

# Thermal relaxation of magnons and phonons near resonance points in magnetic insulators

Q. XI<sup>1</sup>, B. LIU<sup>1</sup>, Z. SHI<sup>2 (a)</sup>, T. NAKAYAMA<sup>1,3</sup>, J. ZHOU<sup>1 (b)</sup> and B. LI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Center for Phononics and Thermal Energy Science, China-EU Joint Lab for Nanophononics, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China*

<sup>2</sup> *Shanghai Key Laboratory of Special Artificial Microstructure Materials and Technology, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China*

<sup>3</sup> *Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0826, Japan*

<sup>4</sup> *Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado at Boulder, CO 80309, USA*

PACS 63.20.kk – Phonon interactions with other quasiparticles

**Abstract** –We theoretically investigate the energy relaxation rate of magnons and phonons near the resonance points to clarify the underlying mechanism of heat transport in ferromagnetic materials. We find that the simple two-temperature model is valid for the one-phonon/one-magnon process, as the rate of energy exchange between magnons and phonons is proportional to the temperature difference between them, and it is independent of temperature in the high temperature limit. We found that the magnon-phonon relaxation time due to the one-phonon/one-magnon interaction could be reduced to 1.48  $\mu\text{s}$  at the resonance point by applying an external magnetic field. It means that the resonance effect plays a significant role in enhancing the total magnon-phonon energy exchange rate, apart from the higher order interaction processes.

The heat transport in insulators is generally dominated by phonons which are the quanta of lattice vibrations. In magnetic insulators, the spin waves (magnons) could also act as heat carriers [1, 2], as observed for yttrium iron garnet (YIG) [3],  $\text{Nd}_2\text{CuO}_4$  [4],  $\text{RbMnF}_3$  [5], and  $\text{MnF}_2$  [6]. The notable contribution of magnons to thermal conductivity has also been discovered in the spin ladder compound  $(\text{Sr,Ca,La})_{14}\text{Cu}_{24}\text{O}_{41}$  [1, 7, 8]. In addition, the characteristics of heat transport due to magnons have been employed to probe spin excitations in InGaAs quantum dot system [9]. In recent years, the heat current due to spin excitations has also stimulated the field of spin caloritronics [10], resulting in the recent discovery of spin Seebeck effect [11], and spin Peltier effect [12].

Intuitively, the total thermal conductivity ( $\kappa_T$ ) of magnetic insulators could be evaluated by a simple sum of the lattice thermal conductivity ( $\kappa_p$ ) and magnon thermal conductivity ( $\kappa_m$ ) contributions:  $\kappa_T = \kappa_p + \kappa_m$ . However, magnons will be scattered by phonons and vice versa, thus the interaction between phonons and magnons becomes relevant in determining the total thermal conductivity

of magnetic materials. An effective strategy to evaluate the heat transport through both phonons and magnons, together with their interaction, is the two-temperature model [13, 14]. It was first proposed by Sanders and Walton for the coupled magnon-phonon mode diffusion in the ferrimagnet YIG and the antiferromagnet  $\text{MnF}_2$  [6]. In their paper, phonons and magnons are assumed to be excited to their equilibrium states with different effective temperatures, with the local energy exchange rate between these two carriers proportional to their temperature difference. They found that thermal conductivity is not determined only by  $\kappa_m$  and  $\kappa_p$  but also by the magnon-phonon relaxation time  $\tau_{mp}$  [6]. Recently, Chen et al. has generalized a two-temperature model including the effect of the concurrent magnetization flow by assuming a constant magnon-phonon relaxation time [15]. However, there has been no theoretical work to check the validity of the introduction of  $\tau_{mp}$ . In addition, crucial problems such as the strength of the magnetoelastic coupling, the exact relaxation time [16, 17], and their magnetic field dependence have not been fully understood yet.

Taking YIG as a prototype for studying the magnon properties in ferromagnetism [18, 19]. Sato [20] suggested that the thermal conductivity due to magnon proportional

<sup>(a)</sup>E-mail: shizhong@tongji.edu.cn

<sup>(b)</sup>E-mail: zhoujunzhou@tongji.edu.cn

to  $T^2$  could be greater than that of phonons to  $T^3$  below 1K by assuming that the mean free paths of phonons and magnons are limited by the boundary condition and comparable in magnitude. Later on, Daugless [21] experimentally observed a large decrease of thermal conductivity in YIG when applying a magnetic field of 2T at 0.5 K. To explore the field and temperature dependence of thermal transport properties, many theoretical and experimental researches have been carried out. Those have revealed that the magnetoelastic coupling between phonons and magnons plays a significant role for governing the total thermal conductivity [14, 22, 23]. The strength of the coupling reaches a peak at the resonance condition, where the one-phonon/one-magnon process with the phonon and magnon of same frequency and wave vector becomes relevant [24, 25].

