

HYPERELLIPTIC S_7 -CURVES OF PRIME CONDUCTOR

ARMAND BRUMER AND KENNETH KRAMER

ABSTRACT. An abelian threefold A/\mathbb{Q} of prime conductor N is *favorable* if its 2-division field F is an S_7 -extension over \mathbb{Q} with ramification index 7 over \mathbb{Q}_2 . Let A be favorable and let B be a semistable abelian variety of dimension $3d$ and conductor N^d with $B[2]$ filtered by copies of $A[2]$. We give a sufficient and computable class field theoretic criterion on F to guarantee that B is isogenous to A^d .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Overview	3
3. Arithmetic of favorable fields	4
4. Some Honda systems	8
5. The local theory	14
5.1. The irreducible cases	14
5.2. Extensions of exponent p	17
5.3. Local corners	24
6. Favorable abelian threefolds	26
Appendix A. Parabolics	33
Appendix B. Conductors for Artin-Schreier-like extensions	34
Appendix C. Some data for heptic favorable curves	36
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

Characterizing certain families of abelian varieties is of interest. Schoof introduced a fruitful method of attack, built on the seminal work of Grothendieck, Fontaine and Faltings. It was successfully applied to semistable abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction outside a set S of small primes, or $S = \emptyset$ over an extension K of small degree [Sc1, Sc2, Sc3, De]. The primes in S and the discriminant of K are severely constrained because the Odlyzko bounds are needed to determine all the relevant simple finite flat group schemes over S and their extensions.

To treat larger conductors, one must restrict the simple group schemes that are permitted. As an example, let N be squarefree, let p be a prime not dividing N and let \mathcal{E} be an absolutely simple group scheme of exponent p over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$. When is there at most one isogeny class of abelian varieties A of conductor N such that $A[p] \simeq \mathcal{E}$?

In [BK2], using algebraic number theoretic criteria, we proved such a uniqueness result for many isogeny classes of abelian surfaces of prime conductor. Although

our aim here is to characterize certain isogeny classes of abelian threefolds, we introduce a more general concept.

Definition 1.1.

- Let N be an odd prime. A field F_0 of degree $2g + 1$ over \mathbb{Q} is *favorable* if the discriminant $\text{disc}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}$ has the form $2^{2g}N^*$, where $N^* = \pm N$ and the prime over 2 in F_0 is totally ramified, with ramification index $2g + 1$. The Galois closure F of F_0 is called \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} -*favorable* and N is the *bad prime* of F .
- An abelian variety A of dimension g and conductor N is a *favorable g -fold* if $F = \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} -favorable, with N the bad prime.

Favorable fields have many pleasant properties summarized in Proposition 3.1. To obtain a family of favorable g -folds, let J be the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve $C : y^2 + y = f(x)$, with $f(x)$ monic in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\deg f = 2g + 1$. Then C has good reduction at 2 and so $J[2]$ is a finite flat group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_2 . If θ is a root of $h(x) = 1 + 4f(x)$, then $F = \mathbb{Q}(J[2])$ is the Galois closure of $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. The Newton polygon of h shows that there is unique prime over 2 in F_0/\mathbb{Q} , totally ramified of degree $2g + 1$ and $\text{ord}_2(\theta) = -\frac{2}{2g+1}$. Hence h is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_2 , so also over \mathbb{Q} . Since 2 is tamely ramified, $\text{ord}_2(\text{disc}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}) = 2g$. If, in addition, the discriminant of F_0 is $\pm 2^{2g}N$, with N a prime, then F_0 is a favorable field and J is a favorable g -fold.

Definition 1.2. An intermediate field K of a Galois extension F/\mathbb{Q} is a *stem field* for F if F is the Galois closure of K over \mathbb{Q} .

Definition 1.3. Assume that $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is the symmetric group S_n with $n \geq 3$. A *pair-resolvent* for F is an intermediate field K such that $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ is the centralizer of a transposition in S_n .

It follows from the definition that pair-resolvents for F are well-defined up to isomorphism and are stem fields for F . Let f be an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} of degree n with splitting field F . Given distinct roots a_1, a_2 of f , the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_1 + a_2)$ is a pair-resolvent with $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = \binom{n}{2}$.

Let K be a pair-resolvent for the favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field F . Let Ω_K be the maximal elementary 2-extension of K unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ with abelian conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) \leq 6$ for the unique prime \mathfrak{p} over 2 in K . Suppose that L is the Galois closure over \mathbb{Q} of a quadratic extension of K inside Ω_K . We show in §6 that $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to one of three groups G_a , with $|G_a| = 7! \cdot 2^a$ and $a = 6, 14, 20$. We say that F is *amiable* if either $\Omega_K = K$ or one of the following conditions holds:

- $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) = 6$,
- $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$, $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) = 4$ and the Galois closure of Ω_K/\mathbb{Q} has group G_6 .

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be a favorable abelian threefold of prime conductor N such that $F = \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is amiable. If B is a semistable abelian variety of dimension $3d$ and conductor N^d , with $B[2]$ filtered by $A[2]$, then B is isogenous to A^d . In particular, if B is an abelian threefold of conductor N and $B[2]$ is isomorphic to $A[2]$, then B is isogenous to A .*

Since amiability of $\mathbb{Q}(E)$ depends on ray class fields over a pair resolvent K for $\mathbb{Q}(E)/\mathbb{Q}$ with $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = 21$, computation becomes feasible. In Appendix C, we

report on a range of examples, which clearly suggest that there might be infinitely many amiable abelian threefolds.

Let R be a Dedekind domain with quotient field K . Calligraphic letters are used for finite flat group schemes \mathcal{V} over R and the corresponding Roman letter for the Galois module $V = \mathcal{V}(\overline{K})$. The order of \mathcal{V} is the rank over R of its affine algebra, or equivalently the order of the finite abelian group $V = \mathcal{V}(\overline{K})$.

As usual, μ_n is the group scheme of n -th roots of unity.

2. OVERVIEW

In §3, we develop arithmetic properties of favorable \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} -fields and their pair-resolvents. As a consequence, if A is a favorable threefold of conductor N , then $\mathcal{E} = A[2]$ is an absolutely irreducible Galois module. Moreover, $A[2]_{|\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ is the direct sum of two simple biconnected group schemes such that \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are Cartier dual and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} E_i = 3$.

The next two sections contain the local theory. Properties of group schemes and Honda systems needed there are summarized in [BK2]. Without much additional effort, we treat simple 3-dimensional biconnected group schemes \mathcal{E}_i over the ring of integers of an unramified extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p , to exhibit the dependence on p . In §4, we describe the Honda system of \mathcal{E}_i . Then, we classify the Honda systems of the extensions \mathcal{V}_{ij} of \mathcal{E}_i by \mathcal{E}_j of exponent p for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. In §5, we carefully determine the field of points for each of the above group schemes. We obtain the bound $p^2 + p$ for the conductor exponent of the elementary abelian p -extension $K(\mathcal{V}_{ij})/K(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)$ using a new conductor evaluation in Appendix B, perhaps of independent interest. This improvement on Fontaine's general bound for group schemes of exponent p relies on the biconnectedness of \mathcal{E}_i and is critical for our applications.

Now let $p = 2$, $F = \mathbb{Q}_2(E_1, E_2)$ and let T be the maximal elementary 2-extension of F of conductor exponent $6 = 2^2 + 2$. Let $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ and $R = \mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$. Using local reciprocity, we obtain the R -module structure of $\text{Gal}(T/F)$. We thereby determine the R -linear maps $\text{Gal}(T/F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_i, E_j)$ that are restrictions of the cohomology classes attached to the extensions \mathcal{V}_{ij} .

In §6, as a special case of [BK2, §3], for prime N , we consider the category \underline{E} of finite flat group schemes \mathcal{V} over $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ satisfying:

- E1.** Each composition factor of \mathcal{V} is isomorphic to \mathcal{E} .
- E2.** If $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ generates inertia at $\mathfrak{q}|N$, then $(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} - 1)^2$ annihilates $V = \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.
- E3.** If d is the multiplicity of E in the semi-simplification V^{ss} of V , then the Artin conductor exponents at N satisfy $f_N(V) = f_N(V^{ss}) = d$.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 reduces to showing that $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$, i.e., in the category \underline{E} , all extensions of \mathcal{E} by \mathcal{E} killed by 2 are split. To do so, consider a class $[\mathcal{V}]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^1(E, E)$ and let $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V)/\mathbb{Q})$. By the local analysis, the conductor exponent of the elementary 2-extension $\mathbb{Q}_2(V)/\mathbb{Q}_2(E)$ is at most 6. From our arithmetic conditions, we deduce that, for some a in $\{0, 6, 14, 20, 29, 35\}$, G is isomorphic to the group G_a defined in Notation 6.7, with $|G_a| = 2^a \cdot 7!$. Proposition 6.18 gives better conductor bounds for certain a 's by comparing the restriction to a decomposition group at $\mathfrak{p}|2$ of the cohomology class for \mathcal{V} with the classes in our local theory. The improved conductor bounds and Proposition 6.15 motivate the

definition of amiability. By Proposition 6.22, amiability implies the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and Theorem 1.4 follows.

3. ARITHMETIC OF FAVORABLE FIELDS

For this section, F_0 satisfies the hypotheses of a favorable field of degree $2g + 1$ with discriminant $2^{2g}N^*$ and Galois closure F , but we allow N to be odd and squarefree.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ be the inertia group and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ the decomposition group at a prime \mathfrak{p} over 2 in F . The following properties hold.*

- i) *The permutation action of $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ on the left cosets of $\text{Gal}(F/F_0)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} .*
- ii) *The completion $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2g+1}, \pi)$ with $\pi^{2g+1} = 2$ and the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by a $(2g+1)$ -cycle. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the metacyclic group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rtimes \langle \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rangle$, where $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is any Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} .*
- iii) *We have $\sqrt{N^*}$ in F , with $N^* = \pm N \equiv 1 + 4a \pmod{8}$, where $a = 0$ if $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an even permutation and $a = 1$ otherwise.*
- iv) *Inertia $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ at each prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ is generated by a transposition $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$.*

Proof. We first verify items (ii)–(iv) and then use them to prove (i).

ii) Since every unit of \mathbb{Z}_2 is a $(2g + 1)$ -power, there is a prime element π over 2 in the completion \tilde{F}_0 of F_0 at the prime over 2, such that $\pi^{2g+1} = 2$ and we have $\tilde{F}_0 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\pi)$. Lemma 3.10 below shows that $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2g+1}, \pi)$. Thus $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \langle \sigma \rangle$ is cyclic of order $2g + 1$. We have $\sigma(\pi) = \zeta\pi$ for a generator ζ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2g+1}$ and $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta$. Since σ acts transitively on the roots of $x^{2g+1} - 2$, it is represented by a $(2g + 1)$ -cycle. Choose a Frobenius τ at \mathfrak{p} to satisfy $\tau(\zeta) = \zeta^2$ and $\tau(\pi) = \pi$, so $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^2$. Any other choice of Frobenius lies in $\tau\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and so is conjugate to τ .

iii) Since the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N^*})$ is contained in F , it is unramified over 2 and so $N^* \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In fact, N^* is a square in \mathbb{Q}_2 precisely when τ acts trivially on $\sqrt{N^*}$, or equivalently, τ is an even permutation.

iv) Since q exactly divides N , [vdW, Satz II] shows that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a transposition.

i) By items (ii) and (iv) of the Proposition, $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ contains a $2g + 1$ -cycle and a transposition. If the action of $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ on the roots of a minimal polynomial for F_0/\mathbb{Q} is primitive, then $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2g+1}$. Primitivity is obvious when $2g + 1$ is prime, but holds in general. If not, there is an intermediate field K such that $F_0 \supsetneq K \supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, so $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $[F_0 : K]$ are odd and at least 3. Since N is odd and squarefree and

$$2^{2g}N^* = \text{disc}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}} = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{disc}_{F_0/K}) \text{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^{[F_0:K]},$$

we find that $\pm \text{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ is a power of 2. Then $\text{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \pm 2^{n-1}$, since the unique prime over 2 in K is totally ramified and tame. Hence the root discriminant of K/\mathbb{Q} is $2^{1-\frac{1}{n}}$, contradicting Odlyzko's lower bound [DyD], since K has at least one real place. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If A is a favorable abelian g -fold, then $A|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ biconnected.*

Proof. Let $E = A[2]$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(E)$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \rangle$, where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acting on E satisfies $x^{2g+1} - 1 = 0$, so each irreducible $\mathbb{F}_2[\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ -module occurs at most once in

E . Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} E = 2g$, the trivial representation cannot occur. Hence $\mathcal{E}_{|\mathbb{Z}_2}$ has no non-trivial étale or multiplicative component. \square

The next several Lemmas provide arithmetic information about a pair-resolvent K for F , as in Definition 1.3. For convenience, take K to be the subfield of F fixed by the centralizer C of the transposition (12) in \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} .

Lemma 3.3. *The ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 2g + 1$ for primes $\mathfrak{p}|2$ in K .*

Proof. Let the prime \mathfrak{P} lie over \mathfrak{p} in F . By Proposition 3.1(ii), the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by a $(2g + 1)$ -cycle σ . If $d = \gcd\{2g + 1, j\}$, then $h = \sigma^j$ is a product of d disjoint cycles of length $(2g + 1)/d$. Thus, h preserves the set $\{1, 2\}$ only if $h = 1$ and so $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap C = \{1\}$. We conclude that \mathfrak{P} is unramified in F/K and the ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = e_{\mathfrak{P}}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = 2g + 1$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $G = \text{Gal}_k(f)$ acts doubly transitively on the roots of a separable polynomial f over a field k . Let \tilde{k} be an extension of k containing exactly r_1 of the roots of f and assume that the remaining $2r_2$ roots lie in a quadratic extension of \tilde{k} . For any two distinct roots γ_1, γ_2 of f , there are exactly $r'_1 = \binom{r_1}{2} + r_2$ embeddings of $k(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ into \tilde{k} .*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_1}$ be the roots of f in \tilde{k} and let $\beta_1, \bar{\beta}_1, \dots, \beta_{r_2}, \bar{\beta}_{r_2}$ be the conjugate pairs of roots not in \tilde{k} . The conjugates of $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ in \tilde{k} have the form $\alpha_i + \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq r_1$ or $\beta_i + \bar{\beta}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_2$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Denote the ideal class group of K by \mathcal{C}_K and let Ω_K be the maximal elementary 2-extension of K of ray class conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{2g}\infty$, where \mathfrak{p} is a fixed prime over 2 in K and f is its residue degree in K/\mathbb{Q} . If F_0 admits exactly r_1 real embeddings, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Gal}(\Omega_K/K) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{C}_K[2] + (g - f)(g + 1) + \frac{1}{4}(r_1 - 1)^2.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\alpha \in K^\times \mid \text{the ideal } (\alpha) = \mathfrak{a}^2 \text{ is the square of an ideal}\}$. If the ideal \mathfrak{a} represents a class in $\mathcal{C}_K[2]$, then a generator α for the principal ideal $\mathfrak{a}^2 = (\alpha)$ is well-defined up to multiplication by an element of the group U_K of units of K . We therefore have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_K[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}/U_K K^{\times 2} \quad \text{induced by } \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \alpha U_K K^{\times 2}.$$

Counting -1 , the Dirichlet unit theorem implies that $U_K K^{\times 2}/K^{\times 2} \simeq U_K/U_K^2$ has dimension $r'_1 + r'_2$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , where r'_1 is the number of distinct real embeddings of K and $r'_1 + 2r'_2 = [K:\mathbb{Q}] = \binom{2g+1}{2} = g(2g + 1)$. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{U}/K^{\times 2} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{U}/U_K K^{\times 2} + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} U_K/U_K^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{C}_K[2] + r'_1 + r'_2.$$

Let κ be the Kummer group for Ω_K/K ; that is, the subgroup of $K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ in the perfect pairing of Kummer theory

$$\text{Gal}(\Omega_K/K) \times \kappa \rightarrow \mu_2 \quad \text{given by } (\gamma, \alpha K^{\times 2}) \mapsto \gamma(\sqrt{\alpha})/\sqrt{\alpha}.$$

The coset $\alpha K^{\times 2}$ belongs to κ if and only if α is in \mathcal{U} and the ray class conductor condition $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K(\sqrt{\alpha})/K) \leq 2g$ is satisfied. Write $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the unit group in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since the ramification index of \mathfrak{p} in

K/\mathbb{Q} is $2g + 1$, we find that $\alpha K^{\times 2}$ is in κ if and only if α belongs to $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2g+3)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2}$ by [BK2, Lemma C.6]. Thus κ is the kernel of the map

$$\mathcal{U}/K^{\times 2} \longrightarrow U_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2g+3)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times 2} \simeq U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^2.$$

By Lemma 3.4, $r'_1 = \binom{r_1}{2} + r_2$ and we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 = f(g + 1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \kappa &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{U}/K^{\times 2} - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} U_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2g+3)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 \\ &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C_K[2] + r'_1 + r'_2 - f(g + 1) \\ &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C_K[2] + (g - f)(g + 1) + \frac{1}{4} (r_1 - 1)^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *If $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} \rangle$ at a prime $\mathfrak{q} | N$ of residue characteristic q , then the action of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ on left cosets of $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ in $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is the product of $2g - 1$ transpositions. Equivalently, $(\mathfrak{q})\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2$ where \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are squarefree, relatively prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K with respective absolute norms q^{2g^2-3g+2} and q^{2g-1} .*

Proof. Let f be the minimal polynomial of an element α satisfying $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Choose a field k linearly disjoint from F , such that the residue degree of each prime over q in kF/k is 1. By Proposition 3.1(iv), $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ acts as a transposition on the roots of f , so there are $r_1 = 2g - 1$ roots in $\tilde{k} = F_0 k$ and $r_2 = 1$ pair of roots in a ramified quadratic extension over \tilde{k} . The claims now follow from Lemma 3.4. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let K_1 be a subfield of F quadratic over K and let q be a prime dividing N . Then some prime over q ramifies in K_1/K .*

Proof. Fix $H = \text{Gal}(F/K)$ to be the centralizer of the transposition (12). Since H is generated by its transpositions, any subgroup H_1 of index 2 in H omits some transposition, say t . By Proposition 3.1, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} | N$ in F whose inertia group is generated by t . Since t fixes K but not $K_1 = F^{H_1}$, the prime below \mathfrak{q} is unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} , but ramifies in K_1/K . \square

Recall the following information about conductors. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ be the Galois group of a finite extension of local fields with residue characteristic p , write G_j for the j -th ramification subgroup in Serre's lower numbering [Se, IV] and let $c_{L/K} = \max\{j \mid G_j \neq \{1\}\}$. The *conductor exponent* of L/K is given by

$$\mathfrak{f}(L/K) = 1 + \frac{1}{|G_0|} (|G_1| + \dots + |G_{c_{L/K}}|).$$

If H is a subgroup of G , then $H_j = H \cap G_j$. In particular, if $G_1 \subseteq H$ and F is the fixed field of H , then $H_j = G_j$ for $j \geq 1$ and $c_{L/F} = c_{L/K}$, so

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{f}(L/K) = 1 + \frac{1}{[G_0 : H_0]} (\mathfrak{f}(L/F) - 1).$$

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that N is prime. Let L be an elementary 2-extension of F , Galois over \mathbb{Q} , with L/F unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ and conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L/F) \leq 2g$ for all primes $\mathfrak{p} | 2$ in L . Let L_0 be the maximal subfield of L abelian over \mathbb{Q} . Then:*

- i) $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N^*})$, with $N^* = \pm N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- ii) at each prime $\mathfrak{q} | N$, the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} \rangle$ is generated by an involution $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ whose projection to $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2g+1}$ is a transposition and
- iii) the conjugates of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ generate $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Since $\sqrt{N^*}$ is in F , the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N^*})$ is contained in L_0 . By our assumptions, L_0/\mathbb{Q} is unramified outside $\{2, N, \infty\}$. Since the conductor exponent $f_{\mathfrak{p}}(L/F) \leq 2g$, we have $f_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_0/\mathbb{Q}) \leq f_{\mathfrak{p}}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \leq (4g+1)/(2g+1) < 2$ by (3.8) and so 2 is unramified in L_0/\mathbb{Q} . Proposition 3.1(iv) shows that each inertia group over N in F is generated by a transposition. Since \mathfrak{q} is unramified in L/F , item (ii) holds. Then by Kronecker-Weber, $L_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N^*})$, with equality by Proposition 3.1(iii). The subfield of L fixed by all the conjugates of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ and is therefore contained in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ by the Odlyzko bounds and our 2-adic conductor bound (see [BK1, Prop. 3.1]), so equals \mathbb{Q} . This proves (iii). \square

Next, we verify two general number-theoretic lemmas needed above. The first was used to interchange completion with Galois closure. The second was used to understand ramification over N in K and to obtain a lower bound for the size of a certain ray class group of K .

