

# On the residual of a factorized group with widely supersoluble factors

Victor S. Monakhov and Alexander A. Trofimuk

Department of Mathematics and Programming Technologies,

Francisk Skorina Gomel State University,

Gomel 246019, Belarus

e-mail: victor.monakhov@gmail.com

e-mail: alexander.trofimuk@gmail.com<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all primes. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , if either  $H = G$ , or there exists a chain of subgroups  $H = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G$ ,  $|H_i : H_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\forall i$ . A group  $G$  is called *widely supersoluble*, *w-supersoluble* for short, if every Sylow subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . A group  $G = AB$  with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal *w-supersoluble* subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  is studied. The structure of its *w-supersoluble* residual is obtained. In particular, it coincides with the nilpotent residual of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -residual of  $G$ . Here  $\mathcal{A}$  is the formation of all groups with abelian Sylow subgroups. Besides, we obtain new sufficient conditions for the *w-supersolubility* of such group  $G$ .

**Keywords.** widely supersoluble groups, mutually *sn*-permutable subgroups,  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroup, the  $\mathfrak{X}$ -residual.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 20D10, 20D20.

## Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and  $G$  always denotes a finite group. We use the standard notations and terminology of [6]. The formations of all nilpotent, supersoluble groups and groups with abelian Sylow subgroups are denoted by  $\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. The notation  $Y \leq X$  means that  $Y$  is a subgroup of a group  $X$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all primes. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a formation. Then  $G^{\mathfrak{X}}$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{X}$ -residual of  $G$ .

By Huppert's Theorem [6, VI.9.5], a group  $G$  is supersoluble if and only if for every proper subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  there exists a chain of subgroups

$$H = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G, |H_i : H_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}, \forall i. \quad (1)$$

So naturally the following definition.

A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , if either  $H = G$ , or there is a chain subgroups (1). We use the notation  $H Psn G$ . This definition was proposed in [13] and besides, in this paper w-supersoluble (widely supersoluble) groups, i.e. groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroups, were investigated. Denote by  $w\mathfrak{U}$  the class of all w-supersoluble groups.

The factorizable groups  $G = AB$  with w-supersoluble factors  $A$  and  $B$  were investigated in [8], [10], [11], [14]. There are many other papers devoted to study factorizable groups, and the reader is referred to the book [1] and the bibliography therein. A criteria for w-supersolvability was obtained by A. F. Vasil'ev, T. I. Vasil'eva and V. N. Tyutyanov [14].

**Theorem A.** [14, Theorem 4.7] *Let  $G = AB$  be a group which is the product of two w-supersoluble subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  and  $G^A$  is nilpotent, then  $G$  is w-supersoluble.*

We recall that two subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of a group  $G$  are said to be *mutually sn-permutable* if  $A$  permutes with all subnormal subgroups of  $B$  and  $B$  permutes with all subnormal subgroups of  $A$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are mutually sn-permutable subgroups of a group  $G = AB$ , then we say that  $G$  is a *mutually sn-permutable product* of  $A$  and  $B$ , see [4]. In soluble groups, mutually sn-permutable factors are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal [14, Lemma 4.5]. The converse is not true, see the example 3.1 below.

A. Ballester-Bolinches, W. M. Fakieh and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera [3] obtained the following results for the sn-permutable product of the w-supersoluble subgroups.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G = AB$  be the mutually sn-permutable product of subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *if  $A$  and  $B$  are w-supersoluble and  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , then both  $AN$  and  $BN$  are w-supersoluble, [3, Theorem 3];*
- (2) *suppose that  $A$  is w-supersoluble and  $B$  is nilpotent. If  $B$  permutes with every Sylow subgroup of  $A$ , then  $G$  is w-supersoluble, [3, Theorem 4];*
- (3) *if  $A$  and  $B$  are w-supersoluble and  $(|A/A^A|, |B/B^A|) = 1$ , then  $G$  is w-supersoluble, [3, Theorem 5].*

Present paper extends the Theorems A and B. We prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be w-supersoluble  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups of  $G$  and  $G = AB$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $G^{\text{wt}} = (G^A)^{\text{wt}}$ ;
- (2) if  $N$  is a nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G$ , then both  $AN$  and  $BN$  are  $w$ -supersoluble;
- (3) if  $(|A/A^A|, |B/B^A|) = 1$ , then  $G$  is  $w$ -supersoluble.

