t_n) - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(t + t_n, x) - \tilde{F}(t, x)|^2 \nu(dx) = 0,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G(t + t_n, x) - \tilde{G}(t, x)|^2 \nu(dx) = 0.$$

Let φ_n denote the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 dY(t) = & (AY(t) + f^{t_n}(t))dt + g^{t_n}(t)dW(t) + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F^{t_n}(t, x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\
 & + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G^{t_n}(t, x)N(dt, dx) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 dY(t) = & (AY(t) + \tilde{f}(t))dt + \tilde{g}(t)dW(t) + \int_{|x|_U < 1} \tilde{F}(t, x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\
 & + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \tilde{G}(t, x)N(dt, dx) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi_n := \varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}$ is the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 dY(t) = & (AY(t) + (f^{t_n}(t) - \tilde{f}(t)))dt + (g^{t_n}(t) - \tilde{g}(t))dW(t) \\
 & + \int_{|x|_U < 1} (F^{t_n}(t, x) - \tilde{F}(t, x))\tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\
 & + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} (G^{t_n}(t, x) - \tilde{G}(t, x))N(dt, dx) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f^{t_n} - \tilde{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, $g^{t_n} - \tilde{g} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})))$, $F^{t_n} - \tilde{F}, G^{t_n} - \tilde{G} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. By Remark 3.1, we have

$$\|f^{t_n} - \tilde{f}\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_\infty, \quad \|(g^{t_n} - \tilde{g})\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty \leq 2\|g\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty,$$

$$\|F^{t_n} - \tilde{F}\|_\infty \leq 2\|F\|_\infty, \quad \|G^{t_n} - \tilde{G}\|_\infty \leq 2\|G\|_\infty.$$

Now let l_n be a sequence of positive numbers such that $l_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the basis of (3.16), we get

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\psi_n(t)|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2K^2}{\omega^2} \left(2 \max_{|\tau| \leq l_n} \mathbb{E}|f^{t_n}(\tau) - \tilde{f}(\tau)|^2 + \omega \max_{|\tau| \leq l_n} \mathbb{E}\|(g^{t_n}(\tau) - \tilde{g}(\tau))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 \right. \\ & \quad + \omega \max_{|\tau| \leq l_n} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F^{t_n}(\tau, x) - \tilde{F}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \\ & \quad + (2\omega + 4b) \max_{|\tau| \leq l_n} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|G^{t_n}(\tau, x) - \tilde{G}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \left. \right) \\ & + \frac{2K^2}{\omega^2} \left(2e^{-\omega(l_n - k)} \|f^{t_n} - \tilde{f}\|_\infty^2 + \omega e^{-2\omega(l_n - k)} \|(g^{t_n} - \tilde{g})\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \omega e^{-2\omega(l_n - k)} \|F^{t_n} - \tilde{F}\|_\infty^2 + (2\omega e^{-2\omega(l_n - k)} + 4be^{-\omega(l_n - k)}) \|G^{t_n} - \tilde{G}\|_\infty^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.21) and considering Remark 2.1-2-(iii), by (3.17)-(3.20) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\psi_n(t)|^2 = 0$$

for any $k > 0$; that is, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since \mathcal{L}^2 convergence implies convergence in distribution, we have $\varphi_n \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in [-k, k]$ for all $k > 0$. Let $\tau = \sigma + t_n$ in (3.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t + t_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - \sigma) f(\sigma + t_n) d\sigma + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - \sigma) g(\sigma + t_n) dW_n(\sigma) \\ & \quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t - \sigma) F(\sigma + t_n, x) \tilde{N}_n(d\sigma, dx) \\ & \quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t - \sigma) G(\sigma + t_n, x) N_n(d\sigma, dx) \end{aligned}$$

where $W_n(\sigma) := W(\sigma + t_n) - W(t_n)$, $N_n(\sigma, x) := N(\sigma + t_n, x) - N(t_n, x)$ and $\tilde{N}_n(\sigma, x) := \tilde{N}(\sigma + t_n, x) - \tilde{N}(t_n, x)$ for each $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Since W_n is a \mathcal{Q} -Wiener process with the same law as W and N_n has the same law as N with compensated Poisson random measure \tilde{N}_n , $\varphi_n(t)$ and $\varphi(t + t_n)$ share the same distribution on \mathbb{H} . Hence $\varphi(t + t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in [-k, k]$ for all $k > 0$. Thus we have $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. That is, φ is strongly compatible in distribution.

Step 3. $\mathfrak{M}_{(f, g, F, G)}^u \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\varphi^u$. Let $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_{(f, g, F, G)}^u$. Then there exists $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \in H(f, g, F, G)$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|f(t + t_n) - \tilde{f}(t)|^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.23) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\|(g(t + t_n) - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.24) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|F(t + t_n, x) - \tilde{F}(t, x)|^2 \nu(dx) = 0,$$

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E} |G(t + t_n, x) - \tilde{G}(t, x)|^2 \nu(dx) = 0.$$

We define φ_n , $\tilde{\varphi}$ and ψ_n as in Step 2. According to (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi_n\|_\infty^2 &\leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4\|f^{t_n} - \tilde{f}\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega\|(g^{t_n} - \tilde{g})\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\omega\|F^{t_n} - \tilde{F}\|_\infty^2 + (4\omega + 8b)\|G^{t_n} - \tilde{G}\|_\infty^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to limit in (3.26), by (3.22)-(3.25) we get $\varphi_n \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ uniformly on \mathbb{R} in \mathcal{L}^2 -norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\varphi_n(t)$ and $\varphi(t + t_n)$ have the same distributions, $\varphi(t + t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have $\{t_n\} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\varphi^u$.

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Consider (3.1). Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, it follows from Theorems 2.20 and 3.3 that*

- (i) *if coefficients f, g, F, G are jointly stationary (respectively, τ -periodic, quasi-periodic with the spectrum of frequencies ν_1, \dots, ν_m , almost periodic, almost automorphic, Birkhoff recurrent, Lagrange stable, Levitan almost periodic, almost recurrent, Poisson stable), then the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution of (3.1) has the same recurrent property as coefficients in distribution;*
- (ii) *if coefficients f, g, F, G are jointly Lagrange stable and jointly pseudo-periodic (or pseudo-recurrent), then the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution of (3.1) is pseudo-periodic (or pseudo-recurrent) in distribution.*

4. SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS

Define

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}) := \mathcal{L}^2(U, \mathcal{B}_U, \nu; \mathbb{H}) = \left\{ Y : U \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \int_U |Y|^2 \nu(dx) < \infty \right\},$$

with \mathcal{B}_U being the Borel σ -algebra on U . For $Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})$, let

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})} := \left(\int_U |Y|^2 \nu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We use $C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ to denote the space of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, where (\mathcal{Y}, ρ) and (\mathcal{X}, γ) are complete metric spaces.

