

Rational $D(q)$ -quadruples

Goran Dražić¹, Matija Kazalicki²

Abstract

For a rational number q , a *rational $D(q)$ - n -tuple* is a set of n distinct nonzero rationals $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ such that $a_i a_j + q$ is a square for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. For every q we find all rational m such that there exists a $D(q)$ -quadruple with product $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 = m$. We describe all such quadruples using points on a specific elliptic curve depending on (q, m) .

Keywords: Diophantine n -tuples, Diophantine quadruples, Elliptic curves, Rational Diophantine n -tuples

1. Introduction

Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a nonzero rational number. A set of n distinct nonzero rationals $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is called a rational $D(q)$ - n -tuple if $a_i a_j + q$ is a square for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. If $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a rational $D(q)$ - n -tuple, then for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\{ra_1, ra_2, \dots, ra_n\}$ is a $D(qr^2)$ - n -tuple, since $(ra_i)(ra_j) + qr^2 = (a_i a_j + q)r^2$. With this in mind, we restrict to square-free integers q . If we set $q = 1$ then such sets are called rational Diophantine n -tuples.

The first example of a rational Diophantine quadruple was the set

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{17}{4}, \frac{105}{16} \right\}$$

Email addresses: gdrazic@pbf.hr (Goran Dražić), matija.kazalicki@math.hr (Matija Kazalicki)

¹Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

²Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

found by Diophantus, while the first example of an integer Diophantine quadruple, the set

$$\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$$

is due to Fermat.

In the case of integer Diophantine n -tuples, it is known that there are infinitely many Diophantine quadruples (e.g. $\{k - 1, k + 1, 4k, 16k^3 - 4k\}$, for $k \geq 2$). Dujella [3] showed there are no Diophantine sextuples and only finitely many Diophantine quintuples, while recently He, Togbé and Ziegler [10] proved there are no integer Diophantine quintuples, which was a long standing conjecture.

Gibbs [9] found the first example of a rational Diophantine sextuple using a computer, and Dujella, Kazalicki, Mikić and Szikszai [7] constructed infinite families of rational Diophantine sextuples. Dujella and Kazalicki parametrized Diophantine quadruples with a fixed product of elements using triples of points on a specific elliptic curve, and used that parametrization for counting Diophantine quadruples over finite fields [5] and for constructing rational sextuples [6]. There is no known rational Diophantine septuple.

Regarding rational $D(q)$ - n -tuples, Dujella [2] has shown that there are infinitely many rational $D(q)$ -quadruples for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Dujella and Fuchs in [4] have shown that, assuming the Parity Conjecture, for infinitely squarefree integers $q \neq 1$ there exist infinitely many rational $D(q)$ -quintuples. There is no known rational $D(q)$ -sextuple for $q \neq a^2, a \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Our work uses a similar approach Dujella and Kazalicki had in [5] and [6].

Let $\{a, b, c, d\}$ be a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple, for a fixed nonzero rational q , such that

$$\begin{aligned} ab + q &= t_{12}^2, & ac + q &= t_{13}^2, & ad + q &= t_{14}^2, \\ bc + q &= t_{23}^2, & bd + q &= t_{24}^2, & cd + q &= t_{34}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{14}, t_{23}, t_{24}, t_{34}, m = abcd) \in \mathbb{Q}^7$ defines a rational point on the algebraic variety \mathcal{C} defined by the equations

$$(t_{12}^2 - q)(t_{34}^2 - q) = m,$$

$$(t_{13}^2 - q)(t_{24}^2 - q) = m,$$

$$(t_{14}^2 - q)(t_{23}^2 - q) = m.$$

The rational points $(\pm t_{12}, \pm t_{13}, \pm t_{14}, \pm t_{23}, \pm t_{24}, \pm t_{34}, m)$ on \mathcal{C} determine two rational $D(q)$ quadruples $\pm(a, b, c, d)$ (specifically, $a^2 = \frac{(t_{12}^2 - q)(t_{13}^2 - q)}{t_{23}^2 - q}$) if
 30 a, b, c, d are rational, distinct and nonzero.