Theorem A follows from assertion (1) of Theorem 1. The assertions (1) and (3) of Theorem B follow from assertions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1 since the group  $G$  in Theorem B is soluble. We give an example showing that assertion (2) of Theorem B does not apply to groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal factors.

## 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and basic results which are essential in the sequel. A group whose chief factors have prime orders is called *supersoluble*. Recall that a  $p$ -closed group is a group with a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and a  $p$ -nilpotent group is a group with a normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroup.

Denote by  $G'$ ,  $Z(G)$ ,  $F(G)$  and  $\Phi(G)$  the derived subgroup, centre, Fitting and Frattini subgroups of  $G$  respectively. We use  $E_{p^t}$  to denote an elementary abelian group of order  $p^t$  and  $Z_m$  to denote a cyclic group of order  $m$ . The semidirect product of a normal subgroup  $A$  and a subgroup  $B$  is written as follows:  $A \rtimes B$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. Recall that the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -residual of  $G$ , that is the intersection of all those normal subgroups  $N$  of  $G$  for which  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ . We define  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{Y} = \{G \in \mathfrak{E} \mid G^{\mathfrak{Y}} \in \mathfrak{X}\}$  and call  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{Y}$  the *formation product* of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{E}$  is the class of all finite groups.

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H_G = \bigcap_{x \in G} H^x$  is called *the core* of  $H$  in  $G$ . If a group  $G$  contains a maximal subgroup  $M$  with trivial core, then  $G$  is said to be *primitive* and  $M$  is its *primitivator*.

A simple check proves the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation and  $G$  be a group. Assume that  $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$ , but  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$  for all non-trivial normal subgroups  $N$  of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is a primitive group.*

**Lemma 1.2.** ([6, Theorem II.3.2]) *Let  $G$  be a soluble primitive group and  $M$  is a primitivator of  $G$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\Phi(G) = 1$ ;
- (2)  $F(G) = C_G(F(G)) = O_p(G)$  and  $F(G)$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of order  $p^n$  for some prime  $p$  and some positive integer  $n$ ;
- (3)  $G$  contains a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  and moreover,  $N = F(G)$ ;
- (4)  $G = F(G) \rtimes M$  and  $O_p(M) = 1$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** ([2, Proposition 2.2.8, Proposition 2.2.11]) *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be formations,  $K$  be normal in  $G$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $(G/K)^{\mathfrak{F}} = G^{\mathfrak{F}}K/K$ ;
- (2)  $G^{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H}} = (G^{\mathfrak{H}})^{\mathfrak{F}}$ ;
- (3) if  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{F}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{H}}$ ;
- (4) if  $G = HK$ , then  $H^{\mathfrak{F}}K = G^{\mathfrak{F}}K$ .

Recall that a group  $G$  is said to be *siding* if every subgroup of the derived subgroup  $G'$  is normal in  $G$ , see [12, Definition 2.1]. Metacyclic groups, t-groups (groups in which every subnormal subgroup is normal) are siding. The group  $G = (Z_6 \times Z_2) \rtimes Z_2$  ( $\text{IdGroup}(G)=[24,8]$ ) [5] is siding, but not metacyclic and a t-group.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be siding. Then the following hold:*

- (1) if  $N$  is normal in  $G$ , then  $G/N$  is siding;
- (2) if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is siding;
- (3)  $G$  is supersoluble

*Proof.* 1. By [6, Lemma I.8.3],  $(G/N)' = G'N/N$ . Let  $A/N$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $(G/N)'$ . Then

$$A \leq G'N, \quad A = A \cap G'N = (A \cap G')N.$$

Since  $A \cap G' \leq G'$ , we have  $A \cap G'$  is normal in  $G$ . Hence  $(A \cap G')N/N$  is normal in  $G/N$ .