Let us consider the semilinear SDE driven by Lévy noise

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + f(t, Y(t)))dt + g(t, Y(t))dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F(t, Y(t-), x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G(t, Y(t-), x)N(dt, dx), \end{aligned}$$

where A is an infinitesimal generator of a dissipative C^0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{H} such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|T(t)\| \leq Ke^{-\omega t}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

with $K > 0$, $\omega > 0$; $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$, $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, $F, G \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$; W and N are the Lévy-Itô decomposition components of the two-sided Lévy process L with assumptions stated in Section 2.4. We impose the following conditions on f, g, F, G .

(E1) There exists a number $A_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, 0)| &\leq A_0, \quad \|g(t, 0)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \leq A_0, \\ \int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t, 0, x)|^2 \nu(dx) &\leq A_0^2, \quad \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t, 0, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq A_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (E1') There exists a number $A_0 \geq 0$ such that for some constant $p > 2$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$
- $$|f(t, 0)| \leq A_0, \quad \|g(t, 0)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \leq A_0,$$
- $$\int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t, 0, x)|^p \nu(dx) \leq A_0^p, \quad \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t, 0, x)|^p \nu(dx) \leq A_0^p.$$
- (E2) There exists a number $\mathcal{L} \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{H}$
- $$|f(t, Y_1) - f(t, Y_2)| \leq \mathcal{L}|Y_1 - Y_2|, \quad \|(g(t, Y_1) - g(t, Y_2))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \leq \mathcal{L}|Y_1 - Y_2|,$$
- $$\int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t, Y_1, x) - F(t, Y_2, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq \mathcal{L}^2|Y_1 - Y_2|^2,$$
- $$\int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t, Y_1, x) - G(t, Y_2, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq \mathcal{L}^2|Y_1 - Y_2|^2.$$
- (E2') There exists a number $\mathcal{L} \geq 0$ such that for some constant $p > 2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{H}$
- $$|f(t, Y_1) - f(t, Y_2)| \leq \mathcal{L}|Y_1 - Y_2|, \quad \|(g(t, Y_1) - g(t, Y_2))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \leq \mathcal{L}|Y_1 - Y_2|,$$
- $$\int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t, Y_1, x) - F(t, Y_2, x)|^p \nu(dx) \leq \mathcal{L}^p|Y_1 - Y_2|^p,$$
- $$\int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t, Y_1, x) - G(t, Y_2, x)|^p \nu(dx) \leq \mathcal{L}^p|Y_1 - Y_2|^p.$$
- (E3) f, g, F, G are continuous in t uniformly w.r.t. Y on each bounded subset $Q \subset \mathbb{H}$.

Remark 4.1. (i) If f, g, F, G satisfy (E1), (E2), (E3), then $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$, $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, $F, G \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$ and $H(f, g, F, G) \subset BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}) \times BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, L(U, \mathbb{H})) \times BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H})) \times BUC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$.

- (ii) If f, g, F, G satisfy (E1) and (E2) (or (E1') and (E2')) with the constants A_0 and \mathcal{L} , then every quadruplet $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \in H(f, g, F, G) := \overline{\{(f^\tau, g^\tau, F^\tau, G^\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}}$, the hull of (f, g, F, G) , also possesses the same properties with the same constants.

- (iii) Note that (E3) trivially holds for stochastic ordinary differential equations.

Remark 4.2. (i) ([24, Inequality (2.24)]). Let $p > 0$. Assume that $\{g(s)\}_{s \in [t_0, t]}$ is a $L(U, \mathbb{H})$ -valued process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ with the normal filtration \mathcal{F}_s , $s \in [t_0, t]$; W is a U -valued \mathcal{Q} -Wiener process, where $\mathcal{Q} \in L(U)$ is nonnegative, symmetric and with finite trace. Then there exists a constant $c_p > 0$, depending only on p , such that

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]} \left| \int_{t_0}^s g(\tau) dW(\tau) \right|^p \leq c_p \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \|g(\tau)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \right)^{p/2},$$

where

$$c_p := \left[\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{p-2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

- (ii) By the proof of [24, Theorem 2.11] and [1, Theorem 4.4.23] (the finite dimension case of Kunita's first inequality), we have the following conclusion (infinite dimension case). Let $p \geq 2$ and (Z, \mathcal{Z}, m) be a measurable space. Let N be a Poisson measure on $[t_0, t] \times Z$ with intensity measure m and compensated measure \tilde{N} . Assume that $F : \Omega \times [t_0, t] \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a predictable process that it is $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable, where \mathcal{P} is the σ -algebra on $\Omega \times [t_0, t]$ generated by left continuous adapted processes. Then there exists a constant $d_p > 0$, depending only on p , such that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]} \left| \int_{t_0}^s \int_Z F(\tau, x) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^p$$

$$\leq \frac{2^{p-3} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \alpha^{2-\frac{p}{2}}}{1 - (p-1)(p-2)2^{p-4} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \alpha^{2-p}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \int_Z |F(\tau, x)|^2 m(dx) d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{p(p-1)2^{p-4} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p}{1 - (p-1)(p-2)2^{p-4} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \alpha^{2-p}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^t \int_Z |F(\tau, x)|^p m(dx) d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &=: D_1(p)\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_0}^t\int_Z|F(\tau,x)|^2m(dx)d\tau\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+D_2(p)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_0}^t\int_Z|F(\tau,x)|^pm(dx)d\tau \\
 &\leq d_p\left\{\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_0}^t\int_Z|F(\tau,x)|^2m(dx)d\tau\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\mathbb{E}\int_{t_0}^t\int_Z|F(\tau,x)|^pm(dx)d\tau\right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_p = \max\{D_1(p), D_2(p)\}$ is continuous w.r.t p and $\alpha \geq 1$ is a constant only dependent on p , which plays the role to ensure $1 - (p-1)(p-2)2^{p-4}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\alpha^{2-p} > 0$.

Theorem 4.3. *Consider (4.1). Suppose that (4.2) holds and $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$, $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, $F, G \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. Suppose further that W as well as N are still the same as in Section 2.4 and f, g, F, G satisfy (E1'), (E2'). Then for the constant $p > 2$ in (E1') and (E2'), (4.1) has a unique solution in $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_p := &4^{p-1}K^p\mathcal{L}^p\left\{\left((1+(2b)^{p-1})\left(\frac{2(p-1)}{\omega p}\right)^{p-1}+(c_p+(1+2^{p-1})d_p)\left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right)\cdot\frac{2}{\omega p}\right. \\
 &\left.+(1+2^{p-1})d_p\cdot\frac{1}{\omega p}\right\} < 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_p and d_p are defined as in Remark 4.2.

