

A VARIANT OF PERFECTOID ABHYANKAR'S LEMMA AND ALMOST COHEN-MACAULAY ALGEBRAS

KEI NAKAZATO AND KAZUMA SHIMOMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field has an integral extension that is an integrally closed, almost Cohen-Macaulay domain such that the Frobenius map is surjective modulo p . This result is seen as a mixed characteristic analogue of the fact that the perfect closure of a complete local domain in positive characteristic is almost Cohen-Macaulay. To this aim, we carry out a detailed study of decompletion of perfectoid rings and establish the Witt-perfect (decompleted) version of André's perfectoid Abhyankar's lemma and Riemann's extension theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Main results on the decompletion of perfectoids	2
1.2. Main results on commutative algebra	4
2. Notation and conventions	5
3. Preliminary lemmas	7
3.1. Complete integral closure under completion	7
4. A variant of perfectoid Abhyankar's lemma for almost Witt-perfect rings	10
4.1. Variants of Riemann's extension theorems	12
4.2. Witt-perfect Abhyankar's lemma	21
5. Applications of Witt-perfectoid Abhyankar's lemma	26
5.1. Construction of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras	26
5.2. Construction of big Cohen-Macaulay modules	31
6. Appendix: Integrality and almost integrality	32
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present article, rings are assumed to be commutative with a unity. Recently, Yves André established *Perfectoid Abhyankar's Lemma* in [1] as a conceptual generalization of *Almost Purity Theorem*; see [50, Theorem 7.9]. This result is stated for perfectoid algebras over a perfectoid field, which are defined to be certain p -adically complete and separated rings. Using his results, André proved the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras in mixed characteristic in [2]. More precisely, he constructed a certain *almost* Cohen-Macaulay algebra using perfectoids. We are inspired by this result and led to the following commutative algebra question, which is raised in [47] and [48] implicitly.

Key words and phrases. Almost purity, big Cohen-Macaulay algebra, étale extension, perfectoid space.
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13A18, 13A35, 13B05, 13D22, 13J10, 14G22, 14G45.

Question 1 (Roberts). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local domain of arbitrary characteristic with its absolute integral closure R^+ . Then does there exist an R -algebra B such that B is an almost Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra and $R \subset B \subset R^+$?*

Essentially, Question 1 asks for a possibility to find a *relatively small* almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra. The structure of this article is twofold. We begin with its arithmetic side and move to its application to Question 1.

1.1. Main results on the decompletion of perfectoids. The first goal is to relax the p -adic completeness from Perfectoid Abhyankar's Lemma and incorporate the so-called *Witt-perfect* condition, which is introduced by Davis and Kedlaya in [16]. Roughly speaking, a Witt-perfect (or p -Witt-perfect) algebra is a p -torsion free ring A whose p -adic completion becomes an integral perfectoid ring. Indeed, Davis and Kedlaya succeeded in proving the almost purity theorem for Witt-perfect rings. The present article is a sequel to authors' previous work [44], in which the authors were able to give a conceptual proof to the almost purity theorem by Davis-Kedlaya by analyzing the integral structure of Tate rings under completion. The advantage of working with Witt-perfect rings is that it allows one to take an infinite integral extension over a certain p -adically complete ring to construct an almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra. The resulting algebra is not p -adically complete, but its p -adic completion is integral perfectoid; see Main Theorem 4 below. To establish this result, let us state our first main result; see Theorem 4.19.

Main Theorem 1. *Let A be a p -torsion free ring that is flat over a Witt-perfect valuation domain V of rank 1 admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V$, together with a nonzero divisor $g \in A$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$. Suppose that*

- (1) *A is p -adically Zariskian and A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$;*
- (2) *A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring that is integral over a Noetherian ring;*
- (3) *(p, g) is a regular sequence on A (or more generally, it suffices to assume that p, g are nonzero divisors on the p -adic completion \widehat{A});*
- (4) *$A[\frac{1}{pg}] \hookrightarrow B'$ is a finite étale extension.*

Let us put

$$g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A := \left\{ a \in A\left[\frac{1}{g}\right] \mid g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} a \in A, \forall n > 0 \right\},$$

which is an A -subalgebra of $A[\frac{1}{g}]$. Denote by $B := (g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)_B^+$, the integral closure of $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ in B' (which is equal to the integral closure of A in B' by Lemma 4.18). Then the following statements hold:

- (a) *The Frobenius endomorphism $\text{Frob} : B/(p) \rightarrow B/(p)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjective and it induces an injection $B/(p^{\frac{1}{p}}) \hookrightarrow B/(p)$.*
- (b) *Suppose that A is a normal ring that is torsion free and integral over a Noetherian normal domain. Then the induced map $A/(p^m) \rightarrow B/(p^m)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost finite étale for all $m > 0$.*

In the original version of Perfectoid Abhyankar's Lemma as proved in [1] and [2], it is assumed that A is an *integral perfectoid ring*, which is necessarily p -adically complete and separated. As we will see in the course of the proof, a comparison of the valuations between A and its p -adic completion \widehat{A} plays an essential role and hence, A is required to possess integral closedness condition

in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$. Recall that an integrally closed domain A is the intersection of all valuation domains that lie between A and the field of fractions; see [58, Proposition 6.8.14] for the proof of this result from classical valuation theory. We need a variant of this result for affinoid Tate rings. The regularity assumption on the sequence (p, g) ensures that p, g are nonzero divisors on the p -adic completion \widehat{A} ; this is due to Lemma 4.5. A detailed study of *almost Witt-perfect rings* appears in the paper [44]; see also Definition 4.2 below. The functor $A \mapsto g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ is called a *functor of almost elements*, which is fundamental in almost ring theory. The idea of the proof of Main Theorem 1 is to transport André's original proof to our situation with the following ingredients:

- The almost purity theorem for Witt-perfect rings.
- Descent to Galois extensions of commutative rings.
- Riemann's extension theorem (Hebbarkeitssatz).
- Comparison of continuous valuations for affinoid Tate rings under completion.
- Comparison of integral closure and complete integral closure.

The almost purity theorem for Witt-perfect rings is attributed to Davis and Kedlaya; see [16] and [17]. A systematic approach to this important result was carried out in authors' paper [44]. The assumption that A is integral over a Noetherian ring as appearing in Main Theorem 1 is required by the following *Witt-perfect Riemann's Extension Theorem*; see Theorem 4.15 as well as notation. Its perfectoid version has been proved by Scholze in [51], and André used it in the proof of Perfectoid Abhyankar's Lemma in [1].

Main Theorem 2. *Let A be a p -torsion free ring that is flat over a Witt-perfect valuation domain V of rank 1 admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V$, together with a nonzero divisor $g \in A$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$. Suppose that*

- (1) *A is p -adically Zariskian and A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$;*
- (2) *A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring that is integral over a Noetherian ring;*
- (3) *(p, g) is a regular sequence on A (or more generally, it suffices to assume that p, g are nonzero divisors on the p -adic completion \widehat{A}).*

Let us put

$$\widetilde{A} := \varinjlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}.$$

Then the following statements hold.

- (a) *There is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism for each $j > 0$:*

$$A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right] \approx A^{j^\circ}.$$

- (b) *The natural map $A^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ induces an isomorphism:*

$$\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

In particular, A^{j° is Witt-perfect.

- (c) *There are ring isomorphisms: $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ \cong A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^* \cong \widetilde{A}$, where $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+$ (resp. $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^*$) is the integral closure (resp. complete integral closure) of A in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$. If moreover A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$, then $A \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \cong \widetilde{A}$.*

The authors do not know if the Noetherian assumption can be dropped from Main Theorem 2, which is necessary in order to find valuation rings of rank 1. The proof of Main Theorem 2 is reduced to the Riemann's extension theorem for perfectoid algebras up to p -adic completion. As to the statement (c), we succeeded in giving an alternative proof, which is stated as follows; see Theorem 4.14.

Main Theorem 3. *Let A be a ring with a nonzero divisor ϖ that is ϖ -adically Zariskian and integral over a Noetherian ring. Let $g \in A$ be a nonzero divisor. Let A^j the Tate ring associated to $(A[\frac{\varpi^j}{g}], (\varpi))$ for every integer $j > 0$. Then we have an isomorphism of rings*

$$A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_j A^{j\circ},$$

where the transition map $A^{j+1\circ} \rightarrow A^{j\circ}$ is the natural one.

In the above theorem, the assumption that A is ϖ -adically Zariskian is necessary and it is interesting to know if it is possible to get rid of it.

1.2. Main results on commutative algebra. André proved that any complete Noetherian local domain maps to a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra and using his result, it was proved that such a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra could be refined to be an integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay algebra in [55]. We refer the reader to Definition 5.1 and Definition 5.2 for big (almost) Cohen-Macaulay algebras. Question 1 was stated in a characteristic-free manner. Let us point out that if $\dim R \leq 2$, then R^+ is a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra in an arbitrary characteristic. This is easily seen by using Serre's normality criterion. Recall that if R has prime characteristic $p > 0$, then R^+ is a big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra. This result was proved by Hochster and Huneke and their proof is quite involved; see [30], [31], [32], [36], [46] and [49] for related results as well as [28], [29] and [40] for applications to tight closure, multiplier/test ideals and singularities on algebraic varieties. There is another important work on the purity of Brauer groups using perfectoids; see [15]. It seems to be an open question whether R^+ is almost Cohen-Macaulay when R has equal-characteristic zero. If R has mixed characteristic of dimension 3, Heitmann proved that R^+ is a $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra in [26]. Our main concern, inspired also by the recent result of Heitmann and Ma [29], is to extend Heitmann's result to the higher dimensional case, thus giving a positive answer to Roberts' question in mixed characteristic as an application of Main Theorem 1; see Theorem 5.5.

Main Theorem 4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field k . Let p, x_2, \dots, x_d be a system of parameters and let R^+ be the absolute integral closure of R . Then there exists an R -algebra T together with a nonzero element $g \in R$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) T admits compatible systems of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in T$ for all $n > 0$.
- (2) The Frobenius endomorphism $\text{Frob} : T/(p) \rightarrow T/(p)$ is surjective.
- (3) T is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay normal domain with respect to p, x_2, \dots, x_d and $R \subset T \subset R^+$.
- (4) $R[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow T[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is an ind-étale extension. In other words, $T[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is a filtered colimit of finite étale $R[\frac{1}{pg}]$ -algebras contained in $T[\frac{1}{pg}]$.

In other words, one can find an almost Cohen-Macaulay, Witt-perfect normal domain (its p -adic completion is integral perfectoid) between R and its absolute integral closure. Using Hochster's partial algebra modification and tilting, one can construct an integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra over T ; see [55] for details. In a sense, Main Theorem 4 is regarded as a weak analogue of the mixed characteristic version of a result by Hochster and Huneke. The proof of our result does not seem to come by merely considering decompleted versions of the construction by Heitmann and Ma in [29], due to the difficulty of studying $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost mathematics under completion. This is the main reason one is required to redo the decompletion of André's results in [1] and [2]. This article is also intended to provide essential ideas surrounding the original proof of the perfectoid Abhyankar's lemma. Finally, Bhatt recently proved that the absolute integral closure of a complete local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) of mixed characteristic has the property that $R^+/p^n R^+$ is a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay $R/p^n R$ -algebra for any $n > 0$; see [10]. It will be interesting to know how his methods and results are compared to ours; at present, the authors have no clue. However, it is worth pointing out the following fact.

- The almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra T constructed in Main Theorem 4 is integral over the Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) and much smaller than the absolute integral closure R^+ .

In a sense, T is a "smallest" almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra over R . We mention some potential applications of Main Theorem 4.

- (1) Connections with the singularities studied in [41] by exploiting the ind-étaleness of $R[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow T[\frac{1}{pg}]$.
- (2) A refined study of the main results on the closure operations in mixed characteristic as developed in [37].
- (3) An explicit construction of a big Cohen-Macaulay module from the R -algebra T ; see Corollary 5.9.

Caution: In this paper, we take both integral closure and complete integral closure for a given ring extension. This distinction is not essential in our setting in view of Proposition 6.1. However, we opt to formulate the results (mostly) in complete integral closure, because we believe that correct statements of the possible generalizations of our main results without integrality over a Noetherian ring should be given in terms of complete integral closure. The reader is warned that complete integral closure is coined as *total integral closure* in the lecture notes [8].

2. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

We use language of almost ring theory. The most exhaustive references are [21] and [22], where the latter discusses applications of almost ring theory to algebraic geometry and commutative ring theory. Notably, it includes an extension of the Direct Summand Conjecture to the setting of log-regular rings. Throughout this article, for an integral domain A , let $\text{Frac}(A)$ denote the field of fractions of A . A *basic setup* is a pair (A, I) , where A is a ring and I is its ideal such that $I^2 = I$. Moreover, we usually assume that I is a flat A -module. An A -module M is *I -almost zero* (or simply *almost zero*) if $IM = 0$. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an A -module map. Then we say that f is *I -almost isomorphic* (or simply *almost isomorphic*) if kernel and cokernel of f are annihilated by I . Let us define an important class of basic setup (K, I) as follows: Let K be a perfectoid field of characteristic 0 with a non-archimedean norm $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$. Fix an element $\pi \in K$ such that $|p| \leq |\pi| < 1$ and $I := \bigcup_{n>0} \pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} K^\circ$ (such an element π exists and plays a fundamental role in perfectoid geometry). Set $K^\circ := \{x \in K \mid |x| \leq 1\}$ and $K^{\circ\circ} := \{x \in K \mid |x| < 1\}$. Then K° is a complete valuation domain of rank 1 with field of fractions K and the pair (K°, I) is a basic setup.