Lemma 3.10. *Let L_0/k be a finite extension of number fields and let L be the Galois closure of L_0 over k . Fix a prime \mathfrak{p} of k and let P be a prime over \mathfrak{p} in L . Assume that $\mathfrak{P} = P \cap L_0$ is the unique prime over \mathfrak{p} in L_0 . Denote the respective completions by $\tilde{L} = L_P$, $\tilde{L}_0 = (L_0)_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\tilde{k} = k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then \tilde{L} is the Galois closure of \tilde{L}_0 over \tilde{k} .*

Proof. Let $D = D_P(L/k) \simeq \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{k})$ be the decomposition group of P inside $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ and let $H = \text{Gal}(L/L_0)$. Because \tilde{L} is Galois over \tilde{k} and contains \tilde{L}_0 , the Galois closure M of \tilde{L}_0 is contained in \tilde{L} . The decomposition group $D_P(L/L_0)$ of P inside H is given by $J = D \cap H \simeq \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{L}_0)$.

Since there is only one prime over \mathfrak{p} in L_0 , the group H acts transitively on the set of primes over \mathfrak{p} in L . Thus, for each g in G , there is some h in H such that $g(P) = h(P)$ and so $G = HD = DH$. Since L is a minimal Galois extension of k containing L_0 , we conclude that

$$\{1\} = \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1} = \bigcap_{d \in D} dHd^{-1} \supseteq \bigcap_{d \in D} dJd^{-1}.$$

Hence, $M = \tilde{L}$ as desired. \square

Finally, we review a bit of representation theory for favorable \mathcal{S}_{2g+1} -fields F . There is a faithful, absolutely irreducible Galois representation

$$(3.11) \quad \rho : \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

obtained by permuting the coordinates of the hyperplane

$$\{(a_0, \dots, a_{2g+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2g+1} \mid a_0 + \dots + a_{2g+1} = 0\}.$$

It preserves a symplectic form and takes transpositions to transvections. By Proposition 3.1(ii), the decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ at each primes $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ is isomorphic to the metacyclic group

$$\Delta = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{2g+1} = 1, \tau^r = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^2 \rangle$$

of order $r(2g+1)$, with r equal to the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}/(2g+1)\mathbb{Z})^\times$. To obtain the irreducible r -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$ -modules, let ζ_j ranges over a set of representatives for the orbits under the action of squaring on primitive $(2g+1)$ -th roots of unity and let E_j be induced from the representation of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ determined by $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_j$. These representations are permuted by $\text{Aut}(\mu_{2g+1})$.

The special case $g = 3$ is required for §5.3. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$, where Δ is the metacyclic group of order 21. We have the trivial representation, an irreducible 2-dimensional representation D and two irreducible 3-dimensional representations, E_1 and E_2 determined by the action of σ , with $c_1 = \sigma^3 + \sigma + 1$ annihilating E_1 and $c_2 = \sigma^3 + \sigma^2 + 1$ annihilating E_2 .

Definition 3.12. Let M be a finitely generated R -module and define \mathbb{F}_2 -vector subspaces of M :

$$C_j(M) = \{m \in M \mid \tau(m) = m \text{ and } c_j(m) = 0\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

We shall refer to the R -module invariants C_1 and C_2 as *corners*.

The term *corner* is motivated by our application of those invariants to the extension problems studied in §5.3 and §6.

Because σ has odd order, $M = M_0 \oplus M'$, where M_0 is the submodule on which σ acts trivially and $M' = (\sigma - 1)(M)$. Since τ normalizes $\langle \sigma \rangle$, we have $Rm_j \simeq E_j$ for any non-zero m_j in $C_j(M)$. Indeed, the annihilator of m_j is the left ideal generated by $\tau - 1$ and c_j . If $RC_j(M)$ is the R -module generated by $C_j(M)$, then

$$M' = RC_1(M) \oplus RC_2(M) \simeq E_1^{n_1} \oplus E_2^{n_2},$$

where $n_j = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(C_j(M))$.

4. SOME HONDA SYSTEMS

We next review some basic material on Honda systems found in [BC, Co, Fo]. For a perfect field k of characteristic p , let $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors and K its field of fractions. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be the Frobenius automorphism characterized by $\sigma(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ for x in \mathbb{W} . The Dieudonné ring $D_k = \mathbb{W}[F, V]$ is generated by the Frobenius operator F and Verschiebung operator V . We have $FV = VF = p$, $Fa = \sigma(a)F$ and $Va = \sigma^{-1}(a)V$ for all a in \mathbb{W} .

A *finite Honda system* over \mathbb{W} is a pair (M, L) consisting of a left D_k -module M of finite \mathbb{W} -length and a \mathbb{W} -submodule L with $V : L \rightarrow M$ injective and the induced map $L/pL \rightarrow M/FM$ an isomorphism. If F is nilpotent on M , then (M, L) is *connected*. Morphisms are defined in the obvious manner.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, L) be a Honda system of exponent p . Then $M = L + FM$ is a direct sum, $\ker F = VL = VM$, $\dim \ker F = \dim L$ and $\ker V = FM$.*

Let \widehat{CW}_k denote the formal k -group scheme associated to the *Witt covector* group functor CW_k . When k' is a finite extension of k and K' is the field of fractions of $\mathbb{W}(k')$, we have $CW_k(k') \simeq K'/\mathbb{W}(k')$. For any k -algebra R , let D_k act on elements $\mathbf{a} = (\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0)$ of $CW_k(R)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F\mathbf{a} &= (\dots, a_{-n}^p, \dots, a_{-1}^p, a_0^p), & V\mathbf{a} &= (\dots, a_{-(n+1)}, \dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}), \\ \text{and } \dot{c}\mathbf{a} &= (\dots, c^{p^{-n}} a_{-n}, \dots, c^{p^{-1}} a_{-1}, ca_0), \end{aligned}$$

where \dot{c} in \mathbb{W} is the Teichmüller lift of c .

The Hasse-Witt exponential map is a homomorphism of additive groups

$$(4.2) \quad \xi : \widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}) \rightarrow \overline{K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$$

defined by $\xi(\dots, a_{-n}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0) = \sum p^{-n} \tilde{a}_{-n}^{p^n}$, independent of the choice of lifts \tilde{a}_{-n} in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. If \mathcal{U} is the group scheme of a Honda system (M, L) , the points of the Galois module U correspond to D_k -homomorphisms $\varphi : M \rightarrow \widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$

such that $\xi(\varphi(L)) = 0$ and the action of G_K on $U(\overline{K})$ is induced from its action on $\widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$.

We write $\dot{+}$ for the usual Witt covector addition [Co, p. 242] and state some related elementary facts. For q a power of p and x, y in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, the congruence $\Phi_q(x, y) \equiv ((\tilde{x} + \tilde{y})^q - \tilde{x}^q - \tilde{y}^q)/q \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}$ defines a unique, possibly non-integral element of $\overline{K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, independent of the choices of lifts \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. The binomial theorem yields the following estimates:

Lemma 4.3. (i) $\text{ord}_p((\tilde{x} + \tilde{y})^q - \tilde{x}^q - \tilde{y}^q) \geq 1 + q \min\{\text{ord}_p(\tilde{x}), \text{ord}_p(\tilde{y})\}$.
(ii) If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{p}$, then $\frac{1}{p}\alpha^p \equiv \frac{1}{p}\beta^p \pmod{p}$. \square

Write $(\vec{0}, x_{-n}, \dots, x_0)$ for $(\dots, 0, 0, x_{-n}, \dots, x_0)$ in $\widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$. A routine calculation using the formulas in [Ab, Co] gives:

Lemma 4.4. Addition in $\widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ specializes to:

$$(\vec{0}, u_4, u_3, u_2, u_1, u_0) \dot{+} (\vec{0}, v_2, v_1, v_0) = (\vec{0}, u_4, u_3, u_2 + v_2, w_1, w_0)$$

where $w_1 = u_1 + v_1 - \Phi_p(u_2, v_2)$ and

$$w_0 = u_0 + v_0 + \frac{1}{p}(u_1^p + v_1^p) - \Phi_{p^2}(u_2, v_2) - \frac{1}{p}(u_1 + v_1 - \Phi_p(u_2, v_2))^p. \quad \square$$

For any subset S of a k -vector space, let $\langle S \rangle$ denote the subspace spanned by S .

Proposition 4.5. The biconnected finite flat group schemes of order p^3 over \mathbb{W} correspond to Honda systems (M_1, L_1) or (M_2, L_2) of the following form:

i) For some λ in k^\times , M_1 has a k -basis x_1, x_2, x_3 such that $L_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$,

$$(4.6) \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

ii) For some λ' in k^\times , M_2 has a k -basis y_1, y_2, y_3 such that $L_2 = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$,

$$(4.7) \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Refer to Lemma 4.1 as needed. Since the Honda system is biconnected, we have $\dim L_1 = 1$ and $\dim L_2 = 2$. In the first case, let $L_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$ and $x_2 = Vx_1$. Then x_1 and x_2 are linearly independent over k because V is injective on L_1 and nilpotent. By Lemma 4.1, $\dim \ker V = 2$, so $V^2 = 0$. Then $Vx_2 = 0$, so there is a k -basis x_2, z for $\ker V$ and $M_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, z \rangle$. Since $FM_1 = \ker V$, we can write $Fz = \lambda x_2 + \mu z$ with λ, μ in k . But $\mu = 0$ because F is nilpotent and $Fx_2 = 0$. Let $Fx_1 = ax_1 + bx_2 + cz$ and use $VF = 0$ to find that $a = 0$. Then λ and c are units because $\dim FM_1 = 2$. Conclude that x_1, x_2 and $x_3 = Fx_1$ form a basis for M_1 affording the matrix representations of V and F in (4.6).

Next suppose that $\dim L_2 = 2$. Then $VM_2 = VL_2 = \ker F$ is 2-dimensional and $FM_2 = \ker V$ is 1-dimensional. In particular $F^2 = 0$, so that $M_2 = L_2 + FM_2$ gives $FM_2 = FL_2$. Because V is nilpotent and injective on L_2 , we cannot have $VL_2 = L_2$ and so $VL_2 \cap L_2$ is 1-dimensional, say generated by $y_2 = Vy_1$ with y_1 in L_2 . Thus $Fy_2 = 0$. In addition, y_1 and y_2 are linearly independent, so $L_2 = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$. Let $y_3 = Fy_1$. Then $M_2 = L + FL_2 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ and $Fy_3 = F^2y_1 = 0$. Write $Vy_2 = ay_1 + by_2 + cy_3$, use $FV = 0$ to get $a = 0$ and use nilpotence of V to get $b = 0$. This proves (4.7) with $\lambda' = c$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *The Cartier dual (M_2^*, L_2^*) of (M_2, L_2) is a Honda system of the form (4.6) with parameter $(\lambda')^{p^2}$. It is isomorphic to (M_1, L_1) precisely when there is some r in k^\times such that $\lambda = r^{1-p^3}(\lambda')^{p^2}$ in k^\times .*

Proof. Let V and F be the Verschiebung and Frobenius matrices in (4.7) with respect to the basis y_1, y_2, y_3 for M_2 . With respect to the dual basis y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^* of M_2^* , the Verschiebung and Frobenius for (M_2^*, L_2^*) are given by

$$V^* = \sigma^{-1}(F^{\text{tr}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F^* = \sigma(V^{\text{tr}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma(\lambda') \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where V^{tr} and F^{tr} are the transposes of V and F . We have $L_2^* = \langle y_3^* \rangle$, since it is the annihilator of $L_2 = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$. Set

$$X_1 = y_3^*, \quad X_2 = V^* X_1 = y_1^*, \quad X_3 = F^* X_1 = \sigma(\lambda') y_2^*.$$

Then $F^* X_3 = \sigma^2(\lambda') X_2$, so X_1, X_2, X_3 is the basis for a Honda system of the form (4.6) with parameter $\lambda^* = \sigma^2(\lambda')$.

The only freedom in the standard basis x_1, x_2, x_3 for M_1 in (4.6) is to replace x_1 by $\tilde{x}_1 = \sigma(r)x_1$ for some r in k^\times . Then $\tilde{x}_2 = V\tilde{x}_1 = rx_2$ and $\tilde{x}_3 = F\tilde{x}_1 = \sigma^2(r)x_3$. Since $F\tilde{x}_3 = \frac{\sigma^3(r)}{r}\lambda\tilde{x}_2$, the parameter for the new basis is $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma^3(r)}{r}\lambda$. Hence (M_1, L_1) is isomorphic (M_2^*, L_2^*) if and only if $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda^*$ for some r .

As a check, with respect to the dual basis x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^* for (M_1^*, L_1^*) , Verschiebung and Frobenius are given by

$$V^* = \sigma^{-1}(F^{\text{tr}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^{-1}(\lambda) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F^* = \sigma(V^{\text{tr}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $Y_1 = x_3^*$, $Y_2 = V^* Y_1 = \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)x_3^*$, $Y_3 = F^* Y_1 = x_1^*$ is a standard basis for a Honda system of the form (4.7) and $L_1^* = \langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle$ is the annihilator of x_1^* . Since $V^* Y_2 = \sigma^{-2}(\lambda)Y_3$, the parameter for the basis Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 is $\sigma^{-2}(\lambda)$. Taking the double dual gives the expected isomorphism. \square

In the rest of this section, we find the parameter vector \mathbf{s}_{ij} for an extension of Honda systems of the form:

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow (M_i, L_i) \xrightarrow{\iota} (M, L) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_j, L_j) \rightarrow 0$$

with $pM = 0$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, where (M_1, L_1) is given by (4.6) with parameter λ in k^\times and (M_2, L_2) by (4.7) with λ' in k^\times .

Proposition 4.10. *For the extension $0 \rightarrow (M_1, L_1) \xrightarrow{\iota} (M, L) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_1, L_1) \rightarrow 0$ with $pM = 0$, there is a k -basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M and a parameters $\mathbf{s}_{11}(\lambda; s_1, s_2, s_3)$ with s_1, s_2, s_3 in k such that $\iota(x_1) = e_1$, $\pi(e_4) = x_1$, $L = \langle e_1, e_4 \rangle$,*

$$V = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma^{-1}(s_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad F = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $e_j = \iota(x_j)$. Since $0 \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} L \xrightarrow{\pi} L_1 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, e_1 is in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining e_4 such that $\pi(\tilde{e}_4) = x_1$. We

verify that $e_5 = Ve_4$ and $e_6 = Fe_4$ are lifts of x_2 and x_3 respectively:

$$\pi(e_5) = \pi(Ve_4) = V(\pi(e_4)) = Vx_1 = x_2, \quad \pi(e_6) = F(\pi(e_4)) = Fx_1 = x_3.$$

Since $\pi(Fe_6) = Fx_3 = \lambda x_2 = \pi(\lambda e_5)$, we can write

$$Fe_6 = \lambda e_5 + \lambda(s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3)$$

for some scalars s_1, s_2, s_3 in k . It follows from $VFe_6 = 0$ that $Ve_5 = -\sigma^{-1}(s_1)e_2$. The matrix representations of V and F are completed by using $Ve_6 = VFe_4 = 0$ and $Fe_5 = FVe_4 = 0$. \square

Remark 4.11. We examine possible changes of the above basis, if the matrices for V and F in the new basis have the same shape. Any other lift of x_1 to L has the form $\tilde{e}_4 = e_4 + re_1$. Then, to obtain matrices for V and F with the above shape, $\tilde{e}_5 = e_5 + \sigma^{-1}(r)e_2$ and $\tilde{e}_6 = e_6 + \sigma(r)e_3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F\tilde{e}_6 &= \lambda(e_5 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3) + \sigma^2(r)Fe_1 \\ &= \lambda(\tilde{e}_5 - \sigma^{-1}(r)e_2 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3) + \sigma^2(r)\lambda e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma(\tilde{s}_2) = s_2 + \sigma^3(r) - r$, but the other parameters are preserved, suggesting that s_2 is related to the unramified degree p extension of F .