Proof. We note that $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ is a complete metric space and that $Y \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ is a mild solution of (4.1) if and only if it satisfies with the following integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(t) = &\int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau, Y(\tau))d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)g(\tau, Y(\tau))dW(\tau) \\
 &+ \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau)F(\tau, Y(\tau-), x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \\
 &+ \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, Y(\tau-), x)N(d\tau, dx).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the operator \mathcal{S} acting on $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{S}Y)(t) := &\int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau, Y(\tau))d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)g(\tau, Y(\tau))dW(\tau) \\
 &+ \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau)F(\tau, Y(\tau-), x)\tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \\
 &+ \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau)G(\tau, Y(\tau-), x)N(d\tau, dx).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (4.2) holds and f, g, F, G satisfy (E1'), (E2'), it is immediate to check that \mathcal{S} maps $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ into itself. Besides if \mathcal{S} is a contraction mapping on $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, then by Banach fixed point theorem it concludes that there exists a unique \mathcal{L}^p -bounded continuous mild solution to (4.1). We now show that the operator \mathcal{S} is contractive on $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$. For $Y_1, Y_2 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E}|(\mathcal{S}Y_1)(t) - (\mathcal{S}Y_2)(t)|^p \\
 &\leq 4^{p-1}\left[\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)(f(\tau, Y_1(\tau)) - f(\tau, Y_2(\tau)))d\tau\right|^p\right. \\
 &\quad \left.+\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{-\infty}^t T(t-\tau)(g(\tau, Y_1(\tau)) - g(\tau, Y_2(\tau)))dW(\tau)\right|^p\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t-\tau) (F(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - F(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x)) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^p \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x)) N(d\tau, dx) \right|^p \\
& =: 4^{p-1} [Z_1(t) + Z_2(t) + Z_3(t) + Z_4(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

The first term can be estimated by Hölder's inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1(t) & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \|T(t-\tau)\| \cdot |f(\tau, Y_1(\tau)) - f(\tau, Y_2(\tau))| d\tau \right)^p \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t K e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathcal{L} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)| d\tau \right)^p \\
& \leq K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{-\omega(t-\tau)}{2} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1}} d\tau \right)^{p-1} \cdot \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{-\omega(t-\tau)}{2} \cdot p} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \\
& \leq K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left(\frac{2(p-1)}{\omega p} \right)^{p-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{-\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) and Hölder's inequality, for the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_2(t) & \leq c_p \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t \|T(t-\tau)(g(\tau, Y_1(\tau)) - g(\tau, Y_2(\tau)))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \leq K^p \mathcal{L}^p c_p \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \leq K^p \mathcal{L}^p c_p \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau) \cdot \frac{p}{p-2}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau) \cdot \frac{p}{2}} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \\
& \leq K^p \mathcal{L}^p c_p \left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{-\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

As to the third and the fourth terms, thanks to Kunita's first inequality (4.4) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_3(t) & \leq d_p \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} |T(t-\tau)(F(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - F(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x))|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} |T(t-\tau)(F(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - F(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x))|^p \nu(dx) d\tau \right\} \\
& \leq d_p K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega p(t-\tau)} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right\} \\
& \leq d_p K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau) \cdot \frac{p}{p-2}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau) \cdot \frac{p}{2}} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega p(t-\tau)} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right\} \\
& \leq d_p K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{-\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E} |Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left. + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega p(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4(t) &\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x)) \tilde{N}(d\tau, dx) \right|^p \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x)) \nu(dx) d\tau \right|^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} d_p \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} |T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x))|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} |T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x))|^p \nu(dx) d\tau \right\} \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} T(t-\tau) (G(\tau, Y_1(\tau-), x) - G(\tau, Y_2(\tau-), x)) \nu(dx) d\tau \right|^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} d_p K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega p(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right\} \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1} K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x| \geq 1} e^{-\frac{\omega p(t-\tau)}{2(p-1)}} \nu(dx) d\tau \right)^{p-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \left(d_p \left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \left(\frac{2b(p-1)}{\omega p} \right)^{p-1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{\omega p(t-\tau)}{2}} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau + d_p \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega p(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p d\tau \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|(\mathcal{S}Y_1)(t) - (\mathcal{S}Y_2)(t)|^p \\ &\leq 4^{p-1} K^p \mathcal{L}^p \left\{ \left((1 + (2b)^{p-1}) \left(\frac{2(p-1)}{\omega p} \right)^{p-1} + (c_p + (1 + 2^{p-1})d_p) \left(\frac{p-2}{\omega p} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \right) \cdot \frac{2}{\omega p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 + 2^{p-1})d_p \cdot \frac{1}{\omega p} \right\} \cdot \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|(\mathcal{S}Y_1)(t) - (\mathcal{S}Y_2)(t)|^p \leq \theta_p \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)|^p.$$

It implies that

$$\|\mathcal{S}Y_1 - \mathcal{S}Y_2\|_{\infty}^p \leq \theta_p \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{\infty}^p.$$

Since $\theta_p < 1$, the operator \mathcal{S} is a contraction mapping on $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$. Thus there exists a unique $\xi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ satisfying $\mathcal{S}\xi = \xi$, which is the unique \mathcal{L}^p -bounded solution of (4.1). \square

Remark 4.4. Note that the contraction constant θ_p is continuous in p for $p > 2$. According to Remark 4.2, we have $c_p = 1$ and $d_p = 2$ when $p = 2$, so

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 2^+} \theta_p = \frac{4K^2 \mathcal{L}^2}{\omega^2} (1 + 10\omega + 2b).$$

Lemma 4.5. ([13]) *Let $u, f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\omega > a \geq 0$, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If*

$$u(t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} (au(\tau) + f(\tau)) d\tau$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u(t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)} f(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $\alpha := \omega - a$.

(ii) *If $l > k > 0$, then*

$$\max_{|t| \leq k} u(t) \leq \frac{e^{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l}}{\alpha} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t) + \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l}}{\alpha} \max_{|t| \leq l} f(t).$$

Theorem 4.6. *Consider (4.1). Suppose that the semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ acting on \mathbb{H} is dissipative such that (4.2) holds; $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$, $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \overline{L}(U, \mathbb{H}))$, and $F, G \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. Assume that W as well as N are the same as in Section 2.4 and*

f, g, F, G satisfy (E1), (E2). If $\mathcal{L} < \frac{\omega}{2K\sqrt{1+2\omega+2b}}$, then (4.1) has a unique solution $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$, where $B[0, r] := \{Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}) : \|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} \leq r\}$ and

$$(4.5) \quad r = \frac{2KA_0\sqrt{1+2\omega+2b}}{\omega - 2K\mathcal{L}\sqrt{1+2\omega+2b}}$$

Moreover, suppose that f, g, F, G satisfy (E1'), (E2'), (E3) additionally. Then if

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{L} < \left\{ \frac{\omega}{2K\sqrt{2+4\omega+4b}} \wedge \frac{\omega}{2K\sqrt{1+10\omega+2b}} \right\},$$

we have $\mathfrak{M}_{(f,g,F,G)}^u \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\xi^u$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\xi^u$ means the set of all sequences $\{t_n\}$ such that $\xi(t+t_n)$ converges in distribution uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$; if

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{L} < \frac{\omega}{2K\sqrt{2+8\omega+4b}}$$

the solution ξ is strongly compatible in distribution.