Let (A, I) be a basic setup. Then the category of almost A -modules or A^a -modules $A^a - \mathbf{Mod}$, is the quotient category of A -modules $A - \mathbf{Mod}$ by the Serre subcategory of I -almost zero modules. So this defines the localization functor $(\)^a : A - \mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow A^a - \mathbf{Mod}$. This functor admits a right adjoint and a left adjoint functors respectively:

$$(\)_* : A^a - \mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow A - \mathbf{Mod} \text{ and } (\)_! : A^a - \mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow A - \mathbf{Mod}.$$

These are defined by $M_* := \text{Hom}_A(I, M_0)$ with $M_0^a = M$ and $M_1 := I \otimes_A M_*$. See [21, Proposition 2.2.14 and Proposition 2.2.23] for these functors. So we have the following fact: The functor $(\)_*$ commutes with limits and $(\)_!$ commutes with colimits. Finally, the functor $(\)^a$ commutes with both colimits and limits. In particular, an explicit description of M_* will be helpful. Henceforth, we abusively write M_* for $(M^a)_*$ for an A -module M . The notation

$$M \approx N$$

will be used throughout to indicate that M is I -almost isomorphic to N . We also say that an A -module map $M \rightarrow N$ is I -almost isomorphic.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a module over a ring A and let $t, \pi \in A$ be nonzero divisors on both A and M such that A admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$ for $n \geq 0$. Set $I = \bigcup_{n>0} \pi_n A$ with $\pi_n := \pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$. Then (A, I) is a basic setup and there is an equality:*

$$M_* = \left\{ b \in M\left[\frac{1}{\pi}\right] \mid \pi_n b \in M \text{ for all } n > 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, the natural map $M \rightarrow M_*$ is an I -almost isomorphism. If M is an A -algebra, then M_* has an A -algebra structure. Finally, M is t -adically complete if and only if M_* is t -adically complete.

Proof. The presentation for M_* is found in [50, Lemma 5.3] over a perfectoid field and the proof there works under our setting without any modifications. If M is an A -algebra, then the above presentation will endow M_* with an A -algebra structure. In other words, M_* is naturally an A -subring of $M\left[\frac{1}{\pi}\right]$. Finally, $(\)_*$ commutes with limits and t is a nonzero divisor of M , the proof of [50, Lemma 5.3] applies to conclude that M_* is t -adically complete if and only if M is t -adically complete. Another proof of this lemma is found in [8, Proposition 4.4.3] \square

In the situation of the lemma, we often write M_* as $\bigcap_{n>0} \pi^{-\frac{1}{p^n}} M$ or $\pi^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} M$ to indicate that what basic setup of almost ring theory we are talking. We need some basic language from Huber's continuous valuations and adic spectra; see [33] and [34].

Definition 2.2. A topological ring A is called *Tate*, if there is an open subring $A_0 \subset A$ together with an element $t \in A_0$ such that the topology on A_0 induced from A is t -adic and t becomes a unit in A . A_0 is called a *ring of definition* and t is called a *pseudouniformizer*. Let A be a Tate ring. Then the pair (A, A^+) is called an *affinoid Tate ring*, if $A^+ \subset A$ is an open and integrally closed subring.

For a Tate ring A , we denote by $A^\circ \subset A$ the subset consisting of powerbounded elements of A and by $A^{\circ\circ} \subset A$ the subset consisting of topologically nilpotent elements of A . It is easy to verify that $A^{\circ\circ} \subset A^\circ \subset A$, A° is a subring of A and $A^{\circ\circ}$ is an ideal of A° . Let $\text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ denote the set of continuous valuations $|\cdot|$ on an affinoid Tate ring (A, A^+) satisfying an additional condition $|A^+| \leq 1$ modulo a natural equivalence relation.

Let us pick an element $|\cdot| \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$. Then $|\cdot|$ factors through the domain A^+/\mathfrak{p} , where \mathfrak{p} is the set of $x \in A^+$ for which $|x| = 0$; see Lemma 4.8. Then $|\cdot|$ defines a valuation ring

$V \subset \text{Frac}(A^+/\mathfrak{p})$. This valuation ring is *microbial* attached to $|\cdot|$ in view of [8, Proposition 7.3.7]. For microbial valuation rings, we refer the reader to [35]. Let us recall the notion of perfectoid algebras over a perfectoid field as defined in [50].

Definition 2.3 (Perfectoid K -algebra). Fix a perfectoid field K and let \mathcal{A} be a Banach K -algebra. Then we say that \mathcal{A} is a *perfectoid K -algebra*, if the following conditions hold:

- (1) The set of powerbounded elements $\mathcal{A}^\circ \subset \mathcal{A}$ is open and bounded.
- (2) The Frobenius endomorphism on $\mathcal{A}^\circ/(p)$ is surjective.

We will recall the almost variant of perfectoid algebras; see [1].

Definition 2.4 (Almost perfectoid K -algebra). Fix a perfectoid field K and let \mathcal{A} be a Banach K -algebra with a basic setup (\mathcal{A}°, I) . Then we say that \mathcal{A} is *I -almost perfectoid*, if the following conditions hold:

- (1) The set of powerbounded elements $\mathcal{A}^\circ \subset \mathcal{A}$ is open and bounded.
- (2) The Frobenius endomorphism $Frob : \mathcal{A}^\circ/(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\circ/(p)$ is I -almost surjective.

Example 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a perfectoid K -algebra with a nonzero nonunit element $t \in K^\circ$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $\{t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$. Fix any nonzero divisor $g \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$ that admits a compatible system $\{g^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$. Let $I := \bigcup_{n>0} (tg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$. Then the pair (\mathcal{A}°, I) gives a basic setup, which is a prototypical example that is encountered in this article.

The following notion is due to Artin [5].

Definition 2.6 (Absolute integral closure). Let A be an integral domain. Then the *absolute integral closure* of A denoted by A^+ , is defined to be the integral closure of A in a fixed algebraic closure of $\text{Frac}(A)$.

The symbol for the absolute integral closure should not be confused with affinoid Tate ring (A, A^+) . Let A be a ring with an element $t \in A$. Then we will denote by \widehat{A} the t -adic completion of A . In most cases that we encounter, t will be either a nonzero divisor or $t = p$, a fixed prime number. We say that a commutative ring A is *normal*, if the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an integrally closed domain in its field of fractions for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. For ring maps $A \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow C$, we write $A \times_C B$ for the fiber product. The *completion* of a module is always taken to be complete and separated. We also consider non-adic completion when studying Banach rings. We make use of Galois theory of commutative rings in making reductions in steps of proofs. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring extension and let G be a finite group acting on B as ring automorphisms. Then we say that B is a *G -Galois extension* of A , if $A = B^G$ and the natural ring map

$$B \otimes_A B \rightarrow \prod_G B; b \otimes b' \mapsto (\gamma(b)b')_{\gamma \in G}$$

is an isomorphism. Some fundamental results about Galois extensions are found in [1] or [19]. A definition of *almost G -Galois extension* is found in [1].

Definition 2.7. Let A be ring with an element $t \in A$. Then we say that A is *t -adically Zariskian*, if t is contained in every maximal ideal of A .

3. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

3.1. Complete integral closure under completion. We begin with definitions of closure operations of rings.

Definition 3.1. Let $R \subset S$ be a ring extension.

- (1) An element $s \in S$ is *integral* over R , if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R \cdot s^n$ is a finitely generated R -submodule of S . The set of all elements denoted as T of S that are integral over R forms a subring of S . If $R = T$, then R is called *integrally closed* in S .
- (2) An element $s \in S$ is *almost integral* over R , if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R \cdot s^n$ is contained in a finitely generated R -submodule of S . The set of all elements denoted as T of S that are almost integral over R forms a subring of S , which is called the *complete integral closure* of R in S . If $R = T$, then R is called *completely integrally closed* in S .

From the definition, it is immediate to see that if R is a Noetherian domain and S is the field of fractions of R , then R is integrally closed if and only if it is completely integrally closed. There are subtle points that we must be careful about on complete integral closure. The complete integral closure T of R is not necessarily completely integrally closed in S and such an example was constructed by W. Heinzer [25]. Let $R \subset S \subset T$ be ring extensions. Let $b \in S$ be an element. Assume that b is almost integral over R when b is regarded as an element of T . Then it does not necessarily mean that b is almost integral over R when b is regarded as an element of S ; see [23] for such an example. The following result is a key for the main results of [7]; see also [57, Tag 0BNR] for a proof and related results.

Lemma 3.2 (Beauville-Laszlo). *Let A be a ring with a nonzero divisor $t \in A$ and let \widehat{A} be the t -adic completion. Then t is a nonzero divisor of \widehat{A} and one has the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A[\frac{1}{t}] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A}[\frac{1}{t}] \end{array}$$

that is cartesian. In other words, we have $A \cong A[\frac{1}{t}] \times_{\widehat{A}[\frac{1}{t}]} \widehat{A}$.

The following lemma is quite useful and often used in basic theory of perfectoid spaces. We take a copy from Bhatt's lecture notes [8].

Lemma 3.3. *Let A be a ring with a nonzero divisor $t \in A$ and let \widehat{A} be the t -adic completion of A . Fix a prime number $p > 0$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Suppose that A is integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$. Then \widehat{A} is integrally closed in $\widehat{A}[\frac{1}{t}]$. If moreover A admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\{t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$, then $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$ is integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$.*
- (2) *Suppose that A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$ and A admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\{t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$. Then \widehat{A} is completely integrally closed in $\widehat{A}[\frac{1}{t}]$, and $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$ is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$.*

Proof. We refer the reader to [8, Lemma 5.1.1, Lemma 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.1.3]. Here we point out that Lemma 3.2 plays a role in the proofs. \square

The following lemma is easy to prove, but plays an important role in our arguments.

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a ring with a nonzero divisor $t \in A$ such that A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$. Fix a prime number $p > 0$. Suppose that A admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\{t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$. Then we have $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A = A$ (in particular, $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$ is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$).*

Proof. Since clearly $A \subset t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$, it suffices to show the reverse inclusion. Pick an element $b \in t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$. Then for every $n > 0$, there exists $a_n \in A$ such that $t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}b = a_n$ and therefore, $b^n = t^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{p^n}})^{p^n-n}a_n^n \in A[\frac{1}{t}]$. Here notice that $(t^{\frac{1}{p^n}})^{p^n-n}a_n^n \in A$. Thus, one obtains $tb^n \in A$ for every $n > 0$ and we have $b \in A$, because A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{t}]$. \square

Now let us discuss complete integral closedness of inverse limits.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a ring with an element $t \in A$, let Λ be a directed poset, and let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ an inverse system of A -algebras. Suppose that each A_λ is t -torsion free and completely integrally closed in $A_\lambda[\frac{1}{t}]$. Then $\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$ is a t -torsion free A -algebra and completely integrally closed in $(\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda)[\frac{1}{t}]$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$ is a t -torsion free A -algebra. Pick an element $b \in (\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda)[\frac{1}{t}]$ which is almost integral over $\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$. Then there exists some $m > 0$ such that $t^m b^n \in \varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$ for every $n > 0$. Take $d > 0$ and $a = (a_\lambda) \in \varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$ for which $t^d b = a$. Then for every $n > 0$, it follows that $t^{dn+m} b^n = t^m a^n$, which implies $t^m a^n \in t^{dn}(\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda)$. Thus for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the element $\frac{a_\lambda}{t^d} \in A_\lambda[\frac{1}{t}]$ satisfies $t^m (\frac{a_\lambda}{t^d})^n \in A_\lambda$ for every n . Since A_λ is completely integrally closed in $A_\lambda[\frac{1}{t}]$, one finds that $a_\lambda \in t^d A_\lambda$ ($\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$) and thus $a \in t^d(\varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda)$. Hence $b = \frac{a}{t^d} \in \varprojlim_\lambda A_\lambda$, as desired. \square

In the situation of Lemma 2.1, complete integral closedness is preserved under $(\)_*$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be a ring extension such that A is completely integrally closed in B . Suppose that A has an element t such that B is t -torsion free and A admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\{t^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\}_{n>0}$. Then $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$ is completely integrally closed in $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}B$.*

Proof. Pick an element $c \in t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}B$ which is almost integral over $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$. We would like to show that $t^{\frac{1}{p^k}}c \in A$ for every $k > 0$. Since A is completely integrally closed in B , it suffices to check that each $t^{\frac{1}{p^k}}c \in B$ is almost integral over A . Now by assumption, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A \cdot c^n$ is contained in a finitely generated $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A$ -submodule of $t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}B$. Hence $t^{\frac{1}{p^k}}(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A \cdot c^n)$ is contained in a finitely generated A -submodule of B for every $k > 0$. Meanwhile, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A \cdot (t^{\frac{1}{p^k}}c)^n \subset t^{\frac{1}{p^k}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A \cdot c^n \right) \subset t^{\frac{1}{p^k}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A \cdot c^n \right).$$

Therefore, $t^{\frac{1}{p^k}}c \in B$ is almost integral over A , as desired. \square

Lemma 3.7. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Let R be a Noetherian integrally closed domain with its absolute integral closure R^+ and assume that A is a ring such that $R \subset A \subset R^+$. Then A is integrally closed in $\text{Frac}(A)$ if and only if A is completely integrally closed in $\text{Frac}(A)$.*
- (2) *Let $R \subset S \subset T$ be ring extensions. Assume that R is completely integrally closed in T . Then R is also completely integrally closed in S .*

Proof. (1): The proof is found in the proof of [53, Theorem 5.9], whose statement is given only for Noetherian normal rings of characteristic $p > 0$. However, the argument there remains valid for Noetherian normal rings of arbitrary characteristic.

(2): For $s \in S$, assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R \cdot s^n$ is contained in a finitely generated R -submodule of S . Then this property remains true when regarded as an R -submodule of T . So we have $s \in R$ by our assumption. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let A be a normal domain with field of fractions $\text{Frac}(A)$ and assume that $\text{Frac}(A) \hookrightarrow B$ is an integral extension such that B is reduced. Denote by $C := A_B^+$ the integral closure of A in B . Then $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a normal domain for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of C .*

Proof. Notice that B can be written as the filtered colimit of finite integral subextensions $\text{Frac}(A) \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B$. Without loss of generality, we may assume and do that $\text{Frac}(A) \rightarrow B$ is a finite integral extension. Since $\text{Frac}(A)$ is a field, B is a reduced Artinian ring, so that we can write $B = \prod_{i=1}^m L_i$ with L_i being a field. Since $A \rightarrow C$ is torsion free and integral, we see that $\text{Frac}(A) \otimes_A C$ is the total ring of fractions of C , which is just B . In other words, C has finitely many minimal prime ideals, because so does B . Then by [57, Tag 030C], C is a finite product of normal domains, which shows that $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a normal domain for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset C$. \square

Galois theory of rings is closely related to a study of integrality. For example, the following statement holds.

