

The Hasse invariant of the Tate normal form E_5 and the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$

Patrick Morton

Jan. 5, 2021

Abstract

It is shown that the number of irreducible quartic factors of the form $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$ which divide the Hasse invariant of the Tate normal form E_5 in characteristic l is a simple linear function of the class number $h(-5l)$ of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$, when $l \equiv 2, 3$ modulo 5. A similar result holds for irreducible quadratic factors of $g(x)$, when $l \equiv 1, 4$ modulo 5. This implies a formula for the number of linear factors over \mathbb{F}_p of the supersingular polynomial $ss_p^{(5^*)}(x)$ corresponding to the Fricke group $\Gamma_0^*(5)$.

1 Introduction

Let $E_5(b)$ be the Tate normal form of an elliptic curve with a point of order 5:

$$E_5(b) : Y^2 + (1 + b)XY + bY = X^3 + bX^2;$$

and let $\hat{H}_{5,l}(b)$ denote its Hasse invariant in characteristic l , as in [22, p. 260] and [28, p. 235]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{5,l}(b) &= (b^4 + 12b^3 + 14b^2 - 12b + 1)^r (b^2 + 1)^s (b^4 + 18b^3 + 74b^2 - 18b + 1)^s \\ &\quad \times b^{5n_l} (1 - 11b - b^2)^{n_l} J_l \left(\frac{(b^4 + 12b^3 + 14b^2 - 12b + 1)^3}{b^5(1 - 11b - b^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The roots of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ in characteristic $l \neq 5$ are of course the values of $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_l}$ for which $E_5(b)$ is supersingular. Here, $n_l = [l/12]$, $r = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-3/l))$, $s = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-4/l))$; and $J_l(t)$ is the polynomial

$$J_l(t) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n_l} \binom{2n_l + s}{2k + s} \binom{2n_l - 2k}{n_l - k} (-432)^{n_l - k} (t - 1728)^k \pmod{l}.$$

Let $\varepsilon = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and let $h(-5l)$ denote the class number of the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$, where $l > 5$ is a prime number. This paper is devoted to proving the following theorem, which was stated in [28].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l > 5$ be a prime.*

A) *Assume $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$. The number of irreducible quartics of the form $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l is:*

- i) $\frac{1}{4}h(-5l)$, if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- ii) $\frac{1}{2}h(-5l) - 1$, if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$;
- iii) $h(-5l) - 1$, if $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

B) *If $l \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, the number of irreducible quadratic factors $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$ in \mathbb{F}_l is:*

- i) $\frac{1}{2}h(-5l)$, if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- ii) $h(-5l) - 3$, if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$;
- iii) $2h(-5l) - 3$, if $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

C) *If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, the number of irreducible quadratic factors $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$ in \mathbb{F}_l is:*

- i) $\frac{1}{2}h(-5l)$, if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- ii) $h(-5l) - 1$, if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$;
- iii) $2h(-5l) - 1$, if $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

This theorem is a supplement to [22, Thm. 6.2], which gives the possible degrees of irreducible factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$, depending on the congruence class of $l \pmod{5}$. In Part A, the only possible irreducible factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ are either $x^2 + 1$ or quartic factors $f(x)$ satisfying $x^4 f(-1/x) = f(x)$; while in Part B, the possible factors are linear and quadratic; and in Part C, all irreducible factors are quadratic. The class number $h(-5l)$ then shows up in counting the special factors of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1.

In the paper [28, Thms. 1.1 and 1.3] I showed that the number of linear factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$ is a function of the class number $h(-l)$, for $l \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, and that the same holds for the number of quadratic factors of the form $x^2 + ax - 1$, when $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Thus, both class numbers $h(-l)$

and $h(-5l)$ are encoded in the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , at least when $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. I conjecture that the same is true for the Hasse invariant $\hat{H}_{p,l}(x)$ of the Tate normal form E_p for a point of order p , i.e., that its factorization encodes the class numbers $h(-l), h(-pl)$, where $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and p is any prime for which the coefficients of E_p depend on a single parameter.

Theorem 1.1 is an analogue for the Tate normal form E_5 of similar results for the Legendre normal form (or equivalently, the Tate normal form E_4 for a point of order 4, see [22, p. 255]) and the Deuring normal form. The main theorems of [23] and [24] (and their proofs) show that the counts of certain irreducible quadratic factors of the Hasse invariants of these normal forms are functions of the class numbers $h(-2l), h(-3l)$ of the imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2l})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3l})$, respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 generally proceeds along similar lines as the proof of the corresponding result for the Deuring normal form in [24] and [25], and is a combination of theoretical arguments and computational methods. Here I use class field theory to produce quartic or quadratic factors dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$, instead of using direct computations in the function field of E_5 , as was done in [23] and [24].

In Section 2 I prove a congruence modulo l for the class equation $K_{5l}(X) = H_{-20l}(X)$ (for $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) or the product of class equations $K_{5l}(X) = H_{-5l}(X)H_{-20l}(X)$ (for $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$), using the method of [25]. This requires l to be a prime greater than 379 (or one of a set \mathcal{S} of 22 specified primes less than 379; see (6)). In Section 3 I show that each factor of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1 corresponds to an elliptic curve $E_5(b)$ for which $\mu = \sqrt{-5l}$ injects into the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(E_5(b))$, where b is a root of $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l . The proof of this uses the formula in [26] for points of order 5 in $E_5(b)[5] - \langle(0, 0)\rangle$.

This implies that all factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1 arise from j -invariants which are roots of $K_{5l}(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_l . In particular, the factors in Theorem 1.1 divide one of the polynomials

$$F_d(x) = x^{5h(-d)}(1 - 11x - x^2)^{h(-d)} H_{-d} \left(\frac{(x^4 + 12x^3 + 14x^2 - 12x + 1)^3}{x^5(1 - 11x - x^2)} \right)$$

over \mathbb{F}_l , where $-d = -5l$ or $-d = -20l$ and $h(-d)$ is the class number of the order $\mathcal{O} = R_{-d}$ of discriminant $-d$ in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$. This holds because of

the formula for $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ given above and the fact that

$$j(b) = j(E_5(b)) = \frac{(b^4 + 12b^3 + 14b^2 - 12b + 1)^3}{b^5(1 - 11b - b^2)}$$

is the j -invariant of $E_5(b)$.

In Section 4, I determine computationally how many factors in Theorem 1.1 arise from special factors $H_{-d}(X)$ of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1, where d equals 20 or is one of the integers in the set

$$\mathfrak{T} = \{4, 11, 16, 19, 24, 36, 51, 64, 84, 91, 96, 99\}.$$

For $l > 379$, the class equations corresponding to $d \in \mathfrak{T}$ are the factors which occur to the 4-th power in $K_{5l}(X) \bmod l$, when their roots are supersingular. I show that each of the factors H_{-d} , for $d \in \mathfrak{T}$ and $d \neq 4$, yields $\deg(H_{-d}(X))$ factors of the form $g(x)$, or twice that number of factors of the form $k(x)$, dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , depending on the congruence class of l modulo 5, for $l > 379$ (or $l \in \mathcal{S}$).

On the other hand, Theorem 2.1 shows that $K_{5l}(X)$ is also exactly divisible over \mathbb{F}_l by a number of factors of the form $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$. I show in Sections 5 and 6 that each of these factors contributes exactly one factor of the form $g(x)$, or two factors of the form $k(x)$, to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$, depending on the congruence class of l modulo 5. The fact that $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ exactly divides $K_{5l}(X)$ means that this factor can only divide one of the polynomials $H_{-5l}(X)$ or $H_{-20l}(X)$ modulo l . The factors arising from $H_{-5l}(X)$ come about as follows. Let $(5) = \wp_5^2$ and $(l) = \mathfrak{l}^2$ in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$. The ray class field Σ_{\wp_5} with conductor \wp_5 over K is generated over K by the fifth power of a value of the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction $r(\tau)$, where $\tau \in K$. If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, the minimal polynomial of $r(\tau)^5$ over the decomposition field L of \mathfrak{l} in Σ_{\wp_5}/K has the form $\tilde{g}(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$, where $a = j_5^*(\tau)$ is a value of the modular function

$$j_5^*(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(5\tau)} \right)^6 + 22 + 125 \left(\frac{\eta(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right)^6.$$

($\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind η -function.) Reducing $\tilde{g}(x)$ modulo a prime divisor of \mathfrak{l} in $L = K(j_5^*(\tau))$ yields an irreducible polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_l[x]$ of the required form, which must divide $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ because l divides the discriminant of K/\mathbb{Q} , implying that the roots of $H_{-5l}(X) \bmod l$ are supersingular j -invariants. When $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, $\tilde{g}(x)$ splits into two polynomials of the

form $k(x)$ modulo a prime divisor of l in the field $L = K(j_5^*(\tau))$ (a subfield of the decomposition field of l in this case), yielding two of the required factors $k(x)$ modulo l . When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ there is a similar argument for the field $\Sigma_{\varphi_5}\Omega_2$ (Ω_2 is the ring class field of conductor $f = 2$ over K), corresponding to factors arising from $H_{-20l}(X)$ in the above formula for $F_d(x)$.

Using the fact that a root of the irreducible polynomial $H_{-d}(X)$ ($d = 5l$ or $20l$) generates a subfield over which the ring class field Ω_f ($f = 1$ or 2) has degree 2, it is not hard to see that the factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ considered above correspond to distinct pairs of prime divisors of degree 2 over l in the extension Ω_f/K . Thus, the above argument yields roughly $\frac{1}{4}[\Omega_f : K] = \frac{1}{4}h(-d)$ (i.e. $\frac{1}{4}h(-d) + O(1)$) quartic factors of the form $g(x)$, when $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$. When $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $d = 20l$ and $h(-20l) = h(-5l)$, so this gives the right number of quartic factors in Theorem 1.1A. When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, the total number of quartic factors is then roughly $\frac{1}{4}(h(-5l) + h(-20l)) = \frac{1}{2}h(-5l)$; and when $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, the total number of quartic factors is again roughly $\frac{1}{4}(h(-5l) + h(-20l)) = \frac{1}{4}(h(-5l) + 3h(-5l)) = h(-5l)$. These arguments show why the counts in Theorem 1.1A should be approximately true.

To complete the argument we must show that each of the factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ of $K_{5l}(X) \pmod{l}$ contributes exactly one factor of the form $g(x) = k_1(x)k_2(x)$, when $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ and two factors $k(x)$ otherwise, to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$. Writing the roots of $k_i(x) = x^2 + r_i x + s_i$ as α^5, β^5 , the condition $r_i = \varepsilon^5(s_i - 1)$ becomes

$$\alpha^5 + \beta^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - \alpha^5\beta^5).$$

It turns out that another factor of the form $g(x)$ would yield a different solution $\alpha' = M_1(\alpha), \beta' = M_2(\beta)$ of this equation, where M_1, M_2 are linear fractional maps contained in Fricke's normal form $G_{60} \cong A_5$ of the icosahedral group. A sequence of suitable resultant calculations shows that this can only happen when the factor $g(x)$ arises not from $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ but from one of the factors $H_{-d}(X)$ with $d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4, 11, 16, 19\}$. As shown before, these particular factors of $K_{5l}(X)$ do indeed yield multiple quartics $g(x)$, and the final argument shows these are the only factors of $K_{5l}(X)$ which do so. All of this works for primes $l > 379$ or $l \in \mathcal{S}$. The proof is then completed by the computations in Tables 6-9, which show that the formulas of Theorem 1.1 also hold for all primes l for which $7 \leq l \leq 379$.

As was shown in [28, Theorem 7.1], the above theorem, together with the results of [28, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3], implies the following result, which

was conjectured by Nakaya [29] (see his conjecture 5 for $N = 5$). This theorem gives a formula for the number of linear factors over \mathbb{F}_p of the supersingular polynomial $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ corresponding to the Fricke group $\Gamma_0^*(5)$, introduced by Koike and Sakai [30], [31]. As in [29], $L(p)$ denotes the number of supersingular j -invariants of elliptic curves which lie in the prime field \mathbb{F}_p . This number was determined by Deuring [12] and is given by

$$L(p) = S(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}h(-p), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2h(-p), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ h(-p), & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}; \end{cases}$$

where $h(-p)$ is the class number of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. (See also [6, p. 97].)

Theorem 1.2. *If $p > 5$ is a prime, the number of linear factors of the supersingular polynomial $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ corresponding to the Fricke group $\Gamma_0^*(5)$ over \mathbb{F}_p is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(5^*)}(p) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-p}{5} \right) \right) L(p) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 2 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{5p} \right) \right) \left(2 + \left(\frac{-2}{5p} \right) \right) \right\} h(-5p) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{5} \right) \right) h(-p) + \frac{1}{4} h(-5p), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ \left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{5} \right) \right) h(-p) + \frac{1}{2} h(-5p), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}; \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{5} \right) \right) h(-p) + h(-5p), & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This result is analogous to formulas proved by Nakaya [29] for the polynomials $ss_p^{(2^*)}(X)$ and $ss_p^{(3^*)}(X)$.

Nakaya [29] has conjectured [29, Conjectures 1, 6] that the polynomial $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ has degree given by

$$\deg(ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)) = \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) \right).$$

I give a proof of this conjecture in Section 7, using a parametrization discussed in [28, Theorem 6.1]. A similar proof also establishes his conjecture for $\deg(ss_p^{(7^*)}(X))$.

Nakaya has also conjectured that $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ is a product of linear factors (mod p) if and only if p is one of the primes in the set $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}$.

Curiously, these are exactly the prime divisors of the order of the Harada-Norton group HN and of the Janko group J_1 . See [29, Conjecture 2], [8, Ch. 10]. Theorem 1.2 implies that for $p > 5$, $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ is a product of linear factors (mod p) if and only if

$$L^{(5^*)}(p) = \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) \right).$$

Nakaya's Conjecture 2 (for $N = 5$) can be obtained from this formula using a standard estimate of the class numbers $h(-p)$ and $h(-5p)$, along with a straightforward, if tedious, calculation. (See Table 10 in Section 7.) As he has discussed in [29] in connection with a number of examples of the same phenomenon, this is an analogue of Ogg's observation that the 15 primes for which the supersingular polynomial $ss_p(X)$ is a product of linear factors over \mathbb{F}_p coincide with the prime factors of the order of the Monster group. See the discussion in [15] and [16]. See also [5] and the related papers [3] and [4] of H. Brandt.

2 Factorization of $K_{5p}(x)$ mod p

Define $K_{5p}(X) = H_{-20p}(X)$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $K_{5p}(X) = H_{-5p}(X)H_{-20p}(X)$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following congruence for $K_{5p}(X)$ modulo p .

Theorem 2.1. *If $p > 379$ is a prime, then we have the factorization*

$$K_{5p}(X) \equiv H_{-20}(X)^{2\epsilon_{20}} \prod_{d \in \mathfrak{T}} H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d} \prod_i (X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2 \pmod{p},$$

where $H_{-d}(X)$ is the class polynomial for discriminant $-d$, \mathfrak{T} is the set

$$\mathfrak{T} = \{4, 11, 16, 19, 24, 36, 51, 64, 84, 91, 96, 99\};$$

ϵ_d is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{20} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-20}{p} \right) \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{5}{p} \right) \right), \\ \epsilon_d &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-d}{p} \right) \right), \text{ if } d \in \{4, 11, 16, 19\}, \\ \epsilon_d &= \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-d}{p} \right) \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\text{disc}(H_{-d}(X))}{p} \right) \right), \text{ if } d \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{84} &= \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-84}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{7}{p}\right)\right), \\ \epsilon_{96} &= \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-96}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right);\end{aligned}$$

and the polynomials $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ in the product \prod_i are certain irreducible factors of the supersingular polynomial $ss_p(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_p which are distinct from the factors in the product over $d \in \mathfrak{T}$.

For the sake of completeness, I note here the discriminants of the quadratic factors $H_{-d}(X)$, for $d \in \mathfrak{T}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{disc}(H_{-24}(X)) &= (2^{19})3^6 13^2 19^2, \\ \text{disc}(H_{-36}(X)) &= 2^{20}(3^3)7^4 19^2 31^2, \\ \text{disc}(H_{-51}(X)) &= 2^{30}3^6 7^4 (17)31^2, \\ \text{disc}(H_{-64}(X)) &= (2^5)3^{14}7^4 11^4 19^2 59^2, \\ \text{disc}(H_{-91}(X)) &= 2^{32}3^{12}7^2 11^4 (13)71^2, \\ \text{disc}(H_{-99}(X)) &= 2^{30}(3)7^4 (11^3)13^2 19^4 79^2.\end{aligned}$$

Factors in parentheses indicate nontrivial contributions to the Legendre symbols in Theorem 2.1. Note that $d \in \mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and the prime ideal divisor \wp_5 of 5 in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ satisfies $\wp_5^2 \sim 1$ in the ring class group $(\text{mod } f)$, where $-d = d_K f^2$. See [27, Prop. 3.2].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. This is proved by the method of [25] and an extended computer calculation. The proof will be divided into several parts.

Notation and method of proof.