Proof. Step 1. There exists a unique solution $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$. Note that $C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ is a complete metric space. Let $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ and we put $h_1(t) := f(t, \phi(t))$, $h_2(t) := g(t, \phi(t))$, $h_3(t, x) := F(t, \phi(t-), x)$, $h_4(t, x) := G(t, \phi(t-), x)$. Since f satisfies (E1) and (E2), we have for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(4.8) \quad \|h_1(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} = \|f(t, \phi(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} = \|f(t, 0) + f(t, \phi(t)) - f(t, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})} \leq A_0 + \mathcal{L}r.$$

Similarly, we obtain for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(4.9) \quad \|h_2(t)\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L_2(U, \mathbb{H}))} \leq A_0 + \mathcal{L}r,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \|h_3(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \nu; \mathbb{H})} \leq A_0 + \mathcal{L}r,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \|h_4(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \nu; \mathbb{H})} \leq A_0 + \mathcal{L}r.$$

According to Theorem 3.3, the equation

$$\begin{aligned} dZ(t) = & (AZ(t) + h_1(t))dt + h_2(t)dW(t) \\ & + \int_{|x|_U < 1} h_3(t, x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} h_4(t, x)N(dt, dx) \end{aligned}$$

admits a unique solution $\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$. Besides by (3.10) it obeys the estimate

$$(4.12) \quad \|\psi\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4\|h_1\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega\|h_2\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega\|h_3\|_\infty^2 + (4\omega + 8b)\|h_4\|_\infty^2 \right).$$

From (4.8)-(4.12) and (4.5), we get

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \frac{2K}{\omega} \sqrt{1 + 2\omega + 2b}(A_0 + \mathcal{L}r) = r.$$

Thus $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$. Let $\Phi(\phi) := \psi$. It follows from the above argument that $\Phi : C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r]) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$.

Now we show that Φ is a contraction operator. For any $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$, note that $\psi_1 - \psi_2 = \Phi(\phi_1) - \Phi(\phi_2)$ is the unique solution in $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) = & (Au(t) + f(t, \phi_1(t)) - f(t, \phi_2(t)))dt + (g(t, \phi_1(t)) - g(t, \phi_2(t)))dW(t) \\ & + \int_{|x|_U < 1} (F(t, \phi_1(t-), x) - F(t, \phi_2(t-), x))\tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\ & + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} (G(t, \phi_1(t-), x) - G(t, \phi_2(t-), x))N(dt, dx). \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.9), we have the following estimate

(4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(\phi_1) - \Phi(\phi_2)\|_\infty^2 \\ & \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} |f(t, \phi_1(t)) - f(t, \phi_2(t))|^2 + 2\omega \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \|(g(t, \phi_1(t)) - g(t, \phi_2(t)))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 \right. \\ & \quad + 2\omega \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E} |F(t, \phi_1(t-), x) - F(t, \phi_2(t-), x)|^2 \nu(dx) \\ & \quad \left. + (4\omega + 8b) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E} |G(t, \phi_1(t-), x) - G(t, \phi_2(t-), x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{4K^2\mathcal{L}^2}{\omega^2} (1 + 2\omega + 2b) \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty^2 \\ & := \theta_2 \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption on \mathcal{L} we have $\theta_2 < 1$, so Φ is a contraction operator on $C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$. On the basis of Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique function $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ such that $\Phi(\xi) = \xi$.

Step 2. $\mathfrak{M}_{(f,g,F,G)}^u \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\xi^u$. Let $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_{(f,g,F,G)}^u$. Then there exists $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \in H(f, g, F, G)$ such that for any $r > 0$

$$(4.14) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |Y| \leq r} |f(t + t_n, Y) - \tilde{f}(t, Y)| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |Y| \leq r} \|(g(t + t_n, Y) - \tilde{g}(t, Y))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.16) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |Y| \leq r} \int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t + t_n, Y, x) - \tilde{F}(t, Y, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, |Y| \leq r} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t + t_n, Y, x) - \tilde{G}(t, Y, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider equations

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + f^{t_n}(t, Y(t)))dt + g^{t_n}(t, Y(t))dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F^{t_n}(t, Y(t-), x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G^{t_n}(t, Y(t-), x) N(dt, dx) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + \tilde{f}(t, Y(t)))dt + \tilde{g}(t, Y(t))dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} \tilde{F}(t, Y(t-), x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \tilde{G}(t, Y(t-), x) N(dt, dx). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(f^{t_n}, g^{t_n}, F^{t_n}, G^{t_n})$ and $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})$ satisfy (E1) and (E2) (see Remark 4.1), (4.18) (respectively, (4.19)) has a unique solution $\xi_n \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ (respectively, $\tilde{\xi} \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$). Next we show that $\{\xi_n(t)\}$ converges to $\tilde{\xi}(t)$ in \mathcal{L}^2 -norm uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(t) &= f^{t_n}(t, \xi_n(t)), & b_n(t) &= g^{t_n}(t, \xi_n(t)), \\ c_n(t, x) &= F^{t_n}(t, \xi_n(t-), x), & d_n(t, x) &= G^{t_n}(t, \xi_n(t-), x), \\ \tilde{a}(t) &= \tilde{f}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t)), & \tilde{b}(t) &= \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t)), \\ \tilde{c}(t, x) &= \tilde{F}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t-), x), & \tilde{d}(t, x) &= \tilde{G}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t-), x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\xi_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is the unique solution from $C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ of equation

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + a_n(t))dt + b_n(t)dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} c_n(t, x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} d_n(t, x) N(dt, dx) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{\xi}$ is the unique solution from $C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ of equation

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + \tilde{a}(t))dt + \tilde{b}(t)dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} \tilde{c}(t, x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \tilde{d}(t, x) N(dt, dx). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi_n := \xi_n - \tilde{\xi}$ is the unique solution from $C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, 2r])$ of equation

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} dY(t) &= (AY(t) + a_n(t) - \tilde{a}(t))dt + (b_n(t) - \tilde{b}(t))dW(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U < 1} (c_n(t, x) - \tilde{c}(t, x)) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} (d_n(t, x) - \tilde{d}(t, x)) N(dt, dx) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_n - \tilde{a} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, $b_n - \tilde{b} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; L(U, \mathbb{H})))$, $c_n - \tilde{c} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_\nu; \mathbb{H}))$, $d_n - \tilde{d} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}_\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. By (3.10), we have

$$(4.21) \quad \|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{K^2}{\omega^2} \left(4\|a^{tn} - \tilde{a}\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega\|(b^{tn} - \tilde{b})\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty^2 + 2\omega\|c^{tn} - \tilde{c}\|_\infty^2 + (4\omega + 8b)\|d^{tn} - \tilde{d}\|_\infty^2 \right).$$

Since $(f^{tn}, g^{tn}, F^{tn}, G^{tn})(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})$ satisfy (E1) and (E2), and $\xi_n, \tilde{\xi} \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|a_n(\tau) - \tilde{a}(\tau)|^2 &= \mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(\tau, \xi_n(\tau)) - f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) + f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau))|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(\tau, \xi_n(\tau)) - f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau))|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau))|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{L}^2\mathbb{E}|\xi_n(\tau) - \tilde{\xi}(\tau)|^2 + 2\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau))|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{L}^2\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 + 2\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1^2(n, \tau) := |f^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau))|^2.$$