Any point $(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{14}, t_{23}, t_{24}, t_{34}, m) \in \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to three points $Q'_1 = (t_{12}, t_{34}), Q'_2 = (t_{13}, t_{24})$ and $Q'_3 = (t_{14}, t_{23})$ on the curve

$$\mathcal{D}_m: (X^2 - q)(Y^2 - q) = m.$$

If $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, there are no rational $D(q)$ -quadruples with product of elements equal to m , so we assume there exists a point $P_1 = (x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$.

The curve \mathcal{D}_m is a curve of genus 1 unless $m = 0$ or $m = q^2$, which we assume from now on. Since we also assumed a point $P_1 \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$, the curve \mathcal{D}_m is birationally equivalent to the elliptic curve

$$E_m: W^2 = T^3 + (4q^2 - 2m)T^2 + m^2T$$

via a rational map $f: \mathcal{D}_m \rightarrow E_m$ given by

$$T = (y_1^2 - q) \cdot \frac{2x_1(y^2 - q)x + (x_1^2 + q)y^2 + x_1^2y_1^2 - 2x_1^2q - y_1^2q}{(y - y_1)^2},$$

$$W = T \cdot \frac{2y_1x(q - y^2) + 2x_1y(q - y_1^2)}{y^2 - y_1^2}.$$

Note that f maps (x_1, y_1) to the point at infinity $\mathcal{O} \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$, it maps $(-y_1, x_1)$ to a point of order four, $R = (m, 2mq) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$, and maps $(-x_1, y_1)$ to

$$S = \left(\frac{y_1^2(x_1^2 - q)^2}{x_1^2}, \frac{qy_1(x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_1^2 - q)^2}{x_1^3} \right) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q}),$$

which is generically a point of infinite order.

We have the following associations

$$(a, b, c, d) \longleftrightarrow \text{a point on } \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Q}) \longleftrightarrow (Q'_1, Q'_2, Q'_3) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})^3.$$

In order to obtain a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple from a triple of points on $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$,
 35 we must satisfy the previously mentioned conditions: a, b, c, d must be rational, mutually disjoint and nonzero.

It is easy to see that if one of them is rational, then so are the other three (i.e. $b = \frac{t_{12}^2 - q}{a}$), and that they will be nonzero when $m \neq 0$, since $m = abcd$.

The elements of the quadruple (a, b, c, d) corresponding to the triple of points
 40 (Q'_1, Q'_2, Q'_3) are distinct, if no two of the points Q'_1, Q'_2, Q'_3 can be transformed from one to another via changing signs and/or switching coordinates. For example, the triple $(t_{12}, t_{34}), (-t_{34}, t_{12}), (t_{14}, t_{23})$ would lead to $a = d$. This condition on points in \mathcal{D}_m is easily understood on points in E_m .

Assume $P \in E_m \leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_m$, that is, $f(x, y) = P$. Then

$$S - P \leftrightarrow (-x, y), \quad P + R \leftrightarrow (-y, x). \quad (1)$$

The maps $P \mapsto S - P$ and $P \mapsto P + R$ generate a group G of translations
 45 on E_m , isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, and G induces a group action on $E_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. In order to obtain a quadruple from the triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})^3$, such that the elements of the quadruple are distinct, the orbits $G \cdot Q_1, G \cdot Q_2, G \cdot Q_3$ must be disjoint. This is because the set of points in \mathcal{D}_m corresponding to $G \cdot P$ is $\{(\pm x, \pm y), (\pm y, \pm x)\}$. We say that such a triple of points satisfies the
 50 non-degeneracy criteria.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$ denote the projective closure of the curve \mathcal{D}_m defined by

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m: (X^2 - qZ^2)(Y^2 - qZ^2) = mZ^4.$$

The map $f^{-1}: E_m \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$ is a rational map, and since the curve E_m is smooth, the map is a morphism [11, II.2.1]. The map $x \circ f^{-1}: E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ given by

$$x \circ f^{-1}(P) = \frac{X \circ f^{-1}(P)}{Z \circ f^{-1}(P)}$$

has a pole in points P_0 such that $f^{-1}(P_0) = [1 : 0 : 0]$, and is regular elsewhere. The map $y \circ f^{-1}: E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ given by

$$y \circ f^{-1}(P) = \frac{Y \circ f^{-1}(P)}{Z \circ f^{-1}(P)}$$

has a pole in points P_2 such that $f^{-1}(P_2) = [0 : 1 : 0]$, and is regular elsewhere. We define the rational map $g: E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ by

$$g(P) = (x_1^2 - q) \cdot \left((x \circ f^{-1}(P))^2 - q \right).$$

The map g has a pole in the same points as the map $x \circ f^{-1}$, and is regular elsewhere.