Lemma 3.9. *Let A be a Tate ring and let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G . Equip B with the canonical structure as a Tate ring as in [44, Lemma 2.17]. Then the action of G preserves B° . Moreover, if further A is preuniform (see [44, Definition 2.12 and Definition 2.14]), then $(B^\circ)^G = A^\circ$.*

Proof. Let A_0 be a ring of definition of A and let $t \in A_0$ be a pseudouniformizer of A . As in the proof of [44, Lemma 2.17], we can take a ring of definition B_0 of B that is finitely generated as an A_0 -module and satisfies $B = B_0[\frac{1}{t}]$. Pick $b \in B^\circ$ and $\sigma \in G$ arbitrarily. Then there is some $l > 0$ such that $t^l b^n \in B_0$ and therefore, $t^l \sigma(b)^n \in \sigma(B_0)$ for every $n > 0$. Meanwhile, since $\sigma(B_0)$ is also finitely generated as an A_0 -module, we have $t^{l'} \sigma(B_0) \subset B_0$ for some $l' > 0$. Hence $\sigma(b)$ is also almost integral over B_0 . Thus, the action of G preserves B° . If further A is preuniform, then we have

$$(B^\circ)^G = B^G \cap B^\circ = A \cap B^\circ = A^\circ$$

by [44, Corollary 4.8(4)], as wanted. \square

4. A VARIANT OF PERFECTOID ABHYANKAR'S LEMMA FOR ALMOST WITT-PERFECT RINGS

Let $p > 0$ be a prime number. For the sake of reader's convenience, we recall the definition of *Witt-perfect rings* due to Davis and Kedlaya; see [16] and [17].

Definition 4.1 (Witt-perfect ring). For a prime number $p > 0$, we say that a p -torsion free ring A is *p -Witt-perfect* (simply *Witt-perfect*), if the Witt-Frobenius map $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{W}_{p^n}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{p^{n-1}}(A)$ is surjective for all $n > 2$.

Let us recall the almost version of the Witt-perfect condition as introduced in [44].

Definition 4.2 (Almost Witt-perfect ring). Let A be a p -torsion free ring with an element $\pi \in A$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $\pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$. Then we say that A is $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect, if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) The Frobenius endomorphism on $A/(p)$ is $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjective.
- (2) For every $a \in A$ and every $n > 0$, there is an element $b \in A$ such that $b^p \equiv p\pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} a \pmod{p^2}$.

In applications, we often consider the case that $\pi \in A$ is a nonzero divisor and A is (completely) integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$. If one takes $\pi = 1$, then it is shown that $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt perfectness coincides with the Witt-perfectness; see [44] for details. Let us recall the following fact; see [44, Proposition 3.20].

Proposition 4.3. *Let V be a p -adically separated p -torsion free valuation ring and let A be a p -torsion free $V[T^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$ -algebra. Set $\pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} := T^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \cdot 1 \in A$ for every $n \geq 0$ and denote by \widehat{V} and \widehat{A} the p -adic completions of V and A , respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *V is a Witt-perfect valuation ring of rank 1 and A is $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect and integrally closed (resp. completely integrally closed) in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$.*
- (b) *There exist a perfectoid field K and a $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid $K\langle T^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle$ -algebra \mathcal{A} with the following properties:*
 - *K is a Banach ring associated to $(\widehat{V}, (p))$, the norm on K is multiplicative and $K^\circ = \widehat{V}$;*
 - *\mathcal{A} is a Banach ring associated to $(\widehat{A}, (p))$ and \widehat{A} is open and integrally closed in \mathcal{A} (resp. $\mathcal{A}^\circ = \widehat{A}$);*
 - *the bounded homomorphism of Banach rings $K\langle T^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is induced by the ring map $V[T^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}] \rightarrow A$.*

Remark 4.4. (1) In Proposition 4.3, one is allowed to map T to $pg \in A$, in which case A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring for some $g \in A$. We will consider Witt-perfect rings of this type.

- (2) The advantage of working with (almost) Witt-perfect rings is in the fact that one need not impose p -adic completeness condition on a ring. Let $A := W(k)[[x_2, \dots, x_d]]$ be the power series algebra over the ring of Witt vectors of a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then

$$A_\infty := \bigcup_{n>0} W(k)[p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}][[x_2^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^n}}]]$$

is a Witt-perfect algebra that is an integrally closed domain and integral, faithfully flat over A . The p -adic completion \widehat{A}_∞ of A_∞ is integral perfectoid. While $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}_\infty$ remains flat, it is not integral. The ring A_∞ will be used essentially in the construction of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras later. A similar construction for complete ramified regular local rings appears in [54, Proposition 4.9].

Another important example of a Witt-perfect ring is given by an arbitrary absolutely integrally closed domain A , where A is a faithfully flat \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra. The p -adic completion \widehat{A} is an integral perfectoid algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]$. Indeed, as A is absolutely integrally closed in its field of fractions, it contains \mathbb{Z}_p^+ . Hence \widehat{A} is a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^+}$ -algebra.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that A is a ring with a regular sequence (a, b) . Let \widehat{A}^a denote the a -adic completion of A . Then a and b are nonzero divisors of \widehat{A}^a .*

Proof. That a is a nonzero divisor was already mentioned in Lemma 3.2. Let $t \in \widehat{A}^a$ be such that $bt = 0$. Then one obviously has $bt \in a^n \widehat{A}^a$ for all $n > 0$. Since b is a nonzero divisor on $A/(a^n) \cong \widehat{A}^a/(a^n)$, it follows that $t \in \bigcap_{n>0} a^n \widehat{A}^a = 0$ and thus, b is a nonzero divisor on \widehat{A}^a . \square

Example 4.6. Let us consider the subring:

$$R := \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{x}{p}, \frac{x}{p^2}, \dots\right] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

Then it is clear that R is a domain. However, after taking the p -adic completion \widehat{R} , since $x \in p^n R$, x becomes zero in \widehat{R} . Therefore, p is a nonzero divisor of \widehat{R} , while x is not so.

4.1. Variants of Riemann's extension theorems. Let us explain the *Riemann's extension theorem* in the language of commutative algebra. This is a key result to the proof of the Direct Summand Conjecture and its derived variant; see [2] and [9]. For simplicity, let A be a ring with nonzero divisors $f, g \in A$. Then we can consider the subring $A[\frac{f^n}{g}]$ in $A[\frac{1}{g}]$. In other words, we define $A[\frac{f^n}{g}] := (A[T]/(gT - f^n))/\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} := \bigcup_{m>0} (0 : g^m)$ as an ideal of $A[T]/(gT - f^n)$.

Problem 1 (Algebraic formulation of Riemann's extension problem). *Study the ring-theoretic structure of the intersection*

$$\bigcap_{n>0} A[\frac{f^n}{g}],$$

where $A[\frac{f^{n+1}}{g}] \rightarrow A[\frac{f^n}{g}]$ is the natural inclusion defined by $\frac{f^{n+1}}{g} \mapsto f \cdot \frac{f^n}{g}$. Notice that the intersection is taken inside $A[\frac{1}{g}]$.

In his remarkable paper [51], Scholze studied the perfectoid version of the above problem, with an application to the construction of Galois representations using torsion classes in the cohomology of certain symmetric spaces. Before going further, we need the notion of *semivaluations*.

Definition 4.7. Let A be a ring and let $|\cdot| : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ be a map for a totally ordered abelian group Γ with group unit 1 and we let $0 < \gamma$ for arbitrary $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $|\cdot|$ is called a *semivaluation*, if $|0| = 0$, $|1| = 1$, $|xy| = |x||y|$ and $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ for $x, y \in A$.

The name semivaluation refers to the fact that A need not be an integral domain. Those semivaluations that satisfy a certain topological condition are called *continuous*, which are extensively studied in Huber's papers [33] and [34].

Lemma 4.8. *Let $|\cdot| : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ be a semivaluation. Then $\mathfrak{p} := \{x \in A \mid |x| = 0\}$ is a prime ideal of A and if we set $k(\mathfrak{p}) := \text{Frac}(A/\mathfrak{p})$, then $|\cdot|$ induces a valuation $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}} : k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. This is an easy exercise, using the properties stated in Definition 4.7. \square

The prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in Lemma 4.8 is called the *support* of the semivaluation $|\cdot|$. For a given $|\cdot| : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$, we set $V_{|\cdot|} := \{x \in k(\mathfrak{p}) \mid |x|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1\}$. Then this is a valuation ring with its field of fractions $k(\mathfrak{p})$.

Definition 4.9. Let $D \subset C$ be a ring extension and let us set

$$\text{Val}(C, D) := \left\{ |\cdot| \mid |\cdot| \text{ is a semivaluation on } C \text{ such that } |D| \leq 1 \text{ and } V_{|\cdot|} \text{ has dimension } \leq 1 \right\} / \sim,$$

where \sim is generated by natural equivalence classes of semivaluations.

Let us prove the following algebraic lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let (C, D) be a pair of rings such that C is the localization of D with respect to some multiplicative set consisting of nonzero divisors. Suppose that D is an integral extension of a Noetherian ring R . Fix a (possibly empty) subset $\mathcal{S} \subset D$ that consists of only nonzero divisors. Then one has*

$$D_C^+ = \left\{ x \in C \mid |x| \leq 1 \text{ for any } |\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D) \text{ such that } |g| \neq 0 \text{ for every } g \in \mathcal{S} \right\},$$

where D_C^+ is the integral closure of D in C .

Proof. Since the containment \subset is clear by the definition of $\text{Val}(C, D)$, let us prove the reverse containment \supset . Let $y \in C$ be such that $|y| \leq 1$, where $|\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D)$ satisfies $|g| \neq 0$ for every $g \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $D[\frac{1}{y}]$ be the subring of the localization $C[\frac{1}{y}]$ which is generated by $y^{-1} = \frac{1}{y}$ over D .¹ Consider the ring extension $D[\frac{1}{y}] \subset C[\frac{1}{y}]$. First suppose that y^{-1} is a unit in $D[\frac{1}{y}]$. Then we can write

$$y = \frac{a_0}{y^{n-1}} + \frac{a_1}{y^{n-2}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}$$

for $a_i \in D$. Then we have $y^n - a_{n-1}y^{n-1} - \cdots - a_0 = 0$. Hence $y \in C$ is integral over D and $y \in D_C^+$.

To derive a contradiction, suppose that $y^{-1} \in D[\frac{1}{y}]$ is not a unit. We may assume that y is not nilpotent. Choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset D[\frac{1}{y}]$ such that $y^{-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset D[\frac{1}{y}]$ be a minimal prime ideal satisfying $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. On the other hand, $R[\frac{1}{y}] \subset D[\frac{1}{y}]$ is an integral extension and $R[\frac{1}{y}]$ is Noetherian by Hilbert's Basis Theorem.

Then, one can find a valuation ring $D[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{p} \subset V \subset \text{Frac}(D[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{p})$ such that the center (the maximal ideal) of V contains y^{-1} and the Krull dimension of V is 1: More concretely, one can construct V in the following way. Let $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{m} \cap R[\frac{1}{y}]$ and $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{p} \cap R[\frac{1}{y}]$. Then we have a Noetherian subdomain $R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q} \subset \text{Frac}(R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q})$. By [58, Theorem 6.3.2 and Theorem 6.3.3], there is a Noetherian valuation ring $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ such that $R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q} \subset V_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset \text{Frac}(R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q})$ and the center of $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ contains $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \subset R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q}$. We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Frac}(R[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Frac}(D[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{p}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & V \end{array}$$

where V is defined as the localization of the integral closure of $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ in $\text{Frac}(D[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{p})$ (this integral closure is a so-called *Prüfer domain*) at the maximal ideal containing $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. So V is a valuation ring of Krull dimension 1 and we have the composite map $D \rightarrow D[\frac{1}{y}] \rightarrow V$. Let $|\cdot|_V$ denote the corresponding valuation. Moreover, $\mathcal{S} \subset D$ consists of nonzero divisors and $C[\frac{1}{y}]$ is the localization of D , so the image of elements in \mathcal{S} remains nonzero divisors in $C[\frac{1}{y}]$ and thus in the subring $D[\frac{1}{y}]$. As \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of $D[\frac{1}{y}]$, $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for every $g \in \mathcal{S}$. So we find that $|g|_V \neq 0$ and in particular, this implies that $D \rightarrow D[\frac{1}{y}] \rightarrow V$ extends to the map $C \rightarrow C[\frac{1}{y}] \rightarrow \text{Frac}(V)$ and the semivaluation on (C, D) induced by $|\cdot|_V$ gives a point $|\cdot|_C \in \text{Val}(C, D)$.

By our assumption, we have $|y|_C \leq 1$. Since $y^{-1} \in V$ is in the center, we know $|y^{-1}|_C < 1$. However, these facts are not compatible with $|y|_C |y^{-1}|_C = |yy^{-1}|_C = 1$ and thus, $y^{-1} \in D[\frac{1}{y}]$ must be a unit, as desired. \square

The above lemma has the following implication: Keep in mind that A^+ stands for an open integrally closed subring in a Tate ring A .

Corollary 4.11. *Let (A, A^+) be an affinoid Tate ring with a fixed pseudouniformizer $t \in A^+$ such that A^+ is t -adically Zariskian and A^+ is integral over a Noetherian ring. For a nonzero divisor $g \in A^+$, let us set $(C, D) := (A[\frac{1}{g}], A^+)$. Then we have*

$$(4.1) \quad D_C^+ = \left\{ x \in C \mid |x| \leq 1 \text{ for any } |\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D) \text{ such that } |t| < 1 \right\}.$$

¹Notice that $D[\frac{1}{y}]$ is *not* the localization of D with respect to the multiplicative system $\{y^n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

Finally, let $\text{Val}(C, D)_{|t|<1}$ be the set of all elements $|\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D)$ for which $0 < |t| < 1$. Then the natural map $(A, A^+) \rightarrow (C, D)$ induces an injection $\text{Val}(C, D)_{|t|<1} \hookrightarrow \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$.