Similarly, we get

$$(4.23) \quad \mathbb{E}\|(b_n(\tau) - \tilde{b}(\tau))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 \leq 2\mathcal{L}^2\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 + 2\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, \tau),$$

where

$$I_2^2(n, \tau) := \|(g^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)) - \tilde{g}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau)))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2;$$

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{|x|_U < 1} \mathbb{E}|c_n(\tau, x) - \tilde{c}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq 2\mathcal{L}^2\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 + 2\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, \tau),$$

where

$$I_3^2(n, \tau) := \int_{|x|_U < 1} |F^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau-), x) - \tilde{F}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau-), x)|^2 \nu(dx);$$

$$(4.25) \quad \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \mathbb{E}|d_n(\tau, x) - \tilde{d}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq 2\mathcal{L}^2\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 + 2\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, \tau),$$

where

$$I_4^2(n, \tau) := \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G^{tn}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau-), x) - \tilde{G}(\tau, \tilde{\xi}(\tau-), x)|^2 \nu(dx).$$

In view of (4.21)-(4.25), we have

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} c\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 &\leq \frac{8K^2}{\omega^2} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, \tau) + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, \tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, \tau) + \left(\frac{8K^2}{\omega} + \frac{16K^2b}{\omega^2} \right) \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $c = 1 - \frac{8K^2\mathcal{L}^2}{\omega^2}(1 + 2\omega + 2b)$. By our assumption on \mathcal{L} (i.e. (4.6)), the coefficient of $\|\phi_n\|_\infty^2$ is positive.

Now we show that the family $\{|\tilde{\xi}(\tau)|^2 : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is uniformly integrable. Indeed, by (4.13) and Remark 4.1-(i), for $p = 2$, the contraction constant θ_2 for (4.19) is

$$\theta_2 = \frac{4K^2\mathcal{L}^2}{\omega^2}(1 + 2\omega + 2b).$$

Comparing to Remark 4.4, we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 2^+} \theta_p = \theta_2 + \frac{32K^2 \mathcal{L}^2}{\omega^2}.$$

We also note that by our assumption on \mathcal{L} (i.e. (4.6)), $\lim_{p \rightarrow 2^+} \theta_p < 1$. So by Proposition 4.3, (4.19) has a unique \mathcal{L}^p -bounded solution for some $p > 2$. This \mathcal{L}^p -bounded solution is exactly the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution $\tilde{\xi}$ of (4.19). Then the family $\{|\tilde{\xi}(\tau)|^2 : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is uniformly integrable. Hence by (E1) and (E2), the families $\{I_i^2(n, \tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are uniformly integrable.

By (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n\|_\infty^2 &\leq \frac{8K^2}{\omega^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_1^2(n, \tau) + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_2^2(n, \tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_3^2(n, \tau) + \left(\frac{8K^2}{\omega} + \frac{16K^2 b}{\omega^2} \right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_4^2(n, \tau) \\ &\leq \frac{8K^2}{\omega^2} \mathbb{E} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_1^2(n, \tau) + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \mathbb{E} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_2^2(n, \tau) \\ &\quad + \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \mathbb{E} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_3^2(n, \tau) + \left(\frac{8K^2}{\omega} + \frac{16K^2 b}{\omega^2} \right) \mathbb{E} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} I_4^2(n, \tau) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds by (4.14)-(4.17) and Vitali convergence theorem. Then we get the required result, i.e. $\xi_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}(t)$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ in \mathcal{L}^2 -norm.

On the one hand \mathcal{L}^2 -convergence implies convergence in distribution, so we have $\xi_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly on \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, $\xi(t + t_n)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t + t_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - \tau) f(\tau + t_n, \xi(\tau + t_n)) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - \tau) g(\tau + t_n, \xi(\tau + t_n)) dW_n(\tau) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t - \tau) F(\tau + t_n, \xi(\tau + t_n -), x) \tilde{N}_n(d\tau, dx) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t - \tau) G(\tau + t_n, \xi(\tau + t_n -), x) N_n(d\tau, dx) \end{aligned}$$

where $W_n(\tau) := W(\tau + t_n) - W(t_n)$, $N_n(\tau, x) := N(\tau + t_n, x) - N(t_n, x)$ and $\tilde{N}_n(\tau, x) := \tilde{N}(\tau + t_n, x) - \tilde{N}(t_n, x)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Remark 2.24, W_n is a \mathcal{Q} -Wiener process with the same law as W and N_n has the same law as N with compensated Poisson random measure \tilde{N}_n . Thus $\xi_n(t)$ and $\xi(t + t_n)$ share the same distribution on \mathbb{H} , and this implies $\xi(t + t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So we have $\{t_n\} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\xi^u$.

Step 3. The solution ξ is strongly compatible in distribution. Let $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_{(f,g,F,G)}$. Then there exists $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \in H(f, g, F, G)$ such that for any $r, l > 0$

$$(4.27) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq l, |Y| \leq r} |f(t + t_n, Y) - \tilde{f}(t, Y)| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.28) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq l, |Y| \leq r} \|(g(t + t_n, Y) - \tilde{g}(t, Y)) \mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.29) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq l, |Y| \leq r} \int_{|x|_U < 1} |F(t + t_n, Y, x) - \tilde{F}(t, Y, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.30) \quad \sup_{|t| \leq l, |Y| \leq r} \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} |G(t + t_n, Y, x) - \tilde{G}(t, Y, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As we have done in the proof of Step 2: let ξ_n and $\tilde{\xi}$ be the unique bounded solutions of the shift equation and the limit equation respectively, and still denote $\phi_n = \xi_n - \tilde{\xi}$. We need to prove $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the space $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$, i.e. for any $k > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 = 0.$$

Then we have $\xi_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in [-k, k]$ for any $k > 0$. Since $\xi_n(t)$ and $\xi(t + t_n)$ share the same distribution, $\xi(t + t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}(t)$ in distribution uniformly in $t \in [-k, k]$ for all $k > 0$. Then we have $\{t_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}_\xi$, and hence ξ is strongly compatible in distribution.

