

# A remarkable congruence involving Appell polynomials

Abdelkader Benyattou

UNIV-DJELFA, Dep. of Mathematics and Informatics, RECITS Laboratory, Djelfa, Algeria.

a.benyattou@univ-djelfa.dz, abdelkaderbenyattou@gmail.com

## Abstract

In this paper, by the umbral calculus method, we give a remarkable congruence involving Appell polynomials. Some applications on derangement polynomials are also presented.

**Keywords.** Congruence, Appell polynomials, classical umbral calculus.

**2010 MSC.** 11B73, 11A07, 05A40.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(\mathcal{A}_n(x))$  be a sequence of Appell polynomials with integer coefficients defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = F(t) \exp(xt), \quad F(0) = 1, \quad \mathcal{A}_n(0) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

The  $n$ -th Appell polynomia  $\mathcal{A}_n(x)$  can be given explicitly as

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{A}_{n-k} x^k, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n(0)$ . In this paper we use the properties of the classical umbral calculus to drive new congruences involving Appell polynomials. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the Appell umbra defined by  $\mathbf{A}^n = \mathcal{A}_n$ , then we can defined the generalized Appell umbra  $\mathbf{A}_x$  [4] as follows

$$\mathbf{A}_x^n = \mathcal{A}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{A}_{n-k} x^k = (\mathbf{A} + x)^n. \quad (3)$$

For more information on the umbral calculus see [3, 6, 7, 8]. In the remainder of this paper, for any polynomials  $f$  and  $g$ , we denote by  $f(x) \equiv g(x)$  to mean  $f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p[x]}$  and for any numbers  $a$  and  $b$  by  $a \equiv b$  we mean  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ .

## 2 Congruences involving Appell polynomials

The principal main result is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $m \geq 0, s \geq 1$  be integers and  $p$  be an odd prime number. Then if for any integer  $n \geq 0$ , there exists an integer  $t$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_{n+p}(0) \equiv t\mathcal{A}_n$  (or equivalently  $\mathbf{A}^{n+p} \equiv t\mathbf{A}^n$ ), we have the following congruence*

$$(\mathbf{A} + x)^{mp^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \equiv (x^{p^s} + t)^m f(\mathbf{A} + x). \quad (4)$$

*This congruence is equivalent when  $f(x) = x^n$  to*

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+mp^s}(x) \equiv (x^{p^s} + t)^m \mathcal{A}_n(x). \quad (5)$$

*In particular for  $n = 0$ , we have*

**Proof.** It suffices to take  $f(x) = x^n$ . For  $m = 1$  we proceed by induction on  $s$ . Indeed, for  $s = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A}_x^p - t) \mathbf{A}_x^n &= \mathbf{A}_x^{n+p} - t \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&= (\mathbf{A} + x)^p (\mathbf{A} + x)^n - t \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&\equiv (\mathbf{A}^p + x^p) (\mathbf{A} + x)^n - t \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&= x^p \mathbf{A}_x^n + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{A}^{n+p-k} x^k - t \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&\equiv x^p \mathbf{A}_x^n + t \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{A}^{n-k} x^k - t \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&= x^p \mathbf{A}_x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume it is true for  $s \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^{s+1}} - t) &= \mathbf{A}_x^n \left( (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t + t)^p - t \right) \\
&= \mathbf{A}_x^n \left( (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^p + t - t \right) \\
&\equiv \mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^p \\
&= \left[ \mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t) \right] (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^{p-1} \\
&\equiv x^{p^s} \mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^{p-1} \\
&= x^{p^s} \left[ \mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t) \right] (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^{p-2} \\
&\equiv x^{2p^s} \mathbf{A}_x^n (\mathbf{A}_x^{p^s} - t)^{p-2} \\
&\vdots \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s})^p \mathbf{A}_x^n \\
&= x^{p^{s+1}} \mathbf{A}_x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we are proved that  $(\mathbf{A} + x)^{p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \equiv (x^{p^s} + t) f(\mathbf{A} + x)$ . For  $m \geq 1$  we use this last congruence to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A} + x)^{m p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) &= (\mathbf{A} + x)^{p^s} (\mathbf{A} + x)^{(m-1)p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} + t) (\mathbf{A} + x)^{(m-1)p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \\
&\vdots \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} + t)^m f(\mathbf{A} + x).
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary 2** Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq 1$  be integers and  $p$  be an odd prime number. Then if for any integer  $n \geq 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $t$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_{n+p}(0) \equiv t \mathcal{A}_n$ , there holds