The maps f and g depend on a fixed point $P_1 \in \mathcal{D}_m$. We omit noting this dependency and simply denote these maps by f and g . The motivation for
 55 the map g is [6, 2.4, Proposition 4]. Dujella and Kazalicki use the 2-descent homomorphism in the proof of Proposition 4, we will use g for similar purposes.

Theorem 1. *Let $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ be the point used to define the map $f: \mathcal{D}_m \rightarrow E_m$. If $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})^3$ is a triple satisfying the non-degeneracy criteria such that $(y_1^2 - q) \cdot g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)$ is a square, then the numbers*

$$a = \pm \left(\frac{1}{m} \frac{g(Q_1)}{(x_1^2 - q)} \frac{g(Q_2)}{(x_1^2 - q)} \frac{g(Q_3)}{(x_1^2 - q)} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$b = \frac{g(Q_1)}{a(x_1^2 - q)}, c = \frac{g(Q_2)}{a(x_1^2 - q)}, d = \frac{g(Q_3)}{a(x_1^2 - q)}$$

are rational and form a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple such that $abcd = m$.

Conversely, assume (a, b, c, d) is a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple, such that $m = abcd$. If the triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})^3$ corresponds to (a, b, c, d) , then $(y_1^2 -$
 60 $q)g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)$ is a square.

It is not true that the existence of a rational point on $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ implies the existence of a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple with product m . Examples with further clarification are given in Section 4. The following classification theorem holds:

Theorem 2. *There exists a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple with product m if and only if*

$$m = (t^2 - q) \left(\frac{u^2 - q}{2u} \right)^2$$

for some rational parameters (t, u) .

In Section 2 we study properties of the function g which we then use in
 65 Section 3 to prove Theorems 1 and 2. In Section 4, we give an algorithm on how to determine whether a specific m such that $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ admits a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple with product m . We conclude the section with an example of an infinite family.

70 **2. Properties of the function g**

In this section, we investigate the properties of the function g which we will use to prove the main theorems. The following proposition describes the divisor of g .

Proposition 3. *The divisor of g is*

$$\operatorname{div} g = 2(S_1) + 2(S_2) - 2(R_1) - 2(R_2),$$

where $S_1, R_1, S_2, R_2 \in E_m(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}))$ with coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= ((y_1^2 - q)(x_1 - \sqrt{q})^2, \quad 2y_1\sqrt{q} (y_1^2 - q)(x_1 - \sqrt{q})^2), \\ R_1 &= ((x_1^2 - q)(y_1 + \sqrt{q})^2, \quad 2x_1\sqrt{q} (x_1^2 - q)(y_1 + \sqrt{q})^2), \\ S_2 &= ((y_1^2 - q)(x_1 + \sqrt{q})^2, \quad -2y_1\sqrt{q} (y_1^2 - q)(x_1 + \sqrt{q})^2), \\ R_2 &= ((x_1^2 - q)(y_1 + \sqrt{q})^2, \quad -2x_1\sqrt{q} (x_1^2 - q)(y_1 - \sqrt{q})^2). \end{aligned}$$

The points S_1, S_2, R_1 and R_2 satisfy the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_1 &= 2S_2 = f(x_1, -y_1) = S + 2R, \\ 2R_1 &= 2R_2 = f(-x_1, y_1) = S, \\ S_1 + R &= R_1, \quad R_1 + R = S_2, \quad S_2 + R = R_2, \quad R_2 + R = S_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We seek zeros and poles of g . The poles of g are the same as the poles of $x \circ f^{-1}$. To find zeros of g , notice that

$$(x \circ f^{-1}(P))^2 - q = \frac{m}{(y \circ f^{-1}(P))^2 - q},$$

so all we need to find are poles of $y \circ f^{-1}$.

75 The zeros of $x \circ f^{-1}$ are points on E_m which map to affine points on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$ that have zero x -coordinate. We can easily calculate such points. If $x = 0$, then $y^2 = \frac{q^2 - m}{q}$. Denote $K = \sqrt{\frac{q^2 - m}{q}}$. We know $K \neq 0$, since $m \neq q^2$.

The zeros of $x \circ f^{-1}$ are the points $f(0, K), f(0, -K) \in E_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, which are different since $K \neq 0$. Since $x \circ f^{-1}$ is of degree two, both zeros are of order
80 one. We conclude $x \circ f^{-1}$ has either one double pole, or two poles of order one.

Similarly, the zeros of $y \circ f^{-1}$ are the points $f(K, 0), f(-K, 0) \in E_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, both of order one. The map $y \circ f^{-1}$ also has either a double pole or two poles of order one.

Assume the point $P_0 \in E_m$ maps to a non-affine point in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$. This means
 85 that $Z \circ f^{-1}(P_0) = 0$, and at least one of the projective coordinate functions $X \circ f^{-1}, Y \circ f^{-1}$ is nonzero at P_0 . It follows that P_0 is a pole of at least one of the maps $x \circ f^{-1}, y \circ f^{-1}$.

Let $P_0 \in E_m$ be a pole of one of the maps $x \circ f^{-1}, y \circ f^{-1}$. None of the points $f^{-1}(P_0), f^{-1}(P_0 + R), f^{-1}(P_0 + 2R), f^{-1}(P_0 + 3R)$ are affine points on
 90 $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$ because if one of them is an affine point, then they all are, since the map $P \mapsto P + R$ viewed on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$ maps affine points to affine points. We conclude that each of the points $P_0, P_0 + R, P_0 + 2R, P_0 + 3R$ is a pole of one of the maps $x \circ f^{-1}, y \circ f^{-1}$ and with the previous claims we have that $x \circ f^{-1}, y \circ f^{-1}$ both have two poles of order one.

The map $P \mapsto S - P$, viewed on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$, also maps affine points to affine points.
 95 Similarly as above, the points $f^{-1}(S - P_0), f^{-1}(S - P_0 + R), f^{-1}(S - P_0 + 2R), f^{-1}(S - P_0 + 3R)$ are not affine in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$, because the point $f^{-1}(P_0)$ would be affine as well. The sets $\{P_0, P_0 + R, P_0 + 2R, P_0 + 3R\}$ and $\{S - P_0, S - P_0 + R, S - P_0 + 2R, S - P_0 + 3R\}$ must be equal, otherwise the maps $x \circ f^{-1}, y \circ f^{-1}$
 100 would have more than four different poles in total. This means that every pole satisfies the equality $2P_0 = S + kR$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Equivalently, every pole P_0 is a fixed point of some involution i_k of the form $P \mapsto S - P + kR$. Each involution i_k has four fixed points on $E_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, because any two fixed points differ by an element from the $[2]$ -torsion.

The involution i_0 , viewed on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$, maps an affine point $(x, y) = f^{-1}(P)$ to
 105 $(-x, y) = f^{-1}(S - P)$. It has two affine fixed points which have x -coordinate equal to zero on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$, as well as two fixed points which are not affine on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$. Such points are either poles of $x \circ f^{-1}$ or poles of $y \circ f^{-1}$. Using Magma[1] we calculate the coordinates explicitly to obtain R_1 and R_2 . Computationally, we
 110 confirm R_1 and R_2 are poles of $x \circ f^{-1}$, that is, poles of g .

The involution i_2 , viewed on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m$, maps an affine point $(x, y) = f^{-1}(P)$ to

$(x, -y) = f^{-1}(S - P + 2R)$. It has two affine fixed points which have y -coordinate equal to zero on \overline{D}_m , as well as two fixed points which are not affine on \overline{D}_m . These points must be poles of the map $y \circ f^{-1}$, that is, zeros of g . Again, using
115 Magma, we calculate the coordinates to obtain S_1 and S_2 .