Proof. Keep the notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.10. The point is that one can choose the valuation domain V so as to satisfy the required property. So assume that $y \in A[\frac{1}{g}]$ satisfies $|y| \leq 1$ for all $|\cdot| \in \text{Val}(A[\frac{1}{g}], A^+)$ and $y^{-1} \in A^+[\frac{1}{y}]$ is not a unit. Then we can find a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A^+[\frac{1}{y}]$ such that $y^{-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$, which gives the surjection $A^+ \twoheadrightarrow A^+[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{m}$ and let $\mathfrak{n} \subset A^+$ be its kernel. Then \mathfrak{n} is a maximal ideal of A^+ . The element $t \in A^+$ is in the Jacobson radical by assumption, so we have $t \in \mathfrak{n}$. There is a chain of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset A^+[\frac{1}{y}]$ such that \mathfrak{p} is minimal and $t, y^{-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then, we have the associated valuation ring $(V, |\cdot|_V)$ and the map $A^+[\frac{1}{y}]/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow V$. It follows from the above construction that $|t|_V < 1$, establishing (4.1). As t maps into the maximal ideal of the rank 1 valuation ring V , it follows from [8, Proposition 7.3.7] that $|\cdot|_V$ pulled back to A^+ gives a point of $\text{Spa}(A, A^+)$. Finally, the injectivity of the claimed map is clear from the construction. \square

Corollary 4.11 can be formulated also in terms of adic geometry, as follows.

Corollary 4.12. *Let (A, A^+) be an affinoid Tate ring and let $(A_0, (t))$ be a pair of definition of A . Let $s \in A_0$ be an element such that $t \in sA_0$. Let $X = \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ and let U be the subspace of X :*

$$U := \left\{ x \in X \mid |s|_{\tilde{x}} < 1 \text{ for the maximal generization } \tilde{x} \text{ of } x \right\}.$$

Suppose that A_0 is s -adically Zariskian and integral over a Noetherian ring. Then we have

$$A^+ = A^\circ = (A_0)_A^+ = \left\{ a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [U] \right\},$$

where $[U]$ denotes the maximal separated quotient of U .

Proof. Since we have the containments

$$(A_0)_A^+ \subset A^+ \subset A^\circ \subset \left\{ a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [U] \right\}$$

(the third inclusion holds because $|\cdot|_x$ is of rank 1), it suffices to show that

$$(4.2) \quad (A_0)_A^+ = \left\{ a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [U] \right\}.$$

By assumption, there exists $g \in A_0$ such that $t = sg$. Let B be the Tate ring associated to $(A_0, (s))$ and $B^+ := (A_0)_B^+$. Then we have $A = B[\frac{1}{g}]$, $(A_0)_A^+ = (B^+)_{B[\frac{1}{g}]}$ and

$$(4.3) \quad (B^+)_{B[\frac{1}{g}]}^+ = \left\{ b \in B[\frac{1}{g}] \mid |b| \leq 1 \text{ for any } |\cdot| \in \text{Val}(B[\frac{1}{g}], B^+)_{|s|<1} \right\}$$

by Corollary 4.11. Let us deduce (4.2) from (4.3) by constructing a canonical bijection

$$\text{Val}(B[\frac{1}{g}], B^+)_{|s|<1} \xrightarrow{\cong} [U].$$

Any point $|\cdot| \in \text{Val}(B[\frac{1}{g}], B^+)_{|s|<1}$ satisfies that $|a| \leq 1$ for any $a \in A_0$ and $|t| = |sg| < 1$. Thus, since $|\cdot|$ is of rank 1, $|\cdot|$ gives a continuous semivaluation on A such that $|A^\circ| \leq 1$. Hence we have a canonical injection

$$\text{Val}(B[\frac{1}{g}], B^+)_{|s|<1} \hookrightarrow [U].$$

Moreover, it is surjective because $B^+ \subset A^+$ and $s \in A$ is invertible. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Indeed, the following immediate corollary is already documented in a treatise on rigid geometry.

Corollary 4.13 (cf. [20, II, Theorem 8.1.11 and 8.2.19]). *Let A be a complete and separated Tate ring. Suppose that A has a ring of definition A_0 that is Noetherian. Set $X = \mathrm{Spa}(A, (A_0)_A^+)$. Then we have*

$$(A_0)_A^+ = \{a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [X]\}.$$

Now we can establish a weak form of Riemann's extension theorem, which is fitting into the framework of Zariskian geometry; see [59] for more details.

Theorem 4.14 (Riemann's extension theorem I). *Let A be a ring with a nonzero divisor ϖ that is ϖ -adically Zariskian and integral over a Noetherian ring. Let $g \in A$ be a nonzero divisor. Let A^j the Tate ring associated to $(A[\frac{\varpi^j}{g}], (\varpi))$ for every integer $j > 0$. Then we have an isomorphism of rings*

$$A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_j A^{j^\circ},$$

where the transition map $A^{j+1^\circ} \rightarrow A^{j^\circ}$ is the natural one.

Proof. By assumption, we have a canonical ring isomorphism $\varphi_j : A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}] \xrightarrow{\cong} A^j$ for each $j > 0$. By restricting φ_j to $A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+$, we obtain the ring map $\varphi_j^+ : A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+ \rightarrow A^{j^\circ}$. Then $\{\varphi_j\}_{j>0}$ and $\{\varphi_j^+\}_{j>0}$ induce the commutative diagram of ring maps

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+ & \xrightarrow{\varphi^+} & \varprojlim_j A^{j^\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}] & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{} & \varprojlim_j A^j \end{array}$$

where φ is an isomorphism and the vertical maps are injective. Thus it suffices to prove that (4.4) is cartesian. Pick $c \in A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]$ such that $\varphi_j(c) \in A^{j^\circ}$ for every $j > 0$. Let us show that c lies in $A_{A[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]}^+$ by applying Corollary 4.12. For this, we consider the (ϖg) -adic topology: let $A_{(\varpi g)}$ be the Tate ring associated to $(A, (\varpi g))$ (notice that each A^j is also the Tate ring associated to $(A[\frac{\varpi^j}{g}], (\varpi g))$). Let $X_{(\varpi g)} = \mathrm{Spa}(A_{(\varpi g)}, A_{A_{(\varpi g)}}^+)$, $X_j = \mathrm{Spa}(A^j, A^{j^\circ})$ for each $j > 0$, and U be the subspace

$$U = \left\{ x \in X_{(\varpi g)} \mid |\varpi|_{\tilde{x}} < 1 \text{ for the maximal generization } \tilde{x} \text{ of } x \right\}$$

of $X_{(\varpi g)}$. Then the underlying ring of $A_{(\varpi g)}$ is equal to $A_0[\frac{1}{\varpi g}]$, and we have

$$A_{A_{(\varpi g)}}^+ = \left\{ a \in A_{(\varpi g)} \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in [U] \right\}$$

by Corollary 4.12. On the other hand, since we also have

$$A^{j^\circ} = \left\{ a \in A^j \mid |a|_{x_j} \leq 1 \text{ for all } x_j \in [X_j] \right\}$$

by Proposition 6.1, $|\varphi_j(c)|_{x_j} \leq 1$ for all $j > 0$ and all $x_j \in [X_j]$. Now since φ_j gives a continuous map $(A_{(\varpi g)}, (A_0)_{A_{(\varpi g)}}^+) \rightarrow (A^j, A^{j^\circ})$, (4.4) induces the continuous map $\varprojlim_j [X_j] \rightarrow [X_{(\varpi g)}]$, which factors through $[U]$ because $\varpi \in A^j$ is topologically nilpotent. Thus we are reduced to showing that the resulting map $f : \varprojlim_j [X_j] \rightarrow [U]$ is surjective.

Pick $x \in [U]$ and let $|\cdot|_x : A_{(\varpi g)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a corresponding semivaluation. Let us find some $j_0 > 0$ such that the composite

$$|\cdot|_{x, j_0} : A^{j_0} \rightarrow A_{(\varpi g)} \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_x} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

gives a point $x_{j_0} \in [X_{j_0}]$ for which $f([x_{j_0}]) = x$. Since $|\varpi|_x < 1$ and $|\cdot|_x$ is of rank 1, there exists some $j_0 > 0$ such that $|\frac{\varpi^{j_0}}{g}|_x < 1$. Then we have $|A[\frac{\varpi^{j_0}}{g}]|_x \leq 1$ because $|A|_x \leq 1$ and $|\cdot|_x$ is of rank 1. Thus, since any $a \in A^{j_0 \circ}$ is almost integral over $A[\frac{\varpi^{j_0}}{g}]$ and $|\cdot|_x$ is of rank 1, we have $|A^{j_0 \circ}|_{x, j_0} \leq 1$. Hence $|\cdot|_{x, j_0}$ gives the desired point $x_{j_0} \in [X_{j_0}]$. \square

We shall investigate the Riemann's extension problem in the context of Witt-perfect rings by transporting the situation to the case of perfectoid algebras, in which case Riemann's extension theorem has been studied by André, Bhatt and Scholze and known to experts. Although the statement of Theorem 4.15 below has a partial overlap with Theorem 4.14, we decided to give another proof to Theorem 4.15 by making the reduction to the already-known Riemann's extension theorem for perfectoid algebras upon p -adic completion. Let us start setting up some notation.

Notation: Fix a prime number $p > 0$ and a p -torsion free ring A such that p is not a unit in A and admits a compatible system of p -power roots $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$ for a nonzero divisor $g \in A$ for $n > 0$. Moreover, assume that A is faithfully flat over a Witt-perfect valuation domain V of rank 1 such that $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V$ for $n > 0$ and assume that A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring and A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let us put

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{A} := \widehat{A}[\frac{1}{p}] \text{ and } K := \widehat{V}[\frac{1}{p}],$$

where the completion is p -adic.

If (p, g) is a regular sequence, then it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $g \in \mathcal{A}$ is a nonzero divisor and \mathcal{A} equipped with some norm (associated to $(\widehat{A}, (p))$) is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid algebra over the perfectoid field K by Proposition 4.3. The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{A}^\natural \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism, where \mathcal{A}^\natural denotes the untilt of the tilt of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^\natural is a perfectoid K -algebra in view of [1, Proposition 3.5.4]. For each $j > 0$, we define an \mathcal{A} -algebra:

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{A}^j := \mathcal{A}\left\{\frac{p^j}{g}\right\} := \mathcal{A}\langle T \rangle / (gT - p^j)^-$$

where $\mathcal{A}\langle T \rangle$ is the completion of $\mathcal{A}[T]$ with respect to the Gauss norm (cf. [38, Definition 1.6]) and $(gT - p^j)^-$ is the closure of the ideal $(gT - p^j)$ in $\mathcal{A}\langle T \rangle$. We equip \mathcal{A}^j with the quotient norm. Then \mathcal{A}^j is a Banach \mathcal{A} -algebra and it is viewed as a ring of analytic functions on the rational subset $\{x \in X \mid |p^j| \leq |g(x)|\}$ of $X := \text{Spa}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$ (cf. [34, Proposition 1.3 and 1.6]). Moreover, because $\mathcal{A}^\natural[\frac{1}{g}] \cong \mathcal{A}[\frac{1}{g}]$ and $p \in \mathcal{A}^\natural$ is a unit, we have $(\mathcal{A}^\natural)^j \cong \mathcal{A}^j$ as topological rings for all $j > 0$. We have a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism:

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\natural \circ} \left[\widehat{\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}} \right] \approx \mathcal{A}^{j \circ}$$

in view of Scholze's result [50, Lemma 6.4]. Since $\widehat{A} \cong \mathcal{A}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\natural\circ} \approx \widehat{A}$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism, (4.7) factors as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}^{\natural\circ} \left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \right]} \rightarrow A \left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \right] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ},$$

which yields a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism:

$$(4.8) \quad A \left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \right] \approx \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}^{j° is bounded and it is an integral perfectoid K° -algebra. The set of \mathcal{A} -algebras $\{\mathcal{A}^j\}_{j>0}$ forms an inverse system, where $\mathcal{A}^{j+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^j$ is the natural inclusion defined by

$$(4.9) \quad T \mapsto pT.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}^{j+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^j$ is a continuous map between Banach K -algebras, so that it induces $\mathcal{A}^{j+1^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$. Let $\psi : A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^j$ be the natural map. Set

$$(4.10) \quad A_0^j := \left\{ a \in A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \mid \psi(a) \in \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \right\} \cong A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \times_{\mathcal{A}^j} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ},$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the injectivity of $\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^j$. Set A^j to be a Tate ring whose underlying ring is $A[\frac{1}{pg}] (= A_0^j[\frac{1}{p}])$ such that $\{p^n A_0^j\}_{n \geq 1}$ forms a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of $0 \in A^j$. Then, since \mathcal{A}^{j° is a ring of definition of \mathcal{A}^j , $A^{j^\circ} = A_0^j$ and thus A^{j° is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$. We have the induced maps $A^{j+1^\circ} \rightarrow A^{j^\circ}$ in view of (4.9). There is the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A^{j+1^\circ} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A^{j^\circ} \end{array}$$

After the preparations we have made above, let us establish *Witt-perfect Riemann's Extension Theorem*.

Theorem 4.15 (Riemann's extension theorem II). *Let A be a p -torsion free ring that is flat over a Witt-perfect valuation domain V of rank 1 admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V$, together with a nonzero divisor $g \in A$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$. Suppose that*

- (1) A is p -adically Zariskian and A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$;
- (2) A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring that is integral over a Noetherian ring;
- (3) (p, g) is a regular sequence on A (or more generally, it suffices to assume that p, g are nonzero divisors on the p -adic completion \widehat{A}).

Let us put

$$\widetilde{A} := \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}.$$

Then the following statements hold.