$$(\mathbf{A} + x)^{m_1 p + \dots + m_s p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \equiv (x^p + t)^{m_1} \dots (x^{p^s} + t)^{m_s} f(\mathbf{A} + x). \quad (7)$$

This congruence is equivalent when  $f(x) = x^{m_0}$  to

$$\mathcal{A}_{m_0 + m_1 p + \dots + m_s p^s}(x) \equiv (x^p + t)^{m_1} \dots (x^{p^s} + t)^{m_s} \mathcal{A}_{m_0}(x). \quad (8)$$

In particular, for  $m_0 = 0$ , we have

**Proof.** By the Theorem 1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A} + x)^{m_1 p + \dots + m_s p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) &= (\mathbf{A} + x)^{m_1 p} (\mathbf{A} + x)^{m_2 p^2 + \dots + m_s p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \\
&\equiv (x^p + t)^{m_1} (\mathbf{A} + x)^{m_2 p^2 + \dots + m_s p^s} f(\mathbf{A} + x) \\
&\vdots \\
&\equiv (x^p + t)^{m_1} \dots (x^{p^s} + t)^{m_s} f(\mathbf{A} + x).
\end{aligned}$$

■

### 3 Applications

In this section we give some applications of Theorem 1

#### 3.1 Application on the derangement polynomials

The derangement polynomials are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{D}_{n-k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k! (x-1)^{n-k}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}_n(0)$  is the number of derangements of  $n$  elements.

Recall that for any integer  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n(x) = n\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(x) + (x-1)^n, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) \mathcal{D}_{n-i}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^n (x-1)^i. \quad (12)$$

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the derangement umbra defined by  $\mathbf{D}^n = \mathcal{D}_n$ , then we can defined the generalized derangement umbra  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}$  as follows

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^n = \mathcal{D}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{D}_{n-k} x^k = (\mathbf{D} + x)^n. \quad (13)$$

**Proposition 3** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $s$  be a non-negative integer, then for any prime  $p \geq 3$ , there holds*

$$\left( \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^{p^s} + 1 \right) f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv x^{p^s} f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (14)$$

and for any polynomial  $g$  we get

$$g(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}) = g'(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}) + g(x-1), \quad (15)$$

where  $g'$  is the derivative polynomial.

**Proof.** By the known congruence  $\mathcal{D}_{n+p} \equiv -1$  (or equivalently  $\mathbf{D}^{n+p} \equiv -\mathbf{D}^n$ ) [9], the congruence (14) follows by setting  $t = -1$  in Theorem 1. For the identity (15), let  $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ , be a polynomial, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (\mathbf{D} + x)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left[ \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \mathbf{D}^{k-s} x^s \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the following known identity  $\mathcal{D}_n = n\mathcal{D}_{n-1} + (-1)^n$ , or equivalently  $\mathbf{D}^n = n\mathbf{D}^{n-1} + (-1)^n$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mathbf{D}_x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left( \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \left( (k-s)\mathbf{D}^{k-s-1} + (-1)^{k-s} \right) x^s \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left( \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} (k-s)\mathbf{D}^{k-s-1} x^s \right) + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left( \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} (-1)^{k-s} x^s \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k \left( \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{s} \mathbf{D}^{k-s-1} x^s \right) + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (x-1)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k (\mathbf{D} + x)^{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (x-1)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k (\mathbf{D}_x)^{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (x-1)^k \\
&= g'(\mathbf{D}_x) + g(x-1).
\end{aligned}$$

■

The principal consequence of Proposition 3 given by the following corollary.

