

# A POSITIVE PROPORTION OF LOCALLY SOLUBLE QUARTIC THUE EQUATIONS ARE GLOBALLY INSOLUBLE

SHABNAM AKHTARI

ABSTRACT. For any fixed nonzero integer  $h$ , we show that a positive proportion of integral binary quartic forms  $F$  do locally everywhere represent  $h$ , but do not globally represent  $h$ . We order classes of integral binary quartic forms by the two generators of their ring of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariants, classically denoted by  $I$  and  $J$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  be nonzero. We will prove the existence of many integral quartic forms that do not represent  $h$ . Specifically, we aim to show many quartic *Thue equations*

$$(1) \quad F(x, y) = h$$

have no solutions in integers  $x$  and  $y$ , where  $F(x, y)$  is an irreducible binary quartic form with coefficients in the integers.

Let

$$F(x, y) = a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_3xy^3 + a_4y^4 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y].$$

The discriminant  $D$  of  $F(x, y)$  is given by

$$D = D_F = a_0^6(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)^2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4)^2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)^2,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_4$  are the roots of

$$F(x, 1) = a_0x^4 + a_1x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4.$$

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, with  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define the integral binary quartic form  $F^A(x, y)$  by

$$F^A(x, y) := F(ax + by, cx + dy).$$

It follows that

$$(2) \quad D_{F^A} = (\det A)^{12} D_F.$$

If  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then we say that  $\pm F^A$  is *equivalent* to  $F$ .

The  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariants of a generic binary quartic form, which will be called *invariants*, form a ring that is generated by two invariants. These

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D25, 11D45.

*Key words and phrases.* Binary quartic forms, and their invariants, Thue equations, Hasse principle.

two invariants are denoted by  $I$  and  $J$  and are algebraically independent. For  $F(x, y) = a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_3xy^3 + a_4y^4$ , these invariants are defined as follows:

$$(3) \quad I = I_F = a_2^2 - 3a_1a_3 + 12a_0a_4$$

and

$$(4) \quad J = J_F = 2a_2^3 - 9a_1a_2a_3 + 27a_1^2a_4 - 72a_0a_2a_4 + 27a_0a_3^2.$$

Every invariant is a polynomial in  $I$  and  $J$ . Indeed, the discriminant  $D$ , which is an invariant, satisfies

$$27D = 4I^3 - J^2.$$

Following [4], we define the height  $\mathcal{H}(F)$  of an integral binary quartic form  $F(x, y)$  as follows,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{H}(F) := \mathcal{H}(I, J) := \max \left\{ |I^3|, \frac{J^2}{4} \right\},$$

where  $I = I_F$  and  $J = J_F$ .

We note that if  $F(x, y) = h$  has no solution, and  $G$  is a *proper subform* of  $F$ , i.e.,

$$(6) \quad G(x, y) = F(ax + by, cx + dy)$$

for some integer matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $|\det A| > 1$ , then clearly  $G(x, y) = h$  will also have no integer solutions. We will call a binary form *maximal* if it is not a proper subform of another binary form.

Our goal in this paper is to show that many (indeed, a positive proportion) of integral binary quartic forms are not proper subforms, locally represent  $h$  at every place, but globally do not represent  $h$ . The following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $h$  be any nonzero integer. When maximal integral binary quartic forms  $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  are ordered by their height  $\mathcal{H}(I, J)$ , a positive proportion of the  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -classes of these forms  $F$  have the following properties:*

- (i) *they locally everywhere represent  $h$  (i.e.,  $F(x, y) = h$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  for all  $p$ ); and*
- (ii) *they globally do not represent  $h$  (i.e.,  $F(x, y) = h$  has no solution in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ).*

In other words, we show that a positive proportion of quartic Thue equations  $F(x, y) = h$  fail the integral Hasse principle, when classes of integral binary quartic forms  $F$  are ordered by the height  $\mathcal{H}(I, J)$  defined in (5). We will construct a family of quartic forms that do not represent a given integer  $h$  and obtain a lower bound  $\mu > 0$  for the density of such forms. The value for  $\mu$  is expressed explicitly in (39). Moreover, our method yields an explicit construction of this positive density of forms. It is conjectured that, for any  $n \geq 3$ , a density of 100% of integral binary forms of degree  $n$  that locally

represent a fixed integer  $h$  do not globally represent  $h$ . The positive lower bound  $\mu$  in (39) is much smaller than the conjectured density 1.