Let $\Phi_5(X, Y) = 0$ denote the modular curve of level 5. This equation can be computed using the resultant

$$5^{15}\Phi_5(x, y) = \text{Res}_z((z^2 + 12z + 16)^3 + x(z + 11), (z^2 - 228z + 496)^3 + y(z + 11)^5).$$

We have by direct calculation on Maple that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{disc}_y(\Phi_5(x, y)) &= 5^5 x^4 (x - 1728)^4 \prod_{d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4\}} H_{-d}(x)^2, \\ \Phi_5(x, x) &= -(x^2 - 1264000x - 681472000)(x - 1728)^2 (x + 32^3)^2 \\ &\quad \times (x - 66^3)^2 (x + 96^3)^2 \\ &= -H_{-20}(x)H_{-4}(x)^2 H_{-11}(x)^2 H_{-16}(x)^2 H_{-19}(x)^2.\end{aligned}$$

See also Fricke [17, III, p.338] for this discriminant formula and Cox [9, p. 263] for the second formula. Also, let $Q_5(u, v)$ denote the de-symmetrized form of $\Phi_5(X, Y)$, so that $Q_5(-x - y, xy) = \Phi_5(x, y)$. This polynomial is:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_5(u, v) = & u^6 - 1963211489280u^5 \\
& + (-246683410956v + 1284733132841424456253440)u^4 \\
& + (-2028551200v^2 - 128541798897012758937600v \\
& - 280244777828439527804321565297868800)u^3 \\
& + (-4550940v^3 + 383083610766544859184v^2 \\
& - 192457939757860831020806056181760v \\
& + 6692500042627997708487149415015068467200)u^2 \\
& + (-3720v^4 - 107878922099683200v^3 \\
& - 26898103232984020907026022400v^2 \\
& - 35714002250464310712293507636763033600v \\
& - 53274330803424425450420160273356509151232000)u \\
& - v^5 + 1666008466480v^4 - 441973132732967824498752v^3 \\
& + 5495857649359740948103830574202880v^2 \\
& - 277458457161876591676690089078008919883776v \\
& + 2^{90} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11^9.
\end{aligned}$$

From [25, Lemma 2.3] we know that for $p > 20$ the irreducible factors of $K_{5p}(x)$ are the same as the supersingular factors which divide $\Phi_5(x^p, x)$, and their multiplicities are the same as their multiplicities in $\Phi_5(x^p, x)^2$:

$$K_{5p}(x) = \prod_i q_i(x)^{e_i}, \text{ over } q_i(x) \mid \gcd(ss_p(x), \Phi_5(x^p, x))$$

and $q_i(x)^{e_i} \parallel \Phi_5(x^p, x)^2$. For the proof of the theorem we shall calculate $F(x) = \Phi_5(x^p, x)$ and its first and second derivatives mod p evaluated at a root t of each supersingular factor $H_{-d}(X)$, for $d \in \mathfrak{T}$, to show that $H_{-d}(X)^4 \parallel K_{5p}(X) \bmod p$. These are the only factors which can occur to a power greater than 2 in $K_{5p}(X)$, because

$$\text{disc}_x(\Phi_5(x^p, x)) \mid \Delta = \text{disc}_y(\Phi_5(x, y)).$$

See [25, Prop. 2.4].

Linear factors.

Roots of $K_{5p}(X)$ in \mathbb{F}_p satisfy $x^p = x$, so the above remarks imply that linear factors can only come from the roots of $\Phi_5(x, x) \equiv 0$, i.e. factors of $H_{-d}(x) \pmod{p}$ for $d \in \{4, 11, 16, 19, 20\}$; and these factors, for $d \neq 20$, are supersingular in characteristic p if and only if the corresponding $\epsilon_d = 1$. For $d = 20$, the roots of

$$H_{-20}(x) = x^2 - 1264000x - 681472000,$$

which lie in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, are supersingular and contained in \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $\epsilon_{20} = 1$. We must check that the multiplicities of the linear factors are 2, if $d = 20$ and 4, if $d \in \{4, 11, 16, 19\}$.

With $Q(u, v) = Q_5(u, v)$ let

$$Q_1 = \frac{\partial Q(u, v)}{\partial u}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{\partial Q(u, v)}{\partial v},$$

denote the first partials of Q and Q_{ij} the second partials. If

$$F(t) = \Phi_5(t^p, t) = Q(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}),$$

then in characteristic p ,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= -Q_1(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}) + t^p Q_2(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}), \\ F''(t) &= Q_{11}(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}) - 2t^p Q_{12}(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}) + t^{2p} Q_{22}(-t^p - t, t^{p+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the roots t of the linear factors we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= Q(-2t, t^2), \quad F'(t) = -Q_1(-2t, t^2) + tQ_2(-2t, t^2), \\ F''(t) &= Q_{11}(-2t, t^2) - 2tQ_{12}(-2t, t^2) + t^2Q_{22}(-2t, t^2). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The following values can be checked on Maple:

$$\begin{aligned} F(1728) &= F'(1728) = 0, \quad F''(1728) = 2^{41}3^{24}5^27^811^419^4; \\ F(-32^3) &= F'(-32^3) = 0, \quad F''(-32^3) = -2^{63} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^811^213^417^219^343^2; \\ F(66^3) &= F'(66^3) = 0, \quad F''(66^3) = 2^{21}3^{26} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^811^319^3 \cdot 31 \cdot 43^267^2 \cdot 71 \cdot 79; \\ F(-96^3) &= F'(-96^3) = 0, \quad F''(-96^3) = -2^{63}3^{26} \cdot 5 \cdot 13^419^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 59 \cdot 67^2 \cdot 79. \end{aligned}$$

These values show that the multiplicity of $H_{-d}(x)$ in $\Phi_5(x^p, x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p is 2, for $d \in \{4, 11, 16, 19\}$ and $p > 79$, and therefore the multiplicities of the $q_i(x) = H_{-d}(x)$ in $K_{5p}(x)$ for these d are $e_i = 4$, when they occur (for $p > 79$). Moreover, the linear factors corresponding to these values of d are distinct \pmod{p} , for $p > 67$.

For $d = 20$, we use (1) to evaluate $F(t)$ and its derivative at $t = 632000 + 282880\sqrt{5}$, which is a root of $H_{-20}(x)$. We find that $F(t) = 0$ in characteristic 0, but that $F'(t) = A + B\sqrt{5}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -2^{56} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^7 \cdot 13^5 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 79^2 \cdot 919 \\ B &= -2^{54} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^6 \cdot 13^5 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 29 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 79^2 \cdot 467 \cdot 543287; \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$A^2 - 5B^2 = -2^{108} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11^{12} \cdot 13^{10} \cdot 17^6 \cdot 19^{10} \cdot 31^4 \cdot 59^2 \cdot 71^2 \cdot 79^4.$$

This calculation shows that the linear factors $(x - t), (x - t')$ of $H_{-20}(x)$ divide $K_{5p}(x)$ to the second power, when they occur and lie in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ (for $p > 79$). Factoring $H_{-20}(t)$ for $t = 12^3, -32^3, 66^3, -96^3$ shows that none of the linear factors mentioned above coincides with a factor of $H_{-20}(x) \pmod{p}$, when $p > 79$. This completes the argument for the linear factors of $K_{5p}(x)$.

Quadratic factors.

Next, the class equations $H_{-d}(x)$ are quadratic for the six values of $d \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$. Letting t be a root of $H_{-d}(x) = x^2 + ux + v$, for one of these d , we verify that $F(t) = Q(u, v) = 0$ and $F'(t) = Q_1(u, v) = Q_2(u, v) = 0$ in characteristic 0, but that $F''(t) \not\equiv 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p , for $p > 379$. To check the latter note that $F''(t) = D_1(u, v) - t^p D_2(u, v)$, where

$$D_1(u, v) = Q_{11}(u, v) - vQ_{22}(u, v), \quad D_2(u, v) = 2Q_{12}(u, v) + uQ_{22}(u, v).$$

(See [25, eq. (2.8)].) Using that $1, t^p$ are independent over \mathbb{F}_p , it follows that $F''(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if and only if $p \mid D_1(u, v)$ and $p \mid D_2(u, v)$. We check that $F''(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for the six values of d above by evaluating D_1 and D_2 at the coefficients of the polynomials $H_{-d}(x)$. Recall now that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-24}(x) &= x^2 - 4834944x + 14670139392, \\ H_{-36}(x) &= x^2 - 153542016x - 1790957481984, \\ H_{-51}(x) &= x^2 + 5541101568x + 6262062317568, \\ H_{-64}(x) &= x^2 - 82226316240x - 7367066619912, \\ H_{-91}(x) &= x^2 + 10359073013760x - 3845689020776448, \\ H_{-99}(x) &= x^2 + 37616060956672x - 56171326053810176. \end{aligned}$$

The values of $\gcd(D_1, D_2)$ for these values of d are contained in Table 1. The factorizations in the table show that the quadratic polynomials $H_{-d}(x)$

Table 1: Calculating $F''(t) \neq 0$.

d	$\gcd(D_1, D_2)$
24	$2^{36} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 109$
36	$2^{39} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 67 \cdot 79 \cdot 127 \cdot 139 \cdot 151 \cdot 163$
51	$2^{46} \cdot 3^{19} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^7 \cdot 17 \cdot 37 \cdot 61 \cdot 79 \cdot 139 \cdot 163 \cdot 211$
64	$2^{11} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 67 \cdot 139 \cdot 163 \cdot 211 \cdot 283 \cdot 307$
91	$2^{50} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 109 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 331 \cdot 379$
99	$2^{46} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 61 \cdot 109 \cdot 127 \cdot 139 \cdot 211 \cdot 283 \cdot 307$

divide $K_{5p}(x)$ to exactly the fourth power (mod p), when they occur, for $p > 379$. Furthermore, factoring the coefficients of the differences $H_{-d_1}(x) - H_{-d_2}(x)$ shows that these class polynomials are all distinct (mod p), when $p > 307$.

Quadratic factors, $d = 84$.

The only other quadratic factors that can occur in the factorization of $K_{5p}(x)$ to a power higher than the second are quadratic factors of the quartic polynomials $H_{-84}(x)$ and $H_{-96}(x)$, by the formula for the discriminant of $\Phi_5(x, y)$. We start with the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-84}(x) &= x^4 - 3196800946944x^3 - 5663679223085309952x^2 \\ &\quad + 88821246589810089394176x - 5133201653210986057826304, \\ &= (x^2 - 1598400473472x + 92704725504000)^2 \\ &\quad - 2^{18}3^97^313^229^2(3187x - 184896)^2, \end{aligned}$$

whose discriminant is

$$\text{disc}(H_{-84}(x)) = 2^{116}3^{44}7^{14}13^{12}29^443^253^261^267^273^279^2.$$

With some calculation using the above expression, it is straightforward to check that the splitting field of $H_{-84}(x)$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{7})$. If $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = +1$ and

$\left(\frac{7}{p}\right) = -1$, where $p > 79$, then $H_{-84}(x)$ factors into two irreducible quadratics over \mathbb{F}_p . One of these factors is

$$q_1(x) = x^2 + ux + v = x^2 + (922836934656\sqrt{3} - 1598400473472)x + 1649310419952599040\sqrt{3} - 2856689444809764864,$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q(u, v)) &= 2^{108}3^{62}7^{12}13^{12}29^643^247^253^461^373^297^3 \\ &\quad \times 157 \cdot 181 \cdot 229 \cdot 241 \cdot 313 \cdot 349 \cdot 397 \cdot 409. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Hence, $q_1(x)$ and its conjugate $\tilde{q}_1(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ do not divide $\Phi_5(x^p, x) \pmod{p}$, for $p > 409$. Furthermore,

$$\gcd(N(Q_1), N(Q_2)) = 2^{76}3^{43}7^813^829^4 \cdot 47 \cdot 53^261^2 \cdot 73 \cdot 97,$$

where $N(a)$ denotes the norm to \mathbb{Q} , so $q_1(x)$ can occur only to the second power in $K_{5p}(x)$ and can be absorbed into the final product of the theorem, when it or $\tilde{q}_1(x)$ occurs, for $97 < p \leq 409$. In Section 6 we will call these factors, as well as similar factors occurring below, *sporadic* factors. (See the analogous arguments below using equations (3), (4), (5).)

Similarly, if $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{7}{p}\right) = +1$, $H_{-84}(x)$ has the factor

$$q_2(x) = x^2 + ux + v = x^2 + (604139268096\sqrt{7} - 1598400473472)x - 9357315081633792\sqrt{7} + 24757128541605888.$$

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q(u, v)) &= 2^{108}3^{36}7^{15}13^{12}29^443^247^2 \cdot 53 \cdot 59^261^473^483^2 \\ &\quad \times 113 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 149 \cdot 197 \cdot 233^2 \cdot 281 \cdot 317 \cdot 389 \cdot 401. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence, $q_2(x)$ and $\tilde{q}_2(x)$ do not divide $\Phi(x^5, x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p , for $p > 401$. We have that

$$\gcd(N(Q_1), N(Q_2)) = 2^{76}3^{30}7^{10}13^8 \cdot 47 \cdot 61^273^2 \cdot 83,$$

and so $q_2(x)$ and $\tilde{q}_2(x)$ occur only to the second power in $K_{5p}(x)$, when either occurs, for $83 < p \leq 401$.

On the other hand, if $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{7}{p}\right) = -1$, $H_{-84}(x)$ has the factor

$$q_3(x) = x^2 + ux + v = x^2 + (348799965696\sqrt{21} - 1598400473472)x - 20235870240768\sqrt{21} + 92704725504000,$$

and in this case $Q(u, v) = Q_1(u, v) = Q_2(u, v) = 0$ in characteristic 0. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(N(D_1), N(D_2)) &= 2^{70}3^{30}5^{27}7^813^629^243^367^2 \\ &\quad \times 79 \cdot 127 \cdot 151 \cdot 163 \cdot 211^2 \cdot 331 \cdot 379, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $q_3(x)$ and its conjugate $\tilde{q}_3(x)$ divide $K_{5p}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p , with multiplicity 4, exactly when $\epsilon_{84} = 1$, for primes $p > 379$. Moreover, the largest prime factor of any resultant $\text{Res}(H_{-84}(x), H_{-d}(x))$, for the values $d \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$, for which $H_{-84}(x) \pmod{p}$ is a product of two irreducible quadratics, is 379, so the factors q_3 and \tilde{q}_3 are distinct from the quadratic factors found above, for $p > 379$. This establishes the contribution of $H_{-84}(x)$ to the factorization of $K_{5p}(x)$.

Quadratic factors, $d = 96$.

A similar analysis applies to the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-96}(x) &= x^4 - 23340144296736x^3 + 670421055192156288x^2 \\ &\quad + 447805364111967209472x - 984163224549635621646336 \\ &= (x^2 - 11670072148368x + 10900447400376000)^2 \\ &\quad - 2^93^{13}13^217^241^261^2(739x - 690264)^2, \end{aligned}$$

whose discriminant is

$$\text{disc}(H_{-96}(x)) = 2^{56}3^{46}13^{12}17^{12}19^623^237^241^443^261^467^289^2.$$

For $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = +1$ and $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$, the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + ux + v &= x^2 + (8251987131648\sqrt{2} - 11670072148368)x \\ &\quad + 12701433452887296\sqrt{2} - 17962539423257664 \end{aligned}$$

is a factor of $H_{-96}(x) \pmod{p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q(u, v)) &= 2^{54}3^{36}13^{12}17^819^423^{10}37^441^243^247^261^667^2 \\ &\quad \times 89 \cdot 113^2137^2139^2257 \cdot 281 \cdot 353 \cdot 401 \cdot 449. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\gcd(N(Q_1), N(Q_2)) = 2^{40}3^{30}13^817^423^537^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 61^4 \cdot 137.$$

Thus, the above factor only occurs to the second power in $K_{5p}(x)$, when it or its conjugate occurs, for $137 < p \leq 449$, so it can be absorbed into the final product in the congruence of the theorem.

For $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = +1$, the polynomial

$$x^2 + ux + v = x^2 + (6737719296672\sqrt{3} - 11670072148368)x \\ - 197611189074074880\sqrt{3} + 342272619618959808$$

is a factor of $H_{-96}(x) \pmod{p}$ and

$$\text{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q(u, v)) = -2^{54}3^{63}13^{12}17^819^423^237^541^643^261^267^289^4 \\ \times 109^3 \cdot 229 \cdot 277^2 \cdot 349 \cdot 373 \cdot 397 \cdot 421. \quad (5)$$

In this case,

$$\gcd(N(Q_1), N(Q_2)) = 2^{40}3^{49}13^817^437^241^4 \cdot 71 \cdot 89^2 \cdot 109,$$

so these factors occur only to the second power in $K_{5p}(x)$ when they occur, for $109 < p \leq 421$. Finally, the polynomial

$$x^2 + ux + v = x^2 - (4764286992816\sqrt{6} + 11670072148368)x \\ + 4450089034924416\sqrt{6} + 10900447400376000$$

is a factor of $H_{-96}(x)$ when $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$, and $Q(u, v) = Q_1(u, v) = Q_2(u, v) = 0$, while

$$\gcd(N(D_1), N(D_2)) = 2^{41}3^{37}5^213^617^219^441^243^361^267^2139^2 \\ \times 163 \cdot 211 \cdot 283 \cdot 307 \cdot 331 \cdot 379.$$

Thus, the factors of $H_{-96}(x)$ divide $K_{5p}(x)$ to the 4-th power when $\epsilon_{96} = 1$ and $p > 379$. The largest prime dividing a resultant $\text{Res}(H_{-96}(x), H_{-d}(x))$, for $d \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 84, 91, 99\}$, modulo which $H_{-96}(x)$ factors as a product of two irreducible quadratics, is 379. This completes the discussion of the factors in the first product.

The remaining irreducible quadratic factors $q_i(x) = x^2 + a_i x + b_i$ are exactly the factors of $ss_p(x)$, distinct from the factors of $H_{-d}(x)$ ($d \in \mathfrak{T}$), for which $Q_5(a_i, b_i) = Q(a_i, b_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, by [25, Theorem 3.1], and their multiplicities in $K_{5p}(x)$ are exactly 2. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

For $p = 379$, the polynomials $H_{-91}(x), H_{-84}(x), H_{-96}(x)$ all have the factor $H_{-91}(x) \equiv x^2 + 114x + 51 \pmod{379}$, and this factor occurs to the power 6 in the factorization of $K_{5p}(x)$. Thus, the factorization

formula in Theorem 2.1 does not hold for $p = 379$ (barely!). By factoring the supersingular polynomial $ss_{379}(x) \pmod{379}$ and comparing with the factors and multiplicities of $\Phi_5(x^{379}, x) \pmod{379}$, it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{5,379}(x) &= H_{-5 \cdot 379}(x)H_{-4 \cdot 5 \cdot 379}(x) \equiv \\ & (x + 163)^2(x + 181)^2(x + 150)^4(x + 165)^4(x + 167)^4 \\ & \times (x^2 + 338x + 303)^4(x^2 + 359x + 73)^4(x^2 + 288x + 354)^4 \\ & \times (x^2 + 180x + 346)^4(x^2 + 114x + 51)^6(x^2 + 47x + 352)^4(x^2 + 23x + 346)^4 \\ & \times (x^2 + 68x + 125)^2(x^2 + 191x + 240)^2(x^2 + 320x + 244)^2(x^2 + 152x + 232)^2 \\ & \times (x^2 + 57x + 374)^2 \pmod{379}. \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the fact that $h(-5 \cdot 379) = h(-20 \cdot 379) = 48$. Therefore, the condition $p > 379$ in Theorem 2.1 is sharp.