Since ϕ_n is the unique bounded solution of (4.20), by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Itô's isometry and properties of integrals for Poisson random measures we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{4K^2}{\omega} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|a_n(\tau) - \tilde{a}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right. \\ &\quad + \omega \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}\|(b_n(\tau) - \tilde{b}(\tau))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + \omega \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|c_n(\tau, x) - \tilde{c}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \\ &\quad \left. + (2\omega + 2b) \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \mathbb{E}|d_n(\tau, x) - \tilde{d}(\tau, x)|^2 \nu(dx) d\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, considering (4.22)-(4.25) and $e^{-2\omega(t-\tau)} \leq e^{-\omega(t-\tau)}$ ($t \geq \tau$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)} \left[\left(\frac{8K^2 \mathcal{L}^2}{\omega} + 32K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{16K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 b}{\omega} \right) \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(\tau)|^2 + \frac{8K^2}{\omega} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, \tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, \tau) + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, \tau) + \left(16K^2 + \frac{16K^2 b}{\omega} \right) \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, \tau) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5 we have for $l > k > 0$

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{e^{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l}}{\alpha} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{8K^2}{\omega} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, t) + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, t) + \left(16K^2 + \frac{16K^2 b}{\omega} \right) \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, t) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l}}{\alpha} \max_{|t| \leq l} \left[\frac{8K^2}{\omega} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, t) + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8K^2 \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, t) + \left(16K^2 + \frac{16K^2 b}{\omega} \right) \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, t) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha := \omega - \left[\frac{8K^2 \mathcal{L}^2}{\omega} + 32K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{16K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 b}{\omega} \right] > 0$$

by assumption (4.7). By Remark 4.1-(i), we have

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, t) &= \mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t)) - \tilde{f}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t))|^2 \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|f^{tn}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t))|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}|\tilde{f}(t, \tilde{\xi}(t))|^2 \leq 4(A_0 + \mathcal{L}r)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we have

$$(4.33) \quad \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, t) \leq 4(A_0 + \mathcal{L}r)^2,$$

$$(4.34) \quad \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, t) \leq 4(A_0 + \mathcal{L}r)^2,$$

$$(4.35) \quad \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, t) \leq 4(A_0 + \mathcal{L}r)^2,$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $l_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. According to (4.32)-(4.35) and (4.31), we obtain

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{32K^2 e^{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l_n}}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) (A_0 + \mathcal{L}r)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{8K^2(1 - e^{-\alpha k} e^{-\alpha l_n})}{\alpha} \max_{|t| \leq l_n} \left[\frac{1}{\omega} \mathbb{E}I_1^2(n, t) + \mathbb{E}I_2^2(n, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}I_3^2(n, t) + \left(2 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) \mathbb{E}I_4^2(n, t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Remark 2.1-2-(iii), (4.27)-(4.30) and the uniform integrability of the families $\{I_i^2(n, \tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.36) we get for any $k > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq k} \mathbb{E}|\phi_n(t)|^2 = 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Consider (4.1). Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.6 hold.*

- (i) *If f, g, F, G are jointly stationary (respectively, τ -periodic, quasi-periodic with the spectrum of frequencies $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$, almost periodic, almost automorphic, Birkhoff recurrent, Lagrange stable, Levitan almost periodic, almost recurrent, Poisson stable) in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly w.r.t. $Y \in \mathbb{H}$ on every bounded subset, then so is the unique bounded solution ξ of (4.1) in distribution.*
- (ii) *If f, g, F, G are jointly pseudo-periodic (respectively, pseudo-recurrent) and f, g, F, G are jointly Lagrange stable in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly w.r.t. $Y \in \mathbb{H}$ on every bounded subset, then the unique bounded solution ξ of (4.1) is pseudo-periodic (respectively, pseudo-recurrent) in distribution.*

Proof. This statement follows from Theorems 2.20, 4.6 and Remark 2.30. \square

5. STABILITY OF THE BOUNDED (POISSON STABLE) SOLUTION

Theorem 5.1. *Consider (4.1). Assume that A generates a dissipative C^0 -semigroup such that (4.2) holds and $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$, $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, L(U, \mathbb{H}))$, $F, G \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{L}^2(\nu; \mathbb{H}))$. Suppose further that f, g, F, G satisfy (E1), (E2) and W and N are the Lévy-Itô decomposition components of the two-sided Lévy process L with assumptions stated in Section 2.4. If*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L} < \frac{\omega}{K \sqrt{5(1 + 4\omega + 2b)}},$$

then the unique solution $\xi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H}))$ of (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable in the sense of square-mean.

Proof. Let $Y(t; t_0, Y_0)$ denote the solution of (4.1) passing through Y_0 at the initial time t_0 . Then for any $t \geq t_0$ and $Y_0, Y_1 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$, we have for $i = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t; t_0, Y_i) = & T(t - t_0)Y_i + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)f(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_i))ds + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)g(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_i))dW(s) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t - s)F(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_i), x)\tilde{N}(ds, dx) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t - s)G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_i), x)N(ds, dx). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Itô's isometry and properties of integrals for Poisson random measures, we have

(5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|Y(t; t_0, Y_0) - Y(t; t_0, Y_1)|^2 \\ & \leq 5\mathbb{E}|T(t - t_0)(Y_0 - Y_1)|^2 + 5\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)(f(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_0)) - f(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_1)))ds\right|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)(g(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_0)) - g(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_1)))dW(s)\right|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} T(t - s)(F(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_0), x) - F(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_1), x))\tilde{N}(ds, dx)\right|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} T(t - s)(G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_0), x) - G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_1), x))N(ds, dx)\right|^2 \\ & \leq 5K^2e^{-2\omega(t-t_0)}\mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 \\ & \quad + 5K^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\omega(t-s)}ds \cdot \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}|f(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_0)) - f(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_1))|^2ds \\ & \quad + 5K^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}\|(g(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_0)) - g(s, Y(s; t_0, Y_1)))\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L_2(U, \mathbb{H})}^2ds \\ & \quad + 5K^2 \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U < 1} e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}|F(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_0), x) - F(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_1), x)|^2\nu(dx)ds \\ & \quad + \left(10K^2 \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}|G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_0), x) - G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_1), x)|^2\nu(dx)ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 10K^2 \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-s)}\nu(dx)ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \int_{t_0}^t \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} e^{-\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}|G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_0), x) - G(s, Y(s-; t_0, Y_1), x)|^2\nu(dx)ds \right) \\ & \leq 5K^2e^{-\omega(t-t_0)}\mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 \\ & \quad + 5\left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega}\right)K^2\mathcal{L}^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\omega(t-s)}\mathbb{E}|Y(s; t_0, Y_0) - Y(s; t_0, Y_1)|^2ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set $U(t) := e^{\omega t}\mathbb{E}|Y(s; t_0, Y_0) - Y(s; t_0, Y_1)|^2$ for $t \geq t_0$. It follows from (5.2) that

$$(5.3) \quad U(t) \leq 5K^2e^{\omega t_0}\mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 + 5\left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega}\right)K^2\mathcal{L}^2 \int_{t_0}^t U(s)ds.$$

Along with (5.3) we consider the equation for $t \geq t_0$

$$V(t) = 5K^2 e^{\omega t_0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 + 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \int_{t_0}^t V(s) ds;$$

that is, V satisfies the following Cauchy problem

$$V'(t) = 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 V(t), \quad V(t_0) = 5K^2 e^{\omega t_0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2.$$

Solve the above equation and we obtain

$$V(t) = 5K^2 e^{\omega t_0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 \exp \left\{ 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 (t - t_0) \right\}.$$

The comparison principle implies that $U(t) \leq V(t)$, i.e.

$$U(t) \leq 5K^2 e^{\omega t_0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 \exp \left\{ 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 (t - t_0) \right\}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

