

JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF EIGENVALUES OF HECKE AND CASIMIR OPERATORS FOR HILBERT MAASS FORMS

ROBERTO J. MIATELLO AND ANGEL VILLANUEVA

ABSTRACT. Let F be a totally real number field, \mathcal{O}_F the ring of integers, \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{J} integral ideals and let χ a character of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times$. For each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{O}_F , $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$ let $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the Hecke operator acting on the space of Maass cusp forms on $L^2(\mathrm{GL}_2(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$.

In this paper we investigate the distribution of joint eigenvalues of the Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and of the Casimir operators C_j in each archimedean component of F , for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Summarily, we prove that given a family of expanding compact subsets Ω_t of \mathbb{R}^d as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and an interval $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq [-2, 2]$, then, if $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$ is a square in the narrow class group of F , there are infinitely many automorphic forms having eigenvalues of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, distributed on $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ according to a polynomial multiple of the Sato-Tate measure and having their Casimir eigenvalues in the region Ω_t , distributed according to the Plancherel measure.

Our results extend results of Serre [Se97], Knightly–Li ([KL08], [KL13]) and Bruggeman–Miatello [BM13].

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	3
3.	Action of the center	6
4.	Hecke operators	7
5.	Fourier terms and Hecke operators	10
6.	Asymptotic distribution of Casimir and Hecke eigenvalues	12
7.	Applications	17
8.	Appendix	18
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be even and let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a holomorphic cuspidal modular form that is a Hecke eigenfunction. For each prime $p \nmid N$ let $\lambda_p(f)$ be the normalized Hecke eigenvalue defined by

$$p^{k-1/2}T_p f = \lambda_p(f)f.$$

The Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture, proved by Deligne [De73], implies that $|\lambda_p(f)| \leq 2$ for any $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. Serre studied the asymptotic distribution of the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_p(f)$, when f is fixed and p varies and conjectured that for any $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the $\lambda_p(f)$ for $p \leq x$ are equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the Sato–Tate measure

$$(1.1) \quad d\mu_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{4}} dx & \text{if } x \in [-2, 2], \\ 0 & \text{other } x. \end{cases}$$

This conjecture was proved by Barnet–Lamb, Geraghty, Harris and Taylor in [BGHT11].

Date: November 16, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Math. Subject Classification (2010): 11F03, 11F30, 11F60.

Key words and phrases. automorphic forms, Maass forms, Hecke eigenvalues, equidistribution.

This research was supported by grants from CONICET and FONCYT.

Another point of view is the so called vertical problem of Sato–Tate. Namely, fixed a prime p , when the level N and/or the weight k vary, the eigenvalues $\lambda_p(f)$ for $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, follow distribution laws with respect to the Sato–Tate measure. In this direction Serre proved the following

Theorem 1.1. [Se97] *Let N, k be positive integers such that k is even, $N + k \rightarrow \infty$ and p is prime, $p \nmid N$. Then the normalized Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_p(f_{k,N})$ are equidistributed in the interval $\Omega = [-2, 2]$ with respect to the p -adic Sato–Tate measure:*

$$\mu_p := \frac{p+1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{(1-x^2/4)^{1/2}}{(p^{1/2}+p^{-1/2})^2-x^2} dx.$$

The distribution of the Hecke eigenvalues has been investigated by several authors, generalizing or supplementing Serre’s results. For instance, in [KL08], Knightly–Li give a result on weighted equidistribution for holomorphic forms over F a totally real number field, using a polynomial multiple of the Sato–Tate measure and further, in [KL13], they extended the result to the case of Maass forms over \mathbb{Q} . For totally real number fields F , in [BM13] a result of joint distribution of eigenvalues of the Hecke operators T_p and the Casimir operators C_j in each archimedean component of F , is proved using a modification of the Sato–Tate measure. A recent far reaching generalization is due to Finis–Matz ([FM19]) who use the trace formula to prove distribution results to any reductive group split over \mathbb{Q} (see also [MT15] and [KST20]).

In this paper we extend the distribution results in [BM13] for F totally real, to Hecke operators T_p for \mathfrak{p} a prime that is a square in the narrow class group, using a polynomial multiple of the Sato–Tate measure.

More precisely, given a family of compact boxes $\Omega_t = \prod_{j=1}^d \Omega_{t,j}$ of \mathbb{R}^d satisfying some mild conditions (see (6.9)), where Ω_t expands in at least one component as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and an interval $I_p \subseteq [-2, 2]$ for $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$ a prime ideal that is a square in the narrow class group, for any $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$, \mathfrak{d} the inverse different of F , we prove the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} : \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t \\ \lambda_p(f) \in I_p}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 = \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(I_p) \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) + o(V_1(\Omega_t))$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\chi,q}$ is an orthonormal basis of the space $L^{2,\text{disc}}(\text{GL}_2(F) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) / K_0(\mathfrak{J}))_{\chi,q}$ (satisfying the conditions in Definition 6.1), $c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)$ is the (\mathfrak{a}, r) -Fourier coefficient of f , $\lambda(f) = (\lambda_j(f))$ where $\lambda_j(f)$ is the eigenvalue of C_j and, finally, $\lambda_p(f)$ is the eigenvalue of T_p on f . Furthermore, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(x)$ is a polynomial multiple of the Sato–Tate measure (see (6.12)) that coincides with the Sato–Tate measure for any r such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}$, Pl denotes the Plancherel measure and V_1 is a measure on \mathbb{R}^d such that $V_1 = O(\text{Pl})$.

This implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Pl}(\Omega_t))^{-1} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} : \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t \\ \lambda_p(f) \in I_p}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 = \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(I_p).$$

In particular, this says that there are infinitely many automorphic eigenforms with T_p eigenvalues distributed according to the measure $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}$ of I_p , and with Casimir eigenvalues in the given region Ω_t , distributed according to the Plancherel measure of the region.

To prove the main results (Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.5), we use the Kuznetsov sum formula and, as a main tool, an asymptotic formula similar to one proved in [BM10]. In the proofs, we use results in [Ve04], [BM10] and [BMP03].

As an application, we obtain results on the distribution of eigenvalues of holomorphic Hilbert modular forms (Thm 7.1) and on weighted equidistribution of Hecke eigenvalues (Thm 7.4).

Remark 1.2. Although we restrict this paper to F totally real, part of the argument works for any field F , using the Kuznetsov formula in [Ma13]. It seems still non trivial to generalize the estimate $o(V_1(\Omega_t))$ of the remainder in (6.10), proved in [BM10] in the totally real case.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank R. Bruggeman, G. Harcos and A. Knightly for useful comments on a first version of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some basic notions and notations that will be needed throughout this paper.

Let F be a totally real number field, $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and let \mathcal{O}_F be the ring of integers of F . Let $\sigma^{(j)} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$) be the field embeddings from F into \mathbb{R} and denote by $\sigma : \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ the canonical embedding given by

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = (\sigma^{(1)}\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \sigma^{(d)}\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}).$$

Denote, for $x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t, y \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^d$, if $x = (x_j)$, $t = (t_j)$, $\theta = (\theta_j)$, $y = (y_j)$:

$$(2.2) \quad n(x) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$(2.3) \quad z(t) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} t_d & 0 \\ 0 & t_d \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$(2.4) \quad a(y) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} y_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$(2.5) \quad k(\theta) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & \sin(\theta_1) \\ -\sin(\theta_1) & \cos(\theta_1) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_d) & \sin(\theta_d) \\ -\sin(\theta_d) & \cos(\theta_d) \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We will make use of the following subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$. Let $N = \{n(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, $A = \{a(y) : y \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^d\}$, $K_\infty = \{k(\theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \cong \mathrm{SO}(2)^d$, $Z_\infty = \{z(t) : t \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^d\}$, the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$. Then, one can write every $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ uniquely as

$$(2.6) \quad g = znak,$$

where $z \in Z_\infty$, $n \in N$, $a \in A$ and $k \in K_\infty$, hence $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})^d \simeq NAK_\infty Z_\infty$.

The function $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $S(x) = \sum_j x_j$ extends the trace function $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. The inverse different ideal of F is the fractional ideal

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{d}^{-1} = \{x \in F : S(x\xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \xi \in \mathcal{O}_F\}.$$

Given integral ideals \mathfrak{J} and \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_F , consider the congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)$:

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) = \left\{ \sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F), ad - bc \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times, a, d \in \mathcal{O}_F, b \in \mathfrak{a}, c \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{J} \right\}.$$

When $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_F$, then $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) = \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J})$. We will also make use of the subgroup $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})_N = N(F) \cap \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ of $N(F)$.

Let \mathbb{A}_F , $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$ and \mathbb{A}_F^\times be the ring of adeles, the ring of finite adeles and the group of ideles of F . We have canonical embeddings of F into \mathbb{A}_F :

$$i : F \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_F, \quad i_\infty : F \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F_\infty}, \quad i_f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F,f}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{C}_F and \mathcal{C}_F^+ the class group and narrow class group of F , and by h_F and h_F^+ the class number and narrow class number of F respectively.

To each fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} of F we associate an idele $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ which at each non-archimedean place has the same valuation as \mathfrak{a} . Such an idele is unique up to multiplication by units. Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{h_F}$ be representatives of the ideal classes in \mathcal{C}_F and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_1}, \dots, \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_{h_F}}$ be corresponding ideles.