2. Since  $H \leq G$ , it follows that  $H' \leq G'$ . Let  $A$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $H'$ . Then  $A \leq G'$  and  $A$  is normal in  $G$ . Therefore  $A$  is normal in  $H$ .

3. We proceed by induction on the order of  $G$ . Let  $N \leq G'$  and  $|N| = p$ , where  $p$  is prime. By the hypothesis,  $N$  is normal in  $G$ . By induction,  $G/N$  is supersoluble and  $G$  is supersoluble.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** ([9, Lemma 3]) *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) if  $N \leq H$  and  $H/N \text{ Psn } G/N$ , then  $H \text{ Psn } G$ ;
- (2) if  $H \text{ Psn } G$ , then  $(H \cap N) \text{ Psn } N$ ,  $HN/N \text{ Psn } G/N$  and  $HN \text{ Psn } G$ ;
- (3) if  $H \leq K \leq G$ ,  $H \text{ Psn } K$  and  $K \text{ Psn } G$ , then  $H \text{ Psn } G$ ;
- (4) if  $H \text{ Psn } G$ , then  $H^g \text{ Psn } G$  for any  $g \in G$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** ([9, Lemma 4]) *Let  $G$  be a soluble group, and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) if  $H \text{ Psn } G$  and  $K \leq G$ , then  $(H \cap K) \text{ Psn } K$ ;
- (2) if  $H_i \text{ Psn } G$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(H_1 \cap H_2) \text{ Psn } G$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** ([9, Lemma 5]) *If  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of a soluble group  $G$ , then  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 1.8.** ([13, Theorem 2.7]) *The class  $w\mathfrak{U}$  is a hereditary saturated formation.*

**Lemma 1.9.** (1) *If  $G \in w\mathfrak{U}$ , then  $G^A$  is nilpotent, [13, Theorem 2.13].*

(2)  *$G \in w\mathfrak{U}$  if and only if every metanilpotent subgroup of  $G$  is supersoluble, [7, Theorem 2.6].*

(3)  *$G \in w\mathfrak{U}$  if and only if  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type and every biprimary subgroup of  $G$  is supersoluble, [9, Theorem B].*

## 2 Factorizable groups with $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal $w$ -supersoluble subgroups

**Lemma 2.1.** ([14, Theorem 4.4]) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups of  $G$ , and  $G = AB$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  have an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type, then  $G$  has an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type.*

**Proof of Theorem 1 (1).** If  $G$  is  $w$ -supersoluble, then  $G^{w\mathfrak{U}} = 1$  and  $G^A$  is nilpotent by Lemma 1.9 (1). Consequently  $G^{w\mathfrak{U}} = 1 = (G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$  and the statement is true. Further, we assume that  $G$  is non- $w$ -supersoluble. Since  $w\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{NA}$ , it follows that

$$G^{(\mathfrak{NA})} = (G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}} \leq G^{w\mathfrak{U}}$$

by Lemma 1.3 (2-3). Next we check the converse inclusion. For this we prove that  $G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$  is  $w$ -supersoluble. By Lemma 1.3 (1),

$$(G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}})^A = G^A(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}} = G^A/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$$

and  $(G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}})^A$  is nilpotent. The quotients

$$G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}} = (A(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}})(B(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}),$$

$$A(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}} \simeq A/A \cap (G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}},$$

$$B(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}} \simeq B/B \cap (G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}},$$