Proposition 4.12. *For the extension $0 \rightarrow (M_2, L_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} (M, L) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_1, L_1) \rightarrow 0$ with $pM = 0$, there is a k -basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M and a parameters $\mathbf{s}_{21}(\lambda, \lambda'; s)$ with s in k such that $\iota(y_1) = e_1$, $\pi(e_4) = x_1$, $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_4 \rangle$,*

$$V = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad F = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda\sigma(s) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $e_j = \iota(y_j)$. Since $0 \rightarrow L_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} L \xrightarrow{\pi} L_1 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, e_1 and e_2 are in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining \tilde{e}_4 such that $\pi(\tilde{e}_4) = x_1$. Define $\tilde{e}_5 = V\tilde{e}_4$ and $\tilde{e}_6 = F\tilde{e}_4$, so that $\pi(\tilde{e}_5) = V(\pi(\tilde{e}_4)) = Vx_1 = x_2$ and $\pi(\tilde{e}_6) = F(\pi(\tilde{e}_4)) = Fx_1 = x_3$. Since $\pi(F\tilde{e}_6) = Fx_3 = \lambda x_2 = \pi(\lambda\tilde{e}_5)$, we have

$$F\tilde{e}_6 = \lambda(\tilde{e}_5 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3)$$

for some scalars s_1, s_2, s_3 in k .

We can choose a different lift $e_4 = \tilde{e}_4 + \alpha_1e_1 + \alpha_2e_2$ of x_1 in L with α_1, α_2 in k to be determined. Then we have the lift

$$e_5 = Ve_4 = \tilde{e}_5 + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1)e_2 + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_2)\lambda'e_3$$

of x_2 and the lift $e_6 = Fe_4 = \tilde{e}_6 + \sigma(\alpha_1)e_3$ of x_3 . We find that

$$\begin{aligned} Fe_6 &= \lambda(\tilde{e}_5 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3) \\ &= \lambda(e_5 - \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1)e_2 - \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_2)\lambda'e_3 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3) \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\alpha_1 = \sigma(s_2)$ and $\alpha_2 = \sigma((\lambda')^{-1}s_3)$, so that $Fe_6 = \lambda(e_5 + s_1e_1)$. It follows from $VFe_6 = 0$ that $Ve_5 = -\sigma^{-1}(s_1)e_2$. Finally, let $s = -\sigma(s_1)$. \square

Proposition 4.13. *For the extension $0 \rightarrow (M_1, L_1) \xrightarrow{\iota} (M, L) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_2, L_2) \rightarrow 0$ with $pM = 0$, there is a k -basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M and parameters $\mathbf{s}_{12}(\lambda', \lambda, s_1, s_2, s_3, t)$ with s_1, s_2, s_3, t in k such that $\iota(x_1) = e_1$, $\pi(e_4) = y_1$, $L = \langle e_1, e_4, e_5 \rangle$,*

$$V = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda's_1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda's_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & \lambda's_3 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda' & 0 \end{array} \right] \text{ and } F = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & -\lambda\sigma(t) & -\lambda\sigma(s_3) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma(s_1) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $e_j = \iota(x_j)$. Since $0 \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} L \xrightarrow{\pi} L_2 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, e_1 is in L and we can extend to a basis for L by adjoining \tilde{e}_4 and \tilde{e}_5 such that $\pi(\tilde{e}_4) = y_1$ and $\pi(\tilde{e}_5) = y_2$. Then the most general lifts of y_1 and y_2 to L are $e_4 = \tilde{e}_4 + \alpha_1 e_1$ and $e_5 = \tilde{e}_5 + \alpha_2 e_1$ respectively, with α_1, α_2 in k to be determined. Since

$$\pi(V\tilde{e}_4) = Vy_1 = y_2 = \pi(\tilde{e}_5)$$

we can write $V(\tilde{e}_4) = \tilde{e}_5 + t_1 e_1 + t_2 e_2 + t_3 e_3$ for some t_1, t_2, t_3 in k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Ve_4 &= V\tilde{e}_4 + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1)e_2 = \tilde{e}_5 + t_1 e_1 + t_2 e_2 + t_3 e_3 + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1)e_2 \\ &= e_5 + (t_1 - \alpha_2)e_1 + (t_2 + \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1))e_2 + t_3 e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\alpha_2 = t_1$ and $\alpha_1 = -\sigma(t_2)$ to arrange that $Ve_4 = e_5 + te_3$ with $t = t_3$. Define $e_6 = Fe_4$, so that $\pi(e_6) = y_3$. From

$$0 = FVe_4 = F(e_5 + te_3) = Fe_5 + \sigma(t)\lambda e_2,$$

we find that $Fe_5 = -\sigma(t)\lambda e_2$. We have

$$\pi(Ve_5) = V(\pi(e_5)) = Vy_2 = \lambda'y_3 = \lambda'\pi(e_6),$$

so we can write $Ve_5 = \lambda'(e_6 + s_1 e_1 + s_2 e_2 + s_3 e_3)$. Then

$$0 = FVe_5 = \sigma(\lambda')(Fe_6 + \sigma(s_1)e_3 + \sigma(s_3)\lambda e_2) \Rightarrow Fe_6 = -\sigma(s_1)e_3 - \sigma(s_3)\lambda e_2.$$

Finally, $Ve_6 = VFe_4 = 0$, to complete the matrix for V . \square

Proposition 4.14. *For the extension $0 \rightarrow (M_2, L_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} (M, L) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_2, L_2) \rightarrow 0$, with $pM = 0$, there is a k -basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M and parameters $\mathbf{s}_{22}(\lambda'; s_1, s_2, s_3)$ with s_1, s_2, s_3 in k such that $\iota(y_1) = e_1$, $\pi(e_4) = y_1$, $L = \langle e_1, e_2, e_4, e_5 \rangle$,*

$$V = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \lambda s_3 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{array} \right] \text{ and } F = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma(s_1) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $e_j = \iota(y_j)$. Since $0 \rightarrow L_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} L \xrightarrow{\pi} L_2 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, e_1 and e_2 are in L . We can extend to a basis for L by adjoining \tilde{e}_4 and \tilde{e}_5 such that $\pi(\tilde{e}_4) = y_1$ and $\pi(\tilde{e}_5) = y_2$. Since $\pi(V\tilde{e}_4) = Vy_1 = y_2$, there are scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ in k such that $V\tilde{e}_4 = \tilde{e}_5 + \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \alpha_3 e_3$.

We may correct \tilde{e}_4 by an element of $\iota(L_2) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. First make the change $e_4 = \tilde{e}_4 + u_2 e_2$ with u_2 in k to be determined. Then

$$Ve_4 = V\tilde{e}_4 + \lambda\sigma^{-1}(u_2)e_3 = \tilde{e}_5 + \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + (\alpha_3 + \lambda\sigma^{-1}(u_2))e_3.$$

Since \tilde{e}_5 also can be corrected by an element of $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, let $e_5 = \tilde{e}_5 + \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2$ and choose $u_2 = -\sigma(\lambda^{-1}\alpha_3)$. Then e_5 is another lift of y_2 in L and $Ve_4 = e_5$. Define $e_6 = Fe_4$, so that $\pi(e_6) = Fy_1 = y_3$. Since $\pi(Ve_5) = Vy_2 = \lambda y_3$, we have

$$Ve_5 = \lambda(e_6 + s_1 e_1 + s_2 e_2 + s_3 e_3)$$

for some s_1, s_2, s_3 in k . From $FVe_5 = 0$, we find that $Fe_6 = -\sigma(s_1)e_3$. Then use $Ve_6 = VFe_4 = 0$ and $Fe_5 = FVe_4 = 0$ to complete the matrices for V and F .

We could still take $e'_4 = e_4 + u_1 e_1$. Then $e'_5 = Ve'_4 = e_5 + \sigma^{-1}(u_1)\lambda e_2$ and $e'_6 = Fe'_4 = e_6 + \sigma(u_1)e_3$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} Ve'_5 &= Ve_5 + \sigma^{-2}(u_1)\lambda e_3 = \lambda(e_6 + s_1 e_1 + s_2 e_2 + (s_3 + \sigma^{-2}(u_1))e_3) \\ &= \lambda(e'_6 + s_1 e_1 + s_2 e_2 + (s_3 + \sigma^{-2}(u_1) - \sigma^{-1}(u_1))e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $s'_3 = s_3 + \sigma^{-2}(u_1) - \sigma^{-1}(u_1)$. \square

Proposition 4.15. *Let (M, L) and (M', L') be extensions of (M_i, L_i) by (M_j, L_j) for fixed i and j in $\{1, 2\}$. If the parameters of M and M' are \mathbf{s}_{ij} and \mathbf{s}'_{ij} , respectively, then $\mathbf{s}_{ij} + \mathbf{s}'_{ij}$ can be taken as parameters for the Baer sum $(M, L) + (M', L')$.*

Proof. The underlying argument applies to additive groups M_1 and M_2 . Consider two extensions of M_2 by M_1 , say:

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\iota'} M' \xrightarrow{\pi'} M_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Their Baer sum M'' is the fiber product of M and M' modulo the subgroup

$$\Delta := \langle (\iota(x), 0) - (0, \iota'(x)) \mid x \in M_1 \rangle.$$

Thus, $M'' = \{(m, m') \in M \times M' \mid \pi(m) = \pi'(m')\} / \Delta$ is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\iota''} M'' \xrightarrow{\pi''} M_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

with ι'' induced by $x \mapsto (\iota(x), 0)$ and π'' induced by $(m, m') \mapsto \pi(m)$.

Fix sections $s: M_2 \rightarrow M$ and $s': M_2 \rightarrow M'$ describing the two extensions, i.e., $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}_{M_2}$ and $\pi' \circ s' = \text{Id}_{M_2}$. Then $s'' = s \oplus s'$ is a section of π'' . In fact, for $y \in M_2$, the map s'' is induced by $y \mapsto (s(y), s'(y)) \in M \oplus M'$ and

$$\pi''(s''(y)) = \pi''((s(y), s'(y)) + \Delta) = \pi(s(y)) = y \quad \text{for all } y \in M_2.$$

Fix endomorphisms f_1 and f_2 on M_1 and M_2 and let g be an endomorphism of M such that $g \circ \iota = \iota f_1$ and $\pi \circ g = f_2 \circ \pi$. For y in M_2 , we find that $g(s(y)) - s(f_2(y))$ is in $\ker \pi$, so y determines a unique element x in M_1 such that $g(s(y)) - s(f_2(y)) = \iota(x)$. Define the homomorphism $\delta_g: M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ by $\delta_g(y) = x$.

Similarly, let g' be an endomorphism of M' respecting ι' and π' and let $\delta'_{g'}$ be the corresponding homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(M_2, M_1)$. One easily checks that $g'' = g \oplus g'$ is an endomorphism of M'' respecting ι'' and π'' and that $\delta''_{g''} = \delta_g + \delta'_{g'}$.

For the application to extensions of Honda systems treated in Propositions 4.10, 4.12, 4.13 and 4.14, denote the Frobenius and Verschiebung endomorphisms on M by F and V . Then δ_V and δ_F dictate the upper right 3×3 blocks in the matrices for V and F in those Propositions and therefore determine a choice of Honda parameters for M . If F' and V' are the Frobenius and Verschiebung for M' , then those for the Baer sum M'' are $F'' = F \oplus F'$ and $V'' = V \oplus V'$. It follows that Honda parameters for M'' can be obtained by adding those for M and M' . \square

In §5.2, the Baer sum construction will be used with the following general property of conductors.

Lemma 4.16. *Fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of a local field F . Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be subfields of \overline{F} containing F , with each L_i/F abelian, of ray class conductor exponent f_i . If $f_1 < f_2$ and the inclusions $L_2 \subseteq L_1L_3$ and $L_3 \subseteq L_1L_2$ hold, then $f_2 = f_3$.*

Proof. The inequalities $f_3 \leq f(L_1L_2/F) = f_2$ and $f_2 \leq f(L_1L_3/F) \leq \max\{f_1, f_3\}$ follow from the given inclusions and imply that $f_2 = f_3$. \square

5. THE LOCAL THEORY

Let \mathcal{E}_i be the simple group scheme associated to the Honda system $\mathfrak{E}_i = (M_i, L_i)$ of Proposition 4.5 for $i = 1, 2$. In this section, we study the field of points of \mathcal{E}_i and of extensions of \mathcal{E}_i by \mathcal{E}_j of exponent p . Fix lifts λ and λ' in \mathbb{W} for the respective parameters of \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 and suppress them from the notation.

5.1. The irreducible cases. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 and y_1, y_2, y_3 be standard bases for \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 respectively. Then x_1 generates M_1 and y_1 generates M_2 as a D_k -module. Write E_i for the Galois module of \mathcal{E}_i . The points of E_i are D_k -homomorphisms

$$(5.1.1) \quad \psi: M_i \rightarrow \widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$$

such that the Hasse-Witt exponential $\xi(\psi(L_i)) = 0$, as in (4.2).

More generally, whenever a congruence involving elements of $\overline{K}/w\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ is stated, it is meant to be valid, independent of the choice of lifts to \overline{K} .

We first show that as Galois modules, E_1 and

$$\mathfrak{R}_\lambda = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}} \mid a^{p^3} + \lambda^p pa \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}\}$$

are isomorphic and we describe the field of points $F = K(E_1)$. For a in \mathfrak{R}_λ , define $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ by $b \equiv \lambda^{-1}a^{p^2} \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}$ and denote by ψ_a the unique D_k -homomorphism $M_1 \rightarrow \widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ satisfying $\psi_a(x_1) = (\vec{0}, b, a)$.

Proposition 5.1.2. *The field of points $F = K(E_1)$ is the splitting field over K of $f_\lambda(x) = x^{p^3-1} + \lambda^p p$. Moreover:*

- i) *A D_k -map ψ is in E_1 if and only if $\psi = \psi_a$ for some a in \mathfrak{R}_λ .*
- ii) *The maximal subfield of F unramified over K is $F_0 = K(\mu_{p^3-1})$ and F/F_0 is totally ramified of degree $p^3 - 1$.*
- iii) *\mathfrak{R}_λ is an \mathbb{F}_{p^3} -vector space under the usual operations on $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and $a \mapsto \psi_a$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_p[G_K]$ -isomorphism $\mathfrak{R}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_1$.*

Proof. i) Let the D_k -homomorphism ψ be a non-zero point in E_1 . Since $V^2 = 0$, we have $\psi(x_1) = (\vec{0}, b, a)$ for some a and b in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ with $a \neq 0$. Acting by V and F gives

$$\psi(x_2) = (\vec{0}, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x_3) = (\vec{0}, b^p, a^p).$$

Then $0 = \text{VF}(\psi(x_1)) = \text{V}(\psi(x_3))$ forces $b^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\text{ord}_p(b) \geq \frac{1}{p}$. Also,

$$\lambda(\vec{0}, b) = \psi(\lambda x_2) = \psi(Fx_3) = \text{F}(\psi(x_3)) = (\vec{0}, a^{p^2}).$$

Hence $\lambda b \equiv a^{p^2} \pmod{p}$ and so $\text{ord}_p(a) = \frac{1}{p^2} \text{ord}_p(b) \geq \frac{1}{p^3}$. From $\xi(\psi(x_1)) = 0$, we find that $a + \frac{1}{p}b^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then Lemma 4.3 gives

$$a + \frac{1}{p}(\lambda^{-1}a^{p^2})^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $a^{p^3} + \lambda^p pa \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, from which we obtain the following valuations:

$$\text{ord}_p(a) = \frac{1}{p^3-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_p(b) = \frac{p^2}{p^3-1}.$$

All points of E_1 are accounted for as a ranges over \mathfrak{R}_λ

ii) If $f_\lambda(\theta) = 0$ and ζ generates μ_{p^3-1} , then the roots of f_λ have the form $\theta_j = \zeta^j \theta$, while their reductions modulo p give all non-zero elements of \mathfrak{R}_λ . For the converse, lift $a \in \mathfrak{R}_\lambda$ to \tilde{a} in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. If $g(x) = x^{p^3} - x$, then $g(\tilde{a}/\theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{p}{\theta} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}$, so $\tilde{a} \equiv 0$ or $\tilde{a} \equiv \theta_j \pmod{p \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}$ for some j by Hensel's Lemma. Thus $F = K(\mu_{p^3-1}, \theta)$ is the splitting field of f_λ . Since f_λ is an Eisenstein polynomial over $F_0 = K(\mu_{p^3-1})$, the extension F/F_0 is totally ramified of degree $p^3 - 1$ and F_0 is the maximal subfield of F unramified over K .

iii) Scalar multiplication by \mathbb{F}_{p^3} on \mathfrak{R}_λ is defined via the embedding

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^3} = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})/p\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_{p^3}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}.$$

Closure of \mathfrak{R}_λ under this operation and under the usual addition in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ is clear. If a_1 and a_2 are in \mathfrak{R}_λ , addition of points in E_1 implies that

$$\psi_{a_1}(x_1) \dot{+} \psi_{a_2}(x_1) = \psi_a(x_1)$$

for some a in \mathfrak{R}_λ . Denote this equation of Witt covectors by

$$(\vec{0}, b_1, a_1) \dot{+} (\vec{0}, b_2, a_2) = (\vec{0}, b, a).$$

By applying the Verschiebung V , we find that $b = b_1 + b_2$, so $a^{p^2} = a_1^{p^2} + a_2^{p^2}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. Without changing notation, lift a, a_1 and a_2 to $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and let $a = \omega_0 \theta$, $a_1 = \omega_1 \theta$ and $a_2 = \omega_2 \theta$, where θ is a root of f and each ω_j is in $\mu_{p^3-1} \cup \{0\}$. Then

$$\omega_0^{p^2} \equiv \omega_1^{p^2} + \omega_2^{p^2} \equiv (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{p^2} \pmod{\frac{p}{\theta^{p^2}} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}.$$

Since the ω 's lie in the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^3-1})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\text{ord}_p(p/\theta^{p^2}) > 0$, we obtain $\omega_0 \equiv \omega_1 + \omega_2 \pmod{p}$ and thus $a = a_1 + a_2$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. Alternatively, $\text{ord}_p(a - a_1 - a_2) \geq 1$ by the covector addition formulas in Lemma 4.4. \square

Next we show that there is a Galois module isomorphism of E_2 with

$$(5.1.3) \quad \mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}} \mid (-\lambda')^{p^2} \alpha^{p^3} + p^{p+1} \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{p+2} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}\}$$

and we describe $F' = K(E_2)$. For α in $\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'}$, define $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ by

$$\gamma \equiv \lambda' \alpha^p \pmod{p \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \equiv -\frac{1}{p} \gamma^p \equiv -\frac{1}{p} (\lambda')^p \alpha^{p^2} \pmod{p \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}.$$

Denote by ψ'_α the unique D_k -homomorphism $M_2 \rightarrow \widehat{CW}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ satisfying $\psi'_\alpha(y_1) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$.