(a) There is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism for each $j > 0$:

$$A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right] \approx A^{j^\circ}.$$

(b) The natural map $A^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ induces an isomorphism:

$$\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

In particular, A^{j° is Witt-perfect.

(c) There are ring isomorphisms: $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ \cong A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^* \cong \tilde{A}$, where $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+$ (resp. $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^*$) is the integral closure (resp. complete integral closure) of A in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$. Moreover, if A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$, then $A \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \cong \tilde{A}$.

Proof. Before starting the proof, let us remark that $\widehat{A} \cong \mathcal{A}^\circ$, because A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{p}]$. The elements $p, g \in \mathcal{A}^\circ$ are nonzero divisors in view of Lemma 4.5 and \mathcal{A}° is an integral $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid \widehat{V} -algebra.

First, we prove the assertion (a). By taking the functor of almost elements $(pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\cdot)$, it suffices to prove that

$$(pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\left(A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right]\right) \rightarrow (pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A^{j^\circ} \cong A^{j^\circ}$$

is an honest isomorphism. Here notice that $(pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}A^{j^\circ} \cong A^{j^\circ}$ is the consequence from Lemma 3.4 and complete integral closedness of A^{j° in $A^{j^\circ}[\frac{1}{pg}]$. By taking the p -adic completion, we get

$$(4.11) \quad (pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\left(\widehat{A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right]}\right) \cong (pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\left(\widehat{A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right]}\right) \cong A^{j^\circ},$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the fact that the functor of almost elements commutes with the completion functor by Lemma 2.1, and the second one is due to (4.8). Since one has $A[\frac{1}{pg}] = (pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\left(A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right]\right)[\frac{1}{p}]$, it follows from (4.10) and (4.11) combined with Lemma 3.2 that there is an isomorphism:

$$(4.12) \quad A^{j^\circ} \cong (pg)^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\left(A\left[\left(\frac{p^j}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right]\right),$$

as desired.

The proof of the assertion (b) is obtained by the combination of (4.11) and (4.12).

Finally, we prove the assertion (c). First, note that

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &\cong \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ} \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \left\{x \in A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \mid \psi(x) \in \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}\right\} \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \left(A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \times_{\mathcal{A}^j} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}\right) \\ &\cong A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \times_{\varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^j} \left(\varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}\right) \cong \left\{x \in A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \mid \tilde{\psi}(x) \in \varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi} : A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[\frac{1}{g}]$ is the natural map. One claims the following equality:

$$(4.14) \quad A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ = \left\{x \in A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \mid \tilde{\psi}(x) \in g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ\right\} \cong A\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \times_{A[\frac{1}{g}]} g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ,$$

which we prove now. By Riemann's extension theorem for (almost) perfectoid K -algebras [1, Théorème 4.2.2], we know an isomorphism:

$$(4.15) \quad g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

As \mathcal{A}^{j° is completely integrally closed in $\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}[\frac{1}{pg}]$, it follows that the right-hand side of (4.15) is completely integrally closed after inverting pg by Lemma 3.5. This implies that $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ$ is completely integrally closed after inverting pg . Thus, $A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+$ is contained in the right-hand side of (4.14), and it remains to prove the other inclusion. Notice that $A \rightarrow g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ is almost integral and we have $A \subset g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \subset A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+$ by Proposition 6.1. So Corollary 4.11 gives us

$$(4.16) \quad A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ = \left\{ x \in C \mid |x| \leq 1; \forall |\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D)_{|p|<1} \right\},$$

by setting $(C, D) := (g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A[\frac{1}{pg}], g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)$. Note that $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A[\frac{1}{pg}] = A[\frac{1}{pg}]$. Equip $(g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)[\frac{1}{p}]$ with the canonical structure as a Tate ring by declaring that $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ is a ring of definition and the topology is p -adic. A result of Huber [33, Proposition 3.9] asserts that²

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Val}(C, D)_{|p|<1} &\hookrightarrow \text{Spa} \left((g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)[\frac{1}{p}], g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \right) \\ &\cong \text{Spa} \left((g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \widehat{A})[\frac{1}{p}], g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \widehat{A} \right) \cong \text{Spa} \left((g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ)[\frac{1}{p}], g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that any $|\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D)_{|p|<1}$ extends to an element $|\cdot| \in \text{Spa} \left((g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ)[\frac{1}{p}], g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \right)$ for which we know $|x| \leq 1$ for $x \in g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ$. This fact combined with (4.16) yields the following:

$$A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ \subset \left\{ x \in A[\frac{1}{pg}] \mid \tilde{\psi}(x) \in g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \right\} \subset \left\{ x \in C \mid |x| \leq 1; \forall |\cdot| \in \text{Val}(C, D)_{|p|<1} \right\} = A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+,$$

so that (4.14) has been proved. Therefore, (4.13), (4.14) and Proposition 6.1 can be put together to derive the desired isomorphisms:

$$A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ \cong A_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^* \cong \widetilde{A}.$$

The last assertion is now clear. □

The following proposition is crucial in the proof of Witt-perfect Abhyankar's lemma.³

Proposition 4.16. *Let the notation and hypotheses be as in Theorem 4.15. Then*

$$\widehat{\varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}} \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A^{j^\circ}},$$

where $\widehat{}$ is p -adic completion.

²Notice that $(g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)[\frac{1}{p}]$ may differ from $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} (A[\frac{1}{p}])$. But the former is contained in the latter and Lemma 3.6 applies to claim that $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ is an integrally closed subring of $(g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)[\frac{1}{p}]$.

³Notice that in general, inverse limits and taking completion do not commute. This proposition seems to be in the heart of the reduction of Riemann's extension theorem for perfectoid algebras to its non-complete (Witt-perfect) version.

Proof. By Riemann's extension theorem for (almost) perfectoid K -algebras [1, Théorème 4.2.2], we have

$$(4.17) \quad g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

Since the right-hand side of (4.17) is equal to the intersection of p -adically complete modules, it follows that

$$(4.18) \quad \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \cong \varprojlim_{j>0} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$$

is also p -adically complete and separated. On the other hand, we have a natural map:

$$(4.19) \quad \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}.$$

Notice that $p^n \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \cap A^{j^\circ} = p^n A^{j^\circ}$ for $n > 0$. Since p is a nonzero divisor on both A^{j° and \widehat{A}^{j° and intersection commutes with inverse limit, it follows that

$$\left(p^n \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \right) \cap \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ} = p^n \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}.$$

This says that the topology on $\varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}$ induced from the inverse image of the filtration $\{p^n \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}\}_{n>0}$ via (4.19) coincides with the p -adic topology. So $\varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}$ is the topological closure of the image of (4.19), which implies that the natural map $\varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}$ is injective. It remains to prove that this is surjective. Since $A \hookrightarrow A^{j^\circ}$ and A^{j° is completely integrally closed in $A^{j^\circ}[\frac{1}{pg}]$, Lemma 3.4 shows that $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j^\circ}$, which induces a composite map

$$(4.20) \quad \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \mathcal{A}^\circ \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \widehat{A} \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ},$$

where the first isomorphism follows from (4.17) and (4.18), and the third from Lemma 2.1. Thus, we find that $\varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}$ gives a splitting of the map (4.20) up to an isomorphism, and $\varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A}^{j^\circ}$ is surjective, as desired. \square

Problem 2. *Suppose that (A, A^+) is an affinoid Tate ring such that A^+ is almost Witt-perfect and completely integrally closed in A . Then is the pair (A, A^+) sheafy, or is it stably uniform?*

Some relevant results are found in the papers [14] and [43].

Remark 4.17. Witt-perfect rings are almost never Noetherian and thus, it is natural to ask whether such algebras could be integral over a Noetherian ring. One way for constructing such an algebra over a Noetherian normal domain R is to take the *maximal étale extension* of R . The details are found in [55] and [56] and we will apply this method effectively to construct almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras.

4.2. Witt-perfect Abhyankar's lemma. Now we are prepared to prove the main theorem, which is a Witt-perfect version of André's Perfectoid Abhyankar's Lemma. First, we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *Keep the notation and hypotheses as in Theorem 4.19 below and let $B[\frac{p^j}{g}] \subset B'$ be the B -subalgebra that is generated by $\frac{p^j}{g}$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *There is an isomorphism: $A \xrightarrow{\cong} g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ and in particular, B is equal to the integral closure of A in B' .*
- (2) *Let B^j be the module-finite A^j -algebra B' , which is equipped with the canonical structure as a Tate ring as in [44, Lemma 2.17]. Then $(B[\frac{p^j}{g}], (p))$ is a pair of definition of B^j .*
- (3) *Let \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{B}\{\frac{p^j}{g}\}$ be the separated completions of Tate rings associated to $(B, (p))$ and $(B[\frac{p^j}{g}], (p))$, respectively and let \mathcal{B}^j be the module-finite \mathcal{A}^j -algebra $B' \otimes_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]} \mathcal{A}^j$ equipped with the canonical topology as in [44, Lemma 2.16]. Then we have a canonical isomorphism of topological rings:*

$$\mathcal{B}^j \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}\{\frac{p^j}{g}\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{B}^j is complete and separated.

- (4) *B is p -adically Zariskian and B is completely integrally closed in $B[\frac{1}{pg}]$.*
- (5) *Under the hypotheses as in Theorem 4.19(b), (p, g) is a regular sequence on B .*

Proof. (1): This follows from the combination of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.7.

(2): By our assumption combined with Lemma 3.7(2), the map $A[\frac{p^j}{g}] \rightarrow B[\frac{p^j}{g}]$ is integral and becomes finite étale after inverting p . One easily checks that $pA^{j\circ} \subset (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \mathcal{A}^{j\circ}$ for any $n > 0$. So we have $pA^{j\circ} \subset \widehat{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]}$ in view of (4.8), and $p(A[\frac{p^j}{g}])_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]}^+ \subset A[\frac{p^j}{g}]$ in view of [44, Proposition 2.3]. Now we can apply [44, Proposition 4.5(4)] and deduce that $(B[\frac{p^j}{g}], (p))$ is preuniform. Since $(B[\frac{p^j}{g}])_{B'}^+ = (A[\frac{p^j}{g}])_{B'}^+$ and $((A[\frac{p^j}{g}])_{B'}^+, (p))$ is a pair of definition of B^j by [44, Corollary 4.8(1)], the assertion follows.

(3): We denote by \widehat{B} , $\widehat{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]}$ and $\widehat{B[\frac{p^j}{g}]}$ the p -adic completions, respectively. Let \mathcal{B}_0^j be the image of the natural map $B[\frac{p^j}{g}] \otimes_{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]} \widehat{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^j$. Then by the assertion (1) and [44, Lemma 2.20], we see that $(\mathcal{B}_0^j, (p))$ is a pair of definition of \mathcal{B}^j . Moreover by [44, Theorem 4.9(1)], the natural map $B[\frac{p^j}{g}] \otimes_{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]} \widehat{A[\frac{p^j}{g}]} \rightarrow B[\frac{p^j}{g}]$ induces an isomorphism

$$(4.21) \quad \mathcal{B}_0^j \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{B[\frac{p^j}{g}]}.$$

Inverting p in (4.21), we obtain the desired isomorphism of topological rings $\mathcal{B}^j \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}\{\frac{p^j}{g}\}$.

(4): Since B is integral over A and $p \in A$ is contained in the Jacobson radical, $p \in B$ is also contained in the Jacobson radical of B . Since B is integral over a Noetherian ring and integrally closed in $B' = B[\frac{1}{pg}]$, it is also completely integrally closed in B' by Proposition 6.1.

(5): By assumption, A is torsion free and integral over some Noetherian normal domain R , the field of fractions of R has characteristic zero, A is normal, and $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow B'$ is finite étale. These facts combine together to show that B' is a normal ring and B is the filtered colimit of normal

rings that are torsion free and module-finite over R . Thus, (p, g) forms a regular sequence on B in view of Serre's normality criterion. \square

Theorem 4.19 (Witt-perfect Abhyankar's lemma). *Let A be a p -torsion free ring that is flat over a Witt-perfect valuation domain V of rank 1 admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V$, together with a nonzero divisor $g \in A$ admitting a compatible system of p -power roots $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A$. Suppose that*

- (1) A is p -adically Zariskian and A is completely integrally closed in $A[\frac{1}{pg}]$;
- (2) A is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect ring that is integral over a Noetherian ring;
- (3) (p, g) is a regular sequence on A (or more generally, it suffices to assume that p, g are nonzero divisors on the p -adic completion \widehat{A});
- (4) $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \hookrightarrow B'$ is a finite étale extension.

Let us put

$$g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A := \left\{ a \in A[\frac{1}{g}] \mid g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} a \in A, \forall n > 0 \right\},$$

which is an A -subalgebra of $A[\frac{1}{g}]$. Denote by $B := (g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A)_B^+$, the integral closure of $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A$ in B' (which is equal to the integral closure of A in B' by Lemma 4.18). Then the following statements hold:

- (a) The Frobenius endomorphism $\text{Frob} : B/(p) \rightarrow B/(p)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjective and it induces an injection $B/(p^{\frac{1}{p}}) \hookrightarrow B/(p)$.
- (b) Suppose that A is a normal ring that is torsion free and integral over a Noetherian normal domain. Then the induced map $A/(p^m) \rightarrow B/(p^m)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost finite étale for all $m > 0$.

Proof. That B is the integral closure A in B' was already proved in Lemma 4.18. In order to prove the theorem, we use Galois theory of commutative rings. By decomposing A into the direct product of rings, we may assume and do that $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow B'$ is finite étale of constant rank (indeed, one can check the conditions (1) \sim (4) remain to hold for each direct factor of the ring A). By [1, Lemme 1.9.2] applied to the finite étale extension $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \hookrightarrow B' = B[\frac{1}{pg}]$, there is the decomposition

$$(4.22) \quad A[\frac{1}{pg}] \hookrightarrow B' = B[\frac{1}{pg}] \hookrightarrow C',$$

where $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow C'$ and $B' = B[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow C'$ are Galois coverings and let G be the Galois group for $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow C'$. Let B^j (resp. C^j) be the resulting Tate ring according to Lemma 4.18.