Since the poles of $x \circ f^{-1}$ are of order one, then the poles of g are of order two. The same is true for poles of $y \circ f^{-1}$, that is, for zeros of g . The last row of identities in the statement of the theorem is checked by Magma. \square

Proposition 4. *There exists $h \in \mathbb{Q}(E_m)$ such that $g \circ [2] = h^2$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{h} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(E_m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \tilde{h} &= [2]^*((S_1) + (S_2) - (R_1) - (R_2)) \\ &= \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S'_1 + T) + \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S'_2 + T) - \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (R'_1 + T) - \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (R'_2 + T), \end{aligned}$$

120 where $2S'_i = S_i, 2R'_i = R_i$ and $[2]^*$ is the pullback of the doubling map on E_m .

Such \tilde{h} exists because of Corollary 3.5 in Silverman[11, III.3] stating that if E is an elliptic curve and $D = \sum n_P(P) \in \operatorname{Div}(E)$, then D is principal if and only if

$$\sum_{P \in E} n_P = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{P \in E} [n_P]P = 0,$$

where the second sum is addition on E .

The first sum being equal to zero is immediate, and for the second one we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S'_1 + T) + \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S'_2 + T) - \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (R'_1 + T) - \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (R'_2 + T) &= \\ = [4](S'_1 + S'_2 - R'_1 - R'_2) &= [2](S_1 + S_2 - R_1 - R_2) = \\ = [2](S_1 - R_2 + S_2 - R_1) \stackrel{(*)}{=} [2](R + R) &= \mathcal{O}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ follows from the last row of identities in Proposition 3.

Easy calculations give us $\operatorname{div} g \circ [2] = \operatorname{div} \tilde{h}^2$ which implies $C\tilde{h}^2 = g \circ [2]$, for some $C \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $h := \tilde{h}\sqrt{C} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(E_m)$ so that $h^2 = g \circ [2]$. We will prove

125 $h \in \mathbb{Q}(E_m)$.

First, we show that every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ permutes zeros and poles of \tilde{h} . Let us check what σ does to S_1 and S_2 . Since S_1 and S_2 are conjugates over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q})$, the only possibilities for S_1^σ are S_1 or S_2 . If $S_1^\sigma = S_1$, then we must have $(S_1')^\sigma = S_1' + T$, where $T \in E_m[2]$, because $2((S_1')^\sigma - S_1') = (2S_1')^\sigma - 2S_1' = S_1^\sigma - S_1 = \mathcal{O}$.
130 Thus σ fixes $\sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_1' + T)$. Since in this case we also know that $S_2^\sigma = S_2$, we get that σ fixes $\sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_2' + T)$ as well.

If $S_1^\sigma = S_2$ it is easy to see that

$$\left(\sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_1' + T) \right)^\sigma = \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_2' + T) \text{ and } \left(\sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_2' + T) \right)^\sigma = \sum_{T \in E_m[2]} (S_1' + T).$$

Similar statements hold for R_1 and R_2 , so we conclude that \tilde{h} is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Both h and \tilde{h} have the same divisor so h is also defined over \mathbb{Q} . Now we use the second statement from Theorem 7.8.3. in [8]:

135 **Theorem 5.** *Let C be a curve over a perfect field k and let $f \in \overline{k}(C)$.*

1. *If $\sigma(f) = f$, for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ then $f \in k(C)$.*
2. *If $\text{div}(f)$ is defined over k then $f = ch$ for some $c \in \overline{k}$ and $h \in k(C)$.*

From the second statement of the previous theorem we conclude that $h = c \cdot h'$ where $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $h' \in \mathbb{Q}(E_m)$. We know that $c^2(h')^2 = h^2 = g \circ [2]$, and that
140 $g \circ [2](\mathcal{O}) = (x_1^2 - q)^2$ is a rational square. It follows that $c^2 = \frac{(x_1^2 - q)^2}{h'(\mathcal{O})^2}$ is a rational square as well, hence c is rational. Finally, we have $h \in \mathbb{Q}(E_m)$. \square

We end this section with a theorem which will handle rationality issues in Theorem 1.