hence the subgroups  $A(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$  and  $B(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$  are  $w$ -supersoluble by Lemma 1.8 and by Lemma 1.5 (2), they are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$ . By Theorem A,  $G/(G^A)^{\mathfrak{N}}$  is  $w$ -supersoluble.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $A$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $|G : A| = p^\alpha$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is w-supersoluble and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . If  $p$  is the greatest in  $\pi(G)$  and  $G$  is  $p$ -closed, then  $G$  is w-supersoluble.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $P$  is normal in  $G$  and  $G = AP$ , we have  $G/P \simeq A/A \cap P \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$ , in particular,  $G$  is soluble. Because  $G$  is soluble, it follows that  $P$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  by Lemma 1.7. Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $q \neq p$ . Then  $Q \leq A^x$  for some  $x \in G$ . By Lemma 1.5 (4),  $A^x$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Since  $A^x \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$ , it follows that  $Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $A^x$  and  $Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  by Lemma 1.5 (3). So,  $G$  is w-supersoluble.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be w-supersoluble  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups of  $G$ , and  $G = AB$ . Suppose that  $|G : A| = p^\alpha$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$ . If  $p$  is the greatest in  $\pi(G)$ , then  $G$  is w-supersoluble.*

*Proof.* Since every w-supersoluble group has an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type, then by Lemma 2.1,  $G$  has an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type. Hence  $G$  is  $p$ -closed. By Lemma 2.2, we have that  $G$  is w-supersoluble.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a w-supersoluble  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $G = AB$ . Then  $G$  is w-supersoluble in each of the following cases:*

- (1)  $B$  is nilpotent and normal in  $G$ ;
- (2)  $B$  is nilpotent and  $|G : B|$  is prime;
- (3)  $B$  is normal in  $G$  and is a siding group.

*Proof.* We prove all three statements at the same time using induction on the order of  $G$ . Note that  $G$  is soluble in any case. By Lemma 1.7,  $B$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  and  $G$  has an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type by Lemma 2.1. If  $N$  is a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $AN/N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/N$  by Lemma 1.5 (2) and  $AN/N \simeq A/A \cap N$  is w-supersoluble by Lemma 1.8. The subgroup  $BN/N \simeq B/B \cap N$  is nilpotent or a siding group by Lemma 1.4 (1). Hence  $G/N = (AN/N)(BN/N)$  is w-supersoluble by induction. Since the formation of all w-supersoluble groups is saturated by Lemma 1.8, we have  $G$  is a primitive group by Lemma 1.1. By Lemma 1.2,  $F(G) = N = G_p$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N = C_G(N)$ , where  $p$  is the greatest in  $\pi(G)$ .

Since  $A$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , it follows that  $G$  has a subgroup  $M$  such that  $A \leq M$  and  $|G : M|$  is prime. By Dedekind's identity,  $M = A(M \cap B)$ . The subgroup  $A$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $M$ . The subgroup  $M \cap B$  satisfies the requirements (1)–(3). By induction,  $M$  is w-supersoluble.

1. If  $B$  is nilpotent and normal in  $G$ , then  $B = N$ . Hence  $G = AN$  and  $A$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $A$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , we have  $|G : A| = p = |N|$  and  $G$  is supersoluble. Therefore  $G$  is w-supersoluble. So, in (1), the theorem is proved.

2. Let  $B$  be nilpotent and  $|G : B| = q$ , where  $q$  is prime. Besides, let  $|G : M| = r$ , where  $r$  is prime. If  $q \neq r$ , then  $(|G : M|, |G : B|) = 1$ . Since  $G = MB$ ,  $M$  and  $B$  are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  and w-supersoluble, it follows obviously that  $G$  is w-supersoluble. Hence  $q = r$ . If  $q = p$ , then  $N$  is not contained in  $M$ . Thus  $G = N \rtimes M$  and  $|N|$  is prime. Consequently  $G$  is supersoluble and therefore  $G$  is w-supersoluble. So,  $q \neq p$ . Then  $G_p = N \leq M \cap B$ . Since  $B$  is nilpotent,  $G_p = B \leq M$ . Because  $G = MB$ , we have  $G = M$ , a contradiction. So, in (2), the theorem is proved.

