

# Mod $\ell$ Weil representations and Deligne–Lusztig inductions for unitary groups

Naoki Imai and Takahiro Tsushima

## Abstract

We study a decomposition of the mod  $\ell$  Weil representation of a finite unitary group via Deligne–Lusztig inductions. We study also its decomposition as representations of a symplectic group, and give a construction of mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence for  $(Sp_{2n}, O_2^-)$  including the case where  $p = 2$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $q$  be a power of a prime number  $p$ . Weil representations of symplectic groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are studied in [Sai72] and [How73] after [Wei64] if  $q$  is odd. Weil representations of general linear groups and unitary groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are constructed in [Gér77] for any  $q$ . The Howe correspondence is constructed using the Weil representations.

In [IT18], we construct Weil representations of unitary groups by using cohomology of varieties over finite fields. More concretely, we consider the affine smooth variety  $X_n$  defined by  $z^q + z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1}$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q,+} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x^q + x = 0\}$ . This variety admits an action of a finite unitary group  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and a natural action of  $\mathbb{F}_{q,+}$ . Let  $\ell \neq p$  be a prime number. Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{q,+}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ . Then the  $\psi$ -isotypic part  $V_n = H_c^n(X_n, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\psi]$  realizes the Weil representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Similarly we consider a rational form of  $X_{2n}$ , which is denoted by  $X'_{2n}$ . Using the Frobenius action coming from the rationality of  $X'_{2n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we can obtain a representation of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  on  $H_c^{2n}(X'_{2n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\psi]$ , for which we simply write  $W_{n,\psi}$ . For any irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the part  $W_{n,\psi}[\sigma]$  can be written in terms of Deligne–Lusztig inductions.

As in [IT18],  $V_n$  is isomorphic to middle cohomology of a  $\mu_{q+1}$ -torsor over the complement of a Fermat hypersurface in a projective space as  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations. Let  $S_n$  be the Fermat hypersurface defined by the homogenous polynomial  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n-1}$ . Let  $Y_n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n-1} \setminus S_n$ . Here let  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  act on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n-1}$  by multiplication. Let  $\tilde{Y}_n$  be the affine smooth variety defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^n$ . The natural morphism  $f: \tilde{Y}_n \rightarrow Y_n; (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [x_1 : \dots : x_n]$  is a  $\mu_{q+1}$ -torsor. These varieties appear as Deligne–Lusztig varieties.

Let  $\Lambda \in \{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_\chi$  denote the sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules on  $Y_n$  associated to a character  $\chi^{-1}: \mu_{q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$  and the  $\mu_{q+1}$ -torsor  $f$ . We identify  $\mu_{q+1}$  with the center of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20C33; Secondary: 11F27.

$U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ , we have an isomorphism  $V_n[\chi] \simeq H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)$  as  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations. In this paper, we analyze

$$H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)$$

as  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  for  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$ .

We consider a rational form of  $Y_{2n}$ , which we denote by  $Y'_{2n}$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \Lambda^\times)$ , we can define a sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $\mathcal{K}_\chi$  on  $Y'_{2n}$  similarly, and then the cohomology  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)$  is regarded as an  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation. Similarly as above, we have an isomorphism  $W_{n, \psi}[\chi] \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)$  as  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations for  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ . Assume that  $\ell \neq 2$ . We analyze

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)$$

as  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  for  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$ . If  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$  satisfies  $\xi^2 = 1$ , then  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)$  has an action of an Frobenius element, and decomposes into a plus part  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)^+$  and a minus part  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)^-$  as  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations by the Frobenius action. Let  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  act on  $\text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$  by  $\xi \mapsto \xi^{-1}$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem.** *The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations*

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi) & \text{ for } [\xi] \in \{\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times) \mid \xi^2 \neq 1\} / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \\ H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)^\kappa & \text{ for } \xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \mu_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)), \kappa \in \{\pm\} \end{aligned}$$

*are irreducible except the case where  $\ell \mid q+1$  and  $(\xi, \kappa) = (1, +)$ , in which case  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)^\kappa$  is a non-trivial extension of the trivial representation by an irreducible representation. Furthermore, the above representations have no irreducible constituent in common.*

In Theorem 6.1, we state the above result in terms of a mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence.

In the following, we briefly introduce a content of each section. In §2.1, we recall several fundamental facts proved in [IT18]. In §2.2, we recall general facts on étale cohomology. In §2.3, we recall results on Lusztig series and  $\ell$ -blocks.

In §3, we investigate the mod  $\ell$  cohomology of  $Y_n$  as a  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation. Our fundamental result is Proposition 3.3. To show this proposition, we need a transcendental result in [Dim92] (*cf.* the proof of Lemma 3.2). In §4, we prepare some geometric results on cohomology of  $Y'_{2n}$ . In §5, we study mod  $\ell$  cohomology of  $Y'_{2n}$  as an  $Sp_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation for  $\ell \neq 2$ . In a modular representation theoretic view point, Brauer characters associated to  $V_n$  and  $W_{n, \psi}$  have been studied in [GMST02], [GT04] and [HM01] etc. Using these results, we study the cohomology of  $Y_n$  and  $Y'_{2n}$  as mentioned above.

In §6, we formulate a mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence for  $(Sp_{2n}, O_2^-)$  using mod  $\ell$  cohomology of  $Y'_{2n}$ , and state our result in terms of the mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K17506, 17K18722.

## Notation

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. For a finite abelian group  $A$ , let  $A^\vee$  denote the character group  $\text{Hom}(A, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ . For a finite group  $G$  and a finite-dimensional representation  $\pi$  and an irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ , let  $\pi[\rho]$  denote the  $\rho$ -isotypic part of  $\pi$ . For a trivial representation  $1$  of  $G$ , we often write  $\pi^G$  for  $\pi[1]$ .

Every scheme is equipped with the reduced scheme structure. For an integer  $i \geq 0$ , we write  $\mathbb{A}^i$  and  $\mathbb{P}^i$  for the  $i$ -dimensional affine space over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  and the  $i$ -dimensional projective space over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ , respectively. We set  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ . For a scheme  $X$  over a field  $k$  and a field extension  $l/k$ , let  $X_l$  denote the base change of  $X$  to  $l$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Weil representation of unitary group

In this subsection, we recall several facts proved in [IT18]. Let  $q$  be a power of a prime number  $p$ . For a positive integer  $m$  prime to  $p$ , we put

$$\mu_m = \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \mid a^m = 1\}.$$

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $U_n$  be the unitary group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by the hermitian form

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}; ((x_i), (x'_i)) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^q x'_i.$$

We consider the Fermat hypersurface  $S_n$  defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n-1}$ . Let  $Y_n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n-1} \setminus S_n$ . Let  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  act on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n-1}$  by left multiplication. Let  $\tilde{Y}_n$  be the affine smooth variety defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ . Similarly,  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts on  $\tilde{Y}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ . The morphism

$$\tilde{Y}_n \rightarrow Y_n; (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [x_1 : \dots : x_n] \quad (2.1)$$

is a  $\mu_{q+1}$ -torsor and  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equivariant.

Let  $\ell \neq p$  be a prime number. Let  $\omega_{U_n}$  denote the Weil representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  (cf. [Gér77, Theorem 4.9.2]).

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers in an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We set  $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $\Lambda \in \{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{F}\}$ . For a separated and of finite type scheme  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which admits a left action of a finite group  $G$ , let  $G$  act on  $H_c^i(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \Lambda)$  as  $(g^*)^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$ . We put

$$V_n = H_c^{n-1}(\tilde{Y}_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

For  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q-1}, \Lambda^\times)$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_\chi$  denote the  $\Lambda$ -sheaf on  $Y_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$  defined by  $\chi^{-1}$  and the covering (2.1). For  $\chi \in \mu_{q-1}^\vee$ , we have  $V_n[\chi] = H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)$ , which is the middle degree cohomology in a Deligne–Lusztig induction by [IT18, (4.1), §5.1].

Let  $X_n$  be the affine smooth variety over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  defined by

$$z^q + z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1}$$

in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q^2}^{n+1} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n, z]$ . Let  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  act on  $X_n$  by

$$X_n \rightarrow X_n; (v, z) \mapsto (gv, z) \quad \text{for } g \in U_n(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

where we regard  $v = (x_i)$  as a column vector. We put  $\mathbb{F}_{q,\varepsilon} = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid a + \varepsilon a^q = 0\}$ . We sometimes abbreviate  $\pm 1$  as  $\pm$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q,+}$  act on  $X_n$  by  $z \mapsto z + a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q,+}$ .

Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{q,\varepsilon}, \Lambda^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_\psi$  denote the  $\Lambda$ -sheaf associated to  $\psi^{-1}$  and  $z^q + \varepsilon z = t$  on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . We consider the morphism

$$\pi: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1; (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1}.$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$H_c^i(X_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \Lambda)[\psi] \simeq H_c^i(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \quad (2.2)$$

for  $i \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ .*

(1) *We have  $V_n \simeq \omega_{U_n}$  as representations of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

(2) *For  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee$ , we have*

$$\dim V_n[\chi] = \begin{cases} \frac{q^n + (-1)^n q}{q+1} & \text{if } \chi = 1, \\ \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} & \text{if } \chi \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

*The  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations  $\{V_n[\chi]\}_{\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee}$  are irreducible and distinct. Moreover, only  $V_n[1]$  is unipotent as a  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation.*

*Proof.* We have

$$V_n \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi) \simeq H_c^n(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \quad (2.3)$$

as representations of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by [IT18, Lemma 3.3, Corollary 3.6, Lemma 3.7 (2)]. The claim (1) follows from (2.2), (2.3) and [IT18, (1.6), Theorem 1.5]. The claim (2) follows from the claim (1), (2.3) and [IT18, Lemma 3.2, Corollary 5.2].  $\square$

## 2.2 General facts on étale cohomology

We recall a basic fact on cohomology of an affine smooth variety, which will be used frequently.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine smooth variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  of dimension  $d$ . Let  $\ell \neq p$ . Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the ring of integers of  $F$ . Let  $\kappa_F$  be the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Let  $\Lambda \in \{\mathcal{O}_F, \kappa_F\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a smooth  $\Lambda$ -sheaf on  $X$ .*

(1) *Assume  $\Lambda = \kappa_F$ . Then we have  $H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i < d$ .*

(2) Assume  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_F$ . The middle cohomology  $H_c^d(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module.

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from affine vanishing and Poincaré duality. We show the second claim. We take a uniformizer  $\varpi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Then, we have an exact sequence

$$H_c^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{F}/\varpi) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\varpi} H_c^d(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Since we have  $H_c^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{F}/\varpi) = 0$  by the first claim, the  $\varpi$ -multiplication map is injective. Since  $H_c^d(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module, the second claim follows.  $\square$

We recall a well-known fact, which will be used in the proof of Proposition 4.4.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the notation be as in Lemma 2.2. Let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $G$ -torsor between  $d$ -dimensional affine smooth varieties over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ .*

(1) *We have an isomorphism  $H_c^d(X, \kappa_F)^G \simeq H_c^d(Y, \kappa_F)$ .*

(2) *Assume  $\ell \nmid |G|$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $H_c^i(X, \kappa_F)^G \simeq H_c^i(Y, \kappa_F)$  for any  $i$ .*

*Proof.* As in [Ill81, Lemma 2.2], we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, H_c^q(X, \kappa_F)) \implies E^{p+q} = H_c^{p+q}(Y, \kappa_F).$$

Since we have  $H_c^i(X, \kappa_F) = 0$  for  $i < d$  by Lemma 2.2 (1), we have an isomorphism  $E_2^{0,d} \simeq E^d$ . Hence the first claim follows.

We show the second claim. For any  $\kappa_F[G]$ -module  $M$ , we have  $H^i(G, M) = 0$  for any  $i > 0$  by  $\ell \nmid |G|$ . Hence the second claim follows from the above spectral sequence.  $\square$

## 2.3 Lusztig series and $\ell$ -blocks

We briefly recall several facts on Lusztig series (*cf.* [Lus77, §7]). We mainly follow [DM91, Chapter 13].

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(G(\mathbb{F}_q))$  denote the set of irreducible characters of  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . Let  $G^*$  be a connected reductive group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which is the dual of  $G$  in the sense of [DM91, 13.10 Definition].

We fix an isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \simeq (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{p'}$  and an embedding  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ , where  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{p'}$  denotes the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of the elements of order prime to  $p$ . Let  $(s)$  be a geometric conjugacy class of a semisimple element  $s \in G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . As in [DM91, 13.16 Definition], let  $\mathcal{E}(G, (s))$  be the subset of  $\text{Irr}(G(\mathbb{F}_q))$  which consists of irreducible constituents of a Deligne–Lusztig character  $R_T^G(\theta)$ , where  $(T, \theta)$  is of the geometric conjugacy class associated to  $(s)$  in the sense of [DM91, 13.2 Definition, 13.12 Proposition]. The subset  $\mathcal{E}(G, (s))$  is called a Lusztig series associated to  $(s)$ . By [DM91, 13.17 Proposition],  $\text{Irr}(G(\mathbb{F}_q))$  is partitioned into Lusztig series. The following fact is well-known.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f: G \rightarrow G'$  be a morphism between connected reductive groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with a central connected kernel such that the image of  $f$  contains the derived group of  $G'$ . Let  $G^*$  and  $G'^*$  be the dual groups of  $G$  and  $G'$ . Let  $s \in G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be the image of a semisimple element  $s'$  in  $G'^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Then the irreducible constituents of the inflations under  $f$  of elements in  $\mathcal{E}(G', (s'))$  are in  $\mathcal{E}(G, (s))$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [DM91, 13.22 Proposition].  $\square$

For a semisimple  $\ell'$ -element  $s \in G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (s)) = \bigcup_{t \in (C_{G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s))_\ell} \mathcal{E}(G, (st)).$$

It is known that this set is a union of  $\ell$ -blocks by [BM89, 2.2 Théorème]. Any block contained in  $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (1))$  is called a unipotent  $\ell$ -block.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $s$  and  $s'$  be semisimple  $\ell'$ -elements of  $G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Assume that  $s$  and  $s'$  are not geometrically conjugate. Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G, (s))$  and  $\rho' \in \mathcal{E}(G, (s'))$ . Then  $\rho \notin \mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (s'))$ . In particular,  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are in different  $\ell$ -blocks.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (s'))$ . Then there exists an element  $s'' \in C_{G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s')$  of  $\ell$ -power such that  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G, (s's''))$ . Since  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G, (s))$ , the elements  $s$  and  $s's''$  are geometrically conjugate by [DM91, 13.17 Proposition]. Let  $\ell^b$  be the order of  $s''$ . By  $s'' \in C_{G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s')$ , the elements  $s^{\ell^b}$  and  $s'^{\ell^b}$  are geometrically conjugate. Then  $s$  and  $s'$  are geometrically conjugate, since  $s$  and  $s'$  are  $\ell'$ -elements. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

For an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of a finite group, let  $\bar{\pi}$  denote the Brauer character associated to a mod  $\ell$  reduction of  $\pi$ . For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , let  $m_p$  be the largest power of  $p$  dividing  $m$  and  $m_{p'} = m/m_p$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible ordinary character of  $G^F$ . Assume  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (s))$  for some semisimple  $\ell'$ -element  $s$  of  $G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If*

$$\frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_q)|_{p'}}{|C_{G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s)|_{p'}} = \rho(1),$$

*then  $\bar{\rho}$  is an irreducible Brauer character.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  is not irreducible and take an irreducible Brauer subcharacter  $\chi$  of  $\bar{\rho}$ . Then

$$\frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_q)|_{p'}}{|C_{G^*(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s)|_{p'}} \leq \chi(1) < \rho(1)$$

by [HM01, Proposition 1]. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

We recall some results in [HM01, §6]. Take a nonisotropic vector  $c$  in the standard representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Let  $\hat{S}$  be the subgroup of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  fixing the line  $\langle c \rangle$  and inducing the identity on the orthogonal complement of  $\langle c \rangle$ . Let  $S$  be the image of  $\hat{S}$  in  $PU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Then  $\hat{S}$  and  $S$  are cyclic groups of order  $q+1$ . We follow [HM01, §6] for a definition of the Weil characters of  $SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . The Weil characters of  $SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  consist of one unipotent character  $\chi_{(n-1,1)} \in \mathcal{E}(SU_n, (1))$  of degree  $(q^n + (-1)^n q)/(q+1)$  and  $q$  non-unipotent characters  $\chi_{s,(n-1)} \in \mathcal{E}(SU_n, (s))$  of degree  $(q^n - (-1)^n)/(q+1)$  for  $s \in S \setminus \{1\}$ , where the elements of  $S$  give different geometrically conjugacy classes. We put*

$$N = \min \left\{ \frac{q^n + (-1)^n q}{q+1}, \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} \right\}$$

*Let  $V$  be a Weil character of  $SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If the degree of  $V$  is  $N$ , then  $\bar{V}$  is irreducible. If the degree of  $V$  is  $N+1$ , then  $\bar{V}$  is irreducible or has two irreducible constituents, one of which is trivial. Further we have the following:*

- (1) Let  $n \geq 4$  be even. Then  $\overline{\chi}_{(n-1,1)}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\ell \nmid q+1$ .
- (2) Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd. Then  $\overline{\chi}_{s,(n-1)}$  is irreducible if and only if the order of  $s$  is not a power of  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* Everything is explained in [HM01, p. 755] except that the elements of  $S$  give different geometrically conjugacy classes. Assume that different elements  $s$  and  $s'$  in  $S$  are geometrically conjugate. Then their lifts  $\hat{s}$  and  $\hat{s}'$  in  $\hat{S}$  are geometrically conjugate modulo the center. Considering the eigenvalues of  $\hat{s}$  and  $\hat{s}'$ , we have a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ . We view  $\chi$  as a character of the diagonal torus  $U_1(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  under the first projection. Let  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$  be the element corresponding to  $\chi$  by [DM91, 13.12 Proposition]. Let  $s \in S$  be the image of  $\hat{s}$ . Then we have  $V_n[1]|_{SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \chi_{(n-1,1)}$  and  $V_n[\chi]|_{SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \chi_{s,(n-1)}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

### 3 Cohomology as representation of unitary group

In this section, we investigate mainly  $H_c^n(Y_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$  as an  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell[U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ -module.