Theorem 6. *For all $P, Q \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$ we have $g(P+Q) \equiv g(P)g(Q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$.
145 *In particular, if $P \equiv Q \pmod{2E_m(\mathbb{Q})}$ then $g(P) \equiv g(Q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$.**

Proof. Let $P', Q' \in E_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $2P' = P$ and $2Q' = Q$. We prove that

$$\frac{\sigma(h(P' + Q'))}{h(P' + Q')} = \frac{\sigma(h(P'))}{h(P')} \frac{\sigma(h(Q'))}{h(Q')}.$$

Following Silverman [11, III.8], assume $T \in E_m[2]$. From Proposition 4 it follows that $h^2(X + T) = g \circ [2](X + T) = g \circ [2](X) = h^2(X)$, for every $X \in E_m$. This means that $\frac{h(X+T)}{h(X)} \in \{\pm 1\}$. The morphism

$$E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad X \mapsto \frac{h(X+T)}{h(X)}$$

is not surjective, so by [11, II.2.3] it must be constant.

For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma(P') - P' \in E_m[2], \sigma(Q') - Q' \in E_m[2]$ and $\sigma(P' + Q') - (P' + Q') \in E_m[2]$. This holds since $2P' = P \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$ and $2Q' = Q \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$. Now we get

$$\frac{\sigma(h(P'))}{h(P')} = \frac{h(\sigma(P'))}{h(P')} = \frac{h(P' + (\sigma(P') - P'))}{h(P')} = \frac{h(X + (\sigma(P') - P'))}{h(X)}.$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\sigma(h(Q'))}{h(Q')} = \frac{h(X + (\sigma(Q') - Q'))}{h(X)}, \quad \frac{\sigma(h(P' + Q'))}{h(P' + Q')} = \frac{h(X + (\sigma(P' + Q') - (P' + Q')))}{h(X)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(h(P' + Q'))}{h(P' + Q')} &= \frac{h(X + (\sigma(P' + Q') - (P' + Q')))}{h(X)} \\ &= \frac{h(X + (\sigma(P' + Q') - (P' + Q')))}{h(X + \sigma(P') - P')} \frac{h(X + \sigma(P') - P')}{h(X)} \\ &= \frac{\sigma(h(Q'))}{h(Q')} \frac{\sigma(h(P'))}{h(P')} \end{aligned}$$

by plugging in $X = P' + Q' - \sigma(P')$ for the first X and $X = P'$ for the second one. This leads to

$$\frac{h(P' + Q')}{h(P')h(Q')} = \frac{\sigma(h(P' + Q'))}{\sigma(h(Q'))\sigma(h(P'))} = \sigma \left(\frac{h(P' + Q')}{h(P')h(Q')} \right)$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Now we conclude

$$\frac{h(P' + Q')}{h(P')h(Q')} \in \mathbb{Q} \implies h^2(P' + Q') \equiv h^2(P')h^2(Q') \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}.$$

Finally

$$g(P+Q) = g \circ [2](P'+Q') = h^2(P'+Q') \equiv h^2(P')h^2(Q') = g(P)g(Q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}.$$

The second statement of the theorem follows easily from the first.
 If $P = Q + 2S_3$, with $S_3 \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$, then

$$g(P) = g(Q + 2S_3) \equiv g(Q)g(S_3)^2 \equiv g(Q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}.$$

□

Theorem 6 was more difficult to prove compared to a similar statement in [6, 2.4.]. Their version of the function g had a very simple factorization $\pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$,
 150 allowing them to use the 2–descent homomorphism.

3. Proofs of main theorems

The main difficulty in the following proof is the issue of rationality of the quadruple. As we have mentioned, Theorem 6 will deal with this.

Proof of Theorem 1: From the assumptions on (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) we know $(y_1^2 - q)g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)$ is a square. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= \frac{g(Q_1)g(Q_2)g(Q_3)}{(x_1^2 - q)^3 m} = \frac{g(Q_1)g(Q_2)g(Q_3)(y_1^2 - q)}{(x_1^2 - q)^4 (y_1^2 - q)^2} \\ &\equiv g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)(y_1^2 - q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence is a direct application of Theorem 6. This implies a^2 is
 155 a rational square so a is rational, which in turn implies b, c and d are rational numbers, as noted in the introduction. Since $abcd = m \neq 0$, none of the numbers a, b, c, d are zero, and the non-degeneracy criteria of (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) ensure that a, b, c, d are pairwise different. Lastly, $ab + q = (x \circ f^{-1}(Q_1))^2$ (with similar equalities holding for other pairs of the quadruple). The previous statements
 160 prove the quadruple (a, b, c, d) is a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple.