In joint work with Manjul Bhargava [2], we proved a result similar to Theorem 1.1. In [2] we consider integral binary forms of any given degree ordered by naïve height (the maximum of absolute values of their coefficients). Theorem 1.1 is new, as we use a different ordering of integral binary quartic forms, which is more interesting for at least two reasons; here integral binary quartic forms are ordered by two quantities  $I$  and  $J$ , as opposed to five coefficients, and  $I$  and  $J$ , unlike the coefficients, are  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant. In [2], for any fixed integer  $h$ , we showed that a positive proportion of binary forms of degree  $n \geq 3$  do not represent  $h$ , when binary  $n$ -ic forms are ordered by their naive heights. Moreover, for  $n = 3$ , we established the same conclusion when cubic forms are ordered by their absolute discriminants. The Davenport-Heilbronn Theorem, which states that the number of equivalence classes of irreducible binary cubic forms per discriminant is a constant on average, was an essential part of our argument in [2] for cubic forms. More importantly we made crucial use of the asymptotic counts given by the Davenport-Heilbronn Theorem for the number of equivalent integral cubic forms with bounded absolute discriminant (see the original work in [7], and [2] for application and further references). Such results are not available for binary forms of degree larger than 3. For quartic forms, fortunately we are empowered by beautiful results due to Bhargava and Shankar that give asymptotic formulas for the number of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of irreducible integral binary quartic forms having bounded invariants. These results will be discussed in Section 3.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some upper bounds for the number of primitive solutions of quartic Thue equations. Section 3 contains important results, all cited from [4], about the height  $\mathcal{H}(I, J)$ . In Sections 4 and 5 we impose conditions on the splitting behavior of the forms used in our construction modulo different primes to make sure we produce a large enough number of forms (which in fact form a subset of integral quartic forms with positive density) that do not represent  $h$ , without any local obstruction. In Section 6, we summarize the assumptions made in Sections 4 and 5, and apply essential results cited in Sections 2 and 3 to conclude that the quartic forms that we construct form a subset of integral binary quartic forms with positive density.

## 2. PRIMITIVE SOLUTIONS OF THUE EQUATIONS

Let  $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A pair  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is called a *primitive solution* to the Thue equation  $F(x, y) = m$  if  $F(x_0, y_0) = m$  and  $\gcd(x_0, y_0) = 1$ . We will use the following result from [1] to obtain upper bounds for the number of primitive solutions of Thue equations.

**Proposition 2.1** ([1], Theorem 1.1). *Let  $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  be an irreducible binary form of degree 4 and discriminant  $D$ . Let  $m$  be an integer with*

$$0 < m \leq \frac{|D|^{\frac{1}{6}-\epsilon}}{(3.5)^2 4^{\frac{2}{3}}},$$

where  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{6}$ . Then the equation  $|F(x, y)| = m$  has at most

$$36 + \frac{4}{3\epsilon}$$

primitive solutions. In addition to the above assumptions, if we assume that the polynomial  $F(X, 1)$  has  $2\mathbf{i}$  non-real roots, with  $\mathbf{i} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , then the number of primitive solutions does not exceed

$$36 - 16\mathbf{i} + \frac{4 - \mathbf{i}}{3\epsilon}.$$

If the integral binary forms  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are equivalent, as defined in the introduction, then there exists  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$F_2(x, y) = F_1^A(x, y) \text{ or } F_2(x, y) = -F_1^A(x, y).$$

Therefore,  $D_{F_1} = D_{F_2}$ , and for every fixed integer  $h$ , the number of primitive solutions to  $F_1(x, y) = \pm h$  equals the number of primitive solutions to  $F_2(x, y) = \pm h$ .

The invariants  $I_F$  and  $J_F$  of an integral quartic form  $F$  that are defined in (3) and (4) have weights 4 and 6, respectively. This means

$$(7) \quad I_{F^A} = (\det A)^4 I_F,$$

and

$$(8) \quad J_{F^A} = (\det A)^6 J_F.$$

Consequently, by definition of the height  $\mathcal{H}$  in (5), we have

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{H}(F^A) = (\det A)^{12} \mathcal{H}(F),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(-F^A) = (\det A)^{12} \mathcal{H}(F).$$

### 3. ON THE BHARGAVA–SHANKAR HEIGHT $\mathcal{H}(I, J)$

In [4] Bhargava and Shankar introduce the height  $\mathcal{H}(F)$  (see (5) for definition) for any integral binary quartic form  $F$ . In this section we present some of the asymptotical results in [4], which will be used in our proofs. Indeed these asymptotic formulations are the reason that we are able to order quartic forms with respect to their  $I$  and  $J$  invariants.

One may ask which integer pairs  $(I, J)$  can actually occur as the invariants of an integral binary quartic form. The following result of Bhargava and Shankar provides a complete answer to this question.

**Theorem 3.1** ([4], Theorem 1.7). *A pair  $(I, J) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  occurs as the invariants of an integral binary quartic form if and only if it satisfies one of the following congruence conditions:*

- (a)  $I \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $J \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$ ,
- (b)  $I \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  and  $J \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{27}$ ,
- (c)  $I \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  and  $J \equiv \pm 16 \pmod{27}$ ,
- (d)  $I \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  and  $J \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{27}$ .

Let  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  denote the vector space of binary quartic forms over the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  naturally acts on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  preserves the lattice  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  consisting of the integral elements of  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The elements of  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  are the forms that we are interested in. Let  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}$  denote the set of elements in  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  having nonzero discriminant and  $i$  pairs of complex conjugate roots and  $4 - 2i$  real roots.

For any  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant set  $S \subseteq V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , let  $N(S; X)$  denote the number of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of irreducible elements  $f \in S$  satisfying  $\mathcal{H}(f) < X$ .

For any set  $S$  in  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  that is definable by congruence conditions, following [4], we denote by  $\mu_p(S)$  the  $p$ -adic density of the  $p$ -adic closure of  $S$  in  $V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , where we normalize the additive measure  $\mu_p$  on  $V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  so that  $\mu_p(V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) = 1$ . The following is a combination of Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 2.21 of [4].