In this section, we often ignore Tate twists when it is not necessary to consider Frobenius action. For an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $M$ , let  $M[\mathfrak{m}]$  denote the  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $M$  consisting of elements annihilated by any element of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** (1) The cohomology  $H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$  is free as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module for any  $i$ .

(2) The cohomology  $H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$  is zero if  $i \neq n-2$  and  $i$  is odd, and is free of rank one as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module if  $0 \leq i \leq 2(n-2)$  is even and  $i \neq n-2$ .

(3) We have an isomorphism  $H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \simeq H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F})$  for any  $i$ .

*Proof.* We denote by  $S_{n,\mathbb{Q}}$  the Fermat variety defined by the same equation as  $S_n$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n-1}$ . Let  $i$  be an integer. We have isomorphisms

$$H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O} \simeq H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from that  $\mathcal{O}$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and the second one follows from the comparison theorem between singular and étale cohomology. By taking an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , we have an isomorphism  $H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathcal{O})$ . Since  $S_{n,\mathbb{Q}}$  has good reduction at  $p$  and the reduction equals  $S_n$ , we have an isomorphism

$$H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$$

by the proper base change theorem. As a result, we have

$$H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O} \simeq H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}). \quad (3.1)$$

Hence the first claim follows, because  $H^i(S_{n,\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by [Dim92, Proposition (B32) (ii)]. The second claim follows from (3.1) and [Dim92, Theorem (B22)]. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H^i(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(S_{n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})[\mathfrak{m}] \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence the third claim follows from the first one.  $\square$

In the sequel, we always assume that  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers in a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\mu_{p(q+1)})$ . Every homomorphism is  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equivariant. Let the notation be as in §4.1. We have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_c^i(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^i(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \\ \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2.2 (1), the restriction map

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^i(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$$

is an isomorphism for  $i < n - 2$ . By Lemma 3.1 (1) and Poincaré duality, we obtain an isomorphism

$$f_i: H^i(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i+2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$$

for  $n-1 \leq i \leq 2n-4$ . Let  $\kappa = [\mathbb{P}^{n-2}] \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$  be the cycle class of the hyperplane  $\mathbb{P}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , and  $\kappa^i \in H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$  the cup product of  $\kappa$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the map  $\kappa^i: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$ ;  $1 \mapsto \kappa^i$  is an isomorphism. Let  $[S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}] \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$  be the cycle class of  $S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . For  $n-1 \leq 2i \leq 2n-4$ , the composite

$$H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\text{rest.}} H^{2i}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{f_{2i}} H^{2i+2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$$

equals the map induced by the cup product by  $[S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}]$ . Clearly, we have  $[S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}] = (q+1)\kappa$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O})$ . We write  $q+1 = \ell^a r$  with  $(\ell, r) = 1$ . By Lemma 3.1 (2), we have

$$H_c^i(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \mathcal{O}/\ell^a & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

for  $n \leq i < 2n-2$ .

For a character  $\chi: \mu_{q+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ , we write  $\overline{\chi}$  for the composite of  $\chi$  and the reduction map  $\mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ .

We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\chi}}) \rightarrow H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)[\mathbf{m}] \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})[\mathbf{m}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

By Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 (2), (3.4) with  $\chi = 1$  and (3.5), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F}) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^n + (-1)^n q}{q+1} & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} + \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)[\mathbf{m}] = \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} \quad \text{if } \chi \neq 1 \text{ and } \overline{\chi} = 1 \quad (3.7)$$



again by Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 (2) and (3.4) with  $\chi$ . Note that a character  $\chi \neq 1$  such that  $\bar{\chi} = 1$  does not exist if  $a = 0$ .

In the following, we investigate (3.4) when  $\bar{\chi} \neq 1$ . We set  $Y_{n,r} = \tilde{Y}_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}/\mu_{\ell^a}$ . We have a decomposition  $\mu_{q+1} = \mu_{\ell^a} \times \mu_r$ . We write as  $\chi = \chi_{\ell^a} \chi_r$  with  $\chi_{\ell^a} \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O}^\times)$  and  $\chi_r \in \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \mathcal{O}^\times)$ . We have

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_{\ell^a}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n,r}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^n(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_{\ell^a}})[\mathfrak{m}] \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

The natural morphism  $Y_{n,r} \rightarrow Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}$  is a  $\mu_r$ -torsor. By  $(\ell, r) = 1$ , we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_{\ell^a}}) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\chi_r \in \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \mathcal{O}^\times)} H_c^i(Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_{\ell^a} \chi_r}), \\ H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathbb{F}) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\bar{\chi}_r \in \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \mathbb{F}^\times)} H_c^i(Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{\chi}_r}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for any integer  $i$ . By Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 (2) and the first isomorphism in (3.9), we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_{\ell^a}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} r & \text{if } \chi_{\ell^a} \neq 1, \\ \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} r + (-1)^n & \text{if } \chi_{\ell^a} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

We show the following lemma by using a comparison theorem between singular and étale cohomology and applying results on weighted hypersurfaces in [Dim92].

**Lemma 3.2.** *The pull-back  $H_c^i(Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{O})$  is an isomorphism for  $n \leq i < 2n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: Y_{n,r} \rightarrow Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}$  be the natural finite morphism. We have the trace map  $f_*: H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{O})$ . The pull-back map  $f^*: H_c^i(Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{O})$  is injective by  $(\ell, r) = 1$ , because the composite  $f_* \circ f^*$  is the  $r$ -multiplication map. Therefore it suffices to show that the map is surjective.

We regard  $S_n$  as a closed subscheme of  $S_{n+1}$  defined by  $x_{n+1} = 0$ . We take  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  such that  $\xi^{q+1} = -1$ . Then  $\tilde{Y}_n$  is isomorphic to the complement  $S_{n+1} \setminus S_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  by

$$\tilde{Y}_{n,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (S_{n+1} \setminus S_n)_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}; (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mapsto [x_1 : \cdots : x_n : \xi].$$

Let  $\mu_{q+1}$  act on  $S_{n+1,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$  by  $[x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}] \mapsto [x_1 : \cdots : x_n : \zeta^{-1} x_{n+1}]$  for  $\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}$ . We have the well-defined morphism  $\pi: S_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n-1}$ ;  $[x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}] \mapsto [x_1 : \cdots : x_n]$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Y}_{n,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} & \longrightarrow & S_{n+1,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} & \longleftarrow & S_{n,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \simeq \\ Y_{n,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^{n-1} & \longleftarrow & S_{n,\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}. \end{array}$$

By considering the base change of this to  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  and taking the quotients on the upper line by  $\mu_{\ell^a}$ , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_{n,r} & \longrightarrow & S_{n+1,\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}/\mu_{\ell^a} & \longleftarrow & S_{n,\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ Y_{n,\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} & \longleftarrow & S_{n,\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}. \end{array} \quad (3.11)$$

Let  $\mathbf{w} = (1, \dots, 1, \ell^a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$  be the weighted projective space associated to  $\mathbf{w}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . Then the quotient  $S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}$  is isomorphic to the weighted hypersurface defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{q+1} + X_{n+1}^r = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^n / \mu_{\ell^a}$ . Let  $U_i \subset S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}$  be the open subscheme defined by  $x_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we have  $S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the quotient  $U_i / \mu_{\ell^a}$  is defined by

$$1 + s_1^{q+1} + \dots + s_{i-1}^{q+1} + s_{i+1}^{q+1} + \dots + s_n^{q+1} + t_i^r = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . This is smooth over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  by  $p \nmid q+1$  and the Jacobian criterion. Hence  $S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}$  is smooth over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ .

We consider the smooth hypersurface defined by the same equation as (3.12) in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which we denote by  $S'$ . Then  $S'_\mathbb{C}$  is strongly smooth as in [Dim92, Example (B31)]. Hence the integral cohomology algebra of it is torsion-free by [Dim92, Proposition (B32) (ii)]. Clearly,  $S'$  has good reduction at  $p$ , and the reduction is isomorphic to  $S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . In the same manner as (3.1), we have an isomorphism

$$H^i(S'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O} \simeq H^i(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O}).$$

Hence,  $H^i(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O})$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of rank one for any even integer  $i \neq n-1$  and  $H^i(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for any odd integer  $i \neq n-1$  by [Dim92, (B33)]. By (3.11), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H_c^{2i+1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \\ H^{2i}(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H_c^{2i+1}(Y_{n, r}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

for  $n-1 \leq 2i < 2n-3$ , where the horizontal lines are exact. Hence the right vertical map is surjective. Hence the claim for any odd integer  $i$  follows.

By (3.3), it suffices to show  $H_c^{2i}(Y_{n, r}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for  $n \leq 2i < 2n-2$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{2i}(Y_{n, r}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{g_i} H^{2i}(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$$

for  $n \leq 2i < 2n-2$  by Lemma 3.1 (2). If  $H_c^{2i}(Y_{n, r}, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$ , the cokernel of  $g_i$  is torsion, because  $H^{2i}(S_{n+1, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} / \mu_{\ell^a}, \mathcal{O})$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of rank one. Since  $H^{2i}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module by Lemma 3.1 (1), we obtain  $H_c^{2i}(Y_{n, r}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ .  $\square$

We show a fundamental proposition through the paper.

**Proposition 3.3.** (1) Assume  $\ell \nmid q+1$ . Let  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \mathcal{O}^\times)$ . We have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\chi}})$$

as  $\mathbb{F}[U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ -modules.

(2) Assume  $\ell \mid q + 1$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_r}) \rightarrow H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r})[\mathbf{m}] \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\mathbb{F}[U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ -modules. Furthermore, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r})[\mathbf{m}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi_r \neq 1, \\ \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } \chi_r = 1 \text{ and } \chi^{\ell a} \neq 1, \\ \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } \chi_r = 1 \text{ and } \chi^{\ell a} = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$H_c^i(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{O}) \xleftarrow{\sim} H_c^i(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \mathcal{O}/\ell^a & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

for  $n \leq i < 2n - 2$ . Hence, by (3.8) with  $\chi^{\ell a} = 1$  and (3.10), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n,r}, \mathbb{F}) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} r + (-1)^n & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{q+1} r + \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^n(Y_{n,r}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}})[\mathbf{m}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } a \geq 1 \text{ and } \chi^{\ell a} \neq 1, \\ \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} & \text{if } a \geq 1 \text{ and } \chi^{\ell a} = 1 \end{cases}$$

by (3.8) and (3.10). According to (3.5) and (3.7), we have the same formula for  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}})[\mathbf{m}]$ . Hence we have

$$H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r})[\mathbf{m}] = 0 \quad \text{if } \chi_r \neq 1 \quad (3.14)$$

by (3.9). Hence the latter claim in the claim (2) is proved. The former one is (3.4). By (3.4) and (3.14), we have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \simeq H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi_r}) \quad \text{if } \chi_r \neq 1. \quad (3.15)$$

The claim (1) for  $\chi = 1$  follows from (3.4) with  $\chi = 1$  and (3.5), and the one for  $\chi \neq 1$  follows from (3.15).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume  $\ell \mid q + 1$ .

(1) Assume that  $n$  is odd. Then  $H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})[\mathbf{m}]$  is a trivial representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

(2) Assume that  $n \geq 4$  is even and  $\ell \neq 2$ . Let  $\chi^{\ell a} \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \mathcal{O}^\times)$  be a non-trivial character of  $\ell$ -power order. Then  $H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}})[\mathbf{m}]$  is a trivial representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $n$  is odd. Since  $H_c^{n-1}(S_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$  is a trivial  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation by [HM78, Theorem 1],  $H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O})$  is so by (3.2). Hence, the first assertion follows.

We show the second claim. By Proposition 3.3 (2), we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} &\simeq H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F}), \\ H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} &\simeq H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}})[\mathbf{m}] \rightarrow 0.$$

By these and Lemma 2.7,  $H_c^n(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\chi^{\ell a}})[\mathbf{m}]$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{F}[SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ -module. Hence,  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  on it factoring through  $\det$  by  $n \geq 4$  and [Gér77, (1), (8) in the proof of Theorem 3.3]. In the sequel, we need the assumption  $\ell \neq 2$ , because we apply [FS82]. Let  $\chi \in \mu_r^{\vee} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then there exists a semisimple  $\ell'$ -element  $s_{\chi}$  in the center of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that the character  $\chi \circ \det$  of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  belongs to the  $\ell$ -block corresponding to  $s_{\chi}^{U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}$  in the notation in [FS82, the first paragraph of §6]. Then  $s_{\chi}$  is non-trivial by [FS82, p. 116, Theorem (6A)] using the fact that the 1-dimensional unipotent representation of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is trivial. Recall that  $H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  is a unipotent  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation by Proposition 2.1. Hence it belongs to the block corresponding to  $1^{U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}$ . The blocks corresponding to  $s_{\chi}^{U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}$  and  $1^{U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}$  are distinct by [FS82, Theorem (5D)]. Hence,  $\overline{\chi} \circ \det$  can not appear as a quotient of  $H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{F})$  by (3.16). Therefore, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *We have  $\overline{V_n[\chi]} = H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\chi}})$  for any  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^{\vee}$  if  $\ell \nmid q+1$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V_n[\chi^{\ell a}]} + \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} &= \overline{V_n[1]} + \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2} = H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}) \text{ for any } \chi^{\ell a} \in \mu_{\ell a}^{\vee} \setminus \{1\}, \\ \overline{V_n[\chi^{\ell a} \chi_r]} &= \overline{V_n[\chi_r]} = H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\chi_r}}) \text{ for any } \chi^{\ell a} \in \mu_{\ell a}^{\vee} \text{ and } \chi_r \in \mu_r^{\vee} \setminus \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

if  $\ell \mid q+1$  as Brauer characters of  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

*Proof.* The claims follow from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

We deduce the following proposition by combining the above theory with Corollary 3.5.

**Proposition 3.6.** *We assume that  $n \geq 3$ .*

(1) *Assume  $\ell \nmid q+1$ . The  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations*

$$H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\xi}) \text{ for } \xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{\times})$$

*are irreducible. Moreover, these are distinct.*

(2) *Assume  $\ell \mid q+1$ . Moreover, we suppose  $\ell \neq 2$  if  $n$  is even. The middle cohomology  $H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell})$  has two irreducible constituents one of which is a trivial character. The  $U_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations*

$$H_c^{n-1}(Y_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_{\xi}) \text{ for } \xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}) \setminus \{1\}$$

*are irreducible and distinct.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be as in §2.3. Let  $S' \subset S$  denote the subgroup of order  $r$ . We have

$$\{V_n[\chi_r] |_{SU_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mid \chi_r \in \mu_r^\vee \setminus \{1\}\} = \{\chi_{s,(n-1)} \mid s \in S' \setminus \{1\}\}$$

by Lemma 2.8. Hence all the claims other than distinction follow from Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 3.5.

It remains to show that  $\bar{\chi}_{(n-1,1)}$  and  $\bar{\chi}_{s,(n-1)}$  for  $s \in S' \setminus \{1\}$  are all different. This follows from Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

## 4 Cohomology as representation of symplectic group

### 4.1 Geometric setting

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. The variety  $S_{2n}$  is isomorphic to the projective variety  $S'_{2n}$  defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^q y_i - x_i y_i^q) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n-1}$ . We set  $Y'_{2n} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n-1} \setminus S'_{2n}$ . Then we have  $Y_{2n} \simeq Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ . Let

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_n & E_n \\ -E_n & \mathbf{0}_n \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Let  $Sp_{2n}$  be the symplectic group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by the symplectic form

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q; (v, v') \mapsto {}^t v J v'.$$

Let  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  act on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n-1}$  by left multiplication. This action stabilizes  $Y'_{2n}$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n}$  be the affine smooth variety defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^q y_i - x_i y_i^q) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n}$ . This affine variety admits a similar action of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Similarly to (2.1), we have the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equivariant  $\mu_{q+1}$ -covering

$$\tilde{Y}'_{2n} \rightarrow Y'_{2n}; (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto [x_1 : \dots : x_n : y_1 : \dots : y_n]. \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $\text{Fr}_q \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$  be the geometric Frobenius automorphism defined by  $x \mapsto x^{q^{-1}}$  for  $x \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . For a separated and of finite type scheme  $Z$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , let  $\text{Fr}_q$  denote the pull-back of  $\text{Fr}_q$  on  $H_c^i(Z_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

Let  $X'_{2n}$  be the affine smooth variety defined by

$$z^q - z = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i^q - x_i^q y_i)$$

in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n+1} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z]$ . We write  $v = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Let  $U'_{2n}$  be the unitary group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by the skew-hermitian form

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}; (v, v') \mapsto {}^t \bar{v} J v'.$$

The group  $U'_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts on  $X'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$  by  $(v, z) \mapsto (gv, z)$  for  $g \in U'_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  act on  $X'_{2n}$  by  $z \mapsto z + \eta$  for  $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

We put

$$W_{n, \psi} = H_c^{2n}(X'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_{q^2}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n))[\psi].$$

We identify  $\mu_{q+1}$  with the center of  $U'_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . By [IT18, Lemma 2.4], the geometric Frobenius  $\text{Fr}_q$  stabilizes  $W_{n, \psi}[\chi]$  for  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee$  such that  $\chi^2 = 1$  and acts on it as an involution. Let  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ . For such  $\chi$ , let  $W_{n, \psi}[\chi]^\kappa$  denote the  $\kappa$ -eigenspace of  $\text{Fr}_q$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the quadratic character of  $\mu_{q+1}$  if  $p \neq 2$ .

