

EQUATIONAL THEORIES OF ENDOMORPHISM MONOIDS OF CATEGORIES WITH A TOPOLOGICAL FLAVOR

K. AUINGER AND M. V. VOLKOV

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the endomorphism monoids of the category $2\mathcal{Cob}$ of all 2-cobordisms do not have finitely axiomatizable equational theories. The same holds for the topological annular category and various quotients of the latter, like the affine Temperley–Lieb category. Analogous results are obtained for versions with the algebraic signature enriched by involutions. Von-Neumann-regular extensions of these categories are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental and widely studied questions of general (universal) algebra is whether the equational theory $\text{Eq}\mathcal{A}$ of an algebraic structure \mathcal{A} is finitely axiomatizable. Let Σ be a set of identities holding in \mathcal{A} such that every identity from $\text{Eq}\mathcal{A}$ is a consequence of Σ ; such Σ is called an (*equational*) *basis* of \mathcal{A} . So, the question just formulated (usually referred to as the *finite basis problem*) asks if there is a finite basis for the identities of \mathcal{A} . If this is indeed the case, then \mathcal{A} is said to be *finitely based*, while otherwise it is *non-finitely based*. Being very natural by itself, the finite basis problem has also revealed a number of interesting and unexpected relations to many issues of theoretical and practical importance ranging from feasible algorithms for membership in certain classes of formal languages (see [1]) to classical number-theoretic conjectures such as the Twin Prime, Goldbach, existence of odd perfect numbers and the infinitude of even perfect numbers (see [39] where it is shown that each of these conjectures is equivalent to the finite axiomatizability of the equational theory of a particular algebraic structure with one binary operation).

While that question for the class of all finite semigroups has been intensively studied for decades (see the survey article by the second author [41]) it has been observed only recently [6] that there exist infinite semigroups *appearing in nature* which are non-finitely based. Indeed, in that paper it has been shown that the Kauffman monoids \mathfrak{K}_n for $n \geq 3$ and several other, similarly defined diagram monoids do not admit finite bases for their identities. We shall continue this line of research. The examples we present in this paper are endomorphism monoids of categories with a topological

flavor, or, more precisely which appear in mathematical physics and representation theory (cobordism category, topological annular category and affine Temperley–Lieb category).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect all necessary prerequisites concerning equational theories of algebraic structures. This includes a major result from [6] which formulates a sufficient criterion for a variety of [involutory] semigroups to be non-finitely based. In Sections 3 and 4 we discuss the category of 2-cobordisms and various versions of the annular category and present them in a way suitable for our purpose. We also introduce and discuss von-Neumann-regular extensions of these categories. The latter is not of immediate use for the finite basis problem but the authors believe that these regular extensions are of independent interest in that they contribute to the understanding of the algebraic structure of the original categories (for example, the starred Green relations \mathcal{R}^* and \mathcal{L}^* seem to become more transparent). Finally, in Section 5 we show that the endomorphism monoids of all aforementioned categories do not have finite equational bases (with a few exceptions of small degree in which cases the monoids are finitely based). In addition, it will be shown that analogous results are true if the algebraic signature is extended by adding certain naturally defined involutions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We collect all necessary prerequisites from universal algebra. First we recall the required essentials of equational logic, afterwards a result from [6] which will be an essential ingredient of the proofs in Section 5.

A short remark on notation and terminology seems to be appropriate. All categories studied in this paper are *small* and are treated like algebraic structures (rather than as a tool for classifying mathematical objects). *Morphisms* are usually structure preserving mappings between (carriers of) structures (including categories!). In contrast, morphisms between objects of a category are referred to as *arrows*. Morphisms as well as arrows are composed from left to right; an argument of a morphism is usually written on the left. Arguments of other types of functions (for example labeling functions) are usually written on the right.

2.1. Equational logic and varieties of universal algebras. The concepts of identity and identity basis are intuitively clear. Nevertheless, any precise reasoning about these concepts requires a formal framework, especially when one aims at ‘negative’ results as we do in this paper. Such a framework, provided by equational logic, is concisely presented, e.g., in [9, Chapter II]. For the reader’s convenience, we briefly overview the basic vocabulary of equational logic in a form adapted to the use in this paper.

A non-empty set A endowed with operations $f_1: \underbrace{A \times \cdots \times A}_{n_1} \rightarrow A$,
 $f_2: \underbrace{A \times \cdots \times A}_{n_2} \rightarrow A, \dots$ is called an *algebraic structure of type* (n_1, n_2, \dots)

with carrier A or simply an *algebra* of type (n_1, n_2, \dots) . In the present paper we shall be concerned only with algebras of types (2) and $(2, 1)$ and the binary operation is always assumed to satisfy the associative law. That is, the algebraic structures in this paper will be either *semigroups* $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, \cdot \rangle$ or *unary semigroups* $\mathcal{T} = \langle T, \cdot, * \rangle$ where a unary semigroup is simply a semigroup endowed with an additional unary operation $*$. Most semigroups considered will actually admit a neutral element, so we mostly refer to them as *monoids*. We shall, however, usually not assume that the corresponding nullary operation be contained in the algebraic signature of the respective structure (with the exception of the unary monoid \mathcal{C} introduced in Subsection 4.5).

Let us fix a countably infinite set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ of *letters* or *variables* and let X^+ be the *free semigroup* on X , that is, the set of all non empty (finite) words formed by the letters of X , endowed with the binary operation of concatenation of words. Let further $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, \cdot \rangle$ be a semigroup; a mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a *substitution*, and each such substitution admits a unique extension to a morphism $X^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, denoted also by $\varphi: X^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. A *semigroup identity*, or simply an *identity* is a pair $(u, v) \in X^+ \times X^+$, written as $u \simeq v$. We say that a semigroup \mathcal{S} *satisfies* the identity, or the identity $u \simeq v$ *holds* in \mathcal{S} if $u\varphi = v\varphi$ for every substitution $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The identity $u \simeq v$ is *non-trivial* if u and v are distinct elements of X^+ .

A unary operation $*$ on a semigroup \mathcal{S} is an *involution* if, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^* \text{ and } (a^*)^* = a. \quad (2.1)$$

A unary semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, \cdot, * \rangle$ is an *involutionary semigroup* or a *semigroup with involution* if its unary operation $*$ is an involution. In the context of involutionary semigroups it is necessary to replace the free semigroup X^+ by the *free involutionary semigroup* $\mathcal{J}(X)$ which can be realized as follows. Let $X^* := \{x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots\}$ be a disjoint copy of X , with $x \mapsto x^*$ being a bijection $X \rightarrow X^*$, form $X \cup X^*$, extend the bijection $x \mapsto x^*$ to a bijection $X \cup X^* \rightarrow X \cup X^*$ by letting $(x^*)^* := x$ for every $x \in X$, form the free semigroup $(X \cup X^*)^+$ on $X \cup X^*$, and let $\mathcal{J}(X) := \langle (X \cup X^*)^+, \cdot, * \rangle$ where \cdot is concatenation of words and $*$ is the operation $y_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto y_n^* \cdots y_1^*$ with $y_i \in X \cup X^*$. Then $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is the *free involutionary semigroup* on X , every mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ where \mathcal{S} is any involutionary semigroup can be uniquely extended to a morphism of involutionary semigroups $\mathcal{J}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Again, the concept of identity (of involutionary semigroups) and of satisfaction of an identity by an involutionary semigroup are defined accordingly.

The following concepts and results are entirely parallel for semigroups and involutionary semigroups. Hence we shall formulate them only for the

‘plain’ semigroup case. Given a semigroup \mathcal{S} , we denote by $\text{Eq}\mathcal{S}$ the set of all semigroup identities $u \simeq v$ satisfied by \mathcal{S} (with $u, v \in X^+$).

Given any collection Σ of semigroup identities, we say that an identity $u \simeq v$ is a consequence of Σ or that Σ implies $u \simeq v$ if every semigroup satisfying all identities of Σ satisfies the identity $u \simeq v$, as well. Birkhoff’s completeness theorem of equational logic (see [9, Theorem 14.17]) shows that this notion (which we have given a semantic definition) can be captured by a very transparent set of inference rules. These rules in fact formalize the most natural things one does with identities: substitution of a term for a variable, application of operations to identities (such as, say, multiplying both sides of an identity on the right by the same term) and using symmetry and transitivity of equality. We need not go into more detail here because the completeness theorem is not utilized in this paper.

Given a semigroup \mathcal{S} , an *identity basis* (or *equational basis*) for \mathcal{S} is any set $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Eq}\mathcal{S}$ such that every identity of $\text{Eq}\mathcal{S}$ is a consequence Σ . A semigroup \mathcal{S} is said to be *finitely based* if it possesses a finite identity basis; otherwise \mathcal{S} is called *non-finitely based*.

The class $[\Sigma]$ of all semigroups satisfying all identities from a given set Σ of semigroup identities is called the *variety defined by Σ* . A variety \mathbf{V} is said to be *finitely based* if $\mathbf{V} = [\Sigma]$ for some finite set Σ of identities; otherwise it is called *non-finitely based*.

It is easy to see that the satisfaction of an identity is inherited by forming direct products, taking subsemigroups and morphic images so that each variety is closed under these operators. In fact, varieties can be characterized by this closure property (the HSP-theorem, see [9, Theorem 11.9]). Given a semigroup \mathcal{S} , then the variety defined by $\text{Eq}\mathcal{S}$ is the *variety generated by \mathcal{S}* (it is the smallest variety of semigroups which contains \mathcal{S}); we denote this variety by $\text{var}\mathcal{S}$. Observe that a semigroup and the variety it generates are simultaneously finitely or non-finitely based. From the HSP-theorem it follows that every member of $\text{var}\mathcal{S}$ is a morphic image of a subsemigroup of a direct product of several copies of \mathcal{S} . For a class \mathbf{C} of semigroups, $\text{var}\mathbf{C}$ is the smallest variety of semigroups containing \mathbf{C} , and, again it is the class obtained from \mathbf{C} by closing under forming morphic images of subsemigroups of direct products of semigroups in \mathbf{C} .

Recall that a semigroup \mathcal{S} is *locally finite* if every finitely generated subsemigroup of \mathcal{S} is finite, while a semigroup is *periodic* if the latter holds for every one-generator subsemigroup. Hence every locally finite semigroup is periodic but the converse is not true in general [40]. A variety \mathbf{V} is said to be *locally finite* if every member of \mathbf{V} is locally finite, and likewise, a variety is *periodic* if every member is periodic. Since the variety $\text{var}\mathcal{S}$ generated by a finite semigroup \mathcal{S} is comprised of all semigroups which are morphic images of subsemigroups of direct powers of \mathcal{S} , it follows that $\text{var}\mathcal{S}$ is locally finite.

A sufficient condition for a non-periodic variety \mathbf{V} of semigroups to contain only locally finite periodic members has been found by Sapir [40]. In order to

formulate it, we define the sequence of *Zimin words* inductively by $Z_1 := x_1$ and $Z_{n+1} := Z_n x_{n+1} Z_n$.

Theorem 2.1. [40, Lemma 3.3] *If a non-periodic variety \mathbf{V} of semigroups satisfies some non-trivial identity of the form $Z_n \simeq T$ then all periodic members of \mathbf{V} are locally finite.*

2.2. Results from [6]. Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be two subclasses of a fixed class \mathbf{C} of algebras. The *Mal'cev product* $\mathbf{A} \circledast \mathbf{B}$ of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} (within \mathbf{C}) is the class of all algebras $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}$ for which there exists a congruence θ such that the quotient algebra \mathcal{C}/θ lies in \mathbf{B} while all θ -classes that are subalgebras in \mathcal{C} belong to \mathbf{A} . Note that for a congruence θ on a semigroup \mathcal{S} , a congruence class $s\theta$ forms a subsemigroup of \mathcal{S} if and only if the element $s\theta$ is an idempotent of the quotient \mathcal{S}/θ .

For two semigroup varieties \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{W} , their Mal'cev product $\mathbf{V} \circledast \mathbf{W}$ within the class of all semigroups may fail to be a variety, but it is always closed under forming subsemigroups and direct products, see [32, Theorems 1 and 2]. Therefore the variety $\text{var}(\mathbf{V} \circledast \mathbf{W})$ generated by $\mathbf{V} \circledast \mathbf{W}$ is comprised of all morphic images of the members of $\mathbf{V} \circledast \mathbf{W}$.

We are now ready to formulate a key result which is a combination of Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5 in [6] with Theorem 2.1. Recall that a semigroup variety \mathbf{V} is non-periodic if and only if it contains the infinite cyclic semigroup if and only if it contains the variety \mathbf{Com} of all commutative semigroups if and only if every identity $u \simeq v$ satisfied by \mathbf{V} is *balanced*, which means that every letter occurs the same number of times in u and in v .

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathbf{V} be a semigroup variety which does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$. If $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \text{var}(\mathbf{U} \circledast \mathbf{W})$ for some locally finite variety \mathbf{W} and a variety \mathbf{U} which satisfies some non-trivial balanced identity $Z_n \simeq T$ then \mathbf{V} is non-finitely based.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5 in [6] in order that \mathbf{V} be non-finitely based we require that \mathbf{U} is such that all periodic members are locally finite; by Theorem 2.1, the latter is guaranteed if it satisfies a non-trivial balanced identity of the form $Z_n \simeq T$. \square

There is also an involutory version of this theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of involutory semigroups which does not satisfy any non-trivial (involutory semigroup) identity $Z_k \simeq W$. If the class of all semigroup reducts of \mathbf{V} is contained in $\text{var}(\mathbf{U} \circledast \mathbf{W})$ for some locally finite variety of semigroups \mathbf{W} and a semigroup variety \mathbf{U} which satisfies some non-trivial balanced identity $Z_n \simeq T$ then \mathbf{V} is non-finitely based as a variety of involutory semigroups.*

In Section 5 we shall be concerned with endomorphism monoids \mathcal{M} of certain categories. These will be usually non-periodic, that is, they admit elements of infinite order. We intend to prove that they do not admit finite

equational bases. The strategy to do so will be to consider suitable epimorphisms $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ onto finite quotients \mathcal{F} and study the subsemigroups $e\Phi^{-1}$ of \mathcal{M} which are inverse images of idempotents e of \mathcal{F} under Φ . We shall identify some non-trivial identities satisfied by all such semigroups $e\Phi^{-1}$ and thereby shall see that all such satisfy some non-trivial balanced identity of the form $Z_n \simeq T$. From this it follows that all semigroups $e\Phi^{-1}$ belong to the variety $\mathbf{U} = [Z_n \simeq T]$. This means that \mathcal{M} belongs to $\mathbf{U} \textcircled{m} \mathbf{W}$ for $\mathbf{W} := \text{var } \mathcal{F}$ which clearly is locally finite. Altogether $\text{var } \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{var}(\mathbf{U} \textcircled{m} \mathbf{W})$. If, in addition, \mathcal{M} itself and therefore also $\text{var } \mathcal{M}$ does not satisfy any non-trivial identity of the form $Z_k \simeq W$ then, according to Theorem 2.2, $\text{var } \mathcal{M}$ and hence also \mathcal{M} itself is non-finitely based.

3. PARTITION CATEGORIES

In this section we shall recall the central notions concerning the partition and 2-cobordism categories and present them in a notation which will be convenient for our later purpose. For various aspects of partition algebras and partition monoids the reader is referred to [15, 16, 33, 23].

3.1. Undeformed and deformed partition categories. Throughout, we let \mathbb{N}_0 be the set of all non-negative integers. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; in particular, $[0] = \emptyset$. We shall be widely concerned with *disjoint unions* $X \sqcup Y$ and $X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n$ of not necessarily disjoint sets X, Y and X_1, \dots, X_n . In order to avoid too cumbersome notation this will be understood as follows. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be sets; then

$$U = X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n$$

is the union of pairwise disjoint copies of the sets X_i such that, in addition, the blocks X_i of U are linearly ordered as $X_1 > X_2 > \dots > X_n$. (This could be made precise in terms of relational structures or by means of category theory, however we would prefer to avoid technical details.) This implies that for $X \neq Y$, $X \sqcup Y$ is *not the same* as $Y \sqcup X$ (in contrast to $X \cup Y = Y \cup X$). The block X_i then is the *i*th *component* of U . There is a unique first (leftmost) component of U which will be denoted λU and likewise a unique last (rightmost) component, to be denoted $U\rho$. Note that some of the sets X_i may be equal. In particular, in case $X = Y$ then in $X \sqcup X$ we have a first component X and a second component X . There is a standard way to make the sets X_i pairwise disjoint, namely by replacing each element $x \in X_i$ by the ordered pair (x, i) . However, we would like to leave the method of distinguishing equal elements of distinct blocks X_i unspecified. In particular, also for $n > 2$ we would like to consider $\lambda U \sqcup U\rho = X_1 \sqcup X_n$ as a subset of $U = X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$; an (m, n) -*partition* α , or a *partition* $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ is a set partition of $[m] \sqcup [n]$. Throughout, any set partition is identified with its corresponding equivalence relation whenever this seems to be convenient. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathfrak{P}(m, n)$ be the set of all partitions $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$. In this

context, the elements of $[m]$ (first component of $[m] \sqcup [n]$) will usually be referred to as *incoming vertices*, while those of $[n]$ as *outgoing vertices* of α . More generally, we sometimes will refer to the elements of a disjoint union $[n_0] \sqcup \dots \sqcup [n_k]$ as its *vertices*.

We shall define a partial composition of set partitions of this form. Let $k \geq 1$, $n_0, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{P}(n_{i-1}, n_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Let

$$U = U(n_0, \dots, n_k) = [n_0] \sqcup [n_1] \sqcup \dots \sqcup [n_k].$$

Every α_i is a symmetric and transitive relation on U ; the union $\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k$ is a reflexive and symmetric relation on U ; the transitive closure

$$(\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k)^t$$


is an equivalence relation on U . We set

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k := (\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k)^t |_{\lambda U \sqcup U \rho},$$


considered as a partition $\lambda U \rightsquigarrow U \rho$. It is well known that for $k, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha: [k] \rightsquigarrow [\ell]$, $\beta: [\ell] \rightsquigarrow [m]$, and $\gamma: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ we get

$$(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma): [k] \rightsquigarrow [n].$$


Let us look at an example for the composition of two partitions $\alpha: [6] \rightsquigarrow [8]$ (the incoming vertices are drawn at the top, the outgoing at the bottom)




and $\beta: [8] \rightsquigarrow [9]$



Forming the relation $\alpha \cup \beta$ on $[6] \sqcup [8] \sqcup [9]$ we get:



which finally gives $\alpha\beta: [6] \rightsquigarrow [9]$:



We have thus already defined the (undeformed) *partition category* \mathfrak{P} : it is the (small) category whose set of objects $\text{Ob}\mathfrak{P}$ is the set of non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_0 , and, for $m, n \in \text{Ob}\mathfrak{P}$ the set of arrows $m \rightarrow n$ is $\mathfrak{P}(m, n)$, endowed with the composition $\mathfrak{P}(\ell, m) \times \mathfrak{P}(m, n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(\ell, n)$, as defined above.