**Theorem 3.2** (Bhargava–Shankar). *Suppose  $S$  is a subset of  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  defined by congruence conditions modulo finitely many prime powers, or even a suitable infinite set of prime powers. Then we have*

$$(10) \quad N(S \cap V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}; X) \sim N(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}; X) \prod_p \mu_p(S).$$

The statement of Theorem 3.2 for finite number of congruence conditions follows directly from Theorem 2.11 of [4]. In Subsection 2.7 of [4], some congruence conditions are specified that are suitable for inclusion of infinitely many primes in the statement of Theorem 3.2 (see Theorem 2.21 of [4]).

A function  $\phi : V_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be *defined by congruence conditions* if, for all primes  $p$ , there exist functions  $\phi_p : V_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) for all  $F \in V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the product  $\prod_p \phi_p(F)$  converges to  $\phi(F)$ ,
- (2) for each prime  $p$ , the function  $\phi_p$  is locally constant outside some closed set  $S_p \subset V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  of measure zero. Such a function  $\phi$  is called *acceptable* if, for sufficiently large primes  $p$ , we have  $\phi_p(F) = 1$  whenever  $p^2 \nmid D_F$ .

For our purpose, particularly in order to impose congruence conditions modulo the infinitely many primes that are discussed in Subsection 5.2, we define the acceptable function  $\phi : V_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  to be the characteristic function of a certain subset of integral binary quartic forms. More specifically, for  $p < 49$ , we define  $\phi_p$  to be the constant function 1. For  $p > 49$ , we define  $\phi_p : V_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  to be the characteristic function of the set of integral

binary quartic forms that are not factored as  $c_p M_p(x, y)^2$  modulo  $p$ , with  $c_p \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $M_p(x, y)$  any quadratic form over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \phi(F) = \prod_p \phi_p(F)$$

is the characteristic function of the set of integral binary quartic forms that are not factored as  $c_p M_p(x, y)^2$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for any  $p > 49$ . We denote by  $\lambda(p)$  the  $p$ -adic density  $\int_{F \in V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \phi_p(F) dF$ . The value of  $\lambda(p)$  will be computed in (36). It turns out that in Theorem 1.1, the positive proportion of integral binary quartic forms that do not represent  $h$  is bounded below by

$$\mu = \kappa(h) \prod_p \lambda(p),$$

where  $p$  ranges over all primes and  $\kappa(h)$  is a constant that only depends on  $h$  and can be explicitly determined from (39) in Section 6.

Later in our proofs, in order to construct many inequivalent quartic forms, it will be important to work with quartic forms that have no non-trivial stabilizer in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We note that the stabilizer in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of an element in  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  always contains the identity matrix and its negative, and has size at least 2. We will appeal to another important result due to Bhargava and Shankar, which bounds the number of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of integral binary quartic forms having large stabilizers inside  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 3.3** ([4], Lemma 2.4). *The number of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of integral binary quartic forms  $F \in V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $D_F \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}(F) < X$  whose stabilizer in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  has size greater than 2 is  $O(X^{3/4+\epsilon})$ .*

#### 4. QUARTIC FORMS SPLITTING MODULO A PRIME

**Definition.** We define the subset  $V'_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of integral binary quartic forms  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  to be those forms  $F$  that have trivial stabilizer (of size 2).

By Proposition 3.3,  $V'_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a dense subset of equivalence classes of quartic forms and selecting our forms from  $V'_{\mathbb{Z}}$  will not alter the  $p$ -adic densities that we will present later. From now on we will work only with classes of forms in  $V'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Definition.** Assume that  $F(x, y)$  is an irreducible quartic form. We say that  $F(x, y)$  *splits completely* modulo a prime number  $p$ , if either

$$(12) \quad F(x, y) \equiv m_0(x - b_1y)(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p},$$

or

$$(13) \quad F(x, y) \equiv m_0y(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p},$$

where  $m_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4$  are distinct integers modulo  $p$ , and further

$$(14) \quad b_2, b_3, b_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In case (12), we call  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ , and  $b_4$  the *simple roots* of the binary form  $F(x, y)$  modulo  $p$ . In case (13), we call  $\infty, b_2, b_3$ , and  $b_4$  the *simple roots* of the binary form  $F(x, y)$  modulo  $p$ .

Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime. The  $p$ -adic density of binary quartic forms that split completely modulo  $p$  is given by

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_p &= \frac{(p-1) \left( \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)}{4!} + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)}{3!} \right)}{p^5} \\ &= \frac{(p-1)^2(p+4)(p-2)(p-3)}{4!p^5}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first identity in (15), the summand  $\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)}{4!}$  in the numerator counts the corresponding forms in (12) and the summand  $\frac{(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)}{3!}$  counts the corresponding forms in (13). Clearly the factor  $p-1$  in the numerator counts the number of possibilities for  $m_0$  modulo  $p$  and the denominator  $p^5$  counts all quartic forms with all choices for their five coefficients modulo  $p$ .