In this Letter, we focus our attention on the resonance behavior of the one-phonon/one-magnon interaction. We derive a simple formula for the rate of energy exchange between magnons and phonons in analogy with the two-temperature model for electron-phonon system [26]. We present the physical conditions to set up the two-temperature model based on the one-phonon/one-magnon interaction process. The field dependence of the temperature relaxation rate and the relaxation time corresponding to the one-phonon/one-magnon interaction is also obtained.

The magnetic Hamiltonian of YIG consists of dipolar, exchange interactions between spins, and the Zeeman splitting due to the external magnet field ( $H$ ) along  $z$  direction [27]:

$$H_{\text{mag}} = \frac{\mu_0 (g\mu_B)^2}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^2 SS_i \cdot SS_j - 3(\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot SS_i)(\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot SS_j)}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^5} - J \sum_{i \neq j} SS_i \cdot SS_j - g\mu_B H \sum_i S_i^z, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the vacuum permeability,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $g$  is the  $g$  factor,  $J$  is the exchange integral. The spin  $SS_i = SS(\mathbf{r}_i)$  locates on the site  $\mathbf{r}_i$  with  $S = |S_i| = a_0^3 M_s / g\mu_B$ , where  $a_0$  is the unit cell lattice constant,  $M_s$  is the saturation magnetization density, and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ . By employing the Holstein-Primakoff transformation, the quantized Hamiltonian for spin excitations could be expressed as [27]:

$$H_{\text{mag}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( B_{\mathbf{k}} a_{-\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + B_{\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right), \quad (2)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_{\mathbf{k}}}{\hbar} &= D\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} + \gamma\mu_0 H + \frac{\gamma\mu_0 M_s \sin^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}, \\ \frac{B_{\mathbf{k}}}{\hbar} &= \frac{\gamma\mu_0 M_s \sin^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} e^{-2i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger (a_{\mathbf{k}})$  is the magnon creation (annihilation) operators with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $D = 2SJ a_0^3$  the exchange

stiffness,  $\gamma = g\mu_B/\hbar$  the gyromagnetic ratio,  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \arccos(k_z/k)$  the polar angle between wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and the magnetic field along  $z$  direction, and  $\phi$  the azimuthal angle of  $\mathbf{k}$  in  $xy$  plane. In the long-wavelength limit, the form factor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}} \approx k^2$  [27]. Eq. (2) could be diagonalized by using the Bogoliubov transformation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} \\ a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{\mathbf{k}} & -v_{\mathbf{k}} \\ -v_{\mathbf{k}}^* & u_{\mathbf{k}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{A_{\mathbf{k}} + \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}}, v_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{A_{\mathbf{k}} - \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} e^{2i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (5)$$

The Hamiltonian is then simplified to

$$H_{\text{mag}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (6)$$

and the dispersion relation for bulk magnons in the long-wavelength limit is

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{Dk^2 + \gamma\mu_0 H} \sqrt{Dk^2 + \gamma\mu_0 (H + M_s \sin^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}})} \quad (7)$$