We shall fix the notation: \mathcal{A} , K and \mathcal{A}^j as defined in (4.5) and (4.6), respectively. Recall that K is a perfectoid field, \mathcal{A} is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid and \mathcal{A}^j are perfectoid K -algebras. Consider the complete and separated Tate ring: $\mathcal{B}^j := B' \otimes_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]} \mathcal{A}^j$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^j := C' \otimes_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]} \mathcal{A}^j$) as in Lemma 4.18. Then one can equip \mathcal{B}^j (resp. \mathcal{C}^j) with a norm associated to a pair of definition of it so that \mathcal{B}^j (resp. \mathcal{C}^j) is a Banach \mathcal{A}^j -algebra. Since $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow B'$ (resp. $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow C'$) is finite étale, $\mathcal{A}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^j$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^j$) is also finite étale. By [50, Theorem 7.9], \mathcal{B}^j (resp. \mathcal{C}^j) is a perfectoid K -algebra.

Moreover, we have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B' & \xrightarrow{\psi_{j+1}} & \mathcal{B}^{j+1} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} & \mathcal{B}^j \end{array}$$

and the set of \mathcal{A} -algebras $\{\mathcal{B}^j\}_{j>0}$ forms an inverse system, where $\mathcal{B}^{j+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^j$ is the natural inclusion defined by the rule (4.9). Since $B^{j\circ} = \psi_j^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^{j\circ})$ by Theorem 4.15(b) and [44, Corollary 4.10], we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A^{j+1\circ} & \longrightarrow & B^{j+1\circ} & \longrightarrow & B' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ A & \longrightarrow & A^{j\circ} & \longrightarrow & B^{j\circ} & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

Taking inverse limits, we have compositions of ring maps:

$$(4.23) \quad A \cong \tilde{A} := \varprojlim_j A^{j\circ} \rightarrow \tilde{B} := \varprojlim_j B^{j\circ} \rightarrow B',$$

where the first isomorphism is due to Theorem 4.15. Similarly, after setting

$$(4.24) \quad \tilde{C} := \varprojlim_j C^{j\circ},$$

we obtain the compositions of ring maps $A \cong \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{C} \rightarrow C'$.

Let us prove the assertion (a). By Lemma 3.9, the action of G preserves $C^{j\circ}$ and we have $(C^{j\circ})^G = A^{j\circ}$. Hence $A^{j\circ} \rightarrow C^{j\circ}$ is an integral extension. Since $B^j \rightarrow C^j$ carries $B^{j\circ}$ into $C^{j\circ}$ by [44, Lemma 2.18], $A^{j\circ} \rightarrow B^{j\circ}$ is also integral. Taking G -invariants of rings appearing in (4.23), we get

$$\tilde{C}^G \cong (\varprojlim_j C^{j\circ})^G \cong \varprojlim_j (C^{j\circ})^G \cong \varprojlim_j A^{j\circ} \cong \tilde{A},$$

which implies that $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is integral. Hence $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ is integral, fitting into the commutative square:

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{B} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ A & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Since $A^{j\circ}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow B^{j\circ}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is identified with the finite étale extension $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow B' = B[\frac{1}{pg}]$ and $B^{j\circ}$ is the integral closure of $A^{j\circ}$ in B' , it follows from the almost purity theorem for Witt-perfect rings [16, Theorem 5.2] or [17, Theorem 2.9], that $A^{j\circ} \rightarrow B^{j\circ}$ is $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost finite étale. In particular, $B^{j\circ}$ is a Witt-perfect V -algebra. Retain the notation as in (4.23). We already know that $A \cong g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} A \cong \tilde{A}$. Since A is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect by assumption, the p -adic completion $\widehat{\tilde{A}}$ is an integral $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid ring.⁴ Then we claim that

$$(4.26) \quad \widehat{\tilde{B}} \text{ is integral } (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\text{-almost perfectoid.}$$

⁴In [1, Question 3.5.1], a question is raised as to whether $g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \widehat{A}[\frac{1}{p}]^\circ$ is integral perfectoid.

By applying [1, Proposition 4.4.1], for any fixed $r = \frac{n}{p}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism:

$$(4.27) \quad \varprojlim_j^1 (B^{j^\circ}/(p^r)) \approx 0.$$

After applying \varprojlim_j to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B^{j^\circ}/(p^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) \rightarrow B^{j^\circ}/(p) \rightarrow B^{j^\circ}/(p^{\frac{1}{p}}) \rightarrow 0$, the following $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjection follows from (4.27):

$$(4.28) \quad \varprojlim_j B^{j^\circ}/(p) \rightarrow \varprojlim_j B^{j^\circ}/(p^{\frac{1}{p}}).$$

By Witt-perfectness of B^{j° , the Frobenius isomorphism $B^{j^\circ}/(p^{\frac{1}{p}}) \cong B^{j^\circ}/(p)$ yields that

$$(4.29) \quad \varprojlim_j (B^{j^\circ}/(p)) \xrightarrow{Frob} \varprojlim_j (B^{j^\circ}/(p)) \text{ is } (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\text{-almost surjective.}$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varprojlim_j (B^{j^\circ}/(p)) & \xrightarrow{Frob} & \varprojlim_j (B^{j^\circ}/(p)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\varprojlim_j B^{j^\circ})/(p) & \xrightarrow{Frob} & (\varprojlim_j B^{j^\circ})/(p) \end{array}$$

In order to prove (4.26), it suffices to show that $(\varprojlim_j B^{j^\circ})/(p) \rightarrow \varprojlim_j (B^{j^\circ}/(p))$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism in view of (4.29). By [1, Lemme 2.8.1], this map is injective. On the other hand, the above map is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjective by applying the almost surjectivity of (4.28) to [1, Proposition 4.3.1 and Remarque 4.3.1] and thus, the Frobenius endomorphism on $\tilde{B}/(p)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost surjective. Notice that the diagram (4.25) implies that $B = \tilde{B}$. So the assertion (a) is proved.

Finally we prove (b) and fix the notation as in (a). Then $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow C'$ is a G -Galois covering, $\mathcal{A}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^j$ is also a G -Galois covering by [19, Lemma 12.2.7]. Let $\widehat{C^{j^\circ}}$ be the p -adic completion of C^{j° . Since $C^{j^\circ}[\frac{1}{p}] = C'$, there is a natural \mathcal{A}^j -algebra homomorphism

$$(4.30) \quad \mathcal{C}^j = C' \otimes_{A[\frac{1}{pg}]} \mathcal{A}^j \rightarrow \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}[\frac{1}{p}].$$

Since $\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ by Theorem 4.15(b), the map (4.30) is an isomorphism, which induces $\mathcal{C}^{j^\circ} \cong \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}$ in view of [44, Corollary 4.10]. Thus, G acts on $\widehat{C^{j^\circ}}$ and

$$(4.31) \quad (\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong (C^{j^\circ})^G \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$$

by applying Lemma 3.9 or Discussion 4.20(1) below. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}$ is an integral extension. In summary,

$$(4.32) \quad \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{j^\circ} \cong \widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \text{ is } (p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\text{-almost étale and } \mathcal{A}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^j \cong \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}[\frac{1}{p}] \text{ is Galois with Galois group } G.$$

To finish the proof, let us apply the proof of [1, Proposition 5.2.3] via Galois theory of commutative rings to (4.32). Refer the reader to [1, (5.6), (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) of Proposition 5.2.3]

for the following discussions. Let us set

$$\mathcal{C} := (\varprojlim_j \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}) \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$$

and in particular, $\mathcal{C}^\circ \cong \varprojlim_j C^{j^\circ}$. After invoking (4.24), we have the crucial result by applying Lemma 4.18 to Proposition 4.16:

$$(4.33) \quad \mathcal{C}^\circ \cong \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \text{ or equivalently, } \varprojlim_j \widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \cong \varprojlim_j \widehat{C^{j^\circ}}.$$

Hence

$$(4.34) \quad (\widehat{\mathcal{C}})^G \cong (\varprojlim_j \widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong (\varprojlim_j \widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong \varprojlim_j (C^{j^\circ})^G \cong \varprojlim_j \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \cong \varprojlim_j \widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \cong \widehat{A},$$

where the third isomorphism follows from the commutativity of inverse limits with taking G -invariants and (4.32), and the fourth one from (4.31). The last one follows from Proposition 4.16.

In view of (4.32) and applying [1, Proposition 3.3.4], the map

$$(4.35) \quad C^{j^\circ} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}} C^{j^\circ} \rightarrow \prod_G C^{j^\circ} \text{ defined by } b \otimes b' \mapsto (\gamma(b)b')_{\gamma \in G}$$

is a $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism, where the completed tensor product is p -adic. By [1, Proposition 4.4.4], we have $\mathcal{C}\{\frac{p^j}{g}\} \cong C^j$ and \mathcal{C} is an \mathcal{A} -algebra. Using this, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C}) \left\{ \frac{p^j}{g} \right\} \cong \mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^j \cong (\mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^j) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^j} (\mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^j) \cong \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{p^j}{g} \right\} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^j} \mathcal{C} \left\{ \frac{p^j}{g} \right\} \cong C^j \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^j} C^j.$$

By Riemann's extension theorem [1, Théorème 4.2.2] and by [1, Proposition 3.3.4], we have $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphisms:

$$(4.36) \quad \varprojlim_j (C^{j^\circ} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}} C^{j^\circ}) \approx \varprojlim_j (C^j \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^j} C^j)^\circ \cong \varprojlim_j (\mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C}) \left\{ \frac{p^j}{g} \right\}^\circ \approx (\mathcal{C} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C})^\circ \approx \mathcal{C}^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^\circ} \mathcal{C}^\circ.$$

Putting (4.35) and (4.36) together, we get the following $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism:

$$(4.37) \quad \mathcal{C}^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}^\circ} \mathcal{C}^\circ \approx \prod_G \mathcal{C}^\circ.$$

After making reductions of (4.34) and (4.37) modulo p^m for $m > 0$ and using (4.33), we find that $\mathcal{A}^\circ/(p^m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\circ/(p^m))^G$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism via Discussion 4.20(2). So the induced map: $\widetilde{A}/(p^m) \rightarrow \widetilde{C}/(p^m)$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost G -Galois covering. This map factors as $\widetilde{A}/(p^m) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}/(p^m) \rightarrow \widetilde{C}/(p^m)$. It then follows from [1, Proposition 1.9.1(3)] that $\widetilde{A}/(p^m) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}/(p^m)$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost finite étale, as desired. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Discussion 4.20. (1) Here is a way to check the isomorphism: $(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ that appears in (4.31). Since inverse limits commutes with taking G -invariants and $\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ by Theorem 4.15, we have

$$(4.38) \quad (\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong (\varprojlim_m C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G \cong \varprojlim_m (C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G \approx \varprojlim_m ((C^{j^\circ})^G/(p^m)) \cong \varprojlim_m A^{j^\circ}/(p^m) \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ},$$

where \approx in the middle denotes a $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism and we reason this as follows: Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C^{j^\circ} \xrightarrow{p^m} C^{j^\circ} \rightarrow C^{j^\circ}/(p^m) \rightarrow 0$. Applying the Galois cohomology $H^i(G, \)$ to this exact sequence, we get an injection $(C^{j^\circ})^G/(p^m) \hookrightarrow (C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G$ whose cokernel embeds into $H^1(G, C^{j^\circ})$. By applying [18, Theorem 2.4] or [45, Proposition 3.4], $H^1(G, C^{j^\circ})$ is $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero. Hence (4.38) is proved. $\widehat{C^{j^\circ}}$ is completely integrally closed in $\widehat{C^{j^\circ}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ by Lemma 3.3. Then we have $\widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \cong p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})$ and $p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}) \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}$ by Lemma 3.4. Since the functor $p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\)$ commutes with taking G -invariants, (4.38) yields an (honest) isomorphism:

$$(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G \cong (p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}}))^G \cong p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}((\widehat{C^{j^\circ}})^G) \cong p^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}}(\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}) \cong \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ},$$

which proves (4.31).

(2) Using the result in (1), let us prove that the map

$$\mathcal{A}^\circ/(p^m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\circ/(p^m))^G$$

is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism for any integer $m > 0$. We have already seen the $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphisms: $\mathcal{A}^{j^\circ}/(p^m) \approx (C^{j^\circ})^G/(p^m) \approx (C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G$. Taking the inverse limits $j \rightarrow \infty$ and using [1, Proposition 4.2.1], we get $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{A}^\circ/(p^m) \approx \varprojlim_{j>0} (C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G \cong (\varprojlim_{j>0} C^{j^\circ}/(p^m))^G \approx (\mathcal{C}^\circ/(p^m))^G,$$

as wanted.

Problem 3. *Does Theorem 4.19 hold true under the more general assumption that A is not necessarily integral over a Noetherian ring?*

This problem is related to a possible generalization of Riemann's extension theorem (see Theorem 4.15) for Witt-perfect rings of general type.

5. APPLICATIONS OF WITT-PERFECTOID ABHYANKAR'S LEMMA

5.1. Construction of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras. Before proving the main theorem for this section, we recall the definition of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras, due to Hochster.

Definition 5.1 (Big Cohen-Macaulay algebra). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and let T be an R -algebra. Then T is a *big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra*, if there is a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d such that x_1, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence on T and $(x_1, \dots, x_d)T \neq T$. Moreover, we say that a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra is *balanced*, if every system of parameters satisfies the above conditions.

We also recall the definition of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras from [2, Definition 4.1.1]. Refer the reader to [3, Proposition 2.5.1] for a subtle point on this definition.

Definition 5.2 (Almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, and let (T, I) be a basic setup equipped with an R -algebra structure. Fix a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d . We say that T is *I -almost Cohen-Macaulay with respect to x_1, \dots, x_d* , if $T/\mathfrak{m}T$ is not I -almost zero and

$$c \cdot ((x_1, \dots, x_i) :_T x_{i+1}) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_i)T$$

for any $c \in I$ and $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$.

It is important to keep in mind that the permutation of the sequence x_1, \dots, x_d in the above definition may fail to form an almost regular sequence. We consider the sequence p, x_2, \dots, x_d for the main theorem below.

