

Relations between Reeb graphs, systems of hypersurfaces and epimorphisms onto free groups

Wacław Marzantowicz, Łukasz Patryk Michalak

Abstract

In this work we present a construction of correspondence between epimorphisms $\varphi: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ from the fundamental group of a compact manifold M onto the free group of rank r , and systems of r framed non-separating hypersurfaces in M , which induces a bijection onto their framed cobordism classes. In consequence, for closed manifolds any such φ can be represented by the Reeb epimorphism of a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. by the epimorphism induced by the quotient map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ onto the Reeb graph of f . Applying this construction we discuss the problem of classification up to (strong) equivalence of epimorphisms onto free groups providing a new purely geometrical-topological proof of the solution of this problem for surface groups.

1 Introduction

The Reeb graph $\mathcal{R}(f)$ of a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a closed manifold M , as an invariant of the pair (M, f) , is a tool of global analysis attracting more attention recently due to its applications to computer graphics as well as its importance to purely mathematical problems (for more details see [3, 6, 8, 9, 21, 20, 28]). It is constructed by contracting the connected components of levels sets of the function f . Since it is a finite graph, its fundamental group is a free group F_r of a finite rank $r \geq 0$. This work was motivated by a natural question: is any epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ represented as the canonical epimorphism $q_{\#}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$, induced by the quotient map $q: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ for a Morse function f ? The epimorphism $q_{\#}$ is called the Reeb epimorphism of f . We give the positive answer to this question in Theorem 4.10 for closed manifolds and Theorem 4.20 in the case of compact manifolds with boundary.

One of the main ingredients in the proof of these theorems is the correspondence between homomorphisms onto free groups and systems of framed hypersurfaces. First, any homomorphism $\pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is induced, using an extended Pontryagin–Thom construction, by a system of hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ consisting of framed and properly embedded submanifolds N_i of codimension one in a compact manifold W . A system \mathcal{N} is independent if it is non-separating, i.e. its complement is connected, and it is regular if each N_i is connected. It is an easy observation that an independent system of hypersurfaces induces a surjective homomorphism. The converse of this fact is the first important result of this work (Theorem 2.10). It provides for any epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ a construction of a regular and independent system of hypersurfaces which induces φ .

Having these geometric tools, we study the problem of classification of epimorphisms $G \rightarrow F_r$ up to equivalence and strong equivalence relations defined in [10, 11, 12]. Briefly, on the set of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(G, F_r)$ there are the natural actions of automorphisms groups $\text{Aut}(G)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_r)$ given by compositions. Two homomorphisms are strongly equivalent (resp. equivalent) if they are in the same orbit of the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(F_r)$). First, note that two systems induce the same homomorphism if and only if they are framed cobordant as systems of hypersurfaces (see Definition 2.3). It leads to a correspondence between strong equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ and elements of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_{\bullet}(M)$, the set of framed cobordism classes of independent and regular systems of size r in M up to diffeomorphisms which preserve the basepoint. It is a one-to-one correspondence if the natural homomorphism $\text{Diff}_{\bullet}(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is surjective. For example, it holds when M is a closed surface (by Dehn–Nielsen Theorem) or when M is a hyperbolic manifold of dimension at least 3 (by Mostow Rigidity Theorem). As an application of developed methods, we determine the elements of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_{\bullet}(\Sigma)$ for a closed surface Σ which gives a classification up to strong equivalence of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$ (Theorem 3.15). It was obtained earlier by R. Grigorchuk, P. Kurchanov and H. Zieschang [10, 11, 12] by using more algebraic, but also topological methods (see Theorem 3.1).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20F65; Secondary: 57M15, 57R90.

Key words and phrases: equivalence of epimorphisms onto free group, corank of group, 2-sided submanifold, framed cobordism, Reeb graph, Morse function.

Supported by the Polish Research Grants: NCN 2015/19/B/ST1/01458, and Sheng 1 UMO-2018/30/Q/ST1/00228 .

A transition from strong equivalence classes to equivalence classes is obtained by considering the action of $\text{Aut}(F_r)$, which is generated by elementary Nielsen transformations. We define the analogous operations on $\mathcal{H}_r^{f_r}(M)$ which cause the same change of an inducing epimorphism as its composition with the corresponding Nielsen transformation. These operations allow us to compute equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$ (see Theorem 3.19) as in Grigorchuk–Kurchanov–Zieschang Theorem.

We must add that the set of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(G, F_r)$ for G finitely generated group has been intensively studied by several authors by use of the Makanin–Razborov diagrams theory (cf. [19], [25]). It led to the solution of Tarski problem of the existence of solution to a system of equation in a free finitely generated group and culminated in the Sela’s works (cf. [27] and more recent articles of this author; see also [1] for nice and relatively uncomplicated introduction to this theory). The Makanin–Razborov diagram of a group G consists of its quotients and quotient maps in such a way that every homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow F_r$ is *M–R factorized* through some branch of quotients $G \xrightarrow{q_1} L_1 \xrightarrow{q_2} \dots \xrightarrow{q_k} L_k$, where L_k is a free group. It means that there is an element $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L_k, F_r)$ and modular automorphisms $\alpha \in \text{Mod}(G)$ and $\alpha_i \in \text{Mod}(L_i)$ for $1 \leq i < k$ such that $\varphi = \psi \circ q_k \circ \alpha_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_1 \circ q_1 \circ \alpha$. It is worth to emphasize that our geometrical approach is different in nature. The equivalence and strong equivalence relations in $\text{Hom}(G, F_r)$ cannot be derived from Makanin–Razborov diagrams in general.

A transition to Reeb graphs looks as follows. Using a construction of the second author from [21] we assign a Morse function f on W and its Reeb graph to any system of hypersurfaces without boundary in such a way that its induced homomorphism is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism of f (Theorem 4.6). Moreover, submanifolds from the system are components of level sets of f . In the case of surfaces we can construct, for a regular and independent system \mathcal{N} of hypersurfaces and a graph Γ with natural necessary conditions, a Morse function realizing Γ as its Reeb graph such that submanifolds from \mathcal{N} correspond to prescribed edges of Γ . The same can be done for higher-dimensional manifolds if Γ is the initial graph (see Figure 5). In these cases it leads to representability of epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ as the Reeb epimorphism of a Morse function.

Prescribed level sets are an additional ingredient to the realization theorems for Reeb graphs. The classical result of V. Sharko [29] provides a realization of any graph with the so-called good orientation as the Reeb graph of a function on a surface. Recently, the second author in [20, 21] resolved the realization problem with a fixed arbitrary closed manifold. In the case of surfaces the realization is done up to isomorphism of graphs with detailed description of Reeb graphs of Morse functions. However, for higher-dimensional manifolds it is up to orientation-preserving homeomorphism and the construction relies on combinatorial modifications of Reeb graphs. It was showed that any Γ with good orientation is obtained from the initial graph by using a finite sequence of combinatorial modifications. This fact allows us to represent any epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ as the Reeb epimorphism. For compact manifolds with boundary an epimorphism $\pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is represented as the Reeb epimorphism if and only if it is induced by a system without boundary (Theorem 4.20). Equivalently, it is factorized through $\pi_1(W)/\langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$, where $\langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$ is the smallest normal subgroup of $\pi_1(W)$ containing all classes of loops from ∂W . Because of lack of precise realization theorem for manifolds with boundary, the representability is up to contraction of a spanning tree in the Reeb graph, without considering a particular graph.

Another subject of this paper focuses on the maximum quantities related to considered objects. The corank of a finitely generated group G is the maximum rank r for which there exists an epimorphism $G \rightarrow F_r$. As it was defined in [20] for closed manifolds, the Reeb number $\mathcal{R}(W)$ of W denotes the maximum cycle rank of Reeb graphs of Morse functions $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are constant on the connected components of ∂W . In other words, $\mathcal{R}(W)$ is the maximum rank of the Reeb epimorphism of such a Morse function on W . For closed manifolds we have the equality $\mathcal{R}(M) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(M))$ (see [21] and [9]). Theorem 4.20 gives the corresponding formula for manifolds with boundary:

$$\mathcal{R}(W) = \text{corank} \left(\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} \right).$$

It is also equal to the maximum size of an independent system of hypersurfaces without boundary in W . The last considered quantity is the maximum size of an independent system of hypersurfaces in W , which was denoted by $C(W)$ by O. Cornea in [5]. It is always equal to the corank of $\pi_1(W)$.

It should be noted that the relations between these numbers were already studied earlier by other authors. The equality $C(W) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(W))$ was established by O. Cornea [5] for closed smooth manifolds and by W. Jaco [15] for combinatorial manifolds with boundary. The equality $\mathcal{R}(M) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(M))$ was showed by the second author [21] and independently by I. Gelbukh in [8] for orientable manifolds by using foliation theory and later in [9] without this assumption by means of other methods. What is worth to emphasize, while these papers contain geometric descriptions of the corank of $\pi_1(M)$, there was not given a correspondence between epimorphisms, systems of hypersurfaces and Reeb graphs. The presented work fulfils this gap.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the correspondence between the systems

of hypersurfaces and homomorphisms onto free groups. Next, in Section 3 we deal with the problem of classification of epimorphisms onto free groups up to equivalence and strong equivalences. Section 4 establishes the representation of epimorphisms onto free groups as the Reeb epimorphisms of Morse functions. Then the rest of the section is devoted to its some applications containing description of the corank and Reeb number, problem of extendability of independent systems and connections with topological conjugacy of functions.

2 Systems of hypersurfaces and induced homomorphisms

Throughout the paper we assume that all manifolds are smooth of dimension $n \geq 2$. Hereafter, M and W are connected and compact smooth manifolds with fixed basepoints and M is closed, unless otherwise stated.

We use the following model of F_r , the free group on r generators. Consider the circle S^1 as the quotient $[-1, 1]/\{-1, 1\}$ and take $F_r := \pi_1(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1)$ as the fundamental group of the wedge product of $r \geq 1$ copies of the circle. We use the convention that $\bigvee_{i=1}^0 S_i^1 = \text{pt}$, thus $F_0 = 1$ is the trivial group.

We will omit basepoints from the notation.

2.1 Systems of hypersurfaces

Let W be a compact manifold. A submanifold N of W is called **proper** if $N \cap \partial W = \partial N$. A **framing** of a submanifold N in W is a smooth function ν which assigns to each $x \in N$ a basis of the normal bundle of N at the point x . The pair (N, ν) is called a **framed submanifold**. If N is of codimension 1, then its framing is just a nonzero section of the normal bundle of N . Thus N has a closed product neighbourhood $P(N) \cong N \times [-1, 1]$ and it is called **2-sided**. We assume that $P(N)$ is compatible with the framing. Denote by $P_t(N)$ the submanifold corresponding to $N \times \{t\}$. The positive side of N containing $P_t(N)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$ agrees with the side determined by the framing.

A **system of hypersurfaces** in W is a tuple $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ of disjoint, proper, 2-sided submanifolds N_i together with their framings ν_i . The number r is called the **size** of the system \mathcal{N} . Denote by

$$W|\mathcal{N} := W \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Int } P(N_i)$$

the complement of the system \mathcal{N} for sufficiently small product neighbourhoods of N_i 's. It will cause no confusion if we use \mathcal{N} to designate also $\bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i$, the sum of all submanifolds from the system. Of course, framings ν_i of submanifolds N_i form a framing ν of \mathcal{N} such that $\nu|_{N_i} = \nu_i$. Unless it is necessary, we will not write a framing of a system explicitly.

A system \mathcal{N} is called **independent** if $W|\mathcal{N}$ is connected, and it is called **regular** if each N_i is connected. The system \mathcal{N} is **without boundary** if $\partial\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$. Note that we do not assume that submanifolds N_i are connected, unless \mathcal{N} is regular.

Now we define the extended Pontryagin–Thom construction for a system of hypersurfaces.

Definition 2.1. The **homomorphism** $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ **induced by a system** $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ omitting the basepoint is defined as follows. Fix product neighbourhoods $P(N_i) \cong N_i \times [-1, 1]$ which are disjoint. We define the map $f_{\mathcal{N}}: W \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ which maps $W|\mathcal{N}$ to the basepoint and each $P(N_i)$ onto i -th circle $S_i^1 = [-1, 1]/\{-1, 1\}$ by mapping $P_t(N_i)$ into t . It is clear that $f_{\mathcal{N}}$ is continuous, thus we put $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} := (f_{\mathcal{N}})_{\#}$ to be the homomorphism induced by $f_{\mathcal{N}}$ on fundamental groups.

By the definition of a system of hypersurfaces $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is well-defined and it is clear that it does not depend on the choice of $P(N_i)$'s and a given framing, but on the orientation of the normal bundle of \mathcal{N} .

Proposition 2.2. *Any homomorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is induced by a system of hypersurfaces. If a system \mathcal{N} is independent, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Since $\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ is an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(F_r, 1)$, there is a map $f: W \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ such that $f_{\#} = \varphi$. Smooth it outside the inverse image of basepoint and take regular values $a_i \in S_i^1$ of both f and $f|_{\partial W}$. Since W is compact, there is a neighbourhood $[a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$ consisting of regular values, and thus $N_i := f^{-1}(a_i)$ is a 2-sided, proper submanifold with product neighbourhood $f^{-1}([a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]) \cong N_i \times [a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$. Take the map $h: \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1 \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ which contracts

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r [a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$$

to the basepoint and maps linearly and orientation-preserving $[a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$ onto S_i^1 . It is clear that $(h \circ f)_\# = \varphi$ is induced by $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ with framings compatible with the orientations of $[a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$.