So by the definition of $U(t)$ we get

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|Y(t; t_0, Y_0) - Y(t; t_0, Y_1)|^2 \\ & \leq 5K^2 \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - Y_1|^2 \exp \left\{ - \left[\omega - 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \right] (t - t_0) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \geq t_0$. By Theorem 4.6, if the Lipschitz constant \mathcal{L} satisfies (5.1), then (4.1) has a unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution ξ . Let $\xi(t) = Y(t; t_0, \xi(t_0))$. It follows from (5.4) that for any $t \geq t_0$ and $Y_0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$,

$$\mathbb{E}|Y(t; t_0, Y_0) - \xi(t)|^2 \leq 5K^2 \mathbb{E}|Y_0 - \xi(t_0)|^2 \exp \left\{ - \left[\omega - 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 + \frac{2b}{\omega} \right) K^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \right] (t - t_0) \right\}.$$

Hence by the assumption of \mathcal{L} in (5.1), we have for any $Y_0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|Y(t; t_0, Y_0) - \xi(t)|^2 = 0.$$

So the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution is globally asymptotically stable in square-mean sense. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 5.1, (4.1) has a unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}, B[0, r])$. According to (5.5), we have for any $Y_0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|Y(t; t_0, Y_0)|^2 < r + 1,$$

where r is given by (4.5). That is to say, all solutions of (4.1) with initial value belonging to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbb{H})$ are bounded by a constant after sufficiently long time.

6. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we illustrate our theoretical results by two examples.

Example 6.1. Let us consider an ordinary differential equation driven by a two-sided Lévy noise:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dy &= \left(-4y + \frac{1}{8}y(\sin t + \cos \sqrt{3}t) \right) dt + \frac{1}{5}y \cos \left(\frac{1}{2 + \sin t + \sin \sqrt{2}t} \right) dW \\ &+ \int_{|x|_U < 1} \frac{1}{5}y \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} \frac{1}{4}y \sin \left(\frac{1}{3 + \cos t + \cos \pi t} \right) N(dt, dx) \\ &=: (Ay + f(t, y)) dt + g(t, y) dW + \int_{|x|_U < 1} F(t, y, x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) + \int_{|x|_U \geq 1} G(t, y, x) N(dt, dx), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a one-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion and N is a Poisson random measure in \mathbb{R} independent of W . Since f, g, F, G are respectively quasi-periodic, Levitan almost periodic, stationary and almost automorphic in t , uniformly w.r.t y on any bounded subset of \mathbb{R} , it follows that f, g, F, G are jointly Levitan almost periodic. It is clear that A generates a dissipative semigroup on \mathbb{R} with $K = 1$, $\omega = 4$. For any constant $A_0 \geq 0$, conditions (E1) and (E1') always hold. The Lipschitz constants of f, g, F, G can be respectively chosen as $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}$, so the Lipschitz conditions (E2) and (E2') are satisfied with $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4}$, if

$$\int_{|x|<1} \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^p \nu(dx) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x|\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p \nu(dx) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p$$

for $p = 2$ and some constant $p > 2$, i.e.

$$\nu(-1, 1) < \frac{25}{16} \quad \text{and} \quad b \leq 1.$$

For the stochastic ordinary differential equation, condition (E3) naturally holds.

Since coefficients f, g, F, G satisfy both Lipschitz and global linear growth conditions, (6.1) has a global in time solution. By Theorem 4.6, (6.1) has a unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution provided $\nu(-1, 1) < \frac{25}{16}$, $b \leq 1$. Conditions (4.7) and (5.1) become

$$\frac{1}{4} < \frac{4}{2\sqrt{2+32+4b}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{4} < \frac{4}{\sqrt{5(1+16+2b)}},$$

i.e. $b < \frac{15}{2}$ and $b < \frac{171}{10}$, respectively. By Corollary 4.7 the unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution is Levitan almost periodic in distribution and according to Theorem 5.1 this Levitan almost periodic solution is globally asymptotically stable in square-mean sense.

Example 6.2. Consider the stochastic heat equation on the interval $[0, 1]$ with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, \xi) &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}(t, \xi) + \frac{1}{5}(\cos t + \sin \sqrt{2}t) \sin u(t, \xi) \\ &\quad + u(t, \xi) \cdot \sin \left(\frac{1}{2 + \cos t + \cos \sqrt{2}t} \right) \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(t, \xi) + \frac{\cos u(t, \xi)}{3(\sin \sqrt{2}t + 2)} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t}(t, \xi) \\ &=: \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}(t, \xi) + f(t, u(t, \xi)) + g(t, u(t, \xi)) \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(t, \xi) + h(t, u(t, \xi)) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t}(t, \xi), \\ u(t, 0) &= u(t, 1) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here W is a \mathcal{Q} -Wiener process on $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ with $\text{Tr} \mathcal{Q} < \infty$ and Z is a Lévy pure jump process on $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ which is independent of W . Let A be the Laplace operator, then $A : D(A) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$. Denote $\mathbb{H} = U := \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ and the norm on \mathbb{H} by $\|\cdot\|$. Then we can write (6.2) as an abstract evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad dY &= (AY + F(t, Y))dt + G(t, Y)dW + \int_{|z|_{\mathcal{U}} < 1} H(t, Y, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{|z|_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 1} H(t, Y, z) N(dt, dz) \end{aligned}$$

on the Hilbert space \mathbb{H} , where

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= u, \quad F(t, Y) := f(t, u), \quad G(t, Y) := g(t, u), \\ &\int_{|z|_{\mathcal{U}} < 1} H(t, Y, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + \int_{|z|_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 1} H(t, Y, z) N(dt, dz) := h(t, u) dZ \end{aligned}$$

with

$$Z(t, \xi) = \int_{|z|_U < 1} z \tilde{N}(t, dz) + \int_{|z|_U \geq 1} z N(t, dz), \quad H(t, Y, z) = h(t, u)z.$$

By the Lévy-Itô decomposition theorem, we assume that the Lévy pure jump process Z on $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ is decomposed as above. Note that the operator A has eigenvalues $\{-n^2\pi^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and generates a \mathcal{C}^0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{H} satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{-\pi^2 t}$ for $t \geq 0$, i.e. $K = 1$ and $\omega = \pi^2$. Conditions (E1) and (E1') hold for any $A_0 \geq 0$. The Lipschitz constants of f, g, h can be respectively chosen as $\frac{2}{5}, 1, \frac{1}{3}$, so conditions (E2) and (E2') are satisfied with the Lipschitz constant $\mathcal{L} = \max\left\{\frac{2}{5}, \|\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L(U, U)}, \frac{1}{3}(\nu(B_1(0)))^{\frac{1}{p}}, \frac{1}{3}b^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\}$, where $B_1(0)$ is the open ball in U centered at the origin with radius 1 and p is the constant in (E1') and (E2'). Since f, h are bounded and g is linear, condition (E3) holds. The restrictions of (4.7) and (5.1) respectively become

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{L} < \frac{\pi^2}{2\sqrt{2+8\pi^2+4b}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} < \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{5(1+4\pi^2+2b)}}.$$