Now assume  $F(x, y)$  is an irreducible integral quartic form that splits completely modulo  $p$ . For  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , we define

$$(16) \quad F_{b_j}(x, y) := F(px + b_j y, y),$$

and additionally in case (13),

$$(17) \quad F_\infty(x, y) := F(py, x).$$

We claim that the four forms  $F_{b_1}(x, y)$  (or  $F_\infty(x, y)$ ),  $F_{b_2}(x, y)$ ,  $F_{b_3}(x, y)$ , and  $F_{b_4}(x, y)$  are pairwise inequivalent. Indeed, any transformation  $B \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  taking, say  $F_{b_i}(x, y)$  to  $F_{b_j}(x, y)$  must be of the form  $B = \begin{pmatrix} p & b_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} A \begin{pmatrix} p & b_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  stabilizes  $F(x, y)$ . Since we assumed  $F \in V'_\mathbb{Z}$ , the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  must be the identity matrix or its negative, and so  $B = \pm \begin{pmatrix} p & b_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p & b_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . But  $B \notin \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , as  $p \nmid (b_i - b_j)$ . Therefore, for  $i \neq j$ , the quartic forms  $F_{b_i}(x, y)$  and  $F_{b_j}(x, y)$  are not  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

Similarly in case (13), any transformation  $B \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  taking  $F_\infty(x, y)$  to  $F_{b_j}(x, y)$  must be of the form  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} A \begin{pmatrix} p & b_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  stabilizes  $F(x, y)$ . This change-of-variable matrix does not belong to  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , unless  $b_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $F_\infty(x, y)$ ,  $F_{b_2}(x, y)$ ,  $F_{b_3}(x, y)$ , and  $F_{b_4}(x, y)$  are pairwise inequivalent, as long as none of  $b_2, b_3$  and  $b_4$  are a multiple of  $p$  (this motivated the extra assumption (14) in our definition). Starting with a form  $F$  that belongs to  $V'_\mathbb{Z}$  and splits completely modulo  $p$ , we can construct 4 integral quartic forms that are pairwise inequivalent.

Let  $F(x, y) = a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_3xy^3 + a_4y^4 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , with content 1 (i.e., the integers  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  have no common prime divisor). If  $F(x, y)$  satisfies (13) then

$$(18) \quad \tilde{F}_\infty(x, y) := \frac{F_\infty(x, y)}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y],$$

where  $F_\infty(x, y)$  is defined in (17). Suppose that

$$(19) \quad F(b, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ with } b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By (16),

$$F_b(x, y) = F(px + by, y) = e_0x^4 + e_1x^3y + e_2x^2y^2 + e_3xy^3 + e_4y^4,$$

with

$$(20) \quad e_{4-j} = p^j \sum_{i=0}^{4-j} a_i b^{4-i-j} \binom{4-i}{j},$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ . If  $j \geq 1$ , clearly  $e_{4-j}$  is divisible by  $p$ . Since  $e_4 = F(b, 1)$ , by (19),  $e_4$  is also divisible by  $p$ . Therefore,

$$(21) \quad \tilde{F}_b(x, y) := \frac{F_b(x, y)}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y].$$

Since  $e_3 = pf'(b)$ , where  $f'(X)$  denotes the derivative of polynomial  $f(X) = F(X, 1)$ , if  $b$  is a simple root modulo  $p$  then  $f'(b) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and

$$(22) \quad \tilde{F}_b(x, y) = y^3 L(x, y) \pmod{p},$$

where  $L(x, y) = l_1x + l_2y$  is a linear form modulo  $p$ , with  $l_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

We also note that  $\mathcal{H}(F_b)$ , defined in (5), as well as the invariants of the form  $F_b$ , can be expressed in terms of invariants of the form  $F$ , as  $F_b$  is obtained under the action of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix of determinant  $\pm p$  on  $F$ . By (7), (8), and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{F_b} &= p^{12} D_F, \\ I_{F_b} &= p^4 I_F, \\ J_{F_b} &= p^6 J_F, \\ \mathcal{H}(F_b) &= \mathcal{H}(I_{F_b}, J_{F_b}) = p^{12} \mathcal{H}(F). \end{aligned}$$

After multiplication of the form  $F_b(x, y)$  by  $p^{-1}$ , we therefore have

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\tilde{F}_b} &= p^6 D_F \\ I_{\tilde{F}_b} &= p^2 I_F, \\ J_{\tilde{F}_b} &= p^3 J_F, \\ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{F}_b) &= \mathcal{H}(I_{\tilde{F}_b}, J_{\tilde{F}_b}) = p^6 \mathcal{H}(F). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the quartic Thue equation

$$F(x, y) = m,$$

where  $m = p_1 p_2 p_3 h$ , and  $p_1, p_2$ , and  $p_3$  are three distinct primes greater than 4, and  $\gcd(h, p_k) = 1$ , for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We will further assume that the quartic form  $F(x, y)$  splits completely modulo  $p_1, p_2$ , and  $p_3$ . In Lemma 4.3, we will construct 64 integral binary quartic forms  $G_j(x, y)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq 4^3$ , and will make a one-to-one correspondence between the set of primitive

solutions of  $F(x, y) = m$  and the union of the sets of primitive solutions of  $G_j(x, y) = h$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq 4^3$ . First we need two auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  be a binary quartic form that splits completely modulo  $p$  and  $m = pm_1$ , with  $p \nmid m_1$ . The primitive solutions of the Thue equation  $F(x, y) = m$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the union of the sets of primitive solutions to four Thue equations*

$$\tilde{F}_i(x, y) = m_1,$$

where  $\tilde{F}_i(x, y)$  are defined in (18) and (21), and  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is a solution to  $F(x, y) = m = pm_1$ . If

$$F(x, y) \equiv m_0(x - b_1y)(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p},$$

then since  $p \mid F(x_0, y_0)$ , we have

$$p \mid (x_0 - b_i y_0)$$

for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . The value of  $i$  is uniquely determined by the solution  $(x_0, y_0)$ , as  $b_j$ 's are distinct modulo  $p$ . Therefore,

$$(24) \quad x_0 = p_1 X_0 + b_i y_0,$$

for some  $X_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(X_0, y_0)$  is a solution to

$$(25) \quad \tilde{F}_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{p} F(px + b_i y, y) = m_1 = \frac{m}{p}.$$