In the formation of the product $\alpha\beta: [k] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ for $\alpha: [k] \rightsquigarrow [\ell]$ and $\beta: [\ell] \rightsquigarrow [m]$, it may happen that some non-empty block of the partition $(\alpha \cup \beta)^t$ of $[k] \sqcup [\ell] \sqcup [m]$ contains only vertices of the second component $[\ell]$ (that is, only *intermediary* vertices). Let $b(\alpha, \beta)$ be the number of such *dead blocks*. By definition, such dead blocks are ignored in the category \mathfrak{P} .

We define the *deformed* partition category \mathfrak{P}^d as follows. The set of objects $\text{Ob}\mathfrak{P}^d$ is again the set of non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_0 . For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set of arrows $m \rightarrow n$ is the set of all pairs (α, s) where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(m, n)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The composition of two composable arrows is defined by

$$(\alpha, s)(\beta, t) = (\alpha\beta, s + t + b(\alpha, \beta)). \quad (3.1)$$

It is known [33] that for composable partitions α, β, γ the equality

$$b(\alpha, \beta) + b(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = b(\alpha, \beta\gamma) + b(\beta, \gamma) \quad (3.2)$$

holds from which associativity of (3.1) follows. The local monoids (endomorphism monoids) at object n of these categories will be denoted \mathfrak{P}_n and \mathfrak{P}_n^d , respectively.

3.2. The category $2\mathcal{Cob}$ of 2-cobordisms. For the category $2\mathcal{Cob}$ of 2-cobordisms, the reader is referred to Kock [28], Carqueville and Runkel [10] and Lauda and Pfeiffer [30]. We shall present here an *intuitive view* of this category since we are mainly interested in its underlying combinatorial structure. The precise definition involves delicate concepts from differential geometry and category theory, for the details of which the reader may consult [28, 10, 30].


As for the categories \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{P}^d , the set of objects of $2\mathcal{Cob}$ is \mathbb{N}_0 . For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, an (m, n) -2-cobordism α is a compact, oriented surface having a boundary which consists of $m + n$ connected components. Every such component is a circle (a compact 1-dimensional manifold without boundary). This collection of $m + n$ pairwise disjoint circles is grouped into two parts: the first of which, consisting of m circles, is denoted \mathbf{m} and is called the *incoming boundary* (its circles are the *incoming circles*), while the second part, consisting of n circles, is denoted \mathbf{n} and is called the *outgoing boundary* (its circles are the *outgoing circles*). The entire boundary then is viewed as the disjoint union $\mathbf{m} \sqcup \mathbf{n}$ and α is a cobordism $\mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$. In addition, it is assumed that there is imposed a linear order $S_1 > \dots > S_m$ on the circles of

\mathbf{m} , and likewise on the circles of \mathbf{n} . In other words, the circles of \mathbf{m} (resp. \mathbf{n}) are attached with unique markers from $[m]$ (resp. $[n]$) so that we have a canonical map $\wp: \mathbf{m} \sqcup \mathbf{n} \rightarrow [m] \sqcup [n]$ mapping the i th circle of \mathbf{m} (resp. \mathbf{n}) to i . Throughout, every such surface is considered only up to diffeomorphisms fixing the boundary $\mathbf{m} \sqcup \mathbf{n}$.


Let $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha: \ell \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{m}$ and $\beta: \mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$ be 2-cobordisms; we assume that $\alpha \cap \beta = \mathbf{m}$: the outgoing boundary of α coincides with the incoming boundary of β , and otherwise α and β are disjoint. We define $\alpha\beta: \ell \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$ by *gluing α with β along the common boundary \mathbf{m}* :

$$\alpha\beta = \alpha \sqcup_{\mathbf{m}} \beta := \alpha \cup \beta \tag{3.3}$$


(the latter under the proviso that $\alpha \cap \beta = \mathbf{m}$). That is, the incoming boundary of α inside $\alpha \cup \beta$ is declared to be the incoming boundary of $\alpha\beta$, and the outgoing boundary of β inside $\alpha \cup \beta$ to be the outgoing boundary of $\alpha\beta$. This provides an associative (partial) composition of 2-cobordisms. We present an example: the composition of the 2-cobordism $\alpha: \mathbf{6} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{5}$ given by (upper circles are incoming, lower are outgoing)




with the 2-cobordism $\beta: \mathbf{5} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{2}$ given by



yields $\alpha\beta: \mathbf{6} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{2}$



which (up to diffeomorphism) is the same as



Observe that all components in α and β have genus 0, but in $\alpha\beta$ there is a component having genus 1. This behavior will be discussed and will be important later.

Every 2-cobordism $\alpha: \mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$ may contain, apart from the connected components containing the boundary circles of $\mathbf{m} \sqcup \mathbf{n}$ also connected components without boundary. In the process of forming the composition $\alpha\beta$ of two 2-cobordisms α and β , the components of α and β without boundary are retained, but there may be created new components without boundary (this is analogous to the formation of dead blocks in the composition of partitions).

The (small) category $2\mathcal{Cob}$ of all 2-cobordisms then is defined as follows: the set of objects $\text{Ob}(2\mathcal{Cob})$ is \mathbb{N}_0 , and for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set of all arrows $m \rightarrow n$ is the set of all 2-cobordisms $\mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$, endowed with the composition defined above.

In the following we shall describe every 2-cobordism by discrete and ‘finitary’ data and thus shall relate the 2-cobordism category $2\mathcal{Cob}$ with the partition categories \mathfrak{P}^d and \mathfrak{P} . Let $\alpha: \mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$ be a 2-cobordism; in general, α has components with and without boundary. We define

$$s_\alpha := (s_0, s_1, \dots) \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{N}_0$$

where s_i is the number of components without boundary which have genus i . All but finitely many entries of the sequence s_α are 0. Next we recall that there is a canonical mapping $\wp: \mathbf{m} \sqcup \mathbf{n} \rightarrow [m] \sqcup [n]$ which maps the i th circle of \mathbf{m} respectively \mathbf{n} onto the i th vertex of $[m]$ respectively $[n]$. Then α induces a partition $\pi_\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ by setting, for vertices $u, v \in [m] \sqcup [n]$, $u \pi_\alpha v$ if and only if the circles $\wp^{-1}(u)$ and $\wp^{-1}(v)$ belong to the same connected component of α . In order to determine α completely (up to diffeomorphisms), we need to know, apart from the induced partition π_α and the sequence s_α , only the genera of its components with non-empty boundary. If we consider the induced partition π_α as the set of its blocks $\pi_\alpha = \{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ then the respective genera of the components of α with boundary can be expressed as a function $g_\alpha: \pi_\alpha = \{B_1, \dots, B_k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$. Altogether, the 2-cobordism α is completely and uniquely determined by the triple

$$(\pi_\alpha, g_\alpha, s_\alpha). \quad (3.4)$$

Conversely, every triple (α, g, s) where

- (1) α is a partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$,
- (2) g is a function from (the set of blocks of) α to \mathbb{N}_0 ,
- (3) s is a sequence of non-negative integers with only finitely many elements $\neq 0$

defines a unique 2-cobordism $\mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$. In other words, we can identify the arrows of the category $2\mathbf{Cob}$ with the set of all triples satisfying items (1)–(3). In order to complete the picture we need to explain composition of 2-cobordisms in terms of their triple representation. In other words, given triples (σ, g, s) and (τ, h, t) describing composable 2-cobordisms α and β , respectively, how can we obtain the data $(\pi_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\alpha\beta}, s_{\alpha\beta})$ from the triples (σ, g, s) and (τ, h, t) ?

The first component is the most obvious one: $\pi_{\alpha\beta} = \pi_\alpha \pi_\beta = \sigma\tau$. In order to describe $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $s_{\alpha\beta}$ we have to determine the genus of every component of $\alpha\beta$. So, let $\alpha: \mathbf{l} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{m}$ and $\beta: \mathbf{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{n}$ be two composable 2-cobordisms and let C be a component of $\alpha\beta$. If C coincides with a component of α (a component without boundary or having only incoming circles as boundary components) then the genus of C as a component of $\alpha\beta$ coincides with the genus of C as a component of α ; likewise, if C coincides with a component of β (a component without boundary or having only outgoing circles as boundary components) then the genus of C as a component of $\alpha\beta$ coincides with the genus of C as a component of β . Otherwise C is the union of some components A_1, \dots, A_p of α and B_1, \dots, B_q of β :

$$C = \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^q B_j. \quad (3.5)$$

The genus $g(C)$ is at least the sum of the genera of the components A_i and B_j :

$$g(C) \geq \sum_{i=1}^p g(A_i) + \sum_{j=1}^q g(B_j)$$

and we are interested in computing the *increment*

$$\iota(C; \alpha, \beta) := g(C) - \sum_{i=1}^p g(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^q g(B_j).$$

This is most easily done by looking at the *Euler characteristic*. Recall that for a compact oriented surface M , the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ is the quantity

$$\chi(M) := V - E + F$$

where V, E, F are the numbers of vertices, edges, faces, respectively, of a triangularization of M (and the quantity is independent of the chosen triangularization). We recall two fundamental properties of the Euler characteristic, see [28, p 63].

The first one is that for such a surface M with boundary the equality

$$\chi(M) = 2 - 2g - k \quad (3.6)$$

holds where g is the genus of M and k the number of its boundary components. The second property is the formula

$$\chi(M \cup N) = \chi(M) + \chi(N) - \chi(M \cap N)$$

for two surfaces M and N . Using the fact that $\chi(S) = 0$ for every circle S , from (3.5) we get

$$\chi(C) = \sum_{i=1}^p \chi(A_i) + \sum_{j=1}^q \chi(B_j). \quad (3.7)$$

Let us denote: g the genus of C ; g_i, h_j the genera of A_i, B_j , respectively; ℓ, r , respectively, the number of incoming respectively outgoing circles of C ; ℓ_i, r_j the number of incoming circles of A_i and outgoing circles of B_j , respectively; s_i, t_j the number of outgoing circles of A_i and incoming circles of B_j . Then (3.6) and (3.7) imply

$$-\chi(C) = 2g + \ell + r - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (2g_i + \ell_i + s_i - 2) + \sum_{j=1}^q (2h_j + t_j + r_j - 2).$$

Since $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^p \ell_i$ and $r = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j$ we get

$$2g - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (2g_i + s_i) - 2p + \sum_{j=1}^q (2h_j + t_j) - 2q. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we have: $\sum_{i=1}^p s_i = \sum_{j=1}^q t_j$ and this coincides with the number of ‘inner’ circles of \mathbf{m} involved in the formation of the component C ; observe also that $p + q$ is the number of components of α and β involved in the formation of the component C . Since

$$\iota(C; \alpha, \beta) = g - \sum_{i=1}^p g_i - \sum_{j=1}^q h_j \quad (3.9)$$

we can use (3.8) to get

$$2\iota(C; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i + \sum_{j=1}^q t_j - 2(p + q) + 2$$

which eventually implies:

Proposition 3.1.

$$\iota(C; \alpha, \beta) = \sharp(\text{involved inner circles}) - \sharp(\text{involved components}) + 1. \quad (3.10)$$

This allows us to *redefine* the category $2\mathfrak{Cob}$ as follows: its set of objects is again \mathbb{N}_0 . For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we let $2\mathfrak{Cob}(m, n)$ be the set of all triples (α, g, s) where

- α is a partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$,
- g is a function $\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ (α considered as set of its blocks),
- $s \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(\alpha, g, s) \in 2\mathcal{Cob}(\ell, m)$, $(\beta, h, t) \in 2\mathcal{Cob}(m, n)$ we define

$$(\alpha, g, s)(\beta, h, t) := (\alpha\beta, \mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}, s + t + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}) \quad (3.11)$$

where \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{b} are defined as follows. We introduce some further notation: for a block B of a partition α we denote by $\text{iv}(B)$, $\text{ov}(B)$ and $\text{v}(B)$ the number of, respectively, incoming vertices, outgoing vertices and vertices of B . Moreover B is a *left* block if B contains only incoming vertices (that is, no outgoing vertices), a *right* block if it contains only outgoing vertices (no incoming vertices), and, otherwise it is a *transversal* block. The *rank* of a partition is the number of its transversal blocks. For a block C of $\alpha\beta$ we want to define $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C)$; if C is a left block of α then $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C) = g(C)$, if it is a right block of β then $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C) = h(C)$; otherwise C is composed from blocks of α and β . In this case let C' be the corresponding block of $(\alpha \cup \beta)^t$ (the partition of $[\ell] \sqcup [m] \sqcup [n]$ generated by $\alpha \cup \beta$). Suppose that $C' = \bigcup_{i=1}^a A_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^b B_j$ where the A_i are the involved blocks of α and the B_j are the involved blocks of β . Let v denote the number of elements of $[m]$ involved in C' . Note that $v = \sum_{i=1}^a \text{ov}(A_i) = \sum_{j=1}^b \text{iv}(B_j)$. Motivated by (3.9) and (3.10), $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^a g(A_i) + \sum_{j=1}^b h(B_j) + v - (a + b) + 1. \quad (3.12)$$

In this context, we call the quantity

$$\text{inc}(C; \alpha, \beta) := v - (a + b) + 1 \quad (3.13)$$


the *increment of the label* of C (with respect to α and β). Moreover, $\mathbf{b}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h} := (b_0, b_1, \dots)$ where for every i , b_i is the number of dead blocks C created in the composition of α and β for which $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C) = i$, and $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, g; \beta, h}(C)$ for a dead block C is also defined by (3.12).

Finally, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the local monoid at object n of this category is denoted $2\mathcal{Cob}_n$. The mappings

$$(\alpha, g, s) \mapsto (\alpha, \sum s_i) \mapsto \alpha \quad (3.14)$$

provide quotient morphisms $2\mathcal{Cob} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ of categories which restrict to quotient morphisms $2\mathcal{Cob}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ of the corresponding monoids.

Remark 1. Without going into the details we mention that, as a *monoidal category*, $2\mathcal{Cob}$ can be nicely presented by a small number of generators and a transparent set of defining relations [28, Section 1.4]. To form the quotient $2\mathcal{Cob} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^d$ one needs to add just one more defining relation among $1 \rightarrow 1$ arrows, namely



and to get the quotient $\mathfrak{P}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ one needs one further relation among $0 \rightarrow 0$ arrows, namely

$$\text{circle with dashed line} = \emptyset$$

where \emptyset denotes the empty cobordism. In his paper [11], Comes used the quotient map $2\mathcal{Cob} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ and the presentation of $2\mathcal{Cob}$ [28] for his construction of a presentation of the linearized version of the partition category \mathfrak{P} .

Remark 2. Partition algebras whose underlying diagrams carry labels from a finite group G have been used by Bloss [7] for his study of the representation theory of the wreath product $G \wr S_n$ (where S_n denotes the symmetric group of degree n). In the Brauer and Temperley–Lieb world (that is, partition categories/algebras/monoids for which all blocks of the underlying partitions have size 2), versions using labeled diagrams are abundant: [5, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 34, 35], to mention just a few.

Remark 3. Mazorchuk [36, Section 3] observed that in the *partial Brauer category* (that is, in the subcategory of \mathfrak{P} consisting of all partitions all of whose blocks have size at most 2) there naturally occur two types of dead blocks, namely *non-circles* and *circles*, and asked if there exists an analogue of this classification of dead blocks in the context of the full partition category \mathfrak{P} . Let us call the former *type 0 blocks* and the latter *type 1 blocks*. The authors believe that the correct generalization to dead blocks of arbitrary partitions is given by formula (3.13): if a dead block C in the composition $\alpha\beta$ of two composable partitions α and β is formed of, say, a blocks from α and b blocks from β and contains v intermediary vertices, then its *type* should be the quantity $v - (a + b) + 1$. Indeed, if the involved blocks from α and β are represented graphically as in [12] then the (multi)graph obtained as the union of the graphs of the involved blocks has cyclomatic number exactly this quantity.

3.3. Regular versions of \mathfrak{P}^d and $2\mathcal{Cob}$. For a partition $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ we define $\alpha^*: [n] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ to be ‘the same partition’ as α , but with incoming and outgoing vertices swapped. Then it is well known that (for composable arrows α and β of \mathfrak{P}) the equalities

$$(\alpha\beta)^* = \beta^*\alpha^*, (\alpha^*)^* = \alpha, \alpha\alpha^*\alpha = \alpha \quad (3.15)$$

are satisfied (so that, in particular also $\alpha^*\alpha\alpha^* = \alpha^*$ holds). This way \mathfrak{P} becomes a *regular category*, even a *regular *-category* (in analogy with regular *-semigroups [37], see also [14]).

The deformed category $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ is not regular, however it can be embedded into a regular category $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ by allowing negative second entries in the pairs (α, s) . More precisely, the object set of $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ is again \mathbb{N}_0 , while the set of arrows $m \rightarrow n$ is comprised of the set of all pairs (α, s) with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(m, n)$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $(\alpha, s) \in \overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}(m, n)$ set

$$(\alpha, s)^* := (\alpha^*, -s - b(\alpha, \alpha^*) - b(\alpha^*, \alpha)); \quad (3.16)$$

then the equalities

$$\alpha\alpha^*\alpha = \alpha, \alpha^*\alpha\alpha^* = \alpha^*, (\alpha^*)^* = \alpha \quad (3.17)$$

are satisfied. Note that $b(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ is just the number $\text{rb}(\alpha)$ of right blocks of α while $b(\alpha^*, \alpha)$ is the number $\text{lb}(\alpha)$ of left blocks of α . The equality $(\xi\eta)^* = \eta^*\xi^*$ (for composable arrows ξ and η) is not true, so $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ is not a regular $*$ -category. Indeed, one can check that for composable arrows $(\alpha, s), (\beta, t) \in \overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ the equality

$$((\alpha, s)(\beta, t))^* = (\beta, t)^*(\alpha, s)^*$$

holds if and only if $\text{rb}(\alpha) + \text{lb}(\beta) = 2b(\alpha, \beta)$ which can only happen if $\text{rb}(\alpha) = \text{lb}(\beta)$ and every right block of α is merged with exactly one left block of β (and conversely).