If \mathcal{N} is independent, then for any i there is a loop α_i in $(W|\mathcal{N}) \cup P(N_i)$ such that $f_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \alpha_i$ represents the generator of $\pi_1(\bigvee S_i^1)$ corresponding to S_i^1 . Thus $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is surjective. \square

There is a quite easy characterization, using a special notion of framed cobordism, of systems in a closed manifold M which induce the same homomorphism to a free group.

Recall (cf. [23]) that submanifolds N and N' in M are **cobordant** if there exists a proper compact submanifold $W \subset M \times [0, 1]$, called **cobordism** between N and N' , such that $W \cap (M \times [0, \varepsilon]) = N \times [0, \varepsilon]$ and $W \cap (M \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]) = N' \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$. Framed submanifolds (N, ν) and (N', ν') are **framed cobordant**, if there is a cobordism $W \subset M \times [0, 1]$ between N and N' with a framing ϑ such that $\vartheta(x, t) = (\nu(x), 0)$ for $(x, t) \in N \times [0, \varepsilon]$ and $\vartheta(x, t) = (\nu'(x), 0)$ for $(x, t) \in N' \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$ be two systems in M of the same size r . We say that \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are **framed cobordant** (as systems of hypersurfaces) if there are r disjoint framed cobordisms $W_i \subset M \times [0, 1]$ between N_i and N'_i .

In other words, the systems \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are framed cobordant, if framed submanifolds \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are framed cobordant by the cobordism W which has a partition into r parts $W = W_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup W_r$ such that $\partial W_i = N_i \times \{0\} \sqcup N'_i \times \{1\}$. Clearly, it is an equivalence relation in the family of systems of hypersurfaces in M of size r . Note that the cobordisms W_i form the system $\mathcal{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_r)$ of hypersurfaces in $M \times [0, 1]$.

Note that the notion of framed cobordism between systems of hypersurfaces of size 1 is the same as an ordinary framed cobordism.

Proposition 2.4. *Systems \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' of hypersurfaces in M are framed cobordant if and only if $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are framed cobordant by framed cobordisms W_1, \dots, W_r which form the system \mathcal{W} , then as in Definition 2.1 it leads to the map $f_{\mathcal{W}}: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ for a fixed product neighbourhood $P(\mathcal{W})$. It is clear that $f_{\mathcal{W}}|_{M \times \{0\}} = f_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{W}}|_{M \times \{1\}} = f_{\mathcal{N}'}$ for product neighbourhoods $P(\mathcal{N}) = P(\mathcal{W}) \cap M \times \{0\}$ and $P(\mathcal{N}') = P(\mathcal{W}) \cap M \times \{1\}$, respectively. Thus $f_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a homotopy between $f_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{N}'}$, so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$.

Conversely, if $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$, then $f_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{N}'}$ are homotopic by a map $f: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ which is smooth outside the preimage of basepoint since $\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ is an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(F_r, 1)$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.2 take a regular values $a_i \in S_i^1$ and framed submanifolds $W_i = f^{-1}(a_i)$ which form a system of hypersurfaces in $M \times [0, 1]$. They are framed cobordisms between $f_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(a_i) \cong N_i$ and $f_{\mathcal{N}'}^{-1}(a_i) \cong N'_i$. By the construction of $f_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{N}'}$ it is clear that the system $(f_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(a_1), \dots, f_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(a_r))$ is framed cobordant to \mathcal{N} and $(f_{\mathcal{N}'}^{-1}(a_1), \dots, f_{\mathcal{N}'}^{-1}(a_r))$ is framed cobordant to \mathcal{N}' . The statement follows by transitivity of framed cobordism. \square

Remark 2.5. It is easy to check that if two systems of hypersurfaces differ only in their framings, but the determined positive sides are the same, then they are framed cobordant. Thus the induced homomorphism depends only on the choice of sides of submanifolds from a system, not on particular framings.

2.2 Epimorphisms and independency of inducing systems

The aim of this section is to prove that any epimorphism onto a free group is induced by an independent and regular system.

Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be a system of hypersurfaces in a compact and connected manifold W . Note that any class of loops in W can be represented by a loop in the interior $\text{Int } W$.

Lemma 2.6. *Any class of loops $\omega \in \pi_1(W)$ either can be represented by a loop in $W|\mathcal{N}$ or there is a loop $\alpha \in \omega$ which can be written as the concatenation of paths $\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_k$ which ends lie in $W|\mathcal{N}$ and $\alpha_i \cap P_t(\mathcal{N})$ is a single point for any $t \in [-1, 1]$. Thus putting $a_i := [S_i^1]$ as the generators of $F_r = \pi_1(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1)$ we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k}$, where $\epsilon_j \in \{-1, +1\}$ and i_j is a unique index for which $\alpha_j \cap N_{i_j}$ is non-empty.*

Proof. Take any loop in ω and homotope it to be in general position to \mathcal{N} . Since they have a complementary dimensions, their intersection is a finite set. Now, cut the obtained loop into paths α_i as it is required. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose there is a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow W$ such that $\gamma \cap \mathcal{N} = \gamma \cap N_j = \{x, y\}$, where $x = \gamma(0) \in X$ and $y = \gamma(1) \in Y$ are in the different connected components X and Y of N_j , and which joins x and y from the same side, i.e. $\gamma \cap P_t(N_j) = \emptyset$ for any $t \in [-1, 0)$ or for any $t \in (0, 1]$. Then there is a system $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$ such that $N_i = N'_i$ for $i \neq j$, N'_j has a one less connected component than N_j and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$.*

Proof. First, change $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow W$ to be an embedded arc in $\text{Int } W$ with the same properties as in the statement. Take a small, closed tubular neighbourhood $P(\gamma)$ of γ parametrized by $\gamma \times D_3^{n-1}$ such that $P(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{N} = P(\gamma) \cap N_j$, where $D_t^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \|x\| \leq t\}$ is a closed disc of radius t . We may assume that $P(\gamma) \cap X = \{x\} \times D_3^{n-1}$ and $P(\gamma) \cap Y = \{y\} \times D_3^{n-1}$. Now, perform the connected sum operation of X and Y along γ in W , i.e. we define the new submanifold

$$A = X \#_{\gamma} Y := (X \setminus \{x\} \times D_2^{n-1}) \cup (\gamma \times \partial D_2^{n-1}) \cup (Y \setminus \{y\} \times D_2^{n-1}).$$

Obviously, A is a topological manifold, smoothly embedded outside $\{x, y\} \times \partial D_2^{n-1}$. Thus take an open ε -neighbourhood U of $\{x, y\} \times \partial D_2^{n-1}$ and smooth the corners inside U . Hence we may assume that A is a 2-sided smooth submanifold of W with product neighbourhood $P(A)$ such that

$$P(A \setminus U) = P(X \cup Y \setminus (\{x, y\} \times D_2^{n-1}) \setminus U) \cup (\gamma([\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]) \times (D_3^{n-1} \setminus \text{Int } D_1^{n-1})).$$

Since γ joins X and Y from the same side, the orientations of their normal bundles induces the orientation of $P(A)$, and thus a framing of A .

Let $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$ be a system such that $N_i = N'_i$ for $i \neq j$ and $N'_j = (N_j \setminus (X \cup Y)) \cup A$. We will show that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$. Let $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(W)$ be any class of loops in W with the basepoint outside $P(\mathcal{N})$ and $P(\gamma)$. We may assume that α does not intersect $\{x, y\} \times D_2^{n-1} \cup U$ and it is in general position to \mathcal{N}' . Write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ as in Lemma 2.6 with respect to the system \mathcal{N}' , so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}([\alpha]) = a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$. Note that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha])$ is obtained from $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}([\alpha]) = a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$ by removing these $a_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_j}$ which correspond to α_j such that $\alpha_j \cap \gamma \times \partial D_2^{n-1} \neq \emptyset$. However, if α_j intersects $\gamma \times \partial D_2^{n-1}$ and goes inside $\gamma \times D_2^{n-1}$ (i.e. it has the end point in $\gamma \times D_2^{n-1}$), then α_{j+1} also intersects $\gamma \times \partial D_2^{n-1}$, since it needs to leave $\gamma \times D_2^{n-1}$ and does not intersect $\{x, y\} \times D_2^{n-1}$. Thus $a_{i_j} = a_{i_{j+1}}$ and $\varepsilon_{j+1} = -\varepsilon_j$. Therefore $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}([\alpha])$, so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$. \square



Figure 1: Example of connected sum operation of submanifolds X and Y along a curve γ which joins them from the same side. The arrows indicate normal vectors from the framing.

We call the constructed submanifold $X \#_{\gamma} Y$ the **connected sum** of X and Y **along** γ .

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be a system of hypersurfaces in W such that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an epimorphism and there are no paths as in the statement of Lemma 2.7. Then there is a unique independent and regular system $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r)$ in W such that for each j the submanifold A_j is a component of N_j and there is a loop α_j such that $\alpha_j \cap \mathcal{N} = \alpha_j \cap A_j$ is a single point. In particular, if \mathcal{N} is regular, then it is independent.*

Proof. Since $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an epimorphism, for any j there is a loop α_j in W such that $f_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \alpha_j$ represents the generator of $F_r = \pi_1(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1)$ which corresponds to S_j^1 . As in Lemma 2.6 we may consider α_j as the concatenation of paths $\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_k^j$ such that $a_j = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha_j]) = a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$, where $a_i = [S_i^1]$. If $k > 1$, then there is some cancellation in the word $a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$, so for some l both α_l^j and α_{l+1}^j intersect the same submanifold N_{i_l} . If they intersect two different components of N_{i_l} , then it leads to a path as in the statement of Lemma 2.7, a contradiction. However, if they intersect N_{i_l} in the same connected component X , then we may assume that the starting point of α_l^j and the endpoint of α_{l+1}^j are in $P_t(X)$ for some $t \in [-2, 2] \setminus [-1, 1]$ by reparameterizing $P(N_{i_l})$. Since X is connected, we may substitute these paths by an arc in $P_t(X)$ joining these endpoints, which provides a loop with reduced the number of paths in the representation from Lemma 2.6. Proceeding inductively we may assume that $\alpha_j \cap \mathcal{N} = \alpha_j \cap N_j$ is a single point.

Note that if there were two components X and Y of N_j with loops α_X and α_Y with the same basepoint intersecting \mathcal{N} only in single points of X and Y , respectively, then they would determine a path joining X and Y as in Lemma 2.7. Thus for any j there is a unique connected component A_j of N_j with this property.

The system $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r)$ is regular by definition and independent by the above property of A_j 's. The uniqueness of \mathcal{A} follows by the uniqueness of its components.

If \mathcal{N} is regular, then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}$, so it is independent. \square

Remark 2.9. Using the techniques as in the paper of Cornea [5] one can show that for a closed manifold M if \mathcal{N} is not regular and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is surjective, then there is an independent and regular system $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$ in M such that $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$, without the assumption on the existence of paths as in Lemma 2.7.

Theorem 2.10. *Any epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is induced by a regular and independent system of hypersurfaces.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be a system inducing φ . By Lemma 2.7 we may assume that there is no path as in the statement of the lemma. Thus by Proposition 2.8 we consider a regular and independent system $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r)$ such that A_j is a component of N_j and for each j there is a loop α_j such that $\alpha_j \cap \mathcal{N} = \alpha_j \cap A_j$ is a single point. Therefore $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha_j]) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}([\alpha_j])$ for each j and $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is surjective. We will show that $\ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Let $[\alpha] \in \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_k$ as in Lemma 2.6 with respect to the system \mathcal{N} . We proceed by induction on k , which is even since $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) = 1$. If $k = 0$, then $\alpha \cap \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$, so $\alpha \in W|\mathcal{N} \subset W|\mathcal{A}$ and therefore $[\alpha] \in \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that any element in $\ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ represented by a loop which can be written as the concatenation of less than k paths as in Lemma 2.6 is also contained in $\ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_k$ for $[\alpha] \in \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. Since $1 = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) = a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k}$, there is an index m such that $a_{i_m} = a_{i_{m+1}}$ and $\epsilon_{m+1} = -\epsilon_m$, so $i_m = i_{m+1} =: j$. Thus both the paths α_m and α_{m+1} intersect the same component X of N_j since there are no paths as in Lemma 2.7. Obviously, we may extend slightly the tubular neighbourhood of X and assume that the beginning of the path α_m and the end of α_{m+1} are in $P_t(X)$ for some $t \notin [-1, 1]$. Since X is connected, so also is $P_t(X)$, there is an arc γ in $P_t(X)$ joining these two points. Thus we may define the loop

$$\beta = \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{m-1} \cdot (\gamma \cdot \alpha_{m+2}) \cdot \alpha_{m+3} \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_k$$

which has $k - 2$ paths as in Lemma 2.6. Write $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) = \omega \cdot a_j^{\epsilon_m} a_j^{-\epsilon_m} \cdot \omega'$. Evidently, $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\beta]) = \omega \omega' = 1$ and by induction hypothesis $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}([\beta]) = 1$. It is clear that in both the cases $X = A_j$ or $X \neq A_j$ we get $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}([\alpha]) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}([\beta]) = 1$, so $[\alpha] \in \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. By induction $\ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Therefore $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}} = \eta \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for some epimorphism $\eta: F_r \rightarrow F_r$. Since free groups are Hopfian (see [2]), η is an isomorphism, so $\ker \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Because $[\alpha_j]$'s generate a subgroup of $\pi_1(W)$ mapped isomorphically onto F_r by $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ on which they are equal, we obtain $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ everywhere and the theorem is proved. \square

3 Systems of hypersurfaces in the classification of epimorphisms

Hereafter, Σ_g and S_g denote respectively an orientable and non-orientable closed surface of genus g .