Proposition 5.1.4. *The field of points $F' = K(E_2)$ is the splitting field over K of $g_{\lambda'}(x) = (-\lambda')^{p^2} x^{p^3-1} + p^{p+1}$.*

- i) *A D_k -map ψ is in E_2 if and only if $\psi = \psi'_\alpha$ for some α in $\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'}$.*
- ii) *Let F'_0 be the maximal subfield of F' unramified over K . If p is odd, then $F'_0 = K(\mu_{p^3-1}, \sqrt{\lambda'})$ and $[F' : F'_0] = \frac{1}{2}(p^3 - 1)$. If $p = 2$, then $F'_0 = K(\mu_7)$ and $[F' : F'_0] = 7$.*
- iii) *$\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'}$ is an \mathbb{F}_{p^3} -vector space under the usual operations on $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and $\alpha \mapsto \psi'_\alpha$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_p[G_K]$ -isomorphism $\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2$.*

Proof. i) Suppose that the D_k -homomorphism ψ is a non-zero point in E_2 . Since $V^3 = 0$, we have $\psi(y_1) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$ for some α, β and γ in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. By applying V and F we find that

$$\psi(y_2) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y_3) = (\vec{0}, \gamma^p, \beta^p, \alpha^p).$$

Also, $0 = \text{VF}(\psi(y_1)) = \text{V}(\psi(y_3))$ gives $\gamma^p \equiv \beta^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\psi(y_3) = (\vec{0}, \alpha^p)$. In addition,

$$\lambda'(\vec{0}, \alpha^p) = \psi(\lambda' y_3) = \psi(\text{V} y_2) = \text{V}(\psi(y_2)) = (\vec{0}, \gamma) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma \equiv \lambda' \alpha^p \pmod{p}.$$

Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential $\xi(\psi(y_2))$ gives $\beta + \frac{1}{p}\gamma^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and so $\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(\lambda')^p \alpha^{p^2} \pmod{p}$ by Lemma 4.3. It follows that $\text{ord}_p(\gamma) = p \text{ord}_p(\alpha)$ and $\text{ord}_p(\beta) = p^2 \text{ord}_p(\alpha) - 1$. Vanishing of $\xi(\psi(y_1))$ gives

$$(5.1.5) \quad \alpha + \frac{1}{p}\beta^p + \frac{1}{p^2}\gamma^{p^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By comparing the terms, we obtain the following valuations:

$$\text{ord}_p(\alpha) = \frac{p+1}{p^3-1}, \quad \text{ord}_p(\beta) = \frac{p^2+1}{p^3-1}, \quad \text{ord}_p(\gamma) = \frac{p^2+p}{p^3-1}.$$

Then $\frac{1}{p^2}\gamma^{p^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ in (5.1.5). Substitute for β in that equation to find that $\alpha + \frac{1}{p^{p+1}}(-\lambda')^{p^2}\alpha^{p^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and so $g_{\lambda'}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{p+2}}$.

Now, let α be a root of $g_{\lambda'}$. If $p = 2$, then $2\alpha^{-2}$ is a root of the Eisenstein polynomial $y^7 - 2(\lambda')^8$ and $F' = K(\sqrt[7]{2\lambda'}, \mu_7)$. If p is odd, we have

$$(\lambda')^{p^2} = \left(p^{(p+1)/2} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}(p^3-1)} \right)^2$$

and so λ' is a square in F' . Choose the sign of $\eta = \sqrt{\lambda'}$ so that α a root of $\eta^{p^2} y^{\frac{1}{2}(p^3-1)} - p^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$. Since $\text{gcd}\{\frac{1}{2}(p^3-1), \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\} = 1$, the field F' is totally ramified of degree $\frac{1}{2}(p^3-1)$ over $F_0 = K(\mu_{p^3-1}, \sqrt{\lambda'})$.

The proof of (iii) parallels that of Proposition 5.1.2 \square

Remark 5.1.6. According to Proposition 5.1.2(ii), if θ is a root of $f_{\lambda}(x)$, then the lifts of all $a \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{R}_{λ} to $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ comprise the cosets $\zeta^j \theta + p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ as ζ^j ranges over μ_{p^3-1} . Thus \mathfrak{R}_{λ} descends to an \mathbb{F}_{p^3} -linear subspace of $\mathcal{O}_F/p\mathcal{O}_F$ and we write

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}(F) = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_F/p\mathcal{O}_F \mid a^{p^3} + \lambda^p p a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2\mathcal{O}_F}\}.$$

Let the point ψ_a in E_1 correspond to a in $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda}(F)$. We define an action of elements ϵ of \mathbb{F}_{p^3} on E_1 by $\epsilon\psi_a = \psi_{\epsilon a}$, in agreement with multiplication on Witt covectors. In fact, if $b_a = \lambda^{-1}a^{p^2}$, then evaluating on x_1 gives

$$[\epsilon](\vec{0}, b_a, a) = (\vec{0}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} b_a, \epsilon a) = (\vec{0}, b_{\epsilon a}, \epsilon a).$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'}(F') = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F'}/p\mathcal{O}_{F'} \mid (-\lambda')^{p^2}\alpha^{p^3} + p^{p+1}\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{p+2}\mathcal{O}_{F'}}\}$. If the point ψ'_{α} in E_2 corresponds to α in $\mathfrak{R}'_{\lambda'}(F')$, let $\epsilon\psi'_{\alpha} = \psi'_{\epsilon\alpha}$.

For the convenience of the reader, we summarize some congruences and valuations from Propositions 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 in the following Table.

Remark 5.1.7. We have $(\alpha/a)^{p^3-1} = ((-\lambda')^{-p}\lambda^{-1}p)^p$, from the defining equations, so $\alpha/a = w^p$ is a p -th power for some w in $F'' = FF' = K(E_1, E_2)$.

TABLE 1. Convenient formulae for the Honda systems M_1 and M_2

For M_1 : $a^{p^3} + \lambda^p pa \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$	
• mod p : $b \equiv \lambda^{-1} a^{p^2}, \quad \frac{1}{p} b^p \equiv -a$	• mod p^p : $\frac{1}{p^2} b^{p^2} \equiv (-1)^p p^{p-2} a^p$
• $\text{ord}_p(a) = \frac{1}{p^3-1}, \quad \text{ord}_p(b) = \frac{p^2}{p^3-1}$	
For M_2 : $(-\lambda')^{p^2} \alpha^{p^3} + p^{p+1} \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{p+2}}$	
• mod p : $\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{p} (\lambda')^p \alpha^{p^2}, \quad \frac{1}{p} \beta^p \equiv -\alpha, \quad \gamma \equiv \lambda' \alpha^p, \quad \frac{1}{p} \gamma^p \equiv -\beta$	
• mod p^p : $\frac{1}{p^2} \beta^{p^2} \equiv (-1)^p p^{p-2} \alpha^p, \quad \frac{1}{p^2} \gamma^{p^2} \equiv (-1)^{p+1} p^{p-1} \alpha$	
• $\text{ord}_p(\alpha) = \frac{p+1}{p^3-1}, \quad \text{ord}_p(\beta) = \frac{p^2+1}{p^3-1}, \quad \text{ord}_p(\gamma) = \frac{p^2+p}{p^3-1}$	

5.2. **Extensions of exponent p .** Recall that the group scheme \mathcal{E}_j corresponds to the Honda system (M_j, L_j) of Proposition 4.5. Write

$$\text{Ext}_{[p]}^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) = \{ [\mathcal{V}_{ij}] \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) \mid p\mathcal{V}_{ij} = 0 \}$$

and let the Honda system (M_{ij}, L_{ij}) correspond to the representative \mathcal{V}_{ij} of a class in $\text{Ext}_{[p]}^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)$. We have exact sequences $0 \rightarrow E_j \xrightarrow{\pi^*} V_{ij} \xrightarrow{L^*} E_i \rightarrow 0$ and

$$(5.2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow (M_i, L_i) \xrightarrow{L} (M_{ij}, L_{ij}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M_j, L_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

We preserve the notation in §4 for ordered bases: x_1, x_2, x_3 of M_1 , y_1, y_2, y_3 of M_2 and e_1, \dots, e_6 of M_{ij} . The points of \mathcal{V}_{ij} are homomorphisms φ in

$$\text{Hom}_{D_k}(M_{ij}, \widehat{C\mathcal{W}}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}))$$

determined by $\varphi(e_1)$ and $\varphi(e_4)$, since e_1 and e_4 generates M_{ij} as a D_k -module.

Theorem 5.2.2. *Let \mathcal{V}_{ij} represent a class in $\text{Ext}_{[p]}^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)$. Then $L_{ij} = K(V_{ij})$ is an elementary abelian p -extension of $F_{ij} = K(E_i, E_j)$ with ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^2 + p$.*

The proof is done in next four propositions, depending on i and j . In some cases, we determine conductor bounds for certain parameters and obtain the others by Baer sums, using Proposition 4.15 and Lemma 4.16 for conductors. By construction L_{ij}/F_{ij} is an elementary abelian p -extension.

We shall assume that \mathcal{V}_{ij} is a non-trivial extension of \mathcal{E}_i by \mathcal{E}_j and use the following notation. Recall that $F = K(E_1) = F_{11}$ and $F' = K(E_2) = F_{22}$ and set $F'' = F_{12} = F_{21} = K(E_1, E_2)$. Points of E_1 have the form ψ_a with a in $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda(F)$ and points of E_2 have the form ψ'_α , with α in $\mathfrak{A}'_\lambda(F')$, as in Remark 5.1.6. The relations on a , α and the other parameters of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are summarized in Table 1. For $j = 1$ or 2 , let L_a be the extension of F_{1j} generated by the points $\varphi : M_{1j} \rightarrow \widehat{C\mathcal{W}}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ in the fiber of V_{1j} over $\psi_a \neq 0$. Similarly, let L_α be the extension of F_{2j} generated by the points $\varphi : M_{2j} \rightarrow \widehat{C\mathcal{W}}_k(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ in the fiber of V_{2j} over $\psi'_\alpha \neq 0$. To bound $\mathfrak{f}(L_{ij}/F_{ij})$, it suffices to control the conductor for each of the corresponding fibers.

To determine the field of points, it is convenient to make a change of variables using congruences on elements of \overline{K} that are not necessarily integral. Thus, we

consider $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ -modules of the form $R_d = \overline{K}/\mathfrak{d}_d \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, with d in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and $\text{ord}_p(d) < 1$. For details on computation in R_d , see **B1–B4** at the end of appendix B.

Proposition 5.2.3. *The conductor exponent of L_{11} satisfies $\mathfrak{f}(L_{11}/F) \leq p^2$.*

Proof. Here L_a is the extension of F generated by the points φ in the fiber of V_{11} over ψ_a . In the proof, we provide more details about the conductor of L_a in terms of the parameters $\mathfrak{s}_{11}(\lambda; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ of the associated Honda system M_{11} . Since \mathcal{V}_{11} is a non-split extension, some $t_j \neq 0$.

By Proposition 5.1.2, $\varphi(e_1) = (\vec{0}, b, a)$, $\varphi(e_2) = (\vec{0}, b)$ and $\varphi(e_3) = (\vec{0}, a^p)$. Set $\varphi(e_4) = (\vec{0}, d_2, d_1, d_0)$, since $V^3 = 0$ and obtain $\varphi(e_5) = \varphi(Ve_4) = (\vec{0}, d_2, d_1)$. Then $d_2^p \equiv d_1^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because $\varphi(FVe_4) = 0$, so $\varphi(e_6) = \varphi(Fe_4) = (\vec{0}, d_0^p)$. We have

$$-\sigma^{-1}(t_1)(\vec{0}, b) = \varphi(-\sigma^{-1}(t_1)e_2) = \varphi(Ve_5) = (\vec{0}, d_2),$$

so $d_2 \equiv -\sigma^{-1}(t_1)b \pmod{p}$ and by Lemma 4.3, $\frac{1}{p^2}d_2^2 \equiv p^{p-2}t_1^p a^p \pmod{p}$.

By Proposition 4.10, $Fe_6 = \lambda(e_5 + t_1e_1 + t_2e_2 + t_3e_3)$. Applying φ gives

$$(\vec{0}, d_0^p) = \lambda((\vec{0}, d_2, d_1) \dot{+} t_1(\vec{0}, b, a) \dot{+} t_2(\vec{0}, b) \dot{+} t_3(\vec{0}, a^p)).$$

Combine the Witt covectors above via (4.4). Then the rightmost coordinate gives

$$d_0^p \equiv \lambda(d_1 + t_1a + t_2b + t_3a^p) \pmod{p}.$$

The change of variables $d_0 = ax$, $d_1 = by$, $d_2 = cz$ yields

$$(5.2.4) \quad y = x^{p^2} - A \text{ in } R_b, \quad \text{with } A = t_2 + \lambda(t_1a + t_3a^p) a^{-p^2}.$$

From the vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential on $\varphi(L_{11})$, we have

$$0 = \xi(\varphi(e_4)) = \frac{1}{p^2}d_2^2 + \frac{1}{p}d_1^p + d_0 \equiv p^{p-2}t_1^p a^p + \frac{1}{p}d_1^p + d_0 \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$(5.2.5) \quad x = y^p - B \text{ in } R_a, \quad \text{where } B = p^{p-2}t_1^p a^{p-1}.$$

Then B is in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_F and we can take $B = 0$ if $p \geq 3$ or if $t_1 = 0$ in k . By (5.2.5), $\text{ord}_p(x) = \text{ord}_p(y^p)$, leading to $\text{ord}_p(x^{p^2}) = \text{ord}_p(A)$ by (5.2.4).

Since $\text{ord}_p(a) < \text{ord}_p(b)$, we also have $x = y^p - B$ in R_b by **B4**. Then **B3** implies that $x^{p^2} = (y^p - B)^{p^2} = y^{p^3}$ in R_b , since

$$\text{ord}_p(by^{p^3}) = \text{ord}_p(bA) = \text{ord}_p(a^{p^2}A) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B^{p^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Substituting in (5.2.4) gives $y^{p^3} - y - A = 0$ in R_b . Conversely, if y is a root of $f_a(Y) = Y^{p^3} - Y - A$ over F and x is defined by (5.2.5), we find that the congruence (5.2.4) holds. Hence the field L_a generated by the points of the fiber in V_{11} over ψ_a is the splitting field of f_a .

If $t_1 = t_3 = 0$, then the reduction of f_a is separable over the residue field k_F , so L_a/F is unramified. In the remaining cases, Proposition B.1 implies that L_a/F is an elementary abelian extension of degree p^3 and gives the conductor exponent. More precisely, if $t_1 \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F) = p^2$. If $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and $t_3 \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F) = p$. Finally, the conductor exponent also is p for parameters of the form $\mathfrak{s}_{11}(\lambda; 0, t_2, t_3)$ by using Proposition 4.15 and Lemma 4.16 to combine the result for $\mathfrak{s}_{11}(\lambda; 0, 0, t_3)$ with the unramified case $\mathfrak{s}_{11}(\lambda; 0, t_2, 0)$. \square

Proposition 5.2.6. *If \mathcal{V}_{21} is a non-split extension, then $\mathfrak{f}(L_{21}/F'') = p^2 - p$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{s}_{21}(\lambda', \lambda; s)$ be the parameters for the corresponding Honda system M_{21} , with $s \neq 0$ in k since \mathcal{V}_{21} is non-split. Here L_α is the extension of F'' generated by the points φ in the fiber over ψ'_α . By Proposition 5.1.4, $\varphi(e_1) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$, $\varphi(e_2) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta)$ and $\varphi(e_3) = (\vec{0}, \alpha^p)$. Since $V^4 = 0$, we can set

$$\varphi(e_4) = (\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1, d_0) \quad \text{and so} \quad \varphi(e_5) = \varphi(Ve_4) = (\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1).$$

Then $\varphi(FVe_4) = 0$ implies that $d_3^p \equiv d_2^p \equiv d_1^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and so $\varphi(e_6) = \varphi(Fe_4) = (\vec{0}, d_0^p)$. Since $Ve_5 = se_2$, we have $(\vec{0}, d_3, d_2) = s(\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta)$. Thus

$$d_3 \equiv s^{\frac{1}{p}}\gamma \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = s\beta \pmod{p}.$$

By applying φ to $Fe_6 + \lambda\sigma(s)e_1 = \lambda e_5$, we find that

$$(\vec{0}, d_0^{p^2}) + \lambda\sigma(s)(\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \lambda(\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1).$$

By the Witt covector addition in Lemma 4.4, we recover the above congruences on d_3 and d_2 and we find that $d_0^{p^2} + \lambda\sigma(s)\alpha \equiv \lambda d_1 \pmod{p}$.

For a and b as in Table 1, the change of variables $d_0 = ax$, $d_1 = by$ gives

$$(5.2.7) \quad y = x^{p^2} + A \text{ in } R_b, \quad \text{where } A = \sigma(s)\alpha/b \text{ in } F''.$$

Since e_4 is in L_{21} , the Hasse-Witt exponential is 0 on $\varphi(e_4)$, so

$$(5.2.8) \quad \frac{1}{p^3}d_3^3 + \frac{1}{p^2}d_2^2 + \frac{1}{p}d_1^p + d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The leftmost term above vanishes because $\text{ord}_p(d_3) \geq \text{ord}_p(\gamma) = \frac{p^2+p}{p^3-1}$. In addition, $\frac{1}{p}\beta^p \equiv -\alpha \pmod{p}$ and so $\frac{1}{p^2}\beta^{p^2} \equiv (-1)^p p^{p-2}\alpha^p \pmod{p^{p-1}}$ by Lemma 4.3(ii). Hence (5.2.8) yields

$$(5.2.9) \quad x = y^p - B \text{ in } R_a, \quad \text{where } B = (-s)^{p^2} p^{p-2} \frac{\alpha^p}{a}.$$

By assumption, $s \neq 0$ in k , so

$$\text{ord}_p(A) = -\frac{p^2 - p - 1}{p^3 - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_p(B) = p - 2 + \frac{p^2 + p - 1}{p^3 - 1}.$$

We can take $B = 0$ if $p \geq 3$. In general, since $\text{ord}_p(B) > 0$ and $\text{ord}_p(A) < 0$, it follows from (5.2.9) and (5.2.7) that

$$\text{ord}_p(y^p) = \text{ord}_p(x) = \frac{1}{p^2} \text{ord}_p(A).$$

Since $\text{ord}_p(a) < \text{ord}_p(b)$, we also have $x = y^p - B$ in R_b by **B4**. Then **B3** implies that $x^{p^2} = (y^p - B)^{p^2} = y^{p^3}$ in R_b , since

$$\text{ord}_p(by^{p^3}) = \text{ord}_p(bA) = \text{ord}_p(\alpha) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B^{p^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Putting this into (5.2.7) gives $y^{p^3} - y + A = 0$ in R_b . Conversely, if y is a root of $f_a(Y) = Y^{p^3} - Y + A$ over F'' and x is defined by (5.2.9), we find that the congruence (5.2.7) holds. Hence the field L_a generated by the points of the fiber in V_{21} over ψ'_α is the splitting field of f_a .