Conversely, assume for a fixed  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  that  $(X_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is a solution to

$$\tilde{F}_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{p} F(px + b_i y, y) = m_1 = \frac{m}{p}.$$

First we observe that  $p \nmid y_0$ . Because otherwise  $p$  divides  $pX_0 + b_i y_0$  and  $p^4 \mid \frac{m}{p}$ , which is a contradiction. Now by construction of the form  $\tilde{F}_i(x, y)$ , we clearly have  $(x_0, y_0)$ , with

$$x_0 = pX_0 + b_i y_0,$$

satisfies the equation  $F(x, y) = m$ . Further, if  $(X_0, y_0)$  is a primitive solution of  $\tilde{F}_i(x, y) = \frac{m}{p}$ , since  $p \nmid y_0$ , we have  $\gcd(x_0, y_0) = 1$ .

Assume that

$$F(x, y) \equiv m_0 y(x - b_2 y)(x - b_3 y)(x - b_4 y) \pmod{p}.$$

The pair  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $p \nmid y_0$  is a primitive solution of

$$F(x, y) = pm_1,$$

if and only if  $p \mid (x_0 - b_2 y_0)(x_0 - b_3 y_0)(x_0 - b_4 y_0)$ . In this case, for a unique  $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , we have (24), and  $(X_0, y_0)$  is a primitive solution to the Thue equation (25). Similarly, the pair  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $p \mid y_1$  is a primitive solution of

$$F(x, y) = pm_1,$$

if and only if  $(Y_1, x_1)$ , with  $Y_1 = \frac{y_1}{p}$ , is a primitive solution to

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(x, y) = \frac{m}{p}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $F(x, y)$  splits completely modulo  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , then  $\tilde{F}_b(x, y)$  will also split completely modulo  $p_2$ , for any simple root  $b$  (possibly  $\infty$ ) of  $F(x, y)$  modulo  $p_1$ .*

*Proof.* If

$$F(x, y) \equiv m_0(x - b_1y)(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p_1}$$

and

$$(26) \quad F(x, y) \equiv m'_0(x - c_1y)(x - c_2y)(x - c_3y)(x - c_4y) \pmod{p_2},$$

then for any  $b \in \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ , we have

$$\tilde{F}_b(x, y) \equiv m''_0(x - c'_1y)(x - c'_2y)(x - c'_3y)(x - c'_4y) \pmod{p_2},$$

where

$$c'_j = p_1c_j + b.$$

The integers  $c'_1, c'_2, c'_3, c'_4$  are indeed distinct modulo  $p_2$ , as  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  are so and  $p_1$  is invertible modulo  $p_2$ . We conclude that the quartic form  $\tilde{F}_b(x, y)$  splits completely modulo  $p_2$ , as well.

If

$$F(x, y) \equiv m_0y(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p_1}$$

and (26) holds, then

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(x, y) \equiv m''_0(x - c'_1y)(x - c'_2y)(x - c'_3y)(x - c'_4y) \pmod{p_2},$$

with  $c'_i = c_i^{-1}$  modulo  $p_2$ , where 0 and  $\infty$  are considered to be the inverse of each other modulo  $p_2$ . Namely, if  $c_1 = 0$  modulo  $p_2$ , we get

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(x, y) \equiv m''_0y(x - c'_2y)(x - c'_3y)(x - c'_4y) \pmod{p_2}.$$

If

$$F(x, y) \equiv m_0y(x - b_2y)(x - b_3y)(x - b_4y) \pmod{p_1}$$

and

$$F(x, y) \equiv m'_0y(x - c_2y)(x - c_3y)(x - c_4y) \pmod{p_2},$$

then

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(x, y) \equiv m''_0x(x - c'_2y)(x - c'_3y)(x - c'_4y) \pmod{p_2},$$

with  $c'_i = c_i^{-1}$  modulo  $p_2$ . Therefore, if  $F(x, y)$  splits completely modulo  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , the  $\tilde{F}_b(x, y)$  will also split completely modulo  $p_2$ , for any simple root  $b$  of  $F(x, y)$  modulo  $p_1$ . □

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $h$  be an integer, and  $p_1, p_2,$  and  $p_3$  be three distinct primes greater than 4 that do not divide  $h$ . Let  $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  be a binary quartic form that splits completely modulo primes  $p_1, p_2,$  and  $p_3$ . Then there are 64 binary quartic forms  $G_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq 64$ , such that every primitive solution  $(x_l, y_l)$  of the equation  $F(x, y) = h p_1 p_2 p_3$  corresponds uniquely to a triple  $(j, x_{l,j}, y_{l,j})$ , with*

$$j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 64\}, \quad x_{l,j}, y_{l,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gcd(x_{l,j}, y_{l,j}) = 1,$$

and

$$G_j(x_{l,j}, y_{l,j}) = h.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{H}(G_j) = (p_1 p_2 p_3)^6 \mathcal{H}(F),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, 64$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m = p_1 p_2 p_3 h$ . By Lemma 4.1, we may reduce the Thue equation  $F(x, y) = m$  modulo  $p_1$  to obtain 4 quartic Thue equations

$$(27) \quad \tilde{F}_i(x, y) = \frac{m}{p_1},$$

with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that every primitive solution of  $F(x, y) = h p_1 p_2 p_3 = m$  corresponds uniquely to a primitive solution of exactly one of the equations in (27).