3. Let  $B$  is normal in  $G$  and is a siding group. If  $B$  is nilpotent, then  $G$  is w-supersoluble by (1). Hence  $B' \neq 1$ . Because  $B'$  is normal in  $G$  and nilpotent, we have  $N = B'$ . If  $N$  is not contained in  $M$ , then  $G = N \rtimes M$  and  $|N|$  is prime. Consequently  $G$  is supersoluble and therefore  $G$  is w-supersoluble. Let  $N$  be contained in  $M$  and  $N_1$  be a subgroup of prime order of  $N$  such that  $N_1$  is normal in  $M$ . Then  $N_1$  is normal in  $B$  by definition of siding group. Hence  $N_1$  is normal in  $G$ . Consequently  $G$  is w-supersoluble. So, in (3), the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1 (2).**

Note that by the Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is soluble. By Theorem 2.1 (1), Theorem 1 (2) is true.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1 (3).** Assume that the claim is false and let  $G$  be a minimal counterexample. By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  has an ordered Sylow tower of supersoluble type. If  $N$  is a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $AN/N$  and  $BN/N$  are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/N$  by Lemma 1.5 (2). Besides,  $AN/N \simeq A/A \cap N$  and  $BN/N \simeq B/B \cap N$  are w-supersoluble by Lemma 1.8. By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|(AN/N)/(AN/N)^A|, |(BN/N)/(BN/N)^A|) = \\ & = (|AN/(AN)^A N|, |BN/(BN)^A N|) = \\ & = (|AN/A^A N|, |BN/B^A N|) = \left( \frac{|A/A^A|}{|S_1|}, \frac{|B/B^A|}{|S_2|} \right), \\ & S_1 = (A \cap N)/(A^A \cap N), S_2 = (B \cap N)/(B^A \cap N). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(|A/A^A|, |B/B^A|) = 1$ , it follows that

$$(|(AN/N)/(AN/N)^A|, |(BN/N)/(BN/N)^A|) = 1.$$

The quotient  $G/N = (AN/N)(BN/N)$  is w-supersoluble by induction.

Since the formation of all w-supersoluble groups is saturated by Lemma 1.8, we have  $G$  is a primitive group by Lemma 1.1. By Lemma 1.2,  $F(G) = N = G_p$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N = C_G(N)$ , where  $p$  is the greatest in  $\pi(G)$ .

By Lemma 2.2,  $AN$  is w-supersoluble. If  $AN = G$ , then  $G$  is w-supersoluble, a contradiction. Hence in the future we consider that  $AN$  and  $BN$  are proper subgroups of  $G$ .

By Lemma 1.9 (1),  $(AN)^A$  is nilpotent. Since  $N = C_G(N)$ , we have  $(AN)^A$  is a  $p$ -group. Because  $AN/(AN)^A \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that all Sylow  $r$ -subgroups of  $A$  are abelian,  $r \neq p$ . Since  $A_p \leq G_p$ , where  $A_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ , we have  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Similarly,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $A^A = 1 = B^A$  and  $(|A|, |B|) = (|A/A^A|, |B/B^A|) = 1$ . It is clear that  $G$  is w-supersoluble, a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Examples

The following example shows that for a soluble group  $G = AB$  the mutually  $sn$ -permutability of subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  doesn't follow from  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormality of these factors.

**Example 3.1.** The group  $G = S_3 \rtimes Z_3$  (IdGroup=[18,3]) has  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups  $A \simeq E_{3^2}$  and  $B \simeq Z_2$ . However  $A$  and  $B$  are not mutually  $sn$ -permutable.

The following example shows that we cannot omit the condition « $p$  is the greatest in  $\pi(G)$ » in Lemma 2.2.

**Example 3.2.** The group  $G = (S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes Z_2$  (IdGroup=[72,40]) has a  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal supersoluble subgroups  $A \simeq Z_3 \times S_3$ . Besides  $|G : A| = 2^2$  and Sylow 2-subgroup is maximal in  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is non-w-supersoluble.

The following example shows that in Theorem 2.1 (1) the normality of subgroup  $B$  cannot be weakened to  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormality.