By Proposition B.1 with $m = 0$ and $w = A^{-1}$, the extension L_α/F'' is elementary abelian and totally ramified of degree p^3 . The conductor exponent of every intermediate field is $\mathfrak{f} = p^2 - p$. \square

Proposition 5.2.10. *We have $\mathfrak{f}(L_{12}/F'') \leq p^2 + p$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{s}_{12}(\lambda', \lambda; s_1, s_2, s_3, t)$ be the parameters for the corresponding Honda system M_{12} . Here L_a is the extension of F'' generated by the points φ in the fiber over $\psi_a \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.1.2 with $b \equiv \lambda^{-1}a^{p^2} \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\varphi(e_1) = (\vec{0}, b, a), \quad \varphi(e_2) = (\vec{0}, b), \quad \varphi(e_3) = (\vec{0}, a^p).$$

Set $\varphi(e_4) = (\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1, d_0)$, since $V^4 = 0$. Applying V gives

$$(\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1) = V(\varphi(e_4)) = \varphi(Ve_4) = \varphi(e_5) \dot{+} t\varphi(e_3) = \varphi(e_5) \dot{+} (\vec{0}, ta^p).$$

Hence $\varphi(e_5) = (\vec{0}, d_3, d_2, d_1 - ta^p)$, using Lemma 4.4. From $0 = \varphi(FVe_4)$ we find that $d_3^p \equiv d_2^p \equiv d_1^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\varphi(e_6) = \varphi(Fe_4) = (\vec{0}, d_0^p)$. By Proposition 4.13, $Fe_6 + \sigma(s_1)e_3 + \sigma(s_3)\lambda e_2 = 0$. Applying φ gives

$$(\vec{0}, d_0^p) \dot{+} \sigma(s_1)(\vec{0}, a^p) \dot{+} \lambda\sigma(s_3)(\vec{0}, b) = 0.$$

Since $\lambda b \equiv a^{p^2} \pmod{p}$, we find that

$$(5.2.11) \quad d_0^p + \sigma(s_1)a^p + \sigma(s_3)a^{p^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{0}, d_3, d_2) = \varphi(Ve_5) &= \lambda' \varphi(e_6 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3) \\ &= \lambda' \left((\vec{0}, d_0^p) \dot{+} s_1(\vec{0}, b, a) \dot{+} s_2(\vec{0}, b) \dot{+} s_3(\vec{0}, a^p) \right) \\ &= (\vec{0}, (\lambda' s_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} b, \lambda'(d_0^p + s_1a + s_2b + s_3a^p)). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $A = s_1a + s_2b + s_3a^p$, then

$$(5.2.12) \quad d_3 \equiv (\lambda' s_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} b \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 \equiv \lambda'(d_0^p + A) \pmod{p}.$$

The last formula for d_2 already implies (5.2.11). Indeed, since $d_2^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we find that

$$0 \equiv d_0^{p^2} + A^p \equiv d_0^{p^2} + (s_1a)^p + (s_2b)^p + (s_3a^p)^p \equiv d_0^{p^2} + (s_1a)^p + s_3^p a^{p^2} \pmod{p}.$$

Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential on $\varphi(L_{12})$ gives:

$$(5.2.13) \quad \frac{1}{p^2} d_3^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} d_2^p + d_1 - ta^p = \xi(\varphi(e_5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5.2.14) \quad \frac{1}{p^3} d_3^{p^3} + \frac{1}{p^2} d_2^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} d_1^p + d_0 = \xi(\varphi(e_4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.3(ii) and Table 1, equation (5.2.12) implies that

$$\frac{1}{p} d_3^p \equiv \lambda' s_1 \frac{1}{p} b^p \equiv -\lambda' s_1 a \pmod{p} \quad \text{and so} \quad \frac{1}{p^{p+1}} d_3^{p^2} \equiv (-\lambda' s_1)^p \frac{1}{p} a^p \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, we can rewrite (5.2.13) as

$$(5.2.15) \quad d_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{p} d_2^p + r a^p \pmod{p}, \quad \text{with } r = t - (-\lambda' s_1)^p p^{p-2}.$$

Since vanishing of $d_1^p \pmod{p}$ implies that $\text{ord}_p(d_1) \geq \frac{1}{p}$, we have

$$\text{ord}_p\left(\frac{1}{p} d_2^p\right) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_p(d_1), \text{ord}_p(a^p)\} = \text{ord}_p(a^p),$$

with equality when $r \neq 0$. Hence $\text{ord}_p(d_2) \geq \frac{1}{p} + \text{ord}_p(a)$ and so

$$(5.2.16) \quad \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{1}{p^{2p}} d_2^{p^3}\right) \geq p^2 - 2p + p^3 \text{ord}_p(a) = (p-1)^2 + \frac{1}{p^3 - 1} > 1.$$

The congruence (5.2.12) implies that $\text{ord}_p(d_3) \geq \text{ord}_p(b) = p^2/(p^3 - 1)$, so the term $\frac{1}{p^3}d_3^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ drops out of (5.2.14), to give

$$(5.2.17) \quad \frac{1}{p^2}d_2^2 + \frac{1}{p}d_1^p + d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then $d_0 \equiv -\frac{1}{p}d_1^p - \frac{1}{p^2}d_2^2 \pmod{p}$. Each term on the right of the last congruence is integral, so

$$(5.2.18) \quad d_0^p \equiv (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{p^p}d_1^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^{2p}}d_2^{p^2} \right) \equiv (-1)^p \frac{1}{p^p}d_1^{p^2} \pmod{p}.$$

thanks to (5.2.16).

Fix α, β, γ as in Table 1 and change variables via $d_2 = \gamma z$, $d_1 = \beta y$, $d_0 = \alpha x$ in (5.2.12), (5.2.15) and (5.2.17), to obtain

$$(5.2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & z = x^p + A\alpha^{-p} && \text{in } \mathbf{R}_\gamma \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & y = z^p + ra^p\beta^{-1} && \text{in } \mathbf{R}_\beta, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & x = y^p + (-1)^p p^{p-1} z^{p^2} && \text{in } \mathbf{R}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

These congruences are necessary and sufficient for the construction of the map φ .

Making the same change of variables in (5.2.18) yields $x^p = y^{p^2}$ in \mathbf{R}_γ . Since $\text{ord}_p(\beta) < \text{ord}_p(\gamma)$, equation (5.2.19(ii)) also holds in \mathbf{R}_γ . Using $d_1 = \beta y$ and $\text{ord}_p(d_1) \geq \frac{1}{p}$ one can check that py^{p^2-1} is integral and so $y^{p^2} = (z^p + ra^p\beta^{-1})^{p^2}$ is well-defined in \mathbf{R}_γ by **B2**. Combining this information with (5.2.19(i)) gives

$$(5.2.20) \quad (z^p + ra^p\beta^{-1})^{p^2} - z + A\alpha^{-p} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}_\gamma.$$

To treat the case $A = 0$, an equation in terms of y is preferred. For that, we use $x^p = y^{p^2}$ in \mathbf{R}_γ , already derived above, to obtain $z = y^{p^2} + A\alpha^{-p}$ in \mathbf{R}_γ from (5.2.19(i)). One can check that py^{p^2-1} is integral, so $z^p = (y^{p^2} + A\alpha^{-p})^p$ is well-defined in \mathbf{R}_γ by **B2**. Then (5.2.19(ii)) implies that

$$(5.2.21) \quad (y^{p^2} + A\alpha^{-p})^p - y + ra^p\beta^{-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}_\gamma.$$

Conversely, given a root z of (5.2.20) in \overline{K} , define y and x by (5.2.19(ii)) and (iii) respectively, treating each as an equation in \overline{K} . Then the congruence (5.2.19(i)) holds in \mathbf{R}_α . Similar considerations apply if we begin with a root y of (5.2.21).

Next, we find the conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F'')$ in various cases where Proposition B.1 applies. It follows that in these cases, L_a/F'' is a totally ramified elementary p -extension of degree p^3 .

See that Proposition for notation used here. In particular, $\text{ord}_p(C) > p^3/(1-p^3)$ holds, as required. Recall that $e_{F''} = p^3 - 1$, $v_{F''}(a) = 1$ and $v_{F''}(\alpha) = p + 1$.

Case 1. $r = 0$ and $z^{p^3} - z + C = 0$ over F'' , with $C = A\alpha^{-p}$ by (5.2.20).

- $s_1 \neq 0$. Then $m = 0$, $w = C^{-1}$, $v_{F''}(w) = v_{F''}(\alpha^p) - v_{F''}(a) = p^2 + p - 1$ and so $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F'') = p^2 + p$.
- $s_1 = s_2 = 0$, $s_3 \neq 0$. Then $C = s_3(a/\alpha)^p$. But $\alpha/a = w^p$ is a p -th power in F'' by Remark 5.1.7, so $C = s_3w^{-p^2}$. Furthermore, $m = 2$ and $v_{F''}(w) = 1$, so $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F'') = 2$.
- $s_1 = s_3 = 0$, $s_2 \neq 0$. Then $C = s_2b/\alpha^p = s_2\lambda^{-1}(a^p/\alpha)^p$. Set $w = \alpha/a^p$, so $m = 1$, $v_{F''}(w) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{f}(L_a/F'') = 2$.

Case 2. $A = 0$, $r \neq 0$ and $y^{p^3} - y + C = 0$ over F'' , with $C = ra^p/\beta$ by (5.2.21). Then $w = C^{-1}$, $m = 0$ and $v_{F''}(w) = p^2 - p + 1$, so $\mathfrak{f}(L_\alpha/F'') = p^2 - p + 2$.

Thanks to Proposition 4.15 and Lemma 4.16, judicious use of Baer sums shows that in Case 1, the conductor exponent satisfies $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^2 + p$ for all $\mathbf{s}_{12}(\lambda, \lambda'; s_1, s_2, s_3, t)$ with $r = 0$, or equivalently, $t = (-\lambda' s_1)^p p^{p-2}$. Case 2 covers all parameters of the form $\mathbf{s}_{12}(\lambda, \lambda'; 0, 0, 0, t)$ with $t \neq 0$. By combining Cases 1 and 2, we have $\mathfrak{f} \leq p^2 + p$ for all possible parameters. \square

Proposition 5.2.22. *We have $\mathfrak{f}(L_{22}/F') \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + 1) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ 4 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$*

Proof. A more precise result, in terms of the parameters $\mathbf{s}_{22}(\lambda'; s_1, s_2, s_3)$ for the associated Honda system M_{22} can be found at the end of the proof. Here L_α is the extension of F'' generated by the points φ in the fiber over $\psi'_\alpha \neq 0$.

We have $\varphi(e_1) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$, $\varphi(e_2) = (\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta)$ and $\varphi(e_3) = (\vec{0}, \alpha^p)$. Since $V^5 = 0$, we can set

$$\varphi(e_4) = (\vec{0}, d_4, d_3, d_2, d_1, d_0),$$

so $\varphi(e_5) = \varphi(Ve_4) = (\vec{0}, d_4, d_3, d_2, d_1)$. Then $\varphi(FVe_4) = 0$ gives

$$d_4^p \equiv d_3^p \equiv d_2^p \equiv d_1^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and thus $\varphi(e_6) = \varphi(Fe_4) = (\vec{0}, d_0^p)$. By applying φ to $Fe_6 + \sigma(s_1)e_3 = 0$, we find that $(\vec{0}, d_0^{p^2}) + \sigma(s_1)(\vec{0}, \alpha^p) = 0$, so

$$d_0^{p^2} + \sigma(s_1)\alpha^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By Proposition 4.14, $Ve_5 = \lambda'(e_6 + s_1e_1 + s_2e_2 + s_3e_3)$. Applying φ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{0}, d_4, d_3, d_2) &= \lambda' \left((\vec{0}, d_0^p) \dot{+} s_1(\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta, \alpha) \dot{+} s_2(\vec{0}, \gamma, \beta) \dot{+} s_3(\vec{0}, \alpha^p) \right) \\ &= \lambda' \left(\vec{0}, s_1^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \gamma, s_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta + s_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma, d_0^p + s_1 \alpha + s_2 \beta + s_3 \alpha^p - \delta \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{1}{p} \lambda' \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} (s_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta)^j (s_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma)^{p-j}$, with $\text{ord}_p(\delta) \geq \text{ord}_p(\beta^{p-1} \gamma) = 1 + \frac{2p}{p^3-1}$. Hence $\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and we have the mod p congruences:

$$(5.2.23) \quad d_4 \equiv (\lambda' s_1)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \gamma, \quad d_3 \equiv (\lambda')^{\frac{1}{p}} (s_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta + s_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma), \quad d_2 \equiv \lambda'(d_0^p + A),$$

with $A = s_1 \alpha + s_2 \beta + s_3 \alpha^p$. Vanishing of the Hasse-Witt exponential gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\varphi(e_4)) &= \frac{1}{p^4} d_4^p + \frac{1}{p^3} d_3^p + \frac{1}{p^2} d_2^p + \frac{1}{p} d_1^p + d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ \xi(\varphi(e_5)) &= \frac{1}{p^3} d_4^p + \frac{1}{p^2} d_3^p + \frac{1}{p} d_2^p + d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.2.23), $\text{ord}_p(d_4^p) \geq \frac{p^5+p^4}{p^3-1} > 5$ and $\text{ord}_p(d_3^p) \geq \frac{p^5+p^3}{p^3-1} > 4$. The ordinals of the interior terms in the binomial expansion of $(s_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta + s_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma)^p$ are bounded below by $\text{ord}_p(p\beta^{p-1}\gamma) = 2 + \frac{2p}{p^3-1}$. A lower bound for ordinals of the interior terms of $(s_1 \alpha + s_2 \beta)^p$ is given by $\text{ord}_p(p\alpha^{p-1}\beta) = 1 + \frac{2p^2}{p^3-1}$. From the relations in Table 1 and Lemma 4.3(ii), we have mod p congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} d_3^p &\equiv \frac{1}{p} \lambda'(s_1 \beta^p + s_2 \gamma^p) \equiv -\lambda'(s_1 \alpha + s_2 \beta) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{p^{p+1}} d_3^{p^2} &\equiv \frac{1}{p} (-\lambda')^p (s_1 \alpha + s_2 \beta)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since the interior terms in the expansion of $\frac{1}{p}(s_1\alpha + s_2\beta)^p$ are integral, as is $\frac{1}{p}\beta^p$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{p^2}d_3^{p^2} \equiv p^{p-2}(-\lambda's_1\alpha)^p \pmod{p^{p-1}}.$$

The above equations for vanishing of $\xi(\varphi(e_4))$ and $\xi(\varphi(e_5))$ now reduce to

$$(5.2.24) \quad \frac{1}{p^2}d_2^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p}d_1^p + d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.2.25) \quad \frac{1}{p}d_2^p + d_1 \equiv (\lambda's_1)^p p^{p-2} \alpha^p \pmod{p}.$$

Set $d_0 = \alpha x$, $d_1 = \beta y$, $d_2 = \gamma z$. Using the relations in Table 1, the last equation in (5.2.23) and equations (5.2.25) and (5.2.24) simplify to

$$(5.2.26) \quad z = x^p + A\alpha^{-p} \text{ in } R_\gamma, \quad y = z^p + B \text{ in } R_\beta, \quad x = y^p - p^{p-1}z^{p^2} \text{ in } R_\alpha,$$

where $A = s_1\alpha + s_2\beta + s_3\alpha^p$ as above, $B = (\lambda's_1)^2 \alpha^2 \beta^{-1}$ if $p = 2$ and $B = 0$ otherwise. If $p = 2$ and $s_1 \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}_2(B) = \frac{1}{7}$.

We may assume that \mathcal{V}_{22} is a non-split extension, so some parameter s_j is not zero in k . The ordinals of x, y, z can be estimated from (5.2.26), as follows. First check that $\text{ord}_p(z) \leq 0$ and then arrive at

$$\text{ord}_p(x^p) = \text{ord}_p(y^{p^2}) = \text{ord}_p(z^{p^3}) = \text{ord}_p(A\alpha^{-p}) \geq -\frac{p^2 - 1}{p^3 - 1}.$$

We use the rules for arithmetic on fractional elements from Appendix B to simplify (5.2.26). Since $\text{ord}_p(\gamma) > \text{ord}_p(\beta)$, the second equation there is valid in R_γ and $B = 0$ in R_γ even when $p = 2$. Similarly, the third equation passes to R_γ and $p^{p-1}z^{p^2} = 0$ in R_γ . In R_γ , we therefore have

$$(5.2.27) \quad \text{(i) } z = x^p + A\alpha^{-p}, \quad \text{(ii) } y = z^p, \quad \text{(iii) } x = y^p.$$

Since py^{p^2-1} is integral, (5.2.27)(ii) implies that $y^{p^2} = z^{p^3}$ in R_γ by **B2**. Similarly, (5.2.27)(iii) leads to $x^p = y^{p^2}$ in R_γ . Thus, z satisfies $f_\alpha(Z) = Z^{p^3} - Z + A\alpha^{-p} = 0$ in R_γ .

For the converse, let z be a root of f_α in its splitting field over F' and use the second and third congruences in (5.2.26) as equations to defining y and then x . The congruences established above imply that $z = x^p + A$ in R_γ . Thus, all non-zero points in the fiber of V_{22} over ψ'_α occur when z ranges over the roots of f_α .