By Lemma 4.2, every binary quartic form  $\tilde{F}_i(x, y)$  in (27) splits completely modulo  $p_2$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 modulo  $p_2$  to each equation in (27), we construct 4 binary quartic forms. Therefore, we obtain  $4^2$  Thue equations

$$(28) \quad \tilde{F}_{i,k}(x, y) = \frac{m}{p_1 p_2},$$

with  $i, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that every primitive solution  $F(x, y) = h p_1 p_2 p_3 = m$  corresponds uniquely to a primitive solution of exactly one of the equations in (28). By (23),

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{H}(F_{i,k}) = (p_1 p_2)^6 \mathcal{H}(F).$$

By Lemma 4.2, each form  $\tilde{F}_{i,k}(x, y)$  splits modulo  $p_3$ . We may apply Lemma 4.1 once again to each equation in (28). This way we obtain  $4^3$  equations

$$(30) \quad G_j(x, y) = \frac{m}{p_1 p_2 p_3} = h.$$

The construction of these equations ensures a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive solutions of the equation  $F(x, y) = m$  and the union of the sets of the primitive solutions of Thue equations in (30).

By (23) and (29),

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{H}(G_j) = (p_1 p_2 p_3)^6 \mathcal{H}(F),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, 64$ . □

We note that if  $F(x, y)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , its associated forms  $G_j(x, y)$ , which are constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.3, will also be irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as all of the matrix actions are rational. Furthermore, the forms  $G_j(x, y)$  are not constructed as proper subforms of the binary quartic form  $F(x, y)$ . Indeed, they are maximal over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  (being equivalent, up to a unit constant, to  $F(x, y)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in that case), while for  $p \in \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ , we have  $p \nmid D_F$ , implying  $p^6 \parallel D_{G_j}$ , and so  $G_j(x, y)$  cannot be a subform over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of any form by equation (2) (see the definition of a subform in (6)).

We remark that the reduction of Thue equations  $F(x, y) = m$  modulo prime divisors of  $m$  is a classical approach, and some sophisticated applications of it to bound the number of solutions of Thue equations can be found in [6, 10].

## 5. AVOIDING LOCAL OBSTRUCTIONS

In the previous section, we constructed  $4^3$  binary quartic forms  $G_j(x, y)$  and established Lemma 4.3, which corresponds each primitive solution of  $F(x, y) = hp_1p_2p_3$  to a primitive solution of one of the equations  $G_j(x, y) = h$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq 4^3$ . Using Proposition 2.1, we will obtain a small upper bound for the number of integral solutions to the equation  $F(x, y) = m = p_1p_2p_3h$ , which will lead us to conclude that some of the newly constructed Thue equations  $G_j(x, y) = h$  cannot have any solutions.

In this section we will work with a proper subset of the set of all quartic forms to construct forms such that the associated Thue equations have no local obstructions to solubility. We will impose some extra congruence conditions in our choice of forms  $F(x, y)$ , resulting in construction of  $4^3$  forms  $G_i(x, y)$  that locally represent  $h$ . For each prime  $p$ , we will make some congruence assumptions modulo  $p$  and present  $p$ -adic densities for the subset of quartic forms that satisfy our assumptions to demonstrate that we will be left with a subset of  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  with positive density.

Before we divide up our discussion modulo different primes, we note that by (6), if a form is non-maximal, then either it is not primitive, or after an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -transformation it is of the form  $a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_3xy^3 + a_4y^4$ , where  $p^i \mid a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , for some prime  $p$ . In particular, integral binary quartic forms that are non-maximal must factor modulo some prime  $p$  as a constant times the fourth power of a linear form. It turns out that all integral binary quartic forms that are discussed in this section are indeed maximal.

**5.1. Quartic Forms Modulo 2.** To ensure that a quartic Thue equation  $F(x, y) = h$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , it is sufficient to assume that

$$F(x, y) \equiv L_1(x, y)L_2(x, y)^3 \pmod{2^4},$$

where  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linearly independent linear forms modulo 2. The system of two linear equations

$$L_1(x, y) \equiv h \pmod{2^4}$$

$$L_2(x, y) \equiv 1 \pmod{2^4},$$

has a solution and therefore, by Hensel's Lemma,  $F(x, y) = h$  is soluble in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

The 2-adic density of quartic forms  $F(x, y)$  such that  $F(x, y) \equiv L_1(x, y)L_2(x, y)^3$  modulo  $2^4$  is

$$(32) \quad \frac{6}{2^5} = \frac{3}{16},$$

where the linear forms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  can be chosen from the three linear forms  $x$ ,  $y$ , or  $x + y$ .