Similarly as the deformed partition category $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$, also the cobordism category $2\mathfrak{Cob}$ can be extended to a regular category $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}}$ by allowing negative entries, that is, by considering as arrows all triples (α, g, s) where $g: \alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. The composition of composable arrows is defined as per (3.11). We need to show that this composition is associative.

Proposition 3.2. *The composition defined by (3.11) is associative in $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha: [k] \rightsquigarrow [\ell]$, $\beta: [\ell] \rightsquigarrow [m]$, $\gamma: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ be partitions. Let K be a block of $\alpha\beta\gamma$; we need to show that the accumulated increment of its label (over the sum of the labels of its blocks from α, β, γ , respectively) is independent of whether we calculate this increment with respect to the factorization $(\alpha\beta)\gamma$ or $\alpha(\beta\gamma)$.

So, let K be a block of $\alpha\beta\gamma$ and let K' be the block of the partition $(\alpha\beta\cup\gamma)^t$ of $[k] \sqcup [m] \sqcup [n]$ corresponding to K . Then $K' = \bigcup_{i=1}^d D_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^c C_j$ where D_i and C_j are the involved blocks of $\alpha\beta$ respectively γ . Then

$$\text{inc}(K; \alpha\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^d \text{ov}(D_i) - (d + c) + 1. \quad (3.18)$$

Let us consider the partition $(\alpha \cup \beta)^t$ of $[k] \sqcup [\ell] \sqcup [m]$; for every i let D'_i be the block of $(\alpha \cup \beta)^t$ corresponding to D_i . Now there exist blocks A_{i1}, \dots, A_{ip_i} of α and B_{i1}, \dots, B_{iq_i} of β such that

$$D'_i = \bigcup_{s=1}^{p_i} A_{is} \cup \bigcup_{t=1}^{q_i} B_{it}$$

and

$$\text{inc}(D_i; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{iv}(B_{it}) - (p_i + q_i) + 1. \quad (3.19)$$

The accumulated increment of the label of K with respect to the factorization $(\alpha\beta)\gamma$ then is

$$\text{inc}(K; \alpha\beta, \gamma) + \sum_{i=1}^d \text{inc}(D_i; \alpha, \beta)$$

which by use of (3.18) and (3.19) and the equality $\text{ov}(D_i) = \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{ov}(B_{it})$ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{ov}(B_{it}) - (d + c) + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\left(\sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{iv}(B_{it}) \right) - (p_i + q_i) + 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{v}(B_{it}) - (d + c) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^d (p_i + q_i) + d \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{v}(B_{it}) - (a + b + c) + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

were $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{t=1}^{q_i} \text{v}(B_{it})$ is the number of intermediary vertices from $[\ell] \sqcup [m]$ involved in the formation of block K'' within $(\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma)^t$ corresponding to K , $a = \sum_{i=1}^d p_i$ is the number of involved blocks from α and $b = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i$ is the number of involved blocks from β ; since c is the number of involved blocks from γ the quantity in (3.20) only depends on $\alpha\beta\gamma$ but not on the factorization $(\alpha\beta)\gamma$. That is, the calculation of the accumulated increment with respect to the factorization $\alpha(\beta\gamma)$ leads to the same result.

Altogether, the composition (3.11) is associative with respect to the second component; in combination with (3.2) we get associativity also with respect to the third component. \square

We define an inverse unary operation $*$: $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}}(m, n) \rightarrow \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}}(n, m)$ for which the equalities (3.17):

$$(\alpha, g, s)^* = (\alpha^*, g^*, s^*)$$

hold where $g^*: \alpha^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$g^*(C^*) := -g(C) - \text{v}(C) + 2 \quad (3.21)$$

(note the the blocks of α^* are ‘the same’ as those of α except that the roles of incoming and outgoing vertices are swapped) and

$$s^* := (\dots, -s_{-1}, -s_0, -s_1 - \text{lb}(\alpha) - \text{rb}(\alpha), -s_2, \dots).$$

As in the classical (non-regular) case, the mappings (3.14) provide quotient morphisms $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{P}^d} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ which respect the unary operation $*$ and restrict to quotient morphisms on the local monoids.

We mention another sort of intermediary categories between $2\mathcal{Cob}$ and \mathfrak{P} and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}}$ and \mathfrak{P} , respectively. These are obtained by ignoring the third component in (3.4) and (3.11) and we denote them by $2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ$ and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ}$, respectively; for cobordisms this means that we ignore all existing components without boundary and neglect the components without boundary which are created in the composition of two cobordisms. The arrows of these categories are thus partitions the blocks of which carry labels from \mathbb{N}_0 , respectively \mathbb{Z} . The labeling of the blocks of two composed partitions is obtained as the sum of the labels of the blocks involved, ‘deformed’ by the increment (3.13). The mappings

$$(\alpha, g, s) \mapsto (\alpha, g) \mapsto \alpha \quad (3.22)$$

then provide quotient morphisms $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ which restrict to quotient morphisms $2\mathcal{Cob} \twoheadrightarrow 2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}$. Finally, we show that the operation $*$ behaves very nicely, when restricted to $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The category $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ}$ is a regular $*$ -category with respect to the involution $*$.*

Proof. Let (α, g) and (β, h) be composable arrows of $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}^\circ}$. We define the labeling functions $g^*, h^*, g_1, g_1^*, g_2$ via $(\alpha, g)^* =: (\alpha^*, g^*)$, $(\beta, h)^* =: (\beta^*, h^*)$, $(\alpha, g)(\beta, h) =: (\alpha\beta, g_1)$, $(\alpha\beta, g_1)^* =: ((\alpha\beta)^*, g_1^*)$ and $(\beta^*, h^*)(\alpha^*, g^*) =: (\beta^*\alpha^*, g_2)$ and need to verify that $g_1^* = g_2$.

Let C be a block of $\alpha\beta$ and C^* be the corresponding block of $(\alpha\beta)^* = \beta^*\alpha^*$. If C is a left block of α or a right block of β then $g_1^*(C^*) = g_2(C^*)$ is obviously true. So assume that C is composed of blocks of α as well as β . Let C_1 be the corresponding block of $(\alpha \cup \beta)^t$; then

$$C_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^a A_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^b B_j$$

for some blocks A_i of α and B_j of β . By (3.12) and (3.13)

$$g_1(C) = \sum_{i=1}^a g(A_i) + \sum_{j=1}^b h(B_j) + \text{inc}(C; \alpha, \beta)$$

and hence by (3.21)

$$g_1^*(C^*) = - \sum_{i=1}^a g(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b h(B_j) - \text{inc}(C; \alpha, \beta) - v(C^*) + 2 \quad (3.23)$$

and we note that

$$v(C^*) = v(C) = \sum_{i=1}^a \text{iv}(A_i) + \sum_{j=1}^b \text{ov}(B_j). \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand,

$$C_1^* = \bigcup_{j=1}^b B_j^* \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^a A_i^*$$

where C_1^* is the block of $(\beta^* \cup \alpha^*)^t$ corresponding to C^* and A_i^* and B_j^* have the obvious meaning. From

$$g^*(A_i^*) = -g(A_i) - v(A_i) + 2$$

and

$$h^*(B_j^*) = -h(B_j) - v(B_j) + 2$$

we obtain

$$g_2(C^*) = -\sum_{i=1}^a g(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b h(B_j) - \sum_{i=1}^a v(A_i) + 2a - \sum_{j=1}^b v(B_j) + 2b + \text{inc}(C^*; \beta^*, \alpha^*).$$

Hence, in view of (3.23) and (3.24) we need to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\text{inc}(C; \alpha, \beta) - \sum_{i=1}^a \text{iv}(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b \text{ov}(B_j) + 2 \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^a v(A_i) + 2a - \sum_{j=1}^b v(B_j) + 2b + \text{inc}(C^*; \beta^*, \alpha^*) \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Starting with the left hand side of (3.25) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\text{inc}(C; \alpha, \beta) - \sum_{i=1}^a \text{iv}(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b \text{ov}(B_j) + 2 \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^a \text{ov}(A_i) + (a+b) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^a \text{iv}(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b \text{ov}(B_j) + 2 \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^a v(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b v(B_j) + \sum_{j=1}^b \text{iv}(B_j) + (a+b) + 1 \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^a v(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b v(B_j) + (2a+2b) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^b \text{ov}(B_j^*) - (a+b) + 1 \right) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^a v(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^b v(B_j) + (2a+2b) + \text{inc}(C^*; \beta^*, \alpha^*) \end{aligned}$$

we end up with the right hand side of (3.25), as required. \square

Remark 4. At the first glance it may seem to be unnatural and unmotivated to consider the aforementioned regular extension $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}$ of the category \mathfrak{C} in question. Indeed, the geometric intuition gets lost. For example, in case of $\overline{2\mathfrak{C}ob}$ a geometric realization would lead to surfaces having a negative genus which is absurd. However, from a semigroup theoretic point of view such a regular extension may be helpful to get a better understanding of the

algebraic structure of the category, respectively monoid, in question. For example the starred Green relations \mathcal{R}^* and \mathcal{L}^* [19] seem to be ‘what one expects’. What is more, the embedding in a regular category $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ has been already successfully employed in some proofs of [27].

4. CATEGORIES OF ANNULAR TYPE

4.1. Topological annular category. We are going to define the *topological annular category*. This has been mentioned, for example, in [18] and [35]; the endomorphism monoids of some subcategory appear in [13] and elsewhere. An important quotient is the affine Temperley–Lieb category of [20].

Let $a > b > 0$ be (positive) real numbers and $A(a, b)$ be the annulus in the complex plane (around 0) with radii a and b :

$$A(a, b) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid b \leq |z| \leq a\}.$$

Let further $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and assume that $[m] \sqcup [n]$ is embedded in $A(a, b)$ via

$$k \mapsto ae^{\frac{2\pi i(2k-1)}{2m}} \quad (k \in [m]) \text{ and } \ell \mapsto be^{\frac{2\pi i(2\ell-1)}{2n}} \quad (\ell \in [n]). \quad (4.1)$$

Throughout the present context we shall identify sets of the form $[m] \sqcup [n]$ with their realization in $A(a, b)$ (unless mentioned otherwise). An $A(a, b)$ -*diagram* $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ is a compact 1-manifold (containing, perhaps, finitely many singularities) with boundary, embedded in $A(a, b)$ such that

- (1) the boundary of α coincides with $[m] \sqcup [n]$ (that is, its realization in $A(a, b)$)
- (2) with the exception of its boundary, α is contained in the open annulus $A^\circ(a, b) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid b < |z| < a\}$.

Moreover, an A -diagram is an $A(a, b)$ -diagram for some $a > b > 0$. In this context, the elements of the first component $[m]$ of $[m] \sqcup [n]$ are called the *incoming points* while those of the second component $[n]$ are the *outgoing points*. Every connected component of such a 1-manifold is homeomorphic either to the unit interval $[0, 1]$, in which case this component has two boundary points, or to the unit circle S^1 . The former components are called *strings* the latter *circles*; a string which connects an incoming point with an outgoing point is a *transversal string*, a string connecting two incoming [outgoing] points is an *in-string* [*out-string*]. Every A -diagram $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ has $\frac{m+n}{2}$ strings; in particular, $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$. For later use we define the *rank* of an A -diagram as the number of its transversal strings. Note that in case of rank 0 there may exist circles which, inside the annulus, wrap around the inner boundary circle.

Instead of the annulus $A(a, b)$ one could consider the cylinder

$$Z(a, b) = S^1 \times [b, a],$$


embed $[m] \sqcup [n]$ in $Z(a, b)$ via $[m] \rightarrow S^1 \times \{a\}$, $k \mapsto (e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k-1)}{2m}}, a)$ and $[n]S^1 \times \{b\}$, $\ell \mapsto (e^{\frac{2\pi i(2\ell-1)}{2n}}, b)$, then could define, in a similar way, a $Z(a, b)$ -diagram and then proceed in a way analogous as described below.

Now let $a > b$ and $c > d$ be positive real numbers and $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ and $\alpha': [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ be an $A(a, b)$ - and an $A(c, d)$ -diagram, respectively. Then α and α' are *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $\Phi: A(a, b) \rightarrow A(c, d)$ ‘fixing’ the boundary circles (that is, $\Phi(ae^{i\theta}) = ce^{i\theta}$ and $\Phi(be^{i\theta}) = de^{i\theta}$ for all θ) such that $\Phi(\alpha) = \alpha'$. A *topological A-diagram* $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ (tA-diagram for short) is an equivalence class of A -diagrams $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$. The *rank* of a tA-diagram is the rank of any of its representatives.


Less formally speaking, a tA-diagram $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ consists of a collection of $\frac{m+n}{2}$ strings drawn in an annulus $A(a, b)$ linking pairwise the elements of $[m] \sqcup [n]$, together with a collection of finitely many circles, also drawn in $A(a, b)$, such that any two distinct lines (strings or circles) do not intersect; the relative positions of the circles to each other and with respect to the strings do matter, but otherwise lines and circles may be moved and deformed arbitrarily.

The composition of two composable tA-diagrams is very similar to the composition of 2-cobordisms (3.3). Let $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha: [\ell] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ and $\beta: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ be two tA-diagrams. Choose real numbers $a > b > c > 0$ and let α be realized as an $A(a, b)$ -diagram $\alpha_{a,b}$ and β be realized as an $A(b, c)$ -diagram $\beta_{b,c}$. Then $\alpha_{a,b} \cup \beta_{b,c}$ is an $A(a, c)$ -diagram $[\ell] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ and by definition, $\alpha\beta$ is the equivalence class of $\alpha_{a,b} \cup \beta_{b,c}$.


Let us look at an example of two tA-diagrams $[8] \rightsquigarrow [8]$:



Composition of these two diagrams gives



After some ‘smoothing’ of the strings we end up with



This way we get the *topological annular category* \mathfrak{tAnn} : its set of objects is again \mathbb{N}_0 , and for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set of arrows $m \rightarrow n$ is the set of \mathfrak{tA} -diagrams $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$, subject to composition of arrows as defined above. As already mentioned, if $m \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$ then there is no such diagram (the set of arrows $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ is empty in this case). Hence \mathfrak{tAnn} is decomposed into two connected components, the even part $\mathfrak{tAnn}^{\text{even}}$ and the odd part $\mathfrak{tAnn}^{\text{odd}}$ which could as well be treated separately. As earlier, denote the endomorphism monoid at object n by \mathfrak{tAnn}_n ; then $\mathfrak{tAnn}_n = \mathfrak{tAnn}_n^{\text{odd}}$ or $\mathfrak{tAnn}_n = \mathfrak{tAnn}_n^{\text{even}}$ depending on whether n is odd or even.

For later use, yet another view of (topological) annular diagrams is useful [20]. This is by ‘lifting a diagram to the universal covering space of $A(a, b)$ ’. Let $a > b$ be again positive real numbers, let

$$R(a, b) = \mathbb{R} \times [b, a]$$

and denote the horizontal shift $R(a, b) \rightarrow R(a, b)$, $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y)$ by Θ . For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\mathbb{Z} \times [m]$ and $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ be endowed with the lexicographic

order and let $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ be embedded in $R(a, b)$ via

$$\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \{a\}, (t, k) \mapsto \left(t + \frac{2k-1}{2m}, a\right), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \{b\}, (t, \ell) \mapsto \left(t + \frac{2\ell-1}{2n}, b\right). \quad (4.3)$$

By an $R(a, b)$ -*diagram* $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ we understand a 1-manifold inside $R(a, b)$ consisting of (at most) countably many circles in the interior $R^\circ(a, b)$ of $R(a, b)$, of countably many ‘strings’ (being isomorphic with the unit interval $[0, 1]$) whose boundary points coincide with (the realization inside $R(a, b)$ of) $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$, and, at most finitely many components homeomorphic with \mathbb{R} (these come from circles wrapping around in the annulus and are realized as straight lines parallel to the x -axis), and such that $\Theta(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\alpha \cap [-1, 1] \times [b, a]$ is compact (which essentially means that inside every bounded region, α contains only finitely many circles). An R -diagram is an $R(a, b)$ -diagram for some $a > b > 0$. In an obvious way we define equivalence of R -diagrams and then define a topological annular diagram as an equivalence class of R -diagrams.

4.2. Quotients and a subcategory of \mathfrak{tAnn} . We start with the category \mathfrak{L} , a decorated version of which occurs in [22] and elsewhere and the endomorphism monoids of which have been introduced and studied in [13]. Intuitively speaking, instead of annuli we consider bounded rectangles in the plane with $[m]$ and $[n]$ embedded on opposite sides of the rectangle; otherwise the definition of suitable (topological) diagrams is analogous. However, we can realize this category as a subcategory of \mathfrak{tAnn} . Let us call an $A(a, b)$ -diagram $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ *rectangular* if none of its strings cross the straight line connecting a and b . A tA -diagram $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ containing a rectangular representative is a *rectangular tA -diagram* $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$. The category \mathfrak{L} then by definition has \mathbb{N}_0 as its object set, and, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathfrak{L}(m, n)$ consists of all rectangular tA -diagrams $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$.

The endomorphism monoid \mathfrak{L}_n is exactly the monoid \mathcal{L}_n of [13]. The category \mathfrak{L} may be considered as a Temperley–Lieb category containing circles in which the relative positions of the circles to each other and to the strings *do matter*, as opposed to the classical Temperley–Lieb category where only the *number of circles*, but not their position matters.

As already mentioned, a tA -diagram $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ without a transversal string (in which case m and n have to be even) may admit two kinds of circles: circles which are 0-homotopic (on the annulus) and circles which are not (which wrap around the inner circle). We call the former 0-circles and the latter ω -circles. For a tA -diagram α denote by $\underline{\alpha}$ the diagram obtained from α by removing all circles and call $\underline{\alpha}$ the *skeleton* of α . Moreover denote by $\text{cir}_0(\alpha)$ the number of all 0-circle components contained in α and by $\text{cir}_\omega(\alpha)$ the number of all ω -circle components of α , and set $\text{cir}(\alpha) := \text{cir}_\omega(\alpha) + \text{cir}_0(\alpha)$. Finally, for a tA -diagram $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ denote by π_α the partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ induced by α . We shall define five congruences \equiv_i

($i = 1, \dots, 5$) on \mathfrak{tAnn} by: for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{tAnn}(m, n)$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \equiv_1 \beta &\iff \underline{\alpha} = \underline{\beta}, \text{ cir}_\omega(\alpha) = \text{cir}_\omega(\beta), \text{ cir}_0(\alpha) = \text{cir}_0(\beta) \\ \alpha \equiv_2 \beta &\iff \underline{\alpha} = \underline{\beta}, \text{ cir}_\omega(\alpha) = \text{cir}_\omega(\beta) \\ \alpha \equiv_3 \beta &\iff \underline{\alpha} = \underline{\beta} \\ \alpha \equiv_4 \beta &\iff \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta \\ \alpha \equiv_5 \beta &\iff \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta, \text{ cir}(\alpha) = \text{cir}(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and note that $\equiv_1 \supseteq \equiv_2 \supseteq \equiv_3 \supseteq \equiv_4 \subseteq \equiv_5 \subseteq \equiv_1$. It is easy to verify that these relations are category congruences.