If $s_2 = s_3 = 0$, then $A\alpha^{-p} = s_3$, so f_α is separable over the residue field of F' and L_α/F' is unramified and possibly split. In all other cases, we use Proposition B.1 to verify that L_α/F' is a totally ramified elementary abelian p -extension of degree p^3 . By Proposition 5.1.4(ii) the ramification index of F'/\mathbb{Q}_p is given by

$$(5.2.28) \quad e_{F'} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p^3 - 1) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ 7 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proposition B.1 holds with $m = 0$ and $w = C^{-1}$ and so

$$\mathfrak{f}(L_\alpha/F') = v_{F'}(w) + 1 = e_{F'} \text{ord}_p(w) + 1.$$

We have the following cases:

- If $s_1 \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}_p(w) = \text{ord}_p(\alpha^{p-1}) = \frac{p^2-1}{p^3-1}$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{f}(L_\alpha/F') = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p^2+1) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ 4 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

- If $s_1 = 0$ and $s_2 \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}_p(w) = \text{ord}_p(\alpha^p\beta^{-1}) = \frac{p-1}{p^3-1}$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{f}(L_\alpha/F') = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p+1) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 2. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

5.3. Local corners. In this subsection, $p = 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$. For $i = 1$ or 2 , denote by \mathcal{E}_i the simple group scheme corresponding to the Honda system (M_i, L_i) of Proposition 4.5, with parameter necessarily equal to 1 in \mathbb{F}_2 . By Propositions 5.1.2 and 5.1.4, the corresponding Galois modules E_1 and E_2 have the same field of points, $F = \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta, \varpi)$, where $\zeta \in \mu_7$ satisfies $\zeta^3 + \zeta + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\varpi^7 = 2$. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$, where $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_2) = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, with the following action:

$$(5.3.1) \quad \tau(\zeta) = \zeta^2, \quad \tau(\varpi) = \varpi, \quad \sigma(\zeta) = \zeta, \quad \sigma(\varpi) = \zeta\varpi$$

Thus τ is a Frobenius, $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^2$ and Δ is the non-abelian group of order 21. Then E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic to the two 3-dimensional irreducible Δ -modules described at the end of §3, where $c_1 = \sigma^3 + \sigma + 1$ annihilates E_1 and $c_2 = \sigma^3 + \sigma^2 + 1$ annihilates E_2 .

Recall the twisted action of ζ on E_1 defined in Remark 5.1.6. We consistently use P to denote the unique non-zero element of E_1 fixed by τ , so $P = \psi_{-\varpi}$. Then $P, \sigma(P) = \zeta P, \sigma^2(P) = \zeta^2 P$ is an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for E_1 affording the matrix representations

$$(5.3.2) \quad s_1 = \rho_{E_1}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \rho_{E_1}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In general, for all ϵ in \mathbb{F}_8 , we have $\sigma(\epsilon P) = \epsilon\zeta P$ and $\tau(\epsilon P) = \epsilon^2 P$.

Similarly, $P' = \psi'_{-\varpi^3}$ is the unique non-zero element of E_2 fixed by τ . Then $P', \zeta^2 P', \zeta^4 P'$ is an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for E_2 affording the matrix representations

$$(5.3.3) \quad s_2 = \rho_{E_2}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = s_1^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{E_2}(\tau) = t.$$

We have $\sigma(\epsilon P') = \epsilon\zeta^3 P'$ and $\tau(\epsilon P') = \epsilon^2 P'$ for all ϵ in \mathbb{F}_8 .

By Theorem 5.2.2, if \mathcal{V}_{ij} represents a class in $\text{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_2}^1(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)$, then the field generated by the points of \mathcal{V}_{ij} is contained in the maximal elementary 2-extension T of F with ray class conductor exponent at most 6. We write σ for an element of order 7 in $\text{Gal}(T/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ projecting to the element σ in Δ chosen above.


For $n \geq 1$, let $U_F^{(n)} = 1 + \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_F$ be the standard filtration of local units, with $U_F^{(0)} = U_F$. The Artin symbol of local class field theory gives an isomorphism

$$\Theta_{T/F}: F^\times / U_F^{(6)} F^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma = \text{Gal}(T/F),$$

with $\delta \in \Delta$ acting by $\delta\Theta_{T/F}(x)\delta^{-1} = \Theta_{T/F}(\delta(x))$. The R -module structure of the inertia group Γ_0 will be described in terms of the following corners:

$$g_1 = \Theta_{T/F}(1 + \varpi + \varpi^3), \quad g_2 = \Theta_{T/F}(1 + \varpi^3), \quad g_3 = \Theta_{T/F}(1 + \varpi^5).$$

Proposition 5.3.4. *Let T_n be the maximal subfield of T with ray class conductor exponent at most n over F . We have the diagram of fields and Galois groups:*



Then $g_0 = \Theta_{T/F}(\varpi)$ is a Frobenius element and

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(T/F) = \text{R}g_0 \oplus \text{R}g_1 \oplus \text{R}g_2 \oplus \text{R}g_3.$$

There are R -module isomorphisms $\text{R}g_0 \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$, $\text{R}g_1 \simeq E_1$ and $\text{R}g_2 \simeq \text{R}g_3 \simeq E_2$.

Proof. If L/F is an abelian extension of conductor n , then $U_F^{(n)}$ is contained in the group of norms $N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ by class field theory [Se, XV §2]. For $m \leq 3$, the Artin map $\Theta_{T/F}$ therefore induces an isomorphism $F^\times / U_F^{(2m)} F^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(T_{2m}/F)$. Furthermore,

$$U_F^{(2m+1)} F^{\times 2} / U_F^{(6)} F^{\times 2} \simeq \text{Gal}(T/T_{2m}),$$

since $U_F^{(2m)} U_F^2 = U_F^{(2m+1)} U_F^2$. The action of R on g_0 is trivial because $\sigma(\varpi) = \zeta \varpi$ is in $\varpi F^{\times 2}$ and $\tau(\varpi) = \varpi$. As an R -module, the inertia group

$$\Gamma_0 = \text{Gal}(T/T_0) \simeq U_F / U_F^{(6)} U_F^2$$

is a direct sum of copies of E_1 and E_2 , since σ has no non-trivial fixed points in it. In terms of the corner invariants of R -modules in Definition 3.12, one checks that $C_1(\Gamma_0) = \langle g_1 \rangle$ and $C_2(\Gamma_0) = \langle g_2, g_3 \rangle$. \square

As needed for our applications, let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E, E)$. Suppose that \mathcal{W} represents a class in $\text{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_2}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and let $L = \mathbb{Q}_2(W)$. Then

$$\mathbb{Q}_2(E_1) = \mathbb{Q}_2(E_2) = \mathbb{Q}_2(E) = F \subseteq L \subseteq T.$$

The extension class of the Galois module W corresponds to a cohomology class $[\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}]$ in $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_2), \mathfrak{h})$. Since $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(T/F)$ acts trivially on \mathfrak{h} and $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ has odd order, $H^1(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}) = 0$ and so (A.3) gives

$$(5.3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(T/\mathbb{Q}_2), \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_\Gamma} H^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})^\Delta = \text{Hom}_\text{R}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h}),$$

with $\text{R} = \mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$. Also, the inflation map $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_2), \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_L^T} H^1(\text{Gal}(T/\mathbb{Q}_2), \mathfrak{h})$ is injective.

Definition 5.3.6. The *Honda character* of \mathcal{W} , denoted $\chi_{\mathcal{W}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, is given by $\chi_{\mathcal{W}} = \text{res}_\Gamma \text{inf}_L^T([\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}])$.

Note that $[\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{W}}]$ is trivial if and only if $\chi_{\mathcal{W}} = 0$. For elements g in Γ , the representation afforded by W has the form $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} I & \chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ in the parabolic matrix group $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{E,E}$ of (A.2).

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{ij} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E_i, E_j) \simeq M_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$, via the isomorphism afforded by the special bases for E_1 and E_2 in (5.3.2) and (5.3.3), respectively. The block decomposition

$$\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \chi_{11}(g) & \chi_{21}(g) \\ \hline \chi_{12}(g) & \chi_{22}(g) \end{array} \right] \text{ in } M_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E, E),$$

defines R-homomorphisms $\chi_{ij}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$.

Table 2 gives the corner spaces of each \mathfrak{h}_{ij} in terms of the 3×3 identity I and the matrix t of (5.3.2). The \mathbb{F}_2 -span of a subset S of \mathfrak{h}_{ij} is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$ and D is the unique 2-dimensional irreducible Δ -module over \mathbb{F}_2 .

TABLE 2. R-module decomposition of \mathfrak{h}_{ij}

	R-module decomposition	C_1	C_2
\mathfrak{h}_{11}	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus D \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$	$\langle t^2 \rangle$	$\langle t \rangle$
\mathfrak{h}_{12}	$E_1 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$	$\langle I, t \rangle$	$\langle t^2 \rangle$
\mathfrak{h}_{21}	$E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_2$	$\langle t \rangle$	$\langle I, t^2 \rangle$
\mathfrak{h}_{22}	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus D \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$	$\langle t \rangle$	$\langle t^2 \rangle$

Verification of Table 2. Let $[f]$ be the matrix representation of f in \mathfrak{h}_{ij} with respect to the special bases for E_1 and E_2 . An element δ of Δ acts on f by $(\delta f)(x) = \delta(f(\delta^{-1}x))$. In terms of matrices, $[f] \mapsto \rho_{E_j}(\delta)[f]\rho_{E_i}(\delta)^{-1}$. We have $t = \rho_{E_1}(\tau) = \rho_{E_2}(\tau)$ and $s_i = \rho_{E_i}(\sigma)$. Hence τ acts on $[f]$ via conjugation by t in all cases. Matrices in the corner spaces commute with t and thus are polynomials in t . The action of σ on \mathfrak{h}_{ij} is given by $[f] \mapsto s_j[f]s_i^{-1}$. For each power t^n , one checks whether it is annihilated by the characteristic polynomial c_1 or c_2 of Definition 3.12 to determine which corner space t^n is in. For \mathfrak{h}_{11} and \mathfrak{h}_{22} , after taking the span of the corners, there remains a 3-dimensional space that commutes with s , so consists of polynomials in s and therefore is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus D$. \square

Thanks to R-linearity, a Honda character $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is determined by its values on the R-module generators g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3 for Γ listed before Proposition 5.3.4.

Lemma 5.3.7. *If $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a Honda character, then $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g_2)$ has the form $\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g_3) = 0$ or $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.*

Proof. Refer to the conductor bounds in §5.2 using $p = 2$. By Proposition 5.2.6, the field of points of an extension of \mathcal{E}_2 by \mathcal{E}_1 annihilated by 2 has ray class conductor exponent at most 2 over F . Since g_3 and g_2 act trivially on that field, $\chi_{21}(g_3)$ and $\chi_{21}(g_2)$ vanish. This explains $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g_2)$. By Propositions 5.2.3 and 5.2.22 extensions of \mathcal{E}_1 by \mathcal{E}_1 or \mathcal{E}_2 by \mathcal{E}_2 give rise to subfields of T of conductor exponent at most 4 over F and so $\chi_{11}(g_3) = \chi_{22}(g_3) = 0$. In Table 2, t^2 is the unique corner of \mathfrak{h}_{12} generating an R-submodule isomorphic to E_2 . By Proposition 5.3.4, $Rg_3 \simeq E_2$. Since χ_{12} is an R-module map, $\chi_{12}(g_3)$ is trivial or equals t^2 . \square

6. FAVORABLE ABELIAN THREEFOLDS

Let A be a favorable abelian threefold of prime conductor N as in Definition 1.1 and let $\mathcal{E} = A[2]$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(E)$ is a favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field, \mathcal{E} is absolutely simple and $\mathcal{E}_{|\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is biconnected by Corollary 3.2. Let \underline{E} be the category of finite flat group schemes over $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ defined in §2. Recall from [BK2, §3] that \underline{E} is a

full subcategory of the category of 2-primary group schemes over R , closed under taking products, closed flat subgroup schemes and quotients by closed flat subgroup schemes. In particular, $\text{Ext}_{\underline{E}}^1$ is defined. If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are in \underline{E} and annihilated by 2, let $\text{Ext}_{[2],\underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ be the subgroup of $\text{Ext}_{\underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ whose classes are represented by extensions killed by 2.

As a consequence of [BK2, Theorem 3.7], the following uniqueness criterion holds.

Criterion 6.1. *For A and \underline{E} as above, assume that $\text{Ext}_{[2],\underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Let B be a semistable abelian variety of dimension $3d$ and conductor N^d such that $B[2]^{ss} \simeq d\mathcal{E}$. Then B is isogenous to A^d .*

The aim of this section is to obtain explicit conditions on the favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field $\mathbb{Q}(E)$ to guarantee the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_{[2],\underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and thereby satisfy the Criterion. More generally, let F be a favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field with one bad prime N and let $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_2^6$ afford the Galois representation

$$(6.2) \quad \rho_E: \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_7 \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

as in (3.11). For our study of extensions V of E by E annihilated by 2, it is essential to compare the restriction of V to a decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ at a prime $\mathfrak{p}|2$ with the Galois modules arising from Honda systems in §5.3. For that purpose, we use a fixed but non-standard basis for E to define the map $\iota: \mathcal{S}_7 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Write the following 6×6 matrices as blocks of 3×3 matrices, using the notation for the local representations in (5.3.2) and (5.3.3):

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{s} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fix ι by demanding that $(1234567) \mapsto \mathbf{s}$ and $(12) \mapsto \mathbf{r}$, where \mathbf{r} is the transvection

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbf{r} = I + [0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]^T [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$$

Then $\iota: (124)(365) \mapsto \mathbf{t}$. There is a prime $\mathfrak{p}|2$ in F such that $\rho_E(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathbf{s}$ and $\rho_E(\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathbf{t}$, where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generates the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius. Moreover, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ in F such that if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ generates the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F/\mathbb{Q})$, then $\rho_E(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathbf{r}$. From now on, ρ_E denotes the representation of E with this normalization.

An extension V of E by E of exponent 2 and field of points $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ provides a representation

$$(6.5) \quad \rho_V: G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in \iota(\mathcal{S}_7), y \in M_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{E,E}$ is the parabolic group described in (A.2). The field L contains F and $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is an elementary 2-group. Moreover, L does not depend on the choice of representative for the extension class $[V]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2],\mathbb{Q}}^1(E, E)$. We always arrange for $\pi\rho_V = \rho_E$, where $\pi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$ is the projection map $\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \mapsto x$. The radical of \mathcal{P} is $\mathcal{R} = \ker \pi$. If, in addition, V is the Galois representation associated to a class $[\mathcal{V}]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2],\underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, we shall see in Proposition 6.13 that $\rho_V(G)$ is conjugate in \mathcal{P} to one of the groups that we now define.

Set $\gamma_0 = 0$ in $M_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and define the following matrices γ_a in $M_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$, writing them as blocks of 3×3 matrices in terms of the matrix t from (5.3.2):

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} a & 6 & 14 & 20 & 29 & 35 \\ \hline \gamma_a & \begin{bmatrix} t & t^2+1 \\ t^2 & t^2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t^2+1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \gamma_6 + \gamma_{14} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \gamma_6 + \gamma_{29} \end{array}$$

The group ring $S = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathcal{S}_7]$ acts on $M_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ via conjugation by the matrices in $\iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$.

Notation 6.7. Let $c: M_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $c(m) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $d: \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $d(m) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{bmatrix}$. Let $G_0 \simeq \mathcal{S}_7$ be the image under d of $\iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$. For γ_a in (6.6) define the following S -submodules of $M_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$:

$$(6.8) \quad \Gamma_6 = S\gamma_6 \quad \Gamma_{14} = S\gamma_{14}, \quad \Gamma_{20} = S\gamma_{20}, \quad \Gamma_{29} = S\gamma_{29}, \quad \Gamma_{35} = S\gamma_{35}$$

and let $G_a = \langle G_0, c(\gamma_a) \rangle = c(\Gamma_a) \rtimes G_0$.

The radical of G_a is $\mathcal{R}_a = c(\Gamma_a)$ and has size 2^a . The abelianization of G_a has order 2. For a in $\{29, 35\}$, the center of G_a is generated by $c(1)$, but the center is trivial for the other values of a . Inclusions among the groups G_a are indicated in the Hasse diagram below by ascending lines:



Moreover, G_{20} is isomorphic to the fiber product of G_{14} and G_6 over G_0 and similarly for the other parallelograms. Magma extends the identity on G_0 to a surjection $f_{a,b}: G_a \twoheadrightarrow G_b$ sending $c(\gamma_a)$ to $c(\gamma_b)$.

Definition 6.10. An involution h is *good* in a group H if the normal closure of $\langle g \rangle$ in H equals H . If h is good in a subgroup H of the parabolic group \mathcal{P} and $\mathrm{rank}(h - 1) = 2$, then h is *very good* in H .

Remark 6.11. A Magma verification shows that each G_a has a unique conjugacy class of very good involutions, represented by $d(\mathbf{r})$ with \mathbf{r} given in (6.4).

Let $[V]$ be a class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Q}}^1(E, E)$, with $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(E)$. We shall impose the following assumptions, which do not depend on the choice of representative for the class $[V]$.

G1. The extension L/F is unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$.

G2. If $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} \rangle$ at a prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ and $h = \rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$, then $\mathrm{rank}(h - 1) = 2$.

G3. At primes $\mathfrak{p}|2$, the ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L/F) \leq 6$.

Proposition 6.12. *If \mathcal{V} represents a class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[2], \underline{\mathbb{E}}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, then the associated Galois module V satisfies **G1–G3**.*

Proof. By **E2**, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has order 2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, so all the ramification at primes over N has already occurred in F/\mathbb{Q} and thus **G1** holds for $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$. Since $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ acts tamely on V , the conductor exponent of V at N is given by

$$\mathfrak{f}_N(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(V/V^{\langle \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} \rangle}) = \mathrm{rank}(\rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) - 1).$$

But $\mathfrak{f}_N(V) = 2$ by **E3**, so **G2** holds. Finally, **G3** is the conductor bound for $\mathcal{V}|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ given by Theorem 5.2.2. \square

Proposition 6.13. *If $[V]$ satisfies **G1–G3**, then $\rho_V(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V)/\mathbb{Q}))$ is conjugate in \mathcal{P} to some G_a . Given a prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ there is a representative V such that*

$$(i) \quad \rho_V(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V)/\mathbb{Q})) = G_a \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = d(\mathbf{r}).$$

In particular, $a = 0$ if and only if V is a split extension. If V' is another representative for $[V]$ satisfying (i) and (ii), then $\rho_{V'} = \alpha\rho_V\alpha^{-1}$ for some α in the centralizer of $d(\mathbf{r})$ in G_a .