**Example 3.3.** The group  $G = (Z_2 \times (E_{3^2} \rtimes Z_4)) \rtimes Z_2$  (IdGroup=[144,115]) is non-w-supersoluble and factorized by subgroups  $A = D_{12}$  and  $B = Z_{12}$ . The subgroup  $A$  has the chain of subgroups  $A < S_3 \times S_3 < Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3 < G$  and  $B$  has the chain of subgroups  $B < Z_3 \times (Z_3 \rtimes Z_4) < (Z_3 \times (Z_3 \rtimes Z_4)) \rtimes Z_2 < G$ . Therefore  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ .

The following example shows that in Theorem 2.1 (2) it is impossible to weak the restrictions on the index of subgroup  $B$ .



**Example 3.4.** The alternating group  $G = A_4$  is non-w-supersoluble and factorized by subgroups  $A = E_{2^2}$  and  $B = Z_3$ . It is clear that  $A$  is supersoluble and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , and  $B$  is nilpotent and  $|G : B| = 2^2$ . The group  $G = E_{5^2} \rtimes Z_3$  is non-w-supersoluble and has a nilpotent subgroup  $Z_3$  of index  $5^2$ . Therefore even for the greatest  $p$  of  $\pi(G)$ , the index of  $B$  cannot be equal  $p^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ .

The following example shows that in Theorem 2.1 (3) the normality of subgroup  $B$  cannot be weakened to subnormality.

**Example 3.5.** The group  $G = Z_3 \times ((S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes Z_2)$  (IdGroup=[216,157]) is non-w-supersoluble and factorized by  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal supersoluble subgroup  $A \simeq S_3 \times S_3$  and subnormal siding subgroup  $B \simeq Z_3 \times Z_3 \times S_3$ .

The following example shows that in Theorem B (2) it is impossible to weak the restrictions on the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  to  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormality.

**Example 3.6.** The group  $G = (S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes Z_2$  (IdGroup=[72,40]) has a  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal supersoluble subgroup  $A \simeq Z_3 \times S_3$  and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal nilpotent subgroup  $B \simeq Z_2$ . Besides,  $B$  permutes with every Sylow subgroup of  $A$ . However  $G$  is non-w-supersoluble.

## References

- [1] Ballester-Bolinches, A., Esteban-Romero, R., Asaad, M. (2010). *Products of finite groups*. Berlin; New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- [2] Ballester-Bolinches, A., Ezquerro, L. M. (2006). *Classes of Finite Groups*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- [3] Ballester-Bolinches, A., Fakieh, W. M., Pedraza-Aguilera, M. C. (2019). On Products of Generalised Supersoluble Finite Groups. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 16:46.
- [4] Carocca, A. (1998). On factorized finite groups in which certain subgroups of the factors permute. *Arch. Math.* 71:257–262.
- [5] GAP (2019) Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.2. [www.gap-system.org](http://www.gap-system.org).
- [6] Huppert, B. (1967). *Endliche Gruppen*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [7] Monakhov, V. S. (2016). Finite groups with abnormal and  $\mathfrak{U}$ -subnormal subgroups. *Siberian Math. J.* 57:352–363.

- [8] Monakhov, V. S., Chirik, I. K. (2017). On the supersoluble residual of a product of subnormal supersoluble subgroups. *Siberian Math. J.* 58:271–280.
- [9] Monakhov, V. S., Kniahina, V. N. (2013). Finite group with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups. *Ricerche Mat.* 62:307–323.
- [10] Monakhov, V. S., Trofimuk, A. A. (2019). Finite groups with two supersoluble subgroups. *J. Group Theory.* 22:297–312.
- [11] Monakhov, V. S., Trofimuk, A. A. (2020). On supersolubility of a group with seminormal subgroups. *Siberian Math. J.* 61:118–126.
- [12] Perez, E. R. (1999). On products of normal supersoluble subgroups. *Algebra Colloq.* 6:341–347.
- [13] Vasil'ev, A. F., Vasil'eva, T. I., Tyutyaynov, V. N. (2010). On the finite groups of supersoluble type. *Siberian Math. J.* 51:1004–1012.
- [14] Vasil'ev, A. F., Vasil'eva, T. I., Tyutyaynov, V. N. (2012). On the products of  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups of finite groups. *Siberian Math. J.* 53:47–54.