**Corollary 4** For any integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and for any prime number  $p \geq 3$ , there holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+mp^s}(x) \equiv (x^{p^s} - 1)^m \mathcal{D}_n(x). \quad (16)$$

For  $x = 0$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+mp^s} \equiv (-1)^m \mathcal{D}_n \quad (17)$$

and for  $x = q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p$  no divides  $q - 1$  we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+mp(p-1)}(q) \equiv \mathcal{D}_n(q). \quad (18)$$

**Proof.** For  $m = 1$  take  $f(x) = x^n$  in Proposition 3 to get

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+p^s}(x) \equiv (x^{p^s} - 1) \mathcal{D}_n(x).$$

For  $m > 1$  we use this congruence several times to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{n+mp^s}(x) &= \mathcal{D}_{n+(m-1)p^s+p^s}(x) \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} - 1) \mathcal{D}_{n+(m-1)p^s}(x) \\
&= (x^{p^s} - 1) \mathcal{D}_{n+(m-2)p^s+p^s}(x) \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} - 1)^2 \mathcal{D}_{n+(m-2)p^s}(x) \\
&= (x^{p^s} - 1)^2 \mathcal{D}_{n+(m-3)p^s+p^s}(x) \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} - 1)^3 \mathcal{D}_n(x) \\
&\vdots \\
&\equiv (x^{p^s} - 1)^m \mathcal{D}_n(x).
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary 5** For any prime number  $p \geq 3$  and any integers  $s \geq 1$ ,  $m_0, \dots, m_s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , there holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{m_0+m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s}(x) \equiv (x^p - 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} - 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} - 1)^{m_s} \mathcal{D}_{m_0}(x). \quad (19)$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s}(x) \equiv -(x^p - 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} - 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} - 1)^{m_s}, \quad (20)$$

### 3.2 Application on the r- derangement polynomials

The r-derangement polynomials are defined in [1] by

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{k+r}{k} k! (x-1)^{n-k},$$

with exponential generating series

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{e^{-t}}{(1-t)^{r+1}} e^{xt}.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{D}_{n,0}(x)$  is the  $n$  th derangement polynomials. For  $x = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(0) = \frac{n!}{(n+r)!} \mathcal{D}_{n+r,r}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}$  is the r- derangement numbers defined in [10] which counts permutation of the set  $[n+r]$  without fixed points and such that the elements of the set  $[r]$  are in different cycles. The r-derangement polynomials can be written as follows  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{D}_{n-k,r}(0) x^k$ . Let  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{r}}$  be the derangement umbra defined by  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{r}}^n = \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(0)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}}$  be the generalized r-derangement umbra defined by  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}}^n = \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x)$ . Then we can write the r-derangement polynomials as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x) = (\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{r}} + x)^n.$$

**Proposition 6** Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $s$  be a non-negative integer, then for any prime  $p \geq 3$ , there holds

$$\left( \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}}^{p^s} + 1 \right) f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}}) \equiv x^{p^s} f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}}), \quad (22)$$

**Proof.** The r- derangement polynomial satisfied the following congruence, for any integer  $n$  and for any prime  $p$

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+p,r-1}(1-x) \equiv (-x)^p \mathcal{D}_{n,r-1}(1-x),$$

see [1]. Hence for  $x = 1, r = r + 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+p,r}(0) \equiv -\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(0).$$

The congruences follows by setting  $t = -1$  in Theorem 1.

■

**Corollary 7** For any integers  $n \geq 1, s \geq 1, m \geq 0$  and for any prime number  $p \geq 3$ , there holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+mp^s,r}(x) \equiv \left( x^{p^s} - 1 \right)^m \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(x). \quad (23)$$

In particular for  $m = 2, s = 1$  and  $x = 0$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+2p,r} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{n,r}, \quad (24)$$

and for  $m = s$  and  $x = 2$  we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{n+p,r}(2) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(2).$$