**Lemma 4.1** ([IT18, Lemma 6.1]). *Let  $n \geq 1$ . We have*

$$\dim W_{n,\psi}[1]^\kappa = \frac{(q^n + \kappa)(q^n + \kappa q)}{2(q+1)}, \quad \dim W_{n,\psi}[\chi] = \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q+1}$$

for  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$  and  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ . Further,

$$\dim W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^\kappa = \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{2(q+1)}$$

for  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$  if  $p \neq 2$ .

Let  $\Lambda \in \{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \mathbb{F}\}$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Lambda^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ . Let

$$\pi': \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1; ((x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i^q - x_i^q y_i).$$

Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$H_c^{2n}(X'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda)[\psi] \simeq H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi). \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n}$  be the zero section. Let  $U' = \pi'^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{F}_q})$ ,  $Z' = \pi'^{-1}(0)$  and  $Z'^0 = Z' \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . In the following, for a  $\mu_{q+1}$ -representations  $M$  over  $\Lambda$ , let  $M[1]$  denote the  $\mu_{q+1}$ -fixed part of  $M$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $q+1$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then we have*

$$H_c^{2n}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda) = 0, \quad H_c^{2n+1}(U'_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] = 0.$$

*Proof.* The first claim follows from (3.3) using the isomorphism  $Y_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q} \simeq Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}$  and the assumption that  $q+1$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ . The second claim follows from the first one and [IT18, Lemma 3.3, Remark 6.10].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $q+1$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ . We have an isomorphism*

$$H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1))$$

as representations of  $U'_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi|_{Z'} = \Lambda$ , we have an exact sequence

$$H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \longrightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z'_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda)[1] \rightarrow 0$$

by Lemma 4.2 and the assumption that  $q+1$  is invertible in  $\Lambda$ . By  $n \geq 1$  and [IT18, Lemma 3.4 (3), Remark 6.10], we have

$$H_c^{2n}(Z'_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda)[1] \simeq H_c^{2n}(Z'^0_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda)[1] = H_c^{2n}(Z'^0_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda).$$

We have a morphism

$$H_c^{2n}(Z'^0_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda) \longrightarrow H_c^{2n-2}(S'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1))$$

by [IT18, Lemma 3.4, Remark 6.10], whose cokernel is a sum of trivial representations. Further we have a surjective morphism

$$H^{2n-2}(S'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1)) \longrightarrow H^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1))$$

by the long exact sequence for  $Y'_{2n} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n-1} \setminus S'_{2n}$ . Consider the composition of the above morphisms

$$H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \longrightarrow H^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1)). \quad (4.3)$$

We have

$$\dim H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] = \dim H^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1)) = \frac{q^{2n} + q}{q + 1}. \quad (4.4)$$

by [IT18, (1.6), Proposition 1.6, Lemma 3.2], Proposition 2.1 (2), (3.4) and (3.5). The  $U_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \Lambda(-1))$  is irreducible of dimension greater than 1 by Proposition 3.6 (1) and (4.4). Hence (4.3) is surjective, since the cokernel of (4.3) is a sum of trivial representations. Therefore (4.3) is an isomorphism by (4.4).  $\square$

## 4.2 Invariant part

In this subsection, we study some invariant parts of  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})$ .

Let  $U$  be the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of  $SL_2$  consisting of upper triangular matrices. Recall that we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2 / U(\mathbb{F}_q) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2; (x, y) \mapsto (x^q - xy^{q-1}, y), \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2 / SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2; (x, y) \mapsto \left( x^q y - xy^q, \frac{x^{q^2} y - xy^{q^2}}{x^q y - xy^q} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

(cf. [Bon11, Exercise 2.2 (b), (e)]).

We regard a product group  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  as a subgroup of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by the injective homomorphism

$$SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n \hookrightarrow Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q); \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n) & \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We understand the quotients  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n}/U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  and  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n}/SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ , respectively. By (4.5), we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}'_{2n}/U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ ((s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i = 1 \right\}; \\ ((x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) &\mapsto ((x_i^q - x_i y_i^{q-1})_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}), \\ \tilde{Y}'_{2n}/SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ ((s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = 1 \right\} \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{2n-1}; \\ ((x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) &\mapsto \left( (x_i^q y_i - x_i y_i^q)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \left( \frac{x_i^{q^2} y_i - x_i y_i^{q^2}}{x_i^q y_i - x_i y_i^q} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The actions of  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  and  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  on  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n}$  are not free if  $n \geq 2$ .

The following proposition plays a key role to show Proposition 5.8 and corresponding results in the case where  $p \neq 2$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** (1) *We have*

$$H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} = 0, \quad H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \simeq \mathbb{F}.$$

(2) *We have*

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = 0, \quad H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \simeq \mathbb{F}.$$

*Proof.* We show the claim (1) by induction on  $n$ . The action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  on  $\tilde{Y}'_2$  is free by [Bon11, Proposition 2.1.2]. Hence, the claim for  $n = 1$  follows from Lemma 2.3 (1), (4.6) and  $H_c^1(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathbb{F}$ .

Assume  $n \geq 2$ . We consider the closed subscheme  $R_{2n}$  of  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}$  defined by  $y_n = 0$ . This is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \tilde{Y}'_{2n-2, \mathbb{F}_q}$ . Let  $Q_{2n} = \tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q} \setminus R_{2n}$ . Similarly to (4.6), the quotient  $Q_{2n}/U(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{2n-2} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Therefore,  $H_c^i(Q_{2n}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n}$  is zero for  $i = 2n - 1, 2n$  by  $n \geq 2$ . Hence, we have isomorphisms

$$H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_c^{2n-1}(R_{2n}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \simeq H_c^{2n-3}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n-2, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F}),$$

which are compatible with the actions of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^{n-1}$ . Hence, the claim follows from the induction hypothesis.

We show the claim (2). By applying Lemma 2.3 (1) to the  $\mu_{q+1}$ -torsor (4.1), we have

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F}) \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{\mu_{q+1}} \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1. \quad (4.7)$$

We have  $H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = 0$ . By (4.7), we have the inclusion  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F}) \subset H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})$ . Hence, we have  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = 0$ .

The action of  $\mu_{q+1}$  on  $\tilde{Y}'_{2n}$  commutes with the one of  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ . By the above proof, we have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \simeq H_c^1(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{F})$$

as  $\mathbb{F}[\mu_{q+1}]$ -modules, where  $\mu_{q+1}$  acts on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  by the usual multiplication. Hence  $\mu_{q+1}$  acts on  $H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n}$  trivially. Therefore, we have

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(\tilde{Y}'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n \times \mu_{q+1}} \simeq \mathbb{F}$$

by (4.7). □

### 4.3 Trace computations

In this subsection, we assume  $p \neq 2$ . An aim in this subsection is to show Proposition 4.9, which implies that the Brauer characters associated to  $W_{n, \psi}[\nu]^+$  and  $W_{n, \psi}[\nu]^-$  are distinct in the case where  $\ell \neq 2$  (cf. Proposition 5.5).

Let  $\left(\frac{a}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) = a^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . For  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Lambda^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ , we consider the quadratic Gauss sum

$$G(\psi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(\frac{x}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) \psi(x) \in \Lambda.$$



As a well-known fact, we have  $G(\psi)^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right)q$ . In particular, we have  $G(\psi) \neq 0$ .