Note that F is quasi-periodic in t and H is periodic in t uniformly w.r.t. $Y \in \mathbb{H}$; G is Levitan almost periodic in t uniformly w.r.t. Y on any bounded subset of H . By Theorem 4.6, (6.3) (i.e. (6.2)) admits a unique \mathcal{L}^2 -bounded solution. It follows from Corollary 4.7 that this unique bounded solution is Levitan almost periodic in distribution. By Theorem 5.1, this bounded solution is globally asymptotically stable in square-mean sense. By Corollary 5.2, all solutions of (6.2) with \mathcal{L}^2 -initial value are bounded by a constant after sufficiently long time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by NSFC Grants 11522104, 11871132, 11925102, and Xinghai Jieqing and DUT19TD14 funds from Dalian University of Technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy Process and Stochastic Calculus*. Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. xxx+460 pp.
- [2] L. Arnold and C. Tudor, Stationary and almost periodic solutions of almost periodic affine stochastic differential equations. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **64** (1998), 177–193.
- [3] V. M. Bebutov, On shift dynamical systems in the space of continuous functions. *Bull. of Inst. of Math. of Moscow University* **2** (1941), no. 5, 1–52. (in Russian)
- [4] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol.IX, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1927.
- [5] S. Bochner, Abstrakte Fastperiodische Funktionen. *Acta Math.* **61** (1933), 149–184. (in German)
- [6] S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers in real and complex vector bundles. *Univ. e Politec. Torino. Rend. Sem. Mat.* **15** (1955–56), 225–253.
- [7] S. Bochner, A new approach to almost periodicity. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **48** (1962), 2039–2043.
- [8] H. Bohr, Zur theorie der fast periodischen funktionen. I. *Acta Math.* **45** (1925), 29–127. (in German)
- [9] H. Bohr, Zur Theorie der Fastperiodischen Funktionen. II. *Acta Math.* **46** (1925), 101–214. (in German)
- [10] H. Bohr, Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen. III. *Acta Math.* **47** (1926), 237–281. (in German)
- [11] H. Bohr, *Almost Periodic Functions*. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N.Y., 1947. ii+114 pp.
- [12] D. Cheban, *Global Attractors of Non-autonomous Dissipative Dynamical Systems*. Interdiscip. Math. Sci., Vol.1. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2004. xxiv+502 pp.
- [13] D. Cheban and Z. Liu, Periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic, almost automorphic, Birkhoff recurrent and Poisson stable solutions for stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint* (2017), arXiv:1702.02718v1.
- [14] F. Chen, Y. Han, Y. Li and X. Yang, Periodic solutions of Fokker-Planck equations. *J. Differential Equations* **263** (2017), 285–298.

- [15] Z. Chen and W. Lin, Square-mean weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutions for non-autonomous stochastic evolution equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **100** (2013), 476–504.
- [16] M. Cheng and Z. Liu, Periodic, almost periodic and almost automorphic solutions for SPDEs with monotone coefficients. *arXiv preprint* (2019), arXiv:1911.02169v1.
- [17] G. Da Prato and C. Tudor, Periodic and almost periodic solutions for semilinear stochastic equations. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **13** (1995), 13–33.
- [18] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. xviii+493 pp.
- [19] R. M. Dudley. *Real Analysis and Probability*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. x+555 pp.
- [20] M. Fu and Z. Liu, Square-mean almost automorphic solutions for some stochastic differential equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 3689–3701.
- [21] A. Halanay, Periodic and almost periodic solutions to affine stochastic systems. Proc. of the 11th Int. Conf. on Nonlinear Oscillations (Budapest, 1987), 94–101, Jnos Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1987.
- [22] M. Ji, W. Qi, Z. Shen and Y. Yi, Existence of periodic probability solutions to Fokker-Planck equations with applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019), no. 11, Art. 108281.
- [23] R. Z. Khasminskii, *Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations*. Translated from the Russian by D. Louvish. Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids: Mechanics and Analysis, 7. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn/Germantown, Md., 1980. xvi+344 pp. [Original Russian edition: Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow, 1969. 367 pp.]
- [24] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. In *Real and Stochastic Analysis*, 305–373, Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA 2004.
- [25] B. M. Levitan, ber eine Verallgemeinerung der stetigen fastperiodischen Funktionen von H. Bohr. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **40** (1939), 805–815. (in German)
- [26] B. M. Levitan, *Almost Periodic Functions*. Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tekhn-Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1953. 396 pp. (in Russian)
- [27] Y. Li, Z. Liu and W. Wang, Almost periodic solutions and stable solutions for stochastic differential equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **24** (2019), 5927–5944.
- [28] Z. Liu and K. Sun, Almost automorphic solutions for stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise. *J. Funct. Anal.* **226** (2014), 1115–1149.
- [29] Z. Liu and W. Wang, Favard separation method for almost periodic stochastic differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* **260** (2016), 8109–8136.
- [30] T. Morozan and C. Tudor, Almost periodic solutions of affine Itô equations. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **7** (1989), 451–474.
- [31] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. xii+419 pp.
- [32] H. Poincaré, *Methodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*, volume tome 1. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1892. (in French)
- [33] K. I. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. xii+486 pp.
- [34] G. R. Sell, *Topological Dynamics and Ordinary Differential Equations*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., London, 1971. ix+199 pp.
- [35] B. A. Shcherbakov, Classification of Poisson-stable motions. Pseudo-recurrent motions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **146** (1962), 322–324. (in Russian)
- [36] B. A. Shcherbakov, Recurrent solutions of differential equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **167** (1966), 1004–1007. (in Russian)
- [37] B. A. Shcherbakov, A certain class of Poisson stable solutions of differential equations. *Differencial’nye Uravnenija* **4** (1968), 238–243. (in Russian)
- [38] B. A. Shcherbakov, *Topologic Dynamics and Poisson Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations*. Știința, Chișinău, 1972. 231 pp. (in Russian)
- [39] B. A. Shcherbakov, The comparability of the motions of dynamical systems with regard to the nature of their recurrence. *Differencial’nye Uravnenija* **11** (1975), 1246–1255. (in Russian) [English translation: *Differential Equations* **11** (1975), 937–943].
- [40] B. A. Shcherbakov, *Poisson Stability of Motions of Dynamical Systems and Solutions of Differential Equations*. Știința, Chișinău, 1985. 147 pp. (in Russian)
- [41] W. Shen and Y. Yi, Almost automorphic and almost periodic dynamics in skew-product semiflows. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (1998), x+93 pp.
- [42] K. S. Sibirsky, *Introduction to Topological Dynamics*. Translated from the Russian by Leo F. Boron. Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1975. ix+163 pp.

- [43] W. A. Veech, Almost automorphic functions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **49** (1963), 462–464.
- [44] Y. Wang and Z. Liu, Almost periodic solutions for stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise. *Nonlinearity* **25** (2012), 2803–2821.
- [45] H. Zhao and Z. Zheng, Random periodic solutions of random dynamical systems. *J. Differential Equations* **246** (2009), 2020–2038.

X. LIU : SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN 116024,
P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: liuxin17@mail.dlut.edu.cn

Z. LIU (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR): SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN 116024, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zxliu@dlut.edu.cn