Moreover, there are 22 primes $p < 379$ which do not occur in any of the factorizations in the proof of Theorem 2.1, and which do not divide the differences $H_{-d_1}(t) - H_{-d_2}(t)$ for $d_1, d_2 \in \{4, 11, 16, 19, 20\}$ or for $d_1, d_2 \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$; or the remainders on dividing $H_{-84}(t)$ or $H_{-96}(t)$ by $H_{-d}(t)$, for $d \in \{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$; or the resultant $\text{Res}(H_{-84}(t), H_{-96}(t))$, for which $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$. These are the primes in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = \{ & 101, 103, 107, 167, 173, 179, 191, 193, 199, 223, 227, \\ & 239, 251, 263, 269, 271, 293, 311, 337, 347, 359, 367\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For these primes all the arguments in the proof are valid. This implies the following.

Corollary 2.2. *The assertion of Theorem 2.1 also holds for all 22 primes in the set \mathcal{S} .*

Equating degrees in the congruence of Theorem 2.1 yields the following formula. Let

$$a_p = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) \left(2 + \left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \right);$$

so that $a_p = 1, 2, 4$ according as $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or $p \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{8}$.

Theorem 2.3. *For primes $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and for $p > 379$, the following formula holds:*

$$a_p h(-5p) = 4\epsilon_{20} + \sum_{d \in \mathfrak{I}} 4\epsilon_d \deg(H_{-d}(X)) + 4N_p,$$

where N_p is the number of irreducible quadratic factors $X^2 + a_iX + b_i$ of $J_p(X)$, not dividing any of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{\epsilon_a} \pmod{p}$ in Theorem 2.1, for which $Q_5(a_i, b_i) = 0 \pmod{p}$.

The factors $X^2 + a_iX + b_i$ of $J_p(X)$ in this theorem, whose count is N_p , occur to only the first power in $\Phi_5(X^p, X)$ over \mathbb{F}_p . See [25, pp. 78, 83, 91, 92]. This yields an easy way of distinguishing them from the other factors of $J_p(X)$ for which $Q_5(a_i, b_i) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p .

3 The endomorphism $\mu = \sqrt{-5l}$

The next step in the proof is to show that for roots b of the factors $g(x)$ and $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1, the supersingular elliptic curve $E_5(b)$ has an endomorphism μ with $\mu^2 = -5l$, i.e., that $\sqrt{-5l}$ injects into the quaternion algebra $\text{End}(E_5(b))$. To prepare for this, we solve the equation $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1 = 0$ algebraically, using the cubic resolvent for $g(x - \frac{a}{4})$. (See [34, pp. 194-196].)

Lemma 3.1. *If $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$, the roots of the cubic resolvent of $g(x - \frac{a}{4})$ are*

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1 &= \frac{-1}{4}(a^2 - 44a - 16), \\ \Theta_2 &= -a \left(\frac{a}{4} - \frac{11 + 5\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) = -a \left(\frac{a}{4} + \varepsilon^5 \right), \\ \Theta_3 &= -a \left(\frac{a}{4} - \frac{11 - 5\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) = -a \left(\frac{a}{4} + \varepsilon^5 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\Theta_2\Theta_3 = \frac{a^2}{16}(a^2 - 44a - 16) = -\frac{a^2}{4}\Theta_1$. The roots of $g(x) = 0$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 &:= \frac{-a}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_1}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_3}}{2}, \\ \rho_2 &:= \frac{-a}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_1}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_3}}{2}, \\ \rho_3 &:= \frac{-a}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_1}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_3}}{2}, \\ \rho_4 &:= \frac{-a}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_1}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\Theta_3}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Straightforward calculation shows that

$$-(\rho_1 + \rho_4) = \varepsilon^5(\rho_1\rho_4 - 1), \quad -(\rho_2 + \rho_3) = \varepsilon^5(\rho_2\rho_3 - 1).$$

It follows that $(x - \rho_1)(x - \rho_4)$ and $(x - \rho_2)(x - \rho_3)$ are polynomials of the form $x^2 + rx + s$, with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$, as in Theorem 1.1B. Further,

$$-(\rho_1 + \rho_2) = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(\rho_1\rho_2 - 1), \quad -(\rho_3 + \rho_4) = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(\rho_3\rho_4 - 1),$$

so that $(x - \rho_1)(x - \rho_2)$ and $(x - \rho_3)(x - \rho_4)$ have the form $x^2 + rx + s$, with $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$.

We also note that $\rho_3 = -1/\rho_1$ and $\rho_4 = -1/\rho_2$. Thus, the factors of the form $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1B and C come in pairs of factors, whose product has the form $g(x)$. Note also that the roots of $g(x)$ are invariant under $\tau(b) = \frac{-b + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 b + 1}$, since

$$(\varepsilon^5 x + 1)^4 g(\tau(x)) = 5^3 \varepsilon^{10} g(x), \quad \tau(x) = \frac{-x + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 x + 1}. \quad (7)$$

Also, $-1/\tau(b) = \bar{\tau}(b) = \frac{-b + \bar{\varepsilon}^5}{\bar{\varepsilon}^5 b + 1}$.

Now assume b is a root of the factor $g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$ of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) = 0$ over \mathbb{F}_l . Then $E_5 = E_5(b)$ is supersingular in characteristic l . The calculations of [22] imply that $E_5(b)$ is isogenous to $E_5(\tau(b))$ by an isogeny ϕ_b of degree 5, for the following reason. By [22, p. 259], there is an isogeny $\psi : E_5 \rightarrow E_{5,5}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_l(b)$, where $E_{5,5} = E_{5,5}(b)$ is the curve

$$\begin{aligned} E_{5,5}(b) : Y^2 + (1 + b)XY + 5bY &= X^3 + 7bX^2 + 6(b^3 + b^2 - b)X \\ &\quad + b^5 + b^4 - 10b^3 - 29b^2 - b, \end{aligned}$$

for which

$$X(\psi(P)) = \frac{b^4 + (3b^3 + b^4)x + (3b^2 + b^3)x^2 + (b - b^2 - b^3)x^3 + x^5}{x^2(x + b)^2}, \quad x = X(P).$$

Furthermore, $E_{5,5}(b) \cong E_5(\tau(b))$ by an isomorphism ι , since these two curves have the same j -invariant, namely

$$j(E_{5,5}(b)) = \frac{(b^4 - 228b^3 + 494b^2 + 228b + 1)^3}{b(1 - 11b - b^2)^5}.$$

The X -coordinate of $\iota(Q)$ (for $Q \in E_{5,5}(b)$) is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}(b)$, since it is given by $\iota(X_1, Y_1) = (X_2, Y_2)$, where

$$\iota(X_1) = X_2 = \lambda^2 X_1 + \lambda^2 \frac{b^2 + 30b + 1}{12} - \frac{\tau(b)^2 + 6\tau(b) + 1}{12},$$

with $\lambda^2 = \frac{\sqrt{5}\bar{\varepsilon}^5}{(b - \bar{\varepsilon}^5)^2}$. Composing the isogeny ψ with this isomorphism ι gives the isogeny $\phi_b = \iota \circ \psi$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\phi_b : E_5(b) \rightarrow E_5(\tau(b))$ be the isogeny defined above, and let $\phi_{\tau(b)} : E_5(\tau(b)) \rightarrow E_5(b)$ be the isogeny obtained by replacing b in the formulas for ϕ_b by $\tau(b)$ (leaving $\sqrt{5}$ fixed). Then $\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b = \alpha \circ [5]$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(E_5(b))$ and $[5]$ is the multiplication-by-5 map on $E_5(b)$. Thus, if $j(E_5(b)) \neq 0, 1728$, we have $\alpha = \pm 1$ and $\phi_{\tau(b)} = \pm \hat{\phi}_b$, where $\hat{\phi}_b$ is the dual isogeny of ϕ_b .*

Proof. Since $\tau(\tau(b)) = b$, it is clear that $\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b$ is an isogeny from $E_5(b)$ to itself whose kernel contains the group $\langle(0, 0)\rangle$. To prove the lemma we must show that the kernel is actually $E_5(b)[5]$ and not a cyclic subgroup of $E_5(b)$ of order 25. For this we use the following formula from [26] for the X -coordinate of a point $P \in E_5(b)$ of order 5, which does not lie in $\langle(0, 0)\rangle$:

$$X(P) = \frac{-\varepsilon^4 (-2u^2 + (1 + \sqrt{5})u - 3\sqrt{5} - 7)(2u^2 + (2\sqrt{5} + 4)u + 3\sqrt{5} + 7)}{2(-2u^2 + (\sqrt{5} + 1)u - 2)(u + 1)^2},$$

where

$$u^5 = -\frac{b - \bar{\varepsilon}^5}{b - \varepsilon^5}.$$

A calculation on Maple shows that

$$X(\psi(P)) = \frac{-5 + \sqrt{5}}{10}(b^2 + \varepsilon^4 b + \bar{\varepsilon}^2).$$

Then the above formula for ι gives $X_2 = \iota(X(\psi(P))) = 0$. Hence, the point P maps to $\phi_b(P) = \pm(0, 0)$ on $E_5(\tau(b))$. Now the kernel of ψ is the group $\langle(0, 0)\rangle$ on $E_5(b)$, so $\ker(\phi_b) = \langle(0, 0)\rangle$, whence it follows that $\ker(\phi_{\tau(b)}) = \langle(0, 0)\rangle$ on $E_5(\tau(b))$. But the point $P \notin \langle(0, 0)\rangle$, so $(0, 0)$ and P generate $E_5(b)[5]$. Since $\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b(P) = \phi_{\tau(b)}(\pm(0, 0)) = O$ on $E_5(b)$, we have $\ker(\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi) = E_5(b)[5]$. Since this is also the kernel of the multiplication-by-5 map on $E_5(b)$, it follows that $\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b = \alpha \circ [5]$ in $\text{End}(E_5(b))$, for some automorphism α of $E_5(b)$. Since $l > 5$, the only possibility for $j(E_5) \neq 0, 1728$ is $\alpha = \pm[1]$. This proves the lemma. (See [33, pp. 73-74, 103].) \square

Remark. The minimal polynomials of the only values of b , for which $j(E_5(b)) = 0, 1728$, divide the respective polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} c_4(x) &= x^4 + 12x^3 + 14x^2 - 12x + 1, \\ c_6(x) &= -(x^2 + 1)(x^4 + 18x^3 + 74x^2 - 18x + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The quartic factor of $c_6(x)$ only has the form of $g(x)$ in characteristic $l > 5$ if $l = 7$, and $c_4(x)$ never has the form of $g(x)$, for characteristic $l > 5$. Note also that the discriminants of these quartic polynomials are only divisible by the primes 2, 3, 5, so neither can be the square of a factor of the form $k(x)$, for $p > 5$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $E_5(b)$ is supersingular in characteristic l .*

(a) *If $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, the multiplication-by- l map $[l] \in \text{End}(E_5(b))$ acts on points $P \in E_5(b)$ by*

$$[l]P = \pm(X(P)^{l^2}, Y(P)^{l^2}) = \pm P^{l^2}.$$

(b) *If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, we have*

$$[l^2]P = -(X(P)^{l^4}, Y(P)^{l^4}) = -P^{l^4}.$$

Proof. (a) This is proved in the course of proving Theorem 6.1(i) in [22, pp. 263-265]. (Take $m = 1$ in that proof.) For the convenience of the reader, we give the following proof, which is similar to the proof of Proposition 1 in [6, p. 87]. Let $\mu_0 = l$ be the meromorphism on the function field $\mathbf{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l(x, y)$ of the curve $E_5(b)$ induced by $[l]$; then μ_0 maps \mathbf{K} isomorphically onto its subfield \mathbf{K}^{μ_0} , and $(x, y)^{\mu_0} = (f(x, y), g(x, y))$ for some rational functions f and g for which

$$[l]P = \mu_0 P = (f(P), g(P)). \quad (8)$$

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime divisor of the field \mathbf{K} , then by Hasse's formula [19, pp. 72-73], [11, p. 205] we have

$$[l]\mathfrak{p} = \mu_0 \mathfrak{p} = N_0(\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_0^{-1}},$$

where $N_0 : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\mu_0}$ is the norm map from \mathbf{K} to \mathbf{K}^{μ_0} . Now the reduced norm satisfies $N(l) = l^2$ in the quaternion algebra $\text{End}(E_5(b))$ (see [20, p. 199]), so the defining formula $N(l) = [\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{K}^{\mu_0}]$ implies that the degree of inseparability of $\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{K}^{\mu_0}$ in characteristic l is l^2 . In particular, the norm map N_0 satisfies $N_0(a) = a^{l^2}$, for elements $a \in \mathbf{K}$, and similarly for divisors in \mathbf{K} . Now we have the divisor equality

$$(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_4}{\mathfrak{o}^2},$$

where the prime divisors \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{o} correspond, respectively, to the points $P_i = i(0, 0)$ and O . Since $[l](0, 0) = \pm(0, 0)$, the map $[l]$ either fixes both points P_1 and P_4 or interchanges them. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_i^{\mu_0} = N_0(\mathfrak{p}_{\pm i}) = \mathfrak{p}_{\pm i}^{l^2}$ (reading subscripts mod 5), and therefore $x^{\mu_0} = ax^{l^2}$, for some constant $a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$

(because $\mathfrak{o}^{\mu_0} = \mathfrak{o}^{l^2}$). Now consider $P_2 = 2(0, 0) = (-b, b^2)$ on $E_5(b)$. A similar argument shows that the divisor

$$(x + b) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3}{\mathfrak{o}^2}$$

satisfies $(x + b)^{\mu_0} = (x + b)^{l^2}$, implying that the field element $(x + b)^{\mu_0} = c(x + b)^{l^2}$ for some constant c . Since μ_0 is an isomorphism fixing constants, we have that

$$c(x + b)^{l^2} = (x + b)^{\mu_0} = x^{\mu_0} + b = ax^{l^2} + b,$$

whence it follows that

$$c = a, \quad \text{and} \quad cb^{l^2} = b.$$

The assumption that $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ implies that $b \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}$; hence $b^{l^2} = b$ and $a = c = 1$. Thus $x^{\mu_0} = x^{l^2} = f(x, y)$, which implies that the endomorphism $[l] = \mu_0$ raises X -coordinates of points $P \in E_5(b)$ to the power l^2 , by (8). Hence $[l]P = \pm P^{l^2}$.

(b) Now assume that $l \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$. If the meromorphism μ_0 corresponds to $[l]$, as in part (a), the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [22, pp. 267-268] gives the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\mu_0} &= b^2(x^{l^2} + b^{l^2}) = b^2x^{l^2} - b, \\ y^{\mu_0} &= b^3(b^{l^2}x^{l^2} + y^{l^2}) = -b^2x^{l^2} + b^3y^{l^2}, \end{aligned}$$

using that $b^{l^2+1} = -1$. A straightforward calculation yields

$$x^{\mu_0^2} = x^{l^4}, \quad y^{\mu_0^2} = -(1 + b)x^{l^4} - b - y^{l^4},$$

and this implies that

$$(x, y)^{\mu_0^2} = (x^{l^4}, -(1 + b)x^{l^4} - b - y^{l^4}) = -(x^{l^4}, y^{l^4}).$$

A similar argument works if $l \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, using

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\mu_0} &= b^2(x^{l^2} + b^{l^2}) = b^2x^{l^2} - b, \\ y^{\mu_0} &= -b^3(x^{l^2} + y^{l^2} + b^{l^2}) = -b^3x^{l^2} - b^3y^{l^2} + b^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose that $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ and $g(x)$ factors into irreducible quadratics over \mathbb{F}_l of the form given in Theorem 1.1B or C, with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$. In this

case $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}$, so ψ is certainly defined over \mathbb{F}_{l^2} . An easy calculation shows that τ permutes the roots of $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ and therefore $\tau(b) = b^l$ over \mathbb{F}_l , since $\tau(b) \neq b$ are conjugates over \mathbb{F}_l :

$$(\varepsilon^5 b + 1)^2 k(\tau(b)) = 5\sqrt{5}\varepsilon^5 k(b), \quad \tau(b) = \frac{-b + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 b + 1}. \quad (9)$$

(The only exception to this is $k(x) = x^2 + (11 + 5\sqrt{5})x - 1$, whose roots are the fixed points of $\tau(x)$. The square $k(x)^2$ has the form of the polynomial $g(x)$ when $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$; but $k(x) \mid x^4 + 22x^3 - 6x^2 - 22x + 1$, which does not have the form $g(x)$ when $l > 5$.) Then $\mu = \phi_b^l : (X, Y) \rightarrow (\phi_b(X)^l, \phi_b(Y)^l)$ is an endomorphism of $E_5(b)$, and if $P = (x, y)$,

$$\mu^2(P) = [\phi_b(\phi_b(P)^l)]^l = (\pm\phi_{b^l} \circ \phi_b(P))^{l^2} = (\pm\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b(P))^{l^2}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 and the above remark, $\phi_{\tau(b)} \circ \phi_b = \pm[5]$, so that $\mu^2 = \pm 5l$ in $\text{End}(E_5(b))$, by Lemma 3.3(a). But $\text{End}(E_5(b))$ is a definite quaternion algebra, so that $\mu = \phi_b^l$ satisfies $\mu^2 = -5l$ and $\mu = \pm\sqrt{-5l}$. The same conclusion holds if $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ and $\bar{\tau}(b) = b^l$.

On the other hand, suppose that $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, and assume $g(x)$ is an irreducible factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(X) = 0$ over \mathbb{F}_l . In this case the isogeny ϕ_b is defined over $\mathbb{F}_l(b) = \mathbb{F}_{l^4}$, where b is a root of $g(x) = 0$. The map $\sigma = (b \rightarrow \tau(b) = \frac{-b + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 b + 1}, \sqrt{5} \rightarrow -\sqrt{5})$ is an automorphism of order 4 of $\mathbb{F}_{l^4}/\mathbb{F}_l$, satisfying $\sigma^2 = (b \rightarrow -1/b)$. Hence, $\tau(b) = b^l$ or $\sigma^3(b) = -1/\tau(b) = \bar{\tau}(b) = b^l$.