We set:

$$\mathfrak{Ann} := \mathfrak{tAnn}/\equiv_4 \quad (4.4)$$

and call it the *annular* category; it is the category introduced by Jones [24], see also [38];

$$\mathfrak{aTL} := \mathfrak{tAnn}/\equiv_2 \quad (4.5)$$

and note that this is the *affine Temperley–Lieb category* introduced by Graham–Lehrer [20]. Moreover, we call

$$\mathfrak{aTL}^d := \mathfrak{tAnn}/\equiv_1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{aTL}^e := \mathfrak{tAnn}/\equiv_3 \quad (4.6)$$

the *deformed* and the *essential* affine Temperley–Lieb category, respectively. Finally, we call

$$\mathfrak{Ann}^d := \mathfrak{tAnn}/\equiv_5 \quad (4.7)$$

the *deformed* annular category. We have quotient morphisms

$$\mathfrak{tAnn} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL}^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL}^e \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{tAnn} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}$$

which induce quotient morphisms among the respective endomorphisms monoids

$$\mathfrak{tAnn}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL}_n^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$$

and

$$\mathfrak{tAnn}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n.$$

We note that, for every n , \mathfrak{Ann}_n is finite while \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e is infinite for all $n \geq 1$ and all other monoids are infinite for all n .

4.3. Combinatorial description of affine Temperley–Lieb categories and the morphism $\mathfrak{aTL}^e \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}$.

The arrows of \mathfrak{aTL}^e can be viewed as tA -diagrams without circles; their composition in \mathfrak{aTL}^e is ‘the same’ as in \mathfrak{tAnn} except that all possible circles which are created through the composition process are dismissed. This leads to a description of the arrows of \mathfrak{aTL}^e which is entirely combinatorial. Let $a > b > 0$ be real numbers and $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ be embedded in $R(a, b)$ according to (4.2) and (4.3). Let $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ be a tA -diagram without any circles (that is, it has neither ω -nor 0-circles). Realized as an $R(a, b)$ -diagram it has only connected components homeomorphic with the unitinterval $[0, 1]$, each of these is connecting

exactly two endpoints of $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$. It follows that α is uniquely determined by the induced partition $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ — two elements of $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ are related if they are connected by a string (a connected component of α). This induced partition is such that every block is of size exactly 2. On the other hand we have [20]:

Proposition 4.1. *A partition $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ all of whose blocks have size 2 determines a unique element of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e(m, n)$ if and only if*

- (1) $(s, k) \pi (t, \ell) \iff (s+1, k) \pi (t+1, \ell)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, k, \ell \in [m] \sqcup [n]$,
- (2) the blocks of π can be drawn in the strip $R(a, b)$ without intersection.

Condition (2) of Proposition 4.1 may still be reformulated purely combinatorially. Denote by \leq the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z} \times [m]$ as well as on $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ and define a linear order \preceq on $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ by taking the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z} \times [m]$, the dual of the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$, and letting every element of $\mathbb{Z} \times [m]$ be above every element of $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$; more formally

- (1) if $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \times [m]$ then $x \preceq y$ if and only if $x \leq y$,
- (2) if $y \in \mathbb{Z} \times [m]$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ then $x \preceq y$,
- (3) if $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ then $x \preceq y$ if and only if $x \geq y$.

The mentioned combinatorial characterization may be formulated as follows [20].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ be a partition all of whose blocks have size 2. Then the blocks of π can be drawn without intersection in the strip $R(a, b)$ if and only if, for any two blocks $\{x, x'\}$ and $\{y, y'\}$ of π the following holds:*

$$x \preceq y \preceq x' \text{ if and only if } x \preceq y' \preceq x'.$$

Altogether, tA-diagrams $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ without circle components may as well be represented by partitions $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ all of whose blocks have size 2 and which satisfy the conditions mentioned in Propositions 4.1 and 4.2. In the following we shall use this description widely. However, we shall usually retain the vocabulary of tA-diagrams: we still have ‘incoming points’, ‘outgoing points’, ‘strings’ instead of ‘vertices’ and ‘blocks’. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the partition $\zeta_n: \mathbb{Z} \times [n] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ defined by:

- (1) for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, ζ_n connects the incoming point (t, k) with the outgoing point $(t, k+1)$,
- (2) for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, ζ_n connects the incoming point (t, n) with the outgoing point $(t+1, 1)$.

Note that all strings of ζ_n are transversal and ζ_n is a generator of the unit group of the monoid $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{T}_n^e$. Set $\lambda_n := \zeta_n^n$; then λ_n connects every incoming point (t, k) with the outgoing point $(t+1, k)$, and, more generally, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, λ_n^s connects every incoming point (t, k) with the outgoing point $(t+s, k)$.

Next we describe how elements of the form λ_n^s act by left and right multiplication. For a partition $\alpha: \mathbb{Z} \times [m] \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ a block consisting of the incoming point (s, k) and the outgoing point (t, ℓ) (that is, a transversal string connecting the incoming point $(s + \frac{2k-1}{m}, a)$ with the outgoing point $(t + \frac{2\ell-1}{n}, b)$ in the $R(a, b)$ model) will be denoted as $(s, k) \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} (t, \ell)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e(m, n)$; then the following hold.*

- (1) $\lambda_m^r \alpha$, α and $\alpha \lambda_n^r$ have the same in-strings and out-strings.
- (2) for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in [m]$, $\ell \in [n]$:

$$(s, k) \xrightarrow[\lambda_m^r \alpha]{} (t+r, \ell) \iff (s, k) \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} (t, \ell) \iff (s, k) \xrightarrow[\alpha \lambda_n^r]{} (t+r, \ell),$$

- (3) $\lambda_m^r \alpha = \alpha \lambda_n^r$.

Proof. It is clear that $\lambda_m^r \alpha$ and α have the same out-strings. Let $\{(s, k), (s', k')\}$ be an in-string of α (note that $s' \in \{s-1, s, s+1\}$). Since $(t-r, \ell) \xrightarrow[\lambda_m^r]{} (t, \ell)$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in [m]$ we get

$$(s-r, k) \lambda_m^r (s, k) \alpha (s', k') \lambda_m^r (s'-r, k')$$

(here $(s-r, k)$ and $(s'-r, k')$ are incoming points while (s, k) and (s', k') are outgoing points of λ_m^r) hence $\{(s-r, k), (s'-r, k')\}$ is an in-string of $\lambda_m^r \alpha$ and so is $\{(s, k), (s', k')\}$ by condition (1) of Proposition 4.1. For the reverse inclusion we note that $\alpha = \lambda_m^{-r} (\lambda_m^r \alpha)$ so that we may employ exactly the same argument. The statement for $\alpha \lambda_n^r$ is proven by an entirely analogous argument. Altogether statement (1) is proved.

Now suppose that $(s, k) \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} (t, \ell)$; since $(s-r, k) \xrightarrow[\lambda_m^r]{} (s, k)$ we have that

$$(s-r, k) \xrightarrow[\lambda_m^r \alpha]{} (t, \ell)$$

and, again by condition (1) of Proposition 4.1,

$$(s, k) \xrightarrow[\lambda_m^r \alpha]{} (t+r, \ell).$$

For the reverse inclusion we may again employ that $\alpha = \lambda_m^{-r} (\lambda_m^r \alpha)$. The statement concerning $\alpha \lambda_n^r$ is proved analogously. Finally, statement (3) is an immediate consequence of (1) and (2). \square

The invertible elements λ_m^r allow us to describe the kernel of the canonical morphism $\Psi: \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}$ (at least to a good extent).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e(m, n)$ and suppose that α and β have non-zero rank. Then $\alpha \Psi = \beta \Psi$ if and only if there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda_m^q \alpha = \beta$.*

Proof. We note that the rank of α coincides with the rank of $\alpha \Psi$ (where, in this context, $\alpha \Psi$ is identified with the partition it induces on $[m] \sqcup [n]$) hence α and β have the same rank if $\alpha \Psi = \beta \Psi$. Moreover λ_m^q induces the

identity partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ hence $(\lambda_m^q \alpha) \Psi = \alpha \Psi$ which is the ‘if’ part of the statement. So we are left with the ‘only if’ part.

Let α, β be such that $\alpha \Psi = \beta \Psi$ and both of them have rank $r > 0$. Let $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \leq m$ be such that, for some $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_i \in [n]$,

$$(0, a_i) \underset{\alpha}{-} (t_i, b_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (4.8)$$

In the lexicographic order of $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ we have

$$(t_1, b_1) < (t_2, b_2) < \dots < (t_r, b_r).$$

Since $(0, a_r) < (1, a_1)$, $(1, a_1) \underset{\alpha}{-} (t_1 + 1, b_1)$ and (4.8) for $i = r$ and these latter two strings do not cross we also have $(t_r, b_r) < (t_1 + 1, b_1)$, hence

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_r \leq t_1 + 1.$$

It follows that in $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$, the outgoing points (t_i, b_i) , $i = 1, \dots, r$ are contained inside an interval of length at most $n - 1$ (meaning that the distance between (t_1, b_1) and (t_r, b_r) in the usual *graph metric* is at most $n - 1$).

Since α and β induce the same partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$, there are transversal strings of the form $(0, a_i) \underset{\beta}{-} (s_i, b_i)$ for some $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and for the same a_i, b_i as for α . For the same reason as for α , the outgoing points (s_i, b_i) , $i = 1, \dots, r$, are contained in $\mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ inside an interval of length at most $n - 1$. It follows that $q := s_i - t_i$ does not depend on the index i . From Lemma 4.3 it follows that $\lambda_m^q \alpha$ and β have the same transversal strings.

We are left with showing that α and β have the same in-strings and out-strings. We start with in-strings. It suffices to consider in-strings, one endpoint of which is of the form $(0, a)$ (the claim for all other in-strings then follows from shift-invariance, that is, condition (1) of Proposition 4.1). So, let $(0, a)$ be the endpoint of an in-string S , say, of α . If, for some i , $a_i < a < a_{i+1}$ then the other endpoint of S must be $(0, b)$ for some b with $a_i < b < a_{i+1}$. Without loss of generality assume that $a < b$. Since β induces the same partition $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ as α , β also has an in-string T , say, one endpoint of which is $(0, a)$; then there are only two choices for the other endpoint of T , namely $(-1, b)$ and $(0, b)$; but since β admits a transversal string starting at incoming point $(0, a_i)$ the first case is impossible. Consequently, T connects $(0, a)$ and $(0, b)$, hence coincides with S . Now suppose that $1 \leq a < a_1$; then the second incoming point of S is, say, $(-1, b)$ for some $b > a_r$; by the same reasoning as before, β contains an in-string with the same endpoints. Altogether, β contains all in-strings of α from which equality follows. By an analogous reasoning one obtains that α and β also contain the same out-strings. Indeed, there are $1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_r \leq n$ such that the points $(0, c_1) < (0, c_2) < \dots < (0, c_r)$ are the outgoing points of transversal strings of α as well as β . (The sequence c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r is just a cyclic shift of the sequence b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r encountered above.) One can then proceed in the same way as for in-strings. Altogether, $\lambda_m^q \alpha$ and β admit the same strings and hence are equal. \square

From the definitions of the congruences \equiv_1 and \equiv_2 it follows immediately that the arrows of \mathfrak{aTL} are uniquely parameterized by pairs (α, k) where α is an arrow in \mathfrak{aTL}^e and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k = 0$ whenever α has non-zero rank. Likewise, arrows of \mathfrak{aTL}^d can be parameterized by triples (α, k, k') where $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = 0$ whenever α has non-zero rank. For composable tA -diagrams α and β let $b_0(\alpha, \beta)$ be the number of 0-circles formed in the composition $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta$, and likewise, let $b_\omega(\alpha, \beta)$ be the number of ω -circles formed in this composition. Then composition of arrows in \mathfrak{aTL} respectively \mathfrak{aTL}^d is given by the following formulas, and is analogous to (3.1):

$$(\alpha, k)(\beta, \ell) = (\alpha\beta, k + \ell + b_\omega(\alpha, \beta)), \quad (4.9)$$

$$(\alpha, k, k')(\beta, \ell, \ell') = (\alpha\beta, k + \ell + b_\omega(\alpha, \beta), k' + \ell' + b_0(\alpha, \beta)). \quad (4.10)$$

4.4. Regular versions of the affine Temperley–Lieb categories. The annular category \mathfrak{Ann} is a subcategory of the partition category \mathfrak{P} closed under the involution $*$, whence \mathfrak{Ann} is a regular $*$ -category.

As already mentioned, the arrows of the essential affine Temperley–Lieb category \mathfrak{aTL}^e can be viewed to be comprised of all tA -diagrams without circle components. The composition of two such diagrams α and β within \mathfrak{aTL}^e then is ‘the same as in \mathfrak{tAnn} except that all circle components which are produced during the composition are dismissed’. In view of this it is not hard to see that \mathfrak{aTL}^e is a regular $*$ -category. Indeed, for an $A(a, b)$ -diagram $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ let $\alpha^*: [n] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ be the $A(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{a})$ -diagram obtained as the image of α under the homeomorphism $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by $re^{i\theta} \mapsto \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta}$ (α^* is, in a sense, the mirror image of α). Finally for a tA -diagram $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ define $\alpha^*: [n] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ by choosing a representative $A(a, b)$ -diagram α of α and letting α^* be the equivalence class of α^* . This provides a unary operation on the set of arrows of \mathfrak{aTL}^e satisfying (3.15), thus \mathfrak{aTL}^e is also a regular $*$ -category. Moreover, the canonical morphism $\mathfrak{aTL}^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}$ respects the operation $*$. The operation $*$ on \mathfrak{aTL}^e is most easily understood if we interpret the diagram α in terms of an $R(a, b)$ -diagram: reflection on the horizontal line $\mathbb{R} \times \{\frac{a+b}{2}\}$ of the realization of α as an $R(a, b)$ -diagram yields α^* as an $R(a, b)$ -diagram.

None of the categories \mathfrak{tAnn} , \mathfrak{L} , \mathfrak{aTL}^d , \mathfrak{aTL} and \mathfrak{Ann}^d is regular. However, from the pair, respectively, triple representation of the arrows of \mathfrak{aTL} and \mathfrak{aTL}^d it is clear that if we allow negative entries in the second, respectively, second and third component, then, just as in (3.16) for $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ we get extended categories $\overline{\mathfrak{aTL}^d}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{aTL}}$ which admit an inverse unary operation $*$ as in the category $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$. The same applies to \mathfrak{Ann}^d since its arrows can be parameterized by pairs (α, k) with α and arrow in \mathfrak{Ann} and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The cases \mathfrak{tAnn} and \mathfrak{L} will be treated in the next subsection.

4.5. Combinatorial description of \mathfrak{tAnn} and \mathfrak{L} , and their regular versions. We are going to look more carefully at the structure of \mathfrak{tAnn} .

This will be also useful for the treatment of the finite basis problem in Section 5. We need some preparation.


As mentioned in the preliminaries, a *unary monoid* is a monoid with an additional unary operation. Let C be the *free commutative unary monoid generated by the empty set*. We denote the neutral element of C by 0 , the binary operation by $_ + _$ and the unary operation by $(_)$. The elements of C are 0 , (0) , $(0) + (0)$, $((0))$, $(0) + ((0)) = ((0)) + (0)$, etc. Every such element can be uniquely identified with a finite collection of nonintersecting circles in the plane, see [13] and [26] (assuming that two such collections of circles are ‘equal’ if they are mapped onto each other by a homeomorphism of the plane). Let α be a tA-diagram $[m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ and suppose first that α has no ω -circles. We choose some realization of α on an annulus A and observe that the strings decompose A into *regions*: there are *in-regions* (which are bordered only by in-strings and segments of the outer circle of A), *out-regions* (bordered only by out-strings and segments of the inner circle of A) and *transversal regions* (whose border contains segments of the inner circle as well as of the outer circle of A). Denote by $\text{reg}(\alpha)$ the set of all regions of α (its representation on A , respectively) and note that $\text{reg}(\alpha) = \text{reg}(\underline{\alpha})$. Then, α is uniquely determined by its skeleton $\underline{\alpha}$, and the collection of circles sitting on each of its regions. In other words, α is uniquely determined by $\underline{\alpha}$ and a (labeling) function $\mathbf{c}: \text{reg}(\underline{\alpha}) \rightarrow C$. For later use we note that C is freely generated as a commutative monoid by its *indecomposable* elements (those of the form (a) for $a \in C$). In particular, C embeds into its *Grothendieck group* $\mathcal{G}(C)$ which is a free abelian group.

In case the diagram α admits ω -circles the latter create new regions which may again contain collections of circles. However, this can happen only in case the diagram α in question has no transversal string. In that case, $\underline{\alpha}$ has a unique transversal region and the entire collection of ω -circles and 0 -circles sitting in that region of $\underline{\alpha}$ may as well be interpreted as a label, this time not from C but from a more complicated monoid: let O be the free cyclic monoid (generated by a single ω -circle \circ). We write the composition in the monoid O as $\circ + \circ = \textcircled{\circ}$.

The collection of all circles in the (unique) transversal region of α can be uniquely described by a single element of the free product $O * C$ of the monoids O and C (the free product being taken within the class of all monoids). A typical element in $O * C$ can be written as

$$c_0 + \circ + c_1 + \circ + c_2 \cdots + c_{n-1} + \circ + c_n$$

with $c_0, \dots, c_n \in C$ and the operation $+$ here is no longer commutative. We shall represent this element graphically as follows; recall that the elements c_i are finite collections of circles, they may be moved inside their respective annulus region but not beyond. The dotted lines indicate the inner and outer circles of the annulus.



Altogether, in order to complete the above characterization: every tA-diagram α is uniquely determined by

- (1) its skeleton $\underline{\alpha}$ which is a member of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e$
- (2) a labeling function $\mathbf{c}: \text{reg}(\underline{\alpha}) \rightarrow O * C$ such that $\mathbf{c}(R) \notin C$ only if α (equivalently $\underline{\alpha}$) has no transversal string and R is the (then unique) transversal region of α , and $\mathbf{c}(R) \in C$ in all other cases.