On the other hand, if (a, b, c, d) is a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple, then we can define the points $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})^3$ in correspondence to (a, b, c, d) . Using the same identities $\pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$ as above, we get that

$$(y_1^2 - q)g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3) \equiv a^2 \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}.$$

□

To prove Theorem 2 we use the following lemma:

Lemma 7. *Let (a, b, c, d) be a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple such that $abcd = m$. There exists a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$, such that $x_0^2 - q$ is a rational square.*

165 *Proof.* From Theorem 1 we know that $(y_1^2 - q)g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)$ is a square, where $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})^3$ is the triple that corresponds to the quadruple (a, b, c, d) .

Let $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (y_1^2 - q)g(Q) &= (y_1^2 - q)(x_1^2 - q)((x \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q) = m \cdot (x \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q \\ &= m \cdot \frac{m}{(y \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q} = m^2 \frac{1}{(y \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is a square, we conclude $(y \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q$ is a square as well. Now define $(x_0, y_0) := f^{-1}(Q + R)$. We know that

$$(y \circ f^{-1}(Q))^2 - q \stackrel{(1)}{=} (x \circ f^{-1}(Q + R))^2 - q = x_0^2 - q$$

so the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume we have a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple. Using Lemma 7 there exists a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $x_0^2 - q$ is a rational square. Since $x_0^2 - q = k^2$, then $q = x_0^2 - k^2 = (x_0 - k)(x_0 + k)$. Denote $u = x_0 - k$, then $x_0 + k = q/u$ and by adding the previous two equalities together to eliminate k , we get $x_0 = \frac{q+u^2}{2u}$. Denoting $t = y_0$ we get

$$m = (x_0^2 - q)(y_0^2 - q) = \left(\left(\frac{q+u^2}{2u} \right)^2 - q \right) (t^2 - q) = \left(\frac{q-u^2}{2u} \right)^2 (t^2 - q).$$

170 Now, let $m = \left(\frac{q-u^2}{2u} \right)^2 (t^2 - q)$ for some rational (t, u) . Denote $y_1 = t, x_1 = \frac{q+u^2}{2u}$. It is easy to check that $(x_1^2 - q)(y_1^2 - q) = m$, so there is a rational point $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $x_1^2 - q = \left(\frac{u^2 - q}{2u} \right)^2$ is a square. We use this point $(x_1, y_1) =: P_1$ to define the map $f : \mathcal{D}_m \rightarrow E_m$. Let $Q_1 = R + S, Q_2 = 2S$ and $Q_3 = 3S$. The sets $G \cdot Q_i$ are disjoint and $g(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)(y_1^2 - q) = g(R + 6S)(y_1^2 - q) \equiv g(R)(y_1^2 - q) = ((x_1^2 - q)(y_1^2 - q))(y_1^2 - q) \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$ is

175 a rational square. The points (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 giving us a rational $D(q)$ quadruple. \square

Remark 8. The condition $m = \left(\frac{q-u^2}{2u}\right)^2 (t^2 - q)$ is equivalent to the fact that there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $x_0^2 - q$ is a square. This was proven in the preceding theorems.

180 4. Examples

There are plenty of examples where $m = (x_1^2 - q)(y_1^2 - q)$ for some rational x_1 and y_1 , such that there does not exist a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple with product m . Equivalently, m cannot be written as $(x_0^2 - q)(y_0^2 - q)$ such that $x_0^2 - q$ is a square.

185 According to Theorem 1, to find out whether there is a rational $D(q)$ -quadruple with product m , one needs to check whether there is a point $T' \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $g(T')(y_1^2 - q)$ is a square. Theorem 6 tells us that we only need to check the points $T \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})/2E_m(\mathbb{Q})$, which is a finite set. If for some explicit q, m we know the generators of the group $E_m(\mathbb{Q})/2E_m(\mathbb{Q})$, we
 190 can determine whether there exist rational $D(q)$ -quadruples with product m , and parametrize them using points on $E_m(\mathbb{Q})$. For such computations we used Magma.