**Proof.**

Take  $f(x) = x^n$  in Proposition 6

■

**Corollary 8** For any prime number  $p \geq 3$  and any integers  $r \geq 1, s \geq 1, m_0, \dots, m_s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , there holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{m_0+m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s,r}(x) \equiv (x^p - 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} - 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} - 1)^{m_s} \mathcal{D}_{m_0,r}(x).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s,r}(x) \equiv - (x^p - 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} - 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} - 1)^{m_s}$$

### 3.3 Application on the Lah polynomials

The  $n$  th Lah polynomial are defined in [1] by

$$\mathcal{L}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n L(n, k) x^k, \quad (25)$$

where  $L(n, k)$  is the lah number which counts partitions of the set  $[n]$  into  $k$  ordered list. With exponential generating series

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{L}_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \exp\left(\frac{t}{1-t}x\right).$$

It is clair for  $x = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}_n(1)$  is the number of all partitions of the set  $[n]$  into  $k$  ordered list. For mor information for this polynomial see [1]. Now we defined the modified lah polynomial as follows

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{L}_k x^{n-k}$$

Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be the umbra defined by  $\mathbf{L}^n = \mathcal{P}_n(0) = \mathcal{L}_n$ , then we can defined the generalized umbr  $\mathbf{L}_x$  as follows  $\mathbf{L}_x^n = \mathcal{P}_n(x) = (\mathbf{L} + x)^n$ . The exponential generating function for  $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$  is to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \exp\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) e^{tx}$$

**Proposition 9** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $m \geq 0, s \geq 1$  be integers, then for any prime  $p \geq 3$ , there holds*

$$\mathbf{L}_x^{mp^s} f(\mathbf{L}_x) \equiv (x^{p^s} + 1)^m f(\mathbf{L}_x). \quad (26)$$

**Proof.** The Lah polynomial satisfied the following congruence, for any integer  $n$  and for any prime  $p$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+p}(x) \equiv x^p \mathcal{L}_n(x),$$

see [1]. Hence for  $x = 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+p} \equiv \mathcal{L}_n.$$

The congruences follows by setting  $t = 1$  in Theorem 1.

■

**Corollary 10** *For any integers  $n \geq 1, s \geq 1, m \geq 0$  and for any prime number  $p \geq 3$ , there holds*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+mp^s}(x) \equiv (x^{p^s} + 1)^m \mathcal{P}_n(x).$$

For  $x = 0$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+mp^s} \equiv \mathcal{L}_n.$$

**Proof.** Take  $f(x) = x^n$  in Proposition 9 ■

**Corollary 11** *For any prime number  $p \geq 3$  and any integers  $s \geq 1, m_0, \dots, m_s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , there holds*

$$\mathcal{P}_{m_0+m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s}(x) \equiv (x^p + 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} + 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} + 1)^{m_s} \mathcal{P}_{m_0}(x). \quad (27)$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s}(x) \equiv (x^p + 1)^{m_1} (x^{p^2} + 1)^{m_2} \dots (x^{p^s} + 1)^{m_s}, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{m_1p+\dots+m_s p^s}(k) \equiv (k+1)^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_s}. \quad (29)$$

**Corollary 12** Let  $m, s, r$  be non-negative integers and let  $p$  be an odd prime such that  $m \geq 2$  there holds

$$(x-1)^{m+rp^s-p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-m)_{p-1-k} \mathcal{L}_k(1-x) \equiv (x^{p^s}-1)^r \mathcal{D}_{m-2}(x).$$

In particular for  $x=0$  and for  $x=0, m=2$ , we obtain

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-m)_{p-1-k} \mathcal{L}_k \equiv \mathcal{D}_{m-2}.$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (p-1) \mathcal{L}_k \equiv 1.$$

**Proof.** Benyattou and Mihoubi in [1, Th. 9] proved the following congruence. For any integers  $n, m$  such that  $m+n \geq 2$ , and for any prime  $p$ , there hold

$$(-x)^{m+n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-m)_{p-1-k} \mathcal{L}_{n+k}(x) \equiv x^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j L(n, j) \mathcal{D}_{j+m+n-2}(1-x).$$