Conversely every pair (α, \mathbf{c}) where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e$ and \mathbf{c} satisfies condition (2) above determines a unique element of \mathfrak{tAnn} . Similarly as for cobordisms (3.11) one can express the composition in \mathfrak{tAnn} in terms of its pair representation. One then can define a regular category $\overline{\mathfrak{tAnn}}$ by use of the pair representation (α, \mathbf{c}) and allowing \mathbf{c} to have values in the group $\mathfrak{G}(O) * \mathfrak{G}(C)$ rather than the monoid $O * C$ (again subject to a properly modified condition (2) above) in which \mathfrak{tAnn} is naturally embedded. From this, a regular extension $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}$ of \mathfrak{L} follows immediately. In this case, all labels are from $\mathfrak{G}(C)$.

5. THE FINITE BASIS PROBLEM

Our first goal is to show that the monoids $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_n}$, $2\mathfrak{Cob}_n$ and related monoids do not have a finite basis for their identities. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$; in order to apply the results [6], we shall study the canonical morphism $\Phi: \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$. More precisely, our purpose is to determine the (respectively, some) identities satisfied by the inverse image under Φ of an arbitrary idempotent of \mathfrak{P}_n . Our second goal is to study the same question for various monoids of annular type. The method is essentially the same: we study the canonical morphism $\Phi: \overline{\mathfrak{tAnn}_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$ and also for $\overline{\mathfrak{tAnn}_n}$ replaced with the other types of annular monoids. We first recall the characterization of the idempotents of \mathfrak{P}_n [12].

5.1. Idempotents of \mathfrak{P}_n . In this context it is convenient to consider partitions $\alpha: U \rightsquigarrow U$ where U is a subset of $[n]$. Let $U \subseteq [n]$; the definition of a partition $\alpha: U \rightsquigarrow U$, and the composition $\alpha\beta$ of two such partitions $U \rightsquigarrow U$ is completely analogous to the case $U = [n]$, introduced in Subsection 3.1. Thereby we obtain the partition monoid \mathfrak{P}_U (which can be interpreted as a submonoid of \mathfrak{P}_n). Let

$$[n] = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k$$

be a partition of $[n]$. Every k -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ with $\alpha_i: U_i \rightsquigarrow U_i$ gives rise to the partition $\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k: [n] \rightsquigarrow [n]$, and the direct product $\mathfrak{P}_{U_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{P}_{U_k}$ thereby embeds in \mathfrak{P}_n .

Every partition $\alpha: U \rightsquigarrow U$ induces to two partitions α^l and α^r of U by letting α^l be the restriction of α to the first component of $U \sqcup U$ and α^r be the restriction to the second component. According to [12] we call α *irreducible* if $\alpha^l \vee \alpha^r$ is the universal relation on U (to be denoted ω_U in the following). Recall that the *rank* of a partition is the number of its transversal blocks.

Result 5.1. [12, Theorem 5] *A partition $\varepsilon: [n] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ is idempotent if and only if there exists a partition $[n] = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k$ such that*

- (1) $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_k$ where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon|_{U_i \sqcup U_i}$,
- (2) every ε_i is an irreducible partition $U_i \rightsquigarrow U_i$ of rank at most 1.

5.2. The finite basis problem for $2\mathfrak{Cob}_n$ and $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_n}$. Result 5.1 allows us to prove a crucial theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\Phi: \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ be the canonical morphism*

$$(\alpha, g, s) \mapsto \alpha$$

and let ε be an idempotent of \mathfrak{P}_n . Then the semigroup $\varepsilon\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies every identity $u \simeq v$ for which:

- (1) u and v start and end with the same letter,
- (2) every 2-letter factor occurs the same number of times in u and v .

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ and for $i = 1, \dots, k$ let $\varepsilon_i = (\varepsilon, g_i, s_i) \in \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_n}$ with ε an idempotent in \mathfrak{P}_n and

$$\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_k = (\varepsilon, g, s). \quad (5.1)$$

According to Result 5.1 it is sufficient to prove the claim for ε an irreducible idempotent and every such idempotent has rank 0 or 1. Assume first that the rank of ε is 1. Since $\varepsilon^l \vee \varepsilon^r = \omega_{[n]}$, in the composition of ε with itself there are no dead blocks created, that is $b(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 0$. From this it follows that

$$s = \sum_{\ell=1}^k s_\ell. \quad (5.2)$$

We need to calculate the function $g: \varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let $L_1, \dots, L_p, T, R_1, \dots, R_q$ be the left blocks, the transversal block, and the right blocks of ε , respectively. From the definition (3.11) it follows that $g(L_i) = g_1(L_i)$ and $g(R_j) = g_k(R_j)$

for all $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, \dots, q$. It remains to calculate $g(T)$. In the composition $\underbrace{\varepsilon \cdots \varepsilon}_k$ the block T is formed by merging:

- (1) all right blocks of the first ε ,
- (2) all left blocks of the last ε ,
- (3) the transversal block of every ε ,
- (4) all one-sided blocks of all but the first and the last ε .

The label $g(T)$ then is obtained by adding all labels of these blocks and the increments obtained in the compositions $\varepsilon_\ell \varepsilon_{\ell+1}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$. All these increments coincide and are equal to $n - (p + 1 + q + 1) + 1 = n - p - q - 1$. Altogether we obtain

$$g(T) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k g_\ell(T) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^q g_\ell(R_j) + \sum_{\ell=2}^k \sum_{i=1}^p g_\ell(L_i) + (k-1)(n-p-q-1). \quad (5.3)$$

The equalities (5.2) and (5.3) imply that s and g only depend on the first element ε_1 , the last ε_k of the sequence $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$, the number k , and the number how often every element ε_i occurs in this sequence.

Now consider the case when the rank of ε is 0. In this case ε has left blocks and right blocks $L_1, \dots, L_p, R_1, \dots, R_q$, say (and no transversal block). In this case again, $g(L_i) = g_1(L_i)$ and $g(R_j) = g_k(R_j)$ for all i and j . It follows that ε and g only depend on the first factor ε_1 and the last factor ε_k . Once more using the fact that $\varepsilon^1 \vee \varepsilon^r = \omega_{[n]}$ we see that the composition of ε with itself creates exactly one dead block, that is, $b(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 1$. In the composition $\varepsilon_\ell \varepsilon_{\ell+1}$ the label of the thereby created dead block by (3.12) is

$$\sum_{j=1}^q g_\ell(R_j) + \sum_{i=1}^p g_{\ell+1}(L_i) + n - (p + q) + 1. \quad (5.4)$$

It follows that the third entry s in (ε, g, s) in (5.1) is determined (only) by the number how often every ε_i occurs in the sequence $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ (via s_1, \dots, s_k) and on how often every pair $(\varepsilon_\ell, \varepsilon_{\ell+1})$ occurs in the sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2), \dots, (\varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_k)$, the latter by (5.4). \square

We note that if two words u and v satisfy the two conditions of Theorem 5.2 then every letter occurs the same number of times in u and v [27, Lemma 1], that is, every such identity is balanced.

Corollary 5.3. *Every semigroup $\varepsilon \Phi^{-1}$ of Theorem 5.2 satisfies the identity $Z_3 \simeq x_1 x_3 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1$.*

Proposition 5.4. *The monoid $2\mathcal{Cob}_1$ (and therefore also $2\mathcal{Cob}_n$ for every $n \geq 1$) does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.*

Proof. We show that the monoid A_2^1 divides $2\mathcal{Cob}_1$ where A_2 is the 5-element combinatorial non-orthodox completely 0-simple semigroup. From this it follows that the monoid $2\mathcal{Cob}_1$ (and therefore also $2\mathcal{Cob}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$) does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$ [40, Lemma 3.7].

Recall that A_2^1 can be realized as the set

$$1, (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), 0 \quad (5.5)$$

endowed with multiplication

$$(i, j)(k, \ell) = \begin{cases} (i, \ell) & \text{if } (j, k) \neq (1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } (j, k) = (1, 1) \end{cases}$$

and such that 1 acts as an identity and 0 as a zero element.

Let S be the subsemigroup of $2\mathcal{Cob}_1$ consisting of all (α, g, s) where

- $\alpha = \text{id}_{[1] \sqcup [1]}$,
- g takes only the values 0 and 1

and $\text{id}_{[1] \sqcup [1]}$ denotes the identity relation on $[1] \sqcup [1]$, interpreted as a partition $[1] \rightsquigarrow [1]$. All possible choices of $(\text{id}_{[1] \sqcup [1]}, g)$ can be realized by the set of pairs

$$\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$$

depending on whether g takes the value 0 or 1 on the incoming respectively outgoing vertex. Hence S can be realized as the set of all triples (i, s, j) with $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ and sequences $s = (s_i)_{i \geq 0} \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{N}_0$. The composition can be described by the formula

$$(i, s, j)(k, t, l) = (i, s + b(j, k) + t, l)$$

where

$$b(j, k) = (\delta_{n, j+k})_{n \geq 0}$$

and δ is the Kronecker symbol. In other words, $b(j, k)$ adds 1 to the $(j+k)$ th entry of $s + t$ and leaves the other entries unchanged.

We define a mapping $S \rightarrow A_2$ by

$$(i, s, j) \mapsto \begin{cases} (i, j) & \text{if } s_2 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } s_2 > 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

which is readily checked to be a morphism $S \rightarrow A_2$ which clearly extends to a monoid morphism $S^1 \rightarrow A_2^1$. \square

Proposition 5.5. *For every $n \geq 2$, the monoid $2\mathcal{Cob}_n^\circ$ does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.*

Proof. There is a canonical morphism $2\mathcal{Cob}_2^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_2$ and the latter is well known to satisfy no non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$. \square

So we formulate the main result of the present subsection.

Theorem 5.6. (1) *For every $n \geq 1$ the monoids $2\mathcal{Cob}_n$ and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}_n}$ are non-finitely based.*

(2) *For every $n \geq 2$ the monoids $2\mathcal{Cob}_n^\circ$ and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}_n^\circ}$ are non-finitely based.*

(3) *For every $n \geq 2$ the monoids \mathfrak{P}_n^d and $\overline{\mathfrak{P}_n^d}$ are not finitely based.*

For the last statement one has to take into account that the inverse image of any idempotent under the canonical morphism $\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_n^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ is always commutative while it follows from the arguments above that these monoids do not satisfy any nontrivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$. We note that \mathfrak{P}_1 and $\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_1$ are commutative hence are finitely based. We are left with the cases $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ$ and $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ}$:

Theorem 5.7. *The monoids $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ$ and $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ}$ are finitely based. The identities*

$$xt_1yt_2 \cdot xy \cdot t_3yt_4x = xt_1yt_2 \cdot yx \cdot t_3yt_4x \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$xt_1yt_2 \cdot xy \cdot t_3xt_4y = xt_1yt_2 \cdot yx \cdot t_3xt_4y \quad (5.8)$$

form a basis for the monoid identities of $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ$ as well as of $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}_1^\circ}$. A basis of semigroup identities is obtained by deleting every possible choice of a subset of the set of letters $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$.

The (lengthy) proof of this statement will be deferred to subsection 5.4.

5.3. The finite basis problem for monoids of annular type.

5.3.1. *Affine Temperley–Lieb categories.* In the following let $n \geq 2$; we study the canonical morphisms $\Psi: \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$, $\Psi_1: \mathfrak{aTL}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$ and $\Psi_2: \mathfrak{aTL}_n^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$.

Proposition 5.8. *For every idempotent $\varphi \in \mathfrak{Ann}_n$ the semigroup $\varphi\Psi^{-1}$ satisfies the identity $axyb \simeq ayxb$.*

Proof. Let φ be an idempotent of \mathfrak{Ann}_n . Assume first that φ has positive rank. Since \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e is regular there exists an idempotent $\varphi \in \varphi\Psi^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.4, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \varphi\Psi^{-1}$ there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha = \lambda_n^s \varphi$ and $\beta = \lambda_n^t \varphi$. Since by Lemma 4.3 λ_n^s and λ_n^t are central in \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e we get $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. Suppose that $\text{rk}(\varphi) = 0$; then $\varphi\Psi^{-1}$ consists of rank 0 elements of \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e . The set of all rank 0 elements of \mathfrak{aTL}_n^e forms a rectangular band, hence $\varphi\Psi^{-1}$ forms a rectangular band. Altogether, $\varphi\Psi^{-1}$ is commutative or a rectangular band, so in any case satisfies the identity $axyb \simeq ayxb$. \square

Next we consider $\Psi_2: \mathfrak{aTL}_n^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let φ be an idempotent of \mathfrak{Ann}_n . Then the semigroup $\varphi\Psi_2^{-1}$ satisfies every identity $u \simeq v$ such that*

- (1) *u and v start and end with the same letter,*
- (2) *every 2-letter factor occurs the same number of times in u and v .*

Proof. Let φ be an idempotent of \mathfrak{Ann}_n and suppose first that $\text{rk}(\varphi) > 0$; all elements of $\varphi\Psi_2^{-1}$ are of the form $(\alpha, 0, a)$ (a is the number of 0-circles) and $\alpha \in \varphi\Psi^{-1}$. According to the proof of Proposition 5.8, $\alpha = \lambda_n^s \varphi$ for some idempotent $\varphi \in \varphi\Psi^{-1}$ and some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ (in fact, the idempotent φ is unique). For two elements $\lambda_n^s \varphi$ and $\lambda_n^t \varphi$ of this kind, we note that

$$b_0(\lambda_n^s \varphi, \lambda_n^t \varphi) = b_0(\varphi, \varphi) = b_0(\lambda_n^t \varphi, \lambda_n^s \varphi)$$

since the number b_0 only depends on the in-strings and out-strings of the involved elements, and φ and $\lambda_n^s \varphi$ (for any s) have the same in- and out-strings as was shown in the proof of Lemma 4.3. That is, $b_0(\alpha, \beta) = b_0(\beta, \alpha)$, so that, in this case, $\varphi\Psi_2^{-1}$ is commutative.

We are left with the case $\text{rk}(\varphi) = 0$. Let $\alpha_1 = (\varphi_1, a_1, c_1), \dots, \alpha_t = (\varphi_t, a_t, c_t) \in \varphi\Psi_2^{-1}$ with $\varphi_i \in \varphi\Psi^{-1}$ and $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (the elements φ_i are rank 0 elements of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$). Then for the product of these elements we have

$$(\varphi_1, a_1, c_1) \cdots (\varphi_t, a_t, c_t) = (\varphi_1 \varphi_t, a, c)$$

where

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} b_0(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1})$$

and

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} b_\omega(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}).$$

We see that the value of this product only depends

- (1) on the first element and the last element of the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$,
- (2) on the number how often each element α_i occurs in the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$,
- (3) on the number how often each pair (α_i, α_{i+1}) occurs in the sequence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots, (\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t)$.

□

Corollary 5.10. *Let $n \geq 2$ and φ be an idempotent in $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n$; then each of the semigroups $\varphi\Psi^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$, $\varphi\Psi_1^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ and $\varphi\Psi_2^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^d$ satisfies the identity $Z_3 \simeq x_1 x_3 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1$.*

Proof. For the first and the third case this follows from Propositions 5.8 and 5.9. For $\varphi\Psi_1^{-1}$ this follows from the fact that this semigroup is a quotient of $\varphi\Psi_2^{-1}$. □

5.3.2. *The categories $\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}$ and \mathfrak{L} .* We start with a ‘negative’ observation. For even n , the ideal I_n of $\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}_n$ consisting of all tA -diagrams of rank 0 does not satisfy any non-trivial semigroup identity (and hence is finitely based and so is $\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}_n$). Indeed I_n is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup over the monoid $O * C$ from which one can construct an embedding of the free semigroup on two generators into I_n .

Theorem 5.11. *For every even n , the monoids $\overline{\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}_n}$ and $\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}_n$ do not satisfy any non-trivial identity and hence are finitely based.*

In order to proceed, recall the representation of the arrows of $\mathfrak{tA}\text{nn}$ discussed in Subsection 4.3. We need to analyse how the labels of the regions of a product $\alpha\beta$ of two tA -diagrams are formed. Every region R in $\alpha\beta$ is the union of certain regions A_i of α and B_j of β . Formally rather similar to

the situation of cobordisms, the label of the region R then is the sum of the labels of A_i and of B_j plus, perhaps, a certain ‘increment’ which is formed where the regions A_i and B_j have a common border. Figure 1 shows an example of how a product of three members α , β and γ of $\mathfrak{A}nn_{22}$ is formed, the result $\alpha\beta\gamma$ of which is in Figure 2.




FIGURE 1. $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma$

In Figure 2 we look at the transversal region which, if we traverse the transversal string starting at incoming point 10, is on the right hand side. Its label in $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is

$$f + (0) + g + (a) + h + k + (0) + (u + v) + \ell$$

where the sum over the labels of the involved regions is

$$f + g + h + k + \ell,$$

while the ‘increment’ generated in the composition $\alpha \circ \beta$ is $(a) + (0)$ and that resulting from the composition $\beta \circ \gamma$ is $(u + v) + (0)$. Note that the example is chosen such that $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\beta} = \underline{\gamma}$ and is an idempotent if composed in $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{Q}^e$.




FIGURE 2. $\alpha\beta\gamma$

Let now $n > 0$ and consider the canonical morphism $\Phi: \mathfrak{tAnn}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$. Let φ be an idempotent of \mathfrak{Ann}_n with $\text{rk}(\varphi) = r > 0$ and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t \in \varphi\Phi^{-1}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t$. We can choose $s_1, \dots, s_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every i , $\lambda_i^{-s_i} \alpha_i = \varphi_i$ where φ_i does not depend on i and $\varphi := \varphi_i$ is idempotent in \mathfrak{tL}_n^e and $\alpha_i \Phi = \varphi_i \Phi = \varphi \Psi = \varphi$. We note that the elements λ_n^s (interpreted in \mathfrak{tAnn}_n as: the diagram of \mathfrak{tL}_n^e with empty label on every region of λ_n^s) are central in \mathfrak{tAnn}_n . Hence we have

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t = \lambda_n^s \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t \quad (5.9)$$

for $s = \sum_{i=1}^t s_i$ and we now look at the product $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t$. All elements φ_i have the same skeleton φ but they have individual labeling functions \mathbf{c}_i . So, $\varphi_i = (\varphi, \mathbf{c}_i)$ according to the pair representation discussed in Subsection 4.3, we are looking at the product

$$\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t = (\varphi, \mathbf{c}_1) \cdots (\varphi, \mathbf{c}_t) = (\varphi, \mathbf{c}), \quad (5.10)$$

and we need to discuss the labeling function \mathbf{c} .