In the first case, the isogeny $\bar{\phi}_b : E_5(b) \rightarrow E_5(\bar{\tau}(b)) = E_5(b^{l^3})$ is obtained by replacing $\sqrt{5}$ by $-\sqrt{5}$ in the formulas for ϕ_b . The isogeny $\bar{\phi}_{\bar{\tau}(b)} : E_5(b^{l^3}) \rightarrow E_5(b)$ can be obtained by replacing b by $\bar{\tau}(b)$ in the formulas for $\bar{\phi}_b$. If the coefficients c in $\bar{\phi}_b$ are replaced by c^{l^3} , this doesn't result in $\bar{\phi}_{\bar{\tau}(b)}$, but in the isogeny $\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)}$ taking $E_5(\bar{\tau}(b))$ to $E_5(\tau(\bar{\tau}(b))) = E_5(-1/b) = E_5(b^{l^2})$. We have that $\ker(\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)}) = \langle(0, 0)\rangle$ in $E_5(\bar{\tau}(b))$, as before. Hence, if $\mu = \bar{\phi}_b^l$, we have that $\mu \in \text{End}(E_5(b))$, and the endomorphism μ^2 given by

$$\mu^2(P) = [\bar{\phi}_b(\bar{\phi}_b(P)^l)]^l = (\pm\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)} \circ \bar{\phi}_b(P))^{l^2}$$

still has the kernel $E_5(b)[5]$. Iterating the last formula and noting that $\sqrt{5}^{l^2} = \sqrt{5}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^4(P) &= (\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)} \circ \bar{\phi}_b(\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)} \circ \bar{\phi}_b(P))^{l^2})^{l^2} \\ &= ((\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b^{l^2})} \circ \bar{\phi}_{b^{l^2}}) \circ (\phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)} \circ \bar{\phi}_b)(P))^{l^4} \\ &= (\psi_2 \circ \psi_1(P))^{l^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the isogeny $\psi_1 = \phi_{\bar{\tau}(b)} \circ \bar{\phi}_b$ from $E_5(b)$ to $E_5(b^{l^2})$ has degree 5^2 and kernel $E_5(b)[5]$, it follows that the image of $E_5(b)[25]$ under this isogeny is $E_5(b^{l^2})[5]$, which is the kernel of the degree- 5^2 map $\psi_2 = \phi_{\bar{\tau}(b^{l^2})} \circ \bar{\phi}_{b^{l^2}}$. (Note that $\bar{\phi}_b(\bar{P}) = \pm(0, 0)$ for the point $\bar{P} \in E_5(b)[5]$ obtained by replacing $\sqrt{5}$ by $-\sqrt{5}$ in the formulas for the point P in the proof of Lemma 3.2.) Hence, the kernel of $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ is $E_5(b)[25]$. It follows as before, on appealing to Lemma 3.3(b), that $\mu^4 = \pm 5^2 l^2$. If $\mu^4 = -5^2 l^2$, then $\mu \in \text{End}(E_5(b))$ is the root of the irreducible quartic polynomial $X^4 + 5^2 l^2$ (irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Capelli's theorem [10, p. 87]), which is impossible. Therefore, $\mu^4 = 5^2 l^2$, so $\mu^2 = \pm 5l$, and we conclude that $\mu^2 = -5l$ in $\text{End}(E_5(b))$ (in characteristic $l > 7$). A similar argument works if $\bar{\tau}(b) = b^l$. This proves the following.

Theorem 3.4. *If $l > 7$ is a prime, then for any root b of an irreducible factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l of the form*

$$g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1,$$

or of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$, there is an endomorphism (multiplier) $\mu \in \text{End}(E_5(b))$ satisfying $\mu^2 = -5l$.

Now Deuring's lifting theorem [11] yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *If $l > 7$ is a prime, any irreducible factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l of the form*

$$g(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1 \quad \text{or} \quad k(x) = x^2 + rx + s,$$

with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$, arises by reduction as a factor of

$$F_d(x) = x^{5h(-d)}(1 - 11x - x^2)^{h(-d)}H_{-d}(j(x)) \quad \text{modulo } l,$$

with

$$j(x) = \frac{(x^4 + 12x^3 + 14x^2 - 12x + 1)^3}{x^5(1 - 11x - x^2)},$$

for one of the discriminants $-d = -5l$ or $-d = -20l$.

Proof. Let b be a root of $g(x)$, resp. $k(x)$, in \mathbb{F}_{l^4} , resp. \mathbb{F}_{l^2} . The result of Theorem 3.4 says that in characteristic l there is an meromorphism (multiplier) $\bar{\mu}$ of the function field \bar{K} of the curve $E_5(b)$ satisfying $\bar{\mu}^2 = -5l$. Deuring's theorem [11, p. 259] says that \bar{K} and $\bar{\mu}$ arise from an elliptic curve E in characteristic 0 and a multiplier μ of its function field K by reduction modulo a prime divisor of l :

$$K \rightarrow \bar{K}, \quad \mu \rightarrow \bar{\mu}.$$

Then the characteristic-zero meromorphism ring $M(\mathbb{K}) \cong \text{End}(E)$ must contain the element $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Since algebraic relations are preserved by the injection of $M(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow M(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$, it follows that $\sqrt{-5l} \in M(\mathbb{K})$. Hence, the curve E has complex multiplication by one of the orders $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-5l})$ or $\mathbb{Z}(\frac{1+\sqrt{-5l}}{2})$. By the theory of complex multiplication, the j -invariant $j(E)$ must then be a root of $H_{-5l}(X)$ or $H_{-20l}(X)$; since $j(E)$ reduces to $\bar{j} = j(E_5(b)) = j(b)$ in characteristic l , \bar{j} is a root of $H_{-5l}(X)$ or $H_{-20l}(X)$ modulo l . This proves the theorem. \square

The factors $k(x) = x^2 + (11 \pm 5\sqrt{5})x - 1$ mentioned above are also covered by the statements in these two theorems, since

$$\begin{aligned} F_{20}(x) = & (x^4 + 22x^3 - 6x^2 - 22x + 1)(x^{20} + 50x^{19} + 1150x^{18} + 14550x^{17} \\ & + 118525x^{16} + 1746272x^{15} + 34835400x^{14} + 376573200x^{13} \\ & + 1950875650x^{12} + 4311023700x^{11} + 2400976244x^{10} - 4311023700x^9 \\ & + 1950875650x^8 - 376573200x^7 + 34835400x^6 - 1746272x^5 \\ & + 118525x^4 - 14550x^3 + 1150x^2 - 50x + 1), \end{aligned}$$

when $\epsilon_{20} = 1$, i.e. when $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

4 Analyzing the special factors of $K_{5l}(X)$

By Theorem 3.5, the j -invariants corresponding to factors of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l are reductions of roots of $K_{5l}(X)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, either

$$g(x) \text{ or } k(x) \mid F_d(x) \pmod{l}, \text{ for some } d \in \{20\} \cup \mathfrak{T} \text{ with } \epsilon_d = 1, \quad (10)$$

or

$$g(x) \text{ or } k(x) \mid x^{10}(1 - 11x - x^2)^2(j(x)^2 + a_i j(x) + b_i) \pmod{l}; \quad (11)$$

where $F_d(x)$ and $j(x)$ are given in Theorem 3.5 and $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ is one of the factors of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1.

In this section we will show that each of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$, for $d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4\}$ in Theorem 2.1, yields $\deg(H_{-d}(X))$ factors of the form $g(x)$, when $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ (Proposition 4.1), and $2 \cdot \deg(H_{-d}(X))$ factors of the form $k(x)$ when $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ (Proposition 4.3); always under the assumption that $\epsilon_d = 1$. Otherwise, $\epsilon_d = 0$ and the factor corresponding to d obviously contributes no factors.

4.1 Primes $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$.

We start with the case $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$.

Proposition 4.1. *When $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ and $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$, each of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$ of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1 contributes exactly $\epsilon_d \deg(H_{-d}(X))$ irreducible factors of the form $g(x)$ in Theorem 3.5, by means of (10), to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$, except for the factor $H_{-4}(X)^{4\epsilon_4}$ when $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. We determine when (10) holds for the factors $H_{-d}(X)$ and $d \in \mathfrak{T}$. First, $\epsilon_{20} = 0$ for the prime l , so we can ignore $F_{20}(x)$ for these primes. For the linear class equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x) &= (x^2 + 1)^2(x^4 + 18x^3 + 74x^2 - 18x + 1)^2, \\ F_{11}(x) &= (x^4 + 4x^3 + 46x^2 - 4x + 1) \\ &\quad \times (x^8 + 32x^7 + 300x^6 + 32x^5 - 8026x^4 - 32x^3 + 300x^2 - 32x + 1), \\ F_{16}(x) &= (x^4 + 18x^3 + 200x^2 - 18x + 1) \\ &\quad \times (x^8 + 18x^7 - 50x^6 + 18x^5 + 15774x^4 - 18x^3 - 50x^2 - 18x + 1), \\ F_{19}(x) &= (x^4 + 36x^3 + 398x^2 - 36x + 1)(x^8 + 76x^6 - 24474x^4 + 76x^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The factor $(x^2 + 1)^2$ is a reducible polynomial of the form $g(x)$, while the last three quartics are irreducible polynomials of the form $g(x)$ in characteristic zero. These polynomials are denoted by $Q_d(x)$ in [27, Prop. 4.1], and are irreducible factors of $F_d(x)$ of degree $4h(-d)$, for any $d > 4$ satisfying $d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$.

The discriminants of the three quartics $Q_d(x)$, for $d = 11, 16, 19$ (listed in the first two rows of Table 4), are not divisible by any prime greater than 19. If $\epsilon_d = 1$ for a prime $l > 19$, then their reductions \pmod{l} must divide $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$. But [22, Thm. 6.2] asserts that $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ can only have irreducible factors which are either quartic or $x^2 + 1$. If one of these factors were reducible \pmod{l} , then it would have to factor as $(x^2 + 1)^2 \pmod{l}$, which is impossible for $l > 19$. Hence, each of these quartics yields an irreducible $g(x) \pmod{l}$ for any prime l for which the corresponding $\epsilon_d = 1$.

On the other hand, the remaining polynomials (of degrees 4 or 8) in the above factorizations are not divisible by polynomials over \mathbb{F}_l of the form $g(x)$ for large enough primes, by the following argument. This is obvious for the quartic factor of $F_4(x)$, when l does not divide $11 \cdot 18 + 2 - 74 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$. If

$$f_{11}(x) = x^8 + 32x^7 + 300x^6 + 32x^5 - 8026x^4 - 32x^3 + 300x^2 - 32x + 1$$

is divisible (mod l) by a factor of the form $g(x) = x^4 + tx^3 + (11t+2)x^2 - tx + 1$, then since $x^8 f_{11}(-1/x) = f_{11}(x)$ and $x^4 g(-1/x) = g(x)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11}(x) &= x^4 \tilde{f}_{11} \left(x - \frac{1}{x} \right), \quad \tilde{f}_{11}(x) = x^4 + 32x^3 + 304x^2 + 128x - 7424, \\ g(x) &= x^2 \tilde{g} \left(x - \frac{1}{x} \right), \quad \tilde{g}(x) = x^2 + tx + 11t + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then $g(x) \mid f_{11}(x)$ implies $\tilde{g}(x) \mid \tilde{f}_{11}(x) \pmod{l}$. On the other hand, the remainder on dividing $\tilde{f}_{11}(x)$ by $\tilde{g}(x)$ is

$$r_{11}(x) = (-t^3 + 54t^2 - 648t)x - 11t^3 + 469t^2 - 3128t - 8624.$$

If this is 0 (mod l), then l must divide the resultant of the coefficients in t , which is

$$\text{Res}_t(-t^3 + 54t^2 - 648t, -11t^3 + 469t^2 - 3128t - 8624) = -2^{17} 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 43.$$

It follows that $f_{11}(x)$ contributes no irreducible factors of the form $g(x)$ to $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$, for $l > 43$. The same argument works for the 8-th degree factors $f_{16}(x)$ and $f_{19}(x)$ in the above factorizations, since the corresponding resultants are

$$\text{Res}_t(-t^3 + 40t^2 - 144t, -11t^3 + 315t^2 + 666t + 15876) = 2^6 3^9 7^3 \cdot 19 \cdot 43 \cdot 67;$$

$$\text{Res}_t(-t^3 + 22t^2 - 72t, -11t^3 + 117t^2 - 792t - 24624) = 2^{17} 3^9 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 67.$$

This proves that there is one irreducible factor of the form $g(x)$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ for each of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$ (when $\epsilon_d = 1$), for $d = 11, 16, 19$, and no such factors for $H_{-4}(X)^{4\epsilon_4}$, for $l > 67$. For $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, this gives one factor $g(x)$ for each linear factor $H_{-d}(x)$ dividing $K_{5l}(x)$; and for $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, one less than that, since $H_4(x)^4$ divides $K_{5l}(x)$ but does not yield a factor $g(x)$.

Consider next the quadratic class equations $H_{-d}(X)$, for d one of the integers in $\{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$. We see first that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{24}(x) &= (x^8 - 12x^7 + 16x^6 + 3156x^5 + 16878x^4 - 3156x^3 + 16x^2 + 12x + 1) \\ &\quad \times (x^{16} + 84x^{15} + 3236x^{14} + 73860x^{13} + 983188x^{12} + 6801300x^{11} \\ &\quad + 18487964x^{10} + 6727524x^9 + 78893398x^8 - 6727524x^7 + 18487964x^6 \\ &\quad - 6801300x^5 + 983188x^4 - 73860x^3 + 3236x^2 - 84x + 1) \\ &= Q_{24}(x)f_{24}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_{24} = 1$ when $\left(\frac{-6}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{l}\right) = -1$, so that $\left(\frac{-3}{l}\right) = +1$. Now the 8-th degree factor $Q_{24}(x)$ is the product of

$$g_{24}(x) = x^4 + (-6 + 6\sqrt{-3})x^3 + (-64 + 66\sqrt{-3})x^2 + (6 - 6\sqrt{-3})x + 1$$

and its conjugate over \mathbb{Q} . Since $\left(\frac{-3}{l}\right) = +1$, these two factors give two irreducible factors of the form $g(x) \bmod l$, when $l > 19$, the largest prime dividing $\text{disc}(Q_{24}(x))$. On the other hand, transforming $f_{24}(x)$ as we did above to yield the 8-th degree polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{24}(x) = & x^8 + 84x^7 + 3244x^6 + 74448x^5 + 1002624x^4 + 7171776x^3 \\ & + 22449856x^2 + 27501312x + 117842176, \end{aligned}$$

the remainder of $\tilde{f}_{24}(x)$ on dividing by $\tilde{g}(x)$ is $A_{24}(t)x + B_{24}(t)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{24}(t) = & -t^7 + 150t^6 - 9050t^5 + 280932t^4 - 4646880t^3 + 36376128t^2 \\ & - 86966784t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{24}(t) = & -11t^7 + 1525t^6 - 83550t^5 + 2295377t^4 - 31830180t^3 + 178683408t^2 \\ & - 134106624t + 43877376. \end{aligned}$$

We compute that

$$\text{Res}_t(A_{24}(t), B_{24}(t)) = -2^{47}3^{23}13^417\cdot19^523^337\cdot41\cdot43^247\cdot61\cdot67\cdot71\cdot89\cdot109\cdot113.$$

Hence, $F_{24}(x)$ contributes exactly $2 = \deg(H_{-24}(x))$ irreducible quartics of the form $g(x)$, for $l > 113$, when $\epsilon_{24} = 1$.

The same pattern of argument works for the remaining integers in the set $\{24, 36, 51, 64, 91, 99\}$. For each such d , $F_d(x)$ contributes exactly $2 = \deg(H_{-d}(x))$ irreducible quartics of the form $g(x)$, for primes l greater than the largest of the primes dividing the corresponding resultants $R(d) = \text{Res}(A_d(t), B_d(t))$, where $r_d = A_d(t)x + B_d(t)$ is the remainder on dividing the corresponding 8-th degree polynomial $\tilde{f}_d(x)$ by the polynomial $\tilde{g}(x)$ from (12). The results are given in Tables 2 and 3. Table 2 contains the quartic polynomials which are factors of each $Q_d(x)$ and reduce to polynomials of the form $g(x) \bmod l$, and Table 3 lists the factorizations of the resultants $R(d)$. Table 4 contains the discriminants of the polynomials $Q_d(x)$.