Proof. **G1–G3** translate to the following properties of $\overline{G} = \rho_V(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(V)/\mathbb{Q}))$:

- a) the projection $\pi: \overline{G} \rightarrow \iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$ is surjective;
- b) \overline{G} is the normal closure of an involution h with $\text{rank}(h - 1) = 2$;
- c) the abelianization of \overline{G} has order 2.

Item (a) holds because $\pi\rho_V = \rho_E$. Item (b) holds for $h = \rho_V(\sigma_q)$ by **G2** and Proposition 3.9, which also gives (c). Using Magma, one verifies that any subgroup of \mathcal{P} satisfying (a)–(c) is conjugate by some α in the radical \mathcal{R} of \mathcal{P} to G_a for some a . By Lemma A.4, replacing ρ_V by $\rho_W = \alpha\rho_V\alpha^{-1}$ simply changes V to another representative W for the same extension class, now satisfying $\rho_W(G) = G_a$. In particular, if $a = 0$, then W is a split extension. Since $\rho_W(\sigma_q)$ is a very good involution, it is conjugate in G_a to $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Remark 6.11. Applying this inner automorphism to G_a gives $\rho_W(\sigma_q) = d(\mathbf{r})$. \square

Definition 6.14. A G_a -class is a class $[V]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2],\mathbb{Q}}^1(E, E)$ satisfying **G1–G3**.

Fix a pair-resolvent K for $F = \mathbb{Q}(E)$ by taking $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ to be the centralizer of the transposition (12). For primes $\mathfrak{p}|2$, the ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = 7$ by Lemma 3.3. In addition, any choice of Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} is the product of two 3-cycles in \mathcal{S}_7 so is not in C . Hence there is one prime \mathfrak{p}_K over 2 in K and it has residue degree 3. Let Ω_K be the maximal elementary 2-extension of K of modulus $\mathfrak{p}_K^6 \infty$, where ∞ means that ramification is allowed at each archimedean place. Let K' be a subfield of Ω_K quadratic over K . Refer to the diagram of fields and Galois groups below:



Proposition 6.15. Let K be a pair-resolvent of F . There is a bijection

$$(6.16) \quad \{G_a\text{-classes } [V] \mid a \in \{6, 14, 20\}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{subfields } K' \subseteq \Omega_K \mid [K':K] = 2\}$$

such that $\mathbb{Q}(V)$ is the Galois closure of K'/\mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Fix a in $\{6, 14, 20\}$ and let $H = \pi^{-1}(C)$ be the inverse image under the projection map $\pi: G_a \rightarrow \iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$ of the centralizer C of $\mathbf{r} = \iota((12))$. Magma shows that among the four subgroups of index 2 in H , exactly one, say J , enjoys both of the following properties: (i) the action of G_a on G_a/J is faithful and (ii) $d(\mathbf{r})$ has exactly 22 fixed points in this action.

Given a G_a -class $[V]$, fix a prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ in $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ and choose a representative V satisfying $\rho_V(\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})) = G_a$ and $\rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = d(\mathbf{r})$, as in Proposition 6.13. The subfield of L fixed by $\rho_V^{-1}(H)$ is the stem field K for F/\mathbb{Q} , independent of the choice of representative V . The fixed field K' of $\rho_V^{-1}(J)$ is quadratic over K and also is independent of the choice of V . Indeed, if $[V'] = [V]$, then $\rho_{V'} = \alpha\rho_V\alpha^{-1}$

for some α in G_a centralizing $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Proposition 6.13. But then α is in H , so α normalizes J . Hence $\rho_{V'}^{-1}(J) = \rho_V^{-1}(J)$.

By (ii) and the factorization of the ideal $(N)\mathcal{O}_K$ given by Lemma 3.6 all primes over N in K are unramified in K'/K . Since the primes \mathfrak{p} over 2 are unramified in F/K by Lemma 3.3, we have $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K'/K) = \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L/F)$, for example by [BK2, Lemma C.11]. Hence **G3** implies that $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K'/K) \leq 6$, so K' is contained in Ω_K and we have a well-defined map $[V] \mapsto K'$ in (6.16).

Conversely, let K' be a subfield of Ω_K quadratic over K , L the Galois closure of K'/\mathbb{Q} , $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, $H = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $J = \text{Gal}(L/K')$. Then L properly contains F , since each quadratic extension of K in F does ramify at some prime over N by Lemma 3.7. By Proposition 3.9, the generator $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of inertia $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ at each prime $\mathfrak{q}|N$ is a good involution in G and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ can be chosen in H . Since no prime over N ramifies in K'/K , the action of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ on G/J has 22 fixed points. The following group-theoretic properties of G have been established:

- i) There is a surjection $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_7$ whose kernel has exponent 2 and is the radical of G .
- ii) The abelianization of G has order 2.
- iii) If H is the inverse image under π of the centralizer of a transposition in \mathcal{S}_7 , then there is a subgroup J of index 2 in H such that the action of G on G/J is faithful, so G is a transitive permutation group on 42 letters.
- iv) There is a good involution g in G whose action on G/J has 22 fixed points.

We have (i), since the radical of $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ and (ii) by Lemma 3.9(i). For (iii), note that $[G : J] = 42$ and G acts faithfully on G/J because L is the Galois closure of K'/\mathbb{Q} . In addition, $H = \pi^{-1}(\text{Gal}(F/K))$, where $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ is the centralizer of (12) in \mathcal{S}_7 .

In the Magma database of 9491 transitive groups of degree 42, items (i)–(iv) hold for exactly three permutation groups up to conjugation in \mathcal{S}_{42} . They are isomorphic to G_6 , G_{14} and G_{20} , respectively, via an isomorphism sending g in (iv) to a very good involution in G_a , conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ by Remark 6.11. All automorphisms of G_6 are inner. For $a = 14$ or 20 , the outer automorphism group of G_a has order 2 and non-trivial outer automorphisms move $d(\mathbf{r})$ to good involutions j such that $\text{rank}(j - 1) = 6$.

Let $\rho_W : G \rightarrow G_a$ be the representation given by an isomorphism that preserves the conjugacy class of $d(\mathbf{r})$. Then $\pi\rho_W$ and ρ_E are conjugate in $\iota(\mathcal{S}_7)$ because the automorphisms of \mathcal{S}_7 are inner. By surjectivity of π , there is a representation $\rho_V : G \rightarrow G_a$, conjugate to ρ_W , such that $\pi\rho_V = \rho_E$. In addition, $\rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ in G_a , so **G2** holds. Since K' is contained in Ω_K , we have **G1** and **G3**, making $[V]$ a G_a -class.

Suppose that $\rho_{V'} : G \rightarrow G_a$ is another representation such that $\pi\rho_{V'} = \rho_E$ and $\rho_{V'}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is conjugate to $d(\mathbf{r})$ in G_a . Since the only automorphisms of G_a preserving the conjugacy class of $d(\mathbf{r})$ are inner, we have $\rho_{V'} = \alpha\rho_V\alpha^{-1}$ for some α in G_a . But then $\pi(\alpha) = 1$ because the center of \mathcal{S}_7 is trivial, so α is in the radical \mathcal{R}_a of G_a . Hence $[V'] = [V]$ and we therefore have a well-defined inverse map from K' to the extension class $[V]$. \square

From now on, $[V]$ is a G_a -class and $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$. In particular, V represents a class in $\text{Ext}_{R'}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, where $R' = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2N}]$ and the conductor bound **G3** holds. The

Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Schoof [Sc1, Cor. 2.4] gives the exactness of

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2N}]}^1(E, E) \times \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^1(E, E).$$

Thus V prolongs to a group scheme \mathcal{V} over $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ if and only if there is some class $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, as determined in §5.3, such that the images of $[V]$ and $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^1(E, E)$ agree. If so, the other properties of a G_a -class guarantee that $[\mathcal{V}]$ is in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. Next, we sketch our strategy for examining this patching problem and review the notation required.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E, E)$ and let the cocycle $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ represent the cohomology class in $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathfrak{h})$ associated to the G_a -class $[V]$, as in (A.1). Let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completions of L and F at a fixed prime $\mathfrak{p}|2$. The decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_2)$ admits the quotient $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_2) \simeq \langle \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t} \rangle$, where \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{t} are defined in (6.3). By **G3**, $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is contained in the maximal elementary 2-extension T of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with ray class conductor exponent at most 6. Let $\mathrm{res}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}}[\psi]$ denote the restriction to the decomposition group.

In the local theory of §5.3, a class $[\mathcal{W}]$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[2], \mathbb{Z}_2}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ gives rise to a *Honda character* $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})$, where $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(T/F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{F}_2[\Delta]$. As noted before Definition 5.3.6, res_{Γ} and $\mathrm{inf}_{L_{\mathfrak{p}}}^T$ are injective. Let

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{res}_{\Gamma} \mathrm{inf}_{L_{\mathfrak{p}}}^T \mathrm{res}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}}([\psi]).$$

It follows that V prolongs to a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ if and only if $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{h})$. To test whether this last equality holds, it suffices to compare $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ on the generators g_0, \dots, g_3 for Γ defined before Proposition 5.3.4.

See Definition 3.12 for corner spaces. Since g_1 is in $C_1(\Gamma)$, but g_2 and g_3 are in $C_2(\Gamma)$, the values of $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ on these generators lie in the corresponding corner spaces of \mathfrak{h} . Let E_2, E_1 and D be the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_2[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ -modules from §5.3, with respective \mathbb{F}_2 -dimensions 3, 3, 2.

Lemma 6.17. *A Magma computation provides the following generators for the corner spaces of Γ_a and its decomposition as an $\mathbb{F}_2[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ -module.*

- $C_2(\Gamma_6) = \langle \gamma_6 \rangle$, $C_1(\Gamma_6) = \langle \bar{\gamma}_6 \rangle$ and $\Gamma_6 \simeq E_1 \oplus E_2$, where

$$\gamma_6 = \begin{bmatrix} t & t^2 + 1 \\ t^2 & t^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\gamma}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t \\ t + 1 & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $C_2(\Gamma_{14}) = \langle \gamma_{14}, \gamma'_{14} \rangle$, $C_1(\Gamma_{14}) = \langle \bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}'_{14} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{14} \simeq E_1^2 \oplus E_2^2 \oplus D$, where

$$\gamma_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma'_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t + 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\gamma}'_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $C_2(\Gamma_{29}) = \langle \gamma_{29}, \gamma'_{29}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma'_{14} \rangle$ and $C_1(\Gamma_{29}) = \langle \bar{\gamma}_{29}, \bar{\gamma}'_{29}, \bar{\gamma}_{14}, \bar{\gamma}'_{14} \rangle$, where

$$\gamma_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma'_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\gamma}'_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\Gamma_{29} \simeq E_1^4 \oplus E_2^4 \oplus D^2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$.

Then $\Gamma_{20} = \Gamma_6 \oplus \Gamma_{14}$ and $\Gamma_{35} = \Gamma_6 \oplus \Gamma_{29}$, with corresponding sums of corners.

Proposition 6.18. *Let V represent a G_a -class and $L_a = \mathbb{Q}(V)$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_a/F)$ be the ray class conductor exponent of the extension \tilde{L}/\tilde{F} , where \tilde{L} and \tilde{F} are the*

completions of L_a and F at a place \mathfrak{p} over 2. If V prolongs to a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$, then $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_a/F) \leq 6$. In addition, the following stricter bounds must hold:

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_6/F) \leq 2, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_{14}/F) \leq 4, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_{29}/F) \leq 4.$$

Proof. We use some of the notation recalled above. If $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_3)$ is not trivial and matches $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g_3)$ for some Honda cocycle $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$, then $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ by the local theory in Lemma 5.3.7. But this element is not in any of the global corner groups $C_2(\Gamma_a)$ for $a = 6, 14$ or 29 , according to Lemma 6.17. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_a/F) \leq 4$ in those cases. Suppose further that $a = 6$. If $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_2)$ matches $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}(g_2)$ for some Honda cocycle $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$, then $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_2)$ has the form $\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}$, also by Lemma 5.3.7. But $C_2(\Gamma_6) = \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$ by Lemma 6.17, forcing $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_2) = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_6/F) \leq 2$. \square

Definition 6.19. Let F be a favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field and let K be a pair-resolvent of F . Write Ω_K for the maximal elementary 2-extension of K unramified outside $\{2, \infty\}$ and such that the ray class conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) \leq 6$ for the prime \mathfrak{p} over 2 in K . We say that F is *amiable* if either $\Omega_K = K$ or one of the following conditions holds:

- i) $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) = 6$, or
- ii) $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$, $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_K/K) = 4$ and the Galois closure of Ω_K/\mathbb{Q} has group G_6 .

Proposition 6.20. Let K be a pair-resolvent for the favorable \mathcal{S}_7 -field F , where F is the Galois closure of the heptic field F_0 . Let \mathfrak{p} be the prime over 2 in K . Both of the following conditions are necessary for F to be amiable:

- i) the narrow class number of K is odd,
- ii) the number of real embeddings of F_0 satisfies $r_1 \leq 3$.

Proof. If (i) fails, then Ω_K contains a quadratic extension of K whose conductor exponent is 0, so F is not amiable. If (ii) fails, then $r_1 \geq 5$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Gal}(\Omega_K/K) \geq 4$ by Lemma 3.5, also contradicting amiability. \square

Lemma 6.21. Let $[V]$ be a G_a -class and let $[V']$ be a $G_{a'}$ -class.

- i) The Baer sum $[V''] = [V] + [V']$ is a G_b -class for some b .
- ii) If there is a surjection $f_{a,b} : G_a \rightarrow G_b$ in diagram (6.9), then the Galois module for $f_{a,b} \rho_V$ represents a G_b -class.

Proof. If $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ and $L' = \mathbb{Q}(V')$, then $L'' = \mathbb{Q}(V'')$ is a subfield of the compositum LL' . Conditions **G1** and **G3** hold for ramification in L''/F because they are valid for L and L' . By Proposition 6.13, we can choose the representative V and a prime \mathfrak{q} over N in LL' such that $\rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = d(\mathbf{r})$. Let the cocycles ψ and ψ' belong to V and V' , as in (A.1). Then $\psi'' = \psi + \psi'$ represents the cocycle class for V'' . Since $\psi(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 0$, we have $\psi''(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \psi'(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$. Conclude that **G2** holds for $[V'']$ by using $\rho_{V''}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$.

For part (ii), let L' be the subfield of L fixed by $\rho_V^{-1}(\ker f_{a,b})$. Then L' contains F and $f_{a,b} \rho_V$ induces an isomorphism $\rho_{V'} : \text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G_b$, where V' is the corresponding Galois module. The ramification conditions in **G1** and **G3** hold for subfields of L containing F . Since $f_{a,b}$ is the identity on G_0 we find that $\rho_{V'}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \rho_V(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$. Hence **G2** also holds for V' . \square

Proposition 6.22. If $F = \mathbb{Q}(E)$ is amiable, then $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{E}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Proof. If K is a pair-resolvent field of F , then $[\Omega_K : K] \leq 2$ by definition of an amiable field. Suppose that there is a non-trivial class $[V]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{\mathcal{E}}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 6.13, $[V]$ is G_a -class with $a \neq 0$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ properly contains F .

If $a = 20$ or 35 , we have both a G_6 -class $[V']$ and a G_{14} -class $[V'']$, according to diagram (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii). Then Proposition 6.15 shows that there are two independent quadratic extensions of K in Ω_K corresponding to V' and V'' , which contradicts $[\Omega_K : K] \leq 2$.

If $a = 6$ or 14 , Proposition 6.15 provides a quadratic extension K' of K in Ω_K corresponding to V and so $K' = \Omega_K$. By Proposition 6.18, $\mathfrak{f}_p(K'/K) \leq 2$ if $a = 6$ and $\mathfrak{f}_p(K'/K) \leq 4$ if $a = 14$. Either case violates amiability.

If $a = 29$, then $\mathfrak{f}_p(L/F) \leq 4$ by Proposition 6.18. Use (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii) to obtain a G_{14} class $[V']$ with $L' = \mathbb{Q}(V')$ contained in L . Hence $\mathfrak{f}_p(L'/F) \leq 4$, but no such G_{14} -class is permitted by amiability. \square

Theorem 6.23. *Let A be a favorable abelian threefold of prime conductor N such that $F = \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$ is amiable. If B is a semistable abelian variety of dimension $3d$ and conductor N^d , with $B[2]$ filtered by $\mathcal{E} = A[2]$, then B is isogenous to A^d .*

Proof. To apply Criterion 6.1, it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{\mathcal{E}}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. If K is a pair-resolvent of F , then $[\Omega_K : K] \leq 2$ since F is amiable. Suppose that there is a non-trivial class $[V]$ in $\text{Ext}_{[2], \underline{\mathcal{E}}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 6.13, $[V]$ is G_a -class with $a \neq 0$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(V)$ properly contains F . We next eliminate the other values of a .

If $a = 20$ or 35 , we have both a G_6 -class $[V']$ and a G_{14} -class $[V'']$, according to diagram (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii). Then Proposition 6.15 shows that there are two independent quadratic extensions of K in Ω_K corresponding to V' and V'' , thus contradicting $[\Omega_K : K] \leq 2$.

If $a = 6$ or 14 , Proposition 6.15 provides a quadratic extension K' of K in Ω_K corresponding to V and so $K' = \Omega_K$. By Proposition 6.18, $\mathfrak{f}_p(K'/K) \leq 2$ if $a = 6$ and $\mathfrak{f}_p(K'/K) \leq 4$ if $a = 14$. Either case violates amiability.

If $a = 29$, then $\mathfrak{f}_p(L/F) \leq 4$ by Proposition 6.18. Use (6.9) and Lemma 6.21(ii) to obtain a G_{14} class $[V']$ with $L' = \mathbb{Q}(V')$ contained in L . Hence $\mathfrak{f}_p(L'/F) \leq 4$, but no such G_{14} -class is permitted by amiability. \square

APPENDIX A. PARABOLICS

Let G be a group and let ρ_E the representation afforded by a G -module E over a field k . The exact sequence of $k[G]$ -modules $0 \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} V \xrightarrow{\pi} E_2 \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to a cocycle $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad \rho_V(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{E_1}(g) & \psi_V(g) \rho_{E_2}(g) \\ 0 & \rho_{E_2}(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

where g in G acts on m in $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Hom}_k(E_2, E_1)$ by $g(m) = \delta_1 m \delta_2^{-1}$, with $\delta_i = \rho_{E_i}(g)$. The class $[V]$ in $\text{Ext}_{k[G]}^1(E_2, E_1)$ corresponds to that of $[\psi_V]$ in $H^1(G, \mathfrak{h})$. Indeed, if x is in E_2 and s in $\text{Hom}_k(E_2, V)$ is any section of π , then $g(s(g^{-1}x)) - s(x) = \iota(y)$ for some y in E_1 and one can take $\psi(g)(x) = y$. Thus, in the category of $k[G]$ -modules, the extension classes of E_2 by E_1 under Baer sum form a group isomorphic to $H^1(G, \mathfrak{h})$. If N is a normal subgroup of G contained in $\ker \rho_V$, then $[\psi_V]$ comes by inflation from a unique class in $H^1(G/N, \mathfrak{h})$ which we also denote by $[\psi_V]$.