Let G be a finitely generated group and $\varphi: G \rightarrow F_r$ be an epimorphism. The number r is called the **rank** of an epimorphism φ . The **corank** of G is defined as the largest rank of an epimorphism from G onto a free group and it is denoted by $\text{corank}(G)$. Since G is finitely generated it is well-defined and

$$\text{corank}(G) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(G),$$

where $\text{Ab}(G)$ is the abelianization of G . For more information about the corank and its properties we refer to [5, 7, 9, 15, 21]. In the case when $G = \pi_1(X)$ the corank of G is also called the first non-commutative Betti number of X (cf. [7]). We only recall that $\text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)) = g$ and $\text{corank}(\pi_1(S_g)) = \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$, the floor of $g/2$.

Grigorchuk, Kurchanov and Zieschang in [10, 12] studied epimorphisms onto free groups from fundamental groups of compact surfaces. As in their papers, we call two homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi: G \rightarrow H$ **equivalent**, and we denote it by $\varphi \sim \psi$, if there exist isomorphisms $\nu: G \rightarrow G$ and $\eta: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi \circ \nu = \eta \circ \psi$. They are called **strongly equivalent** if one can choose $\eta = \text{id}_H$. In this case we write $\varphi \simeq \psi$. Obviously, it implies that $\varphi \sim \psi$. We are interested in the case $H = F_r$.

In this section we apply the results of the previous section to the problem of classification of epimorphisms onto free groups up to equivalence and strong equivalence. In particular, we give an alternative proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Grigorchuk–Kurchanov–Zieschang [10, 11, 12]). *If Σ is a closed surface of Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - k$ and $1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor = \text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma))$, then there exist finite numbers p and q of classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$ with respect to equivalence and strong equivalence, respectively. More precisely,*

1) if Σ is orientable, then $p = q = 1$,




Figure 2: Equivalence (a) and strong equivalence (b) of epimorphisms onto free groups.

2) if $\Sigma = S_k$ is non-orientable, then we have:

- a) $p = q = 1$ if the genus $k = 2m + 1$ is odd,
- b) $p = 2$ and $q = 2^r$ if the genus $k = 2m$ is even and $r < m$,
- c) $p = 1$ and $q = 2^r - 1$ if the genus $k = 2m$ is even and $r = m$.

Theorem 3.2 ([11]). *For $m \geq r$ there exists only one class of epimorphisms $F_m \rightarrow F_r$ up to strong equivalence.*

The following theorem shows the importance of studies of equivalence of epimorphisms.

Theorem 3.3 (Stallings–Jacó–Waldhausen–Hempel, [13, 14]). *The Poincaré conjecture holds if and only if for each $g \geq 2$ any two epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_g \times F_g$ are equivalent.*

3.1 Systems of hypersurfaces up to framed cobordism and diffeomorphism

Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_r(M)$ the set of all independent and regular systems of hypersurfaces in M of size r which omit the basepoint, and by $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)$ the set of framed cobordism classes of elements of $\mathcal{H}_r(M)$. On each of these sets there is a natural action of $\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$, the set of self-diffeomorphisms of M which preserve the basepoint, so we may form the orbit space $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$. Note that if $h \in \text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$, then a system $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ and its image $h(\mathcal{N}) = (h(N_1), \dots, h(N_r))$ induce strongly equivalent homomorphisms.

Moreover, for groups G and H denote by $\text{Epi}(G, H)$ the set of all epimorphisms $G \rightarrow H$.

We have the natural map $\Theta: \mathcal{H}_r(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)$ which sends a system \mathcal{N} into the induced epimorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. By Proposition 2.4 it factorizes through the injective map $\bar{\Theta}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)$. The Theorem 2.10 states that both these mappings are also surjective.

Corollary 3.4. *The map $\bar{\Theta}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)$ is a bijection between the set of all framed cobordism classes of regular and independent systems of hypersurfaces of size r in M and the set of all epimorphisms from $\pi_1(M)$ onto the free group of rank r . \square*

Now, let us consider the strong equivalence relation \simeq on $\text{Epi}(G, F_r)$. The composition

$$\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)/\simeq$$

is still surjective and it factorizes through the map $\bar{\bar{\Theta}}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)/\simeq$.

Corollary 3.5. *The number of strong equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ is not greater than the cardinality of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$.*

The question is when the latter set is finite. It is for example the case for the surface groups.

Proposition 3.6. *For a closed surface Σ the map $\bar{\bar{\Theta}}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(\Sigma), F_r)/\simeq$ is a bijection.*

Proof. We know that it is surjective. For injectivity it suffices to note that by Dehn–Nielsen Theorem (see [4]) any automorphism of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ can be represented by a self-diffeomorphism of Σ . If $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$ are strongly equivalent by $\eta = h_\#$ induced by $h \in \text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'} \circ \eta = (f_{\mathcal{N}'} \circ h)_\# = (f_{h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')})_\# = \varphi_{h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')}$, so \mathcal{N} and $h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$ are framed cobordant. \square

Remark 3.7. The same fact is true for any manifold M for which any automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$ is induced by some element of $\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$. By Mostow Rigidity Theorem it is the case for hyperbolic manifolds of dimension at least 3.

Now, our aim is to calculate $\mathcal{H}_r^f(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$. We need the following series of three lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Σ be a non-orientable compact surface with $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $S \subset \partial\Sigma$ be a connected component. Then there exist $h \in \text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ such that $h(S) = S$, $h|_S$ is orientation-reversing and $h|_{\partial\Sigma \setminus S} = \text{id}_{\partial\Sigma \setminus S}$.*

Proof. First, assume that Σ is the projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 with one disc B removed, i.e. $\Sigma = \mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus \text{Int } B$ and $S = \partial B$. Let $D \subset \text{Int } \Sigma$ be another disc and $\Sigma' = \Sigma \setminus D \cup B$ be a Möbius band. Fix a parametrization $\Sigma' \cong [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]/(t, 0) \sim (-t, 1)$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$ such that $S \subset \text{Int } \Sigma'$ is symmetric with respect to the core $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$, i.e. if $(t, x) \in S$, then $(-t, x)$ is also in S . Then $h': \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$ defined by $h'(t, x) = (-t, x)$ is a self-diffeomorphism such that $h'|_S: S \rightarrow S$ has degree -1 , so it is orientation-reversing, but on $\partial\Sigma'$ it is orientation-preserving, so isotopic to the identity. Thus we can extend h' to $h: \mathbb{RP}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ such that $h(B) = B$ and $h|_S$ has degree -1 , and take $h|_\Sigma$.

In general case, glue a disc B and Σ along S and take a diffeomorphism $\Sigma \cup_S B \rightarrow \Sigma' \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ such that $B \subset \Sigma' \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$ as before. The lemma follows from the first case. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1 \cup N_2)$ be a system of size 1 in a manifold M such that N_1 and N_2 are connected. If $M \setminus N_1$ and $M \setminus N_2$ are connected, but $M|\mathcal{N}$ is disconnected, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is not surjective.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an epimorphism. Then there is a loop α in a general position to \mathcal{N} such that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) = \pm 1$. As in Lemma 2.6 write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ as a concatenation of paths α_i , each of which intersects \mathcal{N} in a single point. Therefore

$$1 \equiv \pm 1 = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}([\alpha]) \equiv k \pmod{2},$$

so k is odd. By the assumption $M|\mathcal{N}$ has exactly two components. Since $N_1, N_2, M \setminus N_1$ and $M \setminus N_2$ are connected, each α_i joins both the components of $M|\mathcal{N}$. Thus k is even, because α is a loop, so it starts and ends at the same point. It gives a contradiction, so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is not surjective. \square

Remark 3.10. While we know that independent systems induce surjective homomorphisms, non-independent systems can induce both surjective or not surjective homomorphisms. The above lemma shows when $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is not an epimorphism and it can be generalized for other similar situations.

Lemma 3.11. *For an independent system $\mathcal{N} = (N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_r)$ of size 1 in a manifold M there exists a regular and independent system $\mathcal{N}' = (N')$ which is framed cobordant to \mathcal{N} , so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$. Moreover,*

- 1) *The complement $M|\mathcal{N}'$ can be non-orientable if $M|\mathcal{N}$ is non-orientable.*
- 2) *The complement $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is orientable if $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable and $M|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_i)$ is non-orientable for each i .*

Proof. The construction of \mathcal{N}' if performed as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 by using arcs γ connecting components of \mathcal{N} . They can be found since \mathcal{N} is independent.

Consider a two-sheeted orientation cover $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$, where

$$\widetilde{M} := \{\mu_x \mid x \in M \text{ and } \mu_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \text{ is a local orientation of } M \text{ at } x\}.$$

For 1), if $M|\mathcal{N}$ is non-orientable, then there is a loop α in $M|\mathcal{N}$ which reverse the orientation, which means that it lifts to a path in \widetilde{M} which joins two different local orientations at the basepoint. Since $M|\mathcal{N} \setminus \text{Im } \alpha$ is connected, we may perform the construction of \mathcal{N}' in this space. Then α is also contained in $M|\mathcal{N}'$, so it is non-orientable.

Now, assume that if $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable, but $M|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_i)$ is non-orientable for each i . To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is non-orientable, so there is a loop α in $M|\mathcal{N}'$ which reverse the orientation and we may assume that it is in general position to \mathcal{N} . Using Lemma 2.6 write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ as a concatenation of paths α_i , each of which intersects \mathcal{N} in a single point. Note that since α is in $M|\mathcal{N}'$, it intersects \mathcal{N} only when it goes into or leaves a tubular neighbourhood $P(\gamma)$ of some arc γ as mentioned in the beginning of the proof. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, if α intersects \mathcal{N} going inside $P(\gamma)$, then it needs to leave $P(\gamma)$ again intersecting \mathcal{N} . Thus k is even.

For any i consider α_i which intersects N_j in a point x and take a small closed disc D in M around x such that the cover π is trivial over D and $\partial D \cap \text{Im } \alpha_i = \{x_1, x_2\}$, where x_1 and x_2 lie on the different sides of N_j such that α_i goes from x_1 to x_2 . By the assumption, there is a reversing-orientation loop β_i in $M|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_j)$ intersecting \mathcal{N} only once at x and we may assume that its image agrees with the image of α_i on D . We take a loop α' which differs from α only on the segment of α_i between x_1 and x_2 , where it goes as β_i outside D . Note that the local orientations in x_2 assigning by lifts of α and α' are opposed. Repeating this for each α_i we obtain a loop α'' which omits \mathcal{N} and which is still orientation-reversing since we changed the local orientations by β_i an even number of times. This contradicts the fact that $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable and proves 2). \square

Remark 3.12. In fact, in 1) the complement $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is always non-orientable if $M|\mathcal{N}$ is non-orientable. For this, if \mathcal{N}'' is any other regular and independent system framed cobordant to \mathcal{N} such that $M|\mathcal{N}''$ is orientable, then it is also framed cobordant to \mathcal{N}' , but it is a contradiction by the next proposition.

Proposition 3.13. *Let M be a non-orientable manifold and let \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' be two regular and independent systems of hypersurfaces in M of the same size r such that $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable, but $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is non-orientable. Then \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are not framed cobordant.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$. It is clear that we may assume that \mathcal{N} satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.11 2) since a framed cobordism between \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' implies a framed cobordism between the systems $\mathcal{N}_* = (N_{i_1}, \dots, N_{i_k})$ and $\mathcal{N}'_* = (N'_{i_1}, \dots, N'_{i_k})$, where $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ are all indices such that $M|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_{i_j})$ is non-orientable. We will show that \mathcal{N}_* and \mathcal{N}'_* are not framed cobordant even as submanifolds, not as systems of hypersurfaces. For this we may use Lemma 3.11 for $(N_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup N_{i_k})$ and $(N'_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup N'_{i_k})$ to assume that $r = 1$.

So now, each of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' is just a non-separating connected 2-sided submanifold in M , $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable and $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is non-orientable. Suppose that $W \subset M \times [0, 1]$ is a framed cobordism between \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' . Take the orientation cover $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and take the lifts $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}' := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$. Moreover, by the property of π the complement $\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ has two connected components since $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable, and $\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$ is connected since $M|\mathcal{N}'$ is non-orientable. The cobordism W is lifted to the framed cobordism $\widetilde{W} := (\pi \times \text{id}_{[0,1]})^{-1}(W) \subset \widetilde{M} \times [0, 1]$ between $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$. Therefore $\varphi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} = \varphi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'}: \pi_1(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'}$ is surjective, because $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'$ is independent. However, $\varphi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ is not surjective, which gives a contradiction.

To see this, note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ can have one or two components. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is connected, then $\varphi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ is evidently not surjective, since $\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is not connected. In the second case when $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ has two components, we use Lemma 3.9.

Thus \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are not framed cobordant. \square

Remark 3.14. The above proposition is easily not true for not regular systems of hypersurfaces.

Now, we may give an alternative proof of Grigorchuk–Kurchanov–Zieschang Theorem (Theorem 3.1) for the strong equivalence relation. First, let us make a short preparation.

Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}' = (N'_1, \dots, N'_r)$ be two arbitrary regular and independent systems of hypersurfaces in a closed surface Σ . Thus all N_i, N'_i are circles. Assume that $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$ and $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}'$ are diffeomorphic. By homogeneity of manifolds, take a diffeomorphism $h': \Sigma|\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \Sigma|\mathcal{N}'$ which sends $P_{\pm 1}(N_i)$ onto $P_{\pm 1}(N'_i)$. Glue all tubes $P(N_i) \cong [-1, 1] \times N_i$ to Σ along $\{-1\} \times N_i$ to obtain a surface $\overline{\Sigma}$ with $2r$ boundary components $\{1\} \times N_i$ and $P_1(N_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let

$$\xi_i: P_1(N_i) \rightarrow \{1\} \times N_i$$

be a gluing map which leads to Σ . Analogously, we define $\overline{\Sigma}'$ and take ξ'_i for \mathcal{N}' . Extend h' to a diffeomorphism $\bar{h}: \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}'$ using $P(N_i) \cong [-1, 1] \times S^1 \cong P(N'_i)$, so $\bar{h}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}'$. It follows easily that \bar{h} induces $h \in \text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ after performing gluing operations via ξ_i and ξ'_i if and only if $\bar{h}^{-1} \circ \xi'_i \circ \bar{h}|_{P_1(N_i)}$ is isotopic to ξ_i for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. If it is the case, then $h(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}'$, so \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are the same elements in $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 3.15. *Let Σ be a closed surface, let r be an integer such that $1 \leq r \leq \text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ and set $q = |\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)|$.*

1) *If Σ is orientable or non-orientable of odd genus, then $q = 1$.*

2) *If $\Sigma = S_{2m}$ is non-orientable of genus $2m$, then*

- *if $r < m$, then $q = 2^r$,*
- *if $r = m$, then $q = 2^r - 1$.*

As a consequence, q is the number of strong equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$ as in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. We use the above notation. If Σ is orientable, then $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$ and $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}'$ are diffeomorphic surfaces and we may assume that the diffeomorphism h' is orientation-preserving. Since Σ is orientable, all maps ξ_i and ξ'_i are also orientation-preserving, so we obtain $h \in \text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ such that $h(N_i) = N'_i$. Therefore $q = 1$.

Now assume that Σ is non-orientable of odd genus. Then $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$ and $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}'$ are compact surfaces with $2r$ boundary components and of the same odd Euler characteristic, so they are also non-orientable. Using Lemma 3.8 we may change h' , by the composition with another diffeomorphism, so that $\bar{h}^{-1} \circ \xi'_i \circ \bar{h}|_{P_1(N_i)}$ and ξ_i are isotopic. As before, it implies that $q = 1$.

Finally, let $\Sigma = S_{2m}$ be non-orientable of even genus $2m$. For any non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ it is easy to construct a system \mathcal{N}_I such that $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}_I$ is orientable and gluing maps ξ_i^I (defined as before) are

orientation-reversing only for $i \in I$. We omit the case when $I = \emptyset$ since then Σ would be orientable. Moreover, for $r < m$ we denote by \mathcal{N}_0 a system for which $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}_0$ is non-orientable. Note that if $r = m$, then $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$ is always the sphere with $2r$ open discs removed, so it is orientable.

By the previous considerations it is clear that the systems \mathcal{N}_I for $\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ and \mathcal{N}_0 for $r < m$ represent all elements of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ (for the case when $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$ is non-orientable we use Lemma 3.8 as before). Thus $q \leq 2^r$ for $r < m$ and $q \leq 2^r - 1$ for $r = m$. We will show that they are different elements of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$. It will be done if we show that the systems are not framed cobordant to each other.

By Proposition 3.13 we know that \mathcal{N}_0 is not cobordant to any \mathcal{N}_I . If we have two systems $\mathcal{N}_I = (N_1^I, \dots, N_r^I)$ and $\mathcal{N}_J = (N_1^J, \dots, N_r^J)$ for $I \neq J$, then we may assume that there is an index $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $j \notin I$, but $j \in J$, so ξ_j^I is orientation-preserving, but ξ_j^J is orientation-reversing. If $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, form the systems $\mathcal{N}_I^* = (N_{i_1}^I, \dots, N_{i_k}^I)$ and $\mathcal{N}_J^* = (N_{i_1}^J, \dots, N_{i_k}^J)$. By the construction, $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}_I^*$ is orientable, but $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}_J^*$ is non-orientable. Again by Proposition 3.13 we get that \mathcal{N}_I^* and \mathcal{N}_J^* are not framed cobordant, so also \mathcal{N}_I and \mathcal{N}_J cannot be framed cobordant and the proof is complete.

The last statement follows by Proposition 3.6. \square

3.2 Analogue of Nielsen transformations for systems of hypersurfaces

We have found out that strong equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ can be described by elements of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$. In this section we show how to get equivalence classes from them.

It is known that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F_r)$ of a finitely generated free group F_r is generated by **elementary Nielsen transformations** (see e.g. [2]). On a given ordered basis (a_1, \dots, a_r) we define them as follows:

- (T1) $n_\sigma : (a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto (a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r)})$ for some permutation $\sigma \in S_r$;
- (T2) $n_i : (a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i^{-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$;
- (T3) $n_{ij} : (a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i a_j, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r)$ which replaces a_i by $a_i a_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

Note that the transformation (T1) can be obtained from the other two transformations, but it is convenient to use. Thus we have three types of automorphisms: $n_\sigma, n_i, n_{ij} \in \text{Aut}(F_r)$.

Definition 3.16. Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be an independent and regular system of hypersurfaces in a closed manifold M . We define analogous operations on $\mathcal{H}_r(M)$:

- (H1) $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{N}^\sigma := (N_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, N_{\sigma(r)})$ for some permutation $\sigma \in S_r$;
- (H2) $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{N}^i$ is obtained by changing the framing of the submanifold N_i to the one with opposite orientation;
- (H3) $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{N}^{ij}$ is obtained for $i \neq j$ by replacing N_j by $N_j \#_\gamma P_1(N_i)$, where γ is an arc as in Lemma 2.7 which intersects \mathcal{N} only in two points and joins N_j and $P_1(N_i)$ from the same side.

An arc γ in (H3) always exists since \mathcal{N} is independent. Then for the obtained system \mathcal{N}^{ij} we take smaller tubular neighbourhoods to be disjoint, e.g. $P_{[-1, \frac{1}{2}]}(N_i) \cong [-1, \frac{1}{2}] \times N_i$. By Lemma 2.7 the homomorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}^{ij}}$ is the same as induced by the system $(N_1, \dots, N_i, \dots, N_j \cup P_1(N_i), \dots, N_r)$, so it is clear by the definition that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}^{ij}} = n_{ij} \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. Therefore $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}^{ij}}$ is surjective and since obviously \mathcal{N}^{ij} is regular, by Proposition 2.8 it is also independent, so the operation (H3) on $\mathcal{H}_r(M)$ is well defined. It does not depend on the choice of γ up to framed cobordism.




Figure 3: The operation (H3) which transforms the system $\mathcal{N} = (N_j, N_i)$ into $\mathcal{N}^{ij} = (N_j \#_\gamma P_1(N_i), N_i)$.

In the same way operations (H1) and (H2) are analogues of (T1) and (T2):

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{N}^\sigma} = n_\sigma \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{N}^i} = n_i \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Since elementary Nielsen transformations generate $\text{Aut}(F_r)$, we have the following straightforward conclusion.

Proposition 3.17. *Two epimorphisms $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$ induced by $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}' \in \mathcal{H}_r(M)$ are equivalent if and only if \mathcal{N}' can be transformed by using a finite number of operations (H1) – (H3) to a system \mathcal{N}'' such that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}''}$ are strongly equivalent. \square*

In particular, if M is a manifold for which $\overline{\Theta}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)/\simeq$ is a bijection, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}'}$ are equivalent if and only if \mathcal{N}'' can be obtained to represent the same element of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M)$ as \mathcal{N} .

Lemma 3.18. *The operations (H1)–(H3) on \mathcal{N} do not change the orientability of $M|\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. It is clear for (H1) and (H2). For (H3) if α is an orientation-reversing loop in $M|\mathcal{N}$, then $M|\mathcal{N} \setminus \text{Im } \alpha$ is also connected and a path γ between N_j and $P_1(N_i)$ can be taken to be disjoint from α , so $M|\mathcal{N}^{ij}$ is also non-orientable by Proposition 3.13. If $M|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable, but α is an orientation-reversing loop in $M|\mathcal{N}^{ij}$, then it intersects N_j and $P_1(N_i)$ in $P(\gamma)$, the tubular neighbourhood of γ . When α intersects N_j and goes into $P(\gamma)$, it may pass through $P(\gamma)$ and $P_{[0,1]}(N_i) \cong [0,1] \times N_i$ or again intersect N_j . Note that $P_{[0,1]}(N_i)$ is orientable, because $P_1(N_i)$ is orientable as a submanifold of orientable manifold $M|\mathcal{N}$. Thus α may be changing to another orientation-reversing loop lying outside \mathcal{N} , a contradiction. Therefore $M|\mathcal{N}^{ij}$ is also orientable. \square

Theorem 3.19. *Let Σ be a closed surface and let $1 \leq r \leq \text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ be an integer. Denote by p the number of equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$. Then*

1) *If Σ is orientable or non-orientable of odd genus, then $p = 1$.*

2) *if $\Sigma = S_{2m}$ is non-orientable of genus $2m$, then*

- *if $r < m$, then $p = 2$,*
- *if $r = m$, then $p = 1$.*

Proof. For the first part note that $1 \leq p \leq q$, where q is the number of strong equivalence classes of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow F_r$, and $q = 1$ if Σ is orientable or non-orientable of odd genus. If Σ is non-orientable of genus $2m$, then by Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 3.17 we need to investigate the operations (H1)–(H3) on the systems \mathcal{N}_0 and \mathcal{N}_I for $\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$. Since by the above lemma the operations do not change the orientability of complements of systems, $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}_I}$ cannot be equivalent for any I , so $p \geq 2$ if $r < m$. We will show that all \mathcal{N}_I induce equivalent epimorphisms.

Use the operation (H3) on $\mathcal{N}_J = \mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$, for $i \notin J$ and $j \in J$, obtaining the system \mathcal{N}^{ij} which represents the same element in $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ as \mathcal{N}_I for some I . We will show that $I = J \cup \{i\}$.

First, note that $l \in J$ if and only if $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_l)$ is non-orientable. Let us divide the proof into four steps:

- $j \in I$: It follows from the fact that $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}^{ij} \cup P(N_j \#_\gamma P_1(N_i)) = \Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_j)$ is non-orientable, since $j \in J$.
- $J \setminus \{j\} \subset I$: Let $l \in J \setminus \{j\}$. Thus there is an orientation-reversing loop α in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_l)$ which intersects N_l in a one point. Since a tubular neighbourhood of α is a Möbius band, $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \setminus \text{Im } \alpha$ is also connected and γ using in (H3) can be taken to be disjoint from α . Thus $\text{Im } \alpha \subset \Sigma|\mathcal{N}^{ij} \cup P(N_l)$, so the latter subspace is non-orientable. Therefore $l \in I$ since (H3) does not depend on the choice of γ up to framed cobordism.
- $i \in I$: Take an orientation-reversing loop α in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_j)$ intersecting N_j in a one point x , which is a starting point of an arc γ joining N_j with $P_1(N_i)$, and intersecting $P_1(\gamma)$ in a one point y . Thus we may write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$, where α_1 is a path outside $P(\gamma)$ joining x with y . Let the endpoint of γ in $P_1(N_i)$ correspond to $(1, z) \in \{1\} \times N_i$ and take a path $\tau: [-1, 1] \rightarrow P(N_i) \cong [-1, 1] \times N_i$ defined by $\tau(t) = (-t, z)$. Moreover, take a path β from $\tau(1) \in P_{-1}(N_i)$ to y , which is contained in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \setminus \text{Im } \gamma$ (such a path exists since γ does not disconnect $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}$). Now, form a loop $\alpha' = \alpha_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot \tau \cdot \beta$, which is contained in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}^{ij} \cup P_{[-1, \frac{1}{2}]}(N_i)$ by taking a smaller tubular neighbourhood of γ used in the connected sum $N_j \#_\gamma P_1(N_i)$. This loop is orientation-reversing since it is homotopic to $\alpha \cdot \overline{\alpha_2} \cdot \gamma \cdot \tau \cdot \beta$, where $\overline{\alpha_2}$ is the inverse path for α_2 , and $\overline{\alpha_2} \cdot \gamma \cdot \tau \cdot \beta$ is orientation-preserving as it can be homotoped to lie in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_i)$, which is orientable. Therefore $i \in I$.
- $l \notin I$ if $l \notin J \cup \{i\}$: if $\Sigma|\mathcal{N}^{ij} \cup P(N_l)$ contains an orientation-reversing loop, then as in Lemma 3.18 it leads to an orientation-reversing loop in $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cup P(N_l)$, a contradiction.

Thus using (H3) we may transform any \mathcal{N}_J to be the same element of $\mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(\Sigma)$ as $\mathcal{N}_{\{1, \dots, r\}}$, so they all induce equivalent epimorphisms. \square

4 Reeb graphs and Reeb epimorphisms

In this section we establish relations between Reeb graph theory and the earlier results. First, let us state basic notions.

