

CONGRUENT NUMBER TRIANGLES WITH THE SAME HYPOTENUSE

DAVID LOWRY-DUDA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we discuss whether a single congruent number t can have two (or more) distinct triangles with the same hypotenuse. We also describe and carry out computational experimentation providing evidence that this does not occur.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

A *congruent* number is a rational number that appears as the area of a rational right triangle. The *congruent number classification problem* is the problem of determining whether a given number t is congruent. By scaling the triangle, one may consider only the classification of squarefree integers t ; we do this throughout the article. The study of congruent numbers is ancient and has a long history; see the survey article of Conrad [Con08] for more.

We consider whether a single congruent number t can have two distinct rational right triangles with the same hypotenuse. This question was first motivated by an observation in [HKLDW18].

In that paper, Hulse, Kuan, Walker, and the author consider a convolution sum whose main term exists only when t is congruent. Let $\tau(n)$ denote the square indicator function, taking 1 if n is a square and 0 otherwise. The primary theorem of [HKLDW18] is that

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{m \leq X} \tau(m+n)\tau(m)\tau(m-n)\tau(nt) = C_t \sqrt{X} + O_t((\log X)^{r/2}),$$

where r is the rank of the elliptic curve $E_t : Y^2 = X^3 - t^2X$ and

$$C_t = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}(t)} \frac{1}{h}$$

is the (convergent) sum over the set of hypotenuses $\mathcal{H}(t)$ of dissimilar primitive right integer triangles with area equal to tu^2 , i.e. the squarefree part of the area is t .

This work was supported by the Simons Collaboration in Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory, and Computation via the Simons Foundation grant 546235.

The author wants to thank John Cremona, Brendan Hassett, Joe Silverman, and Damiano Testa for the encouraging remarks and discussion.

Although there is implicit dependence on t in the error term and poorly understood dependence on t in the main term, heuristically one should expect that for large X , the main term “quickly” dominates.

In [HKLDW18], it is also shown that the set of hypotenuses $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is only logarithmically dense in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Thus heuristically the coefficient C_t of the main term is dominated by its first term m/h , where h is the smallest hypotenuse in $\mathcal{H}(t)$ and m is the multiplicity of this hypotenuse; and further, the main term should heuristically grow larger than the error term quickly once $X > h/m$.

The question of the size of the smallest hypotenuse in $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is closely related to the least height of a non-torsion point on E_t (we make this more precise in §3), but the multiplicity m is more mysterious.

In this article, we describe numerical experimentation suggesting that the multiplicity is always exactly 1. We also propose that this is always the case as a conjecture.

Conjecture. *There do not exist two dissimilar primitive right triangles with the same hypotenuse and whose squarefree parts of the areas are equal to each other.*

In §2, we describe why this problem might be hard to fully resolve.

We then describe and carry out numerical experimentation using the free and open source math software sage [Sag20]. In particular, we investigate all congruent numbers below 1000, and for each one we generate many different triangles. For a congruent number t such that the elliptic curve E_t has rank 1, we are only able to generate 300 triangles. But for those such that E_t has rank 2, we generate 6000 of distinct primitive triangles with squarefree part of the area equal to t . We describe this approach and the results in §3 and in §4, respectively.

2. ALGEBRAIC FORMULATION

One can parametrize right triangles with two variables (s, t) such that the sides are given by $(s^2 - t^2, 2st, s^2 + t^2)$. We follow the convention that the hypotenuse is written last in any triple (a, b, c) giving a right triangle, and that $s > t$. In this correspondence, primitive integer right triangles correspond to relatively prime integers s and t .

Thus finding two right triangles with the same hypotenuse and whose areas are the same up to multiplication by squares can be reformulated as finding integers (s, t, S, T) such that

$$s^2 + t^2 = S^2 + T^2$$

and where

$$\frac{st(s^2 - t^2)}{ST(S^2 - T^2)} \text{ is a square.}$$

This last equation can be rewritten as

$$u^2 st(s^2 - t^2) = v^2 ST(S^2 - T^2)$$

for some positive integers u, v .

These two equations define a surface

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{[s,t,S,T]} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{[u,v]}.$$

If we could understand all points on this surface, we could almost certainly resolve the conjecture. But it appears that this surface is of general type and beyond the scope of current algebraic techniques. Thus we can expect that a complete resolution of the conjecture is not within reach.

3. DESCRIPTION OF METHODOLOGY

With a purely theoretical resolution likely out of reach, we turn now to computational experimentation. There is a well-known correspondence between right triangles (a, b, c) with $ab/2 = t$ (which we may assume without loss of generality is a squarefree integer) and \mathbb{Q} -rational points on the elliptic curve $E_t : Y^2 = X^3 - t^2X$ where $Y \neq 0$. The inverse maps of this correspondence are given by

$$(a, b, c) \mapsto \left(\frac{tb}{c-a}, \frac{2t^2}{c-a} \right) = (X, Y),$$

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \left(\frac{x^2 - t^2}{y}, \frac{2tx}{y}, \frac{x^2 + t^2}{y} \right) = (a, b, c).$$

(See [Kob93] for a historical overview and description of the relationship between congruent numbers and elliptic curves).

Enumeration through elliptic curves. This provides an explicit and computable way of enumerating all rational right triangles with area t : find generators for $E_t(\mathbb{Q})$ and enumerate their linear combinations. This method of enumeration is of course vastly superior to naive enumeration and is at the core of the organization of the numerical experimentation.

In principle, it is necessary to also include the torsion subgroup of $E_t(\mathbb{Q})$. However for these curves, the torsion subgroup is completely understood and can be ignored. In particular, the torsion subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, generated by two points T_1 and T_2 . If the triangle (a, b, c) corresponds to the point $P \in E_t(\mathbb{Q})$, the eight equivalent triangles $(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$ correspond to the points $\pm P + \epsilon_1 T_1 + \epsilon_2 T_2$, where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus we omit no triangles (up to similarity) by omitting consideration of torsion points.

Hypotenuses, and heights, grow exponentially. Given an elliptic curve with known rank and generators, the primary obstruction to collecting numerical evidence is the size of the triangles and hypotenuses. There is a general theory that the heights of points on elliptic curves grow very rapidly under repeated addition. In Corollary 1 of [HKLDW18], it is shown that

$$|\{h \in \mathcal{H}(t) : h \leq X/2t\}| = O_t((\log X)^{r/2}),$$

where r is the rank of the corresponding elliptic curve E_t . In particular, letting $H(P)$ denoting the naive height of the X -coordinate of P , it is

TABLE 1. Congruent numbers below 1000 omitted from numerical experimentation

277	293	367	373	389	438	461	607	613	647
653	661	677	701	727	733	743	757	758	773
797	823	829	838	853	877	887	911	941	959
982	983	997	998						

shown that the hypotenuse h corresponding to a point P on E_t satisfies $h \geq H(P)/2t$, and thus hypotenuses grow about like the heights.

This gives additional heuristic evidence towards the conjecture: generally the hypotenuses are growing very rapidly. Unfortunately in practice this usually makes it prohibitively difficult to consider more than a few hundred triangles corresponding to the same congruent number.

Example 1. The elliptic curve $E_5 : Y^2 = X^3 - 25X$ has rank 1 and the free part of $E_5(\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $g = (-3, 9)$. This point corresponds to the primitive right triangle $(3, 4, 5)$. The hypotenuse is 3 bits long. The point $2 * g = (25/4, -35/8)$ corresponds to the primitive right triangle $(49, 1200, 1201)$, whose hypotenuse is 11 bits long. The point $4 * g$ has hypotenuse 2094350404801, which is 29 bits long. The point $400 * g$ (the largest multiple we considered on this curve) corresponds to a right triangle whose hypotenuse requires 410426 bits to store.

When the rank is greater than 1 (for the numbers considered, 53 had rank 2 and all others had rank 1 or 0), there are many more computable triangles. For these, it is possible to generate thousands of triangles before the sizes of individual sides become prohibitively expensive.

Computing rank and generators. In order to generate triangles for a congruent number t , we require that we can compute the rank and generators for E_t . For most curves corresponding to $t < 1000$, this is easily handled within sage [Sag20]. Directly using sage's `EllipticCurve.rank` and `EllipticCurve.gens` works for all but 84 cases. The smallest example is 113. The curve E_{113} has conductor $408608 < 500000$, and is therefore contained within the L-function and Modular Form Database (LMFDB) [LMF19]. However, it is also possible to directly use Tunnell's criterion [Tun83] to quickly confirm that 113 is not congruent.