It is indeed necessary to consider integral quartic forms modulo 16, as a 2-adic unit  $u$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}_2^4$  if and only if  $u \equiv 1$  modulo  $16\mathbb{Z}_2$ . More specifically, assume that  $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -point on the projective curve  $C : hz^4 = F(x, y)$  and  $u = z_0^4$ , with  $z_0$  a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore,  $z_0 = 1 + 2t$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and

$$z_0^4 = (1 + 2t)^4 \equiv 1 + 8(t(3t + 1)) \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.$$

**5.2. Quartic Forms Modulo Large Primes.** Let us consider the curve  $C : hz^4 = F(x, y)$  of genus  $g = 3$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order  $q$ . By the Leep-Yeomans generalization of Hasse-Weil bound in [9], the number of points  $N$  on the curve  $C$  satisfies the inequality

$$(33) \quad |N - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

Let  $p$  be a prime  $p > (2g + 1)^2 = 49$ ,  $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ ,  $p \nmid h$ . Since  $p + 1 \geq 2g\sqrt{p} + 1$ , the lower bound in (33) is nontrivial, implying that there must be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational point on the curve  $hz^4 = F(x, y)$ .

If there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(34) \quad F(x, y) \equiv (x - ay)A(x, y) \pmod{p},$$

with  $A(x, y)$  an integral cubic binary form for which

$$(35) \quad A(a, 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

then by Hensel's lemma, the smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point  $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0) = (a : 1 : 0)$  will lift to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -point on the curve  $hz^4 = F(x, y)$ . Similarly, if

$$F(x, y) \equiv yA(x, y) \pmod{p},$$

with  $A(x, y)$  an integral cubic binary form for which

$$A(1, 0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

the smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point  $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0) = (1 : 0 : 0)$  will lift to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -point on the curve  $hz^4 = F(x, y)$ .

A quartic form over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  that has a triple root must have a simple root, as well. So we will assume that  $F(x, y)$  does not factor as  $cM(x, y)^2$  modulo  $p$  for any quadratic binary form  $M(x, y)$  and constant  $c$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By definition, these forms are maximal over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . It follows from this assumption on  $F(x, y)$  that the curves  $hz^4 = F(x, y)$  are irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and there is at least one smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational point on  $hz^4 = F(x, y)$ , which lifts to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -point.

We conclude that the integral quartic forms  $G_j(x, y)$ , constructed as described in Section 4 from such a form  $F(x, y)$ , all represent  $h$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for primes  $p > (2g + 1)^2$  as well.

The  $p$ -adic density of binary quartic forms that are primitive and not constant multiples of the second powers of quadratic binary forms modulo  $p$  is

$$(36) \quad 1 - \frac{(p-1)(p+1)p}{2p^5} - \frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p^5},$$

where the summand  $-\frac{(p-1)(p+1)p}{2p^5}$  eliminates forms of the shape  $cM^2(x, y) = c(x-b_1y)^2(x-b_2y)^2$  or  $cM^2(x, y) = c(x-b_1y)^2y^2 \pmod{p}$ , and the summand  $-\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p^5}$  eliminates forms of the shape  $cL(x, y)^4 \pmod{p}$ , with  $L(x, y)$  a linear form modulo  $p$ .

**5.3. Quartic Forms Modulo Special Odd Primes.** For  $p \mid h$  we will assume that

$$F(x, y) \equiv L_1(x, y)L_3(x, y)^3 \pmod{p},$$

where  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are two linearly independent linear forms modulo  $p$ . To find  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -points on the curve  $C : hz^4 = F(x, y)$ , we consider the equation  $F(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linearly independent modulo  $p$ , the system of linear equations

$$L_1(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$L_2(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

has exactly one solution. Since  $L_1(x, y) = 0$  has at least three points over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , the equation  $F(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has at least two solutions over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  that provide smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points on the curve  $C : hz^4 = F(x, y)$  (i.e., all points other than that intersection point of the two lines defined by  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$ ). By Hensel's Lemma, these smooth points will lift to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -points. Thus the equations  $F(x, y) = h$  and  $G_j(x, y) = h$  will be locally soluble modulo  $p$ .

Similarly, for every odd prime  $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ , with  $p < 49$  and  $p \nmid h$  (these are the primes not considered in Subsection 5.2), we will assume that

$$F(x, y) \equiv L_1(x, y)L_2(x, y)^3 \pmod{p},$$

where  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linear forms that are linearly independent modulo  $p$ . This condition implies that  $F(x, y) \equiv h \pmod{p}$  has solutions in integers, for  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linearly independent and therefore we can find  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the following system of linear equations:

$$L_1(x_0, y_0) \equiv h \pmod{p}$$

and

$$L_2(x_0, y_0) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

The smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  lifts to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -point on the curve  $C : hz^4 = F(x, y)$ .

The  $p$ -adic density of primitive binary quartic forms of the shape

$$(37) \quad L_1(x, y)L_2(x, y)^3 \pmod{p}$$

where  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linearly independent linear forms modulo  $p$  and is

$$(38) \quad \frac{(p+1)p(p-1)}{p^5}.$$

The above density is calculated by considering the unique factorization of the form  $F$  modulo  $p$  as

$$m_0(x - b_1y)(x - b_2y)^3,$$

with  $m_0$  non-zero, and  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  distinct roots (possibly  $\infty$ ) modulo  $p$ . Such forms are maximal over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$

## 6. COMPLETING THE PROOF

For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $p_i$  be the  $i$ -th prime greater than 4 such that  $p_i \nmid h$  and set

$$m = h p_1 p_2 p_3,$$

and

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}.$$

For example, if  $h = 1$ , we will choose  $p_1 = 5$ ,  $p_2 = 7$ , and  $p_3 = 11$ . Let  $F(x, y)$  be a maximal primitive irreducible integral binary quartic form which has a trivial stabilizer in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , with

$$|D_F| > (3.5)^{24} 4^8 \left( \prod_{i=1}^3 p_i \right)^{12}.$$

We note that the above assumption on the size of the discriminant of quartic forms exclude only finitely many  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of quartic forms (see [5, 8]).