Let v be a place of F , let F_v be the completion of F at v and \mathcal{O}_v the integral subring of F_v . We set $F_\infty := \prod_{v|\infty} F_v \cong \mathbb{R}^d$.

Given \mathfrak{J} an integral ideal and \mathfrak{a} a fractional ideal, for each finite place v of F we consider the open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$

$$(2.9) \quad K_{0,v}(\mathfrak{J}_v, \mathfrak{a}_v) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v) : ad - bc \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times, a, d \in \mathcal{O}_v, c \in \mathfrak{a}_v^{-1}\mathfrak{J}_v, b \in \mathfrak{a}_v \right\}.$$

Let $K_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) := \prod_v K_{0,v}(\mathfrak{J}_v, \mathfrak{a}_v)$. In case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_F$, we write $K_0(\mathfrak{J})$ in place of $K_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathcal{O}_F)$. There is the following relation between $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ and $K_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$

$$(2.10) \quad i_f(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})) = K_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \cap i_f(\mathrm{GL}_2(F)).$$

We now recall strong approximation for number fields with class number h_F .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{h_F}$ be integral representatives of the class group \mathcal{C}_F , with corresponding ideles $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_1}, \dots, \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_{h_F}}$. Then one has the following decompositions*

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbb{A}_F = i(F) + (F_\infty \prod_{\mathfrak{p} < \infty} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}), \quad \mathbb{A}_F^\times = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{h_F} i(F^\times) \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i} (F_\infty^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} < \infty} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{h_F} i(\mathrm{GL}_2(F)) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty) K_f.$$

where K_f is any compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(A_F)_f$ such that \det is onto \mathcal{O}_F^\times . From now on we use $K_f = K_0(\mathfrak{J})$.

If F has class number 1, one needs only consider the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_F$.

Furthermore, by strong approximation for the group SL_2 one has

$$(2.13) \quad \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) = i_f(\mathrm{SL}_2(F)) K_f$$

for any compact open subgroup K_f of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$. We will make use of this fact quite often in Sections 4 and 5.

2.0.1. Characters. We fix χ a Hecke character of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times$, \mathfrak{J} an ideal divisible by the conductor of χ and we denote by $\chi_v, \chi_f, \chi_\infty$ the restrictions of χ to $F_v^\times, \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times$ and F_∞^\times respectively. Then χ induces a character of $K_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$, still denoted by χ_f , given by

$$(2.14) \quad \chi_f \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \prod_v \chi_v(d_v)$$

and a character of $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$, defined by $\chi(\gamma) = \chi(i_f(\gamma))$. We shall assume that $\chi_\infty = 1$ and

$$(2.15) \quad \phi_q(k(\theta)) = e^{iS(q\theta)}, \text{ for } k(\theta) \in \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})^d \simeq K_\infty.$$

2.0.2. Measures. As in [BH10], on \mathbb{A}_F we use the measure dx that is the product of the normalized Lebesgue measure $\pi^{-d} dx_1 \dots dx_d$ on F_∞ and the Haar measure on $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ so that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has measure 1, for each \mathfrak{p} . It induces the Haar measure on \mathbb{A}_F/F with total measure one. Also, on \mathbb{A}_F^\times we use the measure $d^\times y$ that is the product of the Haar measure $(dy_1/|y_1|) \dots (dy_d/|y_d|)$ on $F_\infty^\times \cong \mathbb{R}^{\times d}$ and the Haar measure on $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ so that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ has measure 1. It induces a Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times$. On $K = \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})^d K_0(\mathfrak{J})$ and its factors we use Haar probability measures and on $Z(F_\infty) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)$ the Haar measure which satisfies

$$\int_{Z(F_\infty) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)} f(g) dg = \int_{F_\infty^\times} \int_{F_\infty} \int_{\mathrm{SO}_2(F_\infty)} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k \right) dk dx \frac{d^\times y}{|y|}.$$

On $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we fix the Haar measure so that $K_{0,\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has measure 1 and on $Z(F_\infty) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ we use the product measure. This induces the Haar measure on $Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\times) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying

$$\int_{Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\times) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(g) dg = \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \int_{\mathrm{SO}_2(F_\infty) K_0(\mathfrak{J})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k \right) dk dx \frac{d^\times y}{|y|}.$$

2.1. Adelic and classical square integrable automorphic forms. As in [Ve04] and in [BH10], we consider the subspace FS of functions $f : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $f(\gamma g \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} k_0) = f(g)\chi_f(k_0)$ for every $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, $z \in F_\infty^\times$ and $k_0 \in K_0(\mathfrak{J})$,
- (ii) $\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})/Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)} |f(g)|^2 dg < \infty$.

We will also make use of the subspace FS_χ of functions in FS satisfying the additional condition that $f(g \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}) = f(g)\chi(z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$.

There is a standard correspondence between the space FS of adelic automorphic forms and spaces of classical automorphic forms. One has an isomorphism (see [BH10, (93)])

$$(2.16) \quad \mathrm{FS} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h_F} L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1}).$$

Here, for any fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} in F , $L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ is the completion of the space of smooth functions $f : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (i) $f(\gamma g \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}) = \chi(\gamma)^{-1} f(g)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ and $z \in F_\infty^\times$. Here, $\chi(\gamma) := \chi_f(i_f(\gamma))$,
- (ii) $\int_{\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d / Z_\infty^\times} |f(g)|^2 dg < \infty$.

We recall the correspondence in (2.16). If $f \in \mathrm{FS}$, let $f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ be given by

$$(2.17) \quad f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}(g_\infty) = \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, h_F.$$

Note that $f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ is independent of the choice of the idele $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$.

Conversely, given $f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, h_F$, we can recover $f \in \mathrm{FS}$ by defining its value in each component by

$$f \left(\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty k_0 \right) := \chi_f(k_0) f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}(g_\infty) \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_i}),$$

for $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and $g_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$. This map is well defined and both maps are the inverse of each other, thus we have (2.16). Furthermore, this correspondence is a (\mathfrak{g}, K_∞) -morphism of the associated (\mathfrak{g}, K_∞) -modules.

The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ acts unitarily on each Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ by right translations and there is an orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1}) = L^{2, \mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1}) \oplus L^{2, \mathrm{disc}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1}).$$

The invariant subspace $L^{2, \mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ can be described by integrals of Eisenstein series and the orthogonal complement $L^{2, \mathrm{disc}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$ is an orthogonal direct sum of closed irreducible $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ -invariant subspaces.

Furthermore, for each \mathfrak{a}_i one has a decomposition

$$(2.18) \quad L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1}) = \sum_{\xi} L_{\xi}^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}_i) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$$

where ξ runs through the characters of the group $M = \{((\zeta_1 \ 0), \dots, (\zeta_d \ 0)) : \zeta_j = \pm 1\}$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\chi((\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}), \dots, (\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})) = \prod_1^d (-1)^{\xi_j} = 1$ with $\xi_j \in \{0, 1\}$.

2.2. Fourier terms. We fix a maximal orthogonal system $\{V_\varpi\}_\varpi$ of irreducible invariant subspaces of the Hilbert space $L^{2, \mathrm{disc}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi)$. Each such subspace ϖ has finite multiplicity and splits as a tensor product $\varpi \cong \otimes_j \varpi_j$ of irreducible representations ϖ_j of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, where j runs over the d archimedean places of F .

Set $\psi_\infty(x) = e^{2\pi i S(x)} = e^{2\pi i(x_1 + \dots + x_d)}$. Every classical automorphic form f for $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ has an expansion

$$(2.19) \quad f(n(x_\infty)g_\infty) = \sum_{r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}} \psi_\infty(rx_\infty) F_{\mathfrak{a}, r} f(g_\infty) \quad (n \in N)$$

where for $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$

$$(2.20) \quad F_{\mathfrak{a},r}f(g) := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f(n(x)g) dx.$$

A (Γ, χ) -automorphic function is cuspidal if $F_{\mathfrak{a},0}f = 0$.

If $\varpi = \otimes_j \varpi_j$ is irreducible with spectral parameter ν_ϖ and f in V_ϖ is of weight $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then

$$(2.21) \quad F_{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)(g) = c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f) d^{\mathfrak{a},r}(q, \nu_\varpi) W_q(r, \nu_\varpi; g)$$

with

$$(2.22) \quad d^{\mathfrak{a},r}(q, \nu) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^d |D_{FN}(\mathfrak{a}r)|}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{e^{\pi i q_j}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu_j + \frac{q_j}{2} \text{sign}(r_j)\right)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.23)$$

$$W_q(r, \nu; \begin{pmatrix} z_\infty & 0 \\ 0 & z_\infty \end{pmatrix} n(x_\infty) a(y_\infty) k_\infty) := \psi_\infty(rx_\infty) \phi_q(k_\infty) \epsilon_\varpi(\text{sign}(ry_\infty)) \prod_{j=1}^d W_{q_j \text{sign}(r_j y_j)/2, \nu_j}(4\pi |r_j y_j|),$$

in light of (2.6) and [BM09, §2.3.4] and where $\epsilon_\varpi : \{\pm 1\}^d \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is a character depending only on the representation ϖ . Here $W_{l,\nu}(y)$ denotes the W -Whittaker function and $c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)$ is the Fourier coefficient of order r of $f_{\mathfrak{a}}$, for $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$.

Now let $f \in \text{FS}_q$ be an adelic automorphic form of weight q such that $C_j f = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu_j^2) f$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$, with $\nu_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for each j . By the discussion above, every component $f_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of f is a classical automorphic form of weight $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and hence has a Fourier-Whittaker expansion

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y_\infty & x_\infty \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k_\infty \right) &= \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_\infty & x_\infty \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k_\infty \right) \\ &= \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} \sum_{r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}} c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f) d^{\mathfrak{a},r}(q, \nu) W_q(r, \nu; a(y_\infty)) \psi(rx_\infty) \phi_q(k_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

for every $y_\infty \in F_\infty^\times$, $x \in F_\infty$ and $k_\infty \in K_\infty$.

3. ACTION OF THE CENTER

In this section we recall the action of $Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$ in the components of $\text{GL}_2(F) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) / K_0(\mathfrak{J}) Z(F_\infty^\times)$ (see [Ve04, §6]).

Let $g_\infty \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$, let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be fractional ideals of F and $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}$ be associated ideles. As a consequence of strong approximation in SL_2 we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \\ &= i(\gamma^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i_\infty(\gamma) g_\infty k_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for some $k_\gamma \in K_0(\mathfrak{J})$ and $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(F)$. Furthermore we see that

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma \in i(\text{GL}_2(F)) \cap \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \quad \text{with } k_\gamma \in K_0(\mathfrak{J}).$$

Thus, (3.1) shows that translation by the central element $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix}$ moves the component \mathfrak{a} in (2.12) to the component $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2$.

Now, as in [Ve04], we denote by $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2)$ the set of $\gamma \in i(\text{GL}_2(F))$ satisfying (3.2). Then, one checks that for any $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2)$, one has

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) = \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2) \gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} = \Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2).$$

Given $f \in \text{FS}$ with classical components $f_{\mathfrak{a}i} \in L^2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi^{-1})$, $i = 1, \dots, h_F$, and $z := \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix}$, using (3.1) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(z.f)_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_{\infty}) &= [\pi(z).f]_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_{\infty}) = \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix} g_{\infty} \right) \\
&= \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} g_{\infty} k_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2}} \right) \\
&= \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2) \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2)} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2 \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} g_{\infty} \right) \chi_f(k_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2}}) \\
(3.3) \quad &= \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^2) f_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2} g_{\infty}) \chi_f(k_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

We will also need the following explicit description of the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2)$.

Lemma 3.1. [Ve04, (86)]

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathfrak{b}, b \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}, c \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}, d \in \mathfrak{b}^{-1}, ad - bc \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times} \right\}.$$

Let $\text{GL}_2(F) = P_F \sqcup C_F$, the Bruhat decomposition, with $C_F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c \neq 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, the big Bruhat cell.

Then one has that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2)$ is contained in C_F , except when \mathfrak{b} is principal.

Indeed, if $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2) \cap P_F$, then $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $ad \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$, $a \in \mathfrak{b}$, $d \in \mathfrak{b}^{-1}$. Now, since $ad = u \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$, then $a^{-1} = du^{-1} \in \mathfrak{b}^{-1}$. Thus $a^{-1}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \subseteq a\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, that is $\mathfrak{b} = a\mathcal{O}$.

4. HECKE OPERATORS

In this section we define the Hecke operators on adelic functions and then translate the action to the classical components of the given function.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_F such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$, let $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completions of F and \mathcal{O} at \mathfrak{p} respectively, and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the uniformizer of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}) = \{g \in M_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \det(g) \in \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\}$. Then

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}) = \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigsqcup_{s=0}^{\ell} \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Given $f \in \text{FS}_q$ and $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, the Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}$ is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad (T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} f)(g) = \int_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(gx) \chi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell})}(x) dx = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} f \left(g \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $\chi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell})}(x)$ denotes the characteristic function of $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell})$.

We now determine the classical components $(T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} f)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of $T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}(f)$, for $f \in \text{FS}$.

We have that $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} & \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and furthermore, by strong approximation in SL_2 , $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} & \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_{\beta,s} k_s$ with $\gamma_{\beta,s} \in \text{SL}_2(F)$ and $k_s \in K_0(\mathfrak{J})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_\infty) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i_\infty(\gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1}) g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s) \\
(4.2) \quad &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^\ell) f_{\mathfrak{ap}^\ell} \left(i_\infty(\gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1}) g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have expressed $(T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in terms of values of $f_{\mathfrak{ap}^\ell}$. Now, we shall see that, in light of (3.1), when \mathfrak{p}^ℓ is a square in the class group \mathcal{C}_F , then $(T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} f \right)_{\mathfrak{a}})$ can be expressed in terms of values of $f_{\mathfrak{a}}$, again at the initial component \mathfrak{a} .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f \in \text{FS}_q$, let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be a prime ideal, $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{I}$ and \mathfrak{p} a square in \mathcal{C}_F . Let \mathfrak{b} be an integral ideal such that $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2 = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}\mathcal{O}_F$ with $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2} \in \mathcal{O}_F$ totally positive. Then*

$$(4.3) \quad (T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_\infty) = \chi^{-1}(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}^\ell}) \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \chi_f(k_s) f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty), \text{ where}$$

$$(4.4) \quad f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) = \sum_{\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right),$$

where $\tilde{b}_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = s + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$, for any $0 \leq s \leq \ell$.

Proof. We have that $([\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell] T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}} = \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell) (T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}}$, hence we must compute

$$(4.5) \quad \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell) (T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell f})_{\mathfrak{a}}(g_\infty) = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} & \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{2\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= i(\gamma_{\beta,s}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{2\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i_\infty(\gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1}) k_s \\
(4.6) \quad &= i(\gamma_{\beta,s}) i \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1} \right) k_s.
\end{aligned}$$

We have used (2.13) for $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} & \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix}$ with $\gamma_{\beta,s} \in \text{SL}_2(F)$ and $k_s \in K_0(\mathfrak{I})$, and also the fact that $\mathfrak{b}^{2\ell} \mathfrak{p}^\ell = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell \mathcal{O}_F$. We pick $i_f(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell)$ as an idele associated to $\mathfrak{b}^{2\ell} \mathfrak{p}^\ell$.

Now we look carefully at the element $\gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1} \in \text{SL}_2(F)$ appearing in (4.6). We distinguish two cases: when $\mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ is a principal ideal and when it is not.

If $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ is such that $\mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ is principal, then we can take $\gamma_{\beta,s}$ a diagonal matrix

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} & \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} = i_f \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_s^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_s \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$ is such that $a_s \mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$. That is, if $\mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ is principal, we have that

$$(4.8) \quad \gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_s & 0 \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \quad \text{and} \quad k_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s \pi_a \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_0(\mathfrak{J}).$$

In the case when $\mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ is not principal, let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K_0(\mathfrak{J})$. By (3.2) applied to $\gamma_{\beta,s}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{2\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^\ell \pi_a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s-\ell} \pi_a^{-1} & -\beta \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s a & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \pi_a b \\ \pi_a^{-1} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s-\ell} c & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and when we intersect with $i_f(\mathrm{GL}_2(F))$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s a & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \pi_a b \\ \pi_a^{-1} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{s-\ell} c & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-\ell} d \end{pmatrix} \cap i_f(\mathrm{GL}_2(F)) \in i_f \left(\Gamma(\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s} \mapsto \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell}) \right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cap i_f(\mathrm{GL}_2(F)) \in \Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{ap}^{-s} / \mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}),$$

since $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and then $\beta \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s} \pi_a \cap i(F) \in i_f(\mathfrak{ap}^{-s} / \mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s})$. We thus have a decomposition

$$(4.9) \quad i_\infty(\gamma_{\beta,s}^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix} \in i_f(\Gamma(\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s} \mapsto \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell}))$, $a_s d_s - b_s c_s = u_s \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$, and $\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s} / \mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1, $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ and we may assume, by modifying d_s if necessary, that

$$(4.10) \quad v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = s + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Now we claim that we can write $\begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix}$ as a product of a matrix in $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell})$ and an upper triangular matrix. Indeed, if $c_s = 0$ there is nothing to prove. If $c_s \neq 0$, then $a_s \neq 0$, since if $a_s = 0$ then $b_s c_s = u$, contradicting that $b_s c_s \in \mathfrak{J}$. As $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ and $c_s \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{b}^{-\ell} \mathfrak{p}^{s-\ell} \mathfrak{J}$, there exists $i \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $i a_s c_s^{-1} \in \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell}$. Thus we may decompose

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s c_s^{-1} i \\ c_s a_s^{-1} & i + u_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_s & -i c_s^{-1} + b_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now since $a_s c_s^{-1} i \in \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell}$, then $c_s a_s^{-1} \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{p}^{-\ell} \mathfrak{b}^{-2\ell} \mathfrak{J}$, and since $i_s + u_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we have that $\tilde{\gamma}_s := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s c_s^{-1} i \\ c_s a_s^{-1} & i_s + u_s \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{ap}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell})$.

Substituting (4.6) in the argument of $([\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell] T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} f)_a = \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell)(T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} f)_a$, using (3.3) and in light of (4.8), (4.9) and (4.11), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell)(T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} f)_a(g_\infty) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\chi(\pi_a)} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} & \beta \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\mathfrak{b}}^\ell \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{\chi(\pi_a)} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i_\infty \left(\gamma_{\beta,s} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} f_a \left(i_\infty \left(\gamma_{\beta,s} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s} / \mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} f_a \left(i_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}_s \begin{pmatrix} a_s & -i c_s^{-1} + b_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s} / \mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} f_a \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}^2}^{-\ell} (-i c_s^{-1} + b_s) \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) \chi_f(k_s), \end{aligned}$$

Now setting $\tilde{b}_s = -ic_s^{-1} + b_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$, the proposition follows. \square

5. FOURIER TERMS AND HECKE OPERATORS

The goal of this section is to prove results on the Fourier terms of the components of $T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} f$.

Proposition 5.1. *In the notation of Proposition 4.1, the function $f_{\mathfrak{a},s}$ in (4.4) is left $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})_N$ -invariant and its Fourier terms are given by*

$$F_{\mathfrak{a},r} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) = \begin{cases} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell)}{N(a_s^2)} F_{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & \tilde{b}_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) & \text{if } r \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where as in (4.4), $\tilde{b}_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = s + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$, for any $0 \leq s \leq \ell$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{pb}^2 = \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2} \mathcal{O}_F$ with $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}$ totally positive.

Proof. The set

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & \tilde{b}_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is right invariant by the group $\Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}) = \{n(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}\}$ and this group contains $\Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) = \{n(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ since $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}$. This implies that $f_{\mathfrak{a},s}$ is left $\Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ -invariant.

We now determine the Fourier expansion of $f_{\mathfrak{a},s}$. For any ideal \mathfrak{c} of F , set $v(\mathfrak{c}) := \text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{c})$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathfrak{a},r} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) &:= \frac{1}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(n(x)g_\infty) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{-s}} \sum_{\alpha'_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S(r(x+\alpha'_s))} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(n(x+\alpha'_s)g_\infty) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \left(\sum_{\alpha'_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S(r\alpha'_s)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{-s}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(n(x)g_\infty) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\alpha'_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S(r\alpha'_s)} = \begin{cases} N(\mathfrak{p}^s) & \text{if } r \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if we let $r \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathfrak{a},r} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) &:= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{-s}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(n(x)g_\infty) dx \\ &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{-s}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} \sum_{\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{ap}^{-s}/\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} n(x)g_\infty \right) dx \\ &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} n(x)g_\infty \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$F_{\mathfrak{a},r} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{v(\mathfrak{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{ap}^{\ell-2s}} e^{-2\pi i S(rx)} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(n(x \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s^2) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \tilde{b}_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) dx.$$

We now make the change of variables $x \mapsto x\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell a_s^{-2}$. Since $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2} \in \mathfrak{pb}^2$ and $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$, then $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s^2 \mathfrak{a}^{\ell-2s} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathfrak{a},r} f_{\mathfrak{a},s}(g_\infty) &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2})^\ell}{v(\mathfrak{a}) N(a_s^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d/\mathfrak{a}} e^{-2\pi i S \left(\frac{rx\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell}{a_s^2} \right)} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(n(x) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} a_s & \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} b_s \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right) dx \\ &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2})^\ell}{N(a_s^2)} F_{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & \tilde{b}_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We thus obtain, using the previous proposition and (4.1):

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal, $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{I}$, as in Proposition 5.1, \mathfrak{b} an integral ideal such that $\mathfrak{pb}^2 = \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2} \mathcal{O}_F$ with $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}$ totally positive. Assume $r \in \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, if $f \in \text{FS}_q$,*

$$(5.1) \quad F_{\mathfrak{a},r} ((T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} f)_{\mathfrak{a}})(g_\infty) = \chi^{-1}(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}^\ell}) \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2})^\ell}{N(a_s^2)} \chi_f(k_s) F_{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} f_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & \tilde{b}_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_\infty \right).$$

Since all $T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}$ are bounded selfadjoint operators commuting with the Casimir operators C_j , we may choose an orthogonal system $\{V_\varpi\}$ of irreducible subspaces such that $T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell} V_\varpi \subset V_\varpi$ for every ϖ . Thus, $T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}$ acts by a scalar $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(\varpi) \in \mathbb{R}$ on V_ϖ . Let $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(\varpi) := \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(\varpi)}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{\ell/2}}$ denote the normalized Hecke eigenvalue, thus $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(\varpi) \in [-2, 2]$. We now relate the normalized eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(\varpi)$ of $T_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}$ with the Fourier coefficients of the eigenfunctions f in V_ϖ .

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal as in Proposition 5.1, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{I}$, $\mathfrak{pb}^2 = \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2} \mathcal{O}_F$ with $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}$ totally positive. Let $r \in \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, for f in an irreducible subspace V_ϖ and \mathfrak{a} any fractional ideal, we have*

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(f) c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f) = \chi^{-1}(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}^\ell}) \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{\ell/2}} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{|N(a_s)|} N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2})^{\ell/2} e^{2\pi i S \left(\frac{\tilde{b}_s}{a_s} \right)} \chi_f(k_s) c^{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2}(f)$$

where $\tilde{b}_s \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $a_s \in \mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = s + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$, for any $0 \leq s \leq \ell$.

Proof. Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We use (2.21) in the expression in Corollary 5.2. Then

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(f) c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f) d^{\mathfrak{a},r}(q, \nu_\varpi) W_q(r, \nu_\varpi; g) = \\ &\chi^{-1}(\pi_{\mathfrak{b}^\ell}) \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2})^\ell}{N(a_s^2)} \chi_f(k_s) c^{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2}(f) d^{\mathfrak{a},r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2}(q, \nu_\varpi) W_q \left(r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2, \nu_\varpi; p_s g \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_s = \begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & \tilde{b}_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now, by (2.23) we have that

$$W_q(r, \nu; \begin{pmatrix} z_\infty & 0 \\ 0 & z_\infty \end{pmatrix} n(x) a(y) k) = \psi_\infty(rx) \phi_q(k) \epsilon_\varpi(\text{sign}(ry)) \prod_{j=1}^d W_{q_j \text{sign}(r_j y_j)/2, \nu_j}(4\pi |r_j y_j|),$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
W_q\left(r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2, \nu_\varpi; p_s g\right) &= W_q\left(r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2, \nu_\varpi; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s \tilde{b}_s / \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_s \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & a_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) \\
&= W_q\left(r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2, \nu_\varpi; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_s \tilde{b}_s / \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_s^2 \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^{-\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) \\
&= \psi_\infty\left(\frac{r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell}{a_s^2} \frac{a_s \tilde{b}_s}{\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell}\right) \epsilon_\varpi\left(\text{sign}\left(\frac{r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j}{a_s^2}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^d W_{q_j \text{sign}\left(\frac{r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j}{a_s^2}\right)/2, \nu_j} \left(4\pi \left|\frac{r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j}{a_s^2} \frac{a_s^2}{\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell}\right|\right) \\
&= e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)} \epsilon_\varpi\left(\text{sign}\left(\frac{r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j}{a_s^2}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^d W_{q_j \text{sign}\left(r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j / a_s^2\right)/2, \nu_j} \left(4\pi |r_j y_j|\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell$ is totally positive, then $\text{sign}\left(r_j \eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell y_j / a_s^2\right) = \text{sign}(r_j y_j)$.

Hence we have the identity

$$(5.3) \quad W_q\left(r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2, \nu_\varpi; p_s g\right) = e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)} W_q(r, \nu_\varpi; g).$$

On the other hand, by (2.22) we have

$$(5.4) \quad d^{a, r\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell/a_s^2}(q, \nu_\varpi) = \frac{|N(a_s)|}{N(\eta_{\mathfrak{pb}^2}^\ell)^{1/2}} d^{a, r}(q, \nu_\varpi).$$

Substituting (5.3) and (5.4) in (5.2), the assertion in the theorem follows. \square

6. ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF CASIMIR AND HECKE EIGENVALUES

6.1. Plancherel measures. In this subsection we recall some facts on the Plancherel measure. We have $\text{Pl} = \otimes_j \text{Pl}_{\xi_j}$ on \mathbb{R}^d , where Pl_{ξ_j} are the measures on \mathbb{R} given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Pl}_0(f) &= \int_{1/4}^\infty f(\lambda) \tanh \pi \sqrt{\lambda - \frac{1}{4}} d\lambda + \sum_{b \geq 2, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} (b-1) f\left(\frac{b}{2}\left(1 - \frac{b}{2}\right)\right), \\
\text{Pl}_1(f) &= \int_{1/4}^\infty f(\lambda) \coth \pi \sqrt{\lambda - \frac{1}{4}} d\lambda + \sum_{b \geq 3, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}} (b-1) f\left(\frac{b}{2}\left(1 - \frac{b}{2}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, Pl_{ξ_j} gives zero measure to the set of *exceptional eigenvalues* in $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$.

We also need another measure, denoted V_1 as in [BM10], with a product structure $V_1 = \otimes_j V_{1, \xi_j}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\int h dV_{1,0} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{5/4}^\infty h(\lambda) d\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{5/4} \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\right|^{-1/2} d\lambda + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \beta h(1/4 - \beta^2), \\
\int h dV_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{5/4}^\infty h(\lambda) d\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/4}^{5/4} \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\right|^{-1/2} d\lambda + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \beta h(1/4 - \beta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The measure V_1 is comparable to the Plancherel measure Pl for sets that are at a positive distance off $(0, 1/4)$ (see [BM10, §1.2.2]).