The image $\rho_V(G)$ lies in a *parabolic* matrix group

$$(A.2) \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{E_1, E_2} = \left\{ g = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & m \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \delta_i = \rho_{E_i}(g), m \in M_{n_1, n_2}(k) \right\}$$

with $n_i = \dim_k E_i$. If $H_i = \{g \in G \mid g|_{E_i} = 1\}$ and $\Delta_i = G/H_i$, then E_i is a faithful $k[\Delta_i]$ -module. Any normal subgroup H of G acting trivially on both E_1 and E_2 satisfies

$$\rho_V(H) \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{P} \mid m \in M_{n_1, n_2}(k) \right\}.$$

The inflation-restriction sequence gives:

$$(A.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, \mathfrak{h})^{G/H}.$$

Suppose that $E_1 = E_2 = E$ and let V represent a class in $\text{Ext}_{k[G]}^1(E, E)$. Let $\Delta = \rho_E(G)$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Delta$ be the projection $\begin{bmatrix} \delta & h \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \delta$. Note that $\pi\rho_V = \rho_E$.

Lemma A.4. *Let ψ_V and ψ_W correspond to representatives V and W for classes in $\text{Ext}_{k[G]}^1(E, E)$ as in (A.1). Then $[W] = [V]$ if and only if*

$$(A.5) \quad \rho_W(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rho_V(g) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

for some m in \mathfrak{h} and all g in G . If $\rho_W(g) = \alpha \rho_V(g) \alpha^{-1}$ for some α in \mathcal{P} and all g in G and the center of Δ is trivial, then $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for some m in \mathfrak{h} and $[W] = [V]$.

Proof. (A.5) is equivalent to $\psi_W(g) = \psi_V(g) + m - g(m)$, so $\psi_W - \psi_V$ is a coboundary for $H^1(G, \mathfrak{h})$. Suppose, instead that $\rho_W(g) = \alpha \rho_V(g) \alpha^{-1}$ for all g in G and set $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$. By multiplying out the matrices, we find that a is in the center $Z(\Delta)$, so $a = 1$ and then (A.5) implies that $[W] = [V]$. \square

APPENDIX B. CONDUCTORS FOR ARTIN-SCHREIER-LIKE EXTENSIONS

Fix a prime p and let K be an unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . Fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K . Finding the field of points of a group scheme \mathcal{V} over \mathcal{O}_K from the linear algebra of its associated Honda system often leads to rather complicated congruences. In §5.2, such congruences were simplified by a suitable change of variables, to arrive at a characteristic 0 version of Artin-Schreier theory. A key property is that distinct solutions to our Artin-Schreier-like equations differ by units, although the solutions themselves are not integral.

Let $q = p^n$ with $n \geq 1$ and let F be a finite extension of $K(\mu_{q-1})$ in \overline{K} with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_F and valuation v_F , normalized so that $v_F(p) = e_F$ is the ramification index of F/\mathbb{Q}_p .

Proposition B.1. *Let $f(x) = x^q - x + C$, where $C = uw^{-p^m}$ with:*

- u a unit in \mathcal{O}_K ,
- w in \mathfrak{m}_F ,
- $p \nmid v_F(w)$,
- $0 \leq m < n$.

Let L be the splitting field of f . If $\text{ord}_p(C) > q/(1-q)$, then $\text{Gal}(L/F) \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$ is an elementary abelian p -group and L/F is totally ramified, with conductor exponent $\mathfrak{f}(L/F) = v_F(w) + 1$.

Proof. For α and β in L and an ideal \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_L , write $\alpha = \beta + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a})$ if $\alpha - \beta$ is in \mathfrak{a} . To estimate interior terms of a binomial expansion, recall that if $1 \leq j \leq q-1$, then $\text{ord}_p \binom{q}{j} = n - \text{ord}_p j \geq 1$.

Fix a root θ of f in L , let $g(x) = f(x + \theta)$ and $M = F(\theta)$. By assumption, $\text{ord}_p(C) < 0$, so $\text{ord}_p(\theta) = \frac{1}{q} \text{ord}_p(C) > \frac{1}{1-q}$. For $j = 1, \dots, q-1$, the coefficient of x^j in $(x + \theta)^q$ is in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_M . Indeed,

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\binom{q}{j} \theta^j \right) \geq 1 + j \text{ord}_p(\theta) \geq 1 + (q-1) \text{ord}_p(\theta) > 0.$$

Thus, $g(x) = x^q - x + h(x)$, where $h(x)$ is in $\mathfrak{m}_M[x]$ and $h(0) = 0$.

By Hensel's Lemma, every element of μ_{q-1} can be refined to a root of g in M , so $L = M$. Furthermore, for σ in $\text{Gal}(L/F)$, the root $\sigma(\theta)$ of f has the form $\sigma(\theta) = \theta + \zeta_\sigma + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}_L)$, with $\zeta_1 = 0$ if $\sigma = 1$ is the identity and ζ_σ in μ_{q-1} otherwise. Pass to the residue field, to obtain an injective homomorphism $\text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_\sigma \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_L}$. Hence $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is an elementary abelian p -group.

Since u is a unit in the unramified ring \mathcal{O}_K with perfect residue field, there is a unit u_1 in \mathcal{O}_K satisfying $u_1^p \equiv u \pmod{p}$. Set $t = \theta^{p^{n-m}} + u_1 w^{-1}$. We claim that $t^{p^m} = \epsilon \theta$ for some unit ϵ in \mathcal{O}_L , thanks to the following estimates. Note first that

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\theta^{p^{n-m}} \right) = p^{-m} \text{ord}_p(C) = -\text{ord}_p(w).$$

If $m > 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq p^m - 1$, we have

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\binom{p^m}{j} (\theta^{p^{n-m}})^j w^{-(p^m-j)} \right) \geq 1 - p^m \text{ord}_p(w) = 1 + \text{ord}_p(C) > \text{ord}_p(\theta),$$

where last inequality follows from our lower bound on $\text{ord}_p(C)$. Hence

$$t^{p^m} = \theta^q + (u_1 w^{-1})^{p^m} + \lambda \theta = \theta - C + (u_1 w^{-1})^{p^m} + \lambda \theta = \theta + \frac{u_1^{p^m} - u}{w^{p^m}} + \lambda \theta$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_L$. In addition,

$$\text{ord}_p \left((u_1^{p^m} - u) w^{-p^m} \right) \geq \text{ord}_p(p w^{-p^m}) = 1 + \text{ord}_p(C) > \text{ord}_p(\theta),$$

so $t^{p^m} = \epsilon \theta$ for some unit ϵ in L , as claimed. If $m = 0$ take $u_1 = u$, so $t = \theta$. Thus,

$$\text{ord}_p(t) = p^{-m} \text{ord}_p(\theta) = -q^{-1} \text{ord}_p(w) \text{ for all } m.$$

Since $p \nmid \text{ord}_p(w)$, the ramification index of L/F is a multiple of q . But $[L:F] \leq q$, so L/F is totally ramified of degree q and $L = F(t)$. Similar estimates of interior terms give

$$\sigma(\theta^{p^{n-m}}) = (\theta + \zeta_\sigma + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}_L))^{p^{n-m}} = \theta^{p^{n-m}} + \zeta_\sigma^{p^{n-m}} + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}_L).$$

Then $\sigma(t) - t = \zeta_\sigma^{p^{n-m}} + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{m}_L)$, since $u_1 w^{-1}$ is in F . If e_L is the ramification index of L/\mathbb{Q}_p and v_L is the valuation on L satisfying $v_L(p) = e_L$, we find that

$$v_L(t) = e_L \text{ord}_p(t) = q e_F \text{ord}_p(t) = -e_F \text{ord}_p(w) = -v_F(w)$$

is prime to p . Hence $\mathfrak{f} = v_F(w) + 1$ by [BK2, Prop. C.5]. \square

Remark B.2. If $f(x) = x^q - x + C$, with C in \mathcal{O}_F , then Artin-Schreier theory over k_F implies that the splitting field L of $f(x)$ is unramified over F , of degree dividing p . Indeed, as in the proof above, the map $\text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is injective, so $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is an elementary p -group and it has order at most p , since unramified extensions are cyclic. In particular, if $\text{ord}_p(C) > 0$, then the elements of μ_{q-1} lead to roots of f by Hensel's Lemma, so $L = F$.

To find the field of points of each group scheme \mathcal{V}_{ij} in §5.2, we used congruences on elements of \overline{K} that are not necessarily integral. Thus, we considered $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ -modules of the form $\mathbf{R}_d = \overline{K}/\frac{p}{d}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, where d is in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and $\text{ord}_p(d) < 1$. For the reader's convenience, we summarize some aspects of the arithmetic of \mathbf{R}_d needed there and easily checked. Let $r = x + \frac{p}{d}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ be an element of \mathbf{R}_d with x in \overline{K} and also write $\overline{x} = r$ for the image of x in \mathbf{R}_d . Let $q = p^n$ with $n \geq 1$.

B1 If r is 0 in \mathbf{R}_d , define $\text{ord}_p(r) = \infty$. Otherwise, define $\text{ord}_p(r) = \text{ord}_p(x)$, which is independent of the choice of representative x .

- B2** Assume that px^{q-1} is in \mathcal{O}_K and define $r^q = x^q + \frac{p}{d}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, also independent of the choice of representative x . Thus, by definition $\overline{x}^q = \overline{x^q}$.
- B3** If dx^q and dy^q are in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, then \overline{x}^q and \overline{y}^q are well-defined in \mathbb{R}_d and we have $(\overline{x} + \overline{y})^q = \overline{x}^q + \overline{y}^q$ in \mathbb{R}_d .
- B4** If $\text{ord}_p(d) \leq \text{ord}_p(d')$, then the natural projection $\mathbb{R}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{d'}$ is well-defined.

APPENDIX C. SOME DATA FOR HEPTIC FAVORABLE CURVES

Recall Definition 6.19 and Proposition 6.20 about amiability. Consider the subsets of favorable heptic fields F_0 enjoying, respectively, the following properties.

- R*: the number of real places of F_0 satisfies $r_1 \leq 3$.
Z: the ray class field $\Omega_K = K$, where K is the corresponding pair-resolvent.
*f*₄: $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$, $\mathfrak{f}_p(\Omega_K/K) = 4$ and the Galois closure of Ω_K/\mathbb{Q} has group G_6 .
*f*₆: $[\Omega_K : K] = 2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_p(\Omega_K/K) = 6$.
A: F_0 is amiable, that is $A = Z \cup \mathfrak{f}_4 \cup \mathfrak{f}_6$.

The simplest favorable curves of prime conductor N have the shape

$$y^2 + y = g(x) = x^7 + a_0x^6 + a_1x^5 + a_2x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^2 + a_5x + a_6$$

with the discriminant of $f(x) = 1 + 4g(x)$ equal to $\pm 2^{12}N$. The favorable number field F_0 defined by a root of $f(x)$ has discriminant $\pm 2^6N$. A small search gave us 38759 favorable curves of prime conductors at most 10^{10} . Of those, 8171 have at most 3 real places and $N \leq 10^9$. We describe the distribution of the amiable curves among them in Table 3.

In Column j of Tables 3 and 5, we consider primes N between 10^8j and $10^8(j+1)$. In the respective rows, we count fields F_0 in the corresponding sets.

TABLE 3. Amiable favorable curves with $r_1 \leq 3$ and $N \leq 10^9$

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
R	2069	1041	815	763	706	622	574	538	534	509	8171
Z	35	8	13	8	10	13	10	9	9	11	126
\mathfrak{f}_4	163	53	41	40	31	27	42	21	22	27	467
\mathfrak{f}_6	256	103	73	62	67	59	50	39	54	42	805
A	454	164	127	110	108	99	102	69	85	80	1398

In addition to the table, we summarize what was found about the even sparser collection of 24132 favorable curves with $10^9 \leq N \leq 10^{10}$ and at most 3 real places. Of those, 472 satisfy Z, 1110 satisfy \mathfrak{f}_4 and 2177 satisfy \mathfrak{f}_6 for a total of 3759 amiable curves. The ten amiable curves of largest conductors found are given by $y^2 = f(x)$ with $f(x)$ in Table 4 and the last column indicates the aspect of amiability that applies.

Andrew Sutherland [Su] has a large database of hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. Of those, 660 are favorable, including 171 amiable curves. In fact, the favorable curves in that data base with the eight smallest conductors, namely

$$[10487, 13399, 18839, 36383, 37321, 38567, 42239, 42473]$$

are amiable.

With no claim of completeness, we gathered 54270 non-isomorphic favorable fields of prime conductor $N \leq 10^9$. Some were stem fields for the two-division fields

TABLE 4. Amiable curves with conductor near 10^{10}

$f(x)$	Conductor	
$4x^7 - 12x^6 - 4x^5 - 4x^4 - 12x^3 - 8x^2 + 1$	9936420433	\mathfrak{f}_6
$4x^7 - 8x^5 - 20x^4 + 16x^3 + 48x^2 + 28x + 5$	9942023503	\mathfrak{f}_4
$4x^7 + 8x^6 - 12x^5 - 28x^4 - 8x^3 + 28x^2 + 24x + 5$	9946958657	\mathfrak{f}_6
$4x^7 - 16x^6 + 28x^5 - 16x^4 - 12x^3 + 16x^2 + 4x - 3$	9950037247	Z
$4x^7 + 12x^5 - 4x^4 - 20x^3 + 20x^2 - 8x + 1$	9951742121	\mathfrak{f}_6
$4x^7 + 12x^6 + 28x^5 + 36x^4 + 32x^3 + 16x^2 - 4x + 1$	9959376079	\mathfrak{f}_4
$4x^7 + 4x^6 - 8x^5 + 4x^4 + 4x^3 - 24x^2 + 24x - 7$	9979868599	\mathfrak{f}_6
$4x^7 - 8x^6 + 20x^5 - 36x^4 - 16x^3 + 52x^2 + 4x - 15$	9984318889	\mathfrak{f}_4
$4x^7 + 8x^6 - 4x^5 - 16x^4 + 8x^3 - 4x^2 + 1$	9988954033	\mathfrak{f}_4
$4x^7 + 20x^5 + 12x^4 + 16x^3 + 32x^2 - 16x - 7$	9988955521	\mathfrak{f}_4

of favorable curves, others were favorable fields defined by polynomials of the shape

$$f = x^7 + 2(a_0x^6 + a_1x^5 + a_2x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^2 + a_5x + 2a_6 + 1),$$

with $a_i \leq 20$. We analyzed these heptic fields as to amiability in Table 5.

TABLE 5. Amiable favorable fields with $r_1 \leq 3$ and $N \leq 10^9$

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
R	13889	7265	5572	5343	4688	4319	3994	3812	3658	3328	55868
Z	1746	664	562	490	446	370	367	349	301	291	5586
\mathfrak{f}_4	1317	591	462	402	316	300	316	250	262	245	4461
\mathfrak{f}_6	3308	1467	1122	992	905	809	713	725	655	618	11314
A	6371	2722	2146	1884	1667	1479	1396	1324	1218	1154	21361

For comparison, we had found only 1302 out of the 2416 favorable fields with $N \leq 31250000$ and at most 3 real embeddings in the complete table of heptic fields with discriminant of absolute value at most $2 \cdot 10^9$ determined by Driver and Jones in [DJ]. Of the latter, 530 have property Z, 691 have property \mathfrak{f}_6 and 243 have property \mathfrak{f}_4 for a total of 1464 amiable fields.

REFERENCES

[Ab] V. A. Abrashkin, Galois modules of group schemes of period p over the ring of Witt vectors, Math. USSR-Izv. **31** (1988), no. 1, 1–46.

[BC] O. Brinon and B. Conrad, CMI Summer School Notes on p -adic Hodge Theory, available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/notes.pdf>.

[BK1] A. Brumer and K. Kramer, Non-existence of certain semistable abelian varieties, Manus. Math. **106** (2001), 291–304.

[BK2] A. Brumer and K. Kramer, Certain abelian varieties bad at only one prime. Algebra and Number Theory, **12(5)** (2018), 1027–1071.

[Co] B. Conrad, Finite Group Schemes over Bases with Low Ramification, Compos. Math. **119**, (1999), 239–320.

[De] L. Dembélé, Abelian varieties with everywhere good reduction over certain real quadratic fields with small discriminant, 2019 preprint.

[DJ] E. Driver and J. Jones, Computing septic number fields, JI. Number Theory **202** (2019) 426–429.

[DyD] F. Diaz y Diaz, Tables minorant la racine n -ième du discriminant d’un corps de degré n , Ph.D. Thesis, Publ. Math. Orsay, 1980.

[Fo] J.-M. Fontaine, Groupes p -divisibles sur les corps locaux, Astérisque, **47-48**, Soc. Math. France, 1977.

[Mag] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. J. Symb. Comp. **24** (1997), 235–265.

- [Od] A. Odlyzko, Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators and zeros of zeta functions: a survey of recent results, *J. de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, **2** (1990), 119–141.
- [Sc1] R. Schoof, Abelian varieties over cyclotomic fields with everywhere good reduction, *Math. Ann.* **325** (2003), 413–448.
- [Sc2] R. Schoof, Abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} with bad reduction in one prime only, *Compos. Math.* **141** (2005), 847–868.
- [Sc3] R. Schoof, Semistable abelian varieties with good reduction outside 15, *Manus. Math.* **139** (2012), 49–70.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields*, Lecture Notes in Math. **67**, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [Su] A. Sutherland, various databases, <https://math.mit.edu/~drew/>.
- [vdW] B. L. van der Waerden, Die Zerlegungs- und Trägheitsgruppe als Permutationsgruppen, *Math. Annalen* **111** (1935), 731–733.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY, BRONX, NY 10458
E-mail address: `brumer@fordham.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUEENS COLLEGE (CUNY), FLUSHING, NY 11367; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE GRADUATE CENTER OF CUNY, NEW YORK, NY 10016
E-mail address: `kkramer@qc.cuny.edu`