Tak  $n=0$ , replace  $m$  by  $m+rp^s$  and  $x$  by  $x-1$ . Hence, the application of Corollary 4 completes the proof. ■

### 3.4 Application on the r-Lah polynomials

The r-Lah polynomials are defined in [2] by

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n L_r(n, k) x^k, \tag{30}$$

where  $L_r(n, k)$  is the r-lah number which counts the number of partitions of the set  $[n+r]$  into  $k+r$  ordered list, see [5]. With exponential generating series

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{L}_{n,r}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2r}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{1-t}x\right).$$

It is clear for  $x=1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{n,r} = \mathcal{L}_{n,r}(1)$  is the number of all partitions of the set  $[n+r]$  into  $k+r$  ordered list. For more information for this polynomial see [2]. Now we defined the modified r-lah polynomial as follows

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{L}_{k,r} x^{n-k}$$

Let  $\mathbf{L}_r$  be the umbra defined by  $\mathbf{L}_r^n = \mathcal{P}_{n,r}(0) = \mathcal{L}_{n,r}$ , then we can define the generalized umbr  $\mathbf{L}_{r\mathbf{x}}$  as follows  $\mathbf{L}_{r\mathbf{x}}^n = \mathcal{P}_{n,r}(x) = (\mathbf{L}_r + x)^n$ . The exponential generating function for  $\mathcal{P}_{n,r}(x)$  is to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{n,r}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2r}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) e^{tx}$$

**Proposition 13** Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $m \geq 0, r \geq 0, s \geq 1$  be integers, then for any prime  $p \geq 3$ , there holds

$$\mathbf{L}_{r\mathbf{x}}^{mp^s} f(\mathbf{L}_{r\mathbf{x}}) \equiv (x^{p^s} + 1)^m f(\mathbf{L}_{r\mathbf{x}}). \tag{31}$$

**Proof.** The r-Lah polynomial satisfied the following congruence, for any integer  $n$  and for any prime  $p$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+p,r}(x) \equiv x^p \mathcal{L}_{n,r}(x),$$

see [2]. Hence for  $x=1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+p,r} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{n,r}.$$

The congruence follows by setting  $t=1$  in Theorem 1.

**Corollary 14** For any integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and for any prime number  $p \geq 3$ , there holds

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+mp^s,r}(x) \equiv \left(x^{p^s} + 1\right)^m \mathcal{P}_{n,r}(x).$$

For  $x = 0$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+mp^s,r} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{n,r}.$$

**Proof.** Take  $f(x) = x^n$  in Proposition 13 ■

## References

- [1] A. Benyattou and M. Mihoubi, Curious congruences related to the Bell polynomials, *Quaest. Math.*, **41** (3), (2018), 437–448.
- [2] A. Benyattou, Congruences pour quelques suites de nombres combinatoires. Doctorat thesis, USTHB, 2018.
- [3] I. M. Gessel, Applications of the classical umbral calculus, *Algebra Universalis* **49** (2003), 397–434.
- [4] M. Mihoubi and S. Taharbouchet, Some identities involving Appell polynomials, *Quaest. Math.*, DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2018.1544944
- [5] G. Nyul and G. Racz, The r-Lah numbers, *Discrete Math.*, vol. 338, no. 10, pp. 1660-1666,2015.
- [6] S. Roman, *The Umbral Calculus*, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [7] S. Roman and G. C. Rota, The umbral calculus, *Adv.Math.* **27** (1978), 95–188.
- [8] G. C. Rota and B. D. Taylor, The classical umbral calculus, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **25** (1994), 694–711.
- [9] Z.-W. Sun, D. Zagier, On a curious property of Bell numbers, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **84** (2011), 153–158.
- [10] C. Wang, P. Miska, and I. Mezo, "The r-derangement numbers," *Discrete Math.*, vol. 340, no. 7, pp. 1681
- [11] Q. Zou, Identities on Genocchi polynomials and Genocchi numbers concerning binomial coefficients, *International Journal of Analysis and Application.*, vol. 14, no.2, pp. 140-146,2017.