A similar argument works for $d = 84$ and $d = 96$. The condition $\epsilon_{84} = 1$ implies that

$$\left(\frac{-84}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{7}{l}\right) = -1,$$

Table 2: Quartic factors $g_d(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l .

d	$g_d(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$
11	$x^4 + 4x^3 + 46x^2 - 4x + 1$
16	$x^4 + 18x^3 + 200x^2 - 18x + 1$
19	$x^4 + 36x^3 + 398x^2 - 36x + 1$
24	$x^4 - (6 - 6\sqrt{-3})x^3 + (-64 + 66\sqrt{-3})x^2 + (6 - 6\sqrt{-3})x + 1$
36	$x^4 + (30 + 22\sqrt{-3})x^3 + (332 + 242\sqrt{-3})x^2 - (30 + 22\sqrt{-3})x + 1$
51	$x^4 - (12 - 48\sqrt{-3})x^3 + (-130 + 528\sqrt{-3})x^2 + (12 - 48\sqrt{-3})x + 1$
64	$x^4 - (108 - 63\sqrt{-2})x^3 + (-1186 + 693\sqrt{-2})x^2 + (108 - 63\sqrt{-2})x + 1$
91	$x^4 - (108 - 144\sqrt{-7})x^3 + (-1186 + 1584\sqrt{-7})x^2 + (108 - 144\sqrt{-7})x + 1$
99	$x^4 + (436 + 176\sqrt{-3})x^3 + (4798 + 1936\sqrt{-3})x^2 - (436 + 176\sqrt{-3})x + 1$
84	$x^4 - (117 + 57\sqrt{-3} + 27\sqrt{21} + 33\sqrt{-7})x^3 - (1285 + 627\sqrt{-3} + 297\sqrt{21} + 363\sqrt{-7})x^2 + (117 + 57\sqrt{-3} + 27\sqrt{21} + 33\sqrt{-7})x + 1$
96	$x^4 + (81 + 159\sqrt{-2} + 129\sqrt{-3} + 33\sqrt{6})x^3 + (893 + 1749\sqrt{-2} + 1419\sqrt{-3} + 363\sqrt{6})x^2 - (81 + 159\sqrt{-2} + 129\sqrt{-3} + 33\sqrt{6})x + 1$

Table 3: Prime factorization of $R(d) = \text{Res}(A_d(t), B_d(t))$.

d	$R(d)$ (Primes with $\epsilon_d = 1$ in bold.)
20	$2^{69}5^{27}11^913^817^419^631^437^3 \cdot 53 \cdot \mathbf{59} \cdot \mathbf{71} \cdot 73^2 \cdot \mathbf{79}^2 \cdot 97$
24	$-2^{47}3^{23}13^417 \cdot 19^523^3\mathbf{37} \cdot 41 \cdot \mathbf{43}^247 \cdot \mathbf{61} \cdot \mathbf{67} \cdot 71 \cdot 89 \cdot \mathbf{109} \cdot 113$
36	$2^{52}3^{10}7^{11}11^619^323 \cdot \mathbf{31} \cdot \mathbf{43}^2 \cdot \mathbf{67} \cdot 71 \cdot \mathbf{79} \cdot 83 \cdot 107 \cdot \mathbf{127} \cdot \mathbf{139} \cdot \mathbf{151} \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 167$
51	$-2^{75}3^{24}7^{11}17^2 \cdot \mathbf{31} \cdot \mathbf{37} \cdot 47^253 \cdot 59 \cdot \mathbf{61} \cdot \mathbf{79} \cdot 83 \cdot \mathbf{139} \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 179 \cdot \mathbf{211}$
64	$2^{14}3^{34}7^{12}11^319^5 \cdot 23 \cdot 31^2\mathbf{43}^2 \cdot \mathbf{59} \cdot \mathbf{67} \cdot 79 \cdot 127 \cdot \mathbf{139} \cdot 151 \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 167 \cdot \mathbf{211} \cdot 223 \cdot \mathbf{283} \cdot \mathbf{307}$
91	$2^{74}3^{37}7^711^313^4 \cdot 17 \cdot \mathbf{37} \cdot 61^2 \cdot \mathbf{67} \cdot \mathbf{71} \cdot 103 \cdot \mathbf{109} \cdot 139 \cdot \mathbf{151} \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 283 \cdot \mathbf{331} \cdot \mathbf{379}$
99	$2^{75}7^{11}11 \cdot 13^417 \cdot 19^329^341^2\mathbf{43}^2 \cdot \mathbf{61} \cdot \mathbf{79} \cdot 83^2 \cdot 107^2 \cdot \mathbf{109} \cdot \mathbf{127} \cdot \mathbf{139} \cdot \mathbf{211} \cdot 227 \cdot \mathbf{283} \cdot \mathbf{307} \cdot 347$
84	$2^{192}3^{84}7^{24}13^{22}29^{10}\mathbf{43}^847^553 \cdot 59^561^3\mathbf{67}^373^2\mathbf{79}^283^597^3 \cdot 113 \cdot \mathbf{127} \cdot 131^2 \cdot \mathbf{137} \cdot 149 \cdot \mathbf{151} \cdot 157 \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 167^2 \cdot 181 \cdot 197 \cdot \mathbf{211}^2 \cdot 227 \cdot 229 \cdot 233^2 \cdot 241 \cdot 281 \cdot 311 \cdot 313 \cdot 317 \cdot \mathbf{331} \cdot 349 \cdot \mathbf{379} \cdot 383 \cdot 389 \cdot 397 \cdot 401 \cdot 409$
96	$-2^{104}3^{89}13^{22}17^619^{14}23^{12}37^741^6\mathbf{43}^847^461^6\mathbf{67}^771^2 \cdot 89 \cdot 109^3113^2137^2\mathbf{139}^4 \cdot \mathbf{163} \cdot 167 \cdot \mathbf{211} \cdot 229 \cdot 239 \cdot 257 \cdot 263^2 \cdot 277^2 \cdot 281 \cdot \mathbf{283} \cdot \mathbf{307} \cdot \mathbf{331} \cdot 349 \cdot 353 \cdot 359 \cdot 373 \cdot \mathbf{379} \cdot 383 \cdot 397 \cdot 401 \cdot 421 \cdot 431 \cdot 449$

Table 4: Discriminant of $Q_d(x)$.

d	$\text{disc}(Q_d(x))$	d	$\text{disc}(Q_d(x))$
11	$2^{12}5^311^2$	19	$2^{12}3^45^319^2$
16	$2^63^45^311^4$		
24	$2^{44}3^{16}5^{18}19^4$	64	$2^{18}3^{24}5^{18}7^811^819^459^4$
36	$2^{48}3^65^{18}7^411^831^4$	91	$2^{64}3^{24}5^{18}7^411^813^471^4$
51	$2^{64}3^{16}5^{18}7^431^4$	99	$2^{64}3^45^{18}7^411^{12}19^879^4$
84	$2^{192}3^{64}5^{84}7^{20}13^{16}29^859^879^4$	96	$2^{96}3^{72}5^{84}13^{16}17^{16}19^841^861^871^8$

hence $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and

$$\left(\frac{21}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{-3}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{-7}{l}\right) = +1.$$

Thus, the polynomial listed in Table 2 for $d = 84$ reduces to an irreducible $g(x) \pmod{l}$, and for $l > 79$, taking its conjugates (over \mathbb{Q}) gives four distinct quartic factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ of this form. A similar argument applies for $d = 96$, since in this case

$$\left(\frac{-96}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{l}\right) = -1,$$

in order for $\epsilon_{96} = 1$, which implies once again that $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and

$$\left(\frac{6}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{-3}{l}\right) = +1.$$

To complete the argument in these cases, we transform the cofactor $f_d(x)$ in the factorization $F_d(x) = Q_d(x)f_d(x)$, obtaining $\tilde{f}_d(x)$ of degree 16, and divide by the polynomial $\tilde{g}(x)$ from (9) to obtain the remainder $r_d = A_d(t)x + B_d(t)$, as before. Then the resultant $R(d)$ for these two cases is given in Table 3. Moreover, we only need to exclude the primes in bold in Table 3, since the non-bold primes have $\epsilon_d = 0$. Keeping Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 in mind, this proves Proposition 4.1. \square

Looking ahead to Sections 5 and 6, if $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ and we can prove that each of the remaining factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ in Theorem 2.1 contributes only one irreducible factor of the form $g(x)$, then this will prove Theorem 1.1A for $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$. Namely, the above discussion gives exactly $N = \frac{1}{4} \deg(K_{5l}(X)) = \frac{h(-5l)}{4}$ factors $g(x)$ when $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $N - 1 = \frac{1}{4}(h(-5l) + h(-20l) - 4)$ such factors when $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Recall from the introduction that $h(-d)$ is the class number of the order R_{-d} of discriminant $-d$ in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$, while $h(-5l)$ denotes the class number of the maximal order in K . For the primes $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $h(-5l) = h(-5l)$ and $h(-20l) = h(-5l)$ or $3h(-5l)$ according as $\left(\frac{-5l}{2}\right) = +1$ or -1 , which implies the formulas of Theorem 1.1A. This yields all the irreducible factors $g(x)$ of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ by Theorem 3.5.

4.2 Primes $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$.

We turn now to the primes $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$.

Proposition 4.2. *If $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and $l > 79$, then for $d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4\}$, the polynomial $g_d(x)$ in Table 2 is a product of two irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_l of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$, where $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$.*

Proof. This follows from the computations at the beginning of Section 3, according to which the roots of $g_d(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1$ are linear combinations of the square-roots $\sqrt{-\Theta_i}$, where $\Theta_2\Theta_3 = -\frac{a^2}{4}\Theta_1$, and

$$-\Theta_1 = \frac{1}{4}(a^2 - 44a - 16).$$

Each of the Θ_i lies in \mathbb{F}_l , for primes $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, and none is zero in \mathbb{F}_l , since the largest prime factor of any value $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)$ in Table 5 is 19, and the largest prime factor of any value $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a^2 - 44a - 16)$ is 79. Moreover, the final column in Table 5 shows that in every case, $\left(\frac{-\Theta_1}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{l}\right) = -1$, assuming $\epsilon_d = 1$, so that $-\Theta_1$ is always a quadratic nonresidue \pmod{l} . Hence, one of $-\Theta_2$ and $-\Theta_3$ is a quadratic residue, and one is a quadratic nonresidue. If $-\Theta_2$ is a quadratic residue, then by the formulas for the roots ρ_i in Section 3,

$$x^2 + rx + s = (x - \rho_1)(x - \rho_2) \text{ and } x^2 + r'x + s' = (x - \rho_3)(x - \rho_4)$$

are factors of $g_d(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ and $r' = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s' - 1)$; while if $-\Theta_3$ is a quadratic residue, then

$$x^2 + rx + s = (x - \rho_1)(x - \rho_4) \text{ and } x^2 + r'x + s' = (x - \rho_2)(x - \rho_3)$$

are factors of $g_d(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ and $r' = \varepsilon^5(s' - 1)$. These factors are irreducible over \mathbb{F}_l in every case, since the Pellet-Stickelberger-Voronoi Theorem (see [6, Appendix]) implies that $g_d(x)$ has an even number of irreducible factors. This is because $\text{disc}(g_d(x)) = 125a^2(a^2 - 44a - 16)^2$ is always a square in \mathbb{F}_l , and the PSV Theorem says that

$$\left(\frac{\text{disc}(g_d(x))}{l} \right) = (-1)^{4+r},$$

where r is the number of irreducible factors (mod l). We know that $r \geq 2$. If $r = 4$, then all the roots $\rho_i \in \mathbb{F}_l$, implying that all the numbers $-\Theta_i$ would be squares (mod l), which is not the case. Therefore, $r = 2$ and we get two distinct factors of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ satisfying the required conditions, for each factor $g_d(x)$ in Table 2. This proves the proposition. \square

Proposition 4.3. *When $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ and $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$, the following facts hold.*

(a) *Each of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$ of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1, for $d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4\}$, contributes exactly $2\epsilon_d \text{deg}(H_{-d}(X))$ irreducible factors of the form $k(x)$ to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$, by means of (10).*

(b) *When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $H_{-20}(X)^2 \pmod{l}$ contributes $2\delta_{l,1}$ factors of the form $k(x)$ to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$; where $\delta_{l,1} = 1$ if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $\delta_{l,1} = 0$ if $l \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$.*

(c) *When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the factor $H_{-4}(X)^4$ in Theorem 2.1 only contributes the factor $k(x) = x^2 + 1$ to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$.*

Proof. (a) Aside from the quadratic factors $k(x) = x^2 + (11 \pm 5\sqrt{5})x - 1$ of

$$Q_{20}(x) = x^4 + 22x^3 - 6x^2 - 22x + 1,$$

the factor $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ always occurs with its companion $\bar{k}(x) = \frac{1}{s}x^2k(-1/x) = x^2 - \frac{r}{s}x + \frac{1}{s}$, such that $k(x)\bar{k}(x) = g(x)$ is a polynomial of the form $g(x)$. Since the roots of each cofactor $f_d(x)$ are stable under the mapping $x \rightarrow -1/x$, the calculations in subsection 4.1 show that none of these cofactors is divisible by a polynomial of the form $k(x)$, for $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$. The assertion now follows from Proposition 4.2.

(b) and (c): When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the factor $k(x) = x^2 + 1$ divides $F_4(x)$, and is certainly a factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$. The argument in (a) shows that the cofactor $f_4(x)$ of $(x^2 + 1)^2$ in $F_4(x)$ contributes no factors $k(x)$. This proves (c). Moreover, in this case $\epsilon_{20} = 1$, and $F_{20}(x)$ is divisible by $Q_{20}(x) =$

Table 5: $-4\Theta_1 = a^2 - 44a - 16$ for a in $g_d(x)$.

d	a	$a^2 - 44a - 16$
11	4	$-2^4 \cdot 11$
16	18	$-2^2 \cdot 11^2$
19	36	$-2^4 \cdot 19$
24	$-6 + 6\sqrt{-3}$	$2^5 \left(\frac{7-3\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)^2$
36	$30 + 22\sqrt{-3}$	$-2^6 \left(\frac{11-\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)^2$
51	$-12 + 48\sqrt{-3}$	$2^4(17)(2 - 3\sqrt{-3})^2$
64	$-108 + 63\sqrt{-2}$	$-(90 + 91\sqrt{-2})^2$
91	$-108 + 144\sqrt{-7}$	$2^4(13)(9 - 10\sqrt{-7})^2$
99	$436 + 176\sqrt{-3}$	$-2^4(11)(23 - 18\sqrt{-3})^2$
84	$-117 - 57\sqrt{-3} - 27\sqrt{21} - 33\sqrt{-7}$	$-(-104 + 78\sqrt{-3} - 18\sqrt{21} + 48\sqrt{-7})^2$
96	$81 + 159\sqrt{-2} + 129\sqrt{-3} + 33\sqrt{6}$	$-(-221 + 51\sqrt{-2} + 39\sqrt{-3} - 93\sqrt{6})^2$

$(x^2 + (11 + 5\sqrt{5})x - 1)(x^2 + (11 - 5\sqrt{5})x - 1)$, which is a product of two factors of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$. Also,

$$\text{disc}(x^2 + (11 + 5\sqrt{5})x - 1) = 250 + 110\sqrt{5} = 2^2\sqrt{5}^3 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^5.$$

Thus, $q(x) = x^2 + (11 + 5\sqrt{5})x - 1$ factors into linear factors (mod l) if $\left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{l}\right) = +1$ and is irreducible (mod l) otherwise. Now $L_2 = \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}}\right)$ is the real subfield of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{20})$ (see [28, p. 6]). It corresponds by class field theory to the congruence subgroup $H = \{\pm 1 \pmod{20}\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z})^\times$. If $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, then $l \equiv 19 \pmod{20}$ so the polynomial $Q_{20}(x)$ splits, and $d = 20$ yields no factors of the form $k(x)$. On the other hand, if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, then $l \equiv 11 \pmod{20}$ has order 2 modulo H , implying that $Q_{20}(x)$ is a product of two irreducible polynomials (mod l). Thus, $d = 20$ yields two factors of the form $k(x)$, when $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. It is only necessary to check that $f_{20}(x)$ is also not divisible by a polynomial of the form $g(x)$ modulo any of these primes, and this follows from the entry $R(20)$ in Table 3. \square

Looking ahead to Sections 5 and 6, if $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ and we can show that each of the factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ in Theorem 2.1 yields two factors of the form $k(x)$, then we can complete the proof of Theorems 1.1B and C as follows. For each of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$ with $d \neq 4$, we have $2\epsilon_d \deg(H_{-d}(X))$ factors of the form $k(x)$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$. By Proposition 4.3, this gives a total of

$$\sum_{d \in \mathfrak{T} - \{4\}} 2\epsilon_d \deg(H_{-d}(X)) + \sum_{X^2 + a_i X + b_i} 2 + \epsilon_4(1 + 2\delta_{l,1}),$$

factors of the form $k(x)$. But this latter expression equals

$$\frac{1}{2}(\deg(K_{5l}(X)) - 4\epsilon_{20} - 4\epsilon_4) + \epsilon_4(1 + 2\delta_{l,1}) = \frac{a_l}{2}h(-5l) - 3\epsilon_4 + 2\epsilon_4\delta_{l,1},$$

where the last equality follows from $\epsilon_4 = \epsilon_{20}$, using the notation a_l of Theorem 2.3. This equals $\frac{a_l}{2}h(-5l)$ if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; $\frac{a_l}{2}h(-5l) - 3$ if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$; and $\frac{a_l}{2}h(-5l) - 1$ if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. This yields the formulas of Theorem 1.1B and C, for $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$.

5 Values of $r(\tau)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$

To prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices, by the final remarks in subsections 4.1 and 4.2, to prove that each factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ in Theorem 2.1 yields – by means of (11) – exactly one factor of the form $g(x)$, or two factors of the form $k(x)$, of the Hasse invariant $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$, for the appropriate congruence conditions on l . In this section we show that each factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ yields at least one factor $g(x)$, respectively two factors $k(x)$, of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$; then in Section 6 we show that no more than one, respectively two, such factors arise from $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$.

Let $r(w)$ denote the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction:

$$\begin{aligned} r(w) &= \frac{q^{1/5}}{1 + \frac{q}{1 + \frac{q^2}{1 + \frac{q^3}{1 + \dots}}}} = \frac{q^{1/5}}{1 + \frac{q}{1 + \frac{q^2}{1 + \frac{q^3}{1 + \dots}}}} \cdots, \\ &= q^{1/5} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{(n/5)}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i w}, \quad w \in \mathbb{H}. \end{aligned}$$

See [1], [2], [14]. We recall the following identities for $r(w)$ from [14, (2.5), (7.3)]:

$$j(w) = \frac{(r^{20} - 228r^{15} + 494r^{10} + 228r^5 + 1)^3}{r^5(1 - 11r^5 - r^{10})^5}, \quad r = r(w); \quad (13)$$

$$r^5 \left(\frac{-1}{5w} \right) = \frac{-r^5(w) + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 r^5(w) + 1} = \tau(r^5(w)). \quad (14)$$

Here and in the rest of this section we denote by $j(w)$ the modular j -function. These identities imply that

$$j \left(\frac{-1}{5w} \right) = j(5w) = \frac{(r^{20} + 12r^{15} + 14r^{10} - 12r^5 + 1)^3}{r^{25}(1 - 11r^5 - r^{10})}, \quad r = r(w),$$

and therefore

$$j(w) = \frac{(r^{20} + 12r^{15} + 14r^{10} - 12r^5 + 1)^3}{r^{25}(1 - 11r^5 - r^{10})}, \quad r = r(w/5). \quad (15)$$

Proposition 5.1. (a) *If $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and*

$$\tau_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{10},$$

then $\rho_1 = r(\tau_1)^5$ is a real algebraic number of degree $2h(-5l)$ over \mathbb{Q} , contained in the ray class field Σ_{\wp_5} , where $\wp_5^2 \cong 5$ in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5l})$. Moreover, $\Sigma_{\wp_5} = K(\rho_1)$.

(b) Let $f = 1$, if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; and $f = 2$, if $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If

$$\tau_2 = \frac{\sqrt{-5l}}{5},$$

then $\rho_2 = r(\tau_2)^5$ is a real algebraic number of degree $2h(-20l)$ over \mathbb{Q} , contained in the class field $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$. Moreover, $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f = K(\rho_2)$.