Let  $X$  be the affine smooth surface defined by  $z^q - z = xy^q - x^qy$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$ . We consider the projective smooth surface  $\overline{X}$  defined by

$$Z_2^q Z_3 - Z_2 Z_3^q = Z_0 Z_1^q - Z_0^q Z_1$$

in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{F}_q[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3]$ . We regard  $X$  as an open subscheme of  $\overline{X}$  by  $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x : y : z : 1]$ . Let  $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ . Let

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad (4.8)$$

which is of order  $p$ . Let  $F$  denote the Frobenius endomorphism of  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}$ . Let  $f_{\eta, \zeta}$  denote the endomorphism  $F\eta\zeta u$  of  $X_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . This endomorphism extends to the one of  $\overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  given by

$$f_{\eta, \zeta}: \overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow \overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_q}; [Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2 : Z_3] \mapsto [(Z_0 + Z_1)^q : Z_1^q : \zeta(Z_2 + \eta Z_3)^q : \zeta Z_3^q].$$

This endomorphism  $f_{\eta, \zeta}$  stabilizes  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *We have*

$$\text{Tr}(f_{\eta, \zeta}; H^*(\overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = \begin{cases} q^2 + q + 1 & \text{if } \eta = 0, \\ 2q^2 + q + 1 & \text{if } \eta \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu(\zeta)\left(\frac{-\eta}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) = 1, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } \eta \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu(\zeta)\left(\frac{-\eta}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula, it suffices to count the number of the fixed points of  $f_{\eta, \zeta}$  on  $\overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  with multiplicity. The set of the fixed points of  $f_{\eta, \zeta}$  equals the union of the two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \{[x : y : z : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid x^q - \zeta x = -\zeta y, y^q = \zeta y, y^{q+1} = -\eta, z^q - z = -\eta\}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= \{[0 : z : 1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid z^q = \zeta z\} \cup \{[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\nu(\zeta)\left(\frac{-\eta}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) = 1$ . We have

$$\Sigma_1 = \{[x : y : z : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid x^q - \zeta x = -\zeta y, y^2 = -\eta/\zeta, z^q - z = -\eta\}$$

and  $|\Sigma_1| = 2q^2$ . One can check that the multiplicity of  $f_{\eta, \zeta}$  at any point of  $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$  equals one. Hence the claim in this case follows. Assume that  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\nu(\zeta)\left(\frac{-\eta}{\mathbb{F}_q}\right) = -1$ . Then we have  $|\Sigma_1| = 0$ . The claim is shown in the same way as above. The other case is computed similarly.  $\square$

We simply write  $f_\zeta$  for  $f_{0, \zeta}$ . Let  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ . we simply write  $\mathcal{L}_\psi^0$  for the pullback of  $\mathcal{L}_\psi$  under  $\mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1; (x, y) \mapsto xy^q - x^qy$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *We have*

$$\frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \text{Tr}(f_\zeta; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) = G(\psi).$$

*Proof.* For any  $i$ , we have isomorphisms

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1)) \simeq H_c^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1))[\psi] \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^i(\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1))[\psi],$$

where the second isomorphism follows, since the group  $\mathbb{F}_q$  acts on  $D$  trivially. By the Künneth formula and [IT18, Lemma 2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \operatorname{Tr}(f_\zeta; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) &= \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \operatorname{Tr}(f_\zeta; H_c^*(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) \\ &= \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \operatorname{Tr}(f_\zeta; H^*(\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1))[\psi]) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi^{-1}(\eta) \operatorname{Tr}(f_{\eta, \zeta}; H^*(\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1))). \end{aligned}$$

The last term equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{\frac{q+1}{2}}} \left( (2q^2 + q + 1) \sum_{\eta \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2} \psi(\eta) + (q + 1) \sum_{\eta \notin (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2} \psi(\eta) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\zeta \notin \mu_{\frac{q+1}{2}}} \left( (2q^2 + q + 1) \sum_{\eta \notin (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2} \psi(\eta) + (q + 1) \sum_{\eta \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2} \psi(\eta) \right) = (q + 1)G(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.5 and  $\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) = 0$ . □

**Lemma 4.7.** *We have*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(F\eta\zeta; H^*(\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = \begin{cases} (q + 1)(q^2 + 1) & \text{if } \eta = 0, \\ q^2 + q + 1 & \text{if } \eta \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The set of the fixed points of  $F\eta\zeta$  on  $\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}$  is the union of the three finite sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \{[x : y : z : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid z^q - z = xy^q - x^qy = -\eta, x^q = \zeta x, y^q = \zeta y\}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= \{[x : y : 1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid x^q = \zeta x, y^q = \zeta y\}, \\ \Sigma_3 &= \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid [Z_0 : Z_1] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\Sigma_1 = \emptyset$  if  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $|\Sigma_1| = q^3$  if  $\eta = 0$ . The multiplicity of  $F\eta\zeta$  at any point of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \Sigma_i$  equals one. Hence the claim follows. □

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ . We have  $\operatorname{Tr}(F\zeta; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) = q$ .*

*Proof.* Similarly to the proof of Corollary 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(F\zeta; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) &= \operatorname{Tr}(F\zeta; H_c^*(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi^{-1}(\eta) \operatorname{Tr}(F\eta\zeta; H^*(\overline{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1))) = q \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.7. □

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $g_0 = (u, 1, \dots, 1) \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^n \subset Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , where  $u \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is as in (4.8). We have*

$$W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^+(g_0) - W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^-(g_0) = q^{n-1}G(\psi).$$

*In particular, we have  $W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^+(g_0) \neq W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^-(g_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ . Let  $\nu_\kappa$  be the character of  $\mu_{q+1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  extending  $\nu$  by the condition  $\nu_\kappa(\text{Fr}_q) = \kappa$ . For  $g \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the trace  $W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^\kappa(g) = W_{n,\psi}[\nu_\kappa](g)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\mu_{q+1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{h \in \mu_{q+1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q)} \nu_\kappa(h)^{-1} W_{n,\psi}(hg) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \left( \text{Tr}(\zeta g; H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi^{I^*} \mathcal{L}_\psi(n))) + \kappa \text{Tr}(F\zeta g; H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi^{I^*} \mathcal{L}_\psi(n))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^+(g_0) - W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^-(g_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \text{Tr}(F\zeta g_0; H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi^{I^*} \mathcal{L}_\psi(n))) \\ &= \frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \text{Tr}(F\zeta u; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) \text{Tr}(F\zeta; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1)))^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{q^{n-1}}{q+1} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}} \nu(\zeta) \text{Tr}(F\zeta u; H_c^2(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{L}_\psi^0(1))) = q^{n-1}G(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the Künneth formula, the third one follows from Corollary 4.8 and the last one follows from Corollary 4.6.  $\square$

## 5 Representation of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

### 5.1 Weil representation of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Assume that  $p \neq 2$  in this subsection. Let  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ . A Weil representation of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  associated to  $\psi$  is studied in [Gér77] and [How73], which we denote by  $\omega_\psi$ . This has dimension  $q^n$ , and splits to two irreducible representations  $\omega_{\psi,+}$  and  $\omega_{\psi,-}$ , which are of dimensions  $(q^n + 1)/2$  and  $(q^n - 1)/2$ , respectively by [Gér77, Corollary 4.4 (a)]. For  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , let  $\psi_a$  denote the character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $x \mapsto \psi(ax)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee \setminus \{1\}$ , it is known that  $\omega_{\psi,\kappa} \simeq \omega_{\psi_a,\kappa}$  if and only if  $a \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$  by [Shi80, Corollary 2.12].

For an element  $s \in SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , let  $\text{Spec}(s)$  denote the set of the eigenvalues of  $s$  as an element of  $GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . For  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ , let  $s_\kappa \in SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be a semisimple element such that  $\text{Spec}(s_\kappa) = \{1, -1, \dots, -1\}$  and  $C_{SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s_\kappa) = O_{2n}^\kappa(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have  $\omega_{\psi,\kappa} \in \mathcal{E}(Sp_{2n}, (s_\kappa))$  for  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ .*

*Proof.* We know that there are two irreducible representations in  $\mathcal{E}(Sp_{2n}, (s_\kappa))$  of degree  $(q^n + \kappa)/2$  by [DM91, 13.23 Theorem, 13.24 Remark]. Hence the claim follows from [LOST10, Lemma 4.9].  $\square$

By Lemma 2.6, these  $\omega_{\psi, \kappa}$  remain irreducible after mod  $\ell$  reduction. These mod  $\ell$  irreducible modules of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  are called *Weil modules* in [GMST02, §5]. We will use this terminology later. There are just two Weil modules for each dimension (cf. [GMST02, p. 305]).