Often it is convenient to use, instead of $\lambda(f) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding spectral parameter $\nu(f) \in ([0, \infty) \cup i(0, \infty))^d$, $\nu(f) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda(f)}$. We will use a tilde to indicate that the relevant measures like \tilde{N}^r , $\tilde{\text{Pl}}$ and \tilde{V}_1 are taken with respect to the variable ν , and we will write

$$(6.1) \quad \tilde{N}^r(\tilde{\Omega}) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \nu(f) \in \tilde{\Omega}}} |c^{a, r}(f)|^2,$$

for sets $\tilde{\Omega} \subset Y_\xi = \prod_{j=1}^d Y_{\xi_j}$, where $\xi_j \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$Y_0 = \left\{ \frac{b-1}{2} : b \geq 2, b \text{ even} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 = \left\{ \frac{b-1}{2} : b \geq 2, b \text{ odd} \right\}.$$

In the ν -coordinate the Plancherel measure on Y_ξ is now given by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}l_\xi = \otimes_j \tilde{\mathbb{P}}l_{\xi_j}$, where

$$(6.2) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}l_0(f) = \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} |\beta| f(\beta), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}l_1(f) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\beta| f(\beta).$$

The measure \tilde{V}_1 has a product form $\tilde{V}_1 = \otimes_j \tilde{V}_{1, \xi_j}$ on the space $\tilde{\Omega} \subset Y_\xi = \prod_{j=1}^d Y_{\xi_j}$, where $\xi_j \in \{0, 1\}$ with

$$(6.3) \quad \int h d\tilde{V}_{1,0} = \sum_{\beta > 0, \beta \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1)} \beta h(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \int h d\tilde{V}_{1,1} = \sum_{\beta > 0, \beta \equiv 0(1)} \beta h(\beta).$$

6.1.1. Test functions. We shall use test functions of product type $\varphi(\nu) = \prod_j \varphi_j(\nu_j)$, where the factor φ_j is defined on a strip $|\operatorname{Re} \nu_j| \leq \tau$ with $\frac{1}{4} < \tau < \frac{1}{2}$, and also on the discrete set $\frac{1+\xi_j}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$. The j^{th} -factor φ_j satisfies $\varphi_j(\nu_j) \ll (1 + |\nu_j|)^{-a}$ on its domain for some $a > 2$, and is even and holomorphic on the strip $|\operatorname{Re} \nu_j| \leq \tau$. The ν_j occurring are related to spectral data. The eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\varpi)$ of the Casimir operators in V_ϖ are of the form $\lambda_j(\varpi) = \frac{1}{4} - \nu_j(\varpi)^2$, with $\nu_j(\varpi) \in (0, \infty) \cup i[0, \infty)$. Thus, the test functions φ can be viewed as defined on a neighborhood of the set of possible values of the vectors $\nu(\varpi) = (\nu_j(\varpi))_j$.

6.2. The asymptotic formula. In this subsection we will first review the Kuznetsov sum formula for GL_2 and then use it to derive the asymptotic formula (6.10), which will be a central tool in the proof of the main theorems in this paper.

A sum formula for SL_2 over a number field for K -spherical functions was given in [BM98, Theorem 6.1.]. In [BMP01] (see also [BM09, Theorem 3.21]) an extension valid for arbitrary K -types was given, still in the case of SL_2 . A spherical version for $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ was proved in [Ve04, Proposition 1] and a GL_2 general version was derived in [Ma13, Theorem 1] (see also [BH10, §2.12]).

Definition 6.1. Fix $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and χ a character of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ be an orthonormal basis of the space $\operatorname{FS}_{\chi, q}^{\text{disc}}$ so that each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ has K_∞ -weight q and is an eigenfunction of all the Casimir operators C_j , of all the Hecke operators T_p and an eigenfunction of the center $Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$ by the central character χ .

We define the *twisted Kloosterman sum* as in [Ve04, Definition 2], taken in the particular case $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}$.

Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional ideal of F and let \mathfrak{c} be any ideal so that $\mathfrak{c}^2 \sim \mathfrak{a}^2$ in the class group. Fix $c \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}$, $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$ and $r' \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}\mathfrak{c}^{-2}$. We set

$$(6.4) \quad KS(r, \mathfrak{a}; r', \mathfrak{a}; c, \mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{x \in (\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}/\mathfrak{a}(c))^\times} e^{\frac{rx+r'x^{-1}}{c}} \overline{\chi(x)},$$

where the summation runs through elements x generating $(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}/\mathfrak{a}(c))$ as an \mathcal{O}_F -module, x^{-1} is the unique element in $(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(c)\mathfrak{c}^2)^\times$ such that $xx^{-1} \in 1 + c\mathfrak{c}$ and χ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$ with conductor dividing $(c)\mathfrak{c}$ (then χ induces in a natural way a function $(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}/\mathfrak{a}(c))^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$).

Twisted Kloosterman sums satisfy a Weil bound (see [Ve04, (13)]). Namely

$$(6.5) \quad |KS(r, \mathfrak{a}; r', \mathfrak{a}; c, \mathfrak{c})| \ll N(r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}, r'\mathfrak{c}^2\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}, c\mathfrak{c})^{1/2} N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

where the brackets (\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) denote greatest common divisors of ideals.

We now give the version of the Kuznetsov formula for PGL_2 that we shall use (see [Ve04, Prop.2.1] in the spherical case and [Ma13, Thm. 1] for arbitrary K_∞ -types).

Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional ideal, and $r, r' \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$.

Then, for any test function φ as in §6.1.1, we have

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{f \in B_{\chi, q}} c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f) \overline{c^{\mathfrak{a}, r'}(f)} \varphi(\nu(f)) + \text{CSC} = \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \tilde{\delta}_{r, r'} \tilde{\text{Pl}}(\varphi) \\ + \frac{2^{d-1}}{h_F} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}: \mathfrak{c}^2 \sim \mathfrak{a}^2} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^*/(\mathcal{O}^*)^2} \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}} B\varphi(\nu(f), \frac{\varepsilon r r'}{c^2 \gamma}) \frac{KS(r, \mathfrak{a}; \varepsilon r' \langle \mathfrak{c}^2 / \mathfrak{a}^2 \rangle^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}; c, \mathfrak{c})}{N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_{r, r'} = 1$, iff $r^{-1}r' \in \mathcal{O}_F^{*+}$ and is equal to 0 otherwise. Also, CSC denotes a contribution of the continuous spectrum and $B\varphi$ is a Bessel transform of φ .

We now describe the main procedure in the derivation (see [Ma13, Section 4.2]). For $r, r' \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$, nonzero elements with the property that r/r' is totally positive, let $\psi_\infty(rx)$ and $\psi_\infty(r'x)$, be characters on $N(\mathbb{R})^d$ which are trivial on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})_N$.

Define functions f_1, f_2 on $Z_\infty \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ such that if $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\times d}$ then

$$f_1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} g \right) = \psi_\infty(rx) f(g), \quad f_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} g \right) = \psi_\infty(r'x) f(g).$$

One now defines Poincaré series P_1 and P_2 on the h_F classical components in the right-hand side of (2.16) in such a way that $P_1^{\mathfrak{a}'} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{a}' \neq \mathfrak{a}$, $P_2^{\mathfrak{a}b^2} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{a}' \neq \mathfrak{a}b^2$ and, furthermore,

$$P_1^{\mathfrak{a}}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_\Gamma \Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a})} f_1(\gamma g), \quad P_2^{\mathfrak{a}b^2}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z_\Gamma \Gamma_N(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}b^2) \backslash \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}b^2)} f_2(\gamma g),$$

with $Z_\Gamma = Z_\infty \cap \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_N = \Gamma \cap N(\mathbb{R})^d$.

The sum formula emerges by computing the inner product $\langle \pi_{\mathfrak{b}} P_2, P_1 \rangle$ in two ways, geometrically and spectrally and by averaging over $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}_F$. We refer to [Ve04, sections 6.3, 6.4] and [Ma13, sections 5.1, 5.2] for the geometric description and for calculations on the spectral side, and to [BM98] or [BM09] for convergence considerations and for the integral formulas used. See also [BH10, §2.12].

As an application of formula (6.6) we will derive an asymptotic formula that is an adaptation of a result in [BMP03] and [BM10], and which will be a main tool in the proof of Theorem 6.4. We fix a partition of the set of archimedean places of F

$$(6.7) \quad \{1, \dots, d\} = E \sqcup Q_+ \sqcup Q_-, \quad \text{where } Q := Q_+ \sqcup Q_- \neq \emptyset,$$

and we consider the automorphic irreducible subrepresentations ϖ in $L^{2, \text{disc}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi)$ with prescribed spectral parameters at the places $j \in E$, so that, for $j \in Q_-$, ϖ_j is in the discrete series and for $j \in Q_+$, ϖ_j is in the principal or complementary series. That is, we consider the set \mathcal{R} of representations ϖ such that

$$(6.8) \quad \lambda_j(\varpi) \in [a_j, b_j] \text{ for } j \in E, \quad \lambda_j(\varpi) > 0 \text{ if } j \in Q_+, \quad \text{and } \lambda_j(\varpi) \leq 0 \text{ if } j \in Q_-.$$

Furthermore, the intervals $[a_j, b_j] \subset \mathbb{R}$ are required to satisfy the condition that the endpoints a_j, b_j are not of the form $\frac{b}{2}(1 - \frac{b}{2})$ with $b \geq 1$, $b \equiv \xi_j \pmod{2}$.

Let

$$(6.9) \quad \Omega_t = \prod_{j \in E} [a_j, b_j] \times \prod_{j \in Q_+} [A_j(t), B_j(t)] \times \prod_{j \in Q_-} [C_j(t), D_j(t)],$$

where Ω_t satisfies the conditions in Proposition 6.2 of [BM10], in particular $B_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for at least one $j \in Q_+$ or $C_j(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ for some $j \in Q_-$.

Theorem 6.2 (Asymptotic formula). *Let $t \mapsto \Omega_t$ be a family of bounded sets in \mathbb{R}^d as in (6.9). Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional ideal, χ a unitary character of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ be as in Definition 6.1. Then, if $r, r' \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} \overline{c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f)} c^{\mathfrak{a}, r'}(f) = \tilde{\delta}_{r, r'} \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)),$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_{r,r'} = 1$, if $r/r' \in (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{r,r'} = 0$ otherwise.

The asymptotic formula is derived by using (6.6) (with the variable λ in place of ν) for a suitable choice of the test functions. We can adapt the method of proof of [BM10, Thm 1.3]. A main part of the argument involves showing that the CSC and the Kloosterman term of the Kuznetsov formula are of lower order than the delta term, which is the main term, given in (6.6). For simplicity we defer a sketch of these computations to the Appendix (see (8.7) and (8.10)).

In the proof, we choose the test functions in the same way as in [BM10, Lemma 2.2]. Then the asymptotic result follows by arguing as in [BM10, sections §2-5].

Remark 6.3. We note that the formula does not exclude exceptional eigenvalues but shows that the exceptional spectrum has lower density, since the interval $(0, 1/4)$ is not in the support of the Plancherel measure appearing in the main term in (6.10).

6.3. Joint distribution of Hecke and Casimir eigenvalues. The main goal of this section will be to estimate the function $N^r(\Omega_t)$, now including conditions on the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$.

Given a subinterval $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq [-2, 2]$ and an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} we study the asymptotic behavior of the function

$$(6.11) \quad N^r(\Omega_t, I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}: \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t, \\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}}} |c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f)|^2$$

with $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1} \setminus \{0\}$ and f running through an orthogonal system of irreducible subspaces of $L^{2, \text{cusp}}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{a}) \backslash \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})^d, \chi)$.

Relative to the Hecke eigenvalues, one wishes to measure their distribution relative to the Sato–Tate measure (1.1). As in [KL08] and [Li09] we will use the following measure for any $r \in F$

$$(6.12) \quad \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(x) := \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d})} X_{2\ell'}(x) d\mu_{\infty}(x),$$

where $d\mu_{\infty}$ is the Sato–Tate measure in $[-2, 2]$ and $X_m(x)$ denotes the m^{th} -Chebyshev polynomial. These polynomials are orthonormal with respect to the Sato–Tate measure in $[-2, 2]$ and satisfy $X_{\ell}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) = T_{\mathfrak{p}\ell}$ for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} (see [Se97, §2]). In particular, for any $r \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(x)$ is just the Sato–Tate measure. The following theorems are the main results in this paper.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $t \mapsto \Omega_t$ be a family of subsets of \mathbb{R}^d as in (6.9) and let $\mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ be as in Definition 6.1. Let $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$, \mathfrak{p} a square in the narrow class group, \mathfrak{a} an integral ideal and, for each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$, let $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$ be the eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in f . Assume $r \in \mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have*

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f)|^2 X_{\ell}(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(X_{\ell}) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)) & \text{if } \ell \text{ even,} \\ o(V_1(\Omega_t)) & \text{if } \ell \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

with $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}$ as in (6.12) and V_1 as in Theorem 6.2.

Proof. Since $X_\ell(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell}(f)$, then, by Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{a, r}(f)|^2 X_\ell(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)) &= \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} \overline{c^{a, r}(f)} c^{a, r}(f) \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^\ell, f} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{\overline{c^{a, r}(f)}}{N(a_s)} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{\ell/2}} \frac{N(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell)^{1/2}}{e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{r\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)}} \chi_f(k_s) c^{a, \frac{r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell}{a_s^2}}(f) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{N(a_s)} \frac{N(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell)^{1/2}}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{\ell/2}} e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{r\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)} \chi_f(k_s) \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} \overline{c^{a, r}(f)} c^{a, \frac{r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell}{a_s^2}}(f) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}{N(a_s)} \frac{N(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell)^{1/2}}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{\ell/2}} e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{r\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)} \chi_f(k_s) \delta\left(r, \frac{r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell}{a_s^2}\right) \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) \\
&\quad + o(V_1(\Omega_t)).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\tilde{\delta}_{r, r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} = 1$ if and only if $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell/a_s^2 \in (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+$. We will see next that this can happen if and only if $2s = \ell$.

First, we assume that $\delta_{r, r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} = 1$. This implies $a_s^2/\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell = u \in (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+$ or equivalently $a_s^2 \mathcal{O}_F = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell \mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{b}^{2\ell}$. Thus ℓ must be even, and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = \frac{\ell}{2} + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(a_s) = \ell v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{b})$. Since the element $a_s \in F$ is such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_s) = s + \ell v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$ (see Proposition 4.1) then necessarily $s = \frac{\ell}{2}$.

Conversely, if ℓ is even, let $s = \frac{\ell}{2}$. We have that $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell/2} \mathfrak{b}^\ell = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^{\ell/2} \mathcal{O}_F$, that is, we are in the case when $\mathfrak{p}^s \mathfrak{b}^\ell$ is principal, and therefore, we can use (4.7) and (4.8) to conclude that $a_s = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^{\ell/2} u$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$. Thus $a_s^2 = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell u^2$, that is, $a_s^2/\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell = u^2 \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is totally positive, hence $\delta_{r, r\eta_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{b}^2}^\ell/a_s^2} = 1$.

Furthermore, in the case when ℓ is even, $s = \frac{\ell}{2}$, by the expression of $\gamma_{\beta, s}$ in equation (4.8), we have that $b_s = 0$ which implies that $e^{2\pi i S\left(\frac{r\tilde{b}_s}{a_s}\right)} = 1$. Furthermore, the element k_s satisfies $\chi_f(k_s) = 1$.

Putting all these facts together we get that

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{a, r}(f)|^2 X_\ell(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)) = \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)).$$

Now $0 \leq \ell/2 \leq \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d})$, since $r \in \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Thus, since ℓ is even $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(X_\ell) = 1$ by expression (6.12). This proves the first assertion in the theorem,

Relative to the second assertion, if ℓ is odd, then $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(X_\ell) = 0$, again by (6.12). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

As the Chebyshev polynomials $\{X_\ell\}$ are a basis of the space of all polynomials and these are uniformly dense in $C([-2, 2])$, we may replace X_ℓ by any continuous function f in Theorem 6.4 (see [BM13, Prop.4.8] or [KL13, Thm. 10.2]). Now, arguing as in [BM13, §4.3.2] to extend the formula to characteristic functions we obtain:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $t \mapsto \Omega_t$ be a family of sets in \mathbb{R}^d as in (6.9) and let $\mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ be as in Definition 6.1. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal that is a square in \mathcal{C}_F^+ , $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$, let $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$ be the eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}$ and let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(x)$ be as in (6.12), with $r \in \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, if $t \rightarrow \infty$, given any interval $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq [-2, 2]$, we have that*

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q}: \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t, \\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}}} |c^{a, r}(f)|^2 = \frac{2^d \sqrt{D_F}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)).$$

In particular this implies that, if \mathfrak{p} is a square in the narrow class group, there are infinitely many automorphic eigenforms with eigenvalues of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ lying in $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, with density given by $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}$ that coincides for r a.e. with the Sato-Tate measure, and with Casimir eigenvalues in the region Ω_t , with density given by the Plancherel measure.

Remark 6.6. This result refines Theorem 1.1 in [BM13] which involves the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^2}(f)$ in place of $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$ and now using a polynomial multiple of the Sato-Tate measure in place of the variant used in [BM13].

7. APPLICATIONS

In this section we will give applications of Theorems 6.4 and 6.5, by using some results in [BM10].

7.1. Distribution of holomorphic automorphic forms. The classical case of holomorphic forms is of special interest. That is, we restrict ourselves to representations $\varpi = \otimes_{j=1}^d \varpi_j$, with $\varpi_j \in \widehat{G}$ in the discrete series. In this case, the Casimir eigenvalues are of the form $\lambda_j(\varpi) = \frac{b_j}{2} \left(1 - \frac{b_j}{2}\right)$, with $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$. As often, it is convenient to use, instead of $\lambda(\varpi) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding spectral parameter $\nu(\varpi) \in ([0, \infty) \cup i(0, \infty))^d$, $\nu(\varpi) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda(\varpi)}$. We will use a tilde to indicate that the relevant measures like \widetilde{N}^r , $\widetilde{\text{Pl}}$ and \widetilde{V}_1 are taken with respect to the variable ν , and we will write

$$(7.1) \quad \widetilde{N}^r(\widetilde{\Omega}) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} \\ \nu_f \in \widetilde{\Omega}}} |c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f)|^2,$$

for sets $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset Y_{\xi} = \prod_{j=1}^d Y_{\xi_j}$, where $\xi_j \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$Y_0 = \left\{ \frac{b-1}{2} : b \geq 2 \text{ even} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 = \left\{ \frac{b-1}{2} : b \geq 2 \text{ odd} \right\}.$$

Now in light of (6.2) and (6.3), if we let $\mathbf{b} = \left(\frac{b_1-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{b_d-1}{2}\right)$, and $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{b}} = \{\mathbf{b}\}$, we get in the present case that $\widetilde{\text{Pl}}(\{\mathbf{b}\}) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{b_j-1}{2}\right)$ and $\widetilde{V}_1(\{\mathbf{b}\}) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{b_j-1}{2}\right)^{-A}$, with $A > 2$. As a consequence of Theorem 6.5 we thus obtain

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{b}} = \{\mathbf{b}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$, \mathfrak{p} a square in \mathcal{C}_F^+ , let $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq [-2, 2]$ be an interval, and let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}$ be as in (6.12), with $r \in \mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, if the product $\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{b_j-1}{2}$ tends to infinity we have*

$$(7.2) \quad \widetilde{N}(\{\mathbf{b}\}, I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi, q} : \nu_f \in \{\mathbf{b}\} \\ \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}}} |c^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(f)|^2 = \frac{2^d \sqrt{|D_F|}}{(2\pi)^d} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{b_j-1}{2}\right) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}, r}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) + o\left(\prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{b_j-1}{2}\right)\right)^{-A},$$

with $A > 2$.