The Hamiltonian for one-phonon/one-magnon interaction process has also been derived by Flebus *et al.* [27]:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{int}} &= \hbar n B_{\perp} \left( \frac{\gamma \hbar^2}{4M_s \bar{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda} k \omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\phi} a_{\mathbf{k}} \left( c_{-\mathbf{k}\lambda} + c_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^\dagger \right) \\ &\times \left( -i\delta_{\lambda 1} \cos 2\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + i\delta_{\lambda 2} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta_{\lambda 3} \sin 2\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \right) + H.c., \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $n = 1/a_0^3$  is the number density of the magnetic particles in the system,  $B_{\perp}$  the magnetoelastic constants,  $\bar{\rho}$  the average mass density,  $c_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^\dagger (c_{\mathbf{k}\lambda})$  the phonon creation (annihilation) operators with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ .  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta, and  $\lambda = 1, 2$  labels the transverse acoustic (TA) phonon,  $\lambda = 3$  labels the longitudinal acoustic (LA) phonon. Under Debye approximation, the phonon dispersion relation is  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} = C_{\lambda} |\mathbf{k}|$ . Following the procedure of Bogoliubov transformation, Eq. (8) could be expressed in terms of the magnon quasiparticles operators  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger (\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{int}} &= \hbar n B_{\perp} \left( \frac{\gamma \hbar^2}{4M_s \bar{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda} k \omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\phi} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - v_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right) \\ &\times \left( c_{-\mathbf{k}\lambda} + c_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^\dagger \right) \left( -i\delta_{\lambda 1} \cos 2\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + i\delta_{\lambda 2} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta_{\lambda 3} \sin 2\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \\ &+ H.c. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The decay rate of the magnon and phonon distribution functions  $n_{\text{m}}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $n_{\text{p}}(\mathbf{k}\lambda)$  are:

*see eq. (10a) and eq. (10b)*

where  $|M_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 = \frac{\hbar^4 n^2 B_{\perp}^2 \gamma}{4M_s \bar{\rho}} k^2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{-1} \beta_{\lambda}$ ,  $\beta_1 = |u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \cos^2 2\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $\beta_2 = |u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \cos^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $\beta_3 = |u_{\mathbf{k}} -$

$$\frac{\partial n_m(\mathbf{k})}{\partial t} = -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\lambda} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p) \times \{n_m(\mathbf{k}) [1 + n_p(\mathbf{k}, \lambda)] - [1 + n_m(\mathbf{k})] n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)\} \quad (10a)$$

$$\frac{\partial n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)}{\partial t} = -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p) \times \{n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda) [1 + n_m(\mathbf{k})] - [1 + n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)] n_m(\mathbf{k})\} \quad (10b)$$

$v_k |\sin^2 2\theta_k$ . In this model, we assumed that other collision processes such as phonon-phonon interaction and magnon-magnon interaction are strong enough to keep the local equilibrium, then the distribution functions  $n_m(\mathbf{k})$  and  $n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)$  can be replaced by the equilibrium ones  $\{\exp[\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})/k_B T_m] - 1\}^{-1}$  and  $\{\exp[\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k}\lambda)/k_B T_p] - 1\}^{-1}$  where magnon and phonon temperatures are noted as  $T_m$  and  $T_p$ , respectively.

The energy of magnons and phonons are  $E_m = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}} n_m(\mathbf{k})$  and  $E_p = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)$ , thus the changing rate of energy becomes:

see eq. (12)

A Taylor expansion of  $n_m(\omega) - n_p(\omega)$  in terms of  $T_m - T_p$  is

see eq. (14)

where  $z = \hbar\omega/k_B T$  and  $T = (T_m + T_p)/2$ . Since the first term in the right hand side of Eq. (14) is much larger than other higher order terms, only the linear term is enough in the evaluation. Therefore, the energy changing rate is linearly dependent on the temperature difference, and the temperature changing rate becomes:

$$\frac{\partial T_m}{\partial t} = g_{mp}(T) (T_p - T_m), \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{\partial T_p}{\partial t} = g_{pm}(T) (T_m - T_p), \quad (16b)$$

with

$$g_{mp}(T) = \frac{\frac{2\pi k_B}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p) \frac{z^2 e^z}{(e^z - 1)^2}}{C_m(T)}, \quad (17a)$$

$$g_{pm}(T) = \frac{\frac{2\pi k_B}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p) \frac{z^2 e^z}{(e^z - 1)^2}}{C_p(T)}. \quad (17b)$$

At the same time, the temperature difference between  $T_m$  and  $T_p$  will decay exponentially, that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta T = -\frac{\Delta T}{\tau_{mp}(T)}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\Delta T = T_m - T_p$  and  $\tau_{mp}(T) = [g_{mp}(T) + g_{pm}(T)]^{-1}$ .