Since $\text{rk}(\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t) = \text{rk}(\varphi_i) = r$ for all i , a first observation is that $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t$ has no more in-regions than φ_1 and no more out-regions than φ_t and for every such region R we have $\mathbf{c}(R) = \mathbf{c}_1(R)$ for every in-region R and $\mathbf{c}(R) = \mathbf{c}_t(R)$ for every out-region R .

For any tA -diagram of non-zero rank, the transversal regions are in a bijective correspondence with the transversal strings, for example by assigning to a transversal string the transversal region that is to the right hand side if the transversal string is traversed from the incoming point to the outgoing point. Consider some transversal string in $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_t$. The transversal region T to its right, say, is obtained as the union

$$T^{(1)} \cup Q_1 \cup T^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup Q_{t-1} \cup T^{(t)}$$

of the corresponding transversal regions $T^{(i)}$ of φ_i and every Q_i is a union of certain out-regions $R_k^{(i)}$ ($k = 1, \dots, p$) of φ_i and certain in-regions $L_\ell^{(i+1)}$ of φ_{i+1} ($\ell = 1, \dots, q$). Note that these regions are the same for all i . For every pair $(i, i+1)$ let $\text{inc}(T; \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_{i+1})$ be the *increment of the label of T when φ_i is composed with φ_{i+1}* . The label $\mathbf{c}(T)$ then can be written as

$$\mathbf{c}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{c}_i(T) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbf{c}_i(R_k) + \sum_{i=2}^t \sum_{\ell=1}^q \mathbf{c}_i(L_\ell) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \text{inc}(T; \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_{i+1}).$$

Since all labels commute with each other, the contribution of φ_i to the label of T does not depend on its position within the sequence $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t)$, but only on how often it occurs; likewise the contribution of the pair $(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1})$ to the label of T (via increments) does not depend on the position of the pair $(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1})$ within the sequence $(\varphi_1, \varphi_1), (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \dots, (\varphi_{t-1}, \varphi_t)$, but only on how often it occurs. Altogether we have shown that the labeling function \mathbf{c} in the product (5.10) only depends

- (1) on the first element φ_1 and the last element φ_t of the sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$,

- (2) on the number of how often every element φ_i occurs in the sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$,
- (3) on the number of how often every pair $(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1})$ occurs in the sequence $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), (\varphi_2, \varphi_3), \dots, (\varphi_{t-1}, \varphi_t)$.

Moreover, the contribution of α_i to the number $s = \sum s_i$ also does not depend on the position of α_i within the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$, but only how often it occurs. Hence we have shown:

Proposition 5.12. *The value of the product (5.9) only depends*

- (1) *on the first and the last elements of the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$,*
- (2) *on the number of how often every element α_i occurs in the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$,*
- (3) *on the number of how often every pair (α_i, α_{i+1}) occurs in the sequence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3), \dots, (\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t)$.*

We are ready for the formulation of a central result of the present subsection.

Theorem 5.13. *Let $n > 0$, $\Phi: \mathfrak{tAnn}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$ be the canonical morphism and let φ be an idempotent of \mathfrak{Ann}_n which has non-zero rank. Then the semigroup $\varphi\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies every identity $u \simeq v$ such that*

- (1) *u and v start and end with the same letter,*
- (2) *every 2-letter factor occurs the same number of times in u and v .*

Consequently, the semigroup $\varphi\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies the identity

$$Z_3 \simeq x_1x_3x_1x_2x_1x_2x_1.$$

For rank zero idempotents the analogous claim is false; this follows from the discussion at the beginning of the present subsection concerning the ideal I_n of rank zero elements in \mathfrak{tAnn}_n for even n .

If we restrict the morphism $\Phi: \mathfrak{tAnn}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ann}_n$ to \mathfrak{L}_n we obtain the canonical morphism $\mathfrak{L}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_n$ where the latter is the *Jones monoid* (or *Temperley–Lieb monoid*) [6]. In particular, Theorem 5.13 holds *mutatis mutandis* for \mathfrak{tAnn}_n and \mathfrak{Ann}_n replaced with \mathfrak{L}_n and \mathfrak{J}_n ; in this case, the assumption that φ has non-zero rank can be dropped.

5.3.3. Summary. We are ready to formulate the summary of our results concerning the existence of finite equational bases for monoids of annular type. For even n we set $\mathfrak{tAnn}_n^\circ := \mathfrak{tAnn}_n/I_n$ where I_n is the ideal of \mathfrak{tAnn}_n consisting of all rank zero elements. Since I_n does not satisfy any non-trivial identity, the finite basedness of \mathfrak{tAnn}_n (n even) is essentially caused by this ideal. It seems to be natural to look what happens if we factor by the Rees congruence with respect to that ideal.

The list in the next theorem does not mention the deformed annular monoids \mathfrak{Ann}_n^d since these are implicitly contained in [6]: they are non-finitely based if and only if $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 5.14. *The following statements hold. In every case, the statement remains true if the monoid \mathfrak{C}_n in question is replaced with its extended regular version $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_n$.*

- (1) $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n$ is non-finitely based for every odd $n \geq 3$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n^\circ$ is non-finitely based for every even $n \geq 2$.
- (3) \mathfrak{L}_n is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 2$.
- (4) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^{\text{d}}$ is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 2$.
- (5) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 2$.
- (6) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^{\text{e}}$ is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 3$.

Proof. We are left with showing that the monoids in question do not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.

Recall the congruence \equiv_5 used in the definition of the deformed annular category $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}^{\text{d}}$ (4.7). We can restrict \equiv_5 to \mathfrak{L} and set $\mathfrak{K} := \mathfrak{L}/\equiv_5$. The corresponding endomorphism monoids \mathfrak{K}_n then are the *Kauffman monoids* [6, 8, 25]. In [6, Theorems 4.1] it was proved that for any $n \geq 3$, the Kauffman monoids \mathfrak{K}_n do not satisfy any non-trivial semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Moreover, the same proof shows that this result is true for every monoid of the form \mathfrak{K}_n/J_n where $n \geq 4$ is even and J_n denotes the ideal of all rank 0 elements. From this it follows that the monoids of items (1)–(3) do not satisfy any identity $Z_k \simeq W$, except for $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_2^\circ$ and \mathfrak{L}_2 . The latter two cases are dealt with in Propositions 5.16 and 5.17 below.

Also, for $n \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n^{\text{d}}$ does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$ (since the Kauffman monoid \mathfrak{K}_n embeds). Since there is a canonical morphism $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^{\text{d}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n^{\text{d}}$ also the former does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^{\text{d}}$ appears in the list for every $n \geq 3$. For $n = 2$ the claim follows from Proposition 5.18 below since $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^{\text{d}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2$. Altogether, this completely settles item (4).

For $n \geq 4$, the canonical morphisms $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^{\text{e}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_n$ implies by [3, Figure 12] that the Brandt monoid B_2^1 divides all these monoids. The case $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2$ is settled by Proposition 5.18. The case $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3 \cong \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3^{\text{e}}$ is treated in Proposition 5.20. Altogether this completely solves items (5) and (6). \square

We handle the remaining items. The first statement is not hard to verify.

Lemma 5.15. *Let the group \mathbb{Z} act on $C \times C$ by letting even n fix $C \times C$ and odd n swap the entries of $(a, b) \in C \times C$. Then $\mathfrak{A}\text{nn}_2^\circ$ is isomorphic to the monoid $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ with an extra zero adjoined.*

We recall that C is freely generated as a commutative monoid by the elements of the form (a) with $a \in C$. In particular, C is isomorphic with a commutative monoid freely generated by a countably infinite set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. We fix such generators somehow.

Proposition 5.16. *The monoid $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.*

Proof. Suppose by contrast that $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ does satisfy such an identity. Then this identity is balanced. We recall that if an identity $u \simeq v$ is satisfied by a monoid then so is the identity $u' \simeq v'$ where u' and v' are the words obtained from u respectively v by deletion of some fixed letter in both words. Suppose that $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the non-trivial balanced identity $Z_k \simeq W$ and assume that k is minimal with that property. Deletion of the letter x_1 in both words leads to the identity $Z'_k \simeq W'$ which is also satisfied by $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. But Z'_k is, up to renaming of the letters just the Zimin word Z_{k-1} from which (by the minimal assumption on k) it follows that Z'_k and W' are identical as words. Since W is obtained from W' by reinserting 2^{k-1} copies of the letter x_1 and since W is not identical to Z_k it follows that W somewhere contains the factor x_1^2 .

Now consider the substitution $X \rightarrow (C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, $x_i \mapsto ((x_i, 0), 1)$. It is readily checked that the value of the word $y_1 \cdots y_n$ with $y_i \in X$ is just

$$((\sum y_{2i-1}, \sum y_{2i}), n),$$

that is, the letters in the odd positions occur in the first entry of the $C \times C$ component while the letters in the even positions occur in the second entry. Consequently, the value of Z_k is

$$((2^{k-1}x_1, \sum_{1 < i \leq k} 2^{k-i}x_i), 2^k - 1).$$

In particular, no x_1 occurs in the second entry of the $C \times C$ component. On the other hand, since x_1^2 is a factor of W there is some occurrence of x_1 in an even position of W . Hence some x_1 occurs in the second entry of the $C \times C$ component and the value of W is of the form

$$((\dots, \dots + x_1 \dots), 2^k - 1).$$

Altogether the values of Z_k and W do not agree and the claim is proved. \square


Proposition 5.17. *The monoid \mathfrak{L}_2 does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.*

Proof. Let L be the subsemigroup (ideal) of all rank 0 elements of \mathfrak{L}_2 . Every element α of L has one in-string and one out-string, hence one in-region, one out-region and one transversal region. Altogether, α is uniquely determined by the triple $(a, b, c) \in C \times C \times C$ of labels of these regions. We assume that a is the label of the in-region, b the label of the transversal region and c is the label of the out-region. Composition of two diagrams $\alpha\beta$ then retains the label of the in-region of α , the label of the out-region of β . The label of the transversal region is the sum of the two labels of the transversal regions of α and β plus the increment which is formed as the encircled sum of the labels of the out-region of α and the in-region of β . So, L is isomorphic to the $C \times C$ Rees matrix semigroup over C subject to the matrix (p_{ij}) where $p_{ij} = (i + j)$. In other words, the composition of two triples is defined by

$$(a, b, c)(a', b', c') = (a, b + (c + a') + b', c').$$

Proposition 5.20. *The monoid $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3^e = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3$ does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$.*

Proof. We recall [3] that $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}_3$ is a 3×3 rectangular band ideal (consisting of all rank 1 elements) with group of units $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (consisting of all rank 3 elements). From Lemma 4.4 it follows that the morphism $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}_3$ is idempotent separating and the inverse image of every idempotent is an infinite cyclic group. It follows that the set V of all rank 1 elements of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3^e$ forms a completely simple semigroup over \mathbb{Z} . By the previous lemma it suffices to show that V is not orthodox. For this purpose, consider the following rank 1 elements of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_3^e$:



It is readily checked that α and β are idempotents such that $\alpha\beta$ is of infinite order. \square

For $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ all monoids in question are finitely based; they are actually commutative. Moreover, $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}_0 \cong O * C$ which does not satisfy any non-trivial identity. Altogether we have settled all cases with the exception of the monoid $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$ about which we state:

Theorem 5.21. *The monoid $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$ is finitely based. The identities (5.7) and (5.8) together with $x^3yx \simeq xyx^3$ form a basis of the monoid identities of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$. A basis of semigroup identities is obtained by deleting every possible choice of a subset of the set of letters $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ in the identities (5.7) and (5.8).*

The proof of this result is deferred to the next subsection.

5.4. Two non-trivial cases of finite basedness. The purpose of the present subsection is to prove Theorems 5.7 and 5.21. We start with an auxiliary construction.

Let S be a monoid, let L and R be non-empty sets and let S act on L on the left by $(s, \ell) \mapsto s\ell$ and on R on the right by $(r, s) \mapsto rs$. Let $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(L \times R; S) := S \cup L \times R$ (assuming that $S \cap L \times R = \emptyset$) and define a multiplication on $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(L \times R; S)$ by retaining the multiplication on S , letting $L \times R$ be endowed with rectangular band multiplication $(\ell, r)(\ell', r') = (\ell, r')$, and setting $s(\ell, r) = (s\ell, r)$ and $(\ell, r)s = (\ell, rs)$ for $s \in S$ and $(\ell, r) \in L \times R$. Then $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(L \times R; S)$ is a monoid and an ideal extension of the rectangular band $L \times R$ by S^0 . Of course, the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(R \times L; S)$ not only depends on the data $L \times R$ and S but also on the action of S on L and R , but in the following instances this will always be clear from the context.

5.4.1. *The case $2\mathcal{Cob}_1^\circ$ and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}_1^\circ}$.* Let \mathbb{Z} be the additive group of all integers. Consider the left respectively, right action of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $k \cdot m := k+m$, respectively $n \cdot k := n+k$. From these data we may form the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ which has $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ as submonoid (where the left, respectively right action of \mathbb{N}_0 on \mathbb{N}_0 is the induced one by the corresponding action of \mathbb{Z} on \mathbb{Z}). The following is readily checked.

Lemma 5.22. $2\mathcal{Cob}_1^\circ \cong \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\overline{2\mathcal{Cob}_1^\circ} \cong \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Hence, in order to prove Theorem 5.7 we need to find identity bases for the monoids $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$. In order to do so, we first shall characterize the identities which hold in these monoids and shall see that they are, in fact, the same, that is, the two monoids in question are equationally equivalent.

We need some combinatorial concepts. Let w be a word and x be a letter; we say that x *occurs* in w if w can be factorized as $w = u xv$ for some words u, v (which may be empty). In this situation, the words u and v are referred to as the *left context* and, respectively, the *right context* of the occurrence of x . Clearly, it may happen that x has several occurrences in w ; we order these occurrences according to the lengths of their left contexts so that the *leftmost occurrence* is the one with the shortest left context, and the *rightmost occurrence* is the one with the shortest right context. We denote by $\text{occ}_x(w)$ the number of occurrences of x in w . Recall that an identity $w \simeq w'$ is said to be *balanced* if $\text{occ}_x(w) = \text{occ}_x(w')$ for every letter x . It is well known and easy to verify that an identity is balanced if and only if it holds in the monoid \mathbb{N}_0 . As $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ and hence also $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ contain \mathbb{N}_0 as a submonoid, every identity holding in these monoids is balanced. In what follows, the latter observation is used without reference.

For a letter x and a word w , we denote by $L(w, x)$ the *left section* of w at x , that is, the longest prefix of w in which x does not occur. (If x occurs in w , the left section of w at x is nothing but the left context of the leftmost occurrence of x in w ; if x does not occur in w , the left section of w at x is just the word w itself.) Dually, $R(x, w)$ stands for the *right section* of w at x , that is, the longest suffix of w in which x does not occur. Given an identity $w \simeq w'$, its *left (right) section* at a letter x is the identity $L(w, x) \simeq L(w', x)$ (respectively, $R(x, w) \simeq R(x, w')$).

Lemma 5.23. *An identity holds in the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ if and only if it holds in the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if all of its left and right sections are balanced.*

Proof. For brevity, let M stand for $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0)$ in the course of this proof. Suppose that $w \simeq w'$ holds in M . Take a letter x and suppose that the left section of $w \simeq w'$ at x is not balanced. Then there is a letter $y \neq x$ such that $\text{occ}_y(L(w, x)) \neq \text{occ}_y(L(w', x))$. Consider the substitution φ that assigns the pair $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ to x , the number 1 to y , and the number 0

to all other letters. Then

$$w\varphi = \begin{cases} \text{occ}_y(L(w, x)) = \text{occ}_y(w) & \text{if } x \text{ does not occur in } w, \\ (\text{occ}_y(L(w, x)), k) \text{ for some } k & \text{if } x \text{ occurs in } w, \end{cases}$$

while

$$w'\varphi = \begin{cases} \text{occ}_y(L(w', x)) = \text{occ}_y(w') & \text{if } x \text{ does not occur in } w', \\ (\text{occ}_y(L(w', x)), k') \text{ for some } k' & \text{if } x \text{ occurs in } w'. \end{cases}$$

We conclude that $w\varphi \neq w'\varphi$, a contradiction. Thus, all left sections of $w \simeq w'$ are balanced; the case of right sections follows by symmetry.

Conversely, take an identity $w \simeq w'$ such that all of its left and right sections are balanced. Denote by X the set of all letters involved in either w or w' and consider any substitution $\varphi: X \rightarrow M$. Let

$$Y := \{y \in X \mid y\varphi \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

If $Y = X$ then the range of the substitution φ is contained in the submonoid \mathbb{N}_0 of M , and $w\varphi = w'\varphi$ since the identity $w \simeq w'$ is balanced as the left section of itself at any letter beyond X . Suppose that $Y \subsetneq X$, and let $x, z \in X \setminus Y$ be such that x occurs in w first and z occurs in w last amongst all letters from $X \setminus Y$ (notice that x and z may coincide). Let $x\varphi := (k, \ell)$ and $z\varphi := (m, n)$. All letters that precede the leftmost occurrence of x in w as well as all letters that follow the rightmost occurrence of z in w belong to Y . Since the identities $L(w, x) \simeq L(w', x)$ and $R(z, w) \simeq R(z, w')$ are balanced, the same conclusion holds for all letters that either precede the leftmost occurrence of x in w' or follow the rightmost occurrence of z in w' . If $L(w, x)$ and $L(w', x)$ are not empty then $L(w, x)\varphi = L(w', x)\varphi = p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$; similarly, if $R(z, w)$ and $R(z, w')$ are not empty then $R(z, w)\varphi = R(z, w')\varphi = q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Altogether, we have

$$w\varphi = w'\varphi = \begin{cases} (p + k, n + q) & \text{if } L(w, x) \text{ and } R(z, w) \text{ are not empty,} \\ (p + k, n) & \text{if } L(w, x) \text{ is not empty, but } R(z, w) \text{ is,} \\ (k, n + q) & \text{if } L(w, x) \text{ is empty, but } R(z, w) \text{ is not,} \\ (k, n) & \text{if } L(w, x) \text{ and } R(z, w') \text{ are empty.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the identity $w \simeq w'$ holds in the monoid M . Verbally the same proof holds for the monoid M replaced with the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$, hence these monoids satisfy the same identities. \square

Let us now recall the two monoid identities of Theorem 5.7. These are

$$xt_1yt_2 \cdot xy \cdot t_3yt_4x \simeq xt_1yt_2 \cdot yx \cdot t_3yt_4x, \quad (5.11)$$

$$xt_1yt_2 \cdot xy \cdot t_3xt_4y \simeq xt_1yt_2 \cdot yx \cdot t_3xt_4y. \quad (5.12)$$

In the following, the letter M stands for any of the two monoids

$$\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0) \text{ or } \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

From Lemma 5.23, we readily see that the monoid M satisfies the identities (5.11) and (5.12). It remains to show that these two identities imply every identity holding in M . For this, we devise a suitable normal form for words so that the following two properties hold:

- A) every word can be transformed into a word in normal form via a suitable sequence of applications of the identities (5.11) and (5.12);
- B) if an identity $w \simeq w'$ holds in the monoid M , then the normal forms of the words w and w' coincide.