André's construction: For the applications given below, we take I to be the ideal $\bigcup_{n>0} \pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}} T$ as the basic setup (T, I) for some nonzero divisor $\pi \in R$. Following [2], we introduce some auxiliary algebras. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors for a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and let

$$A := W(k)[[x_2, \dots, x_d]]$$

be an unramified complete regular local ring and $V_j := W(k)[p^{\frac{1}{p^j}}]$. Then V_j is a complete discrete valuation ring and set $V_\infty := \varinjlim_j V_j$. Then this is a Witt-perfect valuation domain. For a fixed element $0 \neq g \in A$, we set

$$B_{jk} := V_j[[x_2^{\frac{1}{p^j}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^j}}]][[g^{\frac{1}{p^k}}]][\frac{1}{p}] := \left(V_j[[x_2^{\frac{1}{p^j}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^j}}]][T]/(T^{p^k} - g) \right) [\frac{1}{p}]$$

for any pair of non-negative integers (j, k) . For any pairs (j, k) and (j', k') with $j \leq j'$ and $k \leq k'$, we can define the natural map $B_{jk} \rightarrow B_{j'k'}$. Let us define the A -algebra A_{jk} to be the integral closure of A in B_{jk} . Let us also define

$$(5.1) \quad A_{\infty\infty} := \varinjlim_{j,k} A_{jk} \text{ and } A_{\infty g} := \text{the integral closure of } A_{\infty\infty} \text{ in } A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}].$$

For brevity, let us write

$$(5.2) \quad A_\infty := A_{\infty 0} := \varinjlim_j V_j[[x_2^{\frac{1}{p^j}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^j}}]].$$

Then we have towers of integral ring maps:

$$A \rightarrow A_\infty \rightarrow A_{\infty\infty} \rightarrow A_{\infty g}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be a Noetherian domain with a proper ideal I and let T be a normal ring that is a torsion free integral extension of R . Assume that $\pi \in I$ is a nonzero element such that T admits a compatible system of p -power roots $\pi^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$. Then T/IT is not $(\pi^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}})$ -almost zero.*

Proof. In order to prove that T/IT is not $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero, it suffices to prove that $T_{\mathfrak{m}}/IT_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not $(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero, where \mathfrak{m} is any maximal ideal of T containing IT , since $T_{\mathfrak{m}}/IT_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the localization of T/IT . Then $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a normal domain that is an integral extension over the Noetherian domain $R_{\mathfrak{m} \cap R}$, in which I is a proper ideal. To derive a contradiction, we suppose that $T_{\mathfrak{m}}/IT_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $(\pi^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}})$ -almost zero. Notice that $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is contained in the absolute integral closure $(R_{\mathfrak{m} \cap R})^+$. In particular, it implies that

$$(\pi)^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in IT_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ for all } n > 0.$$

Raising p^n -th power on both sides, we get by [52, Lemma 4.2];

$$\pi \in \bigcap_{n>0} I^{p^n} T_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let the notation be as in (5.1) and (5.2). Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) A_∞ is completely integrally closed in its field of fractions that is an integral and faithfully flat extension over A . Moreover, the localization map $A_\infty[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is ind-étale.
- (2) $A_{\infty g}$ is a $(g)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect algebra over the Witt-perfect valuation domain V_∞ such that $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in V_\infty$, $g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in A_{\infty g}$. Moreover, $A_{\infty g}$ is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay normal ring that is completely integrally closed in $A_{\infty g}[\frac{1}{pg}]$. In particular, the localization of $A_{\infty g}$ at its any maximal ideal is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.

Proof. (1): It is clear that $A \rightarrow A_\infty$ is integral by construction. Since A_∞ is a filtered colimit of regular local subrings with module-finite transition maps, one readily checks that $A \rightarrow A_\infty$ is faithfully flat. By Lemma 3.7, A_∞ is a completely integrally closed domain in its field of fractions. By looking at the discriminant, it is easy to check that $A_\infty[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is ind-étale.

(2): By André's crucial result [2, Théorème 2.5.2]⁵ combined with Lemma 5.3, we find that $A_{\infty\infty}$ is a $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay and Witt-perfect algebra. Next let us study $A_{\infty g}$ and consider $\tilde{A}_{\infty\infty} := \varprojlim_j A_{\infty\infty}^{j\circ}$ attached to $A_{\infty\infty}$ as defined in Theorem 4.15. Then we claim that

$$(5.3) \quad A_{\infty g} \cong \tilde{A}_{\infty\infty}.$$

Notice that since $A_{\infty g}$ is integrally closed in $A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}] = A_{\infty g}[\frac{1}{pg}]$, it follows from Proposition 6.1 that $A_{\infty g}$ is completely integrally closed in $A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$.

Now by applying Theorem 4.15(c) to $A_{\infty\infty}$, the equality (5.3) follows, where one should notice that p is in the Jacobson radical of $A_{\infty\infty}$ and g remains a nonzero divisor on the p -adic completion $\hat{A}_{\infty\infty}$ in view of [2, Remarques 2.6.1]. It follows from Riemann's extension theorem [1, Théorème 4.2.2] combined with (5.3) that

$$g^{-\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \hat{A}_{\infty\infty} \cong \varprojlim_j \widehat{A_{\infty\infty}^{j\circ}} \cong \varprojlim_j \widehat{A_{\infty\infty}^{j\circ}} \cong \hat{A}_{\infty g},$$

where the middle isomorphism is due to Proposition 4.16. In particular, $\hat{A}_{\infty\infty} \rightarrow \hat{A}_{\infty g}$ is a $(g)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism.

From the property of $A_{\infty\infty}$ mentioned in (1), one finds that $\hat{A}_{\infty g}$ is an integral $(g)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost perfectoid and $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra. By the fact that $A_\infty[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is a normal domain and $A_\infty[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is obtained as a filtered colimit of finite étale $A_\infty[\frac{1}{pg}]$ -algebras, we see that $A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is a normal ring; the localization at any maximal ideal is an integrally closed domain by Lemma 3.8. Since $A_{\infty g}$ is integrally closed in $A_{\infty\infty}[\frac{1}{pg}]$, it follows that $A_{\infty g}$ is also normal. \square

As a corollary, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field k . Let p, x_2, \dots, x_d be a system of parameters and let R^+ be the absolute integral closure of R . Then there exists an R -algebra T together with a nonzero element $g \in R$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) T admits compatible systems of p -power roots $p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, g^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \in T$ for all $n > 0$.
- (2) The Frobenius endomorphism $\text{Frob} : T/(p) \rightarrow T/(p)$ is surjective.

⁵A similar construction also appears in [22, Theorem 16.9.17], where they apply p -integral closure instead of integral closure. This makes it possible to get rid of " $(p)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost" from the statement.

- (3) T is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay normal domain with respect to p, x_2, \dots, x_d and $R \subset T \subset R^+$.
- (4) $R[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow T[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is an ind-étale extension. In other words, $T[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is a filtered colimit of finite étale $R[\frac{1}{pg}]$ -algebras contained in $T[\frac{1}{pg}]$.

Proof. In the following, we may assume $\dim R \geq 2$ without loss of generality. By Cohen's structure theorem, there is a module-finite extension

$$A := W(k)[[x_2, \dots, x_d]] \hookrightarrow R.$$

As the induced field extension $\text{Frac}(A) \rightarrow \text{Frac}(R)$ is separable, there is an element $g \in A \setminus pA$ such that $A[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is étale. As in Proposition 5.4, we set

$$A_\infty := \bigcup_{n>0} W(k)[p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}][[x_2^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^n}}]].$$

Now consider the integral extensions $A \rightarrow A_\infty \rightarrow A_{\infty\infty} \rightarrow A_{\infty g}$ as in Proposition 5.4. Let \mathfrak{n} be a maximal ideal of $A_{\infty g}$. Then the localization $(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a normal domain that is an integral extension over A and enjoys the same properties as $A_{\infty g}$. Since (p, g) forms part of a system of parameters of A and $(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a filtered colimit of module-finite normal A -algebras, it follows that (p, g) is a regular sequence on $(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by Serre's normality criterion.⁶ By base change, the map

$$(5.4) \quad (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}[\frac{1}{pg}] \rightarrow R \otimes_A (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}[\frac{1}{pg}]$$

is finite étale. Then $R \otimes_A (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ is a normal ring. Letting the notation be as in (5.4), set

$$B := \text{the integral closure of } R \text{ in } R \otimes_A (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}[\frac{1}{pg}].$$

Then by the normality of $R \otimes_A (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}[\frac{1}{pg}]$ and Lemma 3.8, it follows that B is a normal ring that fits into the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

in which every map is injective and integral. Let \mathfrak{n}' be any maximal ideal of B . Since A is a local domain and $A \rightarrow B$ is a torsion free integral extension, one finds that $A \cap \mathfrak{n}'$ is the unique maximal ideal of A and the induced localization map $A \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ is an injective integral extension between normal domains. By setting $A := (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ in the notation of Theorem 4.19 and applying Lemma 5.3, it follows that B is a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay normal ring with respect to p, x_2, \dots, x_d and $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Witt-perfect. Since these properties are preserved under localization with respect to any maximal ideal, it follows that the normal domain $B_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ enjoys the same properties.

To finish the proof, let us put $C := B_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ for brevity of notation. Set

$$T := \text{the integral closure of } C \text{ in } C[\frac{1}{p}]^{\text{ét}},$$

where $C[\frac{1}{p}]^{\text{ét}}$ is the maximal étale extension of $C[\frac{1}{p}]$ contained in the absolute integral closure $C[\frac{1}{p}]^+$. Then T is a Witt-perfect normal domain in view of [55, Lemma 5.1] or [56, Lemma 10.1]. Therefore,

⁶In what follows, if necessary, we repeat the same argument for deriving the regularity of (p, g) in order to apply Theorem 4.19.

it remains to establish that T is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay with respect to p, x_2, \dots, x_d . Let us note that the composite map

$$(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \rightarrow C\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right] \rightarrow T\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right]$$

is an ind-étale extension. So we find that $T\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right]$ is the filtered colimit of finite étale $(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right]$ -algebras. As T is integrally closed in its field of fractions, the integral closure of $(A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ in $T\left[\frac{1}{pg}\right]$ is the same as T . Summing up, we conclude from Theorem 4.19 applied to $A := (A_{\infty g})_{\mathfrak{n}}$, together with the fact that $A_{\infty\infty}/(p) \rightarrow A_{\infty g}/(p)$ is a $(g)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphism, that $T/(p)$ is the filtered colimit of $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost finite étale $A_{\infty\infty}/(p)$ -algebras. By Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, T is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay. \square

As a corollary, we obtain the following result, which is the strengthened version of the main results in [29]. The proof uses standard results from the theory of local cohomology.

Corollary 5.6. *Let the notation and hypotheses be as in Theorem 5.5. Then the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T)$ are $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero in the range $0 \leq i \leq \dim R - 1$. In particular, the image of the map $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R^+)$ induced by $T \rightarrow R^+$ is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero.*

Proof. Letting p, x_2, \dots, x_d be a system of parameters of R , if one inspects the structure of the proof of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 4.19, it follows that x_2^m, \dots, x_d^m forms a $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost regular sequence on $T/(p^m)$ for all integers $m > 0$. As in the proof of [29, Theorem 3.17], the Koszul cohomology modules $H^i(p^m, x_2^m, \dots, x_d^m; T)$ and hence $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T)$ are $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost zero for $i < \dim R$. \square

It is reasonable to study the following problem, which we credit to Heitmann in the 3-dimensional case thanks to his proof of the direct summand conjecture; see [27].

Problem 4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local domain of arbitrary characteristic with its absolute integral closure R^+ and the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_{R^+} . Fix a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of R . Then does it hold true that*

$$c \cdot ((x_1, \dots, x_i) :_{R^+} x_{i+1}) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_i)R^+$$

for any $c \in \mathfrak{m}_{R^+}$ and $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$?

Bhatt gave an answer to the above problem in mixed characteristic in [10] when $x_1 = p^n$, and Hochster and Huneke gave a complete answer in the characteristic $p > 0$ case in [31], while almost nothing is known in the equal characteristic zero case. Even in the mixed characteristic case, the above problem is not known to hold true if one starts with an *arbitrary* system of parameters. It seems that perfectoids or other similar techniques do not suffice to study the problem.

Problem 5. *Let T be a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra over a Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) of mixed characteristic. Then does T map to an integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra?*

Here we mention a few related results.

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that T is a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra over a Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) of any characteristic. Then T maps to an R -algebra B such that the following hold:*

- (1) B is free over T . In particular, B is a big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra.

(2) B is absolutely integrally closed. In other words, every nonzero monic polynomial in $B[X]$ has a root in B .

Proof. Just apply [57, Tag 0DCR]. \square

Theorem 5.8 (Gabber-Ramero). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain of mixed characteristic. Then any integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra B admits an R -algebra map $B \rightarrow C$ such that C is an integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra and C is an absolutely integrally closed quasi-local domain.*

Proof. See [22, Theorem 17.5.96]. \square

Problem 6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic. Then can one construct a big Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra T such that T has bounded p -power roots of p or equivalently, the radical ideal \sqrt{pT} is finitely generated?*

So far, big Cohen-Macaulay algebras constructed using perfectoids necessarily admit p -power roots of p and we do not know if the construction as stated in the problem is possible.

5.2. Construction of big Cohen-Macaulay modules. We demonstrate a method of constructing a big Cohen-Macaulay module by using the R -algebra T from Theorem 5.5.