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume that  $p \neq 2$ . We have  $\omega_{\psi, \kappa} \notin \mathcal{E}_\ell(Sp_{2n}, (1))$  for any  $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.5, Lemma 5.1 and  $\ell \neq 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** *If  $n = 2$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $\ell = 2$ , the representation  $\omega_{\psi, \kappa}$  belongs to the principal block by [Whi90, p. 710].*

## 5.2 Frobenius action

In the sequel, every cohomology is an  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation, and every homomorphism is  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equivariant. Let  $\Lambda \in \{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \mathcal{O}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell\}$ . Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Lambda^\times) \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \Lambda^\times)$  such that  $\chi^2 = 1$ . We set

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^\kappa = \begin{cases} H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \Lambda)^{\text{Fr}_q = \kappa q^{n-1}} & \text{if } \chi = 1, \\ H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\nu)^{\text{Fr}_q = -\kappa q^n G(\psi)^{-1}} & \text{if } \chi = \nu \end{cases}$$

for  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** (1) *We have a decomposition*

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi) \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^+ \oplus H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^-.$$

(2) *If  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}$ , we have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^\kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell &\simeq H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^\kappa, \\ H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\chi)^\kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell &\simeq W_{n, \psi}[\chi]^\kappa \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ .

*Proof.* The claim (1) for  $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  and the second isomorphism in (5.1) follow from Lemma 4.3 and [IT18, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 3.3, Corollary 3.6]. Then the claim (1) for  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}$  follows from Lemma 2.2 (2). Further, the claim (1) for  $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  and the first isomorphism in (5.1) follow from Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

## 5.3 Non-unipotent representation

In the following we assume that  $\ell \neq 2$ . For  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee$ , let  $s_\chi \in SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be a semisimple element corresponding to  $\chi$  such that  $\text{Spec}(s_\chi) = \{1, \dots, 1, \zeta_\chi, \zeta_\chi^{-1}\}$  for  $\zeta_\chi \in \mu_{q+1}$  and

$$C_{SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)}(s_\chi) = \begin{cases} SO_{2n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times U_1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \text{if } \chi^2 \neq 1, \\ SO_{2n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q) & \text{if } p \neq 2 \text{ and } \chi = \nu. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

We have

$$W_{n, \psi}[\chi] \in \mathcal{E}(Sp_{2n}, (s_\chi)) \quad \text{if } \chi^2 \neq 1, \quad W_{n, \psi}[\chi]^\kappa \in \mathcal{E}(Sp_{2n}, (s_\chi)) \quad \text{if } \chi^2 = 1 \quad (5.3)$$

by [IT18, Proposition 6.10]. We write as  $q+1 = \ell^a r$  with  $(\ell, r) = 1$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee$ . We write as  $\chi = \chi_{\ell^a} \chi_r$  as before.*

(1) *If  $\chi_r^2 \neq 1$ , the Brauer character  $\overline{W_{n,\psi}[\chi]}$  is irreducible.*

(2) *Assume  $p \neq 2$ . For  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ , the Brauer character  $\overline{W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^\kappa}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* To show the claim (1), we may assume that  $\chi_{\ell^a} = 1$  by Corollary 3.5. Then the claims follow from Lemma 2.6 using Lemma 4.1, (5.2) and (5.3).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *The Brauer characters  $\overline{W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^+}$  and  $\overline{W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^-}$  are different.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the characters  $W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^+$  and  $W_{n,\psi}[\nu]^-$  are distinct restricted to the subset consisting of  $\ell$ -regular elements of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The element  $g_0$  in Proposition 4.9 is of order  $p$  and  $\ell$ -regular by  $(p, \ell) = 1$ . Hence the claim follows from Proposition 4.9.  $\square$

## 5.4 Unipotent representation

**Lemma 5.7.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Then the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^-$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $W_n[1]^-$  is irreducible modulo  $\ell$  by Lemma 4.1 and [GT04, (6), Corollary 7.5] if  $p = 2$  and [GMST02, Corollary 7.4] if  $p \neq 2$ . Hence the claim follows from (5.1).  $\square$

Assume that  $\ell \mid q+1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . By Proposition 3.3 (2) and Lemma 3.4 (2), we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{H}_{\chi_{\ell^a}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell \rightarrow H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.4)$$

for any non-trivial character  $\chi_{\ell^a}$ . By Lemma 5.7, the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^-$  is a zero map. We denote by  $\delta^+$  the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^+$ . Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \delta^+ \rightarrow H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.5)$$

**Proposition 5.8.** *Assume that  $p = 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ . If  $\ell \nmid q+1$ , the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^+$  is irreducible.*

*Assume  $\ell \mid q+1$ . Then, the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $\ker \delta^+$  is irreducible. The representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^+$  is indecomposable of length two with irreducible constituents  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\ker \delta^+$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$W_{n,\psi}[1]^- = \alpha_n, \quad W_{n,\psi}[1]^+ = \beta_n$$

in the notation of [GT04, Definition (6)] by Lemma 4.1, [GT04, (4)] and [TZ97, Lemma 4.1].

Assume  $\ell \nmid q+1$ . By Proposition 3.3 (1), we have

$$H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell \simeq H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell).$$

The representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+$  is irreducible by (5.1) and [GT04, Corollary 7.5 (i)].

Assume  $\ell \mid q+1$ . By (5.1) and [GT04, Corollary 7.5 (i)],  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+$  has two irreducible constituents. Hence,  $\ker \delta^+$  is irreducible by (5.5).

We show that  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+$  is indecomposable. Assume that it is not so. Then it is completely reducible, and is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $\ker \delta^+$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  by the Jordan–Hölder theorem. This is contrary to Proposition 4.4 (2). Hence, we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.9.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $\ell \mid q+1$ . The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $\ker \delta^+$  is irreducible. The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+$  is indecomposable of length two with irreducible constituents  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\ker \delta^+$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be as in §4.2. Since  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  is a  $p$ -group and  $\ell \neq p$ , any  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ -representation over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  is semisimple. By Proposition 4.4 (2) and (5.5), we have

$$\dim(H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+)^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} = 1. \quad (5.6)$$

We set  $m = (q^n - q)(q^n - 1)/(2(q+1))$ . We assume that  $\ker \delta^+$  has more than one irreducible constituents. By the assumption  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\ell \neq 2$  and  $\ell \mid q+1$ , we have  $q > 3$ . We have  $\dim \ker \delta^+ = m + q^n - 1 < 2m$ . Hence we can take an irreducible constituent of  $\ker \delta^+$  whose dimension is less than  $m$ , for which we write  $\beta$ . By (5.5) and (5.6), we have  $\dim \beta^{U(\mathbb{F}_q)^n} = 0$ . Hence,  $\beta$  is not a trivial representation of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . By [GMST02, Theorem 2.1],  $\beta$  must be a Weil module. The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})^+$  is unipotent by (4.2), Lemma 4.3 and [IT18, Corollary 6.12]. Hence  $\ker \delta^+$  belongs to a unipotent block. Since  $\beta$  and  $\ker \delta^+$  belong to the same block, this is contrary to Lemma 5.2. Hence, we obtain the first claim.

By (5.5) and the first claim,  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})^+$  has length two. The sequence (5.5) is non-split by Proposition 4.4 (2). Hence the second claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{q,+}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ . The canonical map*

$$H_c^n(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be the affine curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $z^q + z = t^{q+1}$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ . We have  $H_c^1(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})[\psi] \simeq H_c^1(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{L}_\psi)$ . Hence by the Künneth formula, we have

$$H_c^n(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \simeq (H_c^1(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})[\psi])^{\otimes n}, \quad H^n(\mathbb{A}^n, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \simeq (H^1(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})[\psi])^{\otimes n}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that the canonical map  $H_c^1(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^1(C_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{F})$  is an isomorphism. The curve  $C$  has the smooth compactification  $\overline{C}$  defined by  $X^q Y + XY^q = Z^{q+1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ . The complement  $\overline{C} \setminus C$  consists of an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -valued point. Hence, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}^\times) \setminus \{1\}$ . Then  $H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi'^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1]$  is a self-dual representation of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

*Proof.* By Poincaré duality, we have an isomorphism

$$H^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi^{I*} \mathcal{L}_\psi) \simeq H_c^{2n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}, \pi^{I*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{-1}})^\vee.$$

Hence the claim follows from (2.2), (4.2), Lemma 5.10 and [IT18, Remark 2.2].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.12.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $\ell \nmid q + 1$ .*

- (1) *The  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^+$  is irreducible.*
- (2) *For each  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ , the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^\kappa$  is self-dual.*

*Proof.* For  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ , we simply write  $W^\kappa$  for  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)^\kappa$ .

We show the first claim. Assume  $(n, q) = (2, 3)$ . We have  $|Sp_4(\mathbb{F}_3)| = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$  and  $\dim W^+ = 15$  by Lemma 4.1. By the assumption, we have  $\ell \neq 2, 3$ . Hence, the claim in this case follows from the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem.