Let $q = 3, x_1 = 5$ and $y_1 = 7$ making $m = (5^2 - 3)(7^2 - 3) = 1012$. The rank of E_m is two, E_m has one torsion point of order four, giving us in eight
 195 points in total to check. None of the points $T \in E_m(\mathbb{Q})/2E_m(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfy that $g(T)(y_1^2 - q)$ is a square, so there are no $D(3)$ -quadruples with product 1012.

On the other hand, take $q = -3, x_1 = 1$ so that $x_1^2 - q = 4$ and let $y_1 = t$ which makes $m = 4 \cdot (t^2 + 3)$. The point S is a point of infinite order on $E_m(\mathbb{Q}(t))$, and the triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) = (S + R, 2S, 3S)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. We obtain the following family:

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{2 \cdot (3 + 6t^2 + 7t^4) \cdot (27 + 162t^2 + 801t^4 + 1548t^6 + 1069t^8 + 306t^{10} + 183t^{12})}{(3 + t^2) \cdot (1 + 3t^2) \cdot (9 + 9t^2 + 19t^4 + 27t^6) \cdot (3 + 27t^2 + 33t^4 + t^6)}, \\
b &= \frac{(3 + t^2)^2 \cdot (1 + 3t^2) \cdot (9 + 9t^2 + 19t^4 + 27t^6) \cdot (3 + 27t^2 + 33t^4 + t^6)}{2 \cdot (3 + 6t^2 + 7t^4) \cdot (27 + 162t^2 + 801t^4 + 1548t^6 + 1069t^8 + 306t^{10} + 183t^{12})}, \\
c &= \frac{2 \cdot (3 + 6t^2 + 7t^4) \cdot (3 + 27t^2 + 33t^4 + t^6) \cdot (9 + 9t^2 + 19t^4 + 27t^6)}{(3 + t^2) \cdot (1 + 3t^2) \cdot (27 + 162t^2 + 801t^4 + 1548t^6 + 1069t^8 + 306t^{10} + 183t^{12})}, \\
d &= \frac{2 \cdot (3 + t^2) \cdot (1 + 3t^2) \cdot (27 + 162t^2 + 801t^4 + 1548t^6 + 1069t^8 + 306t^{10} + 183t^{12})}{(3 + 6t^2 + 7t^4) \cdot (3 + 27t^2 + 33t^4 + t^6) \cdot (9 + 9t^2 + 19t^4 + 27t^6)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can generalize the example above by setting $q = q, y_1 = t, x_1 = \frac{q+u^2}{2u}$. The triple of points $(S + R, 2S, 3S)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and we can calculate an explicit family of rational $D(q)$ -quadruples with product m , but
200 this example is too large to print (the numerator of a is a polynomial in the variables (q, t, u) of degree forty).

All the computations in this paper were done in Magma [1].

5. Acknowledgements

The authors were supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the
205 project no. 1313.

The second author was supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project cofinanced by the Croatian Government and the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0004).

210 References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997.
- [2] A. Dujella. A note on Diophantine quintuples. *Algebraic Number Theory and Diophantine Analysis (F. Halter-Koch, RF Tichy, eds.)*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, pages 123–127, 2000.

215

- [3] A. Dujella. There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 2004(566):183–214, 2004.
- [4] A. Dujella and C. Fuchs. On a problem of Diophantus for rationals. *Journal of number theory*, 132(10):2075–2083, 2012.
- 220 [5] A. Dujella and M. Kazalicki. Diophantine m -tuples in finite fields and modular forms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.09356*, 2016.
- [6] A. Dujella and M. Kazalicki. More on Diophantine sextuples. In *Number Theory—Diophantine Problems, Uniform Distribution and Applications*, pages 227–235. Springer, 2017.
- 225 [7] A. Dujella, M. Kazalicki, M. Mikić, and M. Szikszai. There are infinitely many rational Diophantine sextuples. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2017(2):490–508, 2017.
- [8] S. D. Galbraith. *Mathematics of public key cryptography*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- 230 [9] P. Gibbs. Some rational Diophantine sextuples. *Glasnik matematički*, 41(2):195–203, 2006.
- [10] B. He, A. Togbé, and V. Ziegler. There is no Diophantine quintuple. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371(9):6665–6709, 2019.
- 235 [11] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.