Proof. (a) We first recall the identity

$$\frac{1}{r^5(\tau)} - 11 - r^5(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(5\tau)} \right)^6,$$

where $\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind η -function. From Schertz [32, p. 159], applied to the function $\left(\frac{\eta(w/5)}{\eta(w)} \right)^6 \gamma_3(w)^2$, with $w = 5\tau_1$, we have that $\lambda = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau_1)}{\eta(5\tau_1)} \right)^6 \in \Sigma$, the Hilbert class field of K , and

$$q(r^5(\tau_1), \lambda) = r^{10}(\tau_1) + (11 + \lambda)r^5(\tau_1) - 1 = 0.$$

The discriminant δ of the quadratic $q(x, \lambda) = x^2 + (11 + \lambda)x - 1 \in \Sigma[x]$ is

$$\delta = (11 + \lambda)^2 + 4 = 125 + 22\lambda + \lambda^2 \cong \wp_5^3 \mathfrak{b}, \quad (\wp_5, \mathfrak{b}) = 1,$$

using the fact that $\lambda \cong \wp_5^3$ from Deuring's treatise [13, p. 43] and that $125 = 5^3 \cong \wp_5^6$. Now \wp_5 is unramified in Σ/K , so is not the square of a divisor in Σ . It follows that $q(x, \lambda)$ is irreducible over Σ , so that ρ_1 generates a quadratic extension of Σ . Also,

$$q_1 = e^{2\pi i \tau_1} = e^{\pi i - \frac{\sqrt{5l}}{5} \pi} = -e^{-\frac{\sqrt{5l}}{5} \pi}$$

is real, so that $r(\tau_1)^5 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now by Thm. 15.16 in [9] (a result of Cho [7]), the fact that $r^5(\tau)$ lies in the field \mathbb{F}_5 of modular functions for $\Gamma(5)$ implies that $r^5(\tau_1) \in L_{\mathcal{O}_K, 5} = \Sigma_5$, where Σ_5 is the ray class field for the conductor $\mathfrak{f} = (5)$. On the other hand, $[\Sigma_5 : \Sigma] = \frac{\varphi_K(5)}{2} = 10$, and therefore ρ_1 generates the unique quadratic subfield of Σ_5/Σ , which is Σ_{\wp_5} . In particular, $\mathbb{Q}(\rho_1) \subseteq \Sigma_{\wp_5}^+$, the real subfield of the normal extension $\Sigma_{\wp_5}/\mathbb{Q}$. Note that $[\Sigma_{\wp_5}^+ : \mathbb{Q}] = 2h(-5l)$.

By the identity (13), $j(\tau_1)$ is a rational function of $r(\tau_1)^5$. Since τ_1 is a basis quotient for an ideal in the maximal order R_K of K , the quantity

$j(\tau_1)$ generates a subfield of the Hilbert class field Σ of degree $h(-5l)$ over \mathbb{Q} . Hence

$$\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_1)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\rho_1) \subseteq \Sigma_{\wp_5}^+$$

implies that $\rho_1 = r^5(\tau_1)$ has degree $h(-5l)$ or $2h(-5l)$ over \mathbb{Q} . Since $\rho_1 \notin \Sigma^+ = \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_1))$, the latter must hold. Finally, the fact that ρ_1 is real implies that $K(\rho_1) = K\mathbb{Q}(\rho_1) = K\Sigma_{\wp_5}^+ = \Sigma_{\wp_5}$. This completes the proof.

(b) The same arguments work for part (b), if Σ, Σ_5 and Σ_{\wp_5} are replaced everywhere by $\Omega_2, \Sigma_5\Omega_2$ and $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_2$, when $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In this case, $L_{\mathcal{O}_K,5}$ is also to be replaced by $L_{\mathcal{O}_2,5}$, where $\mathcal{O}_2 = R_{-20l}$ is the order of discriminant $-20l$ in K and $h(-20l)$ is its class number. \square

In the next lemma we use the following notation from [27, p. 1184]. Let

$$z(w) = r^5(w/5) - r^{-5}(w/5), \quad w \in \mathbb{H},$$

so that

$$z(5\tau_k) = -11 - \lambda(\tau_k) = -11 - \left(\frac{\eta(\tau_k)}{\eta(5\tau_k)} \right)^6. \quad (16)$$

Note from the first paragraph of the above proof (and its analogue in part (b)) it is clear that $z(5\tau_i) \in \Omega_f$. From [27, pp. 1180, 1184], or by direct calculation using (15), we have

$$j(w) = -\frac{(z(w)^2 + 12z(w) + 16)^3}{z(w) + 11} = J(z(w)). \quad (17)$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $z_i = z(w_i)$, where $w_i = 5\tau_i$, τ_i as in Proposition 5.1. For a given ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (a, w) \subseteq R_{-d}$ ($d = 5l$ or $20l$) with ideal basis quotient $\tau = w/a$, where $(a, f) = 1$ and $5a \mid N(w)$, there is a unique value of $\tilde{z} \in \Omega_f$ for which*

$$J(\tilde{z}) = -\frac{(\tilde{z}^2 + 12\tilde{z} + 16)^3}{\tilde{z} + 11} = j(w/a)$$

and $\tilde{z} + 11 \cong \wp_5^3$, and this value is $\tilde{z} = z_i^{\sigma^{-1}}$, where $\sigma = \left(\frac{\Omega_f/K}{\mathfrak{a}R_K} \right)$.

Proof. We have $J(z_i) = j(w_i)$ from (16) and (17). Furthermore, $\{1, w_i\}$ is a basis for the order \mathcal{O}_f , where the conductor $f = 1$ or 2 as in Proposition 5.1. Hence,

$$J(z_i^{\sigma^{-1}}) = J(z_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} = j(w_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} = j(\mathfrak{a}) = j(w/a),$$

from the theory of complex multiplication (see [21, p. 125], [13, p. 35], or [9, p. 218]). Now assume there is another $\tilde{z} \in \Omega_f$ different from $z_i^{\sigma^{-1}}$ for which $J(\tilde{z}) = j(w/a)$. As in [27, Lemma 2.2] we define

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v) &= -uv \frac{J(u-11) - J(v-11)}{u-v} \\ &= u^5v + u^4v^2 + u^3v^3 + u^2v^4 + uv^5 - 30u^4v - 30u^3v^2 - 30u^2v^3 - 30uv^4 \\ &\quad + 315u^3v + 315u^2v^2 + 315uv^3 - 1300u^2v - 1300uv^2 + 1575uv - 125. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F(u, v) = 0$ is a curve of genus 0, parametrized by the rational functions

$$\begin{aligned} u &= -\frac{125}{t(t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25)} \\ v &= -\frac{t^5}{t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $F(\tilde{z} + 11, z_i^{\sigma^{-1}} + 11) = 0$ gives that

$$\tilde{z} + 11 = \frac{-125}{t(t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25)},$$

or

$$t^5 + 5t^4 + 15t^3 + 25t^2 + 25t + \frac{125}{\tilde{z} + 11} = 0,$$

for some algebraic number t . Since $\tilde{z} + 11 \cong \wp_5^3$, t is an algebraic integer. The equation for v gives that

$$z_i^{\sigma^{-1}} + 11 = \frac{-t^5}{t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25} = \frac{t^5}{\frac{125}{t(\tilde{z}+11)}} = t^6 \frac{(\tilde{z} + 11)}{125}.$$

It follows that

$$t^6 = 5^3 \frac{z_i^{\sigma^{-1}} + 11}{\tilde{z} + 11} \cong 5^3 \cong \wp_5^6.$$

Therefore, $t \cong \wp_5$. Furthermore, if \mathfrak{p} is a prime divisor of \wp_5 in $\Omega' = \Omega_f(t)$, then \mathfrak{p} divides the algebraic integer t , which implies in turn that

$$\mathfrak{p}^5 \mid \theta = t^5 + 5t^4 + 15t^3 + 25t^2 + 25t.$$

But then $\mathfrak{p}^5 \mid \frac{125}{\tilde{z}+11} \cong \wp_5^3$, which implies that $\mathfrak{p}^2 \mid \wp_5$, so that \wp_5 is ramified in Ω'/K . Let $e \geq 2$ denote the ramification index of \mathfrak{p} over \wp_5 . Then $\mathfrak{p}^e \mid t$. But this implies that $\mathfrak{p}^{5e} \mid \theta$, while \mathfrak{p}^{3e} exactly divides $\frac{125}{\tilde{z}+11} = -\theta$. This contradiction establishes that no such \tilde{z} , distinct from $z_i^{\sigma^{-1}}$, exists. \square

Remark. Lemma 5.2 says that the quantities $z(w/a) = z(w_i)^{\sigma^{-1}}$ transform in the same way that the j -invariants $j(w/a) = j(w_i)^{\sigma^{-1}}$ transform under the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\Omega_f/K)$.

The following theorem holds the keys to proving the existence of the polynomials $g(x)$ and $k(x)$ in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.3. (a) *Let the function $j_5^*(\tau)$ be defined by*

$$j_5^*(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(5\tau)} \right)^6 + 22 + 125 \left(\frac{\eta(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right)^6. \quad (18)$$

If $L \subset \Omega_f$ is the fixed field of $\sigma_{\wp_5} = \left(\frac{\Omega_f/K}{\wp_5} \right) \in \text{Gal}(\Omega_f/K)$, then the minimal polynomial of $\rho_i = r^5(\tau_i) \in \Sigma_{\wp_5} \Omega_f$ over L is

$$g_L(x) = x^4 + j_5^*(\tau_i)x^3 + (11j_5^*(\tau_i) + 2)x^2 - j_5^*(\tau_i)x + 1.$$

(b) *The prime divisor \mathfrak{l} , for which $\mathfrak{l}^2 = (l)$ in K , splits completely in the field L . Thus, if \mathfrak{q} is a prime divisor of \mathfrak{l} in L , then \mathfrak{q} has degree 1 over l , and*

$$g(x) := g_L(x) \bmod \mathfrak{q}$$

is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_l[x]$.

(c) *If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, the polynomial $g_L(x)$ irreducible in $L_{\mathfrak{q}}[x]$, where $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the completion of the field L with respect to the prime \mathfrak{q} . If $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, the polynomial $g_L(x)$ splits into two irreducible quadratics in $L_{\mathfrak{q}}[x]$.*

Proof. In order to work with certain values of $j(\tau)$, where τ is the basis quotient for an ideal in the order $R_{-d} = \mathcal{O}_f$, we first note the following.

When $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $f = 1$, the quantities $\frac{-1}{\tau_1} = \frac{-5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{2((5+l)/4)}$ and $\frac{-1}{5\tau_1} = \frac{-5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{2((5^2+5l)/4)}$ are basis quotients for ideals $\mathfrak{a} = \left(\frac{5+l}{4}, \frac{-5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{2} \right)$ with norm $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{5+l}{4}$ and $\wp_5 \mathfrak{a}$ with norm $N(\wp_5 \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{5^2+5l}{4}$. In this case, we have that

$$\wp_5 \mathfrak{a} = \left(\frac{5(5+l)}{4}, \frac{-5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{2} \right) = \left(\frac{-5 + \sqrt{-5l}}{2} \right).$$

When $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $f = 2$, $\frac{-1}{\tau_2} = \frac{\sqrt{-5l}}{l}$ and $\frac{-1}{5\tau_2} = \frac{\sqrt{-5l}}{5l}$ are basis quotients for the ideals $\mathfrak{l} = (l, \sqrt{-5l})$ and $\wp_5 \mathfrak{l} = (5l, \sqrt{-5l}) = (\sqrt{-5l})$, respectively. Let \mathfrak{a} denote the ideal \mathfrak{l} in this case, so that $\wp_5 \mathfrak{a} \sim 1 \pmod{f}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{l} \sim \wp_5$ in K , so we also have $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{l}$ when $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $f = 1$.

The identity (14) shows that the quantities $r^5(-1/(5\tau_k))$ are quadratic over Ω_f and lie in $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$. (Recall that $\sqrt{5}$ lies in the genus field of K and is therefore contained in the Hilbert class field.) Since

$$j\left(\frac{-1}{\tau_k}\right) = j(\mathfrak{a}) = j(\mathcal{O}_f)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}} = j(w_k)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}},$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \left(\frac{\Omega_f/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$, Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$z\left(\frac{-1}{\tau_k}\right) = z(w_k)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}},$$

and therefore (16) gives that

$$\lambda' = \lambda\left(\frac{-1}{5\tau_k}\right) = \lambda(\tau_k)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}}.$$

Note that $\lambda' = \lambda\left(\frac{-1}{5\tau_k}\right) = \frac{5^3}{\lambda(\tau_k)}$ by the transformation formula $\eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}}\eta(\tau)$. Therefore,

$$\lambda' = \lambda(\tau_k)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}} = \frac{5^3}{\lambda(\tau_k)}. \quad (19)$$

Thus, λ' and $\lambda = \lambda(\tau_k)$ are conjugate values over K and

$$\begin{aligned} & (x^2 + (11+\lambda)x - 1)(x^2 + (11 + \lambda')x - 1) \\ &= (x^2 + (11 + \lambda)x - 1)\left(x^2 + \left(11 + \frac{5^3}{\lambda}\right)x - 1\right) \\ &= x^4 + \left(22 + \lambda + \frac{5^3}{\lambda}\right)x^3 + \left[11\left(22 + \lambda + \frac{5^3}{\lambda}\right) + 2\right]x^2 \\ &\quad - \left(22 + \lambda + \frac{5^3}{\lambda}\right)x + 1 \\ &= x^4 + j_5^*(\tau_k)x^3 + (11j_5^*(\tau_k) + 2)x^2 - j_5^*(\tau_k)x + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the modular function $j_5^*(\tau)$ is defined by (18). (See [29] and [28].) This, together with the proof of Proposition 5.1, implies that

$$g_L(x) = x^4 + j_5^*(\tau_k)x^3 + (11j_5^*(\tau_k) + 2)x^2 - j_5^*(\tau_k)x + 1$$

divides the minimal polynomial of $r^5(\tau_k)$ over K .

However, $\mathfrak{a} \sim \wp_5 \pmod{f}$ implies that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{\wp_5}$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ has order 2. Then the formula

$$j_5^*(\tau_k) = 22 + \lambda + \frac{5^3}{\lambda} = -z(w_k) - z(w_k)^{\sigma_{\wp_5}}$$

implies that $j_5^*(\tau_k) \in L$, the fixed field of the subgroup $\langle \sigma_{\wp_5} \rangle$ of $\text{Gal}(\Omega_f/K)$. Now $[\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f : L] = 4$ implies that $g_L(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\rho_k = r^5(\tau_k)$ over L . The fact that the coefficients of $g_L(x)$ are linear expressions in $j_5^*(\tau_k)$ implies that

$$L = K(j_5^*(\tau_k)) \quad \text{with} \quad \langle \sigma_{\wp_5} \rangle = \text{Gal}(\Omega_f/L).$$

It follows from Artin Reciprocity that a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of K splits in L if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \sim 1$ or $\wp_5 \pmod{f}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{l} \sim \wp_5 \pmod{f}$, so that:

\mathfrak{l} splits in the field L .

If \mathfrak{q} is any prime divisor of \mathfrak{l} in L , then $j_5^*(\tau_k) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ lies in the prime field \mathbb{F}_l , so that the coefficients of $g_L(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ also lie in \mathbb{F}_l . This proves (a) and (b).

If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, then $l^2 = (l)$ implies that \mathfrak{l} has order 4 in the ray class group \mathcal{C}_{\wp_5} modulo \wp_5 in K . If $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, then \mathfrak{l} has order 2 in \mathcal{C}_{\wp_5} . In the first case, a prime divisor \mathfrak{q} of \mathfrak{l} in L is inert in $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$, so that $g_L(x)$ is irreducible over the completion $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$, and therefore factors mod \mathfrak{q} as a power of an irreducible polynomial over $R_L/\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$. In the second case, \mathfrak{q} splits into two primes of relative (and absolute) degree 2 in $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$, so that $g_L(x)$ splits into two irreducible quadratics over $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$. (See [21, pp. 288-289, 292].) \square

Now consider the polynomial $G(x, j)$ from [28, Section 2.2]:

$$G(x, j) = (x^4 - 228x^3 + 494x^2 + 228x + 1)^3 - jx(1 - 11x - x^2)^5.$$

Recall from [22, Prop. 5.5] that $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ can be expressed as a product of factors $G(x, j)$ over the roots j of $J_l(t)$, times certain factors corresponding to $j = 0$ and $j = 1728$:

$$\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) = c_{4,5}(x)^r (-c_{6,5}(x))^s x^{n_l} (1 - 11x - x^2)^{5n_l} J_l(j_5(x)), \quad (20)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} j_5(x) &= \frac{(x^4 - 228x^3 + 494x^2 + 228x + 1)^3}{x(1 - 11x - x^2)^5}, \\ c_{4,5}(x) &= x^4 - 228x^3 + 494x^2 + 228x + 1, \\ c_{6,5}(x) &= -(x^2 + 1)(x^4 + 522x^3 - 10006x^2 - 522x + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Here r, s, n_l and $J_l(t)$ have the same meaning as in the introduction. We are using this polynomial instead of

$$F(x, j) = (x^4 + 12x^3 + 14x^2 - 12x + 1)^3 - jx^5(1 - 11x - x^2)$$

because of the identity (13). The polynomial $G(x, j)$ will be especially useful in Section 6, since the roots of $G(x^5, j)$ are invariant under a group $G_{60} \cong A_5$ of linear fractional maps. By (20) we can replace the rational function $j(x)$ in (11) by $j_5(x)$ (and multiply by the appropriate factor to clear denominators). This yields exactly the same factors of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$, because of the fact that $j(\tau(x)) = j(\bar{\tau}(x)) = j_5(x)$: by (7) and (9) the polynomials $g(x)$ and $k(x)$ (with coefficients satisfying $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$) are essentially fixed by the map $(x \rightarrow \tau(x))$, while $(x \rightarrow \bar{\tau}(x))$ sends $k(x)$ to its companion polynomial $\bar{k}(x)$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.3(a)).

We now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.4. (a) *If $(X^2 + a_iX + b_i)^2$ is a factor of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1, whose roots are reductions mod \mathfrak{p} ($\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{l}$ in Ω_f) of the j -invariants j_i ($i = 1, 2$), with $j_2 = j_1^{\sigma_{\varphi^5}}$, then, for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\Omega_f/K)$, the polynomial*

$$g(x) := g_L(x)^\sigma \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}, \quad (\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q} \text{ in } L),$$

is a factor of $G(x, j_1)G(x, j_2) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, and therefore divides $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l .