7.2. Weighted equidistribution of Hecke eigenvalues. As an application of Theorem 6.4 we also obtain a result of weighted equidistribution of Hecke eigenvalues.

Definition 7.2. Let (X, μ) be a Borel measure space. Let S_1, S_2, \dots be a sequence of non-empty finite subsets of X . Then one says that the sequence S_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is *equidistributed* with respect to $d\mu$ (or μ -equidistributed) if for any continuous function g on X we have

$$(7.3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{x \in S_i} g(x)}{|S_i|} = \int_X g(x) d\mu(x).$$

Example 7.3. If $S_i = \{0, 1/i, 2/i, \dots, 1\}$ then $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equidistributed with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $X = [0, 1]$.

If a_1, a_2, \dots is a sequence of points on X , then $\{a_i\}$ is μ -equidistributed if $\{S_i\}$ is μ -equidistributed, where $S_i = \{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$.

If to each element $x \in S_i$ we assign a weight $w_x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the sequence $\{S_i\}$ is w -equidistributed if for any continuous function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have:

$$(7.4) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{x \in S_i} w_x g(x)}{\sum_{x \in S_i} w_x} = \int_X g(x) d\mu(x).$$

Theorem 7.4. *Let $t \mapsto \Omega_t$ be a family of subsets in \mathbb{R}^d as in (6.9). Let $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{J}$, \mathfrak{p} a square in \mathcal{C}_F^+ and let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}$ be as in (6.12) with $r \in \mathfrak{p}^\ell \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$. Then, if g is any continuous function on \mathbb{R} , as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have*

$$(7.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 g(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f))}{\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(x).$$

Proof. If we let $\ell = 0$ in Theorem 6.4 then we get

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 = \frac{2^d \sqrt{|D_F|}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)).$$

For $\ell > 0$ we have

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 X_\ell(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^d \sqrt{|D_F|}}{\pi^d h_F} \text{Pl}(\Omega_t) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(X_\ell) + o(V_1(\Omega_t)) & \text{if } \ell \text{ even} \\ o(V_1(\Omega_t)) & \text{if } \ell \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

By taking the quotient of (7.7) by (7.6) we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2 X_\ell(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f))}{\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q} \\ \lambda(f) \in \Omega_t}} |c^{\mathfrak{a},r}(f)|^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} X_\ell(x) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a},r}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

As $\{X_\ell\}$ generates the space of all polynomials and this space is uniformly dense in $C([-2, 2])$, we may replace X_ℓ by any continuous function g and the theorem follows. \square

Remark 7.5. The above result implies that if t_n is an increasing sequence tending to $+\infty$, then the set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) : f \in \mathcal{B}_{\chi,q}, \lambda(f) \in \Omega_{t_n}\}$ is a dense subset of $[-2, 2]$.

Remark 7.6. Theorem 7.1 extends results by Serre [Se97] for holomorphic forms for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and by Knightly–Li [KL08] for holomorphic forms for F a totally real number field. We note that Theorem 7.4 includes all representations (not only the discrete series) and involves a spectral parameter tending to infinity in place of the level, as is the case in [Se97] and [KL08].

8. APPENDIX

In this section we will explain the estimates necessary in the proof of Theorem 6.2. We will only give a sketch of the proofs, the arguments are similar to those given in [BM10] (using some facts proved in [BMP03]).

8.1. Kloosterman term. We let as before $\{1, \dots, d\} = E \sqcup Q_+ \sqcup Q_-$ where $Q_+ \sqcup Q_- \neq \emptyset$ and use test functions $\varphi = \otimes_{j=1}^d \varphi_j$, with φ_j as in [BM10, §2.1.1 and Lemma 2.2] and $\varphi_E = \otimes_{j \in E} \varphi_j$.

We need to bound the sum

$$(8.1) \quad \frac{2^{d-1}}{h_F} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} : \mathfrak{c}^2 \sim \mathfrak{a}^2} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^* / (\mathcal{O}^*)^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1} \mathfrak{J}} B\varphi(\nu(f), \frac{\varepsilon r r'}{\mathfrak{c}^2 \gamma}) \frac{KS(r, \mathfrak{a}; \varepsilon r' \gamma^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}; \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c})}{N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_F$ is such that $\mathfrak{c}^2 = \langle \gamma \rangle \mathfrak{a}^2$. It will be sufficient to bound the inner sum since the other two sums are finite. We will use methods and results from [BM10, §2.2] or [BMP03, §4 and §5].

Set $\tau \in (1/4, 1/2)$, $\rho \in (1 - \tau, 1)$, $\gamma \in (\tau, 1/2)$, $\rho_1 = 3/2 - \gamma - \tau \in (1/2, 1)$, $U \geq 1$ and $A_1 > 0$. Then, by [BM10, (52)] or [BMP03, Lemma 4.3] we have that

$$(8.2) \quad |B\varphi(\nu(f), \frac{\varepsilon r r'}{\mathfrak{c}^2 \gamma})| \ll_{A_1} \prod_j \min \left(a_j \left(\frac{4\pi |r_j r'_j|^{1/2}}{|c_j| |\gamma|^{1/2}} \right)^{2\tau}, b_j \right)$$

where

a_j	b_j	
$N_j(\varphi_j)$	$N_j(\varphi_j)$	$j \in E$
$ q ^{-A_1}$	$ q $	$j \in Q_-$
$e^{\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 U} q ^{\rho_1}$	$ q $	$j \in Q_+$

and $\|\varphi_E\|_E = \prod_{j \in E} \|\varphi_j\|$, $\|\varphi_j\| = \sup_{\nu, 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \nu \leq \tau} |\varphi(\nu)| (1 + |\nu|)^a + \sum_{b \equiv \xi_j(2), b \geq 2} b^a |\varphi_j(\frac{b-2}{2})|$.

For the second factor we use the Weil bound:

$$(8.3) \quad \frac{KS(r, \mathfrak{a}; \varepsilon r' \gamma^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}; c, \mathfrak{c})}{N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})} \leq \frac{|N(\gcd(r\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}, r'\gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{c}^2\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c}))|^{1/2} |N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{|N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})|} \ll |N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})|^{\varepsilon-1/2}$$

Now we substitute (8.2) and (8.3) in the inner sum in (8.1) and obtain that this sum is bounded by

$$(8.4) \quad \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}} \prod_j \min \left(a_j \left(\frac{4\pi |r_j r'_j|^{1/2}}{|c_j| |\gamma|^{1/2}} \right)^{2\tau}, b_j \right) |N(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{c})|^{\varepsilon-1/2} \ll N(\mathfrak{c})^{\varepsilon-1/2} \sum_{\langle c \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)(\varepsilon-1/2)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)\varepsilon} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{O}^\times} \prod_j \min(p_j |\zeta_j|^{-2\tau}, q_j)$$

where $p_j = a_j \left(\frac{4\pi |r_j r'_j|^{1/2}}{|\gamma|^{1/2}} \right)^{2\tau}$, $q_j = b_j$, $c\mathcal{O}_F = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)}$.