A **smooth triad** is a triple (W, W_-, W_+) , where W is a manifold with boundary $\partial W = W_- \sqcup W_+$ (possibly $W_{\pm} = \emptyset$). A smooth function $f: W \rightarrow [a, b]$ is a **function on a smooth triad** (W, W_-, W_+) if $f^{-1}(a) = W_-$, $f^{-1}(b) = W_+$ and all its critical points are contained in $\text{Int } W$, the interior of W .

Let $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with finitely many critical points on a smooth triad (W, W_-, W_+) . We say that $x, y \in W$ are in **Reeb relation** $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$ if and only if x and y belong to the same connected component of a level set of f . The quotient space $W/\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(f)$ and called the **Reeb graph** of f .

The Reeb graph of the function f as above is homeomorphic to a finite graph, i.e. to a one-dimensional finite CW-complex (see [26], [29]). The vertices of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ corresponds to the components of W_{\pm} and to the components of level sets of f containing critical points. The homomorphism $q_{\#}: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) \cong F_r$ induced by the quotient map $q: W \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ is surjective (see [16]) and is called the **Reeb epimorphism** of f . The number r as above is called the **cycle rank** of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ and it is equal to the first Betti number $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$.

For an oriented graph (i.e. each its edge has a chosen direction), the **indegree** and **outdegree** of its vertex v are the number of incident edges which income and outgo from v , respectively. The **degree** $\deg(v)$ is the numbers of all incident edges to v .

By the quotient topology f induces the continuous function $\bar{f}: \mathcal{R}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \bar{f} \circ q$. It is strictly monotonic on the edges of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ and has extrema only at vertices of degree 1. A function on a graph satisfying these properties induces an orientation of the graph called a **good orientation** (see Sharko [29]). Thus any Reeb graph is considered with a good orientation.

Recall that $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **Morse function** if it is smooth and all its critical points are non-degenerate. A Morse function f is **simple** if its critical levels contain only one critical point and it is **ordered** if for any two critical points p and p' of f if $\text{ind}(p) < \text{ind}(p')$, then $f(p) < f(p')$, where $\text{ind}(p)$ is the index of non-degenerate critical point p . Note that the Reeb graph of an ordered Morse function on a manifold of dimension at least 3 is a tree. The same is true for a self-indexing Morse function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $f(p) = \text{ind}(p)$ for each critical point p , where W is any manifold (see [21]).

For some reasons it is convenient to use a slightly different condition on a Morse function than its simplicity. Namely, we say that a Morse function f is **\mathcal{R} -simple** if any vertex of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ corresponds exactly to a one critical point of f . Equivalently, any connected component of a level set of f contains at most one critical point. Obviously, a simple Morse function is \mathcal{R} -simple. Moreover, having an \mathcal{R} -simple Morse function we can easily make a small perturbation to get a simple Morse function without changing its Reeb graph.

Let $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an \mathcal{R} -simple Morse function on the triad (W, W_-, W_+) of dimension $n = \dim W$. The vertices of degree 1 in $\mathcal{R}(f)$ correspond to components of ∂W and to the extrema of f , the critical points of indices 0 and n . If W is an orientable surface, then all other vertices have degree 3 (see [20]). However, if W is not an orientable surface, then the other vertices of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ have degrees 2 and 3, where vertices of degree 3 correspond to critical points of index 1 and $n - 1$, and vertices of degree 2 correspond to indices $1, \dots, n - 1$ (see [20, 21, 26]).

In [21] there were defined **combinatorial modifications** of Reeb graphs of simple (or \mathcal{R} -simple) Morse functions numbered by (1) – (9), (11), (12), and the modification (10) transforming the Reeb graph of simple Morse function to the Reeb graph of Morse function, which accumulates some critical points to the one connected component of level set. They were introduced for manifolds of dimension at least 3, but they can be well-defined for also non-orientable surfaces because of the same degree-index correspondence as we mentioned above (with some caution for modification (7)). For orientable surfaces we need to omit modifications with vertices of degree 2. In fact, for orientable surfaces Fabio and Landi [6] introduced such operations called **elementary deformations**. In order not to separate these cases, we will use the term combinatorial modification for Reeb graphs of functions on any manifold, having in mind that for orientable surfaces there are no modifications with vertices of degree 2. Moreover, the modification (6) for orientable surfaces works in both ways since it deals with critical points of the same index 1 (it corresponds to elementary deformations (K_2) and (K_3)).

The considerations in this section are motivated by and based on the following theorem of the second author [21] which summarized previous results.

Theorem 4.1 ([21, Theorem 5.2]). *Let M be a closed, smooth and connected manifold of dimension at least two. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (simple if M is not an orientable surface) such that $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) = r$.*
- (b) *There exists an epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$.*

(c) There exist disjoint submanifolds $N_1, \dots, N_r \subset M$ of codimension 1 with product neighbourhoods such that $M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i$ is connected.

Remark 4.2. The equivalence of conditions (b) and (c) has been described by Cornea [5, Theorem 1] and for combinatorial manifolds by Jaco [15, Theorem 2.1]. It is evident that the condition (a) implies the conditions (b) and (c) (see [16]). Moreover, Gelbukh [8] showed the equivalence of conditions (a) and (b) for orientable manifolds by using foliation theory. In [21, Theorem 5.2] there is a crucial proof that (c) implies (a). Theorems 4.6 and 2.10 provide a direct proof that (b) or (c) imply (a).

Remark 4.3. Orientable surfaces have a unique property that a simple (and also \mathcal{R} -simple) Morse function on a triad of orientable surface of genus g has the Reeb graph with cycle rank equal to g (see [3] and also [20]).

4.1 Factorization by Reeb epimorphism

First, let us slightly extended results from [20] about realization of a graph as the Reeb graph of a Morse function on a surface to the case of surfaces with boundary. Moreover, we would like to have prescribed components of level sets of the function corresponding to edges outside a spanning tree of the graph.

Recall that $\pi_0(X)$ is the set of path components of a space X and their number is denoted by $|\pi_0(X)|$. Since all discussed by us spaces are locally path connected, the set $\pi_0(X)$ of path components of a space X is equal to the set of connected components of X .

Proposition 4.4. *Let (W, W_-, W_+) be a smooth triad of dimension 2 and $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be a regular and independent system of hypersurfaces without boundary in W . Let Γ be a graph with good orientation, whose cycle rank is equal to r and which has at least $|\pi_0(W_-)|$ vertices of degree 1 and indegree 0 and at least $|\pi_0(W_+)|$ vertices of degree 1 and outdegree 0. Moreover, take a spanning tree T of Γ and assume that there is a bijection $\lambda: \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{\text{edges of } \Gamma \text{ outside } T\}$. Then there is a Morse function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the triad (W, W_-, W_+) such that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is orientation-preserving homeomorphic to Γ and each N_i is a component of level set of f which corresponds to the edge $\lambda(i)$.*

Proof. Consider the closed surface Q which is formed from $W|\mathcal{N}$ by attaching discs to all its boundary components. Let Γ' be a tree obtained from Γ by cutting along all edges $\lambda(i)$ as it is presented in Figure 4. Now, by [20, Theorem 5.6] there is a Morse function $g: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Reeb graph is orientation-preserving homeomorphic to Γ' .




Figure 4: Cutting along edge.

Let a_j^- and a_j^+ be all vertices of degree 1 in Γ which has indegree 0 and outdegree 0, respectively. Thus they are also vertices of Γ' . By c_i^- and c_i^+ denote vertices in Γ' of outdegree 0 and indegree 0, respectively, obtained by cutting Γ along the edge $\lambda(i)$.

For each vertex from $a_1^\pm, \dots, a_{|\pi_0(W_\pm)|}^\pm$ and all c_i^\pm 's consider a disc D_l in Q centre at the corresponding extremum of g and whose boundary is a component of level set of g . Clearly, there is a diffeomorphism $h: W|\mathcal{N} \rightarrow Q \setminus \bigcup_l \text{Int } D_l$ and by homogeneity we may assume that it maps components of W_\pm (circles $P_{\pm 1}(N_i)$) to boundaries of D_l corresponding to a_j^\pm (corresponding to c_i^\pm). Thus we define a Morse function $f: W|\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f = g \circ h$. Obviously, we can rescale f to assume that its value on $P_{-1}(N_i)$ is smaller than its value on $P_{+1}(N_i)$ for each i . Thus we may extend f on $P(\mathcal{N})$ to a Morse function on W whose Reeb graph, by the construction, is orientation-preserving homeomorphic to Γ , and N_i corresponds to the edge $\lambda(i)$ for each i . Again, it can be rescaled to be a function on the triad (W, W_-, W_+) . \square

Remark 4.5. Note that the constructed function f in the proof of the above proposition can be simple if $W|\mathcal{N}$ (and so Q) is non-orientable or has genus 0 since then g can be taken to be simple (see [20]). It is the case for example if W has odd genus.

The graph presented in Figure 5 is called **initial graph** (with a given cycle rank r). We distinguish a spanning tree in the initial graph coloured red in the figure. Moreover, we order the edges e_1, \dots, e_r outside the tree.




Figure 5: The initial graph with distinguished tree and ordered edges outside it.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\partial W = W_- \sqcup W_+$ and $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be a system without boundary in W . Then the induced homomorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism of a simple Morse function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the smooth triad (W, W_-, W_+) such that the connected components of \mathcal{N} are components of some regular level $f^{-1}(c) = \mathcal{N} \sqcup V$, where V is non-empty submanifold. Moreover, if we allow f to be not necessarily simple for $\dim W = 2$, then*

$$\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) = |\pi_0(\mathcal{N})| - |\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| + 1.$$

Furthermore, if \mathcal{N} is regular and independent and W is closed, then $\mathcal{R}(f)$ can be taken to be homeomorphic to the initial graph with cycle rank equal to r and N_i corresponds to the edge e_i for each i as in Figure 5.

Proof. The construction of the desired function is analogous to that in Theorem 4.1 (c) \implies (a) in [21], but here \mathcal{N} may not be independent and regular. For details on the existence of Morse functions and gluing operations we refer to [22].

Take a Morse function $h: W|\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the triad $(W|\mathcal{N}, P_{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \sqcup W_-, P_1(\mathcal{N}) \sqcup W_+)$ and any regular value d such that $\partial Q_- = P_{-1}(V) \sqcup P_{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \sqcup W_-$ and $\partial Q_+ = P_1(V) \sqcup P_1(\mathcal{N}) \sqcup W_+$ (cf. [21, Lemma 3.3]), where

$$V := h^{-1}(d), \quad P(V) := h^{-1}([d - \varepsilon, d + \varepsilon]), \quad Q_- := h^{-1}((-\infty, d - \varepsilon]) \text{ and } Q_+ := h^{-1}([d + \varepsilon, \infty)).$$

Now, take a simple and ordered Morse function $Q_- \rightarrow [-2, -1]$ on the triad $(Q_-, W_-, P_{-1}(V) \sqcup P_{-1}(\mathcal{N}))$ and $Q_+ \rightarrow [1, 2]$ on the triad $(Q_+, P_1(V) \sqcup P_1(\mathcal{N}), W_+)$. Let us glue them together with projections $P(\mathcal{N} \sqcup V) \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ obtaining the simple Morse function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with regular value 0 such that $f^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{N} \sqcup V$.

Let $q: W \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ and $g: \mathcal{R}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)/q(W|\mathcal{N}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ be the quotient maps. The map g sends $q(W|\mathcal{N})$ to the basepoint and $q(P(N_i)) \cong [-1, 1]$ linearly and orientation-preserving to S_i^1 . It is clear that $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = (f_{\mathcal{N}})_{\#} = (g \circ q)_{\#} = g_{\#} \circ q_{\#}$, so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism $q_{\#}$ of f .

Now, let us compute $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$. The subset $q(W|\mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is homeomorphic to the Reeb graph $\mathcal{R}(f|_{W|\mathcal{N}})$, so it has $|\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})|$ connected components. If $\dim W \geq 3$, then the components of $\mathcal{R}(f|_{W|\mathcal{N}})$ are trees, because components of $\mathcal{R}(f|_{Q_{\pm}})$ are trees by [21, Proposition 3.2] (since the Morse functions on Q_{\pm} are ordered) and they are gluing through $\mathcal{R}(f|_{P(V)})$. In the case of surfaces we may use Proposition 4.4 to define Morse functions on components of Q_{\pm} whose Reeb graphs are trees. In all cases $q(W|\mathcal{N})$ has $|\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})|$ components which are trees, and so they are contractible.

Thus the quotient $\mathcal{R}(f)/q(W|\mathcal{N})$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{R}(f)$ by first the contraction of components of $q(W|\mathcal{N})$, and then by gluing them to the one point. The first operation does not change the first Betti number, but the second increases it by one for each gluing of two points. Hence $\mathcal{R}(f)/q(W|\mathcal{N})$ has cycle rank equal to $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) + |\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| - 1$. On the other hand, it is clear that $\mathcal{R}(f)/q(W|\mathcal{N})$ is homeomorphic to the wedge product of $|\pi_0(\mathcal{N})|$ circles. Therefore

$$|\pi_0(\mathcal{N})| = \beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) + |\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| - 1.$$

Now, let \mathcal{N} be a regular and independent system of hypersurfaces in a closed manifold M . The statement for $\dim M = 2$ follows by Proposition 4.4. If $\dim M \geq 3$, then $M|\mathcal{N}$ is connected and the function $h: M|\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its regular value d taken above can be chosen in such a way that $V = h^{-1}(d)$ is connected (see [21, Lemma 3.3]) and they satisfy the condition in the proof. Then the manifolds Q_{\pm} are also connected, so we may assume that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ has exactly two vertices of degree 1. Proceeding as in the proof of [21, Proposition 6.2], using the

combinatorial modifications (4) and (5) for Reeb graphs of $f|_{Q_{\pm}}$, we may assume that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is homeomorphic to the initial graph with the desired correspondence between N_i and its edges outside considered spanning tree. \square

Remark 4.7. For $\dim W = 2$ note that the components of Q_{\pm} can be either orientable or non-orientable, even if W is non-orientable. Since on an orientable component a simple Morse function has Reeb graph with the maximum cycle rank, it is a reason why simplicity of a Morse function in the theorem is excluded also if W is non-orientable surface.