Indeed, if such a normal form does exist, then for each identity $w \simeq w'$ that holds in the monoid M , we have the following deduction from (5.11),(5.12):

$$w \xrightarrow{(5.11),(5.12)} \cdots \rightarrow \text{the normal form of } w = \text{the normal form of } w' \xrightarrow{(5.11),(5.12)} \cdots \rightarrow w'.$$

Working towards the definition of our normal form, we need a common name for the leftmost and the rightmost occurrences of a letter in a word. We use the expression ‘an extreme occurrence’ as such a common name. An arbitrary word w in the letters x_1, \dots, x_k can be decomposed as

$$w = z_0 u_1 z_1 u_2 \cdots u_n z_n, \quad k-1 \leq n < 2k, \quad (5.13)$$

where z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n represent all extreme occurrences of the letters. This means that $\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and $z_i = z_j = x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ for $i < j$ if and only if z_i and z_j represent the leftmost and respectively the rightmost occurrences of the letter x . (The inequalities $k-1 \leq n < 2k$ hold due to the fact that each of the letters x_1, \dots, x_k has at least one and at most two extreme occurrences.) We refer to the decomposition (5.13) as to the *extreme representation* of w . The word $e(w) := z_0 z_1 \cdots z_n$ is called the *word of extreme occurrences* of w and the sequence of factors u_1, \dots, u_n (of which some may be empty) is called the *interior block sequence* of w .

Example 1. If $w = x^3 y x y t z^4 x y z$, then the extreme representation of w is

$$w = x \cdot x^2 \cdot y \cdot x y \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot z \cdot z^3 \cdot x \cdot 1 \cdot y \cdot 1 \cdot z,$$

with $e(w) = x y t z x y z$ and the interior block sequence $x^2, xy, 1, z^3, 1, 1$, where 1 stands for the empty word.

Lemma 5.24. *If an identity $w \simeq w'$ holds in the monoid M then the words of extreme occurrences of w and w' coincide.*

Proof. Let x be a letter occurring in the word w and let $w = u x v$ be the factorization that corresponds to an extreme occurrence of x in w . If this is the leftmost occurrence of x in w , then $u = L(w, x)$. By Lemma 5.23, the identity $L(w, x) \simeq L(w', x)$ is balanced, in particular, $|L(w, x)| = |L(w', x)|$. By the definition of the left section, the letter following the prefix $L(w', x)$ in w' must be x , and this occurrence of x must be its leftmost occurrence in w' . Hence, the leftmost occurrences of x in w and w' happen in exactly the same position from the left, namely, in the position $|L(w, x)| + 1$.

Dually, if the occurrence of x in w that corresponds to the factorization $w = uxv$ is the rightmost occurrence of x in w , then $v = R(x, w)$. By Lemma 5.23, the identity $R(x, w) \simeq R(x, w')$ is balanced, in particular, $|R(x, w)| = |R(x, w')|$. By the definition of right section, the letter preceding the suffix $R(x, w')$ in w' must be x , and this occurrence of x must be its rightmost occurrence in w' . Hence, the rightmost occurrences of x in w and w' happen in exactly the same position from the right. Since the identity $w \simeq w'$ is balanced, $|w| = |w'|$, whence the rightmost occurrences of x in w and w' happen in exactly the same position from the left, namely, in the position $|w| - |R(x, w)|$.

Now imagine that we build the words $e(w)$ and $e(w')$ as follows. We initialize two word variables e and e' with the empty word and scan the words w and w' in parallel from left to right, appending a letter to, respectively, e or e' whenever we encounter an extreme occurrence of this letter in, respectively, w or w' . As shown in the two preceding paragraphs, each extreme occurrence appears in w and w' in exactly the same position. Thus, our process appends to e and e' the same letters in the same order. This means that the equality $e = e'$ maintains at each step of the described process. Clearly, at the end of the process we get $e = e(w)$ and $e' = e(w')$, whence $e(w) = e(w')$. \square

In view of Lemma 5.24, if an identity $w \simeq w'$ holds in the monoid M then the interior block sequences of w and w' have the same number of blocks.

Lemma 5.25. *Suppose that an identity $w \simeq w'$ holds in the monoid M and u_1, \dots, u_n and u'_1, \dots, u'_n are the interior block sequences of w and w' , respectively. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the identity $u_i \simeq u'_i$ is balanced.*

Proof. Let (5.13) be the extreme representation of w . Then the extreme representation of w' is $w' = z_0 u'_1 z_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_n z_n$. We formally define $u_0 = u'_0 := 1$ and proceed by induction. Thus, let $i > 0$ and assume that all identities $u_s \simeq u'_s$ with $s < i$ are balanced. Then so is the identity

$$z_0 u_1 z_1 u_2 \cdots u_{i-1} z_{i-1} \simeq z_0 u'_1 z_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{i-1} z_{i-1}. \quad (5.14)$$

We aim to show that $u_i \simeq u'_i$ is balanced as well. Consider two cases.

Case 1: z_i is the leftmost occurrence of some letter x in w . Then we have $L(w, x) = z_0 u_1 z_1 u_2 \cdots u_{i-1} z_{i-1} u_i$ and $L(w', x) = z_0 u'_1 z_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{i-1} z_{i-1} u'_i$. Invoking Lemma 5.23 we get that the identity $L(w, x) \simeq L(w', x)$ is balanced. Since (5.14) is balanced too, we have that for each letter y :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{occ}_y(u_i) &= \text{occ}_y(L(w, x)) - \text{occ}_y(z_0 u_1 z_1 u_2 \cdots u_{i-1} z_{i-1}) = \\ &= \text{occ}_y(L(w', x)) - \text{occ}_y(z_0 u'_1 z_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{i-1} z_{i-1}) = \text{occ}_y(u'_i), \end{aligned}$$

whence the identity $u_i \simeq u'_i$ is balanced as well.

Case 2: z_i is the rightmost occurrence of some letter x in w . Lemma 5.23 ensures that the identity $R(x, w) \simeq R(x, w')$ is balanced and the identity

$w \simeq w'$ itself is balanced. Besides, the identity (5.14) is balanced. Now for each letter y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{occ}_y(u_i) &= \text{occ}_y(w) - \text{occ}_y(xR(x, w)) - \text{occ}_y(z_0 u_1 z_1 u_2 \cdots u_{i-1} z_{i-1}) = \\ &= \text{occ}_y(w') - \text{occ}_y(xR(x, w')) - \text{occ}_y(z_0 u'_1 z_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{i-1} z_{i-1}) = \text{occ}_y(u'_i), \end{aligned}$$

which again means that the identity $u_i \simeq u'_i$ is balanced. \square

We take our alphabet $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ and order it according to $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$. A word u over X is *sorted* if $u = x_1^{\text{occ}_{x_1}(u)} x_2^{\text{occ}_{x_2}(u)} \cdots$, where some exponents may be 0 and x^0 is the empty word for every letter $x \in X$. In other terms, u is sorted if it is the least (with respect to the lexicographic order) word among words v such that the identity $u \simeq v$ is balanced. We say that a word w is *in normal form* if all interior blocks in the extreme representation of w are sorted. For instance, if we assume that $t < x < y < z$, then the word $w = x^3 y x y t z^4 x y z$ from Example 1 is in normal form.

We are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 5.7. In fact, it follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 5.26. *The identities (5.11) and (5.12) form a basis for the monoid identities of M .*

Proof. As discussed above, the proof amounts to verifying that our normal form enjoys Properties A and B stated after Lemma 5.23. Property B readily follows from Lemma 5.25. Indeed, by the lemma, if an identity $w \simeq w'$ holds M and u_1, \dots, u_n and u'_1, \dots, u'_n are the interior block sequences of w and respectively w' , then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the identity $u_i \simeq u'_i$ is balanced, and therefore, sorting u_i and u'_i leads to the same sorted word. In order to verify Property A, we have to show that the identities (5.11) and (5.12) allow one to sort interior blocks in the extreme representation of any word w . For this, it suffices to show that an application of either (5.11) or (5.12) allows one to swap letters in any factor $x_j x_i$ with $i < j$ of an interior block of w . By the definition of interior blocks, the occurrences of x_i and x_j in this factor are not extreme in w . We locate the extreme occurrences of x_i and x_j in w and consider four cases, depending on the location of these occurrences relative to each other.

Case 1: The leftmost occurrence of x_i precedes the leftmost occurrence of x_j ; the rightmost occurrence of x_i precedes the rightmost occurrence of x_j .

In this case $w = V_0 x_i V_1 x_j V_2 x_j x_i V_3 x_i V_4 x_j V_5$ for some (possibly empty) factors V_0, \dots, V_5 , and one can apply (5.12) ‘from right to left’ to the factor $x_i V_1 x_j V_2 x_j x_i V_3 x_i V_4 x_j$, substituting x_i for x , x_j for y , V_k for t_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Case 2: The leftmost occurrence of x_i precedes the leftmost occurrence of x_j ; the rightmost occurrence of x_i follows the rightmost occurrence of x_j .

Here $w = V_0 x_i V_1 x_j V_2 x_j x_i V_3 x_j V_4 x_i V_5$, and one can apply (5.11) ‘from right to left’ to the factor $x_i V_1 x_j V_2 x_j x_i V_3 x_j V_4 x_i$, using the same substitution as in Case 1.

Case 3: The leftmost occurrence of x_i follows the leftmost occurrence of x_j ; the rightmost occurrence of x_i precedes the rightmost occurrence of x_j .

Here $w = V_0x_jV_1x_iV_2x_jx_iV_3x_iV_4x_jV_5$, and one can apply (5.11) ‘from left to right’ to the factor $x_jV_1x_iV_2x_jx_iV_3x_iV_4x_j$, substituting x_j for x , x_i for y , V_k for t_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Case 4: The leftmost occurrence of x_i follows the leftmost occurrence of x_j ; the rightmost occurrence of x_i follows the rightmost occurrence of x_j .

Here $w = V_0x_jV_1x_iV_2x_jx_iV_3x_jV_4x_iV_5$, and one can apply (5.12) ‘from left to right’ to the factor $x_jV_1x_iV_2x_jx_iV_3x_jV_4x_i$, using the same substitution as in Case 3. \square

5.4.2. *The case $\alpha\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$.* Let the group \mathbb{Z} act (on the right as well as on the left) on $[2]$ by letting even n fix $[2]$ and odd n swap the two elements on $[2]$. This action gives rise to the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}([2] \times [2]; \mathbb{Z})$. The following is readily checked.

Lemma 5.27. $\alpha\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}_2^e \cong \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}([2] \times [2]; \mathbb{Z})$.

Let N stand for the monoid $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}([2] \times [2]; \mathbb{Z})$. We start with a characterization of the identities which hold in N . First of all, N is a quotient of $M = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, let us define a relation \sim on M by setting

$$x \sim y \iff \begin{cases} x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x = y, \text{ or} \\ x = (a, b), y = (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \equiv c, b \equiv d \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then \sim is a congruence on M and $M/\sim \cong N$. In particular, every identity which holds in M also holds in N .

We need some further concepts. For a word $w \in X^+$ we denote by $\text{con}(w)$ the *content* of w , that is, the set of all letters of X which occur in w . An identity $w \simeq w'$ is *balanced mod 2* if (i) $\text{con}(w) = \text{con}(w')$ and (ii) for every letter $x \in X$, $\text{occ}_x(w) \equiv \text{occ}_x(w') \pmod{2}$. We recall that every identity which holds in N must be balanced.

Lemma 5.28. *A balanced identity holds in N if and only if all of its left and right sections are balanced mod 2.*

Proof. Suppose that $u \simeq u'$ holds in N . Take a letter x and suppose that the left section at x is not balanced mod 2. Since $u \simeq u'$ itself is balanced we may assume that x actually occurs in u (and hence also in u'). Assume that $L(u, x) \simeq L(u', x)$ is not balanced mod 2. Hence, either there is a letter y which occurs in exactly one of the words $L(u, x)$, $L(u', x)$, e.g. $y \in \text{con}(L(u, x))$ but $y \notin \text{con}(L(u', x))$, or $\text{occ}_y(L(u, x)) \not\equiv \text{occ}_y(L(u', x)) \pmod{2}$. In the former case let v and v' be the words obtained from u respectively u' by deleting all letters except x and y . The identity $v \simeq v'$ still holds in N . However, v starts with y while v' starts with x which contradicts the fact that N contains the rectangular band on $[2] \times [2]$ as a subsemigroup so that both sides of every identity holding in N must start and end with the same letter. Now assume that $\text{occ}_y(L(u, x)) \not\equiv \text{occ}_y(L(u', x)) \pmod{2}$, without

loss of generality, we assume that $\text{occ}_y(L(u, x))$ is even and $\text{occ}_y(L(u', x))$ is odd. We substitute $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for x and $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for y . Then the value of u is $(1, k)$ for some k while the value of u' is $(2, \ell)$ (for some $k, \ell \in [2]$). In any case, these values do not agree which contradicts the assumption that the identity $u \simeq u'$ holds in N . The case of right sections follows by symmetry. The proof of the converse is very similar to the second part of the proof Lemma 5.23. \square

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 5.21 now follows from arguments which are similar to the earlier arguments of the present section and which we sketch as follows. Suppose the word w is in normal form according to the previous definition: $w = z_0 u_1 z_1 \cdots u_n z_n$. The interior blocks u_i are sorted, that is, $u_i = x_{i_1}^{n_1} x_{i_2}^{n_2} \cdots$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$. We say that w is in *canonical form* if in every interior block u_i the exponents n_j are at most 2 except for those letters x_j which do not occur in an interior block u_ℓ with $\ell < i$ (that is, u_i is the leftmost interior block in which the letter in question occurs). It is clear that every word in normal form can be transformed into its canonical form by application of the identity $x^3 y x \simeq x y x^3$. Finally, from Lemma 5.28 it follows that for two words u and u' in canonical form, the identity $u \simeq u'$ holds in N if and only if u and u' are equal as words.

5.5. Adding involutions to the algebraic signature. We met already inverse unary operations on the categories \mathfrak{P} , \mathfrak{Ann} and on the regular extensions $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}$ for all categories \mathfrak{C} in question. The bad news is that our methods are not applicable to semigroups equipped with inverse unary operations $*$, simply because in this case the non-trivial identity $Z_1 \simeq x_1 x_1^* x_1$ holds.

However, the good news is that the involution $*$ on \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{Ann} gives rise to involutions σ and ρ on almost all categories in question which our methods can be applied to. Recall that the involution $*$ on \mathfrak{P} is defined as follows: for $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ we have that $\alpha^*: [n] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ is the ‘same’ partition, but with incoming and outgoing vertices swapped. In the pictorial representation in Subsection 3.1 this can be viewed as ‘reflection along the horizontal axis’. For the same $\alpha: [m] \rightsquigarrow [n]$ we let $\alpha^\rho: [n] \rightsquigarrow [m]$ be α^* *reflected along the vertical axis*, that is, after reflecting along the horizontal axis we apply the permutation $1 \leftrightarrow n, 2 \leftrightarrow n-1, \dots$ to the incoming vertices and $1 \leftrightarrow m, 2 \leftrightarrow m-1, \dots$ to the outgoing vertices. In the pictorial representation of Subsection 3.1 this means that the whole diagram is rotated by 180° and afterwards the vertices are re-numbered in increasing order from left to right. We call $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ the *reflection* and $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\rho$ the *rotation* both of which are involutions on the partition category \mathfrak{P} which leave invariant the category \mathfrak{Ann} .

5.5.1. Partition and cobordism categories enriched with involutions. We can extend the reflection and the rotation to $2\mathfrak{Cob}$, $2\mathfrak{Cob}^\circ$ and \mathfrak{P}^d as well as to their regular extensions $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}}$, $\overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}^\circ}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{P}^d}$ by setting (we use the symbol σ to denote the reflection since the symbol $*$ has been used for the inverse

unary operation on the regular extensions of the respective categories):

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, s)^\sigma &:= (\alpha^*, s) \text{ for } (\alpha, s) \in \overline{\mathfrak{P}^d} \\ (\alpha, g, s)^\sigma &:= (\alpha^*, g^\sigma, s) \text{ for } (\alpha, g, s) \in \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}} \\ (\alpha, g)^\sigma &:= (\alpha^*, g^\sigma) \text{ for } (\alpha, g) \in \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}^\circ} \end{aligned}$$

where g^σ means that g is applied to the reflected blocks (g^σ does not coincide with g^* in (3.21)), and the ‘non-overlined subcategories’ are always invariant under this operation. For the reflection the definition is analogous:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, s)^\rho &:= (\alpha^\rho, s) \text{ for } (\alpha, s) \in \overline{\mathfrak{P}^d} \\ (\alpha, g, s)^\rho &:= (\alpha^\rho, g^\rho, s) \text{ for } (\alpha, g, s) \in \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}} \\ (\alpha, g)^\rho &:= (\alpha^\rho, g^\rho) \text{ for } (\alpha, g) \in \overline{2\mathfrak{Cob}^\circ} \end{aligned}$$

and likewise, g^ρ means that g is applied to the rotated blocks and the operation leaves invariant the non-overlined subcategories. Reflection as well as rotation have an obvious natural geometric interpretation in the categories $2\mathfrak{Cob}$ and $2\mathfrak{Cob}^\circ$. Both operations are respected by the canonical morphisms (3.14) and (3.22).