Now we use [BMP03, Lemma 4.3] or [BM09, Lemma 2.2] with $\alpha = 2\tau$, $\beta = 0$ and $y_j = c_j^{-1}$ to estimate the sum over $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ by

$$(8.5) \quad \ll (1 + |\log |N(c)| + \frac{1}{2\tau} \log \frac{Q}{P}|)^{d-1} \min(P|N(c)|^{2\tau}, Q)$$

where $P = \prod p_j$ and $Q = \prod q_j$. Now arguing like in [BM10, p.3857] (or in [BMP03, p.703]) we get that

$$(8.6) \quad \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{O}^\times} \prod_j \min(p_j |\zeta_j|^{-2\tau}, q_j) \ll e^{\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 U |Q_+| (1+\varepsilon)} \|\varphi_E\|_E |N(c)|^{-2\tau(1-\varepsilon)}.$$

$$\prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^{\rho+(1-\rho_1)\varepsilon} \prod_{j \in Q_-} |q_j|^{-A_1+(A_1-1)\varepsilon}.$$

In this way, we find the following estimate for the Kloosterman term:

$$\ll N(\mathfrak{c})^{\varepsilon-1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 U |Q_+| (1+\varepsilon)} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^{\rho+(1-\rho_1)\varepsilon} \prod_{j \in Q_-} |q_j|^{-A_1+(A_1-1)\varepsilon}.$$

$$\sum_{c \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{J}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)(\varepsilon-1/2-2\tau(1-\varepsilon))} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)(\varepsilon-2\tau(1-\varepsilon))}$$

$$\ll N(\mathfrak{c})^{\varepsilon-1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 U |Q_+| (1+\varepsilon)} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^{\rho+(1-\rho_1)\varepsilon} \prod_{j \in Q_-} |q_j|^{-A_1+(A_1-1)\varepsilon}.$$

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{\varepsilon-1/2-2\tau(1-\varepsilon)}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{J}} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{\varepsilon-2\tau(1-\varepsilon)}}.$$

Under the additional assumption on ε that $2\tau(1-\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon > 1$, that is, $\varepsilon(\tau - 1/4) < \tau + 1/2$, the product converges and the Kloosterman term is bounded by

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\ll_{F, \mathcal{J}, r, r', \varepsilon} e^{\frac{\tau^2(1+\varepsilon)U|Q_+|}{2}} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^{\rho_1(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon} \prod_{j \in Q_-} |q_j|^{-(A_1(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon)} \\ &\ll_{F, \mathcal{J}, r, r', \varepsilon} e^{t_0 U|Q_+|} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^\rho \prod_{j \in Q_-} |q_j|^{-A} \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \rho_1 + (1 - \rho_1)\varepsilon$, $A = A_1 + (1 - A_1)\varepsilon$ and $t_0 = \frac{\tau^2(1+\varepsilon)}{2}$.

8.2. Eisenstein contribution. We now show that the Eisenstein contribution to the asymptotic formula is of smaller order than that of the Kloosterman term. In the first place, if $Q_- \neq \emptyset$ the Eisenstein term is just zero ([BMP03, §5.3]) so we may assume that $Q = Q_+$.

The Eisenstein series has the form

$$(8.8) \quad E(\chi, \nu, i\mu, g, q) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)_P \setminus \mathrm{GL}_2(F)} \psi(\gamma g)^{\nu+i\mu+\rho} \phi_q(k_\infty(\gamma g)) \chi(k_f(\gamma g))$$

where $\psi = \otimes_v \psi_v$, with $\psi_v \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} k \right) = |a/d|_v$ and $k \in K_v$, for v a finite or infinite valuation of F . By the product formula ψ is left invariant by $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)_P$. The classical components $E_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of E are defined as in (2.17).

Thus, the Eisenstein contribution to the sum formula (6.6) is a sum of terms of the form

$$(8.9) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{L}_\chi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(it + i\mu) D^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(\chi, it, i\mu) \overline{D^{\mathfrak{a}, r'}(\chi, it, i\mu)} dt$$

where φ is a test function as in [BM10, §2.1.1 and Lemma 2.2], $D^{\mathfrak{a}, r}(\chi, \nu, i\mu)$ is the Fourier coefficient of order r of $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\chi, \nu, i\mu, g_\infty, q)$ and \mathcal{L}_χ is a lattice in the hyperplane $\sum_{j=1}^d x_j = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

We argue as in [BMP03, §5]. Since the group $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ contains the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(\mathcal{J}\mathfrak{a})$, then the Eisenstein series with respect to $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{a})$ is a linear combination of Eisenstein series for $\Gamma(\mathcal{J}\mathfrak{a})$. Now to estimate the Fourier coefficients for $\Gamma(\mathcal{J}\mathfrak{a})$ we argue as in §5.1 and §5.2 of [BMP03]. As a first step, we express the Fourier coefficients in terms of L -series $L(s, \lambda_\mu, \chi)$. Secondly, we prove a bound for $\mathrm{Re} s = 1$ of the type

$$\begin{aligned} 1/L(t, \lambda_\mu, \chi) &\ll \log^7(2+t) + \log \|\mu\| \quad \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \\ 1/L(t, \lambda_0, \chi) &\ll \log^7(2+t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D^r(\chi, it, i\mu) &\ll N(r)^\varepsilon (\log^7(2+t) + \log \|\mu\|) \quad \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \\ D^r(\chi, it, 0) &\ll N(r)^\varepsilon (\log^7(2+t)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we may bound (8.9) by

$$(8.10) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{L}_\chi} N(r)^\varepsilon \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + (t_j + \mu_j)^2)^{\varepsilon - a/2} \prod_{j \in Q_+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + (t_j + \mu_j)^2)^\varepsilon e^{-U(t+\mu-|q|^2)} dt$$

with the assumption that $a/2 - \varepsilon > 1$. Now arguing as in [BM10, p.3859] we obtain that the Eisenstein term is

$$(8.11) \quad \ll_{U, \varepsilon} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q_+} |q_j|^{2\varepsilon},$$

which is absorbed by the Kloosterman term (8.7). This gives the estimate for the Eisenstein contribution.

Thus, we have shown that both contributions are estimated by

$$(8.12) \quad \ll_{r,r',U} \|\varphi_E\|_E \prod_{j \in Q} |q_j|.$$

Now the comparison with the Plancherel measure and the proof of the asymptotic formula is completed by arguing as in [BM10, sections 3-5].

REFERENCES

- [BB11] V. BLOMER and F. BRUMLEY. *On the Ramanujan conjecture over number fields*. Ann. Math. (2) 174, No. 1, 581–605 (2011).
- [BGHT11] T. BARNET-LAMB, D. GERAGHTY, M. HARRIS, R. TAYLOR. *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy. II.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 47:1 (2011), 29–98.
- [BH10] VALENTIN BLOMER and GERGELY HARCOS. *Twisted L-functions over number fields and Hilbert’s eleventh problem*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 20, 1–52. (2010). Also erratum: <https://users.renyi.hu/~gharcos/hilbert-erratum.pdf>
- [BM98] R. W. BRUGGEMAN and R. J. MIATELLO. *Sum formula for SL_2 over a number field and Selberg type estimates for exceptional eigenvalues*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 8, No. 4, 627–655 (1998).
- [BM09] R. W. BRUGGEMAN and R. J. MIATELLO. *Sum formula for SL_2 over a totally real number field*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 919, 81 p. (2009).
- [BM10] R. W. BRUGGEMAN and R. J. MIATELLO. *Density results for automorphic forms on Hilbert modular groups. II*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 362, No. 7, 3841–3881 (2010).
- [BM13] R. W. BRUGGEMAN and R. J. MIATELLO. *Eigenvalues of Hecke operators on Hilbert modular groups*. Asian J. Math. 17, No. 4, 729–758 (2013).
- [BMP01] R.W. BRUGGEMAN and R.J. MIATELLO and I. PACHARONI. *Estimates for Kloosterman sums for totally real number fields*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 535, 103–164. (2001).
- [BMP03] R. W. BRUGGEMAN and R. J. MIATELLO and I. PACHARONI. *Density results for automorphic forms on Hilbert modular groups*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 13, Nro. 4, 681–719. (2003).
- [De73] P. DELIGNE. *La conjecture of Weil. I*. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 43 (1973), 273–307.
- [FM19] T. FINIS, J. MATZ. *On the asymptotics of Hecke operators for reductive groups*, arxiv:1905:09078.
- [Ge88] G. VAN DER GEER. *Hilbert modular surfaces*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1988).
- [KL08] A. KNIGHTLY and C. LI. *Petersson’s trace formula and the Hecke eigenvalues of Hilbert modular forms*. in: Modular forms on Schiermonnikoog. Based on the conference on modular forms, Schiermonnikoog, Netherlands, October 2006. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 145–187 (2008).
- [KL13] A. KNIGHTLY and C. LI. *Kuznetsov’s trace formula and the Hecke eigenvalues of Maass forms*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 1055, iii-v, 132 p. (2013).
- [KST20] J.-L. KIM, S.W. SHIN, N. TEMPLIER. *Asymptotic behavior of supercuspidal representations and Sato-Tate equidistribution for families*. Adv. Math. 362, 1–57 (2020).
- [Li09] C. LI. *On the distribution of Satake parameters of GL_2 holomorphic cuspidal representations*. Isr. J. Math. 169, 341–373 (2009).
- [Ma13] P. MAGA. *A semi-adelic Kuznetsov formula over number fields*. Int. J. Number Theory 9, No. 7, 1649–1681 (2013).
- [MT15] J. MATZ, N. TEMPLIER. *Sato-Tate equidistribution for families of Hecke-Maass forms on $SL(n, R)/SO(n)$* , arXiv:1505.07285.
- [MuSi09] M. R. MURTY and K. SINHA. *Effective equidistribution of eigenvalues of Hecke operators*. J. Number Theory 129, No. 3, 681–714 (2009).
- [Se97] J.-P. SERRE. *Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres of l’opérateur of Hecke T_p* . J. Am. Math. Soc. 10, No. 1, 75–102 (1997).
- [TW16] H. TANG and Y. WANG. *Quantitative versions of the joint distributions of Hecke eigenvalues*. J. Number Theory 169, 295–314 (2016).
- [Ve04] A. VENKATESH. *Beyond Endoscopy and special forms on $GL(2)$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 577, 23–80 (2004).

CIEM–FAMAF (CONICET), UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL OF CÓRDOBA, MEDINA ALLENDE S/N, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 5000 CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: miatello@famaf.unc.edu.ar

E-mail address: villanueva@famaf.unc.edu.ar