Using the above theorem we may easily prove the last part of Proposition 2.8. If \mathcal{N} is regular and $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is surjective, then by Theorem 4.6 the epimorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is factorized by a Reeb epimorphism of rank $r' = r - |\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| + 1$. Since $r \leq r'$, it implies that $|\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| \leq 1$, so \mathcal{N} is independent.

This theorem can be also used to easily prove the following known fact for orientable surfaces.

Corollary 4.8. *Any epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_r$ is factorized through an epimorphism $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_g$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.6 φ is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism of a simple Morse function $f: \Sigma_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whose rank is equal to $r = \beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$. By [3] (cf. [20]) the Reeb graph of a simple Morse function on Σ_g has always cycle rank equal to g , so $r = g$. \square

In fact, since any two epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_g$ are strongly equivalent by Theorem 3.1, for a fixed $\psi: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_g$ any $\varphi: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_r$ is factorized through $\psi \circ \eta$ for some $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))$.

4.2 Realization of epimorphisms onto free groups as Reeb epimorphisms

Theorem 4.6 will allow us to prove the representability of any epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ as the Reeb epimorphism for the initial graph Γ . To extend this for the general case we will use the result from [21], where the second author proved that using combinatorial modifications one can produce from Γ any graph with good orientation and the same cycle rank up to vertices of degree 2 (see [21, Remark 9]).

Lemma 4.9. *Let Γ and Γ' be graphs with good orientations such that Γ is obtained from Γ' by using a finite sequence of combinatorial modifications except (7), i.e. $\beta_1(\Gamma) = \beta_1(\Gamma')$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\eta: \pi_1(\Gamma') \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ with the property that for any simple Morse function $f': W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on (W, W_-, W_+) such that $\mathcal{R}(f') = \Gamma'$ and a Morse function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ obtained from f' by using the sequence of combinatorial modifications (so $\mathcal{R}(f) = \Gamma$) if $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$ and $\varphi': \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{R}(f'))$ are the Reeb epimorphisms of f and f' , respectively, then $\varphi = \eta \circ \varphi'$.*

Proof. It is clear that it suffices to prove the lemma for a single modification. Thus assume that f is obtained from f' by using a combinatorial modification. It changes f' on the part of W which corresponds to small contractible subspaces of its Reeb graph. In fact, only for the modification (12) it corresponds to two disjoint contractible subspaces, and for the rest modifications it is a one contractible subspace. Therefore there are disjoint contractible subspaces U_1, U_2 in Γ and U'_1, U'_2 in Γ' (possibly $U_2 = U'_2 = \emptyset$) such that $(\Gamma'/U'_1)/U'_2$ is canonically homeomorphic to $(\Gamma/U_1)/U_2$ by a map h . The quotient maps $p: \Gamma \rightarrow (\Gamma/U_1)/U_2$ and $p': \Gamma' \rightarrow (\Gamma'/U'_1)/U'_2$ are homotopy equivalences since U_i and U'_i are contractible. Thus $\bar{h} := \hat{p} \circ h \circ p': \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a homotopy equivalence, where \hat{p} is a homotopy inverse to p . It is evident that $\eta := \bar{h}_{\#}$ is the desired isomorphism. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let Γ be a graph with good orientation, M be a closed manifold and $\varphi: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ be an epimorphism. Then there is a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(f) = \Gamma$ as a topological spaces, the identity map $\mathcal{R}(f) \rightarrow \Gamma$ preserves the orientations and the Reeb epimorphism of f is equal to φ . Moreover, if M is not a surface and the maximum degree of a vertex in Γ is not greater than 3, then f can be simple.*

Note that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ differs from Γ as a graph only at vertices of degree 2.

Remark 4.11. The initial graph has a very important property which will be used in the proof. Namely, the identity map is the only its orientation-preserving automorphism, and it has a reflection which is the only one automorphism which reverses the orientation. Thus the identification of a Reeb graph with the initial graph, up to vertices of degree 2, is unique, i.e. it does not depend on the chosen orientation-preserving homeomorphism between them.

Proof. Let $r = \beta_1(\Gamma)$. First, assume that M is a surface. Take a spanning tree T of Γ and order edges outside them by $\lambda: \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{\text{edges of } \Gamma \text{ outside } T\}$. Take the quotient map $p_T: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/T = \bigvee_{i=1}^r S^1$ which maps $\lambda(i)$ onto i -th circle. By Theorem 2.10 the epimorphism $(p_T)_{\#} \circ \varphi$ is induced by an independent and regular system $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ of hypersurfaces in M of size r . By Proposition 4.4 there is a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Reeb graph is Γ up to vertices of degree 2 and N_i corresponds to $\lambda(i)$. If $q: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ is the quotient

map, then by the construction $(p_T)_\# \circ q_\# = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = (p_T)_\# \circ \varphi$. Since p_T is an isomorphism, $\varphi = q_\#$ is the Reeb epimorphism of f .

Now, assume that $\dim M \geq 3$ and let Γ is the initial graph. We proceed as before for T the spanning tree distinguished in Figure 5 and $\lambda(i) = e_i$. By Theorem 4.6 the epimorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = (p_T)_\# \circ \varphi$ is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism of a simple Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is homeomorphic to Γ and N_i corresponds to e_i . Moreover, by the proof of this theorem $(p_T)_\# \circ \varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}} = g_\# \circ q_\#$, where $g: \mathcal{R}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)/q(M|\mathcal{N}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^r S^1$ is the quotient map. It is clear that $(p_T)_\# = g_\#$ and since they are isomorphisms, $\varphi = q_\#$ is the Reeb epimorphism of f .

Now, let Γ be an arbitrary graph with good orientation and let Γ' be the initial graph with the same cycle rank as Γ . Consider a sequence of combinatorial modifications from [21, Theorem 3 and Remark 9] which transform Γ' to Γ . Take $\varphi' = \eta^{-1} \circ \varphi$, where $\eta: \pi_1(\Gamma') \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism from Lemma 4.9. By what we have already proved there is a simple Morse function $f': M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(f') = \Gamma'$ and whose Reeb epimorphism is equal to φ' . Thus the sequence of modifications transform f' to a Morse function f such that $\mathcal{R}(f) = \Gamma$. Therefore $\eta \circ \varphi' = \varphi$ is the Reeb epimorphism of f by the property of η from Lemma 4.9.

As in [21, Theorem 3] the constructed Morse function can be simple if the maximum degree of a vertex in Γ is not greater than 3. \square

Therefore for manifolds of dimension at least 3 any epimorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ is represented as the Reeb epimorphism of a simple Morse function provided that Γ satisfies necessary conditions: it has a good orientation and the maximum degree of its vertices is not greater than 3. Now, let us investigate when in Theorem 4.10 one can take a simple Morse function in the case of surfaces.

Lemma 4.12. *Let Σ be a closed surface of Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g$, so it is orientable of genus g or non-orientable of genus $2g$, and let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function such that the maximum degree of a vertex in $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is not greater than 3. Then $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) = g$ if and only if f is \mathcal{R} -simple and $\mathcal{R}(f)$ has no vertices of degree 2.*

Proof. Let V and E be the sets of vertices and edges of $\mathcal{R}(f)$, respectively. Then $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) = |E| - |V| + 1$. Moreover, if k_i is the number of critical points of f of index i , then $\chi(\Sigma) = k_0 - k_1 + k_2$ and the number of vertices of degree 1 in $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is equal to $k_0 + k_2$. Let Δ_2 and Δ_3 be the numbers of vertices of degree 2 and 3, respectively. Note that $2|E| = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = k_0 + k_2 + 2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3$ by Euler's handshaking lemma. Combining these equalities we obtain

$$2(\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) - g) = 2|E| - 2|V| + \chi(\Sigma) = k_0 + k_2 + 2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3 - 2(k_0 + k_2 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3) + k_0 - k_1 + k_2 = \Delta_3 - k_1.$$

Thus $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f)) = g$ if and only if $k_1 = \Delta_3$. However, $k_1 \geq \Delta_2 + \Delta_3$, so $k_1 = \Delta_3$ if and only if $\Delta_2 = 0$ and each vertex of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ corresponds to a single critical point of f , so f is \mathcal{R} -simple. \square

Note that a simple Morse function on a non-orientable surface of odd genus has always a vertex of degree 2 in its Reeb graph.

We know that simple Morse functions on a closed orientable surface of genus g have a unique property that their Reeb graphs have cycle rank equal to g . For a non-orientable surface Σ one can construct simple Morse functions with Reeb graphs having arbitrary cycle rank between 0 and $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ (cf. Theorem 4.1). However, it turns out that simple Morse functions have also some unique property for non-orientable surfaces of even genus, which can be described in terms of Reeb epimorphisms.

Proposition 4.13. *Let Γ be a graph with good orientation such that $\beta_1(\Gamma) < g$. Then there is a unique strong equivalence class Ξ of epimorphisms $\pi_1(S_{2g}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ such that for any simple Morse function $f: S_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having $\mathcal{R}(f) = \Gamma$ its Reeb epimorphisms belongs to Ξ .*

Proof. Let $r := \beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f))$ and $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be an independent and regular system of hypersurfaces in S_{2g} which are connected components of level sets of f and which correspond to edges outside some spanning tree of $\mathcal{R}(f)$. We claim that $S_{2g}|\mathcal{N}$ is non-orientable. Thus assume that $S_{2g}|\mathcal{N}$ is orientable. First, note that $f|_{S_{2g}|\mathcal{N}}$ is a simple Morse function and its Reeb graph $\mathcal{R}(f|_{S_{2g}|\mathcal{N}})$ is a tree. Therefore $S_{2g}|\mathcal{N}$ has a genus 0 as a surface with boundary, i.e. it is a sphere with discs removed. This implies that $r = g$, a contradiction.

Therefore as in the proof of Theorem 3.15 any two Reeb epimorphisms of simple Morse functions $S_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with Γ as Reeb graphs are strongly equivalent and Ξ is represented by systems of hypersurfaces whose complement is non-orientable. \square

The following corollary follows by Remark 4.5, Theorem 4.10, Lemma 4.12 and Proposition 4.13.

Corollary 4.14. *Let Γ be a graph with good orientation such that the maximum degree of its vertex is not greater than 3, Σ be a closed surface and let $\mathcal{R}_{\text{epi}}(\Sigma)$ be the set of all Reeb epimorphisms of simple Morse functions on Σ . Take an epimorphism $\psi: \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$.*

- *If Σ is orientable of genus g , then $\psi \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{epi}}(\Sigma)$ if and only if $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$.*
- *If Σ is non-orientable of odd genus, then any $\psi \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{epi}}(\Sigma)$.*
- *If Σ is non-orientable of even genus $2g$, then $\psi \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{epi}}(\Sigma)$ if and only if $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$, or $\beta_1(\Gamma) < g$ and ψ belongs to a unique strong equivalence class Ξ of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$ represented by systems of hypersurfaces whose complement is non-orientable.*

4.3 Reeb number of manifolds with boundary

The **Reeb number** $\mathcal{R}(M)$ of a closed manifold M was an object of studies in [20, 21] and without using this name in [3, 8, 9]. It is defined as the maximum cycle rank among Reeb graphs of functions with finitely many critical points on M . By Theorem 4.1 ([21, Theorem 5.2]) and [20, Lemma 3.5] the equality $\mathcal{R}(M) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(M))$ holds.

For a compact manifold W , possibly with boundary, we define (following Cornea [5]) the number $C(W)$ to be the maximum number of connected components in a proper, 2-sided submanifold N of W such that $W \setminus N$ is connected. In other words, it is the maximum size of an independent and regular system in W . It is clear by Theorem 4.1 that $\mathcal{R}(M) = C(M)$ for a closed manifold M .

The following theorem was proven by Jaco [15] for combinatorial manifolds. Cornea announced only inequality $C(W) \geq \text{corank}(\pi_1(W)) - |\pi_0(\partial W)| + 1$ if $\partial W \neq \emptyset$, but the theorem holds also in the smooth category.

Theorem 4.15. $C(W) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(W))$.

Proof. If there is an independent and regular system \mathcal{N} of size $k = C(W)$, then the induced homomorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is onto F_k , so $C(W) \leq \text{corank}(\pi_1(W))$. From the other side, any epimorphism onto the free group of rank $\text{corank}(\pi_1(W))$ is by Theorem 2.10 induced by a regular and independent system, so $C(W) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(W))$. \square

Now, we extend the definition of Reeb number on manifolds with boundary. First, define $\mathcal{R}(W, W_-, W_+)$, where $\partial W = W_- \sqcup W_+$, as the maximum cycle rank among all Reeb graphs of smooth functions with finitely many critical points on the smooth triad (W, W_-, W_+) . By [20, Lemma 3.5] applied for smooth triads it is attainable by simple Morse functions.