Corollary 5.9. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 5.5. Set $M := (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}T$. Then M is an ideal of T that is $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$ -almost isomorphic to T , and M is a big Cohen-Macaulay R -module. In other words, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \dim R - 1$.*

Proof. Notice that T is pg -torsion free and there is an isomorphism as T -modules: $T \cong (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}}T$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T & \xrightarrow{\times (pg)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} & T & \xrightarrow{\times (pg)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^2}}} & T & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \times pg \downarrow & & \times (pg)^{\frac{1}{p}} \downarrow & & \times (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \downarrow & & \\ (pg)T & \longrightarrow & (pg)^{\frac{1}{p}}T & \longrightarrow & (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^2}}T & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows in the bottom are natural injections, and the vertical arrows are bijections. Fix any $i < \dim R$. Applying the local cohomology to this commutative diagram, the bottom horizontal sequence becomes:

$$(5.5) \quad \varinjlim_{n>0} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i((pg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}}T) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\varinjlim_{n>0} (pg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}}T) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M),$$

where the first isomorphism uses the commutativity of cohomological functor with direct limit. The horizontal upper sequence becomes:

$$(5.6) \quad \varinjlim_n \left\{ H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T) \xrightarrow{\times (pg)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T) \xrightarrow{\times (pg)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^2}}} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T) \rightarrow \dots \right\} \cong 0,$$

because the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(T)$ are annihilated by $(pg)^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$ for any $n > 0$ and $i < \dim R$. As (5.5) and (5.6) yield the isomorphic modules, we have the desired vanishing cohomology.

Since R is a Noetherian local domain, there is a discrete valuation $v : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ with center on the maximal ideal. Then one extends v as a \mathbb{Q} -valued valuation on T . One can use this valuation to deduce that $M \neq \mathfrak{m}M$ and the details are left as an exercise; see also [6, Lemma 3.15]. \square

6. APPENDIX: INTEGRALITY AND ALMOST INTEGRALITY

In this appendix, our aim is to give a proof to the following result (see Proposition 6.1). For generalities on topological spaces and *maximal separated quotients*, we refer the reader to [20, Chapter 0, 2.3(c)] and [39, Definition 2.4.8]. For a topological space X , we denote by $[X]$ the maximal separated quotient of X , thus defining the natural epimorphism $X \rightarrow [X]$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let A_0 be a ring that is integral over a Noetherian ring, and let $t \in A_0$ be a nonzero divisor. Then an element $a \in A_0[\frac{1}{t}]$ is integral over A_0 if and only if it is almost integral over A_0 . More precisely, for the Tate ring A associated to $(A_0, (t))$, we have*

$$(A_0)_A^+ = A^\circ = \left\{ a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [\text{Spa}(A, (A_0)_A^+)] \right\}.$$

The idea of our proof is to reduce the assertion to the situation of Corollary 4.12, using *Zariskization*. Let us recall its definition below (see also [20, Chapter 0, 7.3(b)] or [59, Definition 3.1]).

Definition 6.2. Let A be a ring and $I \subset A$ be an ideal. Then we denote by A_I^{Zar} the localization $(1+I)^{-1}A$, and call it the *I -adic Zariskization* of A .

We will utilize the following properties of Zariskization.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $A \subset B$ be an integral ring extension and let $I \subset A$ be an ideal. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The induced ring map $A_I^{Zar} \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$ is also integral.*
- (2) *Let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the filtered system of all module-finite A -subalgebras of B . Then we have a canonical isomorphism of rings $\varinjlim_{\lambda} (A_\lambda)_{IA_\lambda}^{Zar} \xrightarrow{\cong} B_{IB}^{Zar}$.*

Proof. (1): Set $B' = B \otimes_A A_I^{Zar}$. Then the map $A_I^{Zar} \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$ is given as the composite of the integral map $A_I^{Zar} \rightarrow B'$ and the canonical B -algebra homomorphism $B' \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$. Moreover, since B' is IB' -adically Zariskian, we have the B -algebra homomorphism $B_{IB}^{Zar} \rightarrow B'$. Since the composite $B_{IB}^{Zar} \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$ is the identity map by the universal property, the map $B' \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$ is surjective. Hence the assertion follows.

(2): Since B is integral over A , we have $\varinjlim_{\lambda} A_\lambda = B$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the map $A_\lambda \hookrightarrow B$ induces the A_λ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_\lambda : (A_\lambda)_{IA_\lambda}^{Zar} \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$. Hence we have the B -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \varinjlim_{\lambda} (A_\lambda)_{IA_\lambda}^{Zar} \rightarrow B_{IB}^{Zar}$. Now for any $x \in IB$, there exists some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $1+x \in 1+IA_\lambda$. Hence φ is injective. Set $C := \varinjlim_{\lambda} (A_\lambda)_{IA_\lambda}^{Zar}$. Then, since $A_I^{Zar} \rightarrow C$ is integral by the assertion (1), C is IC -adically Zariskian. Hence we obtain the B -algebra homomorphism $\psi : B_{IB}^{Zar} \rightarrow C_{IC}^{Zar}$, and the composite $\varphi \circ \psi$ is the identity map by the universal property. Therefore φ is surjective. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let A_0 be a ring with a nonzero divisor $t \in A_0$. Put $A := A_0[\frac{1}{t}]$ and $A' := (A_0)_{(t)}^{Zar}[\frac{1}{t}]$. Then the inclusion $A_0 \hookrightarrow (A_0)_A^+$ induces an isomorphism $((A_0)_{(t)}^{Zar})_A^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} ((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{Zar}$.*

Proof. Since integrality of a ring extension is preserved under localization, it suffices to show that $((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{Zar} \cong (A_0)_A^+ \otimes_{A_0} (A_0)_{(t)}^{Zar}$. First, we have an isomorphism $\varinjlim_{\lambda} (A_\lambda)_{(t)}^{Zar} \xrightarrow{\cong} ((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{Zar}$ by Lemma 6.3(2). Moreover for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists some $m > 0$ for which $t^m A_\lambda \subset A_0$. Then, since $1+t^{m+1}A_\lambda \subset 1+tA_0$, we have $(A_\lambda)_{(t)}^{Zar} \cong (A_\lambda)_{(t^{m+1})}^{Zar} \cong A_\lambda \otimes_{A_0} (A_0)_{(t)}^{Zar}$. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Now we can complete the proof of Proposition 6.1.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Set $X = \text{Spa}(A, (A_0)_A^+)$. Since we know that

$$(A_0)_A^+ \subset A^\circ \subset \left\{ a \in A \mid |a|_x \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [X] \right\},$$

it suffices to show the reverse inclusion. Pick $c \in A$ such that $|c|_x \leq 1$ for any $x \in [X]$. By assumption, there exists a Noetherian subring $R \subset A_0$ such that $t \in R$ and the filtered system $\{R_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of all module-finite R -subalgebras in A_0 satisfies $A_0 = \varinjlim_\lambda R_\lambda$. Then by Lemma 6.3, $A'_0 := \varinjlim_\lambda (R_\lambda)_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}}$ is integral over a Noetherian ring $R_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}}$. Let A' be the Tate ring associated to $(A'_0, (t))$, and $X' = \text{Spa}(A', (A'_0)_{A'}^+)$. Then Corollary 4.12 implies that

$$(A'_0)_{A'}^+ = (A')^\circ = \left\{ a \in A' \mid |a|_{x'} \leq 1 \text{ for any } x' \in [X'] \right\}.$$

Moreover, for the continuous ring map $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$, we have $|\psi(c)|_{x'} \leq 1$ for any $x' \in X'$ by assumption. Thus we find that $\psi(c) \in (A'_0)_{A'}^+$. On the other hand, $A'_0 \cong (A_0)_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}}$ by Lemma 6.3 and hence we have

$$((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}} \cong (A'_0)_{A'}^+$$

by Lemma 6.4. Since the map $(A_0)_A^+ \rightarrow ((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}}$ becomes an isomorphism after t -adic completion, one can deduce from Beauville-Laszlo's lemma (Lemma 3.2) that the diagram of ring maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A_0)_A^+ & \longrightarrow & ((A_0)_A^+)_{(t)}^{\text{Zar}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A' \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Thus we obtain $c \in (A_0)_A^+$, as wanted. □

Acknowledgement . The authors are grateful to Professor K. Fujiwara for encouragement and comments on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, *Le lemme d'Abhyankar perfectoïde*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **127** (2018), 1–70.
- [2] Y. André, *La conjecture du facteur direct*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **127** (2018), 71–93.
- [3] Y. André, *Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (2020), 363–380.
- [4] Y. André, *Perfectoid spaces and the homological conjectures*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.10006>.
- [5] M. Artin, *On the joins of Hensel rings*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 282–296.
- [6] M. Asgharzadeh and K. Shimomoto, *Almost Cohen-Macaulay and almost regular algebras via almost flat extensions*, J. Commut. Algebra **4** (2012), 445–478.
- [7] A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo, *Un lemme de descente*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris **320** (1995), 335–340.
- [8] B. Bhatt, *Lecture notes for a class on perfectoid spaces*, available at <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~bhattb/teaching/mat679w17/lectures.pdf>.
- [9] B. Bhatt, *On the direct summand conjecture and its derived variant*, Invent. Math. **212** (2018), 297–317.
- [10] B. Bhatt, *Cohen-Macaulayness of absolute integral closures*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.08070>.
- [11] B. Bhatt, S. Iyengar and L. Ma, *Regular rings and perfect(oid) algebras*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), 2367–2383.
- [12] S. Bosch, U. Guntzer, and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean Analysis*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **261**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [13] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press. **39** (1986).
- [14] K. Buzzard and A. Verberkmoes, *Stably uniform affinoids are sheafy*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **740** (2018), 25–40.
- [15] K. Cesnavicius, *Purity for the Brauer group*, Duke Math. J. **168** (2019), 1461–1486.
- [16] C. Davis and K. S. Kedlaya, *On the Witt vector Frobenius*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 2211–2226.
- [17] C. Davis and K. S. Kedlaya, *Almost purity and overconvergent Witt vectors*, J. Algebra **422** (2015), 373–412.
- [18] G. Faltings, *p -adic Hodge theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 255–299.

- [19] T. J. Ford, *Separable algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **183**, American Math. Society.
- [20] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato, *Foundations of Rigid Geometry I*, EMS Monogr. Math. (2018).
- [21] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Almost ring theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1800**, Springer.
- [22] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Foundations for almost ring theory*, <http://math.univ-lille1.fr/~ramero/hodge.pdf>.
- [23] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, *On the complete integral closure of an integral domain*, J. of the Australian Math. Society **6** (1966), 351–361.
- [24] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique III*, Publications Math. I.H.E.S. **11** (1961), **17** (1963).
- [25] W. Heinzer, *Some remarks on complete integral closure*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **9** (1969), 310–314.
- [26] R. Heitmann, *The direct summand conjecture in dimension three*, Annals of Math. **156** (2002), 695–712.
- [27] R. Heitmann, *Extended plus closure and colon-capturing*, J. of Algebra **293** (2005), 407–426.
- [28] R. Heitmann and L. Ma, *Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras and the vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor in mixed characteristic*, Algebra & Number Theory **12** (2018), 1659–1674.
- [29] R. Heitmann and L. Ma, *Extended plus closure in complete local rings*, to appear in J. of Algebra.
- [30] M. Hochster, *Homological conjectures, old and new*, Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007), 151–169.
- [31] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Annals of Math. **135** (1992), 53–89.
- [32] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Applications of the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Advances in Math. **113** (1995), 45–117.
- [33] R. Huber, *Continuous valuations*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), 455–478.
- [34] R. Huber, *A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), 513–551.
- [35] R. Huber, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*, Aspect of Mathematics Vieweg, 1996.
- [36] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, *Absolute integral closure in positive characteristic*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), 498–504.
- [37] Z. Jiang, *Closure operations in complete local rings of mixed characteristic*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.12564>.
- [38] K. S. Kedlaya, *Nonarchimedean geometry of Witt vectors*, Nagoya Math. J. **209** (2013), 111–165.
- [39] K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, *Relative p -adic Hodge theory, I: Foundations*, Astérisque **371** (2015).
- [40] L. Ma and K. Schwede, *Perfectoid multiplier/test ideals in regular rings and bounds on symbolic powers*, Invent. Math. **214** (2018), 913–955.
- [41] L. Ma and K. Schwede, *Singularities in mixed characteristic via perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, to appear in Duke Math. J.
- [42] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press. **8** 1986.
- [43] T. Mihara, *On Tate’s acyclicity and uniformity of Berkovich spectra and adic spectra*, Israel J. Math. **216** (2016), 61–105.
- [44] K. Nakazato and K. Shimomoto, *Finite étale extension of Tate rings and decompletion of perfectoid algebras*, in preparation.
- [45] M. Olsson, *On Faltings’ method of almost étale extensions*, Algebraic geometry–Seattle 2005, Part 2, 811–936, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **80**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [46] P. H. Quynh, *On the vanishing of local cohomology of the absolute integral closure in positive characteristic*, J. Algebra **456** (2016), 182–189.
- [47] P. Roberts, *Almost regular sequences and the monomial conjecture*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 615–623.
- [48] P. Roberts, *Fontaine rings and local cohomology*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 2257–2269.
- [49] A. Sannai and A. K. Singh, *Galois extensions, plus closure, and maps on local cohomology*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 1847–1861.
- [50] P. Scholze, *Perfectoid spaces*, Publ. Math. de l’IHÉS **116** (2012), 245–313.
- [51] P. Scholze, *On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, Ann. of Math. **182** (2015), 945–1066.
- [52] K. Shimomoto, *F -coherent rings with applications to tight closure theory*, J. Algebra **338** (2011), 24–34.
- [53] K. Shimomoto, *On the Witt vectors of perfect rings in positive characteristic*, Communications in Algebra **43** (2015), 5328–5342.
- [54] K. Shimomoto, *An application of the almost purity theorem to the homological conjectures*, J. Pure Applied Algebra **220** (2016), 621–632.
- [55] K. Shimomoto, *Integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay algebras via André’s theorem*, Math. Ann. **372** (2018), 1167–1188.
- [56] K. Shimomoto, *An embedding problem of Noetherian rings into the Witt vectors*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.02018>.
- [57] The Stacks Project Authors, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/browse>.

- [58] I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral closure of ideals rings, and modules*, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series **336**.
- [59] H. Tanaka, *Zariskian adic spaces*, Kodai Math. J. **41** (2018), 652–695.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN
Email address: m11047c@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY, SETAGAYA-KU,
TOKYO 156-8550, JAPAN
Email address: shimomotokazuma@gmail.com