In order to ensure that  $h$  is represented by  $F$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we assume that the leading coefficient of  $F$  is positive if  $h$  is positive and negative otherwise. Assume further that  $F(x, y)$  splits completely modulo the primes  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ .

Assume that for every prime  $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \mathcal{P}$ , with  $p < 49$ , we have

$$F(x, y) \equiv L_1(x, y)L_2(x, y)^3 \pmod{p},$$

where  $L_1(x, y)$  and  $L_2(x, y)$  are linear forms that are linearly independent modulo  $p$ .

Finally, assume, for each prime  $p > 49$ , that  $F(x, y)$  does not factor as  $cM(x, y)^2$  modulo  $p$  for any quadratic binary form  $M(x, y)$  and constant  $c$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

By Proposition 2.1, and taking  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{12}$ , there are at most

$$36 - 16i + \frac{4 - i}{\frac{1}{4}} = 52 - 20i$$

primitive solutions to the equation

$$F(x, y) = m = h p_1 p_2 p_3,$$

where  $2i$  is the number of non-real roots of the polynomial  $F(X, 1)$ .

By Lemma 4.3, each primitive solution  $(x_0, y_0)$  of  $F(x, y) = m$  corresponds uniquely to a solution of  $G_i(x, y) = h$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 4^3$  is also uniquely determined by  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Since

$$4^3 - 52 + 20i = 12 + 20i \geq 12$$

we conclude that at least 12 of the 64 equations  $G_i(x, y) = h$  have no solutions in integers  $x, y$ .

By (31), and Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following lower bound  $\mu$  for the density of integral quartic forms that represent  $h$  locally, but not globally,

$$(39) \quad \mu = \frac{12}{(p_1 p_2 p_3)^5} \delta_2 \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(p) \prod_{p \geq 49, p \notin \mathcal{P}, p \nmid h} \lambda(p) \prod_{p \mid h \text{ or } p < 49} \gamma_p,$$

where, via (15), (32), (36), (38),

$$\delta_2 = \frac{3}{16},$$

$$\sigma(p) = \frac{(p-1)^2(p+4)(p-2)(p-3)}{4! p^5},$$

$$(40) \quad \lambda(p) = 1 - \frac{(p-1)(p+1)p}{2p^5} - \frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p^5},$$

and

$$\gamma(p) = \frac{(p+1)p(p-1)}{p^5}.$$

In (39) all products are over rational primes. For all but finitely many primes  $p$ , the density  $\lambda(p)$  in (40) contributes to the product in (39). Since

$$\prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{(p-1)(p+1)^2}{2p^5} \right)$$

is a convergent Euler product, the lower bound  $\mu$  is a real number satisfying  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I am grateful to the anonymous referee for their careful reading of an earlier version of this manuscript and insightful comments. I would like to thank Arul Shankar for very helpful conversation and answering my questions, especially regarding the height  $\mathcal{H}(I, J)$ , which is the key tool in the present paper. I would also like to thank Mike Bennett and Manjul Bhargava for their insights and suggestions. This project was initiated during my visit to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, in Bonn, in the academic year 2018-2019. I acknowledge the support from the MPIM. In different stages of this project, my research has been partly supported by the National Science Foundation award DMS-2001281 and by the Simons Foundation Collaboration Grants, Award Number 635880.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. AKHTARI, *Representation of small integers by binary forms*, Q. J. Math **66** (4) (2015), 1009–1054.
- [2] S. AKHTARI, M. BHARGAVA, *A positive proportion of Thue equations fail the integral Hasse principle*, American J. of Math. **141**, No. 2 (2019), 283–307.
- [3] M. BHARGAVA AND B. GROSS, *The average size of the 2-Selmer group of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves having a rational Weierstrass point*, Automorphic representations and L-functions, 23-91, Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math., **22**, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai (2013).
- [4] M. BHARGAVA AND A. SHANKAR, *Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 1, 191–242.
- [5] B. J. BIRCH AND J. R. MERRIMAN, *Finiteness theorems for binary forms with given discriminant*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1972), 385–394.
- [6] E. BOMBIERI AND W. M. SCHMIDT, *On Thue’s equation*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 69–81.
- [7] H. DAVENPORT AND H. HEILBRONN, *On the density of discriminants of cubic fields II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **322** (1971), no. 1551, 405–420.
- [8] J. H. EVERTSE AND K. GYÖRY, *Effective finiteness results for binary forms with given discriminant*, Compositio Math, **79** (1991), 169–204.
- [9] D. B. LEEP AND C. C. YEOMANS, *The number of points on a singular curve over a finite field*, Arch. Math. **63** (1994), 420–426.
- [10] C. L. STEWART, *On the number of solutions of polynomial congruences and Thue equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 793–838.

FENTON HALL, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403-1222 USA  
 Email address: akhtari@uoregon.edu