Table 1: Parameters of magnetoelastic coupling in YIG [27]

	Symbol	Value	Unit
Lattice constant	$a_0$	12.376	Å
Average mass density	$\bar{\rho}$	$5.17 \times 10^3$	Kg m <sup>-3</sup>
Gyromagnetic constant	$\gamma$	$2\pi \times 28$	GHz T <sup>-1</sup>
Saturation magnetization	$\mu_0 M_s$	0.2439	T
Exchange stiffness	$D$	$7.7 \times 10^{-6}$	m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
Magnetoelastic coupling	$B_{\perp}$	$2\pi \times 1988$	GHz
TA phonon velocity	$c_{1,2}$	$3.9 \times 10^3$	m s <sup>-1</sup>
LA phonon velocity	$c_3$	$7.2 \times 10^3$	m s <sup>-1</sup>

We present a numerical calculation of the relaxation rate  $\tau_{mp}^{-1}$  due to magnetoelastic coupling in YIG. The parameters used in our calculation are listed in Table 1. Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependence of relaxation rate in absence of magnetic field.  $\tau_{mp}^{-1}$  decreases rapidly with the increasing of temperature and saturates above 100K, which is mainly attributed to the temperature dependence of specific heat. In the high-temperature limit ( $\hbar\omega \ll k_B T$ ),  $z^2 e^z / (e^z - 1)^2 \rightarrow 1$ ,  $C_m \rightarrow Nk_B$  and  $C_p \rightarrow 3Nk_B$ , Eq. (17) could be reduced to:

$$g_{mp}(T) = \frac{2\pi}{N\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p), \quad (19a)$$

$$g_{pm}(T) = \frac{2\pi}{3N\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p), \quad (19b)$$

and it is obtained that  $\tau_{mp} \rightarrow 0.26 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$  at high temperature limit.

In the presence of magnetic field, the relaxation rate at high temperature limit are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the strength of magnetic field. Magnetic field could effectively shift the dispersion relation to higher energy. Thus the intersects of magnon and phonon dispersions which satisfy the energy conservation varies with the magnetic field. As a result, for individual phonon modes, the relaxation rate is decreased with the increasing of magnetic field, until reaching the critical magnetic field  $\mu_0 H = \frac{(\gamma D \mu_0 M_s \sin^2 \theta - c_{\lambda}^2)^2}{4\gamma D c_{\lambda}^2}$ . A sharp increasing of relaxation rate is attributed to the tangency of phonon dispersion and magnon dispersion, which maximize the interaction phase space as shown in Fig. 3, where the phase space is defined as  $P_{mp} = \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \delta(\omega_m(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda))$ . It

$$\frac{\partial E_m}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial E_p}{\partial t} = -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \hbar\omega_m(\mathbf{k}) |M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\lambda}|^2 \delta(\omega_m - \omega_p) [n_m(\mathbf{k}) - n_p(\mathbf{k}\lambda)] \quad (12)$$

$$n_m(\omega) - n_p(\omega) = \frac{z^2 e^z}{(e^z - 1)^2} \frac{k_B}{\hbar\omega} (T_m - T_p) + \frac{1}{3!} \left[ \frac{3e^z z^4}{2(e^z - 1)^2} - \frac{3e^{2z} z^5}{(e^z - 1)^3} + \frac{3e^z z^5}{2(e^z - 1)^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3e^{3z} z^6}{2(e^z - 1)^4} - \frac{3e^{2z} z^6}{2(e^z - 1)^3} + \frac{e^z z^6}{4(e^z - 1)^2} \right] \left( \frac{k_B}{\hbar\omega} \right)^3 (T_m - T_p)^3 + \dots, \quad (14)$$




Fig. 1: (Color online) The magnon-phonon relaxation rate as a function of temperature when  $\mu_0 H = 0$  T.

is also noteworthy that one-magnon one-phonon interaction is forbidden for TA phonon and LA phonon when  $\mu_0 H > 2.807$  T and  $\mu_0 H > 9.567$  T, respectively.

In summary, we have theoretically studied the one-phonon one-magnon interaction in ferrimagnet YIG. It has been obtained that one-phonon one-magnon interaction is dominant at low temperature below  $\sim 50$  K or at the resonant condition. It has also been verified that the two-temperature model is valid for one-phonon one-magnon interaction. The magnon-phonon relaxation is found to be tunable by the external magnetic field. A maximum relaxation rate is obtained when the tangency of phonon dispersion and magnon dispersion.

\*\*\*

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFB0406004) and National Nat-




Fig. 2: (Color online) The magnon-phonon relaxation rate as a function of external magnetic field at high temperature limit. The inset shows the dispersion relation of acoustic phonons and magnon as an illustration, where  $\theta_k = \pi/2$ .

ural Science Foundation of China (No. 11890703).