Proposition 4.16. $\mathcal{R}(W, W_-, W_+)$ is equal to the maximum size of an independent and regular system without boundary in W . Thus it does not depend on the partition $\partial W = W_- \sqcup W_+$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.6 if \mathcal{N} is a regular and independent system without boundary in W of size r , then $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is factorized by the Reeb epimorphism of rank $|\pi_0(\mathcal{N})| - |\pi_0(W|\mathcal{N})| + 1 = r$, which gives inequality in a one way. However, if f is a simple Morse function on (W, W_-, W_+) such that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ has cycle rank equal to $\mathcal{R}(W, W_-, W_+)$, then components of level sets of f corresponding to edges of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ outside some spanning tree form a regular and independent system of hypersurfaces in W of size $\mathcal{R}(W, W_-, W_+)$. \square

Definition 4.17. For a compact manifold W with boundary we define its **Reeb number** as $\mathcal{R}(W) := \mathcal{R}(W, W_-, W_+)$ for any $\partial W = W_- \sqcup W_+$.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{R}(W) \leq C(W)$.

Remark 4.18. Note that $\mathcal{R}(W)$ can be defined as the maximum cycle rank among Reeb graphs of Morse functions on W which are constant on connected components of ∂W . We use triads for simplifying considerations.

Let $\text{Cone}(X) := X \times [0, 1]/X \times \{1\}$ denote the cone over a space X . The point corresponding to $X \times \{1\}$ is called the vertex of the cone.

For a compact manifold W with boundary $\partial W = A \sqcup B$ define

$$\text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W) := W \cup_A \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Cone}(A_i),$$

which is obtained by gluing cones $\text{Cone}(A_i)$ and W along A , where A_1, \dots, A_k are all connected components of A . Let v_i be the vertex of $\text{Cone}(A_i)$. Clearly, we may identify

$$\text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W) \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cong W \setminus A.$$

Hereafter, we denote by $\langle \pi_1(A) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$ the normal subgroup of $\pi_1(W)$ generated by all images of $\pi_1(A_i)$ in $\pi_1(W)$ by the homomorphisms induced by inclusions $A_i \subset W$. By Seifert–van Kampen theorem

$$\pi_1(\text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W)) \cong \pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(A) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}.$$

It is clear that up to isomorphism this group is well-defined without referencing to the basepoint.

Proposition 4.19. *Let W be a compact manifold and $\partial W = A \sqcup B$. Then an epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ is factorized through $\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(A) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$ if and only if it is induced by an independent and regular system \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{N} \cap A = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Set $H := \langle \pi_1(A) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$. If \mathcal{N} is an independent and regular system such that $\mathcal{N} \cap A = \emptyset$, then clearly the images in $\pi_1(W)$ of loops in A are contained in the kernel of $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$, so $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ is factorized through $\pi_1(W)/H$.

Conversely, assume that $\varphi = \psi \circ \eta$, where $\eta: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)/H$ and $\psi: \pi_1(W)/H \rightarrow F_r$. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. Let ψ be induced by $f: \text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W) \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^1$ which is a smooth map outside $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and the inverse image of the basepoint. Take regular values $a_i \in S_i^1$ and define

$$N_i = f^{-1}(a_i) \subset \text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W) \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cong W \setminus A.$$

Thus $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ is a system in $\text{Cone}_{\partial A}(W)$ which induces ψ such that $\mathcal{N} \cap A = \emptyset$. Clearly, as a system in W it induces φ . It is easy to check that the procedures in proofs of Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.10 give an independent and regular system \mathcal{N}' inducing φ which also satisfies $\mathcal{N}' \cap A = \emptyset$. \square

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4.1 from [21] (cf. Stallings [30, Proposition 4.2]).

Theorem 4.20. *For an epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow F_r$ the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for an independent and regular system \mathcal{N} without boundary;
- (2) φ is factorized through $\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)}$;
- (3) there is a Morse function f (simple if $\dim W \geq 3$) on any triad (W, W_-, W_+) and a spanning tree T in $\mathcal{R}(f)$ such that $\varphi = (p_T \circ q)_{\#}$, where $q: W \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)$ and $p_T: \mathcal{R}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f)/T = \bigvee_{i=1}^r S^1$ are quotient maps.

Thus

$$\mathcal{R}(W) = \text{corank} \left(\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} \right).$$

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from the above proposition for $A = \partial W$. If $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$ for an independent and regular system $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ without boundary, then by Theorem 4.6 there is a Morse function f (simple if $\dim W \geq 3$) on (W, W_-, W_+) whose Reeb graph has cycle rank equal to r and components of \mathcal{N} are components of the same level set $f^{-1}(c)$. Thus edges corresponding to components of \mathcal{N} are outside some spanning tree T of $\mathcal{R}(f)$, and so $(p_T \circ q)_{\#} = \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. This proves that (1) implies (3), and the converse is clear.

By Proposition 4.16 we get $\mathcal{R}(W) = \text{corank} \left(\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(\partial W) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} \right)$. \square

4.4 Extendability of independent systems of hypersurfaces

Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ be an independent and regular system of hypersurfaces in W . We say that \mathcal{N} is **extended** by a system \mathcal{N}' if \mathcal{N}' is also a regular and independent system such that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}'$ and their framings determine the same orientation of the normal bundle of \mathcal{N} in W .

Proposition 4.21. *Let \mathcal{N} be an independent and regular system without boundary in W of size r . Then*

$$\text{corank} \left(\pi_1(W) / \langle \pi_1(\mathcal{N}) \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} \right) = \text{corank} \left(\pi_1(W|\mathcal{N}) / \langle \pi_1(\partial P(\mathcal{N})) \rangle^{\pi_1(W|\mathcal{N})} \right) + r$$

and it is the maximum size of an independent and regular system without boundary in W which extends \mathcal{N} . In particular, for a closed manifold M we get

$$\mathcal{R}(M|\mathcal{N}) = \text{corank} \left(\pi_1(M) / \langle \pi_1(\mathcal{N}) \rangle^{\pi_1(M)} \right) - r.$$

Proof. Suppose we have a 2-sided connected submanifold $N = N_1$ without boundary with product neighbourhood $P(N)$ in a compact manifold W such that $W|N$ is connected. Thus W is obtained from $W|N$ by gluing the components of boundary $\partial(W|N) = P_{-1}(N) \sqcup P_1(N) \sqcup \partial W$ using a diffeomorphism $h: P_{-1}(N) \rightarrow P_1(N)$. It is known that $\pi_1(W)$ is the HNN extension of $\pi_1(W|N)$ relative to $h_\# : H_{-1} \rightarrow H_1$, where $H_t = \pi_1(P_t(N)) < \pi_1(W|N)$. In other words, $\pi_1(W)$ is the free product $\pi_1(W|N) * \mathbb{Z}$ divided by the normal closure K of $\{u\omega u^{-1}h_\#(\omega)^{-1} : \omega \in H_{-1}\}$, where u is the stable letter which generates \mathbb{Z} . The group $\pi_1(W|N)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(W)$ and the groups H_{-1} and H_1 are conjugate in $\pi_1(W)$. In fact, the normal subgroup $\pi_1(N)^{\pi_1(W)}$ in $\pi_1(W)$ is equal to $\langle H_{-1} \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} = \langle H_1 \rangle^{\pi_1(W)} = \langle H_{-1}, H_1 \rangle^{\pi_1(W|N)}$. Therefore $\pi_1(W)/\pi_1(N)^{\pi_1(W)}$ is isomorphic to

$$\pi_1(W)/\langle H_{-1}, H_1 \rangle^{\pi_1(W|N)} \cong \pi_1(W|N)/\langle H_{-1}, H_1 \rangle^{\pi_1(W|N)} * \mathbb{Z}.$$

It gives the first part of the proposition for $r = 1$ since $\text{corank}(G * H) = \text{corank}(G) + \text{corank}(H)$ (see [5]). The general case follows by considering all submanifolds N_i simultaneously and HNN extension with r stable letters.

The description of the number on both sides of this equality follows by Proposition 4.19. \square

Example 4.22. The Reeb number of a compact manifold W with non-empty boundary can be smaller than $C(W)$. For example, let $M = \Sigma \times S^1$, where Σ is a closed surface of the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - k \leq 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}(M) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(M)) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{Z}) = \max(\text{corank}(\Sigma), \text{corank}(\mathbb{Z})) = \max\left(\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, 1\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor \geq 1$$

(see [7, 20]), where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x . Let $\mathcal{N} = (\Sigma \times \{1\})$ and $W := M|\mathcal{N} = \Sigma \times [0, 1]$. Then $C(W) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(\Sigma)) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. However, $\mathcal{R}(W) = \text{corank}((\pi_1(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{Z})/\pi_1(\Sigma)) - 1 = 0$ by Proposition 4.21.

Example 4.23. Let $\Sigma_{g,h}$ and $S_{g,h}$ denote, respectively, an orientable and non-orientable surface of genus g with $h \geq 1$ open discs removed. Then

- $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{g,h}) = g$ and $C(\Sigma_{g,h}) = 2g + h - 1$,
- $\mathcal{R}(S_{g,h}) = \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ and $C(S_{g,h}) = g + h - 1$.

Indeed, by Proposition 4.19 we have $\mathcal{R}(W) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(\text{Cone}_{\partial W}(W)))$. It gives the calculation of Reeb numbers since $\text{Cone}_{\partial \Sigma_{g,h}}(\Sigma_{g,h}) \cong \Sigma_g$ and $\text{Cone}_{\partial S_{g,h}}(S_{g,h}) \cong S_g$. The calculation of $C(W)$ follows by Theorem 4.15 and from the fact that $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,h}) = F_{2g+h-1}$ and $\pi_1(S_{g,h}) = F_{g+h-1}$.

Corollary 4.24. Any independent, regular and without boundary system \mathcal{N} of hypersurfaces in a compact surface Σ can be extended to that system of size $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Let r be the size of \mathcal{N} . Since Σ is two-dimensional, \mathcal{N} consists of circles in Σ . It is easily seen by the classification theorem of compact surfaces that if $\Sigma = \Sigma_{g,h}$ then $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cong \Sigma_{g-r, h+2r}$, and if $\Sigma = S_{g,h}$ then $\Sigma|\mathcal{N} \cong S_{g-2r, h+2r}$. By the above example in both the cases $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma|\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{R}(\Sigma) - r$, so \mathcal{N} can be extended to the size $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. \square

4.5 Topological conjugacy of Morse functions

Now, we are focused on relations between Reeb epimorphisms and Morse functions. The main trouble is that in general different Reeb epimorphisms have different codomains. Although fundamental groups of Reeb graphs with the same cycle ranks are isomorphic, they are not isomorphic in the canonical way. However, this ambiguity can be omitted for oriented graphs for which the identity map is the only orientation-preserving automorphisms (e.g. the initial graph, see Remark 4.11).

Let us restrict our attention to the case of a closed manifold M . The functions f_1 and f_2 on M are called **topologically conjugate** if there are a self-homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_1 = \eta \circ f_2 \circ h$. In this case h induces the unique homeomorphism $\bar{h}: \mathcal{R}(f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f_2)$ such that $\bar{h} \circ q_1 = q_2 \circ h$ and $\bar{f}_1 = \eta \circ \bar{f}_2 \circ \bar{h}$.

Lemma 4.25. If f_1 and f_2 are simple Morse functions topologically conjugate by h , then their Reeb graphs are isomorphic through \bar{h} .

Proof. If could be a vertex with degree 2 in $\mathcal{R}(f_1)$ mapped by \bar{h} to a point on an edge in $\mathcal{R}(f_2)$, then some smooth product triad would be mapped by h^{-1} homeomorphically onto a smooth triad with exactly one non-degenerate critical point. It is a contradiction by the comparison of Euler characteristics. \square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \xrightarrow{q_1} & \mathcal{R}(f_1) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} & \mathbb{R} \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} & & \downarrow \eta \\
M & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \mathcal{R}(f_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} & \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

Figure 6: Topologically conjugate simple Morse functions have isomorphic Reeb graphs.

We cannot say directly about equivalence and strong equivalence of Reeb epimorphisms since they have distinct codomains, even if Reeb graphs are isomorphic. By the above diagram we see that if f_1 and f_2 are topologically conjugate by h , then $\bar{h}_\# \circ (q_1)_\#$ and $(q_2)_\#$ are strongly equivalent. Thus we say that two Reeb epimorphisms $\varphi_i: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{R}(f_i))$ are **strongly equivalent** if they are strongly equivalent with respect to an isomorphism $k: \mathcal{R}(f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(f_2)$, i.e. $k_\# \circ \varphi_1$ and φ_2 are strongly equivalent. In general, it may depend on the chosen isomorphism.

The following theorem is a classical result in the theory of Morse functions spaces.

Theorem 4.26 ([17, 28], cf. [6]). *Two simple Morse functions on a closed orientable surface Σ are topologically conjugate by $h: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ if and only if their Reeb graphs are isomorphic as oriented graphs through \bar{h} .*

This theorem allows us to give another proof of a part of Theorem 3.1 for orientable surfaces which uses Reeb graphs. First, for any two epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_r$ we need to take systems which induce them. Then we extend them to systems of maximum size $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_g) = g$ by Corollary 4.24 and now we can represent induce epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow F_g$ by Reeb epimorphisms of simple Morse function whose Reeb graphs are in the initial forms. Thus it suffices to write a suitable isomorphism of Reeb graphs which by Theorem 4.26 is induced by a self-homeomorphism of Σ_g that maps one system to the another and gives a strong equivalence.