(b) *If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, the polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_l[x]$ is irreducible; while if $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, $g(x)$ splits over \mathbb{F}_l as a product of two polynomials of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$, with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$ or $r = \bar{\varepsilon}^5(s - 1)$, which are irreducible over \mathbb{F}_l .*

(c) *If $g(x) \mid G(x, \mathfrak{k}_1)G(x, \mathfrak{k}_2) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ for roots $\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_2 = \mathfrak{k}_1^{\sigma_{\varphi^5}}$ of $H_{-d}(X)$ and $d = 5l$ or $d = 20l$, then $\{\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_2\} \equiv \{j_1, j_2\} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, there is a unique factor of the form $(X^2 + a_iX + b_i)^2$ in the congruence of Theorem 2.1 which gives rise to the factor $g(x)$ of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l .*

Proof. Let $j_1 = j(\tau_k)^\sigma$ and $j_2 = j(\tau_k)^{\sigma_{\varphi^5}\sigma}$ be two conjugate roots of $H_{-d}(X)$ ($d = 5l$ or $20l$) over the field L (for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\Sigma_{\varphi^5}\Omega_f/K)$). Then ρ_k^σ has the minimal polynomial $q(x, \lambda^\sigma)$ over Ω_f from the proof of Proposition 5.1. The identity (13) implies that $\rho_k^\sigma = r^5(\tau_k)^\sigma$ is a root of $G(x, j_1) = 0$, so that $q(x, \lambda^\sigma) \mid G(x, j_1)$ in $\Omega_f[x]$. Applying the automorphism σ_{φ^5} gives that $q(x, \lambda'^\sigma) \mid G(x, j_2)$, so that

$$g_L(x)^\sigma = q(x, \lambda^\sigma)q(x, \lambda'^\sigma) \mid G(x, j_1)G(x, j_2).$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime divisor of l in Ω_f , and \mathfrak{q} the prime below \mathfrak{p} in L . If $j_1 \not\equiv j_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, and neither j_i is congruent to 0 or 1728 $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $g_L(x)^\sigma \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathbb{F}_l[x]$ is a quartic dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, since $l \mid -d$ implies that the j -invariants j_i reduce to supersingular j -invariants, which must be distinct roots of $J_l(t)$ over \mathbb{F}_l . This proves (a).

If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, then the irreducible factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x) \pmod{l}$ are either $x^2 + 1$ or quartic. Now, $g_L(x)^\sigma \in L_{\mathfrak{q}}[x]$ is irreducible, and can only have a quadratic factor modulo \mathfrak{q} if it is congruent to $(x^2 + 1)^2$. But $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ has no repeated factors, so $g_L(x)^\sigma$ must remain irreducible modulo \mathfrak{q} . Hence, $g(x) := g_L(x)^\sigma \equiv x^4 + ax^3 + (11a + 2)x^2 - ax + 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ is an irreducible factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l .

In particular, this holds if j_1 and j_2 are two distinct roots mod \mathfrak{p} of the factor $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ of $H_{-d}(X)$ ($d = 5l$ or $20l$) in Theorem 2.1. This is because

$$j_2 \equiv j_1^l \equiv j_1^{\sigma^l} = j_1^{\sigma^{5^l}} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (22)$$

so that

$$X^2 + a_i X + b_i \equiv (X - j_1)(X - j_1^{\sigma^{5^l}}) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hence, $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ arises by reduction from roots j_i which are conjugate over L . It follows that the factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ contributes at least one irreducible factor of the form $g(x)$ to $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , when $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$. Note that different factors $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ will correspond to different automorphisms $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\Omega_f/K)$ in the above discussion.

On the other hand, if $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, then the irreducible factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ are linear or quadratic. Since $g_L(x)^\sigma \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ divides $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$, then $g_L(x)^\sigma$ splits either as a product of two distinct quadratics or four distinct linear polynomials $\pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, by the proof of Proposition 4.2. However, the latter cannot happen, by Hensel's Lemma, because $g(x)^\sigma$ is a product of irreducible quadratics over $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (Theorem 5.3(c)). Hence, $g_L(x)^\sigma$ factors as a product of two irreducible quadratics $\pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$. Now setting $\lambda_1 = \lambda^\sigma$ and $a = j_5^*(\tau_k)^\sigma = 22 + \lambda_1 + 5^3 \lambda_1^{-1}$ gives

$$a^2 - 44a - 16 = \frac{(\lambda_1^2 - 125)^2}{\lambda_1^2}. \quad (23)$$

By (19),

$$\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{125}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\sigma^l} = \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{125}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\sigma^a} = -\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{125}{\lambda_1}\right). \quad (24)$$

Since σ_l is the Frobenius automorphism for l in Ω_f/K , (23) and (24) imply that the quadratic residue symbol

$$\left(\frac{-4\Theta_1}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) = \left(\frac{a^2 - 44a - 16}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) = -1 \text{ in } L.$$

The terms on either side of (24) are nonzero (mod \mathfrak{q}), because $a^2 - 44a - 16$ is a factor of the discriminant of $g_L(x)^\sigma$; and we have shown above that $g_L(x)^\sigma$ has no multiple factor (mod \mathfrak{q}). Hence, in the notation of Section 3, $-\Theta_1$ is not a square mod \mathfrak{q} in L . Now the proof of Proposition 4.2 shows that $g_L(x)^\sigma \equiv k_1(x)k_2(x)$ (mod \mathfrak{q}) factors into two polynomials of the form $k_i(x) = x^2 + r_i x + s_i$, where r_i, s_i satisfy the conditions of that proposition.

Hence, when $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, there are at least two distinct factors of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ of the form $k(x)$ arising from the factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ in Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of (b).

The same arguments as in [25, Lemma 4.2] show that $H_{-5l}(X)$ and $H_{-20l}(X)$ are both squares (mod l), in the case $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so that the factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ only divides one of these class equations (mod l). Given this, the proof of (c) is straightforward. If ρ is a root of $g_L(x)^\sigma$ in $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$, then the hypothesis of (c) implies that $j_5(\rho) \equiv \mathfrak{k}_1$, say, modulo a prime divisor \mathfrak{r} of \mathfrak{p} in $\Sigma_{\wp_5}\Omega_f$. But by (a), $j_5(\rho) \equiv j_i \pmod{\mathfrak{r}}$, for $i = 1$ or 2 , so $\mathfrak{k}_1 \equiv j_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. The assertion follows from the relations $j_2 = j_1^{\sigma_{\wp_5}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_2 = \mathfrak{k}_1^{\sigma_{\wp_5}}$. \square

6 Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1

It remains to show that, aside from the factors $g(x)$ and $k(x)$ in Theorem 5.4(b), there are no additional factors of the form $g(x)$ ($l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$) or $k(x)$ ($l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$) arising – in the sense of Theorem 3.5 or equation (11) – from a given factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ of $H_{-d}(X)$ in the congruence of Theorem 2.1, for $d = 5l$ or $d = 20l$.

6.1 Sporadic quadratic factors for $d = 84, 96$.

We do this first for the sporadic quadratic factors of $H_{-d}(X) \pmod{l}$, which occur for $d = 84, 96$ and primes l for which $\epsilon_d = 0$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1. These are the quadratic factors of $H_{-d}(X)$ which divide $K_{5l}(X)$ only to the second power modulo l . The factors of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ which arise from these quadratic factors divide the polynomial

$$F_d(x) = x^{5h(-d)}(1 - 11x - x^2)^{h(-d)}H_{-d}(j(x)) \pmod{l} \quad (25)$$

from Theorem 3.5. From [27, Prop. 4.1] we have the factorization

$$F_d(x) = Q_d(x)f_d(x), \quad \deg(Q_d(x)) = 4h(-d). \quad (26)$$

Considering the case $d = 84$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and the non-bold primes $l > 379$ in Table 3 with $\epsilon_d = 0$, we must show that these factors, so far as they occur for $l \in \{389, 397, 401, 409\}$ (see (2) and (3)), each yield only one factor of the form $g(x)$ (or two of the form $k(x)$). (The prime 383 can be ignored for $d = 84$ in Table 3, since it does not divide $N(Q(u, v))$ in equations (2) and (3).) For example, the unique factors of the form $g(x)$ dividing $F_{84}(x)$ corresponding to these four primes are:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{389}(x) &= (x^2 + 286x + 379)(x^2 + 262x + 350), \quad r \equiv 151^5(s-1) \pmod{389}; \\ g_{397}(x) &= x^4 + 253x^3 + 6x^2 + 144x + 1; \\ g_{401}(x) &= (x^2 + 376x + 362)(x^2 + 205x + 329), \quad r \equiv 111^5(s-1) \pmod{401}; \\ g_{409}(x) &= (x^2 + 251x + 304)(x^2 + 240x + 74), \quad r \equiv 129^5(s-1) \pmod{409}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these polynomials divides the cofactor $f_{84}(x)$ of $Q_{84}(x)$ in $F_{84}(x)$, in the above notation. In particular, this shows that only one of the quadratic factors of $H_{-84}(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_l can divide $K_{5l}(X)$ for these primes, since we know from Theorem 5.4 that each distinct quadratic factor would yield a different $g(x)$ or pair of polynomials $k(x)$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$. (Note: the only primes in the set \mathcal{S} which are listed for $d = 84$ in Table 3 are 167, 227, 311, and $H_{-84}(X)$ is a product of linear factors modulo each of them.)

A similar analysis applies to quadratic factors of $H_{96}(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , for the primes $l \in \{383, 397, 401, 421, 431, 449\}$ in Table 3, since $\epsilon_{96} = 0$ for these primes. (See equations (4) and (5).) The primes 383, 431 can be ignored, because $H_{-96}(X)$ splits completely for them, as it does for the primes 167, 239, 263, 359; the latter are the primes in \mathcal{S} listed in Table 3 for $d = 96$. We find the following unique factors of the form $g(x)$ of $F_{96}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{397}(x) &= x^4 + 30x^3 + 332x^2 + 367x + 1; \\ g_{401}(x) &= (x^2 + 79x + 102)(x^2 + 184x + 287), \quad r \equiv 289^5(s-1) \pmod{401}; \\ g_{421}(x) &= (x^2 + 316x + 351)(x^2 + 209x + 6), \quad r \equiv 110^5(s-1) \pmod{421}; \\ g_{449}(x) &= (x^2 + 92x + 307)(x^2 + 437x + 332), \quad r \equiv 165^5(s-1) \pmod{449}. \end{aligned}$$

This calculation yields:

Lemma 6.1. *If $d = 84$ or 96 , and $X^2 + a_iX + b_i$ is a sporadic factor of $H_{-d}(X) \pmod{l}$ in the congruence of Theorem 2.1, for some prime $l > 379$*

for which $\epsilon_d = 0$, then there is a unique factor of the form $g(x)$ dividing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l which also divides $F_d(x)$, i.e. which corresponds to the factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$. There are no sporadic quadratic factors of $H_{-d}(X)$ for primes l lying in the set \mathcal{S} .

Recall that the non-sporadic factors of $H_{-d}(X)$ (for $d = 84, 96$) have already been discussed in Section 4. See especially Propositions 4.1 and 4.3.

6.2 General factors $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ of $K_{5l}(X) \bmod l$.

Next, we state the details concerning the icosahedral group that we will need from [28, §2.2] for the rest of the argument. The letter ζ denotes a fixed primitive 5-th root of unity.

Lemma 6.2. (a) *The linear fractional maps*

$$S(x) = \zeta x, \quad T(x) = \frac{-(1 + \sqrt{5})x + 2}{2x + 1 + \sqrt{5}},$$

$$U(x) = \frac{-1}{x}, \quad A(x) = \zeta^3 \frac{(1 + \zeta)x + 1}{x - 1 - \zeta^4}$$

satisfy the relations

$$S^5(x) = x, \quad T^2(x) = x, \quad U^2(x) = x, \quad A^3(x) = x;$$

and

$$A = STS^{-2}, \quad A^\sigma = A^{-1}U,$$

$$ATA^{-1} = U, \quad AUA^{-1} = TU = UT = T_2;$$

here $\sigma = (\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^2)$ and A^σ is the result of applying σ to the coefficients of A .

(b) *The group $G_{60} = \langle S, T \rangle$ is Fricke's normal form of the icosahedral group [17, II, pp. 41-43].*

(c) *The group $H = \langle T, U \rangle = \{1, T, U, T_2\}$ is isomorphic to the Klein 4-group and $\langle H, A \rangle \cong A_4$, the alternating group on 4 letters. Further, the elements $S^i A^k$ are representatives of the left cosets of H in G_{60} .*

(d) *The group $G_{10} = \langle S, U \rangle$ generated by S and U has order 10. Representatives of the right cosets of G_{10} in G_{60} are the elements $T^i A^k$, for $i = 0, 1$ and $k = 0, 1, 2$.*

Proof. Parts (a), (b), (c) are discussed in [28, §2.2] and are left to the reader. To prove (d), we just note the relations

$$\begin{aligned} AU &= UTA, \\ AT &= UA, \\ AT_2 &= AUT = TA. \end{aligned}$$

By (c), every element of G_{60} lies in one of the cosets $S^i A^k H$. Using this, the above relations imply that every element of G_{60} lies in one of the cosets $G_{10} T^i A^k$, and the assertion of (d) follows. \square

We turn now to the non-sporadic factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ of $K_{5l}(X)$ in Theorem 2.1. We shall use the fact that a factor of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ of the form $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$, satisfying $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$, has (nonzero) roots α^5 and β^5 satisfying the equation

$$\alpha^5 + \beta^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - \alpha^5 \beta^5). \quad (27)$$

(Theorem 5.8 of [22] shows that α, β lie in \mathbb{F}_{l^2} , resp. \mathbb{F}_{l^4} , according as $l \equiv \pm 1$ or $l \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$.) Furthermore, the quantity β^5 is determined by α^5 , and vice versa, since

$$\beta^5 = \frac{-\alpha^5 + \varepsilon^5}{\varepsilon^5 \alpha^5 + 1} = \tau(\alpha^5).$$

Since there is at least one such factor $k(x)$ arising from $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ (which may divide an irreducible $g(x)$), we can choose a fixed α satisfying (27), which is unique up to multiplication by a power of ζ , for which $\rho = \alpha^5$ is a root of $k(x)$ or $g(x) = k_1(x)k_2(x)$. Since, moreover, (α, β) and $(-1/\alpha, -1/\beta)$ are also solutions of this equation, it is clear that for M in the group $G_{10} = \langle S, U \rangle$, the pairs $(M(\alpha), M(\beta))$ are solutions of (27) for which $M(\alpha)^5 = \alpha^5$ or $-1/\alpha^5$, the latter quantity being a root of the companion polynomial

$$\bar{k}(x) = \frac{1}{s} x^2 k(-1/x) = x^2 - \frac{r}{s} x + \frac{1}{s}$$

and therefore a root of $g(x) = k(x)\bar{k}(x)$, in any case. Thus, “conjugating” (α, β) by an element in the group G_{10} gives a solution of (27) corresponding to the same factor $g(x)$ or pair of factors $k(x), \bar{k}(x)$.

Further, by Theorem 5.4(a) and (22), $k(x)$ divides a product

$$G(x, j_1)G(x, j_2) \equiv G(x, j_1)G(x, j_1^l) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

so α^5 must be a root of $G(x, j) = 0$ or $G(x, j^l) = 0$, for the reduced j -invariant $j \equiv j_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, say. We introduce the following terminology: we say that

α^5 belongs to the invariant j if $j = j_5(\alpha^5)$, i.e. if $G(\alpha^5, j) = 0$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$;

and $j_5(x)$ is the rational function in (21). Clearly, a root α^5 of a factor $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ belongs to a unique j -invariant j . If $l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ and α^5, β^5 are the roots of the quadratic $k(x) \in \mathbb{F}_l[x]$, then $\beta^5 = \alpha^{5l}$. Hence, if α^5 belongs to j , β^5 belongs to j^l . If $l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, and α^5, β^5 are roots of the quartic $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_l[x]$ satisfying (27), then $\beta^5 = \tau(\alpha^5)$, which equals α^{5l} or $\alpha^{5l^3} = \frac{-1}{\alpha^{5l}} = U(\alpha^{5l})$, as in the discussion leading up to Theorem 3.4. Since the map $x \rightarrow U(x)$ fixes the rational function $j_5(x)$, we also see in this case that if α^5 belongs to j , then β^5 belongs to j^l .

Thus, a second factor of the form $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ (distinct from $\bar{k}(x)$) dividing $G(x, j)G(x, j^l)$ would yield a root α'^5 of $G(x, j) = 0$ (w.l.o.g.) and a solution (α', β') of (27) or of the equation

$$\alpha'^5 + \beta'^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - \alpha'^5\beta'^5).$$

Now, the 60 roots of $G(x^5, j) = 0$ have the form $M(\alpha)$, for a single root α and $M \in G_{60}$ (see [28, p. 243]), and this is true for any j . Since the pairs $\{\alpha^5, \alpha'^5\}$ and $\{\beta^5, \beta'^5\}$ belong to the invariants j and j^l , respectively, we see that there are $M_1, M_2 \in G_{60}$ for which:

$$\alpha' = M_1(\alpha) \text{ is not in the orbit } G_{10}\alpha \text{ and } \beta' = M_2(\beta) \notin G_{10}\beta. \quad (28)$$

Note that $M_1(\alpha) \in G_{10}\alpha$ if and only if $M_2(\beta) \in G_{10}\beta$, since α^5 and β^5 determine each other.