## REFERENCES

- [1] HESS C., BÜCHNER B., AMMERAHL U., COLONESCU L., HEIDRICH-MEISNER F., BRENIW W. and REVCOLEVSKI A., *Physical review letters*, **90** (2003) 197002.
- [2] JIN R., ONOSE Y., TOKURA Y., MANDRUS D., DAI P. and SALES B., *Physical review letters*, **91** (2003) 146601.
- [3] BOONA S. R. and HEREMANS J. P., *Phys.rev.b*, **90** (2014) 064421.
- [4] LI S. Y., TAILLEFER L., WANG C. H. and CHEN X. H., *Physical Review Letters*, **95** (2005) 156603.
- [5] GUSTAFSON J. and WALKER C. T., *Physical Review B*, **8** (1973) 3309.
- [6] SANDERS D. J., *Physical Review B*, **15** (1977) 1489.
- [7] HOHENSEE G. T., WILSON R. B., FESER J. P. and CAHILL D. G., *Phys.rev.b*, **89** (2014) 207.




Fig. 3: (Color online) The phase space as a function of external magnetic field. The inset shows the dispersion relation of acoustic phonons and magnon as an illustration, where  $\theta_k = \pi/2$ .

- [8] SOLOGUBENKO A., GIANNO K., OTT H., AMMER AHL U. and REVCOLEVSCHI A., *Physical review letters*, **84** (2000) 2714.
- [9] LORENZ T., HOFMANN M., GRÜNINGER M., FREIMUTH A., UHRIG G., DUMM M. and DRESSEL M., *Nature*, **418** (2002) 614.
- [10] G. E. W. BAUER E. S. and VAN WEES B. J., *Nature Materials*, **11** (2012) 391.
- [11] XIAO J., BAUER G. E., UCHIDA K.-C., SAITOH E., MAEKAWA S. *et al.*, *Physical Review B*, **81** (2010) 214418.
- [12] FLIPSE J., BAKKER F., SLACHTER A., DEJENE F. and VAN WEES B., *Nature nanotechnology*, **7** (2012) 166.
- [13] AGRAWAL M., VASYUCHKA V., SERGA A., KARENOWSKA A., MELKOV G. and HILLEBRANDS B., *Physical review letters*, **111** (2013) 107204.
- [14] AN K., OLSSON K. S., WEATHERS A., SULLIVAN S., CHEN X., LI X., MARSHALL L. G., MA X., KLIMOVICH N., ZHOU J. *et al.*, *Physical review letters*, **117** (2016) 107202.
- [15] LIAO B., ZHOU J. and CHEN G., *Physical review letters*, **113** (2014) 025902.
- [16] ROSCHEWSKY N., SCHREIER M., KAMRA A., SCHADE F., GANZHORN K., MEYER S., HUEBL H., GEPRÄGS S., GROSS R. and GOENNENWEIN S. T., *Applied Physics Letters*, **104** (2014) 202410.
- [17] SCHREIER M., KAMRA A., WEILER M., XIAO J., BAUER G. E., GROSS R. and GOENNENWEIN S. T., *Physical Review B*, **88** (2013) 094410.
- [18] PRINCEP A. J., EWINGS R. A., WARD S., TÓTH S., DUBS C., PRABHAKARAN D. and BOOTHROYD A. T., *npj Quantum Materials*, **2** (2017) 63.
- [19] MAN H., SHI Z., XU G., XU Y., CHEN X., SULLIVAN S., ZHOU J., XIA K., SHI J. and DAI P., *Physical Review B*, **96** (2017) 100406.
- [20] SATO H., *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **13** (1955) 119.
- [21] DOUGLASS R., *Physical Review*, **129** (1963) 1132.
- [22] BHANDARI C. and VERMA G., *Physical Review*, **152** (1966) 731.
- [23] WALTON D., RIVES J. and KHALID Q., *Physical Review B*, **8** (1973) 1210.
- [24] KITTEL C., *Physical Review*, **110** (1958) 836.
- [25] WEBER R., *Physical Review*, **169** (1968) 451.
- [26] ALLEN P. B., *Physical review letters*, **59** (1987) 1460.
- [27] FLEBUS B., SHEN K., KIKKAWA T., UCHIDA K.-I., QIU Z., SAITOH E., DUINE R. A. and BAUER G. E., *Physical Review B*, **95** (2017) 144420.