Assume  $(n, q) \neq (2, 3)$ . Let  $m$  be as in the proof of Proposition 5.9. Assume that  $W^+$  is not irreducible. By  $(n, q) \neq (2, 3)$ , we can take an irreducible component  $\beta$  of  $W^+$  whose dimension is less than  $m$ . Then  $\beta$  is a trivial module or a Weil module by [GMST02, Theorem 2.1]. We know that  $\beta$  is a trivial module by Lemma 5.2. By the last isomorphism in Proposition 4.4 (2),  $W^+$  has at most one trivial module as irreducible constituents. Hence,  $W^+$  must have length two by a similar argument as above. By  $(W^+)^{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = 0$  as in Proposition 4.4 (2), we have a non-split surjective homomorphism  $W^+ \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ . We set  $W = W^+ \oplus W^-$ . Since  $W$  is self-dual by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 5.11, we have an injective homomorphism  $\mathbf{1} \hookrightarrow W$ . By taking the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -fixed part of this, we have  $W^{Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \neq 0$ , which is contrary to Proposition 4.4 (2). Hence  $W^+$  is irreducible.

We show the second claim. For each  $\kappa \in \{\pm\}$ , the  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation  $W^\kappa$  is irreducible by Lemma 5.7 and the first claim. Since the dimensions of  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are different, we obtain the claim by the self-duality of  $W$ .  $\square$

## 6 Mod $\ell$ Howe correspondence

We formulate a mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence for  $(Sp_{2n}, O_2^-)$  using mod  $\ell$  cohomology of  $Y'_{2n}$ , and show that it is compatible with the ordinary Howe correspondence.

### 6.1 Representation of $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Let  $W = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . We consider the quadratic form  $Q: W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ ;  $x \mapsto x^{q+1}$ . Recall that  $O_2^-$  is the orthogonal group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $Q$ . Clearly, we have  $Q(\zeta x) = Q(x)$  for any  $x \in W$  and  $\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}$ . Hence, we have a natural inclusion  $\mu_{q+1} \hookrightarrow O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We regard  $F_W: W \rightarrow W$ ;  $x \mapsto x^q$  as an element of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We can easily check that  $\mu_{q+1} \cap \langle F_W \rangle = \{1\}$ . This group  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order  $2(q+1)$  by [KL90, Proposition 2.9.1]. We fix the isomorphism

$$\mu_{q+1} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q); (\zeta, i) \mapsto \zeta F_W^i.$$

For a pair  $(\xi, \kappa) \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \mu_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)) \times \{\pm\}$  such that  $\chi_0^2 = 1$ , the map

$$(\xi, \kappa): O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mu_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell); (x, k) \mapsto \kappa^k \chi_0(x)$$

for  $x \in \mu_{q+1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a character. For a character  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$  such that  $\xi^2 \neq 1$ , the two-dimensional representation  $\sigma_\xi = \text{Ind}_{\mu_{q+1}}^{O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)} \xi$  is irreducible. Note that  $\sigma_\xi \simeq \sigma_{\xi^{-1}}$  as  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representations. Any irreducible representation of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is isomorphic to the one of these representations.

## 6.2 Formulation

Let  $\text{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q))$  be the set of irreducible representations of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ . Let  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  act on  $\text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$  by  $\xi \mapsto \xi^{-1}$ . Then  $\text{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q))$  is parametrized by

$$\{\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times) \mid \xi^2 \neq 1\} / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cup \{(\xi, \kappa) \mid \xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_{q+1}, \mu_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)), \kappa \in \{\pm 1\}\}$$

as in §6.1.

Assume  $n \geq 2$ . We define a mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence

$$\Theta_\ell: \text{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)) \rightarrow \{\text{the representations of } Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ over } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell\}$$

by

$$[\xi] \mapsto H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi), \quad (\xi, \kappa) \mapsto H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)^\kappa.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible representation of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ . Then  $\Theta_\ell(\tau)$  is irreducible except the case where  $\ell \mid q+1$  and  $\tau$  corresponds to  $(1, +)$ , in which case  $\Theta_\ell(\tau)$  is a non-trivial extension of the trivial representation by an irreducible representation. Furthermore, if  $\tau, \tau' \in \text{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q))$  are different,  $\Theta_\ell(\tau)$  and  $\Theta_\ell(\tau')$  have no irreducible constituent in common.*

*Proof.* The first claim follows from Proposition 5.5, Lemma 5.7, Proposition 5.8, Proposition 5.9 and Proposition 5.12.

By Lemma 2.5 and (5.3) for  $\chi \in \mu_r^\vee$ , the representations  $H_c^{2n-1}(Y'_{2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{K}_\xi)$  of  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times)$  have no irreducible constituent in common. Therefore the second claim follows from Lemma 4.1, (5.1) and Lemma 5.6.  $\square$

We extend  $\Theta_\ell$  to the set of finite-dimensional semisimple representations of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  by additivity. Let  $\Theta$  be the Howe correspondence for  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (cf. [IT18, §6.2]).

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $O_2^-(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . We have an injection*

$$\overline{\Theta(\pi)}^{\text{ss}} \hookrightarrow \Theta_\ell(\overline{\pi}^{\text{ss}}),$$

where  $\overline{(-)}^{\text{ss}}$  denotes the semi-simplification of a mod  $\ell$  reduction. The injection is an isomorphism except the cases where  $\pi$  corresponds to  $\chi = \chi_r \chi_{\ell^a}$  and we have  $\chi_r = 1$ ,  $\chi_{\ell^a} \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* This follows from (5.1).  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** *A mod  $\ell$  Howe correspondence is studied in [Aub94] in a different way and in a general setting under  $p \neq 2$  up to semi-simplifications.*



## References

- [Aub94] A.-M. Aubert, Séries de Harish-Chandra de modules et correspondance de Howe modulaire, *J. Algebra* 165 (1994), no. 3, 576–601.
- [BM89] M. Broué and J. Michel, Blocs et séries de Lusztig dans un groupe réductif fini, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 395 (1989), 56–67.
- [Bon11] C. Bonnafé, Representations of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , vol. 13 of Algebra and Applications, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [Dim92] A. Dimca, Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [DM91] F. Digne and J. Michel, Representations of finite groups of Lie type, vol. 21 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [FS82] P. Fong and B. Srinivasan, The blocks of finite general linear and unitary groups, *Invent. Math.* 69 (1982), no. 1, 109–153.
- [Gér77] P. Gérardin, Weil representations associated to finite fields, *J. Algebra* 46 (1977), no. 1, 54–101.
- [GMST02] R. M. Guralnick, K. Magaard, J. Saxl and P. H. Tiep, Cross characteristic representations of symplectic and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* 257 (2002), no. 2, 291–347.
- [GT04] R. M. Guralnick and P. H. Tiep, Cross characteristic representations of even characteristic symplectic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004), no. 12, 4969–5023.
- [HM78] R. Hotta and K. Matsui, On a lemma of Tate-Thompson, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 8 (1978), no. 2, 255–268.
- [HM01] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Low-dimensional representations of special unitary groups, *J. Algebra* 236 (2001), no. 2, 745–767.
- [How73] R. E. Howe, On the character of Weil’s representation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 177 (1973), 287–298.
- [Ill81] L. Illusie, Théorie de Brauer et caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré (d’après P. Deligne), in *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic (French)*, vol. 82 of Astérisque, pp. 161–172, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1981.
- [IT18] N. Imai and T. Tsushima, Geometric construction of Heisenberg–Weil representations for finite unitary groups and Howe correspondences, 2018, arXiv:1812.10226.
- [KL90] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups, vol. 129 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

- [LOST10] M. W. Liebeck, E. A. O'Brien, A. Shalev and P. H. Tiep, The Ore conjecture, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 12 (2010), no. 4, 939–1008.
- [Lus77] G. Lusztig, Irreducible representations of finite classical groups, *Invent. Math.* 43 (1977), no. 2, 125–175.
- [Sai72] M. Saito, Représentations unitaires des groupes symplectiques, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 24 (1972), 232–251.
- [Shi80] K. Shinoda, The characters of Weil representations associated to finite fields, *J. Algebra* 66 (1980), no. 1, 251–280.
- [TZ97] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, Some characterizations of the Weil representations of the symplectic and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* 192 (1997), no. 1, 130–165.
- [Wei64] A. Weil, Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), 143–211.
- [Whi90] D. L. White, Decomposition numbers of  $\mathrm{Sp}(4, q)$  for primes dividing  $q \pm 1$ , *J. Algebra* 132 (1990), no. 2, 488–500.

Naoki Imai

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba,  
Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan  
naoki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Takahiro Tsushima

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science, Chiba University 1-33  
Yayoi-cho, Inage, Chiba, 263-8522, Japan  
tsushima@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp