

ON EXISTENCE OF SOME SPECIAL PAIR OF PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS OVER FINITE FIELDS

CÍCERO CARVALHO, JOÃO PAULO GUARDIEIRO SOUSA, VICTOR G.L. NEUMANN
AND GUILHERME TIZZIOTTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we generalize the results of Sharma, Awasthi and Gupta (see [11]). We work over a field of any characteristic with $q = p^k$ elements and we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ such that $f(\alpha)$ is also primitive in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} , where $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}(x)$ is a quotient of polynomials with some restrictions. We explicitly determine the values of k for which such a pair exists for $p = 2, 3, 5$ and 7 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q denote a finite field with q elements, where $q = p^k$ and p is a prime. In this paper we present results on the problem of finding pairs (α, β) of elements in \mathbb{F}_q which are related in some way, and are both primitive, i.e. both generate the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^* . The origins of this problem may be traced back to a question asked by A. Brauer to his former student E. Vegh about the existence of “pairs of consecutive primitive roots” in \mathbb{F}_p , meaning, in the above notation, that $\beta = \alpha + 1$. Vegh proved that if $p > 3$ and $\varphi(p-1)/(p-1) > 1/3$, where φ is the Euler totient function, then there exists such a pair (see [13]). Three years later he proved, among other results, that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then the condition $\varphi(p-1)/(p-1) > 1/4$ is enough to guarantee the existence of such pair (see [14]). In 1985, in a series of two papers (see [1, 2]) Cohen extended the result, proving that if $q > 3$ and $q \not\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ then there exists such a pair of primitive elements. From there the question whether there exist pairs of primitive elements (α, β) where β is a polynomial in α was a natural one. It was considered, especially for polynomials of degree one and two, by several authors (see e.g. the survey [3]) and in 2015 Cohen, Oliveira e Silva and Trudgian proved, among other results, that for every $q > 61$ there exists a pair (α, β) of primitive elements with $\beta = a\alpha + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For polynomials of degree two, the most recent result is by Booker, Cohen and Sutherland (see [7]), and

it states that for every $q > 211$ there exists a pair (α, β) of primitive elements with $\beta = a\alpha^2 + b\alpha + c$, with $b^2 - 4ac \neq 0$.

Of course, one can also consider pairs (α, β) of primitive elements such that β is a rational function of α , and recently several authors have studied this problem. One of the simplest case is trivial: $\beta = 1/\alpha$ is primitive if and only if α is primitive. In 2012 Wang, Cao and Feng (see [15]) proved, among other results, that if $q = 2^{sn}$ then there exists a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that $\beta = \alpha + 1/\alpha$ provided that n is odd, $n \geq 13$ and $s > 4$. Two years later their result was generalized by Cohen (see [5]), who proved, also assuming that q is a power of 2, that if $q \geq 8$ then there exists a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that $\beta = \alpha + 1/\alpha$. Also in 2014 Kapetanakis presented necessary conditions for the existence of a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that $\beta = (a\alpha + b)/(c\alpha + d)$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In 2017 Anju and Sharma found necessary conditions for existence of a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that $\beta = (a\alpha^2 + b\alpha + c)/(d\alpha + e)$, with $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $q = 2^k$. In 2018 Sharma, Awasthi and Gupta, again working over a finite field of characteristic two, determined conditions for the existence of a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that $\beta = (a\alpha^2 + b\alpha + c)/(d\alpha^2 + e\alpha + f)$, with $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$.

In the present paper we generalize the results of Sharma, Awasthi and Gupta, finding conditions that guarantee existence of a pair (α, β) of primitive elements such that β is a quotient of polynomial expressions in α . We work over a field of any characteristic with $q = p^k$ elements. Our main result is Theorem 3.2 which is used to determine the values of k for which such a pair exists, although sometimes we also need Lemma 3.5 to determine this values. The next section contains the definition of the set $\Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$, and basic concepts and results that will be used throughout the work. In Section 3, we present the general results about the set $\Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$. Finally, in Section 4 we determine the sets $\Gamma_p(3, 2)$ for $p = 2, 3, 5$ and 7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, p is a prime, k is a positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q will denote a finite field with $q = p^k$ elements, and we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers.

An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is called *primitive* if α is a generator of the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^* , or equivalently, if the multiplicative order of α is $q - 1$. A pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$

is a *primitive pair* in \mathbb{F}_q if α and β are primitive elements. It is clear that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is a primitive pair if and only if $(\alpha, \beta^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is a primitive pair.

The following concepts will play a crucial role in what follows.

Definition 2.1. *i) Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we define $\Lambda_q(f_1, f_2)$ as the set of pairs $(n, g) \in \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{F}_q[x] \setminus \{x\})$ such that $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$, g is monic, irreducible, $g^n \mid f_1 f_2$ and $g^{n+1} \nmid f_1 f_2$.*

ii) Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$ as the set of rational functions $\frac{f_1}{f_2} \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ such that $\deg(f_1) \leq m_1$, $\deg(f_2) \leq m_2$, $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1$ and $\Lambda_q(f_1, f_2) \neq \emptyset$.

iii) Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$ as the set of positive integers k such that \mathbb{F}_{p^k} contains an element α with $(\alpha, f(\alpha))$ a primitive pair for all $f \in \Upsilon_{p^k}(m_1, m_2)$.

In [9] and [11], the authors studied sets similar to $\Gamma_2(2, 1)$ and $\Gamma_2(2, 2)$, respectively. We comment on this similarity at the beginning of Section 4.

The next result give us some properties of the sets above.

Proposition 2.2. *Let p be a prime and let $k, \ell_1, \ell_2, m_1, m_2$ be positive integers. Then,*

- (1) $\Upsilon_{p^k}(m_1, m_2) \subsetneq \Upsilon_{p^k}(m_1 + \ell_1, m_2 + \ell_2)$;
- (2) $\Gamma_p(m_1, m_2) = \Gamma_p(m_2, m_1)$;
- (3) $\Gamma_p(m_1 + \ell_1, m_2 + \ell_2) \subset \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$.

Proof. (1) The inclusion is obvious, and for the inequality it suffices to take f_1 to be an irreducible polynomial of degree $m_1 + \ell_1$ and $f_2 = 1$.
 (2) This is a direct consequence of the fact that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is a primitive pair if and only if $(\alpha, \beta^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is a primitive pair.
 (3) It is a direct consequence of (1).

□

In what follows we will need the following result, which is a special case of [8, Theorem 5.5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be a rational function. Write $h(x) = \prod_{j=1}^r h_j(x)^{n_j}$, where $h_j(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ are irreducible polynomials and n_j are nonzero integers. Let χ be a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q . Suppose that the rational function $h(x)$ is not of*

the form $g(x)^{\text{ord}(\chi)}$ in $\mathbb{F}(x)$, where \mathbb{F} is an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q . Then we have

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \\ h(\alpha) \neq 0, h(\alpha) \neq \infty}} \chi(h(\alpha)) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \deg(h_j) - 1 \right) q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let s be a divisor of $q - 1$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is called s -free if, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \mid s$ and $d \neq 1$, there is no $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfying $\beta^d = \alpha$.

Thus, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is s -free then α cannot be a d -th power of an element, where $d \neq 1$ and $d \mid s$. Next, we present some easy remarks that we will use in what follows.

Remark 2.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then:

- (1) α is primitive if, and only if, α is $(q - 1)$ -free;
- (2) if α is s -free for some integer s , then α is e -free, for any $e \mid s$;
- (3) if α is s_1 -free and s_2 -free, then α is $\text{lcm}(s_1, s_2)$ -free;
- (4) let p_1, \dots, p_n be primes, then α is $(p_1 \cdots p_n)$ -free if and only if α is $(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n})$ -free, where $\alpha_i > 0$ for all i .

From [4] we get that the characteristic function ρ_s of the set of s -free elements is given by

$$(1) \quad \alpha \mapsto \theta(s) \sum_{d \mid s} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\text{ord}(\chi)=d} \chi(\alpha),$$

where $\theta(s) := \frac{\phi(s)}{s}$, μ is the Moebius's function, and $\text{ord}(\chi)$ denotes the order of the multiplicative character χ .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let m_1 and m_2 be positive integers, our aim is to determine for which k there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ such that $(\alpha, f(\alpha))$ is a primitive pair for all $f \in \Upsilon_{p^k}(m_1, m_2)$. For this we will need the following concept.

Definition 3.1. Let $q = p^k$ and let l_1 and l_2 be divisors of $q - 1$. Given $f \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$ we will denote by $N_f(l_1, l_2)$ the number of pairs $(\alpha, f(\alpha))$ such that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is l_1 -free and $f(\alpha)$ is l_2 -free.

Thus, in order to have $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$, we must have $N_f(q - 1, q - 1) > 0$ for all $f \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$.

For an integer ℓ , we denote by $\omega(\ell)$ and $W(\ell)$ the number of prime divisors of ℓ and the number of square-free divisors of ℓ , respectively, clearly one has $W(\ell) = 2^{\omega(\ell)}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2} \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$, with $q \geq 4$. If $q^{\frac{1}{2}} > (m_1 + m_2)W(l_1)W(l_2)$, then $N_f(l_1, l_2) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2} \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$ and let

$$S_f := \{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q : f_1(\beta) = 0 \text{ or } f_2(\beta) = 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Using the characteristic functions ρ_{l_1} and ρ_{l_2} we have

$$N_f(l_1, l_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \rho_{l_1}(\alpha) \rho_{l_2}(f(\alpha)),$$

and from the formulas for these functions we get

$$(2) \quad N_f(l_1, l_2) = \theta(l_1)\theta(l_2) \sum_{d_1 | l_1, d_2 | l_2} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1) = d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2) = d_2}} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2),$$

where $\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi_1(\alpha) \chi_2(f(\alpha))$.

Let χ_1 and χ_2 be multiplicative characters of orders d_1 and d_2 , respectively, where $d_1 | l_1$ and $d_2 | l_2$. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$, it is well-known (see e.g. [10, Thm. 5.8]) that there exists a character χ of order $q - 1$ and an integer $n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 2\}$ such that $\chi_i(\alpha) = \chi(\alpha^{n_i})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and observe that $n_i = 0$ if and only if $d_i = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi(\alpha^{n_1} f_1(\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\alpha)^{-n_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi(h(\alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = x^{n_1} f_1(x)^{n_2} f_2(x)^{-n_2}$. To find a bound for $N_f(l_1, l_2)$ we will bound the above summation according to the values of d_1 and d_2 . We consider three cases.

- (i) We first consider the case where $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = 1$. Then $n_1 = 0$, $n_2 = 0$ and $h = 1$, so that

$$\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \#(\mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f) \geq q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1).$$

- (ii) Now we deal with the case where $d_1 \neq 1$ and $d_2 = 1$. Then $n_2 = 0$ and $h(\alpha) = \alpha^{n_1}$. Observe that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(\alpha^{n_1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi_1(\alpha) = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)| &= \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(\alpha^{n_1}) - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi(\alpha^{n_1}) \right| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in S_f \setminus \{0\}} \chi(\alpha^{n_1}) \right| \\ &\leq (m_1 + m_2) < (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Lastly we consider the case where $d_2 \neq 1$, so that $n_2 \neq 0$. To bound $\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ we want to use Lemma 2.3, and we start by showing that indeed we can use it. So we assume by means of absurd that $h(x) = \left(\frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}\right)^{q-1}$ for some $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, with $\deg(g_1) = r_1$, $\deg(g_2) = r_2$, and $\gcd(g_1, g_2) = 1$, then

$$x^{n_1} f_1(x)^{n_2} g_2(x)^{q-1} = f_2(x)^{n_2} g_1^{q-1}(x).$$

Since $\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$, there exists an irreducible monic polynomial $t(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $t(x) \neq x$ and a positive integer n with $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ such that $t(x)^n$ appears in the factorization of either $f_1(x)$ or $f_2(x)$. Let's suppose that $t(x)^n$ appears in the factorization of $f_2(x)$, and let $\tilde{t}(x)$ be an irreducible factor of $t(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}[x]$. Clearly $\tilde{t}(x)$ has degree one, $\tilde{t}(x) \neq x$ and since \mathbb{F}_q is a perfect field we know that $\tilde{t}(x)$ appears with multiplicity one in the factorization of $t(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}[x]$. Since $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ they are also coprime in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ so $\tilde{t}(x)^{nn_2}$ appears in the factorization of $g_2(x)^{q-1}$. From this one may conclude that $q-1 \mid nn_2$, and from $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ we get $q-1 \mid n_2$, a contradiction. So we must have that $t(x)^n$ appears in the factorization of $f_1(x)$, and reasoning as above again we conclude that $q-1 \mid n_2$, which is impossible. Thus, if $d_2 \neq 1$ then $n_2 \neq 0$ and we get that $h(x)$ is not of the form $g(x)^{q-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}(x)$.

Let T_h be the set of $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $h(\beta) = 0$ or $h(\beta)$ is not defined. If $0 \in T_h$ then $T_h = S_f$ and from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$|\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi(h(\alpha)) \right| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus T_h} \chi(h(\alpha)) \right| \leq (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If $0 \notin T_h$ then

$$|\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus S_f} \chi(h(\alpha)) \right| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus T_h} \chi(h(\alpha)) - \chi(h(0)) \right| \leq (m_1 + m_2 - 1)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

so anyway we get $|\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)| \leq (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we use the above estimates to bound $N_f(l_1, l_2)$. In the second inequality below we use that there are $\phi(d_1)$ characters of order d_1 and $\phi(d_2)$ characters of order d_2 , so we have $\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)$ pairs of such characters. From (2) and what we did above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(l_1, l_2) &\geq \theta(l_1)\theta(l_2) \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) - \sum_{\substack{d_1|l_1, d_2|l_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) \neq (1, 1)}} \frac{|\mu(d_1)||\mu(d_2)|}{\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1)=d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2)=d_2}} |\tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2)| \right) \\ &\geq \theta(l_1)\theta(l_2) \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) - \sum_{\substack{d_1|l_1, d_2|l_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) \neq (1, 1)}} \frac{|\mu(d_1)||\mu(d_2)|}{\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1)=d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2)=d_2}} (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\geq \theta(l_1)\theta(l_2) \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) - (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{d_1|l_1, d_2|l_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) \neq (1, 1)}} |\mu(d_1)||\mu(d_2)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

The last sum is equal to the number of pairs $(d_1, d_2) \neq (1, 1)$ such that $d_1 | l_1$ and $d_2 | l_2$ with d_1 and d_2 square-free, since the Moebius function returns 0 in the other cases, so

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\substack{d_1|l_1, d_2|l_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) \neq (1, 1)}} |\mu(d_1)||\mu(d_2)| = W(l_1)W(l_2) - 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$(4) \quad N_f(l_1, l_2) > \theta(l_1)\theta(l_2) \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) - (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}(W(l_1)W(l_2) - 1) \right).$$

Thus, if $q \geq (m_1 + m_2 + 1) + (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}(W(l_1)W(l_2) - 1)$, then $N_f(l_1, l_2) > 0$.

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} &(m_1 + m_2 + 1) + (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}(W(l_1)W(l_2) - 1) \\ &= (m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}}W(l_1)W(l_2) - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((m_1 + m_2) - q^{\frac{-1}{2}}(m_1 + m_2 + 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $(m_1 + m_2) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_1 + m_2 + 1) \geq 0$ if and only if $(m_1 + m_2)(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \geq 1$ we observe that, for a fixed q , the least value on the left occurs when $m_1 + m_2 = 1$, and then we must have $q \geq 4$. Thus, if $q \geq 4$ and $q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq (m_1 + m_2)W(l_1)W(l_2)$ we have that $N_f(l_1, l_2) > 0$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $q \geq 4$ and $q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq (m_1 + m_2)W(q - 1)^2$ then $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$.*

The next two results, and their proofs, are similar, respectively, to [6, Lemma 3.3] and [5, Theorem 3.8].

Lemma 3.4. *Let ℓ be a divisor of $q - 1$ and let $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be the set of all primes which divide $q - 1$ but do not divide ℓ . Then*

$$(5) \quad N_f(q - 1, q - 1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) + \sum_{i=1}^r N_f(\ell, p_i \ell) - (2r - 1)N_f(\ell, \ell).$$

Proof. The left side of (5) counts every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ for which both α and $f(\alpha)$ are primitive. Observe that if α and $f(\alpha)$ are primitive then they are also $p_i \ell$ -free and ℓ -free, so they are counted $r + r - (2r - 1) = 1$ times on the right side of (5). For any other $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, from Remark 2.5, we have that either α or $f(\alpha)$ is not $p_i \ell$ -free for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, so the right side of (5) is at most zero. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let ℓ be a divisor of $q - 1$ and let $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be the set of all primes which divide $q - 1$ but do not divide ℓ . Suppose that $\delta = 1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{p_i} > 0$ and let $\Delta = \frac{2r-1}{\delta} + 2$. If $q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq (m_1 + m_2)W(\ell)^2 \Delta$, then $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\theta(p_i) = 1 - \frac{1}{p_i}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, then we may rewrite the right side of (5) obtaining

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} N_f(q - 1, q - 1) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^r (N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) - \theta(p_i)N_f(\ell, \ell)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r (N_f(\ell, p_i \ell) - \theta(p_i)N_f(\ell, \ell)) + \delta N_f(\ell, \ell). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta(p_i \ell) = \theta(p_i)\theta(\ell)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, from Equation (2) we get

$$N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) = \theta(p_i)\theta(\ell)^2 \sum_{d_1 | p_i \ell, d_2 | \ell} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1)=d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2)=d_2}} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2),$$

for all i .

We split the set of d_1 's which divide $p_i \ell$ into two sets: the first one contains those which do not have p_i as a factor, while the seconde one contains those which are a mutiple of p_i . This will split the first summation into two sums, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) &= \theta(p_i) \theta(\ell)^2 \sum_{d_1 | \ell, d_2 | \ell} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_1) \phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1) = d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2) = d_2}} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2) \\ &+ \theta(p_i) \theta(\ell)^2 \sum_{p_i | d_1, d_1 | p_i \ell, d_2 | \ell} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_1) \phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1) = d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2) = d_2}} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2) \end{aligned}$$

and from the expression for $N_f(\ell, \ell)$ (see equation (2)) we get

$$N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) - \theta(p_i) N_f(\ell, \ell) = \theta(p_i) \theta(\ell)^2 \sum_{\substack{p_i | d_1, d_1 | p_i \ell \\ d_2 | \ell}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_1) \phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\text{ord}(\chi_1) = d_1 \\ \text{ord}(\chi_2) = d_2}} \tilde{\chi}(\chi_1, \chi_2).$$

From (ii) and (iii) in the proof of Theorem 3.2 and from

$$\sum_{\substack{p_i | d_1, d_1 | p_i \ell \\ d_2 | \ell}} |\mu(d_1)| |\mu(d_2)| = W(\ell)^2$$

we conclude that

$$|N_f(p_i \ell, \ell) - \theta(p_i) N_f(\ell, \ell)| \leq (m_1 + m_2) \theta(p_i) \theta(\ell)^2 W(\ell)^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In a similar way, we can conclude that

$$|N_f(\ell, p_i \ell) - \theta(p_i) N_f(\ell, \ell)| \leq (m_1 + m_2) \theta(p_i) \theta(\ell)^2 W(\ell)^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Replacing those results on inequality (6) we get

$$N_f(q-1, q-1) \geq \delta N_f(\ell, \ell) - 2(m_1 + m_2) \theta(\ell)^2 W(\ell)^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^r \theta(p_i).$$

From $\sum_{i=1}^r \theta(p_i) = \frac{\delta}{2} \left(\frac{2r-1}{\delta} + 1 \right) = \frac{\delta}{2} (\Delta - 1)$, where $\Delta = \frac{2r-1}{\delta} + 2$, we get

$$N_f(q-1, q-1) \geq \delta N_f(\ell, \ell) - (m_1 + m_2) \delta (\Delta - 1) \theta(\ell)^2 W(\ell)^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $N_f(\ell, \ell) \geq \theta(\ell)^2 \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) - (m_1 + m_2) q^{\frac{1}{2}} (W(\ell)^2 - 1) \right)$ (see equation (4)), we have that

$$N_f(q-1, q-1) \geq \delta \theta(\ell)^2 \left(q - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) + (m_1 + m_2) q^{\frac{1}{2}} - (m_1 + m_2) \Delta W(\ell)^2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

From the hypothesis we have $\delta > 0$, and since $(m_1 + m_2)q^{\frac{1}{2}} - (m_1 + m_2 + 1) > 0$, we conclude that if $q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq (m_1 + m_2)W(\ell)^2\Delta$, then $N_f(q-1, q-1) > 0$ and therefore $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$. \square

For any p we have the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *If $\phi(q-1) \leq m_1 + m_2 + 1$, then $k \notin \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\phi(q-1)}\}$ the set of all primitive elements of \mathbb{F}_q . Note that, if $\phi(q-1) \leq m_1 + m_2 + 1$, we may choose polynomials $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ of degrees m_1 and m_2 , respectively, such that $f_1(\alpha_j)f_2(\alpha_j) = 0$, for all $j = 1, \dots, \phi(q-1) - 1$, and $f_1(\alpha_{\phi(q-1)}), f_2(\alpha_{\phi(q-1)}) \neq 0$, and $f(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$. So, $(\alpha_j, f(\alpha_j))$ is not a primitive pair for all $j = 1, \dots, \phi(q-1) - 1$. Taking $\beta = \frac{1}{f(\alpha_{\phi(q-1)})}$ we have that $h(x) = \beta f(x) \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$ and $(\alpha_{\phi(q-1)}, h(\alpha_{\phi(q-1)})) = (\alpha_{\phi(q-1)}, 1)$ is not a primitive pair either. Thus $k \notin \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$. \square

When $p = 2$ we can use the following results to gather information on the set $\Gamma_2(m_1, m_2)$. The proposition below is a generalization of [9, Theorem 3.7].

Proposition 3.7. *Let $m = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $k > 1$. If $2^k - 1$ is a prime number and $2^k - 2 > m_1 + m_2 + m$ then $k \in \Gamma_2(m_1, m_2)$.*

Proof. Assume that $2^k - 1$ is a prime, then we have a total of $2^k - 2$ primitive elements in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} , since every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^* \setminus \{1\}$ is primitive. Let $f(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \in \Upsilon_{2^k}(m_1, m_2)$ and assume that $2^k - 2 > m_1 + m_2 + m$. Since $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ have at most m_1 and m_2 roots, respectively, and $f_1(x) - f_2(x)$ has at most m roots, with $m = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$ then there exists a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ such that $f_1(\alpha) \neq 0$, $f_2(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $f_1(\alpha) \neq f_2(\alpha)$, so that $f(\alpha)$ is primitive. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let $q = 2^k$ and $m = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. If*

$$\phi(q-1) + \frac{1}{m}\phi(q-1) > q,$$

then $k \in \Gamma_2(m_1, m_2)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \in \Upsilon_q(m_1, m_2)$ and define

$$A_f = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid \alpha \text{ is primitive and } f_2(\alpha) \neq 0\}.$$

The rational function f defines a function from A_f to \mathbb{F}_q given by $\alpha \mapsto f(\alpha)$. Let $B_f = \text{Im } f$. For a given $\beta \in B_f$ there are at most m elements $\alpha \in A_f$ such that

$f(\alpha) = \beta$, since α must be a zero of the polynomial $f_1(x) - \beta f_2(x)$. Clearly we have $|A_f| \geq \phi(q-1) - m$ which implies $|B_f| \geq \frac{\phi(q-1)-m}{m}$. Thus, if $\frac{\phi(q-1)-m}{m} + \phi(q-1) > q-1$ then at least one element of B_f , say $f(\alpha)$, is primitive for $\alpha \in A_f$, so that $(\alpha, f(\alpha))$ is a primitive pair and $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$. \square

The above result cannot be extended to the case where p is an odd prime since in this case we have that $q-1$ is even and $\phi(q-1) + \frac{1}{m}\phi(q-1) < q$ for any $m \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let p be a prime, $q = p^k$, m_1, m_2 positive integers, $t > 4$ a positive real number and*

$$A_t = \prod_{\substack{s \text{ prime} \\ s < 2^t}} \frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{s}}.$$

If

$$q \geq ((m_1 + m_2) \cdot A_t^2)^{\frac{2t}{t-4}},$$

then $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$.

Proof. We note initially that

$$\begin{aligned} q \geq ((m_1 + m_2) \cdot A_t^2)^{\frac{2t}{t-4}} &\iff q^{\frac{t-4}{2t}} \geq ((m_1 + m_2) \cdot A_t^2) \\ &\iff q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq ((m_1 + m_2) \cdot A_t^2) q^{\frac{2}{t}} \iff q^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq (m_1 + m_2) \cdot (A_t \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $q-1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$, where p_1, \dots, p_l are positive distinct primes, then $W(q-1) = 2^l$. If $p > 2^t$ then $\frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{p}} < 1$, and we get

$$\frac{W(q-1)}{q^{\frac{1}{t}}} < \frac{W(q-1)}{(q-1)^{\frac{1}{t}}} = \frac{2^l}{\sqrt[t]{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \sqrt[t]{p_l^{\alpha_l}}} \leq \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{p_i}} \leq \prod_{\substack{2 \leq p_i \leq 2^t \\ 1 \leq i \leq l}} \frac{2}{\sqrt[t]{p_i}} \leq A_t.$$

This implies that $A_t \cdot q^{\frac{1}{t}} > W(q-1)$, hence $q^{\frac{1}{2}} > (m_1 + m_2)W(q-1)^2$. From Corollary 3.3 we get $k \in \Gamma_p(m_1, m_2)$. \square

Remark 3.10. *To illustrate the use of the above result, we take, for example, $t = 6$ in that Proposition and we get, for any prime p , that:*

- (1) If $q = p^k \geq 5.6 \times 10^{21}$ then $k \in \Gamma_p(2, 1)$.
- (2) If $q = p^k \geq 3.2 \times 10^{22}$ then $k \in \Gamma_p(2, 2)$.
- (3) If $q = p^k \geq 1.2 \times 10^{23}$ then $k \in \Gamma_p(3, 2)$.

4. WORKING EXAMPLES

In [9] the authors define a set of matrices \mathcal{M}_q and for each $A \in \mathcal{M}_q$ they associate a rational function $\lambda_A(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ which is a quotient of a polynomial of degree at most 2 by another of degree at most one. In that paper they work over a finite field with 2^k elements, and they want to investigate the existence of primitive elements α such that $\lambda_A(\alpha)$ is also primitive. It is easy to check that if $f \in \Upsilon_q(2, 1)$ then there exists $A \in \mathcal{M}_q$ such that $f = \lambda_A$. Conversely, if $A \in \mathcal{M}_q$ then $\lambda_A \in \Upsilon_q(2, 1)$ unless $\lambda_A(x) = x, x^2$ or βx^{-1} for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Observe that for $\lambda_A(x) = x, x^2$ or βx^{-1} there always exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $(\alpha, \lambda_A(\alpha))$ is a primitive pair. They also define a set \mathcal{B} of powers of 2 which satisfy a similar condition as the elements of $\Gamma_2(2, 1)$, and we get that $q = 2^k \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $k \in \Gamma_2(2, 1)$, and from [9, Theorem 1.1], we get $\Gamma_2(2, 1) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 4\}$.

In [11] the authors define a set of matrices $N_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in a similar way as it was done in [9] with the difference that in this case the associated rational function $\lambda_A(x)$ is quotient of polynomials of degree two. They also want to study the existence of primitive elements α such that $\lambda_A(\alpha)$ is also primitive. It is easy to see that if $f \in \Upsilon_q(2, 2)$ and $f \notin \Upsilon_q(2, 1) \cup \Upsilon_q(1, 2)$, then there exists a matrix $A \in N_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $f = \lambda_A(x)$. Observe also that $\Upsilon_q(2, 1) \cup \Upsilon_q(1, 2) \subset \Upsilon_q(2, 2)$, so $\Gamma_2(2, 2) \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 4\}$. Conversely, if $A \in N_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then $\lambda_A \in \Upsilon_q(2, 2)$, and from [11, Theorem 1.5] we get $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} \subset \Gamma_2(2, 2)$. Also in [11] the authors conclude that $k \in \Gamma_2(2, 2)$ for all positive integer k , with exception of $k \in \{1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$, a result that may be recovered from Proposition 2.2(3). They also prove that $1, 2, 4 \notin \Gamma_2(2, 2)$ and conjecture that $6, 8, 9, 10, 12 \in \Gamma_2(2, 2)$, from Proposition 3.7 we get $9 \in \Gamma_2(2, 2)$.

Now, we will study the set $\Gamma_p(3, 2)$ for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$, and we start with the case where $p = 2$.

Proposition 4.1. $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} \subset \Gamma_2(3, 2)$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cap \Gamma_2(3, 2) = \emptyset$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.9 and using $t = 6$ we get that $k \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$ for all $k \geq 77$. From Corollary 3.3, for $k \geq 4$, if $2^{\frac{k}{2}} > 5W(2^k - 1)^2$ then $k \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$. For $k < 77$, we used SAGEMATH (see [12]) to factor $2^k - 1$ and conclude that $2^{\frac{k}{2}} > 5W(2^k - 1)^2$ for $k = 13, 17, 19$ and for $k \geq 21$ with the exception of $k = 24, 28, 36$.

Using Lemma 3.5, with $q = 2^k$, we get that for

$$k \in \{11, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 24, 28, 36\}$$

we have $k \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$. Table 1 subsumes these results.

k	ℓ	$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$	k	ℓ	$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$
11	1	{23, 89}	20	$3 \cdot 5^2$	{11, 31, 41}
14	3	{43, 127}	24	$3^2 \cdot 5$	{7, 13, 17, 241}
15	1	{7, 31, 151}	28	$3 \cdot 5$	{29, 43, 113, 127}
16	3	{5, 17, 257}	36	$3^3 \cdot 5$	{7, 13, 19, 37, 73, 109}
18	3^3	{7, 19, 73}			

TABLE 1. Data that satisfies Lemma 3.5 (hence $k \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$).

From Proposition 3.6 we get that $1, 2, 3 \notin \Gamma_2(3, 2)$. In [9] it is shown that for any primitive element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$ we have that $f(\beta)$ is not primitive, where $f = \frac{\alpha x + 1}{x + \alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{16}[x]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$ is a fixed primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{16} , so $4 \notin \Gamma_2(1, 1)$ and in particular $4 \notin \Gamma_2(3, 2)$.

Finally, from Proposition 3.7 we get that $5, 7 \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$ and from Proposition 3.8 we get also $9 \in \Gamma_2(3, 2)$. \square

Now we proceed to study the sets $\Gamma_3(3, 2)$, $\Gamma_5(3, 2)$ and $\Gamma_7(3, 2)$.