Remark 4.27. Theorem 3.1 for non-orientable surfaces of even genus shows that the analogue of Theorem 4.26 does not hold for them in general. In fact, we may construct two simple Morse functions on S_{2g} whose Reeb graphs are isomorphic, but their Reeb epimorphisms are not strongly equivalent. Thus we must endow Reeb graphs in additional information.

Lychak–Prishlyak in their work [18] equipped Reeb graphs of a simple Morse function on non-orientable surface with signs $+$ or $-$ near vertices of degree 3, which come from the compatibility of orientations during attaching handles in corresponding critical levels. To be precise, each sign is assigned to a pair of incident edges at a vertex v of degree 3, one of which is incoming to v and the second one is outgoing from v . For the procedure of the assignment of signs we refer the reader to [18]. Two **Reeb graphs with signs** are called **equivalent** if they are isomorphic and it is possible to obtain identical signs by the following operation: for a given edge reverse all signs assigned to it.

Theorem 4.28 (Lychak–Prishlyak [18]). *Two simple Morse functions on a closed nonorientable surface are topologically conjugate if and only if their Reeb graphs with signs are equivalent.*

Lemma 4.29. *Let Γ be a graph with good orientation whose vertices have degrees 1 or 3. Then there are exactly 2^r equivalence classes of graphs with signs, where $r = \beta_1(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. First, look at the case of the canonical graph, i.e. a graph presented in Figure 7 (a). It is an easy exercise that any such graph with signs is equivalent to a configuration of the form showed in Figure 7 (a), where in the r places of "?" we can put arbitrary signs. Moreover, all such 2^r configurations are non-equivalent. The same can be shown for the initial graph with configurations of signs as in Figure 7 (b).

Now, note that by [6] and [21] there is a sequence of modifications of Reeb graphs which transform Γ to the canonical graph. It is left to the reader to check that these modifications for graphs with vertices of degree 1 or 3 do not change the number of non-equivalent configurations of signs. \square




Figure 7: The canonical and initial graphs with signs.

Remark 4.30. For a graph with vertices of degree 2 the number of non-equivalent configurations of signs may vary depending on the position of these vertices in the graph. Moreover, note that the Reeb graph of a simple Morse function on a non-orientable surface of odd genus has always a vertex of degree 2. The same is true if a surface is non-orientable of even genus $2g$ and the Reeb graph has a cycle rank smaller than g . In the view of Lemma 4.12 it is reasonable to consider the case of simple Morse functions on a non-orientable surface of genus $2g$ whose Reeb graphs have cycle rank equal to g .

Theorem 4.31. *Let $f_1, f_2: S_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be simple Morse functions on a closed non-orientable surface of genus $2g$ such that $\beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f_1)) = g = \beta_1(\mathcal{R}(f_2))$. Then they are topologically conjugate if and only if their Reeb graphs are isomorphic and their Reeb epimorphisms are strongly equivalent.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(M, \Gamma)$ the set of all simple Morse functions f on M whose Reeb graphs are isomorphic to a graph Γ and by $\mathcal{M}(M, \Gamma)_{/t.c.}$ the set of their conjugacy classes. Moreover, let $\text{Signs}(\Gamma)$ be the set of equivalence classes of configurations of signs in Γ . By Theorem 4.28 a natural map $\mathcal{M}(S_{2g}, \Gamma)_{/t.c.} \rightarrow \text{Signs}(\Gamma)$ associating with a function its configuration of signs in its Reeb graphs as in [18] is injective. Now, let Γ has vertices of degrees 1 and 3 and $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$. Then for any configuration of signs in Γ except the one with only pluses we can produce a simple Morse function on S_{2g} which realizes it (see [20] for a procedure). The configuration of signs with only pluses leads to a function on an orientable surface. By Lemma 4.29 the set $\text{Signs}(\Gamma)$ has 2^r elements, so $\mathcal{M}(S_{2g}, \Gamma)_{/t.c.}$ has $2^r - 1$ elements.

Now, by Theorem 4.10 and Corollary 4.14 the map from $\mathcal{M}(S_{2g}, \Gamma)_{/t.c.}$ to the set of strong equivalence classes of Reeb epimorphisms of functions from $\mathcal{M}(S_{2g}, \Gamma)$ is surjective. Since the latter set has also $2^r - 1$ elements by Theorem 3.1, it is a bijection and the theorem is proved. \square

5 Prospects

The presented approach could be more used in analysis to study C^1 -function with finitely many critical points, since it assigns a function a combinatoric invariant (the Reeb graph), or respectively a non-commutative algebraic invariant (the Reeb homomorphism). Conversely, it gives a geometric description of the set of homomorphisms from a fundamental group of a compact manifold into a finitely generated free group. At now the following problems seems to be next natural steps in such studies.

1. One of the problems we will focus on is the question about extendability of independent systems of hypersurfaces (cf. Section 4.4). For an independent and regular system \mathcal{N} let us denote by $E(\mathcal{N})$ the maximum size of an independent and regular system which extends \mathcal{N} and by $F(\varphi_{\mathcal{N}})$ the maximum rank of a free group onto which there is an epimorphism which factorizes $\varphi_{\mathcal{N}}$. It is clear that $E(\mathcal{N}) \leq F(\varphi_{\mathcal{N}})$. We would like to know for which closed manifolds M it is the equality for any independent system of hypersurfaces in M . Using Theorem 2.10 it can be shown that \mathcal{N} is framed cobordant to a system which can be extended to size $F(\varphi_{\mathcal{N}})$, however we do not know whether \mathcal{N} can be extended itself. In particular, we will investigate the case when all numbers $F(\varphi_{\mathcal{N}})$ are equal to $\text{corank}(\pi_1(M))$. This problem can be also seen more algebraically. By Proposition 4.21 the extendability of \mathcal{N} to the size $\text{corank}(\pi_1(M))$ is equivalent

to the equality $\text{corank}(\pi_1(M)) = \text{corank}(\pi_1(M)/\langle \pi_1(\mathcal{N}) \rangle^{\pi_1(M)})$. Moreover, since $E(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{R}(M|N) + r$, where r is the size of \mathcal{N} , this problem is related to the computability of the corank and Reeb number.

2. The last section shows that the relations between conjugacy of simple Morse functions and strong equivalence of Reeb epimorphisms are quite complicated even for surfaces. The careful investigation of these relations should be done for higher-dimensional manifolds, especially for 3-manifolds. However, in contrast to surfaces, the problem of conjugacy of Morse functions on manifolds of dimension at least 3 is much more difficult due to their more complicated surgery description (cf. [24]). A one approach is to equip Reeb graphs with labels on edges, which correspond to diffeomorphism type of submanifolds corresponding to them. An isomorphism of Reeb graphs which preserves these labels is the first necessary condition for conjugacy of functions. In particular, for orientable 3-manifolds regular level sets are orientable surfaces, so we equip edges only with non-negative integers corresponding to their genera. Thus the problem is how many strong equivalence classes of Reeb epimorphisms of simple Morse functions with fixed Reeb graph with labels are there and how many of them correspond to a single conjugacy class of these functions. Especially, we ask whether there is a finite number of these classes.
3. The computation of the set $\text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)$ of epimorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$ and the set of their strong equivalence classes $\text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)/\simeq$ may rely on the calculations of framed cobordism classes of independent systems of hypersurfaces or on the theory of Makanin–Razborov diagrams ([19, 25, 27, 1]). Although (strong) equivalence relation cannot be obtained from M–R factorization in general, these notions are closely related for groups with branches of length 1 in their Makanin–Razborov diagrams, e.g. for surface groups. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.10 there is a bijection $\text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r) \cong \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)$ and the map $\bar{\Theta}: \mathcal{H}_r^{fr}(M)/\text{Diff}_\bullet(M) \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\pi_1(M), F_r)/\simeq$ is surjective. Moreover, in some cases it is bijective e.g. for hyperbolic manifolds. More generally, there are bijections

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), F_r) \cong [M, \bigvee^r S^1] \cong \Omega_r^{fr,1}(M)$$

between the sets of all homomorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow F_r$, homotopy classes of maps $M \rightarrow \bigvee^r S^1$ and the set $\Omega_r^{fr,1}(M)$ of all framed cobordism classes of systems of hypersurfaces of size r in M (the upper index 1 denotes the codimension 1 of submanifolds). Consequently, any description of the latter set would give information about the corresponding set of homomorphisms.

References

- [1] M. BESTVINA AND M. FEIGN, *Notes on Sela’s work: Limit groups and Makanin–Razborov diagrams*, Geometric and Cohomological Methods in Group Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 358 (2009), 1–29.
- [2] O. BOGOPOLSKI, *Introduction to group theory*, European Math. Soc., 2008.
- [3] K. COLE-MCLAUGHLIN, H. EDELSBRUNNER, J. HARER, V. NATARAJAN AND V. PASCUCCI, *Loops in Reeb graphs of 2-manifolds*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 32 (2004), 231–244.
- [4] D. J. COLLINS AND H. ZIESCHANG, *Combinatorial Group Theory and Fundamental Groups*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 58, Algebra VII, Springer 1993.
- [5] O. CORNEA, *The genus and the fundamental group of high dimensional manifolds*, Stud. Cerc. Mat. 41 (1989), no. 3, 169–178.
- [6] B. DI FABIO AND C. LANDI, *The edit distance for Reeb graphs of surfaces*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 55 (2016), 423–461.
- [7] I. GELBUKH, *The co-rank of the fundamental group: The direct product, the first Betti number, and the topology of foliations*, Math. Slovaca 67 (2017), 645–656.
- [8] I. GELBUKH, *Loops in Reeb graphs of n -manifolds*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 59 (2018), no. 4, 843–863.
- [9] I. GELBUKH, *Approximation of Metric Spaces by Reeb Graphs: Cycle Rank of a Reeb Graph, the Co-rank of the Fundamental Group, and Large Components of Level Sets on Riemannian Manifolds*, Filomat 33 (2019), no. 7, 2031–2049.
- [10] R. I. GRIGORCHUK AND P. F. KURCHANOV, *Classification of epimorphisms of fundamental groups of surfaces onto free groups*, Mat. Zametki 48 (1990), no. 2, 26–35.
- [11] R. I. GRIGORCHUK AND P. F. KURCHANOV, *Some questions of group theory related to geometry*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 58, Algebra VII, Spinger 1993.
- [12] R. I. GRIGORCHUK, P. F. KURCHANOV AND H. ZIESCHANG, *Equivalence of homomorphisms of surface groups to free groups and some properties of 3-dimensional handlebodies*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 1 (Novosibirsk, 1989), 521–530, Contemp. Math. 131, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

- [13] J. HEMPEL, *3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 86, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1976.
- [14] W. JACO, *Heegaard splittings and splittings homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 365–379.
- [15] W. JACO, *Geometric realizations for free quotients*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 14 (1972), no. 4, 411–418.
- [16] M. KALUBA, W. MARZANTOWICZ AND N. SILVA, *On representation of the Reeb graph as a sub-complex of manifold*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 45 (2015), no. 1, 287–307.
- [17] E. V. KULINICH, *On topologically equivalent Morse functions on surfaces*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 4 (1998), no. 1, 59–64.
- [18] D. P. LYCHAK AND A. O. PRISHLYAK, *Morse functions and flows on nonorientable surfaces*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 15 (2009), no. 3, 251–258.
- [19] G. S. MAKANIN, *Equations in a free group*, Math. USSR Izvestiya 21 (1983), no. 3, 483–546.
- [20] L. P. MICHALAK, *Realization of a graph as the Reeb graph of a Morse function on a manifold*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 52 (2018), no. 2, 749–762.
- [21] L. P. MICHALAK, *Combinatorial modifications of Reeb graphs and the realization problem*, preprint (2018), accepted to Discrete Comput. Geom., arXiv:1811.08031.
- [22] J. W. MILNOR, *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem*, Princeton University Press, 1965.
- [23] J. W. MILNOR, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*, The University Press of Virginia, 1965.
- [24] A. O. PRISHLYAK, *Conjugation of Morse Function on 3-Manifolds*, reported on ICM 98, Berlin, arXiv:math/9812150.
- [25] A. RAZBOROV, *On systems of equations in a free group*, Math. USSR Izvestiya 25 (1985), 115–162.
- [26] G. REEB, *Sur les points singuliers d’une forme de Pfaff complètement intégrable ou d’une fonction numérique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 222 (1946), 847–849.
- [27] Z. SELA, *Diophantine geometry over groups I: Makanin–Razborov diagrams*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 93 (2001), 31–105.
- [28] V. V. SHARKO, *Smooth and topological equivalence of functions on surfaces*, Ukrainian Math. J. 55 (2003), no. 5, 832–846.
- [29] V. V. SHARKO, *About Kronrod–Reeb graph of a function on a manifold*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 12 (2006), 389–396.
- [30] J. R. STALLINGS, *Problems about Free Quotients of Groups*, Geometric group theory, Proceedings of a special research quarter at the Ohio State University, spring 1992, 165–182, de Gruyter, 1995.

WACŁAW MARZANTOWICZ
Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
ul. Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 4, 61-614 Poznań, Poland
E-mail address: marzan@amu.edu.pl

ŁUKASZ PATRYK MICHALAK
Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
ul. Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 4, 61-614 Poznań, Poland
E-mail address: lukasz.michalak@amu.edu.pl