To prove that *only* one factor of the form $g(x)$, or two factors of the form $k(x)$, correspond to the factor $(X^2 + a_iX + b_i)^2 = (X - j)^2(X - j^l)^2$, we consider the equations

$$M_1(\alpha)^5 + M_2(\beta)^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - M_1(\alpha)^5M_2(\beta)^5), \quad (29)$$

$$M_1(\alpha)^5 + M_2(\beta)^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - M_1(\alpha)^5M_2(\beta)^5). \quad (30)$$

By (28) it suffices to consider these equations for pairs of elements (M_1, M_2) with $M_i \notin G_{10}$. Hence we can take both M_i to be of the form $M = T^iA^k$, where i, k are not both 0 (Lemma 6.2(d)). Considering (27) and (29), we first compute the resultants

$$R_{M_1, M_2} = \text{Res}_y(x^5 + y^5 - \varepsilon^5(1 - x^5y^5), (c_1x + d_1)^5(c_2y + d_2)^5(M_1(x)^5 + M_2(y)^5 - \varepsilon^5(1 - M_1(x)^5M_2(y)^5))),$$

where $M_i(x) = \frac{a_i x + b_i}{c_i x + d_i}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{T,T} &= 5^{15} x(x^2 + x - 1)(x^2 + 1)(x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + 3x^2 - x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^8 + 4x^7 + 10x^6 + 8x^5 + 12x^4 - 8x^3 + 10x^2 - 4x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^8 + 7x^7 + 15x^6 + 15x^5 + 16x^4 - 15x^3 + 15x^2 - 7x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^{16} + 2x^{15} - 4x^{14} - 12x^{13} + 25x^{12} - 18x^{11} + 68x^{10} - 112x^9 \\
&\quad \quad + 13x^8 + 112x^7 + 68x^6 + 18x^5 + 25x^4 + 12x^3 - 4x^2 - 2x + 1) \\
&= 5^{15} x(x^2 + x - 1)p_4(x)p_{11}(x)p_{16}(x)p_{19}(x)p_{64}(x)p_{99}(x)p_{84}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_d(x)$ is the polynomial defined in [27] (see Tables 1 and 2 in that paper) and $p_4(x) = x^2 + 1$. By the results of [27, pp. 1193-1195], the roots of $p_d(x)$ are solutions of (27) in characteristic zero, and $p_d(x)$ divides $Q_d(x^5) = p_d(x)q_d(x)$; so by (26) $p_d(x)$ also divides

$$F_d(x^5) = x^{25h(-d)}(1 - 11x^5 - x^{10})^{h(-d)}H_{-d}(j(x^5)).$$

The factors $x(x^2 + x - 1)$ divide $x(x^{10} + 11x^5 - 1)$ and can be ignored, since their roots correspond to singular curves $E_5(b)$. This calculation implies that the solution (α, β) must arise from one of the factors $H_{-d}(X)^{4\epsilon_d}$, for $d \in \mathfrak{T}$. If $X^2 + a_i X + b_i$ is not a sporadic quadratic, as discussed in section 6.1, and therefore does not divide any of the class equations $H_{-d}(X) \pmod{l}$, for $d \in \mathfrak{T}$, this shows that no solution of (27) of the form $(\alpha', \beta') = (T(\alpha), T(\beta))$ can arise from the same factor $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ that (α, β) does.

For the map $A(x) = \zeta^3 \frac{(1+\zeta)x+1}{x-1-\zeta^4}$, the resultant $R_{A,A}$ above is a product of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[x]$ whose norm to \mathbb{Q} is:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,A}) &= 5^{60} x^4 (x^4 - 3x^3 + 4x^2 - 2x + 1)(x^4 + 2x^3 + 4x^2 + 3x + 1) \\
&\quad \times q_4(x)q_{11}(x)q_{16}(x)q_{19}(x)q_{64}(x)q_{84}(x)q_{99}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_d(x^5) = p_d(x)q_d(x)$, as in [27, p. 1195]. We have the relation $q_d(x) = \prod_{i=1}^4 p_d(\zeta^i x)$, with ζ as in Lemma 6.2. The factors $q_d(x)$ also divide the polynomial $F_d(x^5) = Q_d(x^5)f_d(x^5)$, so the same arguments apply as above. (The two quartic factors divide $x^{10} + 11x^5 - 1$ and can be ignored.)

Since $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A^2,A^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{TA,TA}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{TA^2,TA^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,A})$, we don't get any new factors from these pairs. We still have to check the pairs (M_1, M_2) with $M_1 \neq M_2$. It suffices to check 10 pairs with $M_1 \neq M_2$, since (M_1, M_2) and (M_2, M_1) yield the same solutions. We find that the resultants

$$R_{T,A} = R_{T,TA} = R_{T,A^2}$$

coincide with $R_{T,T}$, so these pairs don't give anything new. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{T,TA^2} &= 5^{15} \varepsilon^{25} (x^2 + 1)(x^8 - 2x^7 + x^6 - 4x^5 + 3x^4 + 4x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^8 + x^6 - 6x^5 + 9x^4 + 6x^3 + x^2 + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^8 + x^7 + x^6 - 7x^5 + 12x^4 + 7x^3 + x^2 - x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 14x^5 + 23x^4 + 14x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 1) \\
&\quad \times (x^{16} + 4x^{15} + 29x^{12} - 24x^{11} + 86x^{10} - 32x^9 + 105x^8 + 32x^7 \\
&\quad \quad + 86x^6 + 24x^5 + 29x^4 - 4x + 1) \\
&= 5^{15} \varepsilon^{25} p_4(x)p_{24}(x)p_{36}(x)p_{51}(x)p_{91}(x)p_{96}(x), \\
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,TA^2}) &= 5^{60} q_4(x)q_{24}(x)q_{36}(x)q_{51}(x)q_{91}(x)q_{96}(x);
\end{aligned}$$

so that these two products account for the remaining integers d in the set \mathfrak{T} . Furthermore, the resultant norms

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,TA}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,A^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A^2,TA})$$

coincide with $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,A})$ above, while the resultant norms

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A^2,TA^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{TA,TA^2})$$

coincide with $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,TA^2})$. This accounts for all 10 pairs.

We must also account for possible simultaneous solutions of (27) and (30) and therefore must also consider the resultants

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{M_1, M_2} &= \text{Res}_y(x^5 + y^5 - \varepsilon^5(1 - x^5 y^5), \\
&\quad (c_1 x + d_1)^5 (c_2 y + d_2)^5 (M_1(x)^5 + M_2(y)^5 - \varepsilon^5(1 - M_1(x)^5 M_2(y)^5))).
\end{aligned}$$

We check that this yields only the same solutions as before:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{T,T} &= -5^{15} \varepsilon^{25} p_4(x)p_{24}(x)p_{36}(x)p_{51}(x)p_{91}(x)p_{96}(x), \\
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,A}) &= 5^{60} q_4(x)q_{24}(x)q_{36}(x)q_{51}(x)q_{91}(x)q_{96}(x), \\
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{TA,TA}) &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A^2,A^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{TA^2,TA^2}) \\
&= N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,A}); \\
\bar{R}_{T,A} &= \bar{R}_{T,TA} = \bar{R}_{T,A^2} = \bar{R}_{T,T}, \quad \bar{R}_{T,TA^2} = -\bar{R}_{T,T}; \\
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,TA}) &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,A^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A^2,TA}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,A}); \\
N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A,TA^2}) &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{A^2,TA^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{R}_{TA,TA^2}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}(R_{A,A}).
\end{aligned}$$

When $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ we would need to do the same calculation for the polynomials $k(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ with $r = \varepsilon^5(s - 1)$, and work with the conjugate equation

$$\alpha^5 + \beta^5 = \varepsilon^5(1 - \alpha^5\beta^5) \quad (31)$$

in place of (27). But these calculations follow from what we have already computed, since we can just apply the automorphism $\sigma = (\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^2)$ to the maps in G_{60} , and this map switches (27) and (31). This sends the group G_{10} to itself, and replaces T by $T^\sigma = T_2 = UT$ and A by $A^\sigma = A^{-1}U = TA^2$. Since $T^\sigma A^\sigma = T_2 A^{-1}U = T_2 A^2 U = T_2 T A^2 = U A^2$, and $T_2 A^k = U T A^k$, we obtain exactly the same polynomials on taking norms as before.

Combining this discussion with Lemma 6.1, we have the following.

Proposition 6.3 *Each of the factors $(X^2 + a_i X + b_i)^2$ in Theorem 2.1 contributes exactly one irreducible factor of the form $g(x)$ ($l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$) or exactly two irreducible factors of the form $k(x)$ ($l \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$) to the factorization of $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_l , for $l \in \mathcal{S}$ or $l > 379$.*

By the arguments in the final paragraphs of Sections 4.1 and 4.2, this proves Theorem 1.1 for the primes $l \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{l : l > 379\}$.

The counts of quartic and quadratic factors for the primes satisfying $7 \leq l \leq 379$ and $l \notin \mathcal{S}$ are given in Tables 6-9. The number N of such factors was counted by hand, after computing $\hat{H}_{5,l}(x)$ on Maple. In each case, N agrees with the formula of Theorem 1.1. This shows that Theorem 1.1 holds for all primes $l > 5$.

7 The degree of $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$

The aim of this section is to prove Nakaya's conjecture [29, Conjecture 6] for $N = 5$, namely, that

$$\deg(ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)) = \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) \right), \quad p > 5.$$

Recall that $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ is determined as follows. Define the polynomial $R_5(X, Y)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} R_5(X, Y) = & X^2 - X(Y^5 - 80Y^4 + 1890Y^3 - 12600Y^2 + 7776Y + 3456) \\ & + (Y^2 + 216Y + 144)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Table 6: Number N of factors $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ modulo $l \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$.

l	N	$h(-5l)$	formula of Thm. 1.1
13	2	8	2
37	4	16	4
61	8	16	8
73	5	20	5
97	5	20	5
109	16	32	16
157	4	16	4
181	12	24	12
229	12	24	12
241	20	40	20
277	12	48	12
313	7	28	7
349	20	40	20
373	12	48	12

Table 7: Number N of factors $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ modulo $l \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$.

l	N	$h(-5l)$	formula of Thm. 1.1
17	1	4	1
29	4	8	4
41	4	8	4
53	2	8	2
89	4	8	4
113	3	12	3
137	3	12	3
149	8	16	8
197	6	24	6
233	5	20	5
257	3	12	3
281	12	24	12
317	6	24	6
353	5	20	5

Table 8: Number N of factors $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ modulo $l \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$.

l	N	$h(-5l)$	$l \pmod{8}$	formula of Thm. 1.1
7	1	2	7	1
19	5	8	3	5
31	7	4	7	7
43	6	14	3	6
67	8	18	3	8
79	13	8	7	13
127	9	10	7	9
139	21	24	3	21
151	23	12	7	23
163	14	30	3	14
211	35	36	3	35
283	16	34	3	16
307	18	38	3	18
331	43	44	3	43
379	45	48	3	45

Table 9: Number N of factors $g(x)$ or $k(x)$ modulo $l \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$.

l	N	$h(-5l)$	$l \pmod{8}$	formula of Thm. 1.1
11	3	4	3	3
23	1	2	7	1
47	1	2	7	1
59	5	8	3	5
71	7	4	7	7
83	4	10	3	4
131	11	12	3	11

Table 10: Degree and number of linear factors of $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$.

p	$\deg(ss_p^{(5^*)}(X))$	$L^{(5^*)}(p)$	p	$\deg(ss_p^{(5^*)}(X))$	$L^{(5^*)}(p)$
7	2	2	47	12	2
11	4	4	53	14	2
13	4	2	59	16	10
17	5	1	61	15	7
19	6	6	67	17	9
23	6	2	71	19	11
29	7	5	73	19	5
31	9	7	79	21	13
37	10	4	83	21	5
41	10	6	89	22	8
43	11	7	97	25	5

Then

$$ss_p^{(5^*)}(X) = \prod_{j_5^*} (X - j_5^*) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X],$$

where j_5^* runs over the distinct roots of $R_5(j, j_5^*) \equiv 0$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for those values of j which are supersingular in characteristic p , i.e., the roots of $ss_p(X) = 0$.

We use the parametrization

$$(j, j_5^*) = (X, Y) = \left(-\frac{(z^2 + 12z + 16)^3}{z + 11}, -\frac{z^2 + 4}{z + 11} \right)$$

of $R_5(X, Y) = 0$ given in [28] (see the proof of Theorem 6.1). Note first that

$$\text{disc}_z((z^2 + 12z + 16)^3 + j(z + 11)) = 3125j^4(j - 1728)^2,$$

so that there are exactly 6 values of z for every supersingular value of j , except for $j = 0$ and $j = 1728$. For these values

$$\begin{aligned} j = 0 & \text{ iff } z^2 + 12z + 16 = 0, \\ j = 1728 & \text{ iff } (z^2 + 4)(z^2 + 18z + 76) = 0; \end{aligned}$$

where the two quadratics have discriminants $2^4 \cdot 5$ and $-2^{14} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^3$, respectively. Thus exactly 2, respectively 4, values of z correspond to $j = 0$

and $j = 1728$ in characteristic $p > 5$. Since the number of supersingular j -invariants in characteristic p is given by

$$n_p + r_p + s_p = \frac{p - e_p}{12} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-3}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right),$$

where $e_p \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ and $p \equiv e_p \pmod{12}$, there are exactly

$$\frac{p - e_p}{2} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{-3}{p} \right) \right) + 2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right)$$

values of the parameter z altogether. Using that $e_p = 6 - 2 \left(\frac{-3}{p} \right) - 3 \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right)$, we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right)$$

values of z which correspond to supersingular j -invariants in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Now note that

$$\text{disc}_z(z^2 + 4 + t(z + 11)) = t^2 - 44t - 16, \quad \text{disc}(t^2 - 44t - 16) = 2^4 \cdot 5^3.$$

It follows that exactly two values of z correspond to a single value of j_5^* , except when $t = j_5^*$ is a root of the last quadratic. Since

$$\text{Res}_t(z^2 + 4 + t(z + 11), t^2 - 44t - 16) = (z^2 + 22z - 4)^2,$$

the roots of $z^2 + 22z - 4$ correspond 1-1 to the roots j_5^* of $t^2 - 44t - 16 = 0$. On the other hand, the corresponding values of j are supersingular exactly when they are roots of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_z((z^2 + 12z + 16)^3 + j(z + 11), z^2 + 22z - 4) \\ = -5^3(j^2 - 1264000j - 681472000) \\ = -5^3 H_{-20}(j). \end{aligned}$$

The roots of $H_{-20}(x)$ are supersingular in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} if and only if $\left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) = -1$. Therefore, altogether we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right)$$

distinct values of j_5^* corresponding to supersingular j -invariants, when $\left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) = +1$, and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) - 2 \right) + 2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) + 1$$

distinct values of j_5^* corresponding to supersingular j -invariants, when $\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right) = -1$. Hence, the degree of $ss_p^{(5^*)}(X)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(p - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-5}{p} \right) \right).$$

This proves Nakaya's Conjecture 6 for $N = 5$.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to an anonymous referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and many useful suggestions, which have led to significant improvements in the exposition in several places.

References

- [1] George E. Andrews and Bruce C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part I*, Springer, 2005.
- [2] Bruce C. Berndt, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, AMS Student Mathematical Library, vol. 34, 2006.
- [3] H. Brandt, Idealtheorie in Quaternionenalgebren, Math. Annalen 99 (1928), 1-29.
- [4] H. Brandt, Zur Zahlentheorie der quadratischen Formen, Jahresbericht der deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung 47 (1937), 149-159.
- [5] H. Brandt, Zur Zahlentheorie der Quaternionen, Jahresbericht der deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung 53 (1943), 23-57.
- [6] J. Brillhart and P. Morton, Class numbers of quadratic fields, Hasse invariants of elliptic curves, and the supersingular polynomial, J. Number Theory 106 (2004), 79-111.
- [7] B. Cho, Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ with conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod N$ and $y \equiv 0 \pmod N$, J. Number Theory 130 (2010), 852-861.
- [8] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, Third edition, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 290, Springer, 1999.
- [9] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$; Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

- [10] D. A. Cox, *Galois Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, 1984.
- [11] M. Deuring, Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamb.* 14 (1941), 197-272.
- [12] M. Deuring, Die Anzahl der Typen von Maximalordnungen einer definiten Quaternionenalgebra mit primärer Grundzahl, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein.* 54 (1944) 2441.
- [13] M. Deuring, Die Klassenkörper der komplexen Multiplikation, *Enzyklopädie der math. Wissenschaften I2*, 23 (1958), 1-60.
- [14] W. Duke, Continued fractions and modular functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 42, No. 2 (2005), 137-162.
- [15] J. F. R. Duncan, M. J. Griffin, and K. Ono, Moonshine, *Research in the Mathematical Sciences* 2 (2015), 1-57.
- [16] J. F. R. Duncan and K. Ono, The Jack Daniels problem, *J. Number Theory* 161 (2016), 230-239.
- [17] R. Fricke, *Lehrbuch der Algebra II, III*, Friedr. Vieweg u. Sohn, Braunschweig, 1928.
- [18] Hasse, H. Neue Begründung der komplexen Multiplikation. I. Einordnung in die allgemeine Klassenkörpertheorie, *J. reine angew. Math.* 157 (1927), 115-139; paper 33 in *Helmut Hasse Mathematische Abhandlungen*, Bd. 2, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1975, pp. 3-27.
- [19] H. Hasse, Zur Theorie der abstrakten elliptischen Funktionenkörper, II. Automorphismen und Meromorphismen. Das Additionstheorem, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 175 (1936) 69-88; Paper 49 in : *Helmut Hasse Mathematische Abhandlungen*, vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1975, pp. 231-250.
- [20] H. Hasse, Zur Theorie der abstrakten elliptischen Funktionenkörper, III. Die Struktur des Meromorphismenringes. Die Riemannsche Vermutung, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 175 (1936) 193-208; Paper 49 in : *Helmut Hasse Mathematische Abhandlungen*, vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1975, pp. 251-266.
- [21] H. Hasse, *Zahlentheorie*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.

- [22] P. Morton, Explicit identities for invariants of elliptic curves, *J. Number Theory* 120 (2006), 234-271.
- [23] P. Morton, Legendre polynomials and complex multiplication, I, *J. Number Theory* 130 (2010), 1718-1731.
- [24] P. Morton, The cubic Fermat equation and complex multiplication on the Deuring normal form, *Ramanujan J.* 25 (2011), 247-275.
- [25] P. Morton, Explicit congruences for class equations, *Functiones et Approximatio* 51 (2014), 77-110.
- [26] P. Morton, Product formulas for the 5-division points on the Tate normal form and the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction, *J. Number Theory* 200 (2019), 380-396.
- [27] P. Morton, Solutions of diophantine equations as periodic points of p -adic algebraic functions, II: The Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction, *New York J. Math.* 25 (2019), 1178-1213.
- [28] P. Morton, On the Hasse invariants of the Tate normal forms E_5 and E_7 , *J. Number Theory* 218 (2021), 234-271.
- [29] T. Nakaya, The number of linear factors of supersingular polynomials and sporadic simple groups, *J. Number Theory* 204 (2019), 471-496.
- [30] Y. Sakai, The Atkin orthogonal polynomials for the low-level Fricke groups and their application, *Int. J. Number Theory* 7 (2011), 1637-1661.
- [31] Y. Sakai, The Atkin orthogonal polynomials for the Fricke groups of levels 5 and 7, *Int. J. Number Theory* 10 (2014), 2243-2255.
- [32] R. Schertz, *Complex Multiplication*, New Mathematical Monographs 15, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [33] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, 2nd edition, Springer, 2009.
- [34] B.L. van der Waerden, *Algebra I*, 9th edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, LD 270
Indiana University - Purdue University at Indianapolis (IUPUI)
Indianapolis, IN 46202
e-mail: pmorton@iupui.edu