In order to apply Theorem 2.3 it is sufficient to check which of the monoids do not satisfy any non-trivial involutory semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$ (which means that $W \in \mathcal{J}(X)$, that is, W may contain ‘starred’ letters); the other requirement follows from the ‘plain semigroup’ case. The main result in this context is

Theorem 5.29. *The following statements hold. In every case, the statement remains true if the monoid \mathfrak{C}_n in question is replaced with its extended regular version $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_n}$.*

- (1) *The involutory monoid $2\mathfrak{Cob}_n$ is non-finitely based for both involutions σ and ρ for every $n \geq 1$.*
- (2) *The involutory monoid $2\mathfrak{Cob}_n^\circ$ is non-finitely based for both involutions σ and ρ for every $n \geq 2$.*
- (3) *The involutory monoid \mathfrak{P}_n^d is non-finitely based for both involutions σ and ρ for every $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that in any mentioned case no involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ is satisfied. In case $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1$ we see that the involutions ρ and σ coincide. Consider the monoid A_2^1 as in (5.5) and endow it with the involution $(i, j)^* = (j, i)$, $0^* = 0$, $1^* = 1$; on the semigroup S defined in the proof of Proposition 5.4, the involution σ (and likewise ρ) is defined by $(i, s, j)^\sigma = (j, s, i)$ from which it follows that the morphism (5.6) respects the involution. It now follows from [2, Corollaries 2.7, 2.8] that $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1$ does not satisfy any non-trivial involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ for both involutions σ and ρ . For every $n \geq 1$ there is an embedding $2\mathfrak{Cob}_1 \hookrightarrow 2\mathfrak{Cob}_n$ which respects the involution σ so that the claim is true for the reflection σ . Finally,

for every $n \geq 2$ the canonical morphism $2\mathcal{Cob}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ respects the involution ρ ; it follows from [3, Theorem 2.13] that $2\mathcal{Cob}_n$ endowed with rotation does not satisfy any non-trivial identity $Z_k \simeq W$. The latter argument also proves the claim for $2\mathcal{Cob}_n^\circ$ and \mathfrak{P}_n^d for $n \geq 2$ and for the involution ρ .

So we are left with the latter two cases, but with respect to σ instead of ρ . Consider the following rank 1 partitions $[2] \rightsquigarrow [2]$ (incoming vertices are drawn on the left, outgoing on the right):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a_{00} = & \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1 \quad 1} \\ \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{2} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{1} \\ \quad \quad \quad \curvearrowright \\ \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{2} \end{array} = a_{01} \\
 \\
 a_{10} = & \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{1} \\ \quad \quad \quad \curvearrowleft \\ \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{2} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{1} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{---} \\ \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{2} \end{array} = a_{11}.
 \end{array}$$

These elements give rise to elements $\alpha_{ij} := (a_{ij}, \mathbf{0})$ in \mathfrak{P}_2^d and $\beta_{ij} := (a_{ij}, \mathbf{0})$ in $2\mathcal{Cob}_2^\circ$ (where in the latter case, $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the labeling function with value constant 0). In both cases the sets $\{\alpha_{ij}\}$ and $\{\beta_{ij}\}$ are invariant under the reflection σ . For $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ we have

$$\gamma_{00} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{01} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{11} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{10} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{00}.$$

In the first case, α_{ij} is idempotent if and only if $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$ while in the second case, β_{ij} is idempotent if and only if $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$. Let S be the subsemigroup of \mathfrak{P}_2^d generated by $\{\alpha_{ij}\}$ factored by the ideal consisting of all elements with second component larger than 0. Then S is isomorphic to A_2 as an involutory semigroup, hence S^1 is isomorphic to A_2^1 as involutory monoid (with involution defined above). Likewise, let T be the subsemigroup of $2\mathcal{Cob}_2^\circ$ generated by $\{\beta_{ij}\}$ factored by the ideal containing a block with positive label (that is, a component with positive genus). Again, T is isomorphic to A_2 as an involutory semigroup, hence T^1 is isomorphic to A_2^1 as an involutory monoid with the same involution. It follows that \mathfrak{P}_2^d as well as $2\mathcal{Cob}_2^\circ$ do not satisfy any non-trivial involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ with respect to reflection. Since there are reflection preserving embeddings $\mathfrak{P}_2^d \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n^d$ and $2\mathcal{Cob}_2^\circ \hookrightarrow 2\mathcal{Cob}_n^\circ$ for all $n \geq 2$ the claim is proved. \square

5.5.2. *Annular categories enriched with involutions.* We have already defined the operation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}^e$; in the present context we call this operation reflection. The definition can be extended to $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}^d$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha, k)^\sigma &:= (\alpha^*, k) \text{ for } (\alpha, k) \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}, \\
 (\alpha, k, \ell)^\sigma &:= (\alpha^*, k, \ell) \text{ for } (\alpha, k, \ell) \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}^d.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the R -diagram representation of a tA -diagram we can define the reflection in the same manner on $t\mathfrak{Ann}$: reflect the diagram along the horizontal line $\mathbb{R} \times \{\frac{a+b}{2}\}$ to get α^σ for $\alpha \in t\mathfrak{Ann}$. The involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\sigma$ leaves invariant the category \mathfrak{L} and hence can be restricted to the latter.

In order to define the rotation for diagrams $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e$ recall the embedding of $\mathbb{Z} \times [m] \sqcup \mathbb{Z} \times [n]$ into $R(a, b)$ via (4.2) and (4.3). Then α^ρ is defined to be the diagram obtained by rotating the strip $R(a, b)$ by 180° around the point $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2})$ and relabel the boundary points accordingly. This provides an involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\rho$ on the category $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^e$. Exactly the same procedure leads to the involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\rho$ on the category $t\mathfrak{Ann}$ which leaves invariant the subcategory \mathfrak{L} (hence can be restricted to the latter). Finally, for the intermediary categories $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^d$ we proceed as for the reflection:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, k)^\rho &:= (\alpha^\rho, k) \text{ for } (\alpha, k) \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}, \\ (\alpha, k, \ell)^\rho &:= (\alpha^\rho, k, \ell) \text{ for } (\alpha, k, \ell) \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.30. *The following statements hold. In every case, the statement remains true if the involutory monoid \mathfrak{C}_n in question is replaced with its extended regular version $\overline{\mathfrak{C}_n}$.*

- (1) $t\mathfrak{Ann}_n$ is non-finitely based for every odd $n \geq 3$ with respect to both involutions σ and ρ .
- (2) $t\mathfrak{Ann}_n^\circ$ is non-finitely based for every even $n \geq 2$ with respect to both involutions σ and ρ .
- (3) \mathfrak{L}_n is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 2$ with respect to both involutions σ and ρ .
- (4) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^d$ is non-finitely based for every $n \geq 2$ with respect to both involutions σ and ρ .
- (5) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ is non-finitely based for every even $n \geq 2$ with respect to both involutions ρ and σ .
- (6) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$ are non-finitely based for every $n \geq 3$ with respect to the involution ρ .

Proof. In order to apply Theorem 2.3 it is sufficient to check that the monoids in question do not satisfy any non-trivial involutory semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Recall the congruence \equiv_5 used in the definition of the deformed annular category \mathfrak{Ann}^d (4.7). As in the plain semigroup case, we restrict \equiv_5 to \mathfrak{L} and set $\mathfrak{K} := \mathfrak{L}/\equiv_5$. Note that both involutions are well defined on \mathfrak{L} and the congruence \equiv_5 respects both of them. The corresponding endomorphism monoids \mathfrak{K}_n are the *Kauffman monoids* [6, 8, 25]. In [6, Theorems 4.1, 4.3] it was proved that for any $n \geq 3$, the Kauffman monoids \mathfrak{K}_n do not satisfy any non-trivial involutory semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$ for both σ and ρ . Moreover, for even n let $\mathfrak{K}_n^\circ := \mathfrak{K}_n/J_n$ where J_n is the ideal of \mathfrak{K}_n consisting of all rank 0 elements. Similar arguments as those in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 of [6] (but more easy ones) also show that \mathfrak{K}_n° does not satisfy any involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ for every even $n \geq 4$.

Finally, recall that there is a canonical morphism $\alpha\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}^d$ and an embedding $\mathfrak{K} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}^d$ (both mappings respect both involutions). Altogether these arguments show that all monoids in items (1)–(4), with the exception of $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}_2^0$, \mathfrak{L}_2 and $\alpha\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{L}_2^d$, do not satisfy any non-trivial involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ for both involutions σ and ρ .

For $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{nn}_2^0$ we ignore the 0 and consider the submonoid of rank 2 elements in the representation of Proposition 5.16 as the semidirect product $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We note that the two involutions σ and ρ agree and in terms of the semidirect product representation this involution is given by

$$((a, b), k) \mapsto ((a, b), -k).$$

We have already seen that $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ does not satisfy any non-trivial semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Assume that $(C \times C) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies some non-trivial *involutory* identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Substituting $((x_i, 0), 0)$ for x_i and $((0, 0), 0)$ for the other letters we see that every letter occurs the same number of times on both sides. Since $Z_k \simeq W$ is non-trivial, W must contain a starred letter, say x_i^* . We substitute $((0, 0), 1)$ for x_i and $((0, 0), 0)$ for all other letters. Then the value of every word is $((0, 0), t)$ where t denotes the number of non-starred occurrences of x_i minus the number of starred occurrences of x_i . More concretely, the value of Z_k is $((0, 0), 2^{k-i})$ but the value of W is $((0, 0), t)$ for some $t \leq 2^{k-i} - 2 < 2^{k-i}$ since among the 2^{k-i} occurrences of x_i in W there is at least one starred version.

For the monoid \mathfrak{L}_2 we consider the representation of the ideal L consisting of all rank 0 elements of \mathfrak{L}_2 presented in Proposition 5.17. We have already seen that the monoid L^1 does not satisfy any non-trivial semigroup identity $Z_k \simeq W$. Moreover, as in the previous case, both involutions coincide. In the triple representation of the elements of L , the involution is given by the mapping $(a, b, c) \mapsto (c, b, a)$. Suppose that the non-trivial involutory identity $Z_k \simeq W$ is satisfied by L^1 . Fix some letter x_i ; substituting $(0, 0, 0)$ for x_i and 1 for all other letters we get that the value of Z_k is

$$(0, 0, 0)^{2^{k-i}} = (0, (2^{k-i} - 1) \cdot (0), 0)$$

while the value of W is $(0, 0, 0)^t = (0, (t - 1) \cdot (0), 0)$ where t is the number of occurrences of x_i in W . We see that these two numbers must coincide. Let i be such that x_i^* occurs in W . Let Z'_k and W' be the words obtained from Z_k and W by deleting all variables except x_i . Then Z'_k is the word $x_i^{2^{k-i}}$ while W' is a product consisting of 2^{k-i} factors of either x_i or x_i^* . The identity $Z'_k \simeq W'$ also holds in L^1 . Substituting $(0, 0, (0))$ for x_i we see that W' must start and end with x_i , otherwise the values of Z'_k and W' would not coincide. Moreover, the value of Z'_k under the latter substitution is

$$(0, 0, (0))^{2^{k-i}} = (0, (2^{k-i} - 1) \cdot ((0)), (0)).$$

On the other hand, since W' starts and ends with x_i and contains at least one occurrence of x_i^* it follows that the value of W' contains the factor

By the plain semigroup case already treated in Proposition 5.18 and Theorem 5.14, we may assume that W contains a ‘starred’ letter x_i^* . We substitute α for x_i and 1 for all other letters. Then the value of Z_n is $\alpha^{2^{k-i}} = (\alpha, 2^{k-i} - 1)$ since $(\alpha, 0)^t = (\alpha, t - 1)$ for all $t \geq 1$. Moreover, any product $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_t$ in $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$ of elements $\beta_i \in \{\alpha, \alpha^\sigma\}$ coincides with $\beta_1\beta_t$. It follows that the value of W is a product p containing 2^{k-i} factors which are either α or α^σ such that the first and last factor is α , and which contains at least one occurrence of α^σ . Also, $(\alpha^\sigma, 0)^t = (\alpha^\sigma, t - 1)$ for all $t \geq 1$, while $(\alpha, 0)(\alpha^\sigma, 0) = (\alpha\alpha^\sigma, 0)$ and $(\alpha^\sigma, 0)(\alpha, 0) = (\alpha^\sigma\alpha, 0)$. Consequently, that value of W is $(\alpha, 2^{k-i} - 1 - s)$ where s is the number of occurrences in p of factors of the form $\alpha\alpha^\sigma$ and $\alpha^\sigma\alpha$. Since p contains elements α as well as α^σ it follows that $s > 0$ so that the values of Z_k and W cannot coincide. Altogether $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ does not satisfy any involutory identity $Z_k \doteq W$ for both involutions ρ and σ . Since the canonical morphism $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2$ respects both involutions the claim follows also for this case, and the Theorem is proved. \square

Note that the involution σ is a inverse unary operation on every $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$ which coincides with $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n$ in case n is odd, hence we cannot apply our theory to these cases. As already mentioned, $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$ satisfies the semigroup identity $Z_4 \doteq x_1x_2x_1x_3x_2x_1^2x_4Z_3$, so we cannot apply the theory in the involutory case either.

- Problem 1.* (1) Is $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_2^e$ finitely based as an involutory semigroup with respect to ρ ?
 (2) Are $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_n^e$ ($n \geq 2$) finitely based as involutory semigroups with respect to σ ?

Remark 5. Several (but not all) results of the present subsection can alternatively be obtained from the ‘plain’ semigroup results of Subsections 5.2 and 5.3 by use of Theorem 4 of the paper by Lee [31].

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Nils Carqueville for introducing them to some secrets of the category of 2-cobordisms and for providing several references.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Almeida, *Finite Semigroups and Universal Algebra*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [2] K. Auinger, I. Dolinka, M. V. Volkov, *Matrix identities involving multiplication and transposition*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **14** (2012), 937–969.
- [3] K. Auinger, I. Dolinka, M. V. Volkov, *Equational theories of semigroups with involution*, J. Algebra **369** (2012), 203–225.
- [4] K. Auinger, I. Dolinka, T. V. Pervukhina, M. V. Volkov, *Unary enhancements of inherently non-finitely based semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **89** (2014), 41–51.
- [5] K. Auinger, *Pseudovarieties generated by Brauer type monoids*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), 1–24.
- [6] K. Auinger, Y. Chen, X. Hu, Y. Luo, M. V. Volkov, *The finite basis problem for Kauffman monoids*, Algebra Universalis **74** (2015), 333–350.

- [7] M. Bloss, *G-colored partition algebras as centralizer algebras of wreath products*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), 690–710.
- [8] M. Borisavljević, K. Došen, Z. Petrić, *Kauffman monoids*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **11** (2002), 127–143.
- [9] S. Burris, H. P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York (1981)
- [10] N. Carqueville, I. Runkel, *Introductory lectures on topological quantum field theory*. arXiv:1705.05734.
- [11] J. Comes, *Jellyfish partition categories*, Algebras and Representation Theory (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-018-09851-7>
- [12] I. Dolinka, J. East, A. Evangelou, D. FitzGerald, N. Ham, J. Hyde, N. Loughlin, *Enumeration of idempotents in diagram semigroups and algebras*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **131** (2015), 119–152.
- [13] K. Došen, Z. Petrić, *Self-adjunctions and matrices*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra **184** (2003), 7–39.
- [14] I. Durdev, I. Dolinka, J. East, *Sandwich semigroups in diagram categories*, arXiv:1910.10286.
- [15] J. East, R. D. Gray, *Diagram monoids and Graham–Houghton graphs: idempotents and generating sets of ideals*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **146** (2017), 63–128.
- [16] J. East, J. Mitchell, N. Ruskuc, M. Torpey, *Congruence lattices of finite diagram monoids*, Adv. Math. **333** (2018), 931–1003.
- [17] D. C. Ernst, *Diagram calculus for a type affine C Temperley–Lieb algebra, I.*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 11, 2467–2488.
- [18] D. C. Ernst, *Diagram calculus for a type affine C Temperley–Lieb algebra, II.*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 12, 3795–3830.
- [19] V. Gould, *Notes on restriction semigroups and related structures*, <http://www-users.york.ac.uk/~varg1/restriction.pdf>.
- [20] J. J. Graham, G. I. Lehrer, *The representation theory of affine Temperley–Lieb algebras*, L’Enseign. Math. **44** (1998), 173–218.
- [21] R. M. Green, *Generalized Temperley–Lieb algebras and decorated tangles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **7** (1998), 155–171.
- [22] R. M. Green, *Decorated tangles and canonical bases*, J. Algebra **246** (2001), 594–628.
- [23] T. Halverson and A. Ram, *Partition algebras*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **26** (2005), 869–921.
- [24] V. F. R. Jones, *Quotient of the affine Hecke algebra in the Brauer algebra*, L’Enseign. Math. **40** (1994), 313–344.
- [25] L. H. Kauffman, *An invariant of regular isotopy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318** (1990), 417–471.
- [26] L. H. Kauffman, *Knot logic*, in: L.H. Kauffman (Ed.), *Knots and Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, pp. 1–110.
- [27] N. V. Kitov, M. V. Volkov, *Identities of the Kauffman monoid \mathcal{K}_4 and of the Jones monoid \mathcal{J}_4* , arXiv:1910.09190.
- [28] J. Kock, *Frobenius Algebras and 2D Topological Quantum Field Theories*, London Mathematical Society, Student Texts 59, Cambridge University Press 2003.
- [29] J. R. Kujawa, B. C. Tharp, *The marked Brauer category*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **95** (2017), no. 2, 393–413.
- [30] A. D. Lauda, H. Pfeiffer, *Open-closed strings: Two-dimensional extended TQFTs and Frobenius algebras*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 7, 623–666.
- [31] E. W. H. Lee, *Equational theories of unstable involution semigroups*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. **24** (2017), 10–20.
- [32] A. I. Mal’cev, *Multiplication of classes of algebraic systems*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **8** (1967), 346–365 (Russian; Engl. translation Siberian Math. J. **8** (1967), 254–267)

- [33] P. Martin, *Temperley-Lieb algebras for nonplanar statistical mechanics — the partition algebra construction*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **3** (1994), no. 1, 51–82.
- [34] P. P. Martin, D. Woodcock, *On the structure of the blob algebra*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), 957–988.
- [35] P. Martin, R. M. Green, A. Parker, *Towers of recollement and bases for diagram algebras: Planar diagrams and a little beyond*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), 392–452.
- [36] V. Mazorchuk, *Endomorphisms of \mathfrak{B}_n , \mathcal{PB}_n and \mathfrak{C}_n* , Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 3489–3513.
- [37] T. E. Nordahl, H. E. Scheiblich, *Regular $*$ -semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **16** (1978), no. 3, 369–377.
- [38] D. Penneys, *A cyclic approach to the annular Temperley-Lieb category*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012), 1250049 (40p).
- [39] P. Perkins, *Finite axiomatizability for equational theories of computable groupoids*, J. Symbolic Logic **54** (1989), 1018–1022.
- [40] M. V. Sapir, *Problems of Burnside type and the finite basis problem for semigroup varieties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **51** (1987), 319–340 (Russian; Engl. Translation Math. USSR Izv. **30** (1988), no. 2, 295–314.)
- [41] M. V. Volkov, *The finite basis problem for finite semigroups*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **53** (2001), 171–199.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1,
A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

Email address: karl.auinger@univie.ac.at

INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, URAL FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,
LENINA 51, 620000 EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA

Email address: m.v.volkov@urfu.ru