Unfortunately, the other 83 cases are too large to be contained in the LMFDB. Using Tunnell's criterion [Tun83] to remove non-congruent numbers reduces this to 54 cases.

The smallest example is 157. The number 157 is congruent and is somewhat famous for its late classification by Zagier [Zag89]; the simplest rational triangle with area 157 is extremely complicated. By performing two-descent and extending computation time in sage, 20 additional cases (including 157)

TABLE 2. Summary statistics

rank	occurrences	minimum number of triangles considered for each occurrence
0	247	-
1	274	300
2	53	6000
not computed	34	-

are readily computed. Our computations do not include data for triangles corresponding to the 34 congruent numbers in Table 1.

Remark 2. It would be possible to further extend computation time or to manually investigate through descent to acquire generators for these curves, but we instead focus our computational effort on generating many different triangles.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We performed the strategy outlined in §3 for each squarefree congruent number t with $t \leq 1000$, with the exception of the 34 computationally expensive numbers in Table 1. (See the author’s demonstration github [LD] for a reference implementation to perform the experiment). Out of the 608 squarefree numbers up to 1000, we verified that 327 of them are congruent numbers and produced several triangles for each one.

Of these, 274 corresponding to curves with rank 1 and 53 to curves with rank 2. We note that this is consistent with the celebrated conjecture of Goldfeld [Gol79], which implies that although there may be infinitely many such curves of rank at least 2, these should be sparse and correspond to 0% of all congruent numbers in natural density.


For each congruent number corresponding to a curve with rank 1, we generated at least 300 distinct dissimilar rational right triangles. For each congruent number corresponding to a curve with rank 2, we generated at least 6000 distinct dissimilar rational right triangles.

Among all these computed triangles, we found no counterexample to the conjecture. There were no two dissimilar right triangles with the same hypotenuse (after scaling to a primitive right triangle) corresponding to the same congruent number.

Smallest hypotenuses. Further, we took this opportunity to collect data on the size of the smallest hypotenuse for each congruent number. In Figure 1, we plot squarefree congruent numbers t against the log of the smallest hypotenuse of a primitive right triangle with squarefree part of the area equal to t .

Within the plot, the first congruent number t with smallest hypotenuse greater than e^{100} is Zagier’s number, 157. The *largest* smallest hypotenuse

FIGURE 1. Smallest hypotenuses for congruent numbers



we computed corresponds to the congruent number $t = 967$, and is approximately e^{236} .

Many smallest-hypotenuses appear to be moderate in size, but no consistent pattern emerges.

REFERENCES

- [Con08] Keith Conrad. The congruent number problem. *Harvard College Mathematical Review*, 2:58–74, 2008. <http://www.math.harvard.edu/hcmr/issues/2a.pdf>.
- [Gol79] Dorian Goldfeld. Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields. In *Number Theory Carbonale 1979*, pages 108–118. Springer, 1979.
- [HKLDW18] Thomas A. Hulse, Chan Ieong Kuan, David Lowry-Duda, and Alexander Walker. A shifted sum for the congruent number problem, 2018.
- [Kob93] Neal Koblitz. *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, volume 97 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1993. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0909-6>.
- [LD] David Lowry-Duda. Sage implementation for congruent number triangles with the same hypotenuse. <https://github.com/davidlowryduda/notebooks/blob/master/>

- `Papers/CongruentNumberTrianglesSameHypotenuse.sage`.
Accessed: 2020-01-31.
- [LMF19] The LMFDB Collaboration. The L-functions and modular forms database. <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2019. [Online; accessed 30 October 2019].
- [Sag20] Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.8)*, 2020. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [Tun83] Jerrold B. Tunnell. A classical Diophantine problem and modular forms of weight $3/2$. *Invent. Math.*, 72(2):323–334, 1983. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01389327>.
- [Zag89] Don Zagier. *Elliptische Kurven: Fortschritte und Anwendungen*. Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, 1989.