Theorem 4.2. *For the sets $\Gamma_3(3, 2)$, $\Gamma_5(3, 2)$ and $\Gamma_7(3, 2)$ the following results hold:*

- i) $\{1, 2\} \cap \Gamma_3(3, 2) = \emptyset$ and $\{9, 10, 11\} \cup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq 13\} \subset \Gamma_3(3, 2)$;
- ii) $1 \notin \Gamma_5(3, 2)$ and $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq 7\} \subset \Gamma_5(3, 2)$;
- iii) $1 \notin \Gamma_7(3, 2)$ and $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq 7\} \subset \Gamma_7(3, 2)$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.9 and using $t = 6$ we get that $k \in \Gamma_3(3, 2)$ for all $k \geq 49$, $k \in \Gamma_5(3, 2)$ for all $k \geq 34$ and $k \in \Gamma_7(3, 2)$ for all $k \geq 28$.

From Corollary 3.3, for $k \geq 4$, if $p^{\frac{k}{2}} > 5W(p^k - 1)^2$ then $k \in \Gamma_p(3, 2)$. We used SAGEMATH (see [12]) to factor $p^k - 1$ and conclude that $p^{\frac{k}{2}} > 5W(p^k - 1)^2$ for the following values of k .

p	$k \in \Gamma_p(3, 2)$
3	$11 \leq k \leq 48$, except $k = 12, 18$
5	$7 \leq k \leq 33$, except $k = 8, 10, 12$
7	$8 \leq k \leq 28$

TABLE 2. $k \in \Gamma_p(3, 2)$ using $p^{\frac{k}{2}} > 5W(p^k - 1)^2$

The next tables summarize the results obtained using Lemma 3.5 for $p = 3, 5, 7$.

k	ℓ	$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$
9	2	$\{13, 757\}$
10	2	$\{11, 61\}$
18	2	$\{7, 13, 19, 37, 757\}$

TABLE 3. For $p = 3$ we get $9, 10, 18 \in \Gamma_3(3, 2)$

k	ℓ	$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$
7	2	$\{19531\}$
8	2	$\{3, 13, 313\}$
10	2	$\{3, 11, 71, 521\}$
12	2	$\{7, 13, 31, 601\}$

TABLE 4. For $p = 5$ we get $7, 8, 10, 12 \in \Gamma_5(3, 2)$

k	ℓ	$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$
7	$2 \cdot 3$	$\{29, 43\}$

TABLE 5. For $p = 7$ we get $7 \in \Gamma_7(3, 2)$

Finally from Proposition 3.6 we get that $1, 2 \notin \Gamma_3(3, 2)$, $1 \notin \Gamma_5(3, 2)$ and $1 \notin \Gamma_7(3, 2)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S.D. Cohen, *Consecutive primitive roots in a finite field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), pp. 189–197.
- [2] S.D. Cohen *Consecutive primitive roots in a finite field. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (4) (1985), pp. 605–611.
- [3] S.D. Cohen, *Primitive elements and polynomials: existence results*. Finite fields, coding theory, and advances in communications and computing (Las Vegas, NV, 1991), pp. 43–55, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 141, Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [4] S.D. Cohen, S. Huczynska, *The strong primitive normal basis theorem*. Acta Arith. 143 (4) (2010), pp. 299–332.
- [5] S.D. Cohen, *Pair of primitive elements in fields of even order*, Finite Fields Appl. 28 (2014), pp. 22–42.
- [6] A. Gupta, R.K. Sharma, S.D. Cohen, *Primitive Element Pairs with One Prescribed Trace over a Finite Field*, Finite Fields Appl. 54 (2018), pp. 1–14.
- [7] A.R. Booker, S.D. Cohen, N. Sutherland, T. Trudgian, *Primitive values of quadratic polynomials in a finite field*. Math. Comp. 88 (318) (2019), pp. 1903–1912.

- [8] L. Fu, D.Q. Wan, *A class of incomplete character sums*, Quart. J. Math. 65 (2014), pp. 1195–1211.
- [9] A. Gupta, R. K. Sharma, *Existence of some special primitive normal elements over finite fields*. Finite Fields and Their Applications 46 (2017), 280–303.
- [10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*. Cambridge university press, 1997.
- [11] K. Sharma, A. Awasthi and A. Gupta, *Existence of pair of primitive elements over finite fields of characteristic 2*. Journal of Number Theory 193 (2018), pp. 386–394.
- [12] W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematical Software*, www.sagemath.org, version 8.1, 2017.
- [13] E. Vegh, *Pairs of consecutive primitive roots modulo a prime*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), pp. 1169–1170
- [14] E. Vegh, *A note on the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime*. J. Number Theory 3 (1971), pp. 13–18.
- [15] P. Wang, X. Cao, R. Feng, *On the existence of some specific elements in finite fields of characteristic 2